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We report the single crystal structures of 1,4-bis(triisopropyl-silyl)buta-1,3-diyne, $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Si}_{2}$, and 1,4-bis(biphenyl-4-yl)buta-1,3-diyne, $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{18}$, the packing in both of which illustrates the versatility of weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ supramolecular interactions in dictating the overall solid-state structures.

## Comment

We have been interested in the development of polyalkynebased stars and dendrimers and their reactions with $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ to produce organometallic cluster-decorated architectures (Constable et al., 2006). We have used Sonogashira palladiumcatalysed cross-coupling reactions (Sonogashira et al., 1975; Sonogashira, 2002) for the divergent assembly of polyalkynes containing rigid frameworks with well-defined structures. Under Sonogashira conditions, reactions between terminal alkynes $(R C \equiv \mathrm{CH})$ and aryl halides can give rise to diynes ( $R \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C} R$ ) as side-products (these most often arise from bromo precursors) (Sonogashira et al. 1975; Sonogashira 2002). Related reactions (Liu \& Burton, 1997) or modified Sonogashira conditions (Rossi et al., 1985) have been used for the specific formation of diynes. Two molecular cores that we have investigated are hexakis[(triisopropylsilyl)ethynyl]benzene and 4,4'-bis(biphenyl-4-ylethynyl)biphenyl. During attempts to synthesize these compounds, we found that 1,4-bis(triisopropylsilyl)buta-1,3-diyne, (I), and 1,4-bis(biphenyl4 -yl)buta-1,3-diyne, (II), could be produced quantitatively.

With the aim of preparing $\mathrm{C}_{6}\left(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CSi}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\right)_{6}$, we treated $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{I}_{6}$ with six equivalents of ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{SiC} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ under Sonogashira crosscoupling conditions. Instead of the desired product, compound (I) was formed quantitatively under the conditions shown in the scheme. This was also the case when $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Br}_{6}$ was used as the precursor. Similarly (see scheme), the palladium-catalysed cross-coupling reaction between 4 -ethynylbiphenyl and 4,4'dibromobiphenyl led to the quantitative formation of (II). Compounds (I) and (II) have been reported previously (Eisler
et al., 2005; Hlavatý et al., 2002; Ried \& Saxena, 1970; Toda \& Tokumaru, 1990), but have not, to our knowledge, been structurally characterized. We report here their single-crystal structures, which illustrate a number of facets of weak C$\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions in dictating solid-state structures. Such hydrogen bonds are now well established as important components in solid-state supramolecular assemblies (Desiraju, 2002, 2005; Desiraju \& Steiner, 1999; Nishio, 2004; Nishio et al., 1998; Steiner, 2002), and their role in organic reactions has recently been assessed (Nishio, 2005).


(I)

0.15 equiv. $\mathrm{CuCl} /$
$\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$
$\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 333 \mathrm{~K}$

(II)

(I)

(II)

X-ray quality crystals of (I) were grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution. Fig. 1 shows the structure of the centrosymmetric molecule of (I). The carbon backbone is linear, as observed for $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C})_{2} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ (Carré et al., 2003) and ${ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C})_{n^{-}}$ $\mathrm{Si}^{i} \operatorname{Pr}_{3}(n=4,5$ or 6 ; Eisler et al., 2005), in contrast with the curved backbone of ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C})_{8} \mathrm{Si}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}$ (Eisler et al., 2005). The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Si}-\mathrm{C}$ bond angles lie in the range 105.97 (9)116.94 (13) ${ }^{\circ}$.

Molecules of (I) pack in rows (Fig. 2a), such that the distance between the least-squares planes containing adjacent rows of SiCCCCSi chains is 5.8 A . Adjacent chains are interlocked, with the packing being supported by close methyl C$\mathrm{H} \cdots$ (alkyne $\pi$ ) interactions ( $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H} 83 \cdots \mathrm{C} 1=2.9 \AA$ and $\mathrm{C} 8-$

## organic compounds

$\mathrm{H} 83 \cdots \mathrm{C} 2=3.1 \AA$ ). This leads to the presence of close (repulsive) $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} 61 \cdots \mathrm{H} 61-\mathrm{CMe}_{2}=\right.$ $2.7 \AA$ ). A second set of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions operates between molecules within each row (Fig. 2b). Their evolution gives rise to short $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts between pairs of molecules.

The structures of a number of molecules closely related to (I) have been determined and comparisons of the solid-state packing are instructive. A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.2.7; Allen, 2002; Bruno et al., 2002) for molecules containing an $E-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-E$ unit $(E$ is $\mathrm{Si}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Ge}$ or Pb ) gave only 14 hits (Brouty et al., 1980; Brunel et al., 2001; Carré et al., 1999, 2003; Dam et al., 1998; Neugebauer et al., 2000). Among these are two polymorphs of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiC} \equiv \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}$ (structures determined at 120 and 203 K ; Carré et al., 2003). The packing of the molecules in both polymorphs differs from that in (I). Although the molecules are interlocked by virtue of the close approach of $\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ and alkyne groups, molecules in both polymorphs of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiC} \equiv \mathrm{CC} \equiv \mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}$ form grid-like assemblies, in contrast with the parallel alignment of molecules observed in the solid state of (I).

Compound (I) is a member of a family of polyynes, ${ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}){ }_{n} \mathrm{Si}^{i} \operatorname{Pr}_{3}(n=4,5,6$ and 8 ; Eisler et al., 2005). The


Figure 1
The molecular structure of (I), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level and $H$ atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitary radii. Unlabelled atoms and $\mathrm{C} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ are generated by the symmetry operator $(-x+2,-y+1,-z+1)$.
solid-state packing of ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}){ }_{4} \mathrm{Si}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}$ resembles that of (I), with molecules organized in offset rows, while for ${ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C})_{5} \mathrm{Si}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}$ and ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}){ }_{6} \mathrm{Si}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}$, a herring-bone


Figure 2
(a) The packing of molecules of (I), showing parts of two offset rows. (b) $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions between adjacent molecules in a row $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \ldots\right.$ $\mathrm{H} 53^{\mathrm{ii}}=3.2 \AA, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{i}} \cdots \mathrm{H} 53^{\mathrm{ii}}=2.9 \AA$ and $\mathrm{C} 1 \cdots \mathrm{H} 53^{\mathrm{ii}}=3.1 \AA$ ). [Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+2,-y+1,-z+1$; (ii) $1-x, 1-y, 1-z$.]


Figure 3
The molecular structure of (II), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitary radii.
assembly is observed. In ${ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}){ }_{8} \mathrm{Si}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}$, the polyyne backbone is significantly curved and the molecular packing is less readily compared with that of the smaller polyynes (Eisler et al., 2005).

Crystals of (II) were grown from a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution, and the molecular structure is shown in Fig. 3. The molecule is slightly bowed and the aryl rings are twisted with respect to one another, so that the angles between the least-squares planes of the rings containing atoms C 6 and C 7 , atoms C 7 and C 22 , and atoms C22 and C23 are 28.70 (7), 61.07 (6) and 44.22 (6) ${ }^{\circ}$, respectively. The origin of these ring orientations can be traced to the intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions listed in Table 3. The basic motif in the solid state is a dimeric unit (Fig. $4 a$ ), in which both $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {aryl }} \cdots \pi_{\text {akkyne }}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {ary }} \cdots \pi_{\text {aryl }}$ interactions are present (Table 3).

The dimers further assemble into layers (Fig. 4b), again with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions playing a role (Table 3). Stacking of planes of molecules into the three-dimensional lattice is also supported by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ contacts (Table 3 ) . The molecular structure of (II) shows interesting contrasts with that of 1,4-diphenylbuta-1,3-diyne (Fronczek \& Erickson, 1995; Surette et al., 1994). Molecules of the latter are planar in the solid state and pack in a herring-bone arrangement. Whereas $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ contacts control the ring orientations and packing in (II), $\pi$ stacking interactions are important in 1,4-diphenylbuta-1,3diyne. Also related to (II) is 4-ethynylbiphenyl (Mague et al.,


Figure 4
(a) The dimeric motif in the solid-state structure of (II). [Symmetry code (i) $1-x, 1-y,-z].(b)$ The packing of molecules of (II) into layers. Two dimeric units are shown in the middle of the figure.
1997). As in (II), the biphenyl unit of 4-ethynylbiphenyl is non-planar. The authors (Mague et al., 1997) describe the structure as containing 'no significant intermolecular interactions', although inspection of the data indicate the presence of weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {alkyne }} \cdots \pi_{\text {aryl }}$ contacts.

In conclusion, we have investigated the solid-state structures of two simple diynes and in both cases find that weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ contacts control the molecular packing. In the case of 1,4-bis(biphenyl-4-yl)buta-1,3-diyne, a combination of C $\mathrm{H}_{\text {aryl }} \cdots \pi_{\text {alkyne }}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{\text {aryl }} \cdots \pi_{\text {aryl }}$ interactions operate at the expense of $\pi$-stacking interactions.

## Experimental

Compound (I), previously prepared directly (Eisler et al., 2005; Hlavatý et al., 2002), was the product of an unsuccessful attempt to prepare $\mathrm{C}_{6}\left(\mathrm{CCSi}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\right)_{6} . \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{I}_{6}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1.20 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuCl}(17.8 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](126 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(75 \mathrm{ml})$ and, after addition of ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{SiC} \equiv \mathrm{CH}(2.13 \mathrm{ml}$, 9.60 mmol ), the mixture was stirred at 333 K for 12 h under argon. The solvent was removed and the residue was extracted with $30 \%$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes ( 200 ml ). The product was purified by column chromatography (alumina, hexanes) and (I) was collected as a darkyellow solid ( $1.74 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$; m.p. 369 K ). FAB-MS m/z: $362\left([\mathrm{M}]^{+}\right), 319$ ( $\left[M-{ }^{i} \operatorname{Pr}\right]$, base peak); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.09(s$, TIPS); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 90.2(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 81.6(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 18.6(\mathrm{CH})$, $11.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$; IR (solid, $\left.v, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 2943(s), 2866(s), 2050(s), 1458(s)$, 1383 (s), 1365 (s), 1230 ( m ), 1011 ( s ), 991 ( s$), 881$ ( vs ), 663 ( vs ), 625 (vs). Crystals were grown from a solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The route to compound (II) was optimized during attempts to prepare 4,4'-bis(biphenyl-4-ylethynyl)biphenyl. 4,4'-Dibromobiphenyl ( 233 mg , $1.00 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuCl}(14.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left[\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right](105 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(40 \mathrm{ml})$ and, after addition of 4-ethynylbiphenyl (Foroozesh et al., 1997) ( $196 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the mixture was stirred at 333 K for 18 h under argon. The solvent was removed, the residue was redissolved in hexanes $(150 \mathrm{ml})$ and the mixture was filtered. The product was purified by column chromatography (alumina, hexanes- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 1: 4$ ) to yield (II) as a yellow solid ( $195 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%$; m.p. 513 K ). FAB-MS $m / z: 354$ ( $[M]^{+}$, base peak), 177 ( $\left.\left[M-\mathrm{PhC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CC}\right]^{+}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.60[m, 12 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{H}(B 2, B 3, A 2)], 7.46[m, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(A 3)], 7.38[t, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}(A 4)] ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 141.9[\mathrm{C}(1 A / 1 B)], 140.0 \quad[\mathrm{C}(1 B / 1 A)], 132.9$ $[\mathrm{C}(3 B)], 128.9[\mathrm{C}(3 A)], 127.9[\mathrm{C}(4 A)], 127.1[\mathrm{C}(2 A)], 127.0[\mathrm{C}(2 B)]$, 120.6 [C(4B)], 81.8 [C(ArC $\equiv \mathrm{C})], 74.6$ [C(ArC $\equiv \mathrm{C})$; IR (solid, v, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3059 (w), 3036 (w), 2133 (w), 1599 (m), 1481 (s), 1448 (s), 839 (vs), $762(v s), 721(v s), 696(v s)$. Crystals of (II) were grown from a solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

## Compound (I)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Crystal data } & \\
\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{Si}_{2} & V=598.90(6) \AA^{3} \\
M_{r}=362.75 & Z=1 \\
\text { Triclinic, } P \overline{1} & D_{x}=1.006 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
a=7.2397(4) \AA & \text { Mo } \mathrm{K} \alpha \text { radiation } \\
b=7.8151(5) \AA & \mu=0.15 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
c=10.9548(5) \AA & T=173 \mathrm{~K} \\
\alpha=86.680(5)^{\circ} & \text { Plate, colourless } \\
\beta=80.485(4)^{\circ} & 0.30 \times 0.16 \times 0.14 \mathrm{~mm} \\
\gamma=78.542(4)^{\circ} &
\end{array}
$$

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (DENZO and SCALEPACK; Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997) $T_{\text {min }}=0.98, T_{\text {max }}=0.98$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F$
$R[F>2 \sigma(F)]=0.050$
$w R(F)=0.054$
$S=1.09$
2019 reflections
109 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained
$w=\left[1-\left(F_{o}-F_{c}\right)^{2} / 36 \sigma^{2}(F) /\right.$
$1.89 T_{0}(x)-0.168 T_{1}(x)$

29988 measured reflections 3478 independent reflections 2019 reflections with $I>3 \sigma(I)$ $R_{\text {int }}=0.069$ $\theta_{\text {max }}=30.0^{\circ}$
$\left.+1.21 T_{2}(x)\right]$, where $T_{i}$ are the Chebychev polynomials and $x=F_{c} / F_{\text {max }}$ (Watkin, 1994;
Prince, 1982)
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.007$
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.93 \mathrm{e}_{\AA^{-3}}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.64 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$ for (I).

| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1.373(3)$ | C3-Si1 | $1.873(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $1.204(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{Si} 1$ | $1.873(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Si} 1$ | $1.8432(18)$ | C9-Si1 | $1.876(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $179.6(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Si} 1$ |  |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+2,-y+1,-z+1$.

## Compound (II)

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{18}$
$M_{r}=354.45$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
$a=6.6723$ (2) $\AA$
$b=11.0320(3) \AA$
$c=26.0094(7) \AA$
$\beta=91.2833$ (14) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=1914.04$ (9) $\AA^{3}$

Data collection

| Nonius KappaCCD area-detector | 21205 measured reflections |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\quad$ diffractometer | 5625 independent reflections |
| $\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans | 2992 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ |
| Absorption correction: multi-scan | $R_{\text {int }}=0.081$ |
| $\quad(D E N Z O$ and $S C A L E P A C K ;$ | $\theta_{\max }=30.1^{\circ}$ |
| Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997) |  |
| $T_{\min }=0.98, T_{\max }=0.99$ |  |

## Refinement

| Refinement on $F$ | $w=1 /[\sigma(F)+(0.03 P)]$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| $R[F>2 \sigma(F)]=0.040$ | where $P=\left[\max \left(F_{o}, 0\right)+2 F_{c}\right] / 3$ |
| $w R(F)=0.047$ | $($ Sheldrick, 1997) |
| $S=0.99$ | $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.004$ |
| 2992 reflections | $\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.13 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ |
| 253 parameters | $\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.18 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$ |
| H-atom parameters constrained |  |

Table 2
Selected geometric parameters ( $\AA^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ) for (II).

| C6-C7 | $1.4806(18)$ | C15-C16 | $1.2045(18)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C10-C13 | $1.431(2)$ | C16-C19 | $1.433(2)$ |
| C13-C14 | $1.2041(18)$ | C22-C23 | $1.4799(18)$ |
| C14-C15 | $1.373(2)$ |  |  |
| C10-C13-C14 | $177.56(15)$ | C14-C15-C16 | $179.36(15)$ |
| C13-C14-C15 | $178.75(15)$ | C15-C16-C19 | $177.96(15)$ |

Table 3
Important intermolecular $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ contacts $\left(\AA,^{\circ}\right)$ in compound (II).
$C g 1$ is the centroid of ring C1-C6, Cg2 of ring C7-C12, Cg3 of ring C17-C22 and Cg 4 of ring C23-C28.

|  | Distance | Angle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Within the dimer (Fig. 4a) |  |  |
| H181. . C14 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.9 |  |
| $\mathrm{H} 181 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15^{\text {i }}$ | 3.0 |  |
| H171 $\cdots$ C13 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 3.1 |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 24-\mathrm{H} 241 \cdots \mathrm{Cg} 2^{\text {i }}$ | 2.8 | 147 |
| C25-H251 $\cdots{ }^{\text {Cg }} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 3.5 | 174 |
| Other interactions within a layer (Fig. 4b) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 31 \cdots \mathrm{Cg} 2{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 2.9 | 148 |
| C26-H261 $\cdots$ Cg1 $1^{\text {iii }}$ | 3.4 | 170 |
| Interactions between layers |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 11 \cdots \mathrm{Cg} 4^{\text {iv }}$ | 2.9 | 151 |
| $\mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{H} 81 \cdots \mathrm{Cg} 3^{\mathrm{v}}$ | 3.1 | 127 |
| C11-H111 . . $\mathrm{Cg}^{\text {vi }}$ | 3.4 | 136 |
| $\mathrm{C} 20-\mathrm{H} 201 \cdots \mathrm{Cg} 4^{\text {vii }}$ | 2.9 | 121 |
| Symmetry codes: <br> (i) $1-x, 1-y,-z$; (ii) $-\frac{3}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y,-\frac{1}{2}-z$; (iii) $-\frac{5}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y$, $-\frac{1}{2}+z ;$ (iv) $-\frac{3}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y,-\frac{1}{2}+z ;$ (v) $-x, 1-y,-z ;$ (vi) $-\frac{1}{2}-x,-\frac{1}{2}+y,-\frac{1}{2}-z$; (vii) $-1+x, y, z$. |  |  |

All H atoms were treated as riding, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=1.00 \AA$ and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$.

For both compounds, data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 2001); cell refinement: $D E N Z O$ and SCALEPACK (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997); data reduction: $D E N Z O$ and SCALEPACK; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: CRYSTALS (Betteridge et al., 2003); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: CRYSTALS.
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Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: GG3022). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.
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