

## V Gotta<sup>1,2</sup>, S Bouchet<sup>3</sup>, N Widmer<sup>1</sup>, F-X Mahon<sup>4</sup>, M Molimard<sup>3</sup>, T Buclin<sup>1</sup>, C Csajka<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Clinical Pharmacology, Department of Laboratory, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois and University of Lausanne, Lausanne, Switzerland. <sup>2</sup>School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Lausanne and Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland. <sup>3</sup>Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Bordeaux, Pharmacologie Clinique et Toxicologie, Bordeaux, France. <sup>4</sup>Hématopoïèse Leucémique et Cible Thérapeutique, Inserm U1035, Université Victor Ségalen, Bordeaux, France

# Probability of achieving optimal molecular response to imatinib treatment in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) patients

Pharmacokinetic/Pharmacodynamic (PK/PD) relationships observed under field-conditions

## Introduction

## Background

Imatinib is a first-line drug for CML with considerable pharmacokinetic variability.

Therapeutic drug monitoring (TDM) has

## Methods

### Study scope

Pharmacological Observational study: Monitoring Project of EUTOS (European Treatment & Outcome Study, 2006-2010)<sup>3</sup>.

### **Covariates considered**

PK variables: log-normalized  $C_{min}$  (*log-C<sub>min</sub>*) or clearance (CL), adjusted to initial dose

Others: Time on imatinib treatment (stratified

been increasingly proposed, as trough concentrations  $(C_{min})$  have been correlated with improved response in prospective trials.<sup>1,2</sup>

## **Objective**

evaluate the impact of imatinib То exposure on optimal molecular response (MR) rates in a large European cohort of patients followed by centralized TDM.

## **PK/PD** analysis

Sequential PK/PD analysis (NONMEM 7):

- **1. Population PK analysis** (FOCE-interaction)
  - individual Bayesian estimates of exposure (PK)
- 2. Mixed-effect logistic regression (ITS)

PD (optimal MR) ~ PK + covariates +  $\eta$ 

at 3 years), sex, CML phase, age, potentially interacting comedication, TDM frequency.

Table 1: Data - patient and sample characteristics			
Patients [n]		1299	
Gender [n]	male : female	728: 571	
Observations [n]		2230	
Estimated C <sub>min (adj)</sub> [ng/ml]	median (range)	797 (231-4602)	
Estimated CL [L/h]	median (range)	14.4 (5-28)	
Age [years]	median (range)	56 (18-92)	
Daily dose [mg]	mean (sd)	462 (124)	
Months on imatinib	median (range)	45 (18-143)	
Comedication [n]	Present : unknown	1682 : 548	

 $C_{min(adi)}$ : trough concentration adjusted to standard (initial) dose of 400mg daily.

## Results

## **Population PK analysis**

Table 2: Summary of population PK model	Point estimate	RSE %		
Structural parameters (1-compartment, 0-order absorption)				
Duration of absorption (D1) Clearance (CL/F) Volume of distribution (V/F)	3.2 h 17.3 L/h (male) 429 L	10.2% 9.6% 10.2%		
Between-subject variability				
$CV\%_{CL/F}$ $CV\%_{V/F}$ Correlation <sub>CL/F-V/F</sub> $CV\%_{\sigma 1}$	37.7% 51.1% 0.75 35.4%	12.1% 39.5% 27.6% 41.8%		
Intra-individual (residual) variability				
Proportional part, $\sigma_1$ (CV%) Additive part, $\sigma_2$	29.1% 84.6 ng/ml	4.5% 22.8%		
Covariate-Model: TVCL = CL (1+θ1) (1+θ2 (age-40))				
female on CL/F: θ1 If age < 40 years: θ2 on CL/F If age > 40 years: θ2 on CL/F	-0.152 (-15.2%) 0.00403 -0.00568	12.3% 73.2% 12.9%		

### **Mixed-effect logistic regression**

Univariate analysis: CL, log-Cmin, time on treatment, TDM frequency, gender (all p<0.01) & CML phase (p=0.02) were

## **PK/PD relationships**



**RSE%** relative standard error. **CV**: coefficient of variation.

#### **Derived exposure estimates**



significant predictors of the PD outcome.

• Stepwise multivariate regression: all but log-Cmin (p=0.34) remained significant.

Table 3: Summary of PK/PD model	Estimate (SE)	BL π [95% Cl]
« Baseline patient »: average CL of 16 L/h	0.105 (0.125)	<b>52.6%</b> [46.5-58.7%]
Time on imatinib > 3 years	+1.08 (0.130)	<b>76.6%</b> [71.7-80.8%]
TDM only once	-0.65 (0.128)	<b>36.8%</b> [31.2-42.8]
Male sex	-0.48 (0.127)	<b>40.9%</b> [35.0-47.0%]
Accelerated phase	-1.29 (0.534)	<b>23.4%</b> [9.7-46.5%]
<b>Individual CL,</b> increase by 1 L/h from 16 L/h <b>8.0 L/h : 22.2 L/h</b> (percentile 5 : 95)	-0.037 (0.014)	59.9% : 46.9%
<b>η</b> (BSV variability)	1.34 (0.6)	+/- η: 22.5-80.9% +/- 1.96η: 7.5-93.9%

SE: standard error. BL  $\pi$ : baseline probability, corresponding to an odds ratio of 1. CI: confidence interval. BSV: between-subject variability.

odds ratio (optimal molecular response)

Fig-3: Impact of other patient-related factors on optimal molecular response (MR) and comparison with impact of CL/F. Estimated odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (PK/PD model)

Fig-1: Individual Bayesian estimates of exposure derived from the population PK analysis. Left: Individual Cmin estimates, adjusted to daily standard dose of 400 mg ( $C_{min(adj)}$ ), observations >3000 ng/ml not shown (n= 2). *Right*: Individual clearance estimates (CL/F) together with corresponding estimates of dose-adjusted area under the concentration-time curve (AUC $_{0-24}$ ).

#### References

[1] Picard, S., K. Titier, et al. (2007) [2] Larson, R. A., B. J. Druker, et al. (2008)

#### Acknowledgements

Canton de Adam Adade Adade The EUTOS project is a scientific collaboration between the [3] European Leukemia Net (ELN) and Novartis. The authors thank especially Peter Schuld (Novartis Oncology Region Europe) for logistic support.

## Conclusions

- Imatinib exposure at treatment **initiation** (CL~initial dose/AUC)
- CML phase and time on treatment
- Male patients: 1 increased risk of suboptimal response
- Prospective study needed
- Small impact confirmed on the probability of molecular response in observational setting
- Expectedly correlated to outcome
- **Compliance- or concentration related (18.5%** higher CL) ?
- to confirm clinical importance of identified covariates to exclude biases possibly affecting this observational survey

#### Contact

Verena Gotta, Division de Pharmacologie clinique, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois (CHUV), 1011 Lausanne Verena. Gotta@chuv.ch