

### Open Research Online

The Open University's repository of research publications and other research outputs

Putting Pedagogy in the driving seat with Open Comment: an open source formative assessment feedback and guidance tool for History Students

#### **Book Section**

#### How to cite:

Whitelock, Denise and Watt, Stuart (2008). Putting Pedagogy in the driving seat with Open Comment: an open source formative assessment feedback and guidance tool for History Students. In: Khandia, Farzana ed. Proceedings of the 12th CAA International Computer Assisted Assessment Conference. Loughborough, UK: Professional Development, Loughborough University, pp. 347–356.

For guidance on citations see FAQs.

© [not recorded]

Version: [not recorded]

Link(s) to article on publisher's website: http://www.lboro.ac.uk/service/pd/

Copyright and Moral Rights for the articles on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. For more information on Open Research Online's data policy on reuse of materials please consult the policies

oro.open.ac.uk

# PUTTING PEDAGOGY IN THE DRIVING SEAT WITH OPENCOMMENT: AN OPEN SOURCE FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT FEEDBACK AND GUIDANCE TOOL FOR HISTORY STUDENTS

#### **Denise Whitelock and Stuart Watt**

Paper published in the Proceedings of the 12<sup>th</sup> CAA International Computer Assisted Assessment Conference, 8/9 July 2008, edited by Farzana Khandia ISBN 09539572-7-6 pp.347-356

## Putting Pedagogy in the Driving Seat with OpenComment: An open source formative assessment feedback and guidance tool for History students

Denise Whitelock, Open University, Walton Hall, Milton Keynes D.M.Whitelock@open.ac.uk

Stuart Watt
The School of Computing
The Robert Gordon University
Aberdeen
<a href="mailto:s.n.k.watt@rgu.ac.uk">s.n.k.watt@rgu.ac.uk</a>

#### Introduction

The Open Comment project sits within an external demand for electronic assessment from policy makers together with the QCA and SQA (see Whitelock & Brasher 2006 and the final report to the JISC on a Roadmap for e-Assessment http://www.jisc.ac.uk/elp\_assessment.html). Universities too, together with Further Education establishments, are embracing e-assessment (see Whitelock et al, 2006). There is a recognition that e-assessment accompanied by an appropriate feedback to the student is beneficial for learning (DiBattista et al, 2004; Pitcher el al., 2002; Whitelock & Raw, 2003). Distance Learning too is forging ahead with electronic delivery of courses together with addressing the complexities of e-assessment for large cohorts of students.

One of the more challenging aspects in the current e-assessment milieu is to provide a set of electronic interactive tasks that will allow students more free text entry and provide immediate feedback to them. In other words, being able to repeat in some small measure what occurs ordinarily in many student texts where self-assessed review questions are raised, the readers then reflect upon them and the answers can be found in the back of the book. The electronic approach would provide a set of interactive tasks. Students type in their answers, hints are given if the response is incorrect and the student can try again. If completely baffled, an answer can be provided. This pedagogical strategy would be the ideal type of electronic formative assessment. Disciplines such as Science and Mathematics have been able to use this

approach, for example, as in multimedia activities used in the Open University's "Discovering Science" first-level course. However, in disciplines that require more free text entry, such as is found in the Arts, this has yet to be achieved. The JISC funded e-Assessment Case Study project <a href="http://kn.open.ac.uk/document.cfm?docid=10817">http://kn.open.ac.uk/document.cfm?docid=10817</a>

which investigated 17 sites of excellence in the UK did not identify any work that was going on within the Arts arena. There was, therefore, a need to explore free text entry response systems with automatic marking.

Free text response processing is at the cutting edge of linguistics research and the team were under no illusions but that what was being attempted was very ambitious. Certainly a completely human-like response to free text is well beyond the state-of-the art, but experience has shown that sometimes it is possible to provide effective responses based on surface features of a free text response, as was achieved in OpenMentor (Whitelock et al, 2003). Carefully constructed language, conversational in form, can be even more important to guiding learning than the content being communicated (Holmberg, 1983). Instead of providing feedback on the answer, the project's approach was, to some extent like ELIZA (Weizenbaum, 1963), to couch just enough analysis of the text in reflective language to help the learner assess their own work.

The specific objective of the project was to construct some simple tools in the form of Moodle extensions that allow a Moodle author to ask free-text response questions that can provide a degree of interactive formative feedback to students. In parallel with this was the aim to begin to develop a methodology for constructing such questions and their feedback effectively, together with techniques for constructing decision rules for giving feedback. Open Comment is a formative feedback technology designed to be integrated in the Moodle virtual learning environment. Put simply, it provides a simple system allowing questions to be written in Moodle, and for students' free text responses to these questions to be analysed and used to provide individually customised formative feedback. Open Comment is related to traditional free text assessment technologies, such as the ETS e-rater system and Landauer et al.'s (1998) IEA, although it has a very different emphasis. In particular, it makes no attempt to provide grading information; instead, it provides reflective feedback, designed to guide the students in their learning.

Although Open Comment was designed principally for Moodle, it is an open and flexible framework, and there should be no significant difficulties adapting it to embed its functionality into any other formative assessment system.

It was a deliberate and eary decision to separate the feedback engine from the VLE as a web service. This is in keeping with JISC's emphasis on service-oriented architectures. However, generating feedback is computationally intensive, and Moodle is implemented in a language that is not suited to computationally intensive processing. Using this approach allows the load to be balanced, with the VLE running on one set of servers, and feedback generation on separate systems if required. A second benefit of this is that

only the presentation aspects of the system need to be adapted to additional VLEs.

Open Comment has been developed as an open source system, and consists of the following components:

- A Java-based feedback system
- A web service shell
- A Moodle-based question type
- · A graphical interface for testing
- A forms-based editing tool

Unlike most prior work, Open Comment does not commit to any particular technologies. Although latent semantic analysis (Landauer et al., 1998) has been used successfully under some circumstances, it is not the only game in town, and it does require significant effort developing a training set. However, in many cases, keyword or phrase matching can be just as helpful. Open Comment allows many different classification engines to be used to recognise evidence of understanding and use of knowledge, and their results integrated into feedback to the learner.

#### Pedagogical principles driving the feedback engine

This paper wishes to report on the feedback engine and the pedagogical principles which drove its development since the pedagogical rationale for this development was to engage students in a series of electronic formative assessment tasks that would provide more free text entry with automatic feedback. This would promote a more challenging experience for the students than just checking their learning for revision purposes and promote a more personalised learning environment for self-reflection.

The guidance text arose from our analysis of what feedback actually was, and how learners used it. It built on our earlier work on Open Mentor (Whitelock & Watt, 2007). Throughout the development work, we worked closely with expert tutors in several Arts disciplines, using a range of techniques to elicit the processes they used to provide appropriate feedback. These ranged from role play (becoming a student) through to analysing collections of real answers and constructing sample solutions.

A preliminary analysis of 68 History assignments together with 100 plus assignments from different disciplines revealed a common pattern of tutor responses. These were clustered around the main categories of praise, advice on structure and presentation, particular misunderstandings, and developing and understanding particular issues.

The underlying model of feedback centred around:

Identification of salient variables

- A description of these variables
- Identification of trends and relationships between these variables

The result of these analyses were formalised as an operational model for formative feedback generation, as set out in the table 1 below.

**Table 1. Operational feedback model for Open Comment** 

computer of students' free text entry for Open Comment  STAGE 1a: DETECT ERRORS E.g. Incorrect dates, facts. (Incorrect inferences and causality is dealt with below)  STAGE 1b: IF NO INCORRECT STAGE 1b: IF NO INCORRECT STAGE 2a: Consider the role REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  STAGE 2b: Consider the role REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 2b: Consider the role REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 3: Explain X more fully what do you mean by X  STAGE 3: Explain X more fully mean by X  STAGE 4: Analyse X more fully  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  (Stage 3 and 4 tenested)  Instead of concentrating on X, think about Y in order to answer this adof thinking about X which did not  You have done well to start answering this question but perhaps you misunderstood it. Instead of thinking about X which did not  Consider the role concurage to have another go  Thinking about X which did not  A good start  A good start  A good but now consider the role X plays in your answer  out what is missing  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  The concurage to take the analysis further  STAGE 4: Analyse X more fully  STAGE 4: Consider the role of P in your concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  STAGE 4: Consider the role of P in your concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  STAGE 4: Consider the role of P in your concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  STAGE 4: Consider the role of P in your concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  The concurage to take the analysis further  STAGE 4: Consider the role of P in your concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  The concurage to take the note of P in your concur about what is correct encourage to take the note of P in your concuration the role	Stages of Analysis by	Advice with	Socio-Emotional	Stylised Example
Comment  STAGE 1a: DETECT ERRORS  E.g. Incorrect dates, facts. (Incorrect inferences and causality is dealt with below)  STAGE 1b: IF NO INCORRECT STATEMENTS GO TO 2  STAGE 2a: Consider the role REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  STAGE 2b: Consider the role of P in your answer  OMISSION  STAGE 2b: Consider the role of P in your answer  A good but now consider the role out what is missing  STAGE 2b: REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  A good start  A good but now consider the role vout what is missing  Fraise what is correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  A good but now consider the role vout what is missing  Fraise what is correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  Analyse X more fully Concir and concurabout what is correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  A good but now consider the role X plays in your answer  Out what is missing  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Very interesting point — X is very concur about what is correct effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how X contributes to Y	computer of students'	respect to	Support	
STAGE 1a: DETECT ERRORS  E.g. Incorrect inferences and causality is dealt with below)  STAGE 1b: IF NO INCORRECT STATEMENTS GO TO 2  STAGE 2a: REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  STAGE 2b: REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 2b: Consider the role of P in your answer answer  OMISSION  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  Analyse X more REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Instead of concentrating on X, think about Y in order to answer this question  Recognise effort (Dweck) and encourage to have another go  To denourage to have another go  A good start  A good but now consider the role X plays in your answer  out what is missing  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  To denour about what is concert and point out what is missing  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  Analyse X more fully  Analyse X more required to take the analysis further  To denour about what is concert and point out what is missing  To denour about what is concert and point out what is missing  To denour about what is correct and point out what is missing  To denour about what is correct and point out what is missing  The produced the same result if P is neglected?  To denour about what is correct and point out what is correct and point out what is missing  To denour about what is correct and point out what is correct on the produced the same result if P is on the produced the same result if P is on the produced the same result if P is on the produced the same result if P is on the produced the same result if P is on the produced the same result if P is on the produced the same result if P is on the produced the same result if P is on the produced the same result if P is on the produced the same result if P is on the produced the same result if P is on the produced the same result if P is on the produced the s	free text entry for Open	Content		
ERRORS  E.g. Incorrect dates, facts. (Incorrect inferences and causality is dealt with below)  STAGE 1b: IF NO INCORRECT STATEMENTS GO TO 2  STAGE 2a: REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  STAGE 2b: REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 3: REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 3: REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  Explain X more fully what do you mean by X  Analyse X more fully  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Concentrating on X, think about Y in order to answer in order to answer another go  A good start  Good but now consider the role vinking about X which did not  Consider Y  A good start  Good but now consider the role vinking about X which did not  Consider Y  A good start  Fraise what is correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 2b: REVEAL SECOND OF In your answer  Of P in your answer  Of P in your answer  Out what is missing  STAGE 3: REVEAL SECOND OUT out what is missing  STAGE 3: REVEAL SECOND OUT out what is missing  STAGE 4: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  Analyse X more fully  Analyse X more fully  OCORPITATION OF KEY POINT 1  Analyse X more fully  OCORPITATION OF KEY POINT 1  Analyse X more fully  OCORPITATION OF KEY POINT 1  A good but now consider the role vith and point out what is correct and point out what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Consider Y  A good but now consider the role Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  The correct and point out what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concurabout what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concurabout what is correct encourage to take the analysis it would have been effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how X contributes to Y	Comment			
E.g. Incorrect dates, facts. (Incorrect inferences and causality is dealt with below)  STAGE 1b: IF NO INCORRECT STATEMENTS GO TO 2  STAGE 2a: REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  STAGE 2b: REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 3: REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  STAGE 4: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  A good start  A good but now consider the role value another go  you misunderstood it. Instead of thinking about X which did not  Consider Y  A good start  A good but now consider the role x plays in your answer  out what is missing  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  The province of a province and point out what is missing  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  Analyse X more fully  Analyse X more requirement of thinking about X which did not  Consider Y  A good start  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  The province and point out what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT  Analyse X more fully  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how X contributes to Y	STAGE 1a: DETECT	Instead of	Recognise effort	You have done well to start
(Incorrect inferences and causality is dealt with below)  STAGE 1b: IF NO INCORRECT STATEMENTS GO TO 2  STAGE 2a: Consider the role REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  STAGE 2b: Consider the role of P in your answer  OMISSION  STAGE 2b: Consider the role of P in your answer  OMISSION  STAGE 3: Explain X more REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  In order to answer another go thinking about X which did not  A good start  Fraise what is correct and point out what is missing  South and the point correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 2b: Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 3: Explain X more fully concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  A good start  A good but now consider the role X plays in your answer  Out what is correct and point out what is correct and point out what is correct and point out what is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes put also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes put also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes put also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes put also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes put also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes put also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes put also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?	ERRORS	concentrating on	(Dweck) and	answering this question but perhaps
causality is dealt with below)  STAGE 1b:  IF NO INCORRECT STATEMENTS GO TO 2  STAGE 2a:  REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  STAGE 2b:  REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  Consider the role of P in your answer  OMISSION  STAGE 3:  REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  STAGE 4:  STAGE 4:  REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  this question  Consider Y  A good start  A good but now consider the role X correct and point out what is missing  Correct and point out what is missing  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?	E.g. Incorrect dates, facts.	X, think about Y	encourage to have	you misunderstood it. Instead of
STAGE 1b:  IF NO INCORRECT STATEMENTS GO TO 2  STAGE 2a:  REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  STAGE 2b:  Consider the role of Z in your answer  OMISSION  STAGE 2b:  REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 3:  REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  STAGE 4:  REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  A good start  Fraise what is correct and point out what is missing  Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 2b:  REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  Consider the role of Z in your out what is missing  Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  Correct and point out what is missing  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  STAGE 3:  REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT  1  A good but now consider the role X plays in your answer  Poll that is correct and point out what is correct and point ou	(Incorrect inferences and	in order to answer	another go	thinking about X which did not
STAGE 1b: IF NO INCORRECT STATEMENTS GO TO 2  STAGE 2a: REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  STAGE 2b: REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  A good start  A good but now consider the role x plays in your answer Of Z in your out what is missing  Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT  Mayour A good but now consider the role X plays in your answer  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?	causality is dealt with	this question		Consider Y
STAGE 2a: REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  STAGE 2b: Consider the role of Z in your answer  Of Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 2b: REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Consider the role of Z in your correct and point out what is missing  Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis differently and consider how X contributes to Y	below)			
STAGE 2a: REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  STAGE 2b: Consider the role of Z in your answer  Of Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 2b: REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Consider the role of Z in your correct and point out what is missing  Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis differently and consider how X contributes to Y				
STATEMENTS GO TO 2  STAGE 2a: REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  STAGE 2b: Consider the role of Z in your answer  Of Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 2b: REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 2b: Consider the role of P in your answer  Of P in your answer  OMISSION  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Consider the role of Z in your answer  Out what is missing  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis of the concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis would have been effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how X contributes to Y	STAGE 1b:			A good start
STAGE 2a: REVEAL FIRST Of Z in your answer OMISSION  STAGE 2b: REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Consider the role of Z in your answer Of Z in your answer Out what is missing Out what is missing  Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  Correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 3: REQUEST Fully Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis of the concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Consider the role of Z in your answer  Praise what is correct and point out what is correct encourage to take the analysis  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis  Concur about what is neglected?	IF NO INCORRECT			
REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  of Z in your answer  out what is missing  STAGE 2b:  REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  Consider the role of P in your answer  out what is missing  Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 3:  REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  EXPLAIN X more fully What do you mean by X  EXPLAIN X more fully What do you mean by X  EXPLAIN X more fully CONCUR about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  STAGE 4:  REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Analyse X more fully Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis differently and consider how the analysis to Y	STATEMENTS GO TO 2			
REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  of Z in your answer  out what is missing  STAGE 2b:  REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  Consider the role of P in your answer  out what is missing  Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 3:  REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  EXPLAIN X more fully What do you mean by X  EXPLAIN X more fully What do you mean by X  EXPLAIN X more fully CONCUR about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  STAGE 4:  REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Analyse X more fully Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis differently and consider how the analysis to Y				
REVEAL FIRST OMISSION  of Z in your answer  out what is missing  STAGE 2b:  REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  Consider the role of P in your answer  out what is missing  Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 3:  REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  EXPLAIN X more fully What do you mean by X  EXPLAIN X more fully What do you mean by X  EXPLAIN X more fully CONCUR about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  STAGE 4:  REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Analyse X more fully Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis differently and consider how the analysis to Y	STAGE 2a:	Consider the role	Praise what is	Good but now consider the role X
OMISSION  answer  out what is missing  STAGE 2b:  REVEAL SECOND  OMISSION  of P in your  answer  out what is correct and point out what is missing  STAGE 3:  REQUEST  CLARIFICATION OF KEY  POINT 1  STAGE 4:  REQUEST FURTHER  ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  answer  out what is missing  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct effective to look at things slightly differently and consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Neglected?  Yes but also consider P. Would it have produced the same result if P is neglected?  Neglected?  Very interesting point – X is very complex perhaps it would have been effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how X contributes to Y	REVEAL FIRST			
STAGE 2b: REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  STAGE 3: REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Missing  Missing  Praise what is correct and point out what is missing  Concur about what is concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Missing  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Missing  Confirm and concur about what is correct effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how X contributes to Y	OMISSION	•	•	
REVEAL SECOND OMISSION  of P in your answer  out what is neglected?  STAGE 3:  REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  STAGE 4:  REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT  1  out what is neglected?  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis of world differently and consider how the analysis  X contributes to Y				
OMISSION  answer  out what is missing  STAGE 3:  REQUEST  CLARIFICATION OF KEY  POINT 1  STAGE 4:  REQUEST FURTHER  ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT  1  answer  out what is missing  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how the analysis  X contributes to Y	STAGE 2b:	Consider the role	Praise what is	Yes but also consider P. Would it
OMISSION  answer  out what is missing  STAGE 3:  REQUEST  CLARIFICATION OF KEY  POINT 1  STAGE 4:  REQUEST FURTHER  ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT  1  answer  out what is missing  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how the analysis  X contributes to Y	REVEAL SECOND	of P in your	correct and point	have produced the same result if P is
STAGE 3:  REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  missing  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis differently and consider how the analysis X contributes to Y	OMISSION	-	-	•
REQUEST CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Guilly What do you mean by X  Encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how the analysis  X contributes to Y			missing	5
CLARIFICATION OF KEY POINT 1  What do you mean by X  encourage to take the analysis further  STAGE 4:  REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT 1  Analyse X more fully  concur about what is correct encourage to take the analysis further  Very interesting point – X is very complex perhaps it would have been effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how the analysis  X contributes to Y	STAGE 3:	Explain X more	Confirm and	
POINT 1  mean by X  encourage to take the analysis further  STAGE 4:  REQUEST FURTHER  ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT  1  mean by X  encourage to take the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how the analysis  X contributes to Y	REQUEST	fully	concur about what	
the analysis further  STAGE 4:  REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT  1  the analysis further  Confirm and concur about what is correct effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how the analysis  X contributes to Y	CLARIFICATION OF KEY	What do you	is correct	
STAGE 4:  REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT  1  Analyse X more fully  Concur about what is correct effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how the analysis  X contributes to Y	POINT 1	mean by X	encourage to take	
STAGE 4: REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT  1  Analyse X more fully  Confirm and concur about what is correct effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how the analysis  Confirm and concur about what is correct effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how X contributes to Y			the analysis	
REQUEST FURTHER ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT  1			further	
ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT  1 is correct effective to look at things slightly differently and consider how the analysis    X contributes to Y	STAGE 4:	Analyse X more	Confirm and	Very interesting point – X is very
encourage to take the analysis differently and consider how X contributes to Y	REQUEST FURTHER	fully	concur about what	complex perhaps it would have been
the analysis X contributes to Y	ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT		is correct	effective to look at things slightly
	1		encourage to take	differently and consider how
(Stages 2 and 4 repeated further			the analysis	X contributes to Y
(Stages 5 and 4 repeated   IUITIEI	(Stages 3 and 4 repeated		further	
with all the key points)	with all the key points)			
STAGE 5: Request the Praise effort and This is a sound description but it	STAGE 5:	Request the	Praise effort and	
REQUEST THE conclusion that reiterate progress would be good if you explain what X	REQUEST THE	conclusion that	reiterate progress	would be good if you explain what X
INFERENCE FROM THE can be drawn is being made is contributing to this situation.	INFERENCE FROM THE		is being made	is contributing to this situation.
ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT from X.	ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT	from X.		
1 IF IT IS MISSING	1 IF IT IS MISSING			

STAGE 6:	What is X causing	Reaffirm progress	Yes what you have written is correct
	_		•
REQUEST THE	in this situation?	but encourage	but can you elaborate and explain
INFERENCE FROM THE		student to take the	what X means?
ANALYSIS OF KEY POINT		analysis process	
1 IF IT IS NOT COMPLETE		one step further	
STAGE 7:	What is X, Y and	Praise persistence	You are certainly improving your
CHECK THE CAUSALITY	Z causing in this	and effort and ask	answer to this question. Well done. In
	situation?	the user to think	order to improve your answer further
		about the	could you say something about the
		reasoning behind	role X played in Y I'm thinking
		a particular	particularly of the following example
		response.	where X was seen with respect to Z.
STAGE 7:	Do X, Y and Z	Praise persistence	You have made a good stab at this
REQUEST ALL THE	contribute in the	and effort and ask	question. From your answer I think
CAUSAL FACTORS ARE	same way to	the user to think	you are allowing a considerable role
WEIGHTED	producing	about the	to X. Does this mean you accept that
	situation C, i.e. do	importance and	X alone causes Y
	the variables	relative weightings	
	have equal	of the causal	
	weighting	factors	

As this table shows, this model operates by and large through a sequential set of rules identifying sources of evidence within the student's response, and escalating in level of analysis, in some sense following Anderson, Krathwold, and Bloom's (2000) revised taxonomy of educational objectives. Importantly, also, there is a strong causal element to many of the rules<sup>1</sup>. These rules are implemented in a bespoke feedback engine within Open Comment – by and large, all the other components are only there to make it accessible in a usable form, through a VLE or through an interactive interface. Although we have set out the main principles behind the feedback system, it is worth being more specific about the details.

Much of this model is implemented in JavaScript rules<sup>2</sup>, which make the bridging inferences between the levels. Simple errors of omission or commission can be immediately added to the response; otherwise, the analysis passes on to more detailed feedback on later stages. Each question is analysed using a script in a configuration file, allowing many questions to be configured and handled from the same main feedback engine. Each question will typically provide its own configuration file, although this is not always necessary, as in some cases several questions may be closely related, and share aspects of inference about appropriate feedback.

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This seems to be particularly important to the domain chosen (History). It is very likely that this will not be the same in other domains, although causal reasoning is expected to be important in a fair number of both related and unrelated fields.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> After careful investigation of the option of developing a domain-specific language for feedback, we felt that JavaScript smoothed the learning curve for developers. However, Open Comment uses an entirely different object model compared to web JavaScript, and it is this object model that enables access to evidence from a range of advanced text classification technologies.

So far, only a few questions have explored the higher stages of the feedback model, looking at causality. In our initial work on more detailed questions (and in higher level courses) this was more prominent than in the later, smaller, questions. It remains an important topic for further work.

One important result has been an increased understanding of the differences between even closely related disciplines. In both History and Philosophy, as with many humanities and social sciences, there is a greater emphasis on developing each students' ability to reason, and to use arguments and evidence in ways that are in keeping with a discipline-specific methodological ethos. Questions could rarely be taken at face value — especially in the more advanced levels. We found that our feedback systems focused far more on evidence than on getting the answer right; effective development of formative feedback technologies in these disciplines is totally dependent on effective involvement of tutors with both pedagogical and domain expertise.

#### **Discussion**

The first demonstration system was received favourably by Arts Faculty staff who have now become more aware of both the potential and limitations of automated systems based on free text responses. Lessons have been learned about the type of feedback that instructors think would be most useful. In particular, we have found that it appears to be worth distinguishing two main classes of feedback. These being:

- Specific to the question
- Generic for Arts-style questions

A certain degree of feedback to students on free text answers can be usefully generated, but cannot with the current state of the art, replace detailed feedback from a qualified academic. The benefit to the students is that helpful feedback can be given almost instantaneously. This should encourage more rapid progress and build student confidence. The benefit to the course tutor is that more off-the-point responses should be identified by the system so that the tutor's attention can be focused on more substantial issues that are pertinent to the students.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We appreciate the support of Clive Baldwin, Colin Beagrie, Malcolm Clark, Ian Craw, Frank Herrmann, Jan Holt, Chris McKillop, Mark Pittaway, Carolyn Price and Jeanette Rey for their assistance with this phase of the project.

#### References

Anderson, L.W., & Krathwohl, D.R. (eds.) (2000) A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: a revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives. Allyn & Bacon.

DiBattista, D., Mitterer, J.O. & Leanne, G. (2004) Acceptance by undergraduates of the immediate feedback assessment technique for multiple-choice testing. Teaching in Higher Education, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp.17-28, ISSN 1356-2517

Holmberg, B. (1983) Guided didactic conversation in distance education. In D. Sewart, D. Keegan, and B. Holmberg (Eds.), Distance education: International perspectives (pp. 114-122). London: Croom Helm.

Landauer, T.K., Foltz, P.W. & Laham, D. (1998) An Introduction to Latent Semantic Analysis. Discourse Processes, 25, pp. 259-284

Masterton, S.J. & Watt, S.N.K. (2000) Oracles, bards and village gossips, or, social roles and meta knowledge management. Information Systems Frontiers, 2 (3/4)

Pitcher, N., Goldfinch, J. & Beevers, C. (2002) Aspects of computer bases assessment in mathematics. Active Learning in Higher Education, Vol. 3, No. 2 pp.19-25

Watt, S.N.K. (2006) Text categorization and genre in information retrieval. In A. Goker & J. Davies (Eds.) Information Retrieval, John Wiley & Sons

Weizenbaum, J. (1966) ELIZA: A Computer Program for the Study of Natural Language Communication between man and machine. Communications of the ACM, 9(1), 36-45.

Whitelock, D., Watts, S., Raw, Y. and Moreale, E. (2003) 'Analysing Tutor Feedback to Students: First steps towards constructing an Electronic Monitoring System'. Association for Learning Technology Journal (ALT-J). Vol. 11, No. 3, pp. 31-42 ISSN 0968-7769.

Whitelock, D. & Raw, Y. (2003) Taking an electronic mathematics examination from home: what the students think. In C.P. Constantinou and Z.C. Zacharia (eds.) Computer Based Learning in Science, New Technologies and their Applications in Education, Vol. 1, Nicosia: Department of Educational Sciences, University of Cyprus, Cyprus, pp. 701-713, ISBN 9963-8525-1-3

Whitelock, D. & Brasher, A. (2006) Developing a Roadmap for e-Assessment: Which Way Now? 10<sup>th</sup> International Computer Assisted Assessment Conference, Loughborough University, 4/5 July 2006, pp. 487-501. ISBN: 0-9539572-5-X

Whitelock, D., Mackenzie, D., Whitehouse, C., Ruedel, C. & Rae, S. (2006) Identifying Innovative and Effective Practice in e-Assessment: Findings from

Seventeen UK Case Studies. 10<sup>th</sup> International Computer Assisted Assessment Conference, Loughborough University, 4/5 July 2006, pp. 505-511. ISBN: 0-9539572-5-X

Whitelock, D. and Watt, S. (2007) 'e-Assessment: How can we support tutors with their marking of electronically submitted assignments?' Ad-Lib Journal for Continuing Liberal Adult Education, Issue 32, March 2007. ISSN 1361-6323