This is the author's final, peer-reviewed manuscript as accepted for publication. The publisher-formatted version may be available through the publisher's web site or your institution's library.

# Melanism in a Chinese population of Harmonia axyridis (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae): a criterion for male investment with pleiotropic effects on behavior and fertility

Wang Su, J. P. Michaud, Tan Xiaoling, Leigh Murray, Zhang Fan

## How to cite this manuscript

If you make reference to this version of the manuscript, use the following information:

Su, W., Michaud, J. P., Xiaoling, T., Murray, L., & Fan, Z. (2013). Melanism in a Chinese population of Harmonia axyridis (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae): A criterion for male investment with pleiotropic effects on behavior and fertility. Retrieved from http://krex.ksu.edu

#### **Published Version Information**

**Citation**: Su, W., Michaud, J. P., Xiaoling, T., Murray, L., & Fan, Z. (2013). Melanism in a Chinese population of Harmonia axyridis (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae): A criterion for male investment with pleiotropic effects on behavior and fertility. Journal of Insect Behavior, 26(5), 679-689.

**Copyright**: © Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

Digital Object Identifier (DOI): doi:10.1007/s10905-013-9384-6

Publisher's Link: http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10905-013-9384-6

This item was retrieved from the K-State Research Exchange (K-REx), the institutional repository of Kansas State University. K-REx is available at <u>http://krex.ksu.edu</u>

1	Melanism in a Chinese Population of Harmonia axyridis
2	(Coleoptera: Coccinellidae): A Criterion for Male
3	Investment with Pleiotropic Effects on Behavior and
4	Fertility
5	
6	Wang Su <sup>1</sup> , J.P. Michaud <sup>2</sup> , Tan Xiaoling <sup>3</sup> , Leigh Murray <sup>4</sup> , Zhang Fan <sup>1</sup>
7	
8	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<ul> <li><sup>1</sup>Institute of Plant and Environment Protection, Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences, Beijing, China,</li> <li><sup>2</sup>Kansas State University, Agricultural Research Center – Hays, 1232 240th Ave, Hays, KS, 67601, USA.</li> <li><sup>3</sup>State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology on the Arid Areas and Key Laboratory of Crop Pest Management on the Losses Plateau of Ministry of Agriculture, Northwest A&amp;F University, Yangling, Shaanxi, China</li> <li><sup>4</sup>Kansas State University, Department of Statistics, 101 Dickens Hall, Manhattan, KS, 66506, USA.</li> <li>Tel: 785-625-3425 Email: jpmi@ksu.edu</li> <li>Received: Accepted: Published:</li> </ul>
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Running head: Male mating strategies in <i>Harmonia axyridis</i>

33 Abstract In Beijing, China, females of Harmonia axyridis are promiscuous but 34 prefer typical (*succinea* form) males to melanic ones in the spring generation, 35 ostensibly due to the thermal disadvantages of melanism during summer. We 36 used laboratory observations to test whether males invested differentially in 37 females according to their elytral color, and whether male behavior was 38 phenotype-dependent. Video-recording was used to monitor no-choice mating 39 tests between virgin adults in all phenotype combinations and females were 40 isolated post-copula to observe their egg retention times and reproduction over 41 five days. Females tended to wait longer before using the sperm of melanic 42 males, and melanic females delayed longer than succinic females. Melanic 43 males spent longer in copula with succinic than melanic females and the latter 44 received fewer bouts of male abdominal shaking that correlate with sperm 45 transfer, regardless of the phenotype of their mate. Although melanic males 46 abandoned melanic females faster than did succinic males, they remained in 47 copula with females of both phenotypes for a longer period after shaking. 48 suggesting a larger investment in mate guarding by the less-preferred male 49 phenotype. Although female fecundity did not vary among phenotype 50 combinations, egg fertility was lower for females mated to melanic males, 51 suggesting a pleiotropic effect of melanism on male fertility in addition to its 52 effects on male mating behavior. 53

- 54 Keywords
- 55 56 male mate choice, mate guarding, pleiotropy, reproductive investment
- 57
- 58

#### 59 Introduction

60

61 In promiscuous insect mating systems, females mate repeatedly with different 62 males, setting the stage for sperm competition (Dickinson 1997; Simmons and Siva-Jothy 1998) that can exert strong selection on male mating behavior and 63 64 lead to an evolutionary escalation of both intra- and intersexual conflict 65 (Alexander et al. 1997). Consequently, males of promiscuous species may 66 resort to various mating strategies aimed at ensuring or improving their paternity, 67 including post-coital mate-guarding, the production of mating plugs to impede 68 female remating (Thornhill and Alcock 1983) and the use of hormones in seminal 69 fluids to manipulate female reproductive physiology (Eberhard 1996). In 70 promiscuous mating systems, the potential arises for the availability of sperm (or 71 associated seminal fluid proteins) to limit male reproductive success more than 72 mere access to females (Avila et al. 2011). When this occurs, selection may 73 favor males that invest differentially in females according to their quality. 74 assuming that males are able to discriminate traits correlated with female fitness 75 (Edward and Chapman 2011).

76 Many species of Coccinellidae exhibit variable elytral color patterns that 77 often include melanic forms (e.g., Benham et al. 1974; reviewed in Majerus 1998 78 and Sloggett and Honek 2012). Melanism influences heat absorption and thus 79 may affect the fitness of insects under different conditions of temperature 80 (Muggleton et al. 1975; Clusella Trullas et al. 2007; Martin-Vega and Arturo 81 2011), hours of sunshine (Brakefield 1984a), and even humidity (Parkash et al. 82 2009). Dark coloration tends to benefit beetles under conditions of low insolation 83 and moderate temperature as absorption of more wavelengths of radiation 84 permits earlier and higher activity levels, thus enhancing foraging activity and 85 reproductive success (Brakefield 1984b). However, melanism is 86 disadvantageous at high temperatures because it impedes an insect's ability to 87 lose excess heat (Stewart and Dixon 1989). Consequently, the frequency of 88 melanic morphs in beetle populations can vary both geographically (Creed 1966;

Davies et al. 2007; Sloggett and Honek 2012) and seasonally (Osawa and
Nishida 1992; Nedved and Honek 2012).

91 In many populations of *Harmonia axyridis* (Pallas) in China and Japan, the 92 frequency of melanic morphs fluctuates seasonally in response to seasonal 93 cycles of female mate preference (e.g., Osawa and Nishida 1992); females of 94 each generation prefer males that possess the background elytral coloration 95 (melanic or succinic) most advantageous for conditions to be faced by progeny in 96 the subsequent generation (Wang et al. 2009). The trait for melanic elytra is 97 controlled by a single dominant gene in *H. axyridis* (Tan and Li 1934) and 98 evidence exists to suggest that this allele has pleiotropic effects on mating 99 behavior. For example, the strength of a female's preference for the seasonally 100 appropriate male phenotype is influenced by her own elytral color in an adaptive 101 manner (Wang et al. 2009). In the latter study, succinic females breeding in the 102 fall generation showed a stronger non-preference for succinic males than did 103 melanic females because, unlike the latter, the former are unable to produce any 104 melanic offspring unless they mate with a melanic male. The same study 105 revealed that female non-preference can be reflected in longer times to copula, 106 more rejection events, and longer periods of egg retention post-copula when 107 females are presented with less-preferred males. The mechanisms underlying 108 the alternations in mate preference between spring and fall generations are not 109 yet understood, but they appear independent of environmental cues and result in 110 seasonal shifts in the proportion of melanics from 20% or less in the fall 111 generation to 40-60% in the spring generation (Wang et al. 2009).

112 It is generally assumed that coccinellid females are largely (but not 113 entirely) in control of the initiation of copula, whereas males control its duration 114 (Wang et al. 2009; Sloggett and Honek 2012). Duration of copula is not a trivial 115 parameter as coccinellids typically spend a very large fraction of their adult lives 116 in mating pairs (Nedved and Honek, 2012). For example, Brakefield (1984a) 117 observed that 23.5 – 44.0% of adult Adalia bipunctata L. were in copula at any 118 given time in the field, whereas the observations of Haddrill et al. (2008) yielded 119 an estimate of 20%. Paternity is typically shared by males mating multiply with

the same female, and the duration of copula tends to be correlated withprobability of paternity (de Jong et al. 1998; Haddrill et al. 2008).

122 Copulations by pairs of *H. axyridis* typically last two to three hours (Wang 123 et al. 2009; Nedved and Honek 2012). The period of copula can be crudely 124 divided into three sequences of male behavior: (1) the initial latent period (the 125 period from initial genital contact until the first bout of abdominal shaking), (2) a 126 series of stereotyped bouts of abdominal shaking by the male, interspersed with 127 resting intervals, that are assumed to be directly associated with sperm transfer 128 (Obata 1987; Nedved and Honek 2012) and (3) the terminal latent period (the 129 period of sustained genital contact from end of the last shaking bout until the pair 130 separate). In the present experiments, we used continuous video monitoring of 131 individual pairs to measure the frequency and duration of these male behaviors. 132 We used the duration of the terminal latent period as a proxy measurement of 133 male mate-guarding tendency and the duration of copula and number of shaking 134 bouts as estimates of male reproductive investment per female. We 135 hypothesized that spring generation *H. axyridis* males would invest more in 136 succinic females than in melanic and that this preference would be reflected in 137 differences in the duration of copula and in the frequency of male shaking 138 behavior. Secondly, we hypothesized that melanism would have pleiotropic 139 effects on male mating behavior as it does in females, i.e., melanic males reduce 140 their investment in melanic females more than would succinic males. Thirdly, we 141 hypothesized that the less-preferred melanic males would remain longer in 142 copula after sperm transfer was complete, given the risk of their females 143 remating with a male of the preferred phenotype.

144

# 145 Materials and Methods

146

147 Insects

148

Adult *H. axyridis* were collected from an apple orchard in rural Beijing, Changping

150 County (n = 402 males and 447 females) during April, 2008 and maintained in

151 the entomology lab of the Institute of Plant and Environment Protection, Beijing 152 Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences. The beetles were held in 153 aluminum frame screen cages (75.0 × 45.0 × 50.0 cm), 30 pairs of adults per 154 cage and reared for a generation prior to production of the experimental insects. 155 The beetles were fed ad libitum on cowpea aphids, Aphis craccivora Koch, 156 reared on Vicia faba L. and refreshed every 48 h. The insects were held under 157 constant environmental conditions of 25 ± 1 °C, 16:8 L:D daylength, 60-65 RH 158 and with light supplied by a 3000 lux LED lamp. The experimental beetles 159 corresponded to a spring generation in which succinic forms are the preferred 160 phenotype (Wang et al. 2009).

161 Over the course of two days, ca. 40 clutches of eggs laid by different 162 females were collected by clipping leaves with egg clusters and transferring them 163 to plastic Petri dishes (9.0 cm diameter), one or two clusters per dish. At 164 eclosion, first instar larvae were transferred to a rearing cage (as above), 50 165 larvae per cage. Cowpea aphids were provided as food and once larvae 166 pupated they were isolated in plastic Petri dishes (4.5 cm diameter), one per 167 dish. Newly emerged adults (> 4 h post-emergence) were sexed according to 168 the colour of the clypeus, which is pale in males and dark in females (Yu, 2004). 169 The adults for use in experiments were categorized as melanic (elytral 170 background colour black with either two or four large red-orange spots) or 171 succinic (elytra background colour yellow or orange, either immaculate or with 172 variable numbers of small black spots) and maintained as four separate groups 173 in cages (as above): melanic males, melanic females, succinic males, and 174 succinic females. Virgin adults were used in mating experiments when they were 175 15 - 20 days old.

176

# 177 Behavioral Observations

178

179 To examine whether male mating behaviour varied among colour morph

180 combinations, all four phenotype combinations were observed: 1) melanic male +

181 melanic female ( $\mathcal{J}_{M} + \mathcal{Q}_{M}$ ), 2) melanic male + succinic female ( $\mathcal{J}_{M} + \mathcal{Q}_{S}$ ), 3)

succinic male + melanic female ( $\mathcal{J}_{S} + \mathcal{Q}_{M}$ ) and 4) succinic male + succinic female ( $\mathcal{J}_{S} + \mathcal{Q}_{S}$ ). Environmental conditions were adjusted to mimic natural late spring conditions in Beijing (day T = 16 °C, night T = 11 °C, L:D = 14:10, RH = 55 ± 65%).

For observation, *H. axyridis* adults were paired in plastic Petri dishes (9.0 cm diameter) with *ad libitum* food (> 0.05 g *A. craccivora* / dish) and dishes were covered with a layer of perforated parafilm. A HD digital video camera (Sony HDR-FX1E-1080i-Hi vision) fixed on a tripod was then focused on the dish and video was captured on a computer hard drive for subsequent analysis.

191 Video recording was initiated once a male mounted a female and was 192 terminated once the pair separated. We observed 20 mating pairs of each 193 phenotypic combination and tallied data for individual components of male 194 copulation behavior from the video recordings as follows; the initial latent period 195 (the period from genital contact until onset of the first shaking bout), the total 196 duration of copula, and the terminal latent period (the period from the last 197 shaking bout until disengagement of genitalia). A 'shaking bout' was defined as a 198 discrete period of rapid, side-to-side oscillations of the male's abdomen while in 199 copula and the number of shaking bouts was tallied for each mating. Following 200 each observation period, the male was removed, cowpea aphids were 201 provisioned, and all egg clusters laid by the female were collected for five days. 202 Egg clusters were incubated under the same conditions as adults until eclosion 203 and egg fertility was determined.

204

# 205 Statistical analysis

206

The seven responses (initial latent period, duration of copula, terminal latent period, number of shaking bouts, egg retention time, fecundity and fertility) were analyzed as a set by 2-way Multivariate Analysis of Variance (MANOVA) with 'male' and 'female' phenotypes as fixed factors using the approximate F for Wilks' Lambda. The partial correlation coefficients with t-test statistics were calculated from the MANOVA E-matrix and used to examine correlations between 213 responses. Responses were then analyzed individually by univariate ANOVA to 214 explain differences seen in the MANOVA. Both MANOVA and ANOVA analyses 215 were conducted using the GLM procedure of SAS (version 9.3). Pairwise mean 216 comparisons of the four different phenotype combinations were done when the 217 overall test of phenotype combinations was significant for the MANOVA and 218 seven ANOVA analyses. To control for Type 1 error for the multivariate and 219 univariate analyses, we used a Bonferroni-adjusted alpha level of 0.05/10 = 220 0.005 (where 10 is the total number of tests performed).

221 Normality of residuals was also checked for each response using the 222 Univariate procedure of SAS v. 9.3 (SAS Institute 2013) with alpha = 0.01. Tests 223 of residual normality indicated no problems for all of the response variables 224 except for number of shaking bouts (slight upward skew) and egg retention time 225 (slight downward skew). In addition, the assumption of common variance for the 226 four male\*female combinations was checked using the Brown-Forsythe test in 227 GLM for all response variables, with the result that no response variables 228 displayed nonhomogeneity of variance at an alpha of 0.01 (see Milliken and 229 Johnson, 2009). Given the sample size, the MANOVA and ANOVA results 230 should be robust with respect to slight departures from normality.

231

232

# 233 Results

234

235 The two-way MANOVA analysis (Table 1) showed significant results for the 236 overall model, male and female main effects and the male female interaction ( $\alpha$ 237 = 0.005). Pairwise comparisons between the four phenotypes indicated that, 238 based on the set of seven response variables, all phenotypes were highly significantly different from each other except for the  $(\mathcal{J}_{s} + \mathcal{Q}_{s})$  vs  $(\mathcal{J}_{s} + \mathcal{Q}_{M})$ 239 240 comparison (P = 0.0623; Table 1). Thus, over all responses, melanic males 241 differed in their behavior (and its consequences for female reproduction) 242 according to the phenotype of their mate, whereas succinic males did not. 243

**Table 1.** Results of multivariate tests using Wilks' Lambda, Bonferroni correction

for multiple pairwise comparisons,  $\alpha = 0.05/6 = 0.00833$ .

Comparison	Wilks' Lambda Approximate <i>F</i>	df	Р
Overall model	7.23	21,86.7	< 0.0001
Male main effect	16.82	7,30	< 0.0001
Female main effect	7.34	7,30	< 0.0001
Interaction	3.53	7,30	0.0070
(♂ <sub>S</sub> + ♀ <sub>S</sub> ) vs (♂ <sub>S</sub> + ♀ <sub>M</sub> )	2.20	7,30	0.0623
(♂ <sub>S</sub> + ♀ <sub>S</sub> ) vs (♂ <sub>M</sub> + ♀ <sub>S</sub> )	5.35	7,30	0.0005
(♂ <sub>S</sub> + ♀ <sub>S</sub> ) vs (♂ <sub>M</sub> + ♀ <sub>M</sub> )	15.65	7,30	< 0.0001
(♂ <sub>S</sub> + ♀ <sub>M</sub> ) ∨S (♂ <sub>M</sub> + ♀ <sub>S</sub> )	8.51	7,30	< 0.0001
(♂ <sub>S</sub> + ♀ <sub>M</sub> ) ∨s (♂ <sub>M</sub> + ♀ <sub>M</sub> )	14.99	7,30	< 0.0001
$(\mathcal{J}_{M} + \mathcal{Q}_{S}) vs (\mathcal{J}_{M} + \mathcal{Q}_{M})$	8.66	7,30	< 0.0001

246

247

248 The partial correlations obtained in the MANOVA analysis indicated that 249 there were significant correlations for only two pairs of responses. Duration of 250 copula and number of shaking bouts were positively correlated (R = 0.827, P <251 0.001) as were terminal latent period and fecundity (R = 0.336, P = 0.042). 252 Clearly, a greater number of shaking bouts cannot occur without a corresponding 253 increase in the duration of copula, but correlation of terminal latent period with 254 fecundity is unclear and may be a chance result without biological significance. 255 The 2-way ANOVA for initial latent period was significant (F = 9.85; df = 256 3,36; P < 0.001) at alpha = 0.005, with significant effects of male phenotype (F =257 28.73; df = 1,36; P < 0.001) but not female (F = 0.01; df = 1,36; P = 0.945), and 258 without a significant interaction (F = 0.82; df = 1,36; P = 0.372). Although initial 259 latent periods were relatively short, ranging from 50 -70 seconds, melanic males 260 exhibited significantly longer initial latent periods than succinic males, regardless 261 of female phenotype (Fig. 1A). The overall model was also significant for total 262 duration of copula (F = 20.40; df = 3,36; P < 0.001), with significant effects of 263 both male (F = 16.29; df = 1,36; P < 0.001) and female (F = 35.89; df = 1,36; P < 0.001)

264 0.001) phenotype and a significant interaction term (F = 9.01; df = 1.36; P =265 0.005). Melanic males spent less time in copula with melanic females than did 266 males in other phenotype combinations, with the latter not significantly different 267 from one another (Fig. 1B). The overall model was also significant for the 268 terminal latent period (F = 9.37; df = 3,36; P < 0.001) with significant effects of 269 male (F = 26.43 df = 1.36; P < 0.001) but not female (F = 1.65; df = 1.36; P =270 0.207) phenotype and a nonsignificant interaction term (F = 0.04; df = 1,36; P =271 0.842). Melanic males remained coupled with females for longer periods 272 following completion of shaking behavior than did succinic males (Fig. 1C). 273 Two way ANOVA of the total number of shaking bouts yielded a significant 274 overall result (F = 17.12; df = 3,36; P < 0.001), with a significant effect of female 275 phenotype (F = 47.19; df = 1,36; P < 0.001), but not male (F = 0.22; df = 1,36; P276 = 0.643), and with an interaction that was close to significance (F = 3.95; df = 1,36; P = 0.055). Succinic females received a larger number of shaking bouts 277 278 than did melanic females, regardless of the phenotype of the male (Fig. 2A). The 279 overall model was also significant for female egg retention time following pair 280 separation (F = 16.07; df = 3,36; P < 0.001), with a significant effect of male phenotype (F = 37.24; df = 1,36; P < 0.001), but not female (F = 2.81; df = 1,36; 281 282 P = 0.103), although the interaction term was significant (F = 8.16; df = 1.36; P =283 0.007). Egg retention times were longest for melanic females that mated with 284 melanic males, followed by succinic females that mated with melanic males (Fig. 285 2B), the latter retaining eggs longer than melanic females mated to succinic males, with  $\mathcal{J}_{S} + \mathcal{Q}_{S}$  pairs intermediate between these two (Fig. 2B). There was 286 287 no effect of phenotype combination on female fecundity over the first five days of 288 reproduction (mean =  $102 \pm 3.4$  eqgs; F = 0.60; df = 3.36; P = 0.622), but there 289 was a significant effect on fertility (F = 8.99; df = 3.36; P < 0.001). Male 290 phenotype influenced female fertility (F = 25.80; df = 1.36; P < 0.001) but female 291 phenotype did not (F = 0.10; df = 1,36; P = 0.751) and the interaction term was 292 nonsignificant (F = 1.07; df = 1,36; P = 0.307). Females mating with succinic 293 males hatched a significantly larger proportion of their eggs than did females 294 mating with melanic males (Fig. 2C).

295

# 296 Discussion

297

298 The insects in our experiment corresponded to a spring generation in which 299 succinic males are preferred by females in both choice and no-choice situations 300 (Wang et al. 2009), ostensibly because of the fitness disadvantages incurred by 301 melanic forms under the hot conditions of summer (Brakefield and Wilmer 1987). 302 Female preference for succinic males is reflected in a higher frequency of 303 melanic male rejections and delayed onset of copula, the effect being strongest 304 in melanic females (Wang et al. 2009). In the present study, female preference 305 for succinic males was evident in egg retention times that reflect cryptic female 306 choice (Eberhard 1997); females tended to wait longer to use the sperm of 307 melanic males, and melanic females tended to wait longer than succinic females 308 (Fig. 2B), consistent with previous observations (Wang et al. 2009). Thus 309 melanism is not only a criterion for female mate choice, but also appears to have 310 pleiotropic effects on the strength of the preference in females. An alternative 311 mechanism could be a linkage disequilibrium among loci controlling different 312 traits, which seems less likely when one considers the observed seasonal 313 fluctuations in multiple traits that would require entire gene complexes to flip back 314 and forth in frequency between generations in order to generate the differences 315 observed between spring and fall populations (Wang et al. 2009).

316 Bonduransky (2001) reviewed the evidence for male mate choice in 317 insects and noted that selection for male choosiness should be stronger in 318 systems where female fitness increases with number of copulations, as it does in 319 *H. axyridis* (e.g., Quinones Pando et al. 2001). Males mating with melanic 320 females spent less time in copula than did their counterparts with succinic 321 females and there was also an effect of male phenotype; melanic males 322 abandoned melanic females sooner than did succinic males (Fig. 1B). 323 Therefore, possession of the melanic allele strengthens mate preference for the 324 succinic phenotype in males much as it does in females, an adaptive pleiotropy 325 given the dominance of the melanic allele (Tan and Li 1934). This finding differs

326 from previous observations of the same *H. axyridis* population (Wang et al. 2009) 327 in which the duration of copula was relatively invariant among phenotype 328 combinations in no-choice tests, although differences emerged when males were 329 allowed to select females in a choice setting. In the present study, males 330 engaged in fewer shaking bouts with melanic females compared to succinic 331 females regardless of their phenotype (Fig. 1D). Since both duration of copula 332 and bouts of abdominal shaking are correlated with seminal transfer in H. 333 axyridis (Obata 1987), these results supported our hypotheses that males would 334 invest differentially in females based on their elytral coloration, and that melanic 335 males would reduce their investment in melanic females more than succinic 336 males.

337 Even though initial latent periods were likely too short to be of much 338 consequence to male mating strategy, they were significantly longer for melanic 339 males than for succinic males, regardless of female phenotype (Fig. 1A). More 340 significantly, the same pattern was evident for terminal latent periods (Fig. 1C) 341 that were roughly an order of magnitude longer in duration. Thus, melanic males 342 invested more effort in guarding females post-insemination, supporting our third 343 hypothesis. Studies of sperm precedence in Adalia bipunctata suggest that the 344 paternity advantage of the second male can be very high in coccinellids (de Jong 345 et al. 1993). If the probability of female remating is higher following matings with 346 melanic males, as their longer egg retention times would suggest, an increased 347 investment in mate guarding may be an adaptive strategy for melanic males.

348 Interestingly, mating with melanic males reduced female fertility by 349 approximately 25% relative to matings with succinic males, independent of 350 female phenotype (Fig. 2D), suggesting a negative pleiotropic effect of melanism 351 on male fertility. Rhamhalinghan (1998) reported higher fecundity of melanic 352 females compared to typicals in a polymorphic population of C. septempunctata 353 but did not report any differences in fertility. The fitness costs of reduced male 354 fertility, in conjunction with exposure of the melanic allele to selection in 355 heterozygotes, may explain why the equilibrium frequency of the succinic 356 phenotype is approximately 2.5 times that of the melanic in this population of H.

357 axyridis (Wang et al. 2009). Notably, females mated to melanic males did not 358 reduce the number of eggs they laid relative to those mated with succinic males, 359 suggesting that melanism did not influence any putative allohormonal effects of 360 seminal fluids on female fecundity (Eberhard 1997). Aphidophagous coccinellids 361 exhibit a 'front-loaded' distribution of reproductive effort (Dixon and Agarwala 362 2002, Michaud and Qureshi 2006; Vargas et al. 2012), i.e., daily female fecundity 363 is highest early in life and declines thereafter. Thus, it would seem that mated 364 females simply oviposit at a maximal rate once they initiate oviposition, 365 regardless of the relative quality of their most recent mate.

366 To the best of our knowledge, the only previous report of melanism 367 influencing the mating behavior of males was provided by Horth (2003) who 368 observed that melanic male mosquitofish were more sexually aggressive than 369 typical silver males. Although melanism commonly affects activity levels in 370 insects (e.g. Verhoog et al. 1998), it may have no measurable effects on either 371 fitness or mate selection in some species (e.g., Nahrung and Allen 2005). True (2003) reviewed the molecular basis of various melanic pleiotropies in insects 372 373 and discussed their potential consequences for life history and behavior but 374 found only one reported effect on reproductive success (de Jong et al. 1998: 375 melanic males of A. bipunctata require longer copulation times). However, Ma et 376 al. (2008) subsequently examined a spontaneous laboratory example of 377 dominant autosomal melanism in Helicoverpa armigera Hübner and found that 378 melanism was associated with slower development in all juvenile life stages 379 (even though it was only expressed in the pupal and adult stages), lower body 380 weight, lower mating frequency and fecundity, reduced duration of copula, and 381 assortative mating. In contrast, studies of melanism in Mythimna separata 382 (Walker) revealed opposite results; homozygous melanic moths had higher 383 juvenile survival, faster development and greater reproductive success than 384 homozygous typicals (Jiang et al. 2007). Thus, it seems reasonable to expect 385 that other pleiotropic effects of melanism on insect mating behavior remain to be 386 discovered.

387

388	Acknowledgments The authors thank G. Mishra for reviewing the manuscript. This work was
389	funded by the National Basic Research Program of China (2012CB017359) and grant #31071731
390	from the National Science Foundation of China.
391	
392	References
393	
394	Alexander RD, Marshall DC, Cooley JR (1997) Evolutionary perspectives on
395	insect mating. In: Choe JC, Crespi BJ (eds) The Evolution of Mating
396	Systems in Insects and Arachnids. Cambridge University Press,
397	Cambridge, UK, pp 4–31
398	Avila FW, Sirot LK, LaFlamme BA, Rubinstein CD, Wolfner MF (2011) Insect
399	seminal fluid proteins: Identification and function. Annu Rev Entomol 56:
400	21–40
401	Benham BR, Lonsdale D, Muggleton J (1974) Is polymorphism in two-spot
402	ladybird an example of non-industrial melanism? Nature 249:179-180
403	Bonduransky R (2001) The evolution of male mate choice in insects: a synthesis
404	of ideas and evidence. Biol Rev 76:305–339
405	Brakefield PM (1984a) Ecological studies on the polymorphic ladybird Adalia
406	bipunctata in the Netherlands. I. Population biology and geographical
407	variation of melanism. J Anim Ecol 53:761–774
408	Brakefield PM (1984b). Ecological studies on the polymorphic ladybird Adalia
409	bipunctata in the Netherlands. II. Population dynamics, differential timing
410	of reproduction and thermal melanism. J Anim Ecol 53:775–790.
411	Brakefield PM and Wilmer PG (1985) The basis of thermal melanism in the
412	ladybird Adalia bipunctata. Differences in reflectance and thermal
413	properties between morphs. Heredity 54:9–14
414	Clusella Trullas S, van Wyk JH, Spotila JR (2007) Thermal melanism in
415	ectotherms. J Therm Biol 32:235–245
416	Creed ER (1966) Geographic variation in the two-spot ladybird in Engalnd and
417	Wales. Heredity 21:57–72.

418	Davies L, Bouvet S, Vernon P (2007) All-year reproduction and possible thermal
419	melanism in Amblystogenium pacificum (Coleoptera : Carabidae) on the
420	sub-Antarctic Ile de la Possession (Iles Crozet). Polar Biol 30:253–260
421	Dickenson JL (1997) Multiple mating, sperm competition, and cryptic female
422	choice in the leaf beetles (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). In: Choe JC,
423	Crespi BJ (eds) The Evolution of Mating Systems in Insects and
424	Arachnids. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp 164–183
425	Dixon AFG, Agarwala BK (2002) Triangular fecundity function and ageing in
426	ladybird beetles. Ecol Entomol 27:433–440
427	Eberhard WG (1996) Female Control: Sexual Selection by Cryptic Female
428	Choice. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ
429	Eberhard WG (1997) Sexual selection by cryptic female choice in insects and
430	arachnids. In: Choe JC, Crespi BJ (eds) The Evolution of Mating Systems
431	in Insects and Arachnids. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, pp
432	32–57
433	Edward DA, Chapman T (2011) The evolution and significance of male mate
434	choice. Trends Ecol Evol 26:647–654
435	Jiang XF, Luo LZ, Zhang L (2007) Relative fitness of near isogenic lines for
436	melanic and typical forms of the oriental armyworm, Mythimna separata
437	(Walker). Environ Entomol 36:1296–1301
438	de Jong PW, Verhoog MD, Brakefield PM (1993) Sperm competition and melanic
439	polymorphism in the 2-spot ladybird, Adalia bipunctata (Coleoptera,
440	Coccinellidae). Heredity 70:172–178
441	de Jong PW, Brakefield PM, Geerinck BP (1998) The effect of female mating
442	history on sperm precedence in the two-spot ladybird, Adalia bipunctata
443	(Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Behav Ecol 9:559–565
444	Haddrill PR, Shuker DM, Amos W, Majerus, MEN, Mayes, S. (2008) Female
445	multiple mating in wild and laboratory populations of the two-spot ladybird,
446	Adalia bipunctata. Mol Ecol 17: 3189–3197

447	Horth L (2003) Melanic body colour and aggressive mating behaviour are
448	correlated traits in male mosquitofish (Gambusia holbrooki). Proc Roy Soc
449	Lond Ser B 270:1033–1040
450	Ma W, Chen L, Wang M, Li X, (2008) Trade-offs between melanisation and life-
451	history traits in Helicoverpa armigera. Ecol Entomol 33:37–44
452	Majerus MEN (1998) Melanism: Evolution in Action. Oxford University Press,
453	338 pp.
454	Martin-Vega D, Baz A (2011) Variation in the colour of the necrophagous fly,
455	Prochyliza nigrimana (Diptera: Piophilidae): A case of seasonal
456	polymorphism. Eur J Entomol 108:231–234
457	Michaud JP, Qureshi JA (2006) Reproductive diapause in Hippodamia
458	convergens (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) and its life history consequences.
459	Biol Control 39:193–200
460	Milliken GA, Johnson DE (2009) Analysis of Messy Data, Volume 1, Designed
461	Experiments, Second edition. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.
462	Muggleton J (1975) Melanism in Adalia bipunctata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae)
463	and its relationship to atmospheric pollution. J. Appl. Ecology 12:451–464
464	Nahrung HF, Allen GR (2005) Maintenance of colour polymorphism in the leaf
465	beetle Chrysophtharta agricola (Chapuis) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae:
466	Paropsini). J Nat Hist 39:79–90
467	Nedved O, Honek A (2012) In: Hodek I, van Emden HF, Honek A. (eds) Ecology
468	and Behaviour of the Ladybird Beetles (Coccinellidae). Wiley-Blackwell,
469	Oxford, UK, pp 54–109
470	Obata S (1987) Mating behaviour and sperm transfer in the ladybird beetle,
471	Harmonia axyridis Pallas (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Appl Entomol Zool
472	22:434–442
473	Osawa N, Nishida T (1992) Seasonal variation in elytra color polymorphism
474	Harmonia axyridis (the ladybird beetle): the role of non-random mating.
475	Heredity 69:297–307
476	Parkash S, Singh S. Ramniwas S (2009) Seasonal changes in humidity level in
477	the tropics impact body color polymorphism and desiccation resistance in

478	Drosophila jambulina – Evidence for melanism-desiccation hypothesis. J
479	Ins Physiol 55:358–368
480	Quinones Pando FJ, Chavez Sanchez N, Tarango Rivero SH. 2001. Effect of the
481	time of availability of the male in the fecundity of Harmonia axyridis Pallas
482	(Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Fol. Entomol. Mex. 40: 47–52.
483	Rhamhalinghan M (1998) The reproductive behaviour of Coccinella
484	septempunctata L. var. Confusa Wiedmann (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). J
485	Adv Zool 19:17–21
486	SAS Institute (2013) The SAS System for Windows version 9.3. SAS Institute,
487	Cary, NC
488	Simmons LW, Siva-Jothy MT (1998) Sperm Compeitition in Insects: Mechanisms
489	and potential for selection. In: Birkhead TR, Moller AP (eds) Sperm
490	Competition and Sexual Selection. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, pp.
491	341–434
492	Sloggett JJ, Honek A (2012) Genetic studies. In: Hodek I, van Emden HF, Honek
493	A. (eds) Ecology and Behaviour of the Ladybird Beetles (Coccinellidae).
494	Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, UK, pp13–53
495	Stewart LA, Dixon AFG (1989) Why big species of ladybird beetles are not
496	melanic. Func Ecol 3:165–177
497	Tan CC, Li JC (1934) Inheritance of the elytral colour patterns in the lady-bird
498	beetle, Harmonia axyridis. Am Nat 68:252–265.
499	Thornhill R, Alcock J (1983) The Evolution of Insect Mating Systems.
500	iUniverse.com, Lincoln, NE
501	True JR (2003) Insect melanism: the molecules matter. Trends Ecol Evol
502	18:640–647
503	Ueno H (1994) Intraspecific variation of P2 value in a coccinellid beetle,
504	Harmonia axyridis. J Ethol 12:169–174
505	Vargas G, Michaud JP, Nechols JR (2012) Larval food supply constrains female
506	reproductive schedules in Hippodamia convergens (Coleoptera:
507	Coccinellidae). Ann Entomol Soc Am (accepted)

508	Wang S, Michaud JP, Zhang RZ, Zhang F, Liu S (2009) Seasonal cycles of
509	assortative mating and reproductive behaviour in polymorphic populations
510	of Harmonia axyridis in China. Ecol Entomol 34:483–491.
511	
512	
513	
514	

- 515 **Captions**
- 516 **Figure 1**



517

518 **Figure 1.** Mean (+ SE) initial latent period (A), duration of copula (B), and

519 terminal latent period (C) for matings between various phenotype combinations

520 of *H. axyridis* in no-choice mating tests (succinic  $\bigcirc$  + succinic  $\bigcirc$ , open columns;

521 succinic 
$$\bigcirc$$
 + melanic  $\bigcirc$ , shaded columns; melanic  $\bigcirc$  + succinic  $\bigcirc$ , hatched

522 columns; melanic eigenprime defined and the same columns). Columns bearing the same

523 letters were not significantly different (LSD test,  $\alpha > 0.05$ ). See text for behavior

- 524 definitions.
- 525

526 Figure 2



527

528 **Figure 2.** Mean (+ SE) number of male shaking bouts (A), female egg retention

529 time (B) and egg fertility (C) for matings between various phenotype

530 combinations of *H. axyridis* in no-choice mating tests (succinic  $\bigcirc$  + succinic  $\bigcirc$ ,

531 open columns; succinic  $3^{\circ}$  + melanic  $9^{\circ}$ , shaded columns; melanic  $3^{\circ}$  + succinic  $9^{\circ}$ ,

hatched columns; melanic  $\bigcirc$  + melanic  $\bigcirc$ , solid columns). Columns bearing the

533 same letters were not significantly different (LSD test,  $\alpha$  > 0.05). See text for

534 behavior definitions.

535