

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience
Laboratory - Faculty and Staff Publications

Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience
Laboratory

April 2008

DRD2 Genotype and Prenatal Exposure to Tobacco Interact to Influence Infant Attention and Reactivity

Sandra A. Wiebe

University of Nebraska Lincoln, sandra.wiebe@ualberta.ca

Kimberly A. Espy

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, kespy2@unl.edu

Christian Stopp

University of Nebraska-Lincoln, cstopp2@unl.edu

Jennifer Respass

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

Travis Jameson

Southern Illinois University School of Medicine

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/dcnlfacpub>


 Part of the [Neurosciences Commons](#)

Wiebe, Sandra A.; Espy, Kimberly A.; Stopp, Christian; Respass, Jennifer; Jameson, Travis; and Huggenvik, Jodi, "DRD2 Genotype and Prenatal Exposure to Tobacco Interact to Influence Infant Attention and Reactivity" (2008). *Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience Laboratory - Faculty and Staff Publications*. 39. <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/dcnlfacpub/39>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience Laboratory at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience Laboratory - Faculty and Staff Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

Sandra A. Wiebe, Kimberly A. Espy, Christian Stopp, Jennifer Respass, Travis Jameson, and Jodi Huggenvik



DRD2 Genotype and Prenatal Exposure to Tobacco Interact to Influence Infant Attention and Reactivity



Sandra A. Wiebe, Ph.D.¹, Kimberly Andrews Espy, Ph.D.¹, Christian Stopp, M. A.1, Jennifer Respass, B.A.¹, Travis Jameson, B.S.², & Jodi Huggenvik, Ph.D.²
1. Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience Lab, University of Nebraska-Lincoln
2. Southern Illinois University School of Medicine


Introduction

➤ **Prenatal tobacco exposure (PTE)** results in activation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors, changing the timing of neurodevelopmental processes (e.g., premature shift to differentiation at the expense of replication), and alteration in function of dopaminergic/serotonergic circuits (e.g., Levin & Slotkin, 1998; Muneoka et al., 1997)
➤ Previous studies have shown that PTE affects infant neurobehavior and temperament (Fried & Makin, 1987; Jacobson et al., 1984; Key et al., 2007)
➤ Candidate genes related to dopaminergic neurotransmission have been implicated in individual differences in infant behavior/temperament (e.g., Auerbach et al., 2001; Lakatos et al., 2003; Laucht, Becker, & Schmidt, 2006).
➤ The impact of PTE on childhood outcomes (e.g., ADHD) has been shown to interact with genetic risk factors (e.g., Becker et al., 2008; Kahn et al., 2003; Neuman et al., 2007)
➤ **Does PTE interact with genetic risk in infancy?**
➤ The Taq1A polymorphism is located within a kinase gene upstream from the coding region of the D2 dopamine receptor (DRD2) gene (Neville, Johnstone, & Walton, 2004)
➤ Presence of the A1 allele (in A1A1 homozygotes or A1A2 heterozygotes, collectively referred to as the A1+ genotype) is associated with differences in DRD2 receptor expression and availability in striatum (Pohjalainen et al., 1998) and anterior cingulate activation when controlled attention is required (Fossella, Green, & Fan, 2006)
➤ The present study examined the effects of **dopamine receptor D2 genotype** and **PTE status** on early infant neurobehavior


Method

➤ The sample was comprised of 119 infants ($M = 4.24$ wks, range = 3.0 to 8.9 weeks)
➤ Mothers were prospectively enrolled during pregnancy (most before the 16th week)
➤ Prenatal tobacco exposure was quantified based on maternal self-report (timeline-followback interviews conducted at 16 weeks, 28 weeks, and 24-48 hours after birth), and verified by cotinine analysis of maternal urine and infant meconium
➤ All infants were genotyped on DRD2 Taq1A allele
➤ Infants were administered the Neonatal Temperament Assessment (NTA; Riese, 1983) when they were 4 weeks old
➤ A principal components analysis of NTA rating scores yielded 3 summary component scores:
 Attention: (e.g., auditory orienting to voice or rattle, visual following of bulls-eye)
 Irritable Reactivity (e.g., irritability to visual/auditory stimuli, soothability after reflex elicitation)
 Dysregulation to Stress (responsiveness to soothing techniques, soothability after pacifier withdrawal)
➤ Component scores were analyzed using SAS's *proc mixed*, with PTE status, DRD2 allele, and their interaction entered as predictors, and covarying maternal education, sex, and age at assessment


Results



Attention
PTE: n.s.
DRD2: $p < .05$
PTExDRD2: $p < .05$
NE: A1+ > A1-
TE: n.s.



Irritable Reactivity
PTE: n.s.
DRD2: $p < .05$
PTExDRD2: $p < .05$
NE: A1+ < A1-
TE: n.s.



Dysregulation to Stress
PTE: n.s.
DRD2: n.s.
PTExDRD2: n.s.
Sex: $p < .05$

Conclusions

➤ Prenatal tobacco exposure appears to moderate the effect of genotype on infant attention and irritable reactivity
➤ Infants with the A1+ genotype were more attentive and less reactive, but only in the absence of PTE
➤ Infants with the A1+ genotype may have a heightened response to novelty (seen later in development: Berman et al., 2002), which could result in increased orienting and concomitant decreased irritability to novel stimuli
➤ In PTE infants with the A1+ genotype, response to novelty may then be dampened, as has been found in rhesus monkeys exposed to perinatal tobacco smoke (Golub, Slotkin, Tarantal, & Pinkerton, 2007); however, infants with the A1- genotype (who evidenced intermediate levels of attention and irritability regardless of PTE status) may be less susceptible to PTE's effects
➤ These results exemplify the interplay between genetic and environmental factors in infant development
➤ Future studies should test these findings for replication and examine implications of early attention/orienting and irritability for later outcomes in samples with known prenatal histories and genetic risk

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by NIH grants MH 065668 and DA 014661 to Kimberly Andrews Espy. We thank the Developmental Cognitive Neuroscience Lab teams at SIU Carbondale and UNL, and all of the mothers and infants who made this research possible. Correspondence regarding this poster may be addressed to Sandra Wiebe (swiebe2@unl.edu).



Demographic variable	A1 Carriers (A1A1 <i>n</i> = 4; A1A2 <i>n</i> = 40)				A1 Non-carriers (<i>n</i> = 75)			
	Tobacco-exposed (<i>n</i> = 21)		Non-exposed (<i>n</i> = 23)		Tobacco-exposed (<i>n</i> = 39)		Non-exposed (<i>n</i> = 36)	
	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>
Infant sex (% female)	61.9	--	39.1	--	53.9	--	66.7	--
Birth weight (g)	3389.24	305.54	3276.83	485.82	3400.43 (<i>n</i> = 37)	475.25	3557.83 (<i>n</i> = 35)	449.29
Gestational age (weeks)	39.31	1.32	38.84	1.48	39.10	1.54	39.41	1.12
Age at NTA assessment (weeks)	4.17	0.51	4.06	0.50	4.42	1.27	4.20	0.47
Maternal age at delivery (years)	28.35	6.10	26.55	5.07	26.06	5.89	29.16	5.62
Maternal education (years) *	13.71	1.90	14.04	2.01	13.51	1.70	14.94	1.62
Self-reported smoking (cigarettes/day):								
Before last menstrual period *	8.76	6.77	--	--	10.15	7.90	--	--
16 weeks *	(<i>n</i> = 20) 3.60	5.58	--	--	(<i>n</i> = 38) 3.85	6.22	--	--
28 weeks *	(<i>n</i> = 15) 2.39	3.78	--	--	(<i>n</i> = 34) 2.93	4.64	--	--
At delivery *	2.10	3.58	--	--	(<i>n</i> = 38) 2.37	3.93	--	--
Cotinine levels:								
16 weeks (maternal urine; ng/mL) *	434.50	681.93	7.15	20.63	358.51	563.70	2.82	11.66
28 weeks (maternal urine; ng/mL) *	(<i>n</i> = 16) 331.81	604.03	(<i>n</i> = 20) 5.87	12.24	(<i>n</i> = 35) 330.54	545.46	(<i>n</i> = 28) 10.22	22.50
At birth (maternal urine; ng/mL) *	82.33	179.03	6.73	13.54	124.05	322.95	8.00	15.90
At delivery (infant meconium; ng/g) *	327.05	1073.80	(<i>n</i> = 22) 0.00	0.00	(<i>n</i> = 33) 132.15	537.99	(<i>n</i> = 33) 1.64	6.92

* = significant difference between TE and NE groups