University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

3rd World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production

Animal Science Department

1986

ESTIMATION OF GENETIC RELATIONSHIPS AMONG MILK RECORDS FOR FIRST THREE LACTATIONS USING REML FOR AN ANIMAL MODEL

H. H. Swalve Institut für Tierzucht

L. D. Van Vleck Cornell University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/wcgalp

Part of the Animal Sciences Commons

Swalve, H. H. and Van Vleck, L. D., "ESTIMATION OF GENETIC RELATIONSHIPS AMONG MILK RECORDS FOR FIRST THREE LACTATIONS USING REML FOR AN ANIMAL MODEL" (1986). *3rd World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production*. 26. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/wcgalp/26

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Animal Science Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in 3rd World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

ESTIMATION OF GENETIC RELATIONSHIPS AMONG MILK RECORDS FOR FIRST THREE LACTATIONS USING REML FOR AN ANIMAL MODEL

> H. H. SWALVE Institut für Tierzucht Göttingen, West Germany

L. D. VAN VLECK Department of Animal Science Cornell University Ithaca, New York

SUMMARY

In dairy cattle breeding, genetic relationships among lactation records are of special interest because most selection operates on first lactations. This selection also complicates estimation of genetic parameters. Techniques which give estimates unbiased by selection should be used Estimation was done using EM-type REML for an animal model neglecting relationships across herds. Records were from 3,070 Holstein cows which has the first lactation recorded. Estimates after 17 rounds of iteration for heritabilities and genetic correlations were: $h_1 = .33$, $h_2 = .32$, $h_3 = .33$, $r_{12} = .88$, $r_{13} = .83$, $r_{23} = .86$. Within herd-year-season phenotypic standard deviations were 1,223 kg, 1,323 kg, and 1,265 kg.

INTRODUCTION

Animal breeding plans and methods for evaluating breeding value require knowledge of the heritabilities and phenotypic and genetic correlations of the traits considered. In dairy cattle, relationships among traits of main economic importance, i.e., milk, fat and protein, and also among lactations are of interest. Often performance in later lactations is assumed due to the same genes which influence performance in first lactation. The validity of this assumption is difficult to evaluate becaus selection has occurred based on knowledge of part of the data. In this case, estimators of variances and covariances by methods such as Henderson Method 3 are likely to be biased (Rothschild et al., 1979; Meyer and Thompson, 1984; Sorensen and Kennedy, 1984) and methods such as minime variance quadratic unbiased estimation (MIVQUE), maximum likelihood (ML) and restricted maximum likelihood (REML) should be used (Henderson, 1984a).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General Model

If all available data are used, estimators unbiased from selection can be expected from ML procedures (Meyer and Thompson, 1984; Sorensen and Kennedy, 1984). An animal model with complete relationship matrix meet this requirement. The model for this study is

y is a vector of observations, y is a vector of fixed effects (herd-year-seasons), b is a vector of fixed effects (herd-year-seasons), b is a vector of random effects which represent additive genetic a is a cfindividual animals. values of individual animals, e is the vector of residuals, and X and Z are known incidence matrices. Expectations and variances are:

 $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} , \quad \text{Var} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ e \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} G & 0 \\ 0 & R \end{bmatrix}$

and the mixed model equations are:

(x'R ⁻¹ X	x'R ⁻¹ Z	b	1	X'R ⁻¹ y		
z'R ⁻¹ X	z'R ⁻¹ Z+G ⁻¹	(â)	-	Z'R ⁻¹ y		

pata are ordered lactations within animals and missing lactations are included as zero rows/columns so that R is block diagonal where each block corresponds to the combination of traits recorded for a specific animal. Each block is derived from Ro, the variance-covariance matrix of the residuals by crossing out rows/columns associated with missing records. $g^{-1} = A^{-1} * G_0^{-1}$, where * denotes the direct product operation, A is the numerator relationship matrix and Go is the variance-covariance matrix among additive genetic effects for the three traits.

The Method

The method applied was REML using the EM algorithm (Henderson, 1984a,b). A property is that solutions for variance and covariance components are within the parameter space. Iteration is on the following two sets of equations for i, j = 1,...,3:

estimation of G _o ;		
estimation of Ro:	$\operatorname{tr} A^{-1}G = a_{i}A^{-1}a_{j} + \operatorname{tr} A^{-1}C_{ij}$	[3]
	tr $Q_{ij}R = \hat{e}'Q_{ij}\hat{e} + tr Q_{ij} WCW'$	[4]

where C is a generalized inverse of the coefficient matrix in [2], Ci; represents the block pertaining to traits i and j from the lower right submatrix of C (pretending in [3] that animals are ordered within traits), $\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{X}:\mathbf{Z}], \ \hat{\mathbf{e}} = \mathbf{y} - \hat{\mathbf{b}} - \hat{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{a}}$ are solutions to [2]. Derivation of Qij, the matrix for estimating the ijth element of Ro was as described in Henderson (1984a,b). The main computational problem in iterating on [3] and [4] is the need for the inverse of the coefficient matrix of [2]. The number of equations in [2] is the number of classes for fixed effects plus the number of animals times the number of traits. To simplify computations, transformation of [2] in some cases results in unrelated residuals (Quaas, 1984). The mixed model equations [2] then simplify to those for single trait analysis. This method, however, requires equal incidence matrices for all traits which is not fulfilled for this case of subsequent lactations. Other assumptions had to be made. By ignoring relationships across herds it

209

[2]

was possible to solve [2] herd by herd. After obtaining A_{+}^{-1} , the inverse without a solution base animals without was possible to solve [2] nerd by nerd. And base animals without record the numerator relationship matrix including base animals without record the numerator relationship matrix increases into equations for all base animals were absorbed into equations for f_{0r} for the using rules for inversion of Dartic equations for all base animals were as for inversion of Partitions actually having records by using rules for inversion of Partitions

The Data

The original data set consisted of mature equivalent lactation with yields from 294,401 New York Holstein cows, first freshening between 197 and 1984 and passing usual edits. All cows had at least a recorded fin and 1984 and passing usual correction of the preceding lactations were accepted if the preceding lactations were From this data set a computationally manageable data recorded. containing 3,070 cows (3,070 first, 1,943 second, 1,080 third lactations in 15 herds) was selected. Herds were selected if they contained a high frequency of related animals and the number of cows was in the range of in to 230. The average number of equations including fixed effects was 650 per herd.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows prior values and estimates of additive genetic and residual variances and covariances from round 0 to round 17. All record were scaled by dividing by the overall standard deviation of first lactation milk yield to avoid round-off problems during the computations.

Starting with round 4 all estimates monotonically approached a point of convergence which was not reached by round 17. However, changes are very Estimates for R_0 are increasing, those for G_0 are decreasing small. heritabilities are decreasing. A similar pattern was found with K estimation by Rothschild et al. (1979). Estimated parameters obtained from round 17 solutions are given in Table 2. In general, the estimates are in

Table 2. diagonal), additive genetic Estimated heritabilities (on the (above the diagonal) and phenotypic (below the diagonal) correlations for milk yield for three lactations after 17 rounds of iteration.

Local Land The West		Lactation				
	Lactation					
	1	.328	.877	.828		
	2	.587	.320	.860		
	iew of 3 topo	.530	.648	.334		

agreement with estimates found by other authors for different Holstein populations. However, heritabilities are higher especially for second and third lactations than those commonly found, which may be due to using # animal model which should be a better approximation of the true model # compared to sire models, which may be affected more by selection. Genetic correlations are slightly lower than in Meyer (1983), Meyer (1984) and Rothschild and Henderson (1979), but in agreement with Tong et al. (1979) and Meyer (1985) who all used ML procedures.

E lactat result lactat Phenot repeat first theref to fin sets s

HENDER Univer

HENDER multip

MEYER, parame 1997.

MEYER, for th 38:313

MEYER, black

MEYER, estima Zuchtu

QUAAS, Univer

ROTHSC of par 62:990

ROTHSC select lactat

SORENS from u

TONG. geneti cullin

CONCLUSIONS

Estimation of genetic variances and covariances for first three Estimation of genetic variances and covariances for first three sults show relatively high heritabilities of equal size for all three sults show relatively high heritabilities of equal size for all three sults show relatively high heritabilities of equal size for all three sults show relatively high heritabilities of equal size for all three sults show relatively high heritabilities of equal size for all three sults show relatively high heritabilities of equal size for all three sults show relations are somewhat higher than usually reported for henotypic correlations are somewhat higher than usually reported for peatability. The expected effects of selection and evaluations based on epeatability. The expected effects of selection and evaluations based on effort seem to be justified using these estimates. Further investigation of find computing techniques which would allow processing of larger data ets seems necessary.

REFERENCES

ENDERSON, C. R. 1984a. <u>Applications of linear models in animal breeding.</u> Iniversity of Guelph, Ontario, Canada.

RENDERSON, C. R. 1984b. Estimation of variances and covariances under aultiple trait models. J. Dairy Sci. <u>67</u>:1581-1589.

WYER, K. 1983. Maximum likelihood procedures for estimating genetic parameters for later lactations of dairy cattle. J. Dairy Sci. <u>66</u>:1988-1997.

MEYER, K. 1984. Estimation of genetic parameters for milk and fat yield for the first three lactations in British Friesian cows. <u>Anim. Prod.</u> 38:313-322.

MEYER, K. 1985. Genetic parameters for dairy production of Australian black and white cows. Livest. Prod. Sci. 12:205-219.

MEYER, K. and THOMPSON, R. 1984. Bias in variance and covariance component estimation due to selection on a correlated trait. <u>Z. Tierzuchtg.</u> Zuchtungsbiol. <u>101</u>:33-50.

QUAAS, R. L. 1984. Linear prediction. <u>In</u> BLUP School Handbook. AGBU, University of New England, Australia.

ROTHSCHILD, M. F. and HENDERSON, C. R. 1979. Maximum likelihood estimates of parameters of first and second lactation milk records. <u>J. Dairy Sci.</u> <u>62</u>:990-995.

ROTHSCHILD, M. F., HENDERSON, C. R. and QUAAS, R. L. 1979. Effects of selection on variances and covariances of simulated first and second lactations. J. Dairy Sci. 62:996-1002.

SORENSEN, D. A. and KENNEDY, B. W. 1984. Estimation of genetic variances from unselected and selected populations. J. Anim. Sci. 59:1213-1223.

TONG, A. K. W., KENNEDY, B. W. and MOXLEY, J. E. 1979. Heritabilities and genetic correlations for first three lactations from records subject to culling. J. Dairy Sci. 62:1784-1790.

Table 1.

Starting values and estimates $\underline{a}^{/}$ for residual (R_{o}) and additive genetic (G_{o}) variances and covariances for milk yield for the first three lactations for 17 rounds of iteration.

<u>Estimates</u>		Round									
	Starting values			3		_7	_9				17
R _o :											
r11	.456	.475	.485	.493	.496	.497	.499	.500	.501	.502	.503
r12	.197	.213	.223	.231	.235	.237	.239	.241	.242	.244	.245
r ₁₃	.152	.167	.176	.184	.188	.191	.193	.194	.196	.197	.198
r22	.551	.566	.575	.582	.586	.588	.590	.591	.593	.594	.595
r ₂₃	.282	.284	.286	.291	.295	.298	.300	.302	.303	.305	.307
r33	.494	.506	.513	.519	.523	.525	.527	.528	.530	.531	.532
G _o :											
g11	.250	.254	.256	.256	.254	.253	.251	.249	.248	.247	.245
g12	.239	.243	.244	.244	.242	.240	.237	.235	.233	.232	.230
g 13	.221	.225	.226	.225	.224	.221	.219	.217	.215	214	.212
822	.288	.292	.293	.293	.291	.289	.287	.285	.283	.281	.280
823	.249	.252	.253	.252	.250	.247	.245	.242	.240	.237	.235
g 33	.277	.280	.282	.281	.279	.277	.275	.273	.271	.269	.267

 a^{\prime} Estimates are standardized; multiply by 2,000,000 to convert to kg².