Transformation of Hexahydro-1,3,5-trinitro-1,3,5-triazine

CHANAT CHOKEJAROENRAT [†], STEVE D. COMFORT ^{‡,*}, CLIFFORD HARRIS [§], DANIEL D. SNOW ", DAVID CASSADA ", CHAINARONG SAKULTHAEW *, AND TUNLAWIT SATAPANAJARU[⊥]

* Corresponding author phone: 402-472-1502; fax: 402-472-7904; e-mail: scomfort@unl.edu.

[†] Department of Civil Engineering, University of Nebraska-Lincoln

[‡] School of Natural Resources, University of Nebraska-Lincoln

[§] Department of Chemistry, Albion College, MI

"Water Science Laboratory, University of Nebraska-Lincoln

1 Department of Environmental Science, Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand

Conter	nts				
SI-1.	Additional Experimental Section				
	Figs. S1; S2				
SI-2.	RDX Purification				
SI-3	Experimental Controls				
	Fig. S3; Table S1; Figs. S4; S5				
SI-4.	Effect of quenching agents on RDX degradation products				
	Figs. S6; S7; S8				
SI-5.	RDX Batch Experiments (Autocatalysis of permanganate)				
	Figs. S9; S10				
SI-6.	Kinetic Models				
	Figs. S11; S12; S13; S14				
SI-7.	Temperature dependency				
	Table S2				
SI-8	Single electron transfer versus hydride (or hydrogen) atom remova				
	Fig. S15; S16; S17				
SI-9.	Proposed RDX degradation via proton abstraction				
	Fig. S18				
SI-10.	References				

SI-1. Additional Experimental Section

Chemical Standards. Commercial-grade RDX (~90% purity) was obtained from the Fort Detrick U.S. Biomedical Research and Development Laboratory (Frederick, MD). 4-nitro-2,4-diazabutanal, (4-NDAB, >99% purity) was custom synthesized by SRI International (Menlo Park, CA). Sodium permanganate (NaMnO₄, 40% by weight) and potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) were obtained from Fisher Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA). Reagent grade hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, 30% v/v), methanol, manganous sulfate (MnSO₄•H₂O) (J.T.Baker, Phillipsburgh, NJ), and manganous carbonate (MnCO₃, 99.9%, metals basis) (Alfa Aesar, Ward Hill, MA) were used as purchased. All solvents used in this research were HPLC grade (Fisher Scientific, Springfield, NJ). An analytical RDX standard (100 µg/mL) in a 50:50 acetonitrile-methanol matrix was purchased from AccuStandard (New Haven, CT). Nitrate (NO₃⁻), Ammonium (NH₄⁺) (1000 mg/L, GFS Chemicals, Columbus, OH) and nitrite (NO₂⁻) (1000 mg/L, Absolute Standards Inc., Hamden, CT) standards were used as purchased. Nitrous oxide (N₂O) standards were prepared from the 2% stock gases (mole basis) obtained from Scott Specialty Gases (Plumsteadville, PA).

High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). Temporal changes in RDX and degradate concentrations were quantified at a 220 nm by HPLC equipped with a photodiode array detector (Shimadzu Scientific Instruments, Columbia, MD). Peak separations were performed by injecting 20 μ L of sample into a Supelcosil LC-8, 250 x 4.6 mm, (Supelco, Sigma-Aldrich Corporation, PA) or a Fluophase PFP perfluorinated column, 250 x 4.6 mm, coupled with a guard column (Thermo Scientific, MA). A variety of mobile phases and flow rates (0.50-1.50 mL/min) were tested to separate peaks but the typical mobile phase was an isocratic mixture of methanol and H₂O (30:70), or acetonitrile and H₂O (50:50) at a flow rate of 0.75 mL/min.

Ion Chromatography (IC). Analysis of NO_2^-/NO_3^- and NH_4^+ were performed with a Dionex DX-120 Ion Chromatograph (Sunnyvale, CA) with suppressed conductivity detection (conductivity detector, CDM-3). For anion analysis, separation was performed with an AS-15 IonPac column, 250 x 4.0 mm, using an eluent of 38 *m*M NaOH at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. For cation analysis, separation was performed with a CS12A IonPac column, 250 x 4.0 mm, using an isocratic eluent of 5.5 *m*M H₂SO₄ at a flow rate of 1.2 mL/min. The injection volume for both analyses was 25 µL. To effectively analyze samples by IC, RDX samples treated with MnO₄⁻ were quenched with MnCO₃.

Gas Chromatography/Electron Capture Detector (GC/ECD). Nitrous oxide (N₂O) emitted from the RDX-MnO₄⁻ reaction was measured by direct injection into a Hewlett-Packard (Palo Alto, CA) 6890 GC operated with a HP-Plot column (Molecular sieve 5A) 30 m/0.53 mm (50 μ m film thickness) and electron capture detector (ECD). A P-5 gas (a mixture gas of 95% Argon and 5% CH₄) was used as a carrier gas for the GC system. The GC oven was equilibrated at least two hours at 225°C before analysis.

UV-Spectrophotometer. Changes in MnO_4^- concentrations were monitored by diluting solution with Ultra Pure water in 20-mL vials and quantifying concentrations with a HACH Spectrophotometer DR2800 (HACH Company, Loveland, CO) at a wavelength of 525 nm. A test of whether colloidal MnO_2 interfered with quantification of MnO_4^- is presented in SI-3.

Analysis of N-containing gases.

<u>Nitrogen Gas (N₂)</u> To determine if N₂ gas was a product of the RDX-MnO₄⁻ reaction, experiments were conducted under vacuum in a Rittenburg tube, a two-legged Y-shaped tube (Fig. S1), containing crystalline RDX (both ¹⁴N-RDX and ¹⁵N-RDX) in one side and concentrated MnO₄⁻ solution in the other. Uniformly labeled, [U-¹⁵N]RDX, (¹⁵N abundance of 97 atom%) was purchased from PerkinElmer (Waltham, MA). Prior to starting the reaction (i.e., mixing), all gases were evacuated through a vacuum line

Supporting Information for Chokejaroenrat et al./ ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY SUBMISSION Page | S4

while the MnO_4^- solution was simultaneously frozen. Once the frozen solution melted, we mixed it with the crystalline RDX in the other side. The tube was then immersed in water (~20°C) to confirm no leakage and avoid atmospheric gas contamination. We also mirrored this experiment without vacuuming so as to monitor the RDX concentration by HPLC. When RDX was completely degraded, gas emission was drawn by a vacuum system passing through a cold trap to freeze all gases but N₂ gas (Fig. S2; (1)). Gas samples were then collected in sample bulb and cryogenically transferred to an Optima Dual Inlet mass spectrometer (VG Isotech, Colchester, VT).

Results indicated that no increase in gas pressure was observed during the sample transfer and full scan measurement showed that, very little, if any N₂ gas (m/z = 28, 29, 30) formed during treatment. The primary reaction gas formed, N₂O, was trapped in the preparation line but was not analyzed on the instrument.



Figure S1: (Left) The Rittenburg tube containing MnO₄⁻ solution was frozen in liquid nitrogen while all gases were being vacuumed. (Right) thawed RDX- MnO₄⁻ solution after mixing.



Figure S2: Experimental system for trapping of N₂ gas. When RDX was completely degraded, all gases were evacuated from the Rittenburg tube (Lower Circle) and trapped in the vacuum system except N₂ gas which was forced to the gas-tight sampling tube (Upper Circle).

<u>NO_x gases (i.e., NO₂ and NO)</u> Besides the N₂ and N₂O gases, we also investigated the production of NO_x gases (i.e., NO₂ (nitrogen dioxide) and NO (nitric oxide)) to determine if they were released during the RDX-MnO₄⁻ reaction. NO₂ is known to produce from the reaction of concentrated nitric acid and copper and is a toxic brownish gas with a pungent acid odor. However, in the diluted solution of nitric acid and copper, water molecules cause the reaction to produce NO instead. Although we did not observe a distinct brownish color of NO₂ during the RDX- MnO₄⁻ reaction, we attempted to identify NO₂ and other possible transformation products by treating 5 mL of

saturated RDX (12.1 mg ¹⁵N-labeled and 0.4 mg non-labeled RDX) with 168.067 *m*M of MnO_4^- in a 12-mL vial with a gastight septum. Each vial was degassed for 5 min and purged with Helium for 5 min by the acid injector (3.2 psi, Gilson, Middleton, WI) at a flow rate of 20.5 mL min⁻¹. NaMnO₄ (0.2 mL of 40% by weight) was injected into a vial by a gastight syringe. The temperature was controlled in a Precision 180 Series water bath at 60 °C (Precision Scientific Co., Baltimore, MD) to increase RDX destruction rate. At 11 d, a 10 µL gas sample was removed from the vial and injected directly into a Hewlett-Packard 5890 GC (Palo Alto, CA) an Agilent 5972 quadrupole mass spectrometer. The N gases were separated on a 30 m/0.32 mm PLOT Moleseive column (J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA). The instrument was calibrated using Helium reference gas.

Results indicated that NO₂ and NO were not detectable during the RDX-MnO₄⁻ reaction. A complicating factor, however, is that if NO_x gasses (i.e., NO or NO₂) are liberated during the treatment of RDX with MnO_4^- , it will be difficult to quantify because MnO_4^- provides an excellent means of removing NO by oxidizing it to NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻, depending on pH (*2-4*). Alkaline or acidic MnO_4^- has also been shown to be capable of trapping NO_x gas emission from soils (*5-8*).

SI-2. RDX Purification

The commercial grade RDX contains ~90% RDX and ~10% HMX (octahydro-1,3,5,7-tetranitro-1,3,5,7-tetrazocine). To remove interferences and degradation artifacts associated with HMX, we removed the HMX by preparing a concentrated RDX solution (in acetonitrile) and purified to ≥99% RDX by using a Waters 2695 HPLC (Waters Corp., Milford, MA) with a temperature-controlled (30 °C) Kromasil C18 column, 250 x 4.6 mm, (Thermo Scientific, MA) and Photodiode Array Detector (Waters 2996, Waters Corp., Milford, MA). The flow rate for this purification procedure was 1.5 mL/min with a repeated injection volume of 25 μ L. A mobile phase of methanol (in H₂O) was used with the following gradient: 60:40 for 9 min followed by 90:10 for 3.5 min and 60:40 for the remainder of the run (~7.5 min). A Spectrum CF-2 fraction collector was used to isolate the RDX peak eluting from the column. The RDX fractions were combined and concentrated by the RapidVap evaporation system (Labconco, Kansas city, MO) in which a cylindrical receptacle was swirled and blown by N₂ gas at 50 °C until dry.

SI-3. Experimental Controls

A series of experiments were performed under batch conditions to verify that RDX destruction rates by MnO_4^- were similar when the initial pH was controlled or allowed to drift as the reaction proceeded (Fig. S3), the use of $MnCO_3$ as a quenching agent did not significantly influence sample pH or temperature (Table S1), RDX concentrations after quenching with $MnCO_3$ were stable and not subject to hydrolysis (Fig. S4), and that quantification of MnO_4^- concentrations were not influenced by colloidal MnO_2 (Fig. S5).



Figure S3. Changes in RDX concentration and pH by various concentrations of MnO₄⁻ under controlled and unbuffered pH.

Supporting Information for Chokejaroenrat et al./ ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY SUBMISSION Page | S10

(g per mL of sample)(°C)(°C)0.00 g5.88250.03 g5.826.15250.04 g5.816.0225230.05 g5.856.0225230.06 g5.805.9325230.07 g5.745.862522.5
0.00 g5.88250.03 g5.826.1525240.04 g5.816.0225230.05 g5.856.0225230.06 g5.805.9325230.07 g5.745.862522.5
0.03 g5.826.1525240.04 g5.816.0225230.05 g5.856.0225230.06 g5.805.9325230.07 g5.745.862522.5
0.04 g5.816.0225230.05 g5.856.0225230.06 g5.805.9325230.07 g5.745.862522.5
0.05 g5.856.0225230.06 g5.805.9325230.07 g5.745.862522.5
0.06 g5.805.9325230.07 g5.745.862522.5
0.07 g 5.74 5.86 25 22.5

Supporting Information for Chokejaroenrat et al./ ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY SUBMISSION Page | S11



Figure S4. Temporal changes in RDX concentrations following treatment with varying MnO_4^- concentrations. Solid symbols signify concentrations of samples analyzed immediately, open symbols are the same samples analyzed 9 d later.





Figure S5. Changes in RDX and MnO_4^- concentrations following treatment with varying MnO_4^- concentrations. Solid symbols indicate MnO_4^- concentrations determined without filtration, open symbols with filtration (0.45µm glasswool filter).

SI-4. Effect of quenching agents on RDX degradation products

To determine the effect of quenching agents on RDX degradation products, aqueous solutions of RDX (0.07 mM) were treated with 33.61 mM of MnO₄. We initially prepared RDX solutions by spiking 150 mL H₂O with 1.04 mL of RDX stock solutions prepared in acetone but the acetone-MnO₄⁻ reaction resulted in autocatalysis of MnO₄⁻ at alkaline pH and prevented further degradation of RDX >10 d (see Supporting Information; SI-5). Consequently, all aqueous RDX solutions were prepared by dissolving purified crystalline RDX in water over several days. Once MnO₄⁻ was added to RDX solutions to initiate the reaction, samples were periodically collected and quenched with MnCO₃ or H_2O_2 . Quenching with MnCO₃ (pH = 6.7) was performed as described in the main manuscript. When quenched with 30% H₂O₂ (0.04 mL per mL of sample), samples were required to mix continuously to control H₂O₂ consumption. The pH of samples quenched with H_2O_2 were found to increase significantly (pH = 11.5). To elucidate this pH effect, one set of batch samples were quenched with MnCO₃, and we increased the pH to that observed with the H₂O₂ by adding NaOH. Temporal changes in RDX, 4-nitro-2,4-diazabutanal (4-NDAB), NO3⁻, and NO2⁻ concentration were monitored by using HPLC and IC.

Results indicated that an RDX solution treated with MnO_4^- led to complete RDX transformation within 15 d (Fig. S6A). To quantify temporal changes in RDX concentrations, samples were removed from the batch reactors every 2 to 3 d and chemically quenched to remove MnO_4^- and prevent further RDX oxidation. While $MnSO_4$ is commonly used as a quenching agent (*9-13*) and does not interfere in HPLC analysis of RDX (*12-13*), the sulfate liberated interferes with NO_2^- and NO_3^- analyses by ion chromatography (IC). By using $MnCO_3$, we avoided this interference during IC analysis. However, the disadvantage of using $MnCO_3$ is that, at the concentrations of

quenching agents used, $MnCO_3$ takes longer than $MnSO_4$ to quench the MnO_4^- . Given the typical time course of the batch experiments (15 d), we compared RDX destruction rates from the same batch experiment and observed similar RDX destruction rates (Fig. S7).

Another consideration is that the quenching agent can alter the pH of the sample and possibly influence product formation or stability. When samples were quenched with MnSO₄, solution pH decreased from ~7.2 (before quenching) to pH 2.6 after quenching as predicted by the following reaction (Eq. S1).

$$2NaMnO_4 + 3MnSO_4 + 2H_2O \rightarrow 2Na^+ + 3SO_4^{2-} + 4H^+ + 5MnO_2$$
 [Eq. S1]

When RDX-MnO₄⁻ solutions were quenched with MnCO₃ (Eq. S2), sample pH (after quenching) remained near 6.7, which is closer to the pH of the unquenched RDX- MnO_4^- solution.

$$2NaMnO_4 + 3MnCO_3 + 2H_2O \rightarrow 2Na^+ + 3HCO_3 + 5MnO_2 + H^+$$
 [Eq. S2]

Product analysis during the RDX-MnO₄⁻ reaction showed that when MnCO₃ was used as a quenching agent, we observed NO₃⁻ production in the reaction but no NO₂⁻ and only a trace of 4-NDAB (~0.004 *m*M) (Fig. S6A).

Peroxide was also used as a quenching agent. Here, peroxide reacts with MnO₄⁻ by the following reaction (Eq. S3):

 $2NaMnO_4 + 4H_2O_2 \rightarrow 2Na^+ + 2OH^- + Mn_2O_3 + 3H_2O + 4O_2$ [Eq. S3]

Because OH⁻ is liberated, the pH of samples quenched with H₂O₂ increased (pH

~11.5) and we observed NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻, and 4-NDAB (Fig. S6B). Although the magnitude of NO₃⁻ generated was similar to what we observed when MnCO₃ was used as a quenching agent (Fig. S6A, S6B), RDX destruction kinetics were much faster (k = 1.83 d⁻¹). Because we suspected excess peroxide may have contributed to RDX destruction, we conducted an experiment where RDX solution was treated with H₂O₂ in the same ratio as used in quenching process (0.04 mL of 30% H₂O₂ to 1 mL RDX or 1.2% (v/v) H₂O₂; no MnO₄⁻). Results showed that RDX concentration was not significantly affected, pH remained constant, and RDX degradation products (NO₂⁻ NO₃⁻, and 4-NDAB) were not observed.

The peroxide concentration used in this control experiment (RDX + H_2O_2 only) was higher than what the guenched RDX-MnO₄ samples would have experienced because most, if not all, of the H₂O₂ would have reacted with the MnO₄. Therefore, the increased RDX destruction kinetics observed (Fig. S6B) does not appear to be directly related to the presence of excess peroxide. Rather, catalytic decomposition of H₂O₂ into various radicals (i.e., superoxide anion (O_2) , hydroperoxide radical (HO_2) , and hydroxyl radical (•OH)) may have played a role in the enhanced degradation of RDX (Fig. S6B). Although MnO₂ surfaces can enhance oxidation reactions (14), this precipitate, which forms during RDX-MnO₄⁻ reaction (12), is also a catalyst for decomposition of H_2O_2 and both O2⁻ and HO2[•] are favored at high pH (15-16). O2⁻ itself is known to be capable of degrading RDX (17). Furthermore, during the quenching process, Mn₂O₃ is liberated (Eq. S3) and can simultaneously act as a catalyst for degradation of organic compounds in the presence of H₂O₂ (15, 18). Another possibility is that the alkaline pH created during the quenching process (Eq. S3) facilitated H₂O₂ decomposition into •OH which contributed to RDX degradation. Moreover, Gates-Anderson et al. (19) observed that in strongly basic solutions (pH > 9) •OH can also be generated from MnO_4^- and directly oxidize organic contaminants. These explanations support a seven-fold increase of RDX destruction kinetics (Figs. S6A, S6B).

Finally, an elevated temperature may also have been responsible for greater RDX destruction in the H_2O_2 quenched samples. Heilmann et al. (*20*) showed that alkaline hydrolysis rates of RDX in aqueous solution dramatically increased at high temperature (50°C). We observed that using H_2O_2 as a quenching agent caused a rapid 9°C increase in sample temperatures. Because H_2O_2 -MnO₄⁻ reaction is exothermic, it is reasonable that the combination of alkaline pH and heat may have contributed to RDX degradation (See also *Effect of Temperature on RDX-MnO₄⁻ Reaction* in the main manuscript).

Given that the treatment of RDX with peroxide alone did not cause an increase in pH or the production of NO2⁻ and 4-NDAB, the alkaline pH created by the H₂O₂-MnO4⁻ reaction was likely responsible for the degradation products observed. To test this further, we again used MnCO₃ as a quenching agent and artificially raised the pH of the samples before and after quenching to pH 11.5 (similar to what was observed with H_2O_2 as a quenching agent). Results showed RDX degradation was slower than when peroxide was used to quench the MnO₄⁻ and closer to the results obtained when MnCO₃ was used without pH adjustment (Fig. S6A, $k = 0.26 \text{ d}^{-1}$; Fig. S6C, $k = 0.33 \text{ d}^{-1}$). This observation lends credence to the possibility that peroxide radicals may have been involved during the quenching of MnO_4^- with H_2O_2 (Fig. S6B). Using $MnCO_3$ + alkaline pH also produced NO₂ and 4-NDAB as reaction products (Fig. S6C). Two known RDX degradation schemes involve the removal of one nitro group (denitration) with the intermediate methylenedintramine (MEDINA) or two nitro groups and the formation of 4-NDAB (e.g. (21)). Thus, the detection of nitrite during the RDX-MnO₄⁻ reaction (with H_2O_2 quenching agent or MnCO₃ + alkaline pH) is likely a result of the alkalinity stabilizing NO2⁻ and preventing further transformation. Numerous reports have shown

that nitrite is more persistent at alkaline pH (22-23). Balakrishnan et al. (24) also found NO_2^- as an endproduct of RDX hydrolysis.



Figure S6: Changes in RDA concentration and production of degradation products (4-NDAB, NO₂⁻, and NO₃⁻) when quenched with; (A) 0.10 g MnCO₃ (per mL); (B) 0.04 ml 30% H₂O₂ (per mL, pH ~ 11.5); and (C) 0.10 g MnCO₃ (per mL) in which sample solutions pH was raised to 11.5 before and after quenching. Bars indicate sample standard deviations (n = 3).



SI-5. RDX Batch Experiments (Facilitated Decomposition of permanganate)

To evaluate the effects of initial MnO_4^- concentration on RDX destruction rates, we conducted the batch experiment by treating 150 mL of aqueous ¹⁴C-RDX (0.02 *m*M, 30000 dpm mL⁻¹, uniformly ring-labeled) and varying MnO_4^- concentration from 8.40 *m*M to 168.07 *m*M. Each MnO_4^- concentration was replicated three times. Temporal samples were periodically collected and quenched with $MnSO_4$ as described in analytical section and monitored for the loss of RDX by HPLC and ¹⁴C-activity by Liquid Scintillation Counter (LSC).

Results indicated that treating aqueous (i.e., distilled water) RDX with 168.067 mM of MnO₄⁻ reduced RDX concentrations to zero within 10 d ($k = 0.49 \text{ d}^{-1}$) (Fig. S9A). Lower MnO₄⁻ concentrations (8.40-42.02 mM) reduced RDX destruction rates and overall removal. For instance, when RDX was treated with 8.40 mM of MnO₄⁻ destruction rates decreased ~70% ($k = 0.14 \text{ d}^{-1}$) and only 29% of the initial RDX was removed within 10 d (*25*). These results are similar to those reported by Adam et al. (*12*) but differ in that temporal decrease in both RDX and ¹⁴C concentrations (Fig. S9B) reached a plateau after ~10 d. The reasons MnO₄⁻ failed to continually transform and mineralize RDX beyond 10 d was investigated by monitoring temporal changes in pH and MnO₄⁻ concentrations.

By repeating the experiment with 84.03 mM of MnO₄⁻ and monitoring MnO₄⁻ and pH (Fig. S10A, S10B, S10C), we observed an increase in pH from 6.5 to > 8. Using higher MnO₄⁻ concentrations (126.05, 168.07 mM) also produced similar changes in pH. This increase in pH coincided with a significant decrease in MnO₄⁻ concentration (Fig. S10B). By contrast, when a pH-stat (Metrohm Titrino 718S; Brinkman Instruments, Westbury) maintained the pH at 7, RDX concentrations did not plateau but continued to decrease and very little consumption of MnO₄⁻ was observed (Fig. S10A, S10B). It is clear that in the unbuffered treatment, the rapid decrease in MnO₄⁻ concentration

coincided with the lack of further RDX destruction beyond 7 d (i.e., plateau). We believe the loss of MnO4⁻ was likely caused by a facilitated decomposition of permanganate at alkaline pH. But alkaline pH alone was not solely responsible for the loss of MnO₄. Adam et al. (12) evaluated the effect of pH on RDX destruction kinetics and reported no pH effect in the range 4.1 to 11.3. A comparison of procedures used by Adam et al. (12) and our protocol revealed that a higher percentage of acetone was used in our batch reactors. This occurred by using RDX stock solutions prepared in acetone (both unlabeled and ¹⁴C-labeled) to spike the aqueous solutions with RDX. Although the volume of acetone spiked into the aqueous batch reactors was relatively low (1.04 mL acetone/150 mL H_2O), when this same concentration of acetone was added to 84.03 mM of MnO₄ without RDX, a similar decrease in MnO₄ was observed (Fig. S10D, S10E, S10F); similarly, when aqueous RDX solutions were prepared without acetone, the pH remained constant (Fig. S10F) and MnO_4^- consumption was negligible (Fig. S10E). The plateau in RDX loss observed (Figs. S9, S10) resulted from the reaction of acetone with MnO4⁻ and likely included the oxidation of acetone to oxalic acid and the reaction of oxalic acid with MnO_4^- to form Mn^{2+} , which is known to facilitate the decomposition of MnO₄⁻.

While the accelerated removal of MnO_4^- was traced back to the use of acetone and subsequent formation of carboxylic acids in our batch reactors (Fig. S10), the implications of this observation may be more than just an experimental anomaly. Oxalic acid is a product of the TCE-MnO₄⁻ reaction (*26*). Li et al. (*27*) also showed that oxalate was a primary oxidation product of the explosive TNT (2,4,6-trinitrotoluene) during treatment with Fe²⁺ and H₂O₂ (i.e., Fenton oxidation). Thus, situations may arise where oxalate (or other carboxylic acids) are present and cause excessive MnO₄⁻ decomposition if the pH is not monitored and prevented from becoming alkaline.



Figure S9: Loss of RDX and ¹⁴C-activity in aqueous solution treated with various concentrations of MnO_4^- . Solution samples were quenched with $MnSO_4$. Bars indicate sample standard deviations (n = 3).



with/without acetone. Solution samples were quenched with $MnSO_4$. Bars indicate sample standard deviations (n = 3).

SI-6. Kinetic Models

While second-order expressions are commonly used to describe contaminant destruction rates by MnO_4^- (*28-32*), if MnO_4^- is in excess, the reaction can also be described by a pseudo first-order expression (*12, 33*). Like many other second-order reactions between contaminant and MnO_4^- , the general rate equation can be written as:

$$r = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \frac{d[RDX]}{dt} = k [RDX]^{\alpha} [MnO_4^-]^{\beta}$$
 [Eq. S4]

$$r = k_{obs} [RDX]^{\alpha}$$
 [Eq. S5]

$$k_{obs} = k \left[MnO_4^- \right]^{\beta}$$
 [Eq. S6]

Where α is a reaction order with respect to RDX, β is a reaction order with respect to MnO₄, *r* is a reaction rate, *k* is a second-order rate constant, and k_{obs} is a pseudo-order rate constant. By varying the initial concentration of MnO₄ and measuring k_{obs} by fitting the results into a pseudo first-order equation by regression analysis using computer software SigmaPlot Version 10.0 (*34*), the value of β with respect to MnO₄⁻ can be obtained by a log-log form of Eq. S6:

$$\log k_{obs} = \log k + \beta \log \left[MnO_4^- \right]_o$$
 [Eq. S7]

Likewise, by varying the initial concentration of RDX and measuring the reaction rate, the value of \propto with respect to RDX can be determined by a log-log form of Eq. S5. To evaluate for the reaction rates, we used the initial reaction rate (r_o) by approximating the tangent to the concentration time-curve (*35*); therefore, Eq. S5 can then be expressed as:

$$\log r_o = \log k_{obs} + \propto \log [RDX]_o \qquad [Eq. S8]$$

Second-order rates (k) were then derived from pseudo first-order rates (k_{obs}) by the relationship in Eq. S6.







Figure S13: Loss of TNT (initial concentrations ranging from 0.01 to 0.09 mM) when treated with MnO₄⁻ at 4.20 (A), 8.40 (B), or 33.61 mM (C). Bars indicate sample standard deviations (n = 3).



697

698

699

Figure S14: (A) Plot of pseudo first-order rate constants for TNT degradation vs [MnO₄⁻]. Aqueous TNT (0.09 *m*M) was treated with MnO₄⁻ ranging from 4.20 to 84.03 *m*M. (B) Plot of initial rates of TNT degradation vs. [TNT]_o ranging from 0.01 to 0.09 *m*M when treated with 4.20, 8.40, or 33.61 *m*M MnO₄⁻.

SI-7. Temperature dependency

In RDX-MnO₄⁻ temperature experiment, the pseudo first-order rates were evaluated at four different temperatures. The activation energy, E, can be determined using a plot of the Arrhenius equation, as follows:

$$ln k (T) = ln A - \frac{E}{RT}$$
[Eq. S9]

Where *A* is the empirical Arrhenius factor or pre-exponential factor; *R* is gas constant (8.314 J/K·mol); and *T* is the absolute temperature (K). The logarithm of the second-order rate constants (*k*) are plotted against the reciprocal temperature (1/T) to determine the Arrhenius factor A and the E/R value from its linear least-squares fit (*20*, *36-37*).

Table S2.Temperature Dependency of Kinetic Rates for Treatment of 0.02 mM RDXwith 4.20 mM MnO₄⁻

Т	k _{RDX1} ^a	k _{RDX2} ^{a, b}	k _{RDX2} ^a	Ln k _{RDX2} ^a	1/T
(°C)	(d ⁻¹)	(L mol ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	(L mol⁻¹ min⁻¹)		(1/K)
20	0.02	3.52	0.00	-6.01	0.0034
	(0.00)	(0.13)	(0.00)	(0.04)	
35	0.06	14.22	0.01	-4.62	0.0032
	(0.00)	(0.21)	(0.00)	(0.01)	
50	0.35	84.21	0.06	-2.84	0.0031
	(0.01)	(2.22)	(0.00)	(0.03)	
65	0.89	212.65	0.15	-1.91	0.0030
	(0.03)	(7.16)	(0.01)	(0.03)	

a Parenthetic values represent standard error of estimates. $b k_{RDX2} = k_{RDX1}/C_{MnO4-}$

Supporting Information for Chokejaroenrat et al./ ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY SUBMISSION Page | S30

SI-8. Single electron transfer versus hydride (or hydrogen atom) removal

Based on supporting literature (38-40), two key ideas emerge:

1) Two different mechanisms are observed in amino oxidations

a) single-electron transfer (SET) at the amine nitrogen and

b) hydride (or hydrogen) abstraction from the carbon;

2) The electron density on the amine nitrogen determines the operative mechanism.

Specifically, electron-poor amines or those with resonance stabilized intermediates tend to be oxidized by hydride abstraction. When these specific principles and the principles of organic oxidation chemistry are applied to RDX, the problem simplifies somewhat. For instance, there are only four distinct sites for oxidation of RDX: an oxygen atom, a nitro nitrogen atom, an amine nitrogen atom, or a carbon. This is illustrated below.



Figure S15: Possible sites for oxidation of RDX.

Oxidation at the oxygen atom or a nitro nitrogen atom would give extremely unstable intermediates since they place positive charge on electronegative oxygen or an already electron deficient nitro group nitrogen, respectively. The only two reasonable sites for oxidation of RDX remaining are the exact two options carefully studied by the cited authors (38-40). That is, oxidation at the amine nitrogen (by SET) or oxidation at the carbon (by hydride abstraction, see S16, S17 below).



Figure S16: Overall comparison of SET versus hydride abstraction of RDX.

Because the amino nitrogens in RDX are extremely electron-poor, the hydride loss will tend to dominate the reaction. This is probably because the intermediate resulting from an initial single-electron transfer would produce an intermediate having two positive charges on the adjacent nitrogen atoms, as shown above. Such an intermediate would be much less stable than the proposed carbocation which maximizes the distance of the two positive charges from each other, and places one of them on the more electropositive carbon atom.



Figure S17: A comparison of initial first steps via single electron transfer (A) versus hydride removal (B).

When we considered both possible first-steps in the RDX-MnO₄⁻ reaction (SET vs. Hydride loss, Fig. S15), we believe the strongly electron-withdrawing nitro groups would tend to destabilize any cation intermediate. This destabilizing effect, however, would be minimized for the carbocation intermediate formed via hydride abstraction (Fig S17B) compared to the aminium ion formed by SET (Fig. S17A) because: 1) the carbocation is further from the nitro group than the aminium ion and is therefore less destabilized by inductive effects, 2) carbon is more electropositive than nitrogen, and thus less destabilized by the cation, 3) resonance stabilization for the carbocation can occur but is completely absent for the aminium intermediate. These theoretical explanations are supported by the experimental observations that 1°, 2° and 3° alkylamines having all their electron density isolated on the nitrogen tend to be oxidized by SET (*38-39*), while amines with resonance distributed electron density like benzylamine clearly undergo loss of hydride (or hydrogen atom) (*40*). Thus, theory and experiment indicate that the carbocation intermediate will be more stable and therefore formed more quickly than the aminium cation intermediate in RDX.

SI-9. Proposed RDX degradation via proton abstraction **RDX - MnO₄⁻ (hydrolysis and oxidation)** NO₂ H₂O O₂N NO₂ O_2 + HNO₂ MnO₄ H₂O $O_2N_N \subset CH_2 +$ HN² NH₂ NO2 ΗŅ[′] NO2 NO: nitroimine 4-NDAB CO_2 /[⊖]он MnO₄⊖ ЮH NH_2 HN CO_2 ŃΟ₂ NO2 $+ NH_3$ Nitroimine - MnO₄⁻ (hydrolysis and oxidation) $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow} O_2 N_{NH_2} + \stackrel{O}{\overset{H}{}_2O} + \frac{H_2 O}{MnO_4^{\ominus}} N_2 O + 2 H_2 O + CO_2$ N^{ĆH2} O₂N、 О Н Overall reaction (HNO₂ further oxidized to HNO₃) NO₂ ОH 3 CO₂ + HNO₃ + 2 N₂O + NH₃ + H₂O H₂O MnO Figure S18: Proposed RDX degradation via proton abstraction and oxidation via MnO4 under alkaline pH.

784 785

SI-10. References

- (1) Ross, P.J.; Martin, A.E. A rapid procedure for preparing gas samples for nitrogen-15 determination. *Analyst* **1970**, *95*(1134), 817-822.
- Sada, E.; Kumazawa, H.; Hayakawa, N. Absorption of NO in aqueous solutions of KMnO₄. *Chem. Eng. Sci.* **1977**, *32*(10), 1171-1175.
- Brogren, C.; Karlsson, H.T.; Bjerle, I. Absorption of NO in an alkaline solution of KMnO₄. *Chem. Eng. Technol.* **1997**, *20*(6), 396-402.
- (4) Xianshe, F.; John, I.; Paula, T. Scavenging of nitric oxide and nitrogen dioxide by reactive absorption. *Fluid/Part. Sep. J.* 2003, *15*(2), 171-174.
- (5) Nelson, D.W.; Bremner, J.M. Gaseous products of nitrite decomposition in soils.
 Soil. Biol. Biochem. **1970**, *2*(3), 203-215.
- (6) Tedesco, M.J.; Keeney, D.R. Determination of (nitrate+nitrite)-N in alkaline permanganate solutions. *Commun. Soil. Sci. Plant Anal.* **1972**, *3*(4), 339-344.
- (7) Bundy, L.G.; Bremner, J.M. Determination of ammonium-N and nitrate-N in acid permanganate solution used to absorb ammonia, nitric oxide, and nitrogen dioxide evolved from soils. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **1973**, *4*(3), 179-184.
- (8) Smith, C.J.; Chalk, P.M. Determination of nitrogenous gases evolved from soild in closed systems. *Analyst* **1979**, *104*(1239), 538-544.
- (9) Flasarova, M.; Novak, J.; Ulrich, R.; Vyhlidka, P. Determination of nitrites in mixtures with nitrates by using a nitrate-selective electrode. *Chem. Listy* **1986**, *80*(3), 328-331.
- (10) Perez-Benito, F.J.; Arias, C.; Brillas, E. A kinetic study of the autocatalytic permanganate oxidation of formic acid. *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* **1990**, *22*(3), 261-287.
- (11) Root, D.K. In-situ chemical oxidation of chlorinated hydrocarbons in the presence of radionuclides. Presented at WM'03 Conference [Online], Tucson, AZ,

February 23-27, 2003. WM Symposia Website. http://www.wmsym.org/archives/ 2003/pdfs/184.pdf (accessed Feb 25, 2008).

- (12) Adam, M.L.; Comfort, S.D.; Snow, D.D. Remediating RDX-contaminated ground water with permanganate: Laboratory investigations for the Pantex perched aquifer. *J. Environ. Qual.* **2004**, *33*(6), 2165-2173.
- (13) Adam, M.L.; Comfort, S.D.; Snow, D.D.; Cassada, D.; Morley, M.C.; Clayton, W. Evaluating ozone as a remedial treatment for removing RDX from unsaturated soils. *J. Environ. Eng.* **2006**, *132*(12), 1580-1588.
- (14) Ladbury, J.W.; Cullis, C.F. Kinetics and mechanism of oxidation by permanganate. *Chem. Rev.* **1958**, *58*(2), 403-438.
- (15) Kanungo, S.B.; Parida, K.M.; Sant, B.R. Studies on MnO₂-III. The Kinetics and the mechanism for the catalytic decomposition of H₂O₂ over different crystalline modifications of MnO₂. *Electrochim. Acta* **1981**, *26*(8), 1157-1167.
- (16) Lee, J.Y. Method for reductive degradation of chlorinated organic compounds using a reductive intermediate produced by decomposition of hydrogen peroxide under the existence of a manganese oxide catalyst. Korean Patent 036875. 2006.
- (17) Shah, M.M. Method for digesting a nitro-bearing explosive compound. U.S. Patent 6,118,039. September 12, 2000.
- (18) Zhang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Yang, Z.; Hu, L.; Ye, L. Study on decomposition of methylene blue in the presence of H₂O₂ with nanostructured Mn₂O₃ as catalysts. *Hefei Gongye Daxue Xuebao, Ziran Kexueban.* 2005, *28*(11), 1435-1439.
- (19) Gates-Anderson, D.D.; Siegrist, R.L.; Cline, S.R. Comparison of potassium permanganate and hydrogen peroxide as chemical oxidants for organically contaminated soils. *J. Environ. Eng.* **2001**, *127*(4), 337-347.
- (20) Heilmann, H.M.; Wiesmann, U.; Stenstrom, M.K. Kinetics of the alkaline

hydrolysis of high explosives RDX and HMX in aqueous solution and adsorbed to activated carbon. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1996**, *30*(5), 1485-1492.

(21) Jackson, R.G.; Rylott, E.L.; Fournier, D.; Hawari, J.; Bruce, N.C. Exploring the biochemical properties and remediation applications of the unusual explosivedegrading P450 system XpIA/B. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 2007, *104*(43), 16822-16827.

- (22) Suthersan S.; Ganczarcczyk, J. Inhibition of nitrite oxidation during nitrification. *Water Pollut. Res.* **1986**, *21*(2), 257-266.
- (23) Cleemput, O.V.; Samater, A.H. Nitrite in soils: Accumulation and role in the formation of gaseous N compounds. *Fert. Res.* **1996**, *45*(1), 81-89.
- (24) Balakrishnan, V.K.; Halasz, A.; Hawari, J. Alkaline hydrolysis of the cyclic nitramine explosives RDX, HMX, and CL-20: New insights into the degradation pathyways obtained by the observation of novel intermediates. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2003, *37*(9), 1838-1843.
- (25) Chokejaroenrat, C. Laboratory and pilot-scale investigations of RDX treatment by permanganate. M.S. Thesis, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, Lincoln, NE, 2008.
- (26) Yan, Y.E.; Schwartz, F.W. Kinetics and mechanisms for TCE oxidation by permanganate. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2000**, *34*(12), 2535-2541.
- (27) Li, Z.M.; Comfort, S.D.; Shea, P.J. Destruction of 2,4,6-trinitrotoluene (TNT) by Fenton oxidation. *J. Environ. Qual.* **1997**, *26*(2), 480-487.
- (28) Yan, Y.E.; Schwartz, F.W. Oxidative degradation and kinetics of chlorinated ethylenes by potassium permanganate. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **1999**, *37*(3-4), 343-365.
- (29) Huang, K.; Hoag, G.A.; Chheda, P.; Woody, B.A.; Dobbs, G.M. Kinetic study of oxidation of trichloroethylene by potassium permanganate. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* 1999, *16*(4), 265-274.

868 (30) Huang, K.; Hoag, G.A.; Chheda, P.; Woody, B.A.; Dobbs, G.M. Oxidation of 869 chlorinated ethenes by potassium permanganate: A kinetics study. J. Hazard. 870 Mater. 2001, 87(1-3), 155-169. 871 (31) Siegrist, R.L.; Urynowicz, M.A.; West, O.A.; Crimi, M.L.; Lowe, K.S. Principles 872 and practices of in-situ chemical oxidation using permanganate; Battelle Press: 873 Columbus, OH, 2001. 874 (32) Waldemer, R.H.; Tratnyek, P.G. Kinetics of contaminant degradation by 875 permanganate. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2006, 40(3), 1055-1061. 876 (33) Siegrist, R.L.; Urynowicz, M.A.; Crimi, M.L.; Lowe, K.S. Genesis and effects of 877 particles produced during in-situ chemical oxidation using permanganate. J. 878 Environ. Eng. 2002, 128(11), 1068-1079. 879 (34) SPSS. SigmaPlot for Windows Version 10.0: Chicago, IL, 2006. 880 (35) Casado, J.; Lopez-Quintela, M.A.; Lorenzo-Barral, F.M. The initial rate method in 881 chemical kinetics: Evaluation and experimental illustration. J. Chem. Educ. 1986, 882 *63*(5), 450-452. 883 (36) Karakaya, P.; Mohammed, S.; Christos, C.; Steve, N.; Wendy, B. Aqueous 884 alkaline solubility and hydrolysis of the novel high explosive 885 hexanitrohexaazaisowurtzitane (CL-20). J. Hazard. Mater. 2005, 120(1-3), 183-191. 886 887 (37) Benson, S.W. The Foundations of chemical kinetics; Krieger Publishing Co.: 888 Florida, 1982; pp 66-68. 889 (38) Rosenblatt, D.H.; Davis, G.T.; Hull, L.A.; Forberg, G.D. Oxidations of amines. V. 890 Duality of mechanism in the reactions of aliphatic amines with permanganate J. 891 *Org. Chem.* **1968**, *33*(4), 1649-1650. 892 (39) Mata-Perez, F.; Perez-Benito, J.F. Kinetics and mechanisms of oxidation of 893 methylamine by permanganate ion. Can. J. Chem. **1987**, 65(10), 2373-2379.

(40) Wei, M.; Stewart, R. The mechanisms of permanganate oxidation. VIII.Substituted benzylamines *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1966**, *88*(9), 1974-1979.