

University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications

Agronomy and Horticulture Department

2004

Old-Field Grassland Successional Dynamics Following Cessation of Chronic Disturbance

Susan Tunnell

University of Nebraska-Lincoln

David Engle

Oklahoma State University, david.engle@okstate.edu

Eric Jorgensen

United States Environmental Protection Agency

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub>

 Part of the [Plant Sciences Commons](#)

Tunnell, Susan; Engle, David; and Jorgensen, Eric, "Old-Field Grassland Successional Dynamics Following Cessation of Chronic Disturbance" (2004). *Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications*. 223.
<https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/agronomyfacpub/223>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Agronomy and Horticulture Department at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Agronomy & Horticulture -- Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Old-field grassland successional dynamics following cessation of chronic disturbance

Tunnell, Susan J.^{1,2*}; Engle, David M.^{1,3} & Jorgensen, Eric E.⁴

¹Department of Plant and Soil Sciences, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, OK 74078, USA; ²Current address: Department of Agronomy and Horticulture, University of Nebraska-Lincoln, P.O. Box 830915, Lincoln, NE 68583, USA; ³E-mail dme@mail.pss.okstate.edu; ⁴United States Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, National Risk Management Research Laboratory, P.O. Box 1198, Ada, OK 74820, USA; E-mail jorgensen.eric@epa.gov; *Corresponding author; Fax +14024727904; E-mail stunnell2@unl.edu

Abstract.

Question: Does increasing *Festuca* canopy cover reduce plant species richness and, therefore, alter plant community composition and the relationship of litter to species richness in old-field grassland?

Location: Southeastern Oklahoma, USA.

Methods: Canopy cover by species, species richness, and litter mass were collected within an old-field grassland site on 16, 40 m × 40 m plots. Our study was conducted during the first three years of a long-term study that investigated the effects of low-level nitrogen enrichment and small mammal herbivory manipulations.

Results: Succession was altered by an increase in abundance of *Festuca* over the 3-yr study period. Species richness did not decline with litter accumulation. Instead, *Festuca* increased most on species-poor plots, and *Festuca* abundance remained low on species-rich plots.

Conclusions: *Festuca* may act as an invasive transformer-species in warm-season dominated old-field grasslands, a phenomenon associated more with invasions of cool-season grasses at higher latitudes in North America.

Keywords: *Festuca arundinacea*; Heavy grazing; Redundancy Analysis (RDA); Species richness.

Nomenclature: Anon. (1986).

Introduction

Grazing is a keystone disturbance that shaped the development and maintenance of the North American tall-grass prairie (Knapp et al. 1998). In temperate mesic grasslands dominated by native warm-season grasses, disturbance by grazing maintains species richness through its influence on succession and resource availability (Collins 1987; Collins & Steinauer 1998; Smith & Knapp 1999). Large herbivores continually influence vegetation structure and community composition through defoliation

and trampling of vegetation (Collins & Steinauer 1998). Secondary succession in both native and old-field (cultivated then abandoned) grasslands after removal of heavy grazing usually results in an increase in late-successional warm-season grasses (Freeman 1998; Engle et al. 2000), an increase in litter accumulation that decreases species richness (Collins 1987; Carson & Peterson 1990; Foster & Gross 1998), and a decrease in abundance of non-dominant grasses and forbs (Weaver 1968; Knapp et al. 1998).

However, the presence of exotic species may alter the usual secondary succession in old-field grasslands following grazing removal (Tremmel & Peterson 1983; Fike & Niering 1999). In much of North America, *Festuca arundinacea* is an invasive perennial grass that is native to Eurasia (Gibson & Newman 2001). Its superior competitive ability conferred by an endophytic fungal symbiont (Clay 1990; Bacon 1995; Clay & Holah 1999; Matthews & Clay 2001) may lead to its dominance in native and old-field grasslands and thereby alter species composition (Clay & Holah 1999) and nutrient cycling (Gay et al. 1996).

The widespread use of *Festuca* for forage, turf, and soil conservation purposes began in the 1940s, and *Festuca* gained status as a commonly planted species in the eastern US (Ball et al. 1993; Hoveland 1993). Although *Festuca* is considered by pastoralists to be a valuable forage species in planted pastures, native and old-field grasslands lacking disturbance may be at risk of *Festuca* invasion, which can result in *Festuca* becoming a transformer species as defined by Richardson et al. (2000). Studies of *Festuca* have focused on the effects of species richness and plant-soil interactions of endophyte-infected *Festuca* (Clay & Holah 1999; Matthews & Clay 2001). These studies were primarily concerned with the difference between endophyte-infected and uninfected *Festuca* in monocultures and simple mixtures, rather than the overall effect of relatively small amounts of *Festuca* in natural grasslands.

We assumed that *Festuca* alters vegetation dynamics and succession in warm-season old-field grasslands released from heavy grazing. Our objective was to describe vegetation dynamics in an old-field grassland released from chronic heavy grazing, dominated by warm-season grasses, and invaded by *Festuca*. We predicted that increasing *Festuca* would reduce species richness and therefore alter plant community composition and the relationship of litter to species richness. If this prediction were to hold and *Festuca* were to have clear ecosystem impacts, then *Festuca* would fit the designation of an invasive-transformer species (Richardson et al. 2000). Our study was conducted in the first three years of a long-term study that investigated the effects of low-level nitrogen enrichment and small mammal herbivory manipulations.

Methods

This study was conducted in southeastern Oklahoma at the Center for Subsurface and Ecological Assessment Research (CSEAR), operated by the US EPA, R.S. Kerr Environmental Research Center (Ada, OK). Cultivation at the site was abandoned around 1950. Herbaceous vegetation naturally re-established, and during the last half century the old-field grassland was grazed on a continuous basis until January 1998. Dominant vegetation consisted of early to mid-successional grasses and forbs including *Aristida oligantha*, *Andropogon virginicus*, *Ambrosia psilostachya*, and *Aster ericoides*. There were also exotic species present including *Festuca arundinacea* and *Cynodon dactylon*. The predominant soil type is Burleson clay (fine, montmorillonitic, thermic, Udic Pellusterts) (Anon. 1973). Annual precipitation is 990 mm, which predominantly occurs from April through October (Anon. 2000).

This old-field grassland has been the focus of an interdisciplinary study in which 16 plots of 40 m × 40 m received a factorial arrangement of nitrogen and small mammal herbivory exclusion treatments. Nitrogen was applied in the form of 34% NH_4NO_3 4 × during the year (February, May, August, and November) with a total of 16.3 kg-N.ha⁻¹.yr⁻¹. Small mammal herbivory was manipulated using 2.5 cm chain-link fence ca. 2 m in height. The treatments included (1) no nitrogen and no fence; (2) nitrogen and no fence; (3) no nitrogen and fence; (4) nitrogen and fence. To determine if species composition varied among treatments, we performed Redundancy Analysis (RDA) using CANOCO version 4 (ter Braak & Šmilauer 1998). To test for a nitrogen effect on canopy cover, nitrogen was the explanatory variable and fence was the covariable. To test for a fence effect, fence was used as the explanatory variable and nitrogen was a covariable. Because no treatment effects were detected

for nitrogen ($P = 0.46$) or fence ($P = 0.14$), we performed all subsequent analyses at the plot level without regard to treatment.

We sampled 25 0.1-m² quadrats in herbaceous vegetation in May 1999, 2000, and 2001 for canopy cover and species richness. Canopy cover was estimated by species with the Daubenmire (1959) cover class method, and analysed by functional group. The grass and sedge functional groups were based on photosynthetic pathway and origin and included (1) cool-season native grasses; (2) *Festuca arundinacea* – a cool-season introduced grass; (3) warm-season native grasses, and (4) warm-season introduced grasses; (Waller & Lewis 1979; Hatch & Pluhar 1993; Stubbendieck et al. 1997). Forbs were separated by nitrogen fixing ability into non-legume forbs and legumes (Anon. 1986). Analyses of non-legume forbs were at both the species and functional group level. Species richness was determined through an extensive search of species present in the entire plot. Litter was harvested from five 0.1-m² quadrats per plot in August 1998, 1999, and 2000. Litter mass was dried at 60 °C in a forced-air oven until reaching a constant weight.

We calculated average quadrat canopy cover ($n = 25$) for each species by plot in 1999, 2000, and 2001. To investigate community composition, we performed an RDA on the species data with *Festuca* as the explanatory variable. We also examined relationships between *Festuca* canopy cover and functional group canopy cover using Pearson's correlation coefficients (r).

To test our prediction that *Festuca* would alter the relationship of species richness to litter accumulation, we used regression analysis (Anon. 1991) to examine relationships among (1) species richness and litter mass and (2) species richness and *Festuca* cover. To determine if a plot's composition and species richness changed as *Festuca* increased, or alternatively to determine if *Festuca* increased on plots with respect to a plot's composition or richness, we calculated a Jaccard Similarity Index (Jongman et al. 1995) as follows,

$$S_j = c/(a + b + c) \quad (1)$$

where, a = the number of unique species in a plot in 1999, b = the number of unique species in a plot in 2001, and c = the number of species shared by the plot in 1999 and 2001. A similarity index of 1.0 would indicate no change in species presence over time, whereas a similarity index of zero would indicate a complete change in species presence over time. We then used correlation to determine if a change in *Festuca* canopy cover was accompanied by a change in species presence of plots.




Fig. 1. Site scores for axes 1 and 2 of the Redundancy Analysis following grazing removal in an old-field grassland from 1999 (●) to 2001 (○). Axis 1 is represented by increasing *Festuca* canopy cover and has an eigenvalue of 0.135 ($P = 0.01$).

Results

Vegetation dynamics were explained by increasing abundance of *Festuca*. The change in species composition within plots is visually represented in the ordination diagram in which plots with the greatest amount of *Festuca* (> 10% canopy cover) are located in the right half of the diagram (Fig. 1). *Festuca* cover increased nearly five-fold on average across plots from 1999 to 2001 (Table 1), which is reflected along RDA Axis 1.

Festuca was correlated with the two dominant functional groups, warm-season native grasses and non-legume forbs that represented most of the species and total canopy cover (75% in 1999). Warm-season native grass cover was not correlated with *Festuca* cover in the first two growing seasons (1999: $P = 0.13$, 2000: $P = 0.77$) when *Festuca* cover was less, but by 2001 *Festuca* canopy cover was correlated negatively ($P < 0.01$, $r = -0.71$) with warm-season native grass cover. However, plots with the greatest warm-season native grass cover (e.g. 28%) had the smallest amount of *Festuca* cover (e.g. 0.7%) in 2001, and plots with low warm-season native grass canopy cover (e.g. 6.9%) had the greatest amount of *Festuca* cover (e.g. 21.9%). The relationship appears to be causal in that, on the average, warm-season native grasses decreased most on plots where *Festuca* increased most (Fig. 2).

Table 1. Mean and standard error (SE) of species richness and *Festuca* canopy cover in an Oklahoma old-field grassland ($n = 16$).

Year	Species richness		<i>Festuca</i> canopy cover (%)	
	Mean	SE	Mean	SE
1999	44	± 2	2.3	± 0.6
2000	37	± 1	4.9	± 1.0
2001	41	± 2	10.9	± 2.0




Fig. 2. Plot of the correlation between the change in *Festuca* canopy cover and the change in warm-season native canopy cover from 1999 to 2001 ($P = 0.04$; $r = -0.56$).

The dominance of two abundant non-legume forbs determined the overall influence of *Festuca* on the non-legume forb functional group. Non-legume forb cover was positively correlated ($P = 0.03$, $r = 0.53$) to *Festuca* canopy cover in 1999 when mean *Festuca* cover was 2.3%. But with greater *Festuca* canopy cover in 2000 and 2001, non-legume forb canopy cover and *Festuca* canopy




Fig. 3a. Correlation between *Festuca* and *Ambrosia* canopy cover in (●) 1999 ($P < 0.01$, $r = 0.80$); (○) 2000 ($P = 0.74$) and (▼) 2001 ($P = 0.07$). **b.** Correlation between *Festuca* canopy cover and *Aster* canopy cover, 1999 ($P = 0.02$; $r = 0.59$), 2000 ($P < 0.01$; $r = 0.80$), and 2001 ($P = 0.03$; $r = 0.53$).




Fig. 4a. Species richness as a function of litter mass. Regression models were not significant in (●) 1999 ($P = 0.36$); (○) 2000 ($P = 0.56$) and (▼) 2001 ($P = 0.69$). **b.** Species richness Y as a function of *Festuca* cover X . Regression model for 1999 not significant ($P = 0.11$); for 2000 significant ($P < 0.01$), with $Y = 42.3 - 1.1X$, $r^2 = 0.62$; for 2001 significant ($P < 0.01$), with $Y = 46.6 - 0.5535X$, $r^2 = 0.46$.

cover were not correlated ($P > 0.1$). *Ambrosia* canopy cover, which accounted for 20% of the non-legume forb canopy cover in 2001, was positively correlated with *Festuca* canopy cover in 1999 (Fig. 3a), but not in 2000 or 2001. Plots in which *Festuca* canopy cover was greatest, *Ambrosia* abundance was low. *Aster* canopy cover, which accounted for 44% of the non-legume forb canopy cover in 2001, was positively correlated with *Festuca* canopy cover in all three years (Fig. 3b). *Aster* canopy cover increased from 1999 to 2001 and was the most abundant non-legume forb in 2001. The loss of canopy cover contributed by minor non-legume forb species was accounted for by an increase in *Aster* canopy cover.

Species richness was not correlated to litter mass (Fig. 4a), but species richness was negatively related to *Festuca* canopy cover (Fig. 4b). However, the change in *Festuca* canopy cover was not correlated with the change in the total number of species from 1999 to 2001 (Fig. 5a). Furthermore, *Festuca* canopy cover increased on plots that were both similar and dissimilar in species presence from 1999 to 2001 (Fig. 5b).




Fig. 5a. Change in *Festuca* canopy cover against change in the number of total species on 16 study plots from 1999 to 2001 ($P = 0.76$). **b.** Plot of the correlation between change in *Festuca* canopy cover from 1999 to 2001 and the Jaccard Similarity Index (change in species presence) ($P = 0.99$).

Discussion

We expected grazing cessation in this seral old-field grassland with *Festuca arundinacea* to result in community vegetation changes that follow successional patterns observed elsewhere in old-field grasslands released from heavy grazing (Engle et al. 2000). Instead, a five-fold increase in *Festuca* accounted for much of the post-grazing successional trajectory and also was associated with a decrease in the dominant functional group, warm-season native grasses. Species richness did not decline with increases in *Festuca*; rather, *Festuca* increased on plots with low species richness.

We observed a distinct separation in ordination space among old-field grassland plots in the three years following grazing cessation that represented increasing abundance of *Festuca* over time. On plots in which *Festuca* cover exceeded 10%, *Festuca* increased by replacing warm-season native grasses, which ordinarily dominate post-disturbance succession in mid-continental warm-season grasslands (Collins & Steinauer 1998). Our findings contrast with other tall-grass prairie studies, where, in the absence of an invasive transformer, vegetation changes within native grassland plots over a 3-yr period are chronosequences from early- to late-successional

species (Collins & Adams 1983; Engle et al. 2000). In our plots, successional dynamics, in terms of functional group dominance but not in terms of species richness, are explained by increasing abundance of *Festuca* rather than by increasing mid- and late-successional warm-season native grasses.

Unlike temperate mesic grasslands, species richness was not correlated to litter accumulation in this old-field grassland, nor did species richness decline with increasing abundance of *Festuca*. Our study provides an exception to numerous observations that accumulation of litter in the absence of disturbance reduces species richness in temperate mesic grasslands in North America (Knapp & Seastedt 1986; Carson & Peterson 1990; Facelli & Pickett 1991; Foster & Gross 1998). Because the absence of disturbance promotes litter accumulation, one would expect an increase in litter mass over time and a decline in species richness with cessation of grazing. However, the presence of *Festuca* may alter the long-term relationship between litter and species richness. *Festuca* has high litter quality, including rapid decomposition and reduced litter accumulation (Wieder et al. 1983). Therefore, an increase in *Festuca* may reduce accumulated litter mass compared to mesic grasslands with no *Festuca*.

Even though canopy cover of *Festuca* was low, we predicted its abundance to be negatively correlated with species richness in this old-field grassland dominated by warm-season native grasses. However, *Festuca* did not influence species richness. Rather, *Festuca* became more abundant on species-poor plots than on species-rich plots. Therefore, although *Festuca* canopy cover increased on some plots, mean *Festuca* abundance remained low throughout the study and a causal relationship was not detected between *Festuca* canopy cover and species richness. Our results contrast to studies in which *Festuca*, as the dominant vegetation component, influenced vegetation dynamics by decreasing species richness (Clay & Holah 1999) and by decreasing litter accumulation (Wieder et al. 1983).

In other ecosystems where exotic species can become dominant, the exotics change community composition and alter ecosystem properties (Masters & Sheley 2001). Our observations suggest that the short-term response of *Festuca* to grazing release may be a previously unrecognized parallel in some respects to that of *Bromus tectorum* in the Intermountain region of the western US (DiTomaso 2000; Belnap & Phillips 2001; Masters & Sheley 2001) and *B. inermis* in northern latitudes (Bragg 1995; Bowles et al. 2003). *B. tectorum* invades and spreads rapidly, altering community composition and historic fire regimes. *B. tectorum* produces more litter and provides more fuel compared to native grasses and shrubs, thus increasing fire frequency (D'Antonio & Vitousek 1992; DiTomaso 2000). In the northern mesic prairie, *B. inermis* increases when fire is absent, whereas both cool- and warm-season native

grasses decrease. The shift in the plant community from native grasses to *B. inermis* is associated with a decline in species richness (Bowles et al. 2003).

In our study, *Festuca* did not reduce species richness, but *Festuca* dominated the successional trajectory, which is consistent with *Festuca* functioning as an invasive-transformer species by exerting clear ecosystem impacts (Richardson et al. 2000). In manipulated grasslands seeded to *Festuca*, *Festuca* exerts even greater influence as an invasive-transformer species by reducing litter accumulation (Wieder et al. 1983), reducing species richness (Clay & Holah 1999), and altering nitrogen dynamics through greater uptake of nitrate nitrogen than native warm-season grasses (Gay et al. 1996). Our conclusions are based on short-term change following cessation of heavy grazing, but if *Festuca* continues to increase in our study old-field grassland, we expect it ultimately to influence ecosystem function similar to that in monocultures and simple mixtures of *Festuca*. The potential consequences of *Festuca* invasion in the southern grassland region dominated by native warm-season grasses are striking given these grasslands, unlike their more northern counterparts (e.g. *B. inermis* invasion), have not yet experienced significant invasion by cool-season grass species.

Acknowledgements. We thank Sam Fuhlendorf and Mike Palmer for their review of the manuscript. This project was funded by the US Environmental Protection Agency through its Office of Research and Development and through an interagency agreement with the Biological Resources Division of the US Geological Survey and was administered by the Oklahoma Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit (Oklahoma State University, Oklahoma Department of Wildlife Conservation, US Geological Survey, and Wildlife Management Institute cooperating). It has not been subjected to US Environmental Protection Agency review and therefore does not necessarily reflect the views of the Agency, and no official endorsement should be inferred. The manuscript has been approved for publication by the Director of the Oklahoma Agricultural Experiment Station.

References

- Anon. 1973. *Soil survey of Pontotoc County, Oklahoma*. USDA Soil Conserv. Serv., Washington, DC, US.
- Anon. (Great Plains Flora Association) 1986. *Flora of the Great Plains*. University Press of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, US.
- Anon. 1991. *SAS system for regression*, 2nd. ed. SAS Institute, Cary, NC, US.
- Anon. 2000. *Climatological data annual summary*. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Climatic Center, Asheville, NC, US.
- Bacon, C.W. 1995. Toxic endophyte-infected tall fescue and range grasses: historic perspectives. *J. Anim. Sci.* 73: 861-870.
- Ball, D.M., Pedersen, J.F. & Lacefield, G.D. 1993. The tall-

- fescue endophyte. *Am. Sci.* 81: 370-379.
- Belnap, J. & Phillips, S.L. 2001. Soil biota in an ungrazed grassland: response to annual grass (*Bromus tectorum*) invasion. *Ecol. Appl.* 11: 1261-1275.
- Bowles, M.L., Jones M.D. & McBride, J.L. 2003. Twenty-year changes in burned and unburned sand prairie remnants in northwestern Illinois and implications for management. *Am. Midl. Nat.* 149: 35-45.
- Bragg, T.B. 1995. The physical environment of Great Plains grasslands. In: Joern, A. & Keeler, K.H. (eds.) *The changing prairie: North American grasslands*, pp. 49-81. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Carson, W.P. & Peterson, C.J. 1990. The role of litter in a old-field community: impact of litter quantity in different seasons on plant species richness and abundance. *Oecologia* 85: 8-13.
- Clay, K. 1990. Comparative demography of three graminoids infected by systemic, clavicipitaceous fungi. *Ecology* 71: 558-570.
- Clay, K. & Holah, J. 1999. Fungal endophyte symbiosis and plant diversity in successional fields. *Science* 285: 1742-1744.
- Collins, S.L. 1987. Interaction of disturbances in tallgrass prairie: a field experiment. *Ecology* 68: 1243-1250.
- Collins, S.L. & Adams, D.E. 1983. Succession in grasslands: thirty-two years of change in a central Oklahoma tallgrass prairie. *Vegetatio* 51: 181-190.
- Collins, S.L. & Steinauer, E.M. 1998. Disturbance, diversity, and species interactions in tallgrass prairie. In: Knapp, A.K., Briggs, J.M., Hartnett, D.C. & Collins, S.L. (eds.) *Grassland dynamics: long-term ecological research in tallgrass prairie*, pp. 140-156. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- D'Antonio, C.M. & Vitousek, P.M. 1992. Biological invasions by exotic grasses, the grass/fire cycle, and global change. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* 23: 63-87.
- Daubenmire, R.F. 1959. A canopy-coverage method of vegetational analysis. *Northwest Sci.* 33: 43-64.
- DiTomaso, J.M. 2000. Invasive weeds in rangelands: species, impacts, and management. *Weed Sci.* 48: 255-265.
- Engle, D.M., Palmer, M.W., Crockett, J.S., Mitchell, R.L. & Stevens, R. 2000. Influence of late season fire on early successional vegetation of an Oklahoma prairie. *J. Veg. Sci.* 11: 135-144.
- Facelli, J.M. & Pickett, S.T.A. 1991. Plant litter: its dynamics and effects on plant community structure. *Bot. Rev.* 57: 1-32.
- Fike, J. & Niering, W.A. 1999. Four decades of old field vegetation development and the role of *Celastrus orbiculatus* in the northeastern United States. *J. Veg. Sci.* 10: 483-492.
- Foster, B.L. & Gross, K.L. 1998. Species richness in a successional grassland: effects of nitrogen enrichment and plant litter. *Ecology* 79: 2593-2602.
- Freeman, C.C. 1998. The flora of Konza Prairie: a historical review and contemporary patterns. In: Knapp, A.K., Briggs, J.M., Hartnett, D.C. & Collins, S.L. (eds.) *Grassland dynamics: long-term ecological research in tallgrass prairie*, pp. 69-80. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Gay, D.L., Allen, E.R., Engle, D.M. & Stritzke, J.F. 1996. Nitrate dynamics following brush control in a post oak-blackjack oak forest. *Agron. J.* 88: 536-540.
- Gibson, D.J. & Newman, J.A. 2001. *Festuca arundinacea* Schreber (*F. elatior* L. ssp. *arundinacea* (Schreber) Hackel. *J. Ecol.* 89: 304-324.
- Hatch, S.L. & Pluhar, J. 1993. *Texas range plants*. Texas A&M University Press, College Station, TX, US.
- Hoveland, C.S. 1993. Importance and economic significance of the *Acremonium* endophytes to performance of animals and grass plant. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 44: 3-12.
- Inoyue, R.S., Huntly, N.J., Tilman, D., Tester, J.R., Stillwell, M.A. & Zinnel, K.C. 1987. Old field succession on a Minnesota sand plain. *Ecology* 68: 12-26.
- Jongman, R.H.G., ter Braak, C.J.F. & van Tongeren, O.F.R. 1995. *Data analysis in community and landscape ecology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Knapp, A.K. & Seastedt, T.R. 1986. Detritus accumulation limits productivity of tallgrass prairie. *BioScience* 36: 662-668.
- Knapp, A.K., Briggs, J.M., Blair, J.M. & Turner, C.L. 1998. Patterns and controls of aboveground net primary production in tallgrass prairie. In: Knapp, A.K., Briggs, J.M., Hartnett, D.C. & Collins, S.L. (eds.) *Grassland dynamics: long-term ecological research in tallgrass prairie*, pp. 193-221. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.
- Masters, R.A. & Sheley, R.L. 2001. Principles and practices for managing rangeland invasive plants. *J. Range Manage.* 54: 502-517.
- Matthews, J.W. & Clay, K. 2001. Influence of fungal endophyte infection on plant-soil feedback and community interactions. *Ecology* 82: 500-509.
- Richardson, D.M., Pysek, P., Rejmánek, M., Barbour, M.G., Panetta, F.D. & West, C.J. 2000. Naturalization and invasion of alien plants: concepts and definitions. *Divers. Distrib.* 6: 93-107.
- Smith, M.D. & Knapp, A.K. 1999. Exotic plant species in a C₄-dominated grassland: invasibility, disturbance, and community structure. *Oecologia* 120: 605-612.
- Stubbendieck, J., Hatch, S.L. & Butterfield, C.H. 1997. *North American Range Plants*. University of Nebraska Press, Lincoln, NE, US.
- ter Braak, C.J.F. & Šmilauer, P. 1998. *CANOCO reference manual and user's guide to Canoco for Windows: Software for canonical community ordination (version 4)*. Microcomputer Power, Ithaca, NY, US.
- Tremmel, D.C. & Peterson, K.M. 1983. Competitive subordination of a Piedmont old field successional dominant by an introduced species. *Am. J. Bot.* 70: 1125-1132.
- Waller, S.S. & Lewis, J.K. 1979. Occurrence of C₃ and C₄ photosynthetic pathways in North American grasses. *J. Range Manage.* 32: 12-28.
- Weaver, J.E. 1968. *North American Prairie*. University of Nebraska Press, Lincoln, NE, US.
- Wieder, R.K., Carrel, J.E., Rapp, J.K. & Kucera, C.L. 1983. Decomposition of tall fescue (*Festuca elatior* var. *arundinacea*) and cellulose litter on surface mines and a tallgrass prairie in central Missouri, USA. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 20: 303-321.

Received 22 May 2002;

Accepted 4 February 2004.

Co-ordinating Editor: B. Collins.