University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Faculty Publications from Nebraska Center for Materials and Nanoscience

Materials and Nanoscience, Nebraska Center for (NCMN)

April 2006

Structural and magnetic properties of Laves compounds $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2 (0 \le x \le 1)$

W.J. Ren

Shenyang National Laboratory for Materials Science, Institute of Metal Research and International Centre for Materials Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110016, People's Republic of China

D. Li

Shenyang National Laboratory for Materials Science, Institute of Metal Research and International Centre for Materials Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110016, People's Republic of China

Yucheng Sui University of Nebraska-Lincoln, ysui2@unl.edu

W. Liu Shenyang National Laboratory for Materials Science

X.G. Zhao Shenyang National Laboratory for Materials Science

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cmrafacpub

Part of the Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Commons

Ren, W.J.; Li, D.; Sui, Yucheng; Liu, W.; Zhao, X.G.; Liu, J.J.; Li, J.; and Zhang, Z.D., "Structural and magnetic properties of Laves compounds $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ ($0 \le x \le 1$)" (2006). *Faculty Publications from Nebraska Center for Materials and Nanoscience*. 15. https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/cmrafacpub/15

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Materials and Nanoscience, Nebraska Center for (NCMN) at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications from Nebraska Center for Materials and Nanoscience by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

Authors

W.J. Ren, D. Li, Yucheng Sui, W. Liu, X.G. Zhao, J.J. Liu, J. Li, and Z.D. Zhang

Structural and magnetic properties of Laves compounds $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2 \ (0 \le x \le 1)$

W. J. Ren^{a)} and D. Li

Shenyang National Laboratory for Materials Science, Institute of Metal Research and International Centre for Materials Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110016, People's Republic of China

Y. C. Sui

Department of Physics and Astronomy and Center for Materials Research and Analysis, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588

W. Liu, X. G. Zhao, J. J. Liu, J. Li, and Z. D. Zhang

Shenyang National Laboratory for Materials Science, Institute of Metal Research and International Centre for Materials Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110016, People's Republic of China

(Presented on 2 November 2005; published online 19 April 2006)

 $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ (0 ≤ x ≤ 1) Laves compounds with a cubic MgCu₂-type structure were synthesized by arc melting and subsequent annealing. The lattice parameter of the Laves compounds linearly increases, while the Curie temperature T_C decreases with increasing Pr content. The saturation magnetization M_s at 5 K or 295 K for the $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ alloys decreases to reach a minimum, then increases with increasing Pr content. The composition for magnetic moment compensation is about x=0.55 at 295 K and x=0.65 at 5 K, respectively. The magnetostriction λ_{\parallel} or λ_{\perp} at room temperature was investigated either parallel or perpendicular to the applied field using a standard strain gauge technique. © 2006 American Institute of Physics. [DOI: 10.1063/1.2165929]

I. INTRODUCTION

 RFe_2 ($R \equiv$ rare earth) magnetostrictive Laves compounds possess good characteristics such as large magnetostriction, high magnetomechanical coupling coefficient, very short response time (from applying a magnetic field to getting a strain), and so on. TbFe₂ is an example, which has the largest room-temperature magnetostriction of any known material. TbFe₂ also has a large anisotropy, which is a hindrance to its technical usefulness because a large field is required to obtain the large magnetostriction.¹ PrFe₂ should have a larger magnetostriction than TbFe2 based on the prediction of the single-ion model.¹ Moreover, the magnetocrystalline anisotropy of PrFe₂ is much smaller than that of TbFe₂.² But because of the large radius of Pr³⁺, a pure PrFe₂ compound with a Laves phase structure cannot be formed at ambient pressure.³ Only a partial Pr substitution in stable RFe₂ compounds is possible. However, when the Pr content is over 20 at. % for rare earths, a single phase cannot be obtained in $(R, Pr)Fe_2$ systems.¹ Wang *et al.*⁴ and Guo *et al.*⁵ found that the partial substitution of Co for Fe can stabilize the Pr content in the Laves phase. Ren et al. reported that the introduction of a small amount of B is beneficial to the formation of the Laves phase with a high Pr content.^{6–8} Recently, Ren et al. proved phenomenologically that the anisotropy of Pr³⁺ may be compensated by that of Dy³⁺ using a single-ion approach.⁹ In this paper, the structural and magnetic properties of magnetostrictive compounds $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ ($0 \le x \le 1$) have been investigated.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

samples polycrystalline A11 of $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ alloys with x=0, 0.4, 0.6, 0.7,0.8, 0.9, and 1.0 were prepared by arc melting the appropriate constituent metals in a high-purity argon atmosphere. The purities of the constituents are 99.9% for Dy, Pr, and B and 99.8% for Fe and Co. The ingots were homogenized at 700 °C for 7 days in a high-purity argon atmosphere. X-raydiffraction (XRD) data were recorded at room temperature with Cu K_{α} radiation in a D/max-2500pc diffractrometer. Temperature dependencies of ac initial susceptibility χ_{ac} were recorded at H=2 Oe. The magnetization at 5 and 295 K of the compounds was measured using a superconducting quantum interference device magnetometer at fields of up to 50 kOe. The magnetostriction λ_{\parallel} or λ_{\perp} was measured at room temperature either parallel or perpendicular to the applied fields of up to 12 kOe using a standard strain gauge technique.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

XRD patterns of homogenized $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ alloys are shown in Fig. 1. All the homogenized alloys consist predominantly of the cubic Laves phase with a MgCu₂-type structure, with minor impurity phases. The (h, k, l) of the Laves phase was also indexed in Fig. 1. The formation of the Laves phase with a high Pr content is ascribed to the substitution of Co or B for Fe.⁴⁻⁸

The dependence of the lattice parameter *a* on the nominal Pr content for the Laves phases in $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ alloys is shown in Fig. 2(a). The lattice parameter linearly increases from 0.7275 nm for the

0021-8979/2006/99(8)/08M701/3/\$23.00

99, 08M701-1

^{a)}Electronic mail: wjren@imr.ac.cn



FIG. 1. XRD patterns of homogenized Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})₂ alloys.

Pr-free compound to 0.7383 nm for the Dy-free one with increasing Pr content. The linear regularity suggests that the amount of the impurities is very small and may be neglected. The composition dependence of Curie temperature of the $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ Laves compounds is given in Fig. 2(b). The Curie temperature T_C of the Pr-free $Dy(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ compound is 546 K. This value is consistent with the Curie temperature T_C of the Laves compound with the close composition.¹⁰ When $0.6 \le x \le 1$, T_C linearly decreases with increasing x. The T_C of the Pr-free compound is not in the line, which may be ascribed to some different nature between Pr and other rare-earth ions.^{11,12} It is a pity that the T_C of $Dy_{0.6}Pr_{0.4}(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ is not detectable within the accuracy of the present work. The possible reason is that the magnetization at T_C is very small due to the moment compensation.

The magnetization curves at 5 K for all the $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ alloys are presented in Fig. 3. A



FIG. 2. Composition dependences of (a) lattice parameter *a* and (b) Curie temperature T_C of $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ Laves compounds.



FIG. 3. Magnetization curves of the $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ alloys at 5 K.

jump is observed in every magnetization except the ones for the compounds with x=0.6 and 0.7, which have a low saturation magnetization M_s . This can be reduced to the large anisotropy of the compounds at low temperatures.¹³ The composition dependences of M_s at 5 and 295 K for $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ alloys are shown in Fig. 4. M_s at either 5 or 295 K decreases with increasing Pr content and reaches a minimum value. After that, further increasing Pr content increases M_s . This can be understood by the magnetic-moment compensation: the moment of Pr (or Dy) ions aligns parallel (or antiparallel) with the moment of Fe/Co. The composition for magnetic-moment compensation is about x=0.55 at 295 K and x=0.65 at 5 K, respectively.

Figure 5 shows the room-temperature magnetostriction λ_{\parallel} of the $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ alloys. The λ_{\parallel} for the



FIG. 4. Composition dependences of saturation magnetization M_s at 5 and 295 K for $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ alloys.

Downloaded 18 Apr 2007 to 129.93.17.223. Redistribution subject to AIP license or copyright, see http://jap.aip.org/jap/copyright.jsp



FIG. 5. Magnetic field dependence of λ_{\parallel} at room temperature for polycrystalline $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ alloys.

alloys with $0 \le x \le 0.6$ is negative and initially goes to a negative maximum, then increases with increasing *H*. This character is in agreement with the abnormal magnetostriction of DyFe₂ and DyCo₂.^{1,14} The λ_{\parallel} for the Dy_{0.4}Pr_{0.6}(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})₂ alloy changes its sign when $H \ge 10$ kOe. It can be understood by the competition between the normal magnetostriction for PrFe₂ and DyCo₂. The λ_{\parallel} for the abnormal magnetostriction for DyFe₂ and DyCo₂. The λ_{\parallel} for the abnormal magnetostriction for DyFe₂ and DyCo₂. The λ_{\parallel} for the alloys with $x \ge 0.7$ is positive and increases with increasing *H*. λ_{\parallel} decreases with increasing Pr content when 0.8 $\le x \le 1$ and Pr(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})₂ alloys donot possess large magnetostriction. It may be ascribed to the decrease of the Curie temperature. For instance, T_C is only 322 K for Pr(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})₂. The features of λ_{\perp} are opposite to those of λ_{\parallel} for all the alloys (not shown).

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the structural and magnetic properties of magnetostrictive compounds $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ $(0 \le x \le 1)$ have been investigated. The lattice parameter of the Laves compounds linearly increases with increasing Pr content. The Curie temperature T_C decreases when x is increased from 0 to 1. In the range of $0.6 \le x \le 1$, the decrement is linearly dependent of the Pr content. The saturation magnetization M_s at 5 K for the $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ compounds decreases and reaches a minimum, then increases with increasing x. The saturation magnetization M_s at 295 K has a similar variation to that at 5 K. The composition for magnetic-moment compensation is about x=0.54 at 295 K and x=0.65 at 5 K, respectively. The abnormal magnetostriction of the $Dy_{1-x}Pr_x(Fe_{0.35}Co_{0.55}B_{0.1})_2$ alloys with $0 \le x \le 0.6$ originates mainly from that of $DyCo_2$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 50501021 and 50332020.

- ¹A. E. Clark, in *Ferromagnetic Materials*, edited by E. P. Wohlfarth (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980), Vol. 1, p. 531.
- ²Y. X. Li, G. Z. Xu, J. P. Qu, C. C. Tang, G. H. Wu, and W. S. Zhan, J. Mater. Sci. Technol. 16, 179 (2000).
- ³M. Shimotomai, H. Miyake, and M. Doyama, J. Phys. F: Met. Phys. **10**, 707 (1980).
- ⁴B. W. Wang, Z. J. Guo, Z. D. Zhang, X. G. Zhao, and S. C. Busbridge, J. Appl. Phys. **85**, 2805 (1999).
- ⁵Z. J. Guo, S. C. Busbridge, Z. D. Zhang, X. G. Zhao, D. Y. Geng, and B. W. Wang, IEEE Trans. Magn. **36**, 3217 (2000).
- ⁶W. J. Ren, Z. D. Zhang, A. S. Markosyan, X. G. Zhao, X. M. Jin, and X. P. Song, J. Phys. D **34**, 3024 (2001).
- [']W. J. Ren, Z. D. Zhang, J. P. Liu, X. G. Zhao, W. Liu, D. Y. Geng, and X. M. Jin, J. Appl. Phys. **91**, 8207 (2002).
- ⁸W. J. Ren, Z. D. Zhang, X. G. Zhao, C. Y. You, and D. Y. Geng, J. Alloys Compd. **359**, 119 (2003).
- ⁹W. J. Ren, Z. D. Zhang, X. P. Song, X. G. Zhao, and X. M. Jin, Appl. Phys. Lett. **82**, 2664 (2003).
- ¹⁰K. R. Dhilsha and K. V. S. Rama Rao, J. Appl. Phys. **73**, 1380 (1993).
- ¹¹C. Meyer, F. Hartmann-Boutron, Y. Gros, and Y. Berthier, J. Phys. (Paris) 42, 605 (1981).
- ¹²K. H. J. Buschow, in *Ferromagnetic Materials*, edited by E. P. Wohlfarth (*North-Holland*, Amsterdam, 1980), Vol. 4, p. 297.
- ¹³M. Senthil Kumar, K. V. Keddy, and K. V. S. Rama Rao, J. Alloys Compd. 242, 70 (1996).
- ¹⁴A. del Moralt and D. Melville, J. Phys. F: Met. Phys. 5, 1767 (1975).