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## ON A THEOREM OF HÖLDER

DONALD W. MILLER, University of Wisconsin and University of Nebraska

1. Introduction. A well-known result, due to Hölder [1], is the following: The symmetric group $S_{n}$ has outer automorphisms if and only if $n=6$. The classical proof of the existence of a class of outer automorphisms of $S_{6}$, as formulated by Burnside [2], rests in part on the theory of primitive groups and entails extensive computation. In this note we offer a direct method for constructing such automorphisms.

The author is grateful to Professor R. H. Bruck for raising this problem and for subsequent helpful remarks.
2. Construction of an outer automorphism of $S_{6}$. Let $S_{6}$ be defined on the set $M=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$; let $I$ denote the identity of $S_{6}$. Call two elements of $S_{6}$ disjoint if no element of $M$ is displaced by both of them.

Define the mapping $\psi$ by: (1 2) $\psi=(12)(36)(45)=P_{2},(13) \psi=(13)(24)(56)$ $=P_{3},(14) \psi=(14)(26)(35)=P_{4},(15) \psi=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 5\end{array}\right)(23)(46)=P_{5},(16) \psi$ $=(16)(25)(34)=P_{6}$. Write $N=\{2,3,4,5,6\}, \mathcal{P}=\left\{P_{i} \mid i \in N\right\}$. Note that the elements of $\mathcal{P}$ include as factors the 15 distinct transpositions of $S_{6}$; consequently $\mathcal{P}$ is transitive on $M$. Moreover, for $i, j, k \in M, i \neq j$,

$$
P_{i}^{2}=I, \quad k P_{i} \neq k P_{j}, \quad i P_{j} \neq i .
$$

Note that $i P_{j}=j P_{i}$ implies $i=j$. For if $i P_{j}=j P_{i}=k$ then $P_{i}=(1 i)(j k)(r s)$, $P_{j}=(1 j)(i k)(r s)$, so $i=j$. Also, $P_{i} P_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}i & j & j P_{i} P_{j} \cdots\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \imath P_{j}\end{array} \quad i P_{j} P_{i} P_{j} \cdots\right.\end{array}\right]$. Hence $\left(j P_{i} P_{j}\right) P_{i} P_{j}$ equals $i$ or 1 . But in the latter case $j P_{i} P_{j}=1 P_{j} P_{i}=j P_{i}$, whereas $P_{j}$ fixes no element of $M$. Thus $P_{i} P_{j}$ has order three, so $P_{i} P_{j} P_{i}=P_{j} P_{i} P_{j}$, all $i, j \in N$.

If $i, j, k$ are distinct elements of $N$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
i P_{j}=j P_{k}=k P_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

cannot hold. For, if so, write $i P_{j}=q$ and $N=\{i, j, k, q, r\}$. Now $q=f P_{r}$ for some $f$ in $M$. Certainly $f$ is not one of $i, j, k$, or $q$. But if $f=r$ then $q=r P_{r}=1$, contradicting $i \neq j$.

If $P_{i}, P_{j}, P_{k}$ are distinct elements of $\odot$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{i} P_{k} P_{j}\right) P_{i}=P_{j}\left(P_{i} P_{k} P_{j}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is sufficient to prove that $P_{k}$ commutes with $P_{i} P_{j} P_{i}$, for then $P_{k} P_{i} P_{j} P_{i}$ $=P_{i} P_{j} P_{i} P_{k}, \quad P_{i} P_{k} P_{i} P_{j} P_{i}=P_{j} P_{i} P_{k}, \quad P_{i} P_{k} P_{j} P_{i} P_{j}=P_{j} P_{i} P_{k} P_{j} P_{j}, \quad P_{i} P_{k} P_{j} P_{i}$ $=P_{j} P_{i} P_{k} P_{j}$. Now

$$
Q=P_{i} P_{j} P_{i}=P_{j} P_{i} P_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \left.i P_{j} P_{i}\right)(i & j P_{i}
\end{array}\right)\left(j \quad i P_{j}\right) .
$$

Each of the three transpositions of $Q$ is a factor of some $P_{k}, k \neq i, j$. If $Q$ should have two cycles in common with some $P_{t}$ then $Q=P_{t}$. But in that case the dis-
played representation of $Q$ would yield $i P_{j}=j P_{t}, i P_{j} P_{i}=t$ (so $i P_{j}=t P_{i}$ ), whence $t P_{i}=i P_{j}=j P_{t}$, contradicting (1). (Thus we can write $Q=(a b)(c d)(e f), P_{k}$ $=(a b)(c f)(d e)$. But then $Q P_{k}=(c e)(d f)=P_{k} Q$.

If $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}, B, C$ are distinct elements of $\mathcal{P}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(C A_{1} \cdots A_{n} B\right)=\left(C A_{1} \cdots A_{n} B\right) C . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n=1$, (3) follows from (2). Assume inductively that (3) holds for $n$; then $B\left(C A_{1} \cdots A_{n} A_{n+1} B\right)$
$=B\left(C A_{1} \cdots A_{n} B\right)\left(B A_{n+1} B\right)=\left(C A_{1} \cdots A_{n} B C\right)\left(A_{n+1} B A_{n+1}\right)$
$=\left(C A_{1} \cdots A_{n} A_{n+1}\right)\left(A_{n+1} B C A_{n+1}\right) B A_{n+1}=\left(C A_{1} \cdots A_{n} A_{n+1}\right)\left(B C A_{n+1} B\right) B A_{n+1}$
$=\left(C A_{1} \cdots A_{n} A_{n+1} B\right) C$.
Further, if $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}, B, C$ are distinct elements of $\mathcal{P}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C B\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{n}\right) B=B\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{n}\right) B C . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For by (3), $C B A_{1} \cdots A_{n} B=B C\left(B C A_{1} \cdots A_{n} B\right)=B C\left(C A_{1} \cdots A_{n} B C\right)$ $=B\left(A_{1} \cdots A_{n} B C\right)$.

Define the mapping $\theta$ as follows. Let $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}$ be distinct elements of $N$ and write $\left(1 a_{i}\right) \psi=A_{i}$. Then set

$$
\begin{gather*}
I \theta=I, \quad\left(1 a_{1} \cdots a_{n}\right) \theta=A_{1} \cdots A_{n}, \\
\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\right) \theta=A_{n} A_{1} A_{2} \cdots A_{n}, \quad(Q R) \theta=(Q \theta)(R \theta), \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $Q, R$ are arbitrary disjoint cycles of $S_{6}$. By (3),

$$
\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n}\right) \theta=A_{1} A_{2} \cdots A_{n} A_{1}
$$

Clearly $\theta$ maps $S_{6}$ into itself.
To show that $\theta$ is single-valued it will be sufficient to establish that if $Q$ $=\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{m}\right), R=\left(b_{1} \cdots b_{n}\right)$ are arbitrary disjoint cycles in $S_{6}$, then
(i) $(Q R) \theta=(R Q) \theta$;
(ii) $\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{m}\right) \theta=\left(a_{2} a_{3} \cdots a_{m} a_{1}\right) \theta$.

If $Q$ displaces 1 then $Q \theta$ is uniquely defined; if not, (ii) follows from (3). As to (i), suppose without loss of generality that $R$ does not displace 1 ; then $R \theta$ is of the form $B A_{1} \cdots A_{n} B$, so by successive applications of (4), $(Q R) \theta=(Q \theta)(R \theta)$ $=(R \theta)(Q \theta)=(R Q) \theta$.

For arbitrary elements $Q, R$ of $S_{6},(Q R) \theta=(Q \theta)(R \theta)$. To prove this it is sufficient to consider the case where $R$ is a transposition (since every element of $S_{6}$ is a product of transpositions). If $Q$ and $R$ are disjoint the asserted relation is trivial. Hence we write $Q$ as a product of disjoint cycles and let $Q^{\prime}$ denote the product of those factors of $Q$ which are not disjoint from $R$. We need to show that $\left(Q^{\prime} R\right) \theta=\left(Q^{\prime} \theta\right)(R \theta)$.

Let $1, e, f, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}, b_{1}, \cdots, b_{n}$ denote distinct elements of $M$.
(i) If $Q^{\prime}=\left(1 a_{1} \cdots a_{m}\right), \quad R=\left(1 b_{1}\right), \quad$ then $\quad\left(Q^{\prime} \theta\right)(R \theta)=A_{1} \cdots A_{m} B_{1}$ $=\left(1 a_{1} \cdots a_{m} b_{1}\right) \theta=\left(Q^{\prime} R\right) \theta$.
(ii) If $Q^{\prime}=\left(e a_{1} \cdots a_{m}\right), V=\left(e b_{1} \cdots b_{n}\right)$, then $\left(Q^{\prime} \theta\right)(V \theta)=\left(E A_{1} \cdots A_{m} E\right)$ $\cdot\left(E B_{1} \cdots B_{n} E\right)=E A_{1} \cdots A_{m} B_{1} \cdots B_{n} E=\left(e a_{1} \cdots a_{m} b_{1} \cdots b_{n}\right) \theta=\left(Q^{\prime} V\right) \theta$.
(iii) If $Q^{\prime}=\left(1 a_{1} \cdots a_{m}\right.$ e $\left.b_{1} \cdots b_{n}\right), R=(1 e)$, with $m, n \geqq 0$, then $\left(Q^{\prime} \theta\right)(R \theta)$ $=A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\left(E B_{1} \cdots B_{n} E\right)=A_{1} \cdots A_{m} B_{n} E B_{1} \cdots B_{n}=\left[\left(1 a_{1} \cdots a_{m}\right)\right.$ $\left.\cdot\left(e b_{1} \cdots b_{n}\right)\right] \theta=\left(Q^{\prime} R\right) \theta$.
(iv) If $Q^{\prime}=\left(1 a_{1} \cdots a_{m}\right)\left(e b_{1} \cdots b_{n}\right), R=(1 e)$, then $\left(Q^{\prime} \theta\right)(R \theta)=A_{1} \cdots$ $A_{m} E B_{1} \cdots B_{n} E E=A_{1} \cdots A_{m} E B_{1} \cdots B_{n}=\left(1 a_{1} \cdots a_{m} e b_{1} \cdots b_{n}\right) \theta=\left(Q^{\prime} R\right) \theta$.
(v) If $Q^{\prime}=\left(e a_{1} \cdots a_{m} f b_{1} \cdots b_{n}\right), R=(e f)$, with $m, n \geqq 0$, then by (4), $\left(Q^{\prime} \theta\right)(R \theta)=\left(E A_{1} \cdots A_{m} F B_{1} \cdots B_{n} E\right)(E F E)=\left(E A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right)\left(F B_{1} \cdots B_{n} F E\right)$ $=\left(E A_{1} \cdots A_{m}\right)\left(E F B_{1} \cdots B_{n} F\right)=\left[\left(e a_{1} \cdots a_{m}\right)\left(f b_{1} \cdots b_{n}\right)\right] \theta=\left(Q^{\prime} R\right) \theta$.
(vi) If $Q^{\prime}=\left(e a_{1} \cdots a_{m}\right)\left(f b_{1} \cdots b_{n}\right)=Q_{1}^{\prime} Q_{2}^{\prime}, \quad R=(e f), \quad$ then, by (ii), $\left(Q^{\prime} \theta\right)(R \theta)=\left(Q_{1}^{\prime} \theta\right)\left(Q_{2}^{\prime} \theta\right)(R \theta)=\left(Q_{1}^{\prime} Q_{2}^{\prime} R\right) \theta=\left(Q^{\prime} R\right) \theta$.
$\theta$ is an automorphism of $S_{6}$. Indeed, the kernel, $K$, of $\theta$ is a normal subgroup of $S_{6}$, so $K$ is one of $S_{6}, A_{6},\{I\}$, where $A_{6}$ denotes the alternating group of degree 6 . But $[(36)(45)] \theta=(36)(45)$, so $K \neq S_{6}, K \neq A_{6}$. Therefore $K=\{I\}$ so $\theta$ is $1-1$ and hence an automorphism.

Finally, $\theta$ is outer since (135) $\theta=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 \\ 6\end{array}\right)(354)$, whereas if $\theta$ were inner it would map every conjugate class of $S_{6}$ onto itself. This completes the proof.

We observe in conclusion that all outer automorphisms of $S_{6}$ are obtainable with the aid of the above construction. Indeed, as shown by Hölder [1], the automorphism group of $S_{6}$ has order $1440=2(6!)$; thus the group, 7 , of inner automorphisms is of index 2 in the full automorphism group. Hence if $\theta$ is any outer automorphism of $S_{6}$ then the right coset $J \theta$ includes all outer automorphisms of $S_{6}$.
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