University of Nebraska - Lincoln

DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

Faculty Publications: Department of Entomology

Entomology, Department of

11-23-1993

United States Patent: 609 Buffalograss

Terrance P. Riordan

Frederick P. Baxendale University of Nebraska-Lincoln, fbaxendale1@unl.edu

Susan de Shazer

Edward Kinbacher

Jeana Svoboda

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/entomologyfacpub



Part of the Entomology Commons

Riordan, Terrance P.; Baxendale, Frederick P.; de Shazer, Susan; Kinbacher, Edward; Svoboda, Jeana; Engelke, Milton; and Wit, Jr., Leonard, "United States Patent: 609 Buffalograss" (1993). Faculty Publications: Department of Entomology. 156.

https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/entomologyfacpub/156

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Entomology, Department of at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications: Department of Entomology by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

hors ance P. Riordan; Frederick P. Baxendale; Susan de Shazer; Edward Kinbacher; Jeana Svoboda; N elke; and Leonard Wit, Jr.	∕liltor



US00PP08475P

United States Patent [19]

Riordan et al.

Plant 8,475 [11] Patent Number: Nov. 23, 1993 [45] Date of Patent:

[54]] 609 BUFFALOGRASS		[56]	References Cited	
[75]	Inventors: Terrance P. Riordan; Frederick P. Baxendale; Susan A. de Shazer; Edward J. Kinbacher, all of Lincoln,		U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS		
			P.P. 7,539	5/1991 Engelke et al Plt. 90	
	Nebr.; Okla.; l Richard	Nebr.; Jeana L. F. Svoboda, Altus, Okla.; Milton C. Engelke, Richardson, Tex.; Leonard A. Wit,	Primary Examiner—James R. Feyrer Attorney, Agent, or Firm—Vincent L. Carney		
		Jr., Bennet, Nebr.	[57]	ABSTRACT	
[73]	Assignee:	The Board of Regents of the University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebr.	A vegetatively reproduced buffalograss cultivar, name 609 Buffalograss, is distinguished by its excellent overal turfgrass quality, rate of establishment, good cold toler		
[21]	Appl. No.:	755,829		nsity, and excellent color. This cultivar is ow maintenance conditions and has the	
[22]	Filed:	Sep. 6, 1991		ntain growth and color later into the fall	
[51] [52] [58]	•		than other wa	arm season grasses.	
[26]			3 Drawing Sheets		

1

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Buffalograss, Buchloe dactyloides (Nutt) Engelm., is a perennial, low growing, drought tolerant species native to the Central and Southern Great Plains that spreads 5 by profusely branching stolons and thrives under semiarid conditions with heavy to moderate grazing.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

609 Buffalograss is distinguished from other commer- 10 Buffalograss sod below and Prairie at the top. cially available cultivars in being a vegetatively propagated female plant with a darker green color than 'Prairie' Buffalograss. 609 Buffalograss has better overall quality, appearance, density and uniformity than seeded varieties. It has a vigorous, low growing growth habit 15 and is more competitive than all commercially available Buffalograsses, other than Prairie. 609 Buffalograss provides an attractive, wear tolerant turf which requires less water, fertilizer and mowing than other turfgrass species. These characteristics, along with on-site 20 testing, having shown that 609 Buffalograss is well adapted to golf course roughs, home lawns, and institutional areas requiring a reduced management level.

A single plant of the genotype 609 Buffalograss was selected from a nursery of plants. The nursery of plants 25 from which the selection was made was grown from a single female plant designated TAES 1321.1. This nursery was not maintained after 1984, in Texas and the germ plasm was transferred to Nebraska. The single female plant TAES 1321.1 had been selected from a field grown from seed. The seed was from an open pollinated hybridization nursery of: (1) a plant found in a native stand in 1980 in Austin, Tex. designated TAES 1321; and, (2) 149 other native ascessions.

This female genotype was found in a plot labeled 35 1321.1 which was originally collected in Austin, Tex. This selection was identified as NE 84-609 and evaluated at the John Seaton Anderson Turfgrass Research Facility near Mead, Nebraska. The female genotype 40 was propagated vegetatively by stolons and pre-rooted plugs to provide planting stock for studying performance and making comparisons to "Texoka", a com-

mercial standard; "Prairie", a new release from Texas A&M.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE ILLUSTRATIONS

FIG. 1 is a photograph showing a field of NE 84-609 on the right and a field of Prairie Buffalograss on the

FIG. 2 is a photograph showing a field of NE 84-609

FIG. 3 is a photograph showing a NE 84-609 breeder's field.

FIG. 4 is a photograph of NE 84-609 in Arizona.

FIG. 5 is a photograph of PCR DNA Fingerprint for Buffalograsses: NE 84-609—No. 5; Prairie—No. 7; and NE 84-378-No. 6, University of California-Davis, Dr. Lin Wu.

FIG. 6 is a photograph of a NE 84-609 plant.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PLANT

The excellent overall turfgrass quality, rate of establishment, good cold tolerance, high density and drought tolerance of Buffalograss along with other information allow this genotype to be distinguished from other Buffalograsses. Vegetative propagation of 609 Buffalograss from plugs or sod pieces permits maintenance of cultivar with no genetic variation.

Gentotype Buffalograss is a female plant from a dioecious species which has a yellow anther color. The growth characteristics of buffalograss can be used to distinguish one cultivar from others. 609 Buffalograss, Prairie, Texoka and the Nebraska experimentals are all female clones, but eventually male clones will be devel-

The internodes of 609 Buffalograss are similar to "Texoka" in width, but longer in length (Table 1). The length of internode one of 609 Buffalograss is longer than NE 84-315 and NE 84-378, but internode widths are all the same. The tiller leaf blade width is similar to "Texoka" and other experimentals, but the tiller leaf blade length is much smaller for all tillers measured (Tables 2 and 3). Measurements of the spikelet and

length indicate that Buffalograss has a shorter spikelet than the standard "Texoka" (Table 4). At the UNL research facility, 609 Buffalograss produced more, lower growing inflorescences than Texoka or NE 84-315. 609 Buffalograss had inflorescences comparable 5 to NE 85-378; however, its canopy is medium and NE 85-378 is open (Table 5).

609 Buffalograss has been evaluated at several locations throughout the United States. In most tests 609 Buffalograss was compared to "Texoka" a commercial 10 standard; "Prairie" a new release from Texas A&M; and other experimentals which are being considered for release.

609 Buffalograss had an excellent rate of establishment (Tables 6 and 7). The Texas A&M-Dallas trial 15 shows that 609 Buffalograss and "Prairie", both well adapted to the deep South, had better establishment than "Texoka" or other Nebraska selections. The Southern Illinois study indicated that 609 Buffalograss showed slower establishment than the better adapted 20 northern selections, and was more sensitive to over applications of Princip ® (Table 8). Stolen production and stolon length at Texas A&M-Dallas showed that 609 Buffalograss produced more stolons and larger stolons than "Texoka" or other Nebraska selections 25 (Table 9). At Nebraska, 609 Buffalograss exhibited excellent establishment in all plantings, including increases of material for plant breeders' nurseries and experimental plot area. David Doguet, of Crenshaw & Doguet Turfgrass, Inc., reported that 609 Buffalograss 30 Form: Monocot gramineae. showed slightly faster establishment than "Prairie" buffalograss under sod farm conditions.

The most definitive way to differentiate 609 from "Prairie" is a DNA fingerprint. Work conducted at the University of California-Davis has distinguished 609 35 Buffalograss from "Prairie" with at least two primers. Primer AO-1 provides a very clear separation of these two cultivars (FIG. 1).

Buffalograss is a warm season species and will green up later and go dormant earlier than cool season species 40 such as Kentucky bluegrass. Although this characteristic may be a negative in the northern part of the United States, buffalograss may have a longer growing season than other warm season turfgrasses in the South. Spring green-up has been evaluated at both the University of 45 Nebraska and at Texas A&M-Dallas. 609 Buffalograss had a spring green-up rate similar to Texoka in Nebraska and similar to Prairie in Texas (Tables 10 and 11). Although an earlier spring green-up and a later dormancy in the North would be advantageous, it is possi- 50 ble that cold hardiness would be lost. 609 Buffalograss may have slightly less cold hardiness than Texoka when grown in the North.

Turfgrass color is an important component of turfgrass quality. At Texas A&M-Dallas, 609 Buffalograss 55 Internode length: 4-10 cm (internode 1). had color ratings superior to the commercial standards Texoka and Prairie. (Tables 12 and 13).

Turfgrass quality is a rating used to indicate the aesthetic value of a turf cultivar. This characteristic is very important in buffalograss because its turf characteristics 60 have been overlooked in the past. 609 Buffalograss had outstanding turfgrass quality at each location in the South (Tables 14, 15, 16, and 17). In each location, 609 Buffalograss had quality comparable or superior to Prairie, Texoka and Nebraska experimentals. At the 65 University of Nebraska, 609 Buffalograss had turfgrass quality ratings comparable to Texoka in the spring and higher ratings during the summer (Table 18). At the

Crenshaw & Douget sod farm, Bastrop, Tex., 609 Buffalograss had an excellent, high quality sod.

Reduced water use and drought stress avoidance are important characteristics of drought resistance in buffalograss, contributing to its lower maintenance cost. 609 Buffalograss has been shown to have drought stress tolerance at University of Arizona (Table 19) and at the University of Nebraska (Table 22). Water use rates of 609 Buffalograss have been comparable to Texoka and Prairie in Nebraska (Table 23). The water use rates of all three cultivars are less than those of other turf spe-

Density is an important component of turfgrass quality. In studies at the University of Arizona and at the University of Nebraska, 609 Buffalograss had turfgrass density ratings equal to or better than Texoka (Table 24). This density has permitted 609 Buffalograss sod to be harvested three months after planting at the Crenshaw & Douget sod farm.

The Variety

Origin: Cultivar of a single superior female plant selected from the progeny of a plant collected in Austin, Tex., and open-pollinated by a collection of native accessions from the Great Plains.

Classification:

Botanic.—Buchloe dactyloids (Nutt.) Engelm. Chromosome number.—2n chromosomes=40.

Growth habit: A perennial female plant, with a stoloniferous growth habit, which allows it to be vegetatively propagated. It is able to spread rapidly under non-competitive conditions when conditions are favorable for stolon production. It has a very fibrous root system which can have a depth of 100 to 150 cm. It will produce a dense, fine textured turf with excellent color throughout most of the growing season.

Establishment rate:

Plugs.—8 to 10 weeks with irrigation.

Sod.—1 to 2 weeks.

Sprigs.—Not recommended.

Adaptation: North/South from the Nebraska-South Dakota border to Central Mexico and East/West from Georgia to California.

Blade:

Shape.—Long, slender.

Length (mature).—Approximately 10-12 cm.

Width.—Approximately 1.0-1.1 mm.

Pubescence.—Minimal compared to other buffalograsses.

Mature plant height: 10 to 12 cm.

Above canopy stolon production: minimal compared to

Internode width: 0.9 mm.

Node pigmentation: green.

Stolon color¹:

Midsummer.—Typically green (143B).

Late fall.—Purple (65D) or green (142B).

Winter.—Brown (164C).

Leaf Color:

Midsummer.—Bluegreen (141C) to dark green (141A).

Winter.—Brown (164C).

Soil adaptation:

Heavy soils.—Silty clay loam preferred, slightly acid to alkaline pH.

Female inflorescence: Present, but not readily apparent. Male inflorescence: Absent. 1RHA Colour Chart Designations

COMPARATIVE DATA

The following tables provide data comparisons of selected characteristics between 609 Buffalograss, Prairie, Texoka and Nebraska experimentals.

TABLE 1

Internode Length and Width:
University of Nebraska Greenhouse
Winter 1988

	Internode Length (cm) ¹		Internode Width (mm) ¹		-
	Inter- node 1	Inter- node 2	Inter- node 1	Inter- node 2	15
609 Buffalograss	7.2 ± 3.0	2.0 ± 2.5	0.9 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.2	•
Texoka NE 84-315	6.6 ± 1.7 4.2 ± 0.7	6.2 ± 0.4 4.3 ± 0.9	0.8 ± 0.1 0.8 ± 0.1	0.9 ± 0.1 0.8 ± 0.1	
NE 85-378	4.7 ± 0.9	4.4 ± 0.4	0.8 ± 0.1	0.8 ± 0.1	- 20 -

Average of 10 measurements

TABLE 2

Leaf Tiller Length Characteristics: University of Nebraska Greenhouse Winter 1988

		Leaf Tiller Length ¹				
	Right	Right Tiller		Tiller		
	Tiller 1	Tiller 2	Tiller 1	Tiller 2		
609 Buffalograss Texoka	3.5 ± 1.0 4.8 ± 1.8	1.9 ± 0.9 3.9 ± 1.5	2.8 ± 1.0 5.6 ± 2.3	1.8 ± 0.5 4.5 ± 1.6	30	
NE 84-315 NE 85-378	4.0 ± 1.2 5.1 ± 1.8	3.1 ± 1.2 2.9 ± 1.3	3.7 ± 1.6 4.5 ± 1.1	2.9 ± 1.2 3.2 ± 1.7		

Average of 10 measurements

TABLE 3

Leaf Tiller Width Characteristics: University of Nebraska Greenhouse Winter 1988

		Leaf Tiller	Width (mm)1	
	Right Tiller		Left '	Tiller
	Tiller 1	Tiller 2	Tiller 1	Tiller 2
609 Buffalograss	1.2 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.5		
Texoka	1.2 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.4	1.2 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.4
NE 84-315	1.2 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.2	1.2 ± 0.3
NE 85-378	1.2 ± 0.2	1.4 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.2	1.3 ± 0.1

¹Average of 10 measurements

TABLE 4

Spikelet Length Characteristics: University of Nebraska John Seaton Anderson Turfgrass Research Facility Summer 1991

Spikelet Length (cm) ¹						
3.5 ± 0.7						
10.8 ± 2.0						
3.9 ± 1.0						
4.2 ± 1.1						

¹Average of 20 measurements

TABLE 5

Canopy Density and Inflorescence Characteristics: JSA Buffalograss Trial, 7/4/89 (Fetablished June 1987)

Experimental	Canopy Density ¹	Inflorescense	Inflorescense Height (cm)	65
609 Buffalograss	2.2 a*	21.2 abc	2.8 c	_
Texoka	2.2 a	10.5 b c	8.9 b	
NE 84-315	1.2 b	70 c	13 4 .	

TABLE 5-continued

Canopy Density and Inflorescence Characteristics: JSA Buffalograss Trial, 7/4/89

***	(Established June 1987)			
Experimental	Canopy Density ¹	Inflorescense	Inflorescense Height (cm)	
NE 84-378	1.2 b	17.8 abc	2.4 c	

¹Canopy Density is rated 1 = open, 2 = average, 3 = closed

TABLE 6

Establishment Vigor: 1990 Colorado State Buffalograss Trial Fort Collins, Colorado¹ (Est. 9/89) Establishment Vigor²

Experimental	perimental May		% 6/13 Spring Survival
609 Buffalograss	2.71	3.3	92
Prairie	1.7	1.7	33
Texoka	3.0	3.7	100
NE 84-315	2.3	3.0	100
NE 85-378	3.0	4.0	100
LSD (.05)	0.9		

Data taken by Dr. R. Cuany

25

35

45

TABLE 7

Percent Cover: Buffalograss Regional Trial, Dallas TX1 (Est. 5/17/88)

Experimental	6/23/88	7/26/88	3/25/89	4/8/89
609 Buffalograss	19.3 ab*	41.3 ab	87.7 a	88.3 a
Prairie	21.0 a	56.7 a	96.7 a	100.0 a
Texoka	12.3 bc	21.0 с	78.3 ab	85.0 ab
NE 84-315	7.3 c	- 18.0 c	60.0 Ъ	70.0 Ъ
NE 85-378	7.3 c	19.3 c	80.0 a	86.7 ab

^{40 *}Means within a column followed by the small letter and not significantly different using the Waller-Duncan multiple comparison procedures (K = 100)

TABLE 8

Establishment Percent Cover 1990: Southern Illinois Buffalograss Trial Carbondale, Illinois¹ (Est. 5/34/90)

		Establishment Percent Cover					
	Experimental	6/12	7/17	8/15 ²	9/17	10/18	
50	609 Buffalograss	25.0 a*	53.3 d	5.0 b	33.3 b	55.0 b	
	Texoka	20.7 a	83.3 abc	63.3 a	86.7 a	91.7 a	
	NE 84-315	25.0 a	98.3 a	83.3 a	98.7 a	99.7 a	
	NE 85-378	173 .	00 0 ab	70 2 -	060 -	067.	

^{*}Means within a column followed by the small letter and not significantly different

LSD (.05)

60

TABLE 9

Stolon Production and Length 1988: Buffalograss Regional Trial, Dallas, TX1 (Est. 5/17/88)

	# Stolons	Stolon Length cm.				
Experimental 609 Buffalograss Prairie	49 days	49 days	57 days	70 days		
609 Buffalograss	10.7 ab*	6.1 a	8.0 a	10.6 a		
Prairie	17.1 a	5.4 ab	7.5 ab	9.5 ab		
Texoka	4.1 b	2.5 c	4.9 cde	6.5 d		
NE 84-315	8.1 b	2.6 с	3.5 e	5.1 d		

^{*}Means within a column followed by the small letter and not significantly different using the Waller-Duncan multiple comparison procedures (K \approx 100)

²1 to 4 scale with 4 best establishment vigor LSD (.05)

Data taken by Dr. B. Ruemmele

using the Waller-Duncan multiple comparison procedures (K = 100) 55 Data taken by Dr. K. Diesburg

²Herbicide Damage Occurred

5

15

40

TABLE 9-continued

Stolon Production and Length 1988:
Buffalograss Regional Trial, Dallas, TX1
(Est. 5/17/88)

	# Stolons	Sto	Stolon Length cm.			
Experimental	49 days	49 days 57 days 70 da		70 days		
NE 84-378	4.0 b	2.2 c	4.4 de	6.1 d		

*Means within a column followed by the small letter and not significantly different using the Waller-Duncan multiple comparison procedures (K = 100)
Data taken by Dr. B. Ruemmele

TABLE 13-continued

Turfgrass Color:
1990 Season Buffalograss Clonal Evaluation
John Seaton Anderson Facility
Mead, Nebraska (Est. 1986)

		Turfgrass Color ¹						
Experimental	6/8	6/15	7/30	8/10	9/13	AVG		
MEANS	6.8	6.5	6.6	6.7	7.2	6.7		
LSD (0.05)	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.2	1.1			

10 Turfgrass color is rated 1-9, with 1 = brown, 5 = med green, and 9 = dark green.

TABLE 10

Spring Greenup: JSA Buffalograss Trial, Mead, Nebraska 1989 (Est. June 1987)

	Sı	<u> </u>		
Experimental	4/25	5/4	5/11	
609 Buffalograss	3.5 a*	6.6 a	7.0 a	_
Texoka	3.5 a	7.0 a	7.6 a	
NE 84-315	1.8 b	4.8 ъ	5.5 b	20
NE 85-378	1.8 ъ	4.4 b	5.5 b	

Spring greenup is rated 1-9, with 9= most green *Means within a column followed by the small letter and not significantly different using the Waller-Duncan multiple comparison procedures (K=100)

TABLE 14

Turfgrass Quality:									
1990 University of Arizona	Buffalograss	Trial 1							
(Est. 9/4/	88)								

		Turfgrass Q	Ganty	
5/9	5/29	6/13	6/24	7/29
5.3 a*	6.9 a	8.3 a	8.0 a	7.0 a
3.7 ab	6.8 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	7.0 a
5.0 a	4.3 b	5.3 b	5.3 b	3.7 bc
5.3 a	6.8 a	7.3 a	7.3 a	3.3 c
5.0 a	6.6 a	8.0 a	8.0 a	4.3 b
	5.3 a* 3.7 ab 5.0 a 5.3 a	5.3 a* 6.9 a 3.7 ab 6.8 a 5.0 a 4.3 b 5.3 a 6.8 a	5.3 a* 6.9 a 8.3 a 3.7 ab 6.8 a 8.0 a 5.0 a 4.3 b 5.3 b 5.3 a 6.8 a 7.3 a	5.3 a* 6.9 a 8.3 a 8.0 a 3.7 ab 6.8 a 8.0 a 8.0 a 5.0 a 4.3 b 5.3 b 5.3 b 5.3 a 6.8 a 7.3 a 7.3 a

		Turfgrass Quality ²							
25	Experimental	9.6	10/31	11/15	11/25	12/20			
•	609 Buffalograss	5.7 a	5.3 a	5.7 a	4.7 a	4.7 a			
	Prairie	4.0 abc	4.0 b	5.3 a	4.3 a	3.0 bc			
	Texoka	3.7 bcd	1.7 c	2.3 ъ	2.0 ъ	2.0 cd			
30	NE 84-315	2.0 d	2.0 c	1.7 bc	2.0 ъ	2.0 cd			
. 30	NE 85-378	2.7 cd	2.0 c	2.3 ъ	2.0 b	2.0 cd			

¹Turfgrass color is rated 1-9, with 1 = brown, 5 = med green, and 9 = dark green

TABLE 11

-	Pe Buffalograss	rcent Spring Regional (Est. 5/1	Trial, Dalla	s, Texas ¹		
Ехрегі-		Регсе	nt Spring C	reenup		_ 30
mental	3/15/89	3/22/89	3/29/89	4/5/89	4/15/89	_ 50
609 Buffalograss	20.0 c*	40.0 a	83.3 ъ	96.3 ab	99.0	-
Prairie	40.0 b	40.0 b	73.3 ъ	93.3 ъ	99.0	
Texoka	20.0 c	50.0 ab	95.0 a	97.7 a	99.0	
NE 84-315	8.0 c	43.3 b	98.3 a	99.0 a	99.0	35
NE 85-378	18.0 c	50.0 ab	91.7 a	97.7 a	99.0	

*Means within a column followed by the small letter and not significantly different using the Waller-Duncan multiple comparison procedures (K = 100)

¹Data taken by B. Ruemmele

TABLE 12

Turfgrass Color 1989-90
Buffalograss Regional Trial
Dallas, Texas (Est. 5/17/88)

	Turfgrass Color ²								_ 45
			1	989			1	1990	
Entry	20 Jun	10 Aug	13 Sep	21 Sep	31 Oct	23 Nov	04 Jan	24 Jan	_
609 Buffalograss	6.7	7.0	7.0	7.3	7.3	7.0	2.3	1.0	
Prairie	5.0	3.7	4.3	3.3	4.3	5.0	2.3	1.0	50
Texoka	6.7	4.3	1.3	1.3	1.7	1.3	1.7	1.0	
NE 84-315	7.3	8.7	1.7	2.7	1.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	
NE 85-378	6/7	7/7	1.3	2.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	
C.V.	21.5	16.0	25.9	31.7	24.8	30.2	22.3	0.0	

Data taken by Dr. B. Ruemmele

²Turfgrass color is rated 1-9, with 1 = brown, 5 = med green, and 9 = dark green

TABLE 15

Turfgrass Quality: Buffalograss Regional Trial, Dallas Texas¹ (Est. 5/17/88)

Tueforees Qualitue

			1 1111	grass Q	uanty2			
Entry	08 A pr	06 May	27 May	20 Jun	10 Aug	13 Sep	21 Sep	
609 Buffalograss	6.3 ²	7.3	8.0	7.3	9.0	7.7	8.7	
Prairie	6.0	7.3	7.0	8.0	7 .7	7.3	7 .7	
Texoka	4.7	6.0	6.3	6.0	6.3	4.0	4.7	
NE 84-315	6.0	7.3	6.0	5.7	6.3	3.3	3.7	
NE 84-378	5.3	7.7	7.3	7.0	7.0	4.0	4.7	
MSD ³	2.4	n.s. ⁴	1.6	1.5	1.8	0.9	1.0	

				Turfgi	rass Quali	ty ₂	
50	Entry	31 Oct	23 Nov	04 Jan	24 Ja n	25 Feb	12 Date Avg
	609 Buffalograss	9.0	8.7	7.0	6.0	5.7	7.6
	Prairie	8.3	8.3	7.0	6.0	5.7	7.2
	Texoka	4.0	4.7	4.3	4.0	3.7	4.9
	NE 84-315	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.0	4.5
55	NE 84-378	5.0	4.3	4.3	4.3	3.3	5.4
	MSD ³	1.7	1.3	1.0	0.5	1.0	0.5

Data taken by Dr. B. Ruemmele

²Turf quality is rated 1-9, 9 = best ³MSD = Minimum significant Difference to separate classes within each column

using the Waller Duncan K ratio T Test (K ratio = 100)

⁴n.s. indicates dates where no significant differences were determined among the 60

TABLE 13

Turfgrass Color: 1990 Season Buffalograss Clonal Evaluation John Seaton Anderson Facility Mead, Nebraska (Est. 1986)

			Turfg	rass Colo	r¹		_
Experimental	6/8	6/15	7/30	8/10	9/13	AVG	
609 Buffalograss	6.0	5.8	7.3	6.8	7.3	6.6	65
Texoka	5.5	5.8	6.8	6.3	7.0	6.3	
NE 84-315	8.0	7.3	6.8	6.3	7.3	7.1	
NE 85-378	7.8	7.0	5.3	7.3	7.1	6.9	

TABLE 16

Turfgrass Quality Buffalograss Regional Trial¹, 1990, Dallas, Texas (Est. 5/17/88)

		Turfgrass Quality ²						
	May 9	May 29	June 24	July 29	Sep 24			
609	5.3 a ³	8.3 a	8.0 a	7.0 a	5.7 a			

25

55

TABLE 16-continued

Turfgrass Q	uality Buffs	lograss Reg (Est. 5/1		, 1990, Dal	las, Texas	
Buffalograss						•
Prairie	3.7 ab	8.0 a	8.0 a	7.0 a	4.0 abc	•
Texoka	5.0 a	5.3 b	5.0 b	3.7 bc	3.7 bcd	
NE 84-315	5.3 a	7.3 a	5.7 b	3.3 c	2.0 d	
NE 85-378	5.0 a	8.0 a	5.3 b	4.3 b	2.7 cd	
		Tu	rfgrass Qua	lity ²	•	. 1
	Oct 31	Nov 15	Nov 25	Dec 20	Dec 20	- 1

	Oct 31	Nov 15	Nov 25	Dec 20	Dec 20	- 10
609	5.3 a	5.7 a	4.7 a	4.7 a	5.7 a	•
Buffalograss						
Prairie	4.0 b	5.3 a	4.3 a	3.0 bc	5.0 ab	
Texoka	1.7 c	2.3 b	2.0 ъ	2.0 cd	3.3 c	15
NE 84-315	2.0 c	1.7 bc	2.0 b	2.0 cd	3.0 c	15
NE 85-378	2.0 c	2.3 b	2.0 Ъ	2.0 cd	3.0 c	
						•

¹Data taken by Dr. B. Ruemmele

TABLE 17

Turfgrass Quality 1990:	
University of Georgia, Tipton, GA1	

		Turfgrass Quality ²				
Experimental	6/14	7/7	9/15	10/24		
609 Buffalograss	_	2.0	6.0	6.0	— 30	
Prairie	3.0	2.0	6.5	6.5		
Texoka	2.0	4.5	5.5	4.5		
NE 84-315	_	3.5	6.5	7.0		
NE 85-378		3.5	6.5	6.0		
LSD (.05)	.8	1.1	1.8	1.5		
					— 35	

¹Data taken by Dr. W. Hanna

TABLE 18

Turfgrass Quality: 1990 Season Buffalograss Clonal Evaluation John Seaton Anderson Facility, Mead, Nebraska (Est. 1986)

	Turfgrass Quality 1						_
Experimental	6/8	6/15	7/30	8/10	9/13	AVG	_ 45
609 Buffalograss	3.3	3.0	5.0	6.5	7.0	5.0	5
Texoka	3.8	3.8	4.3	5.3	5.0	4.4	
NE 84-315	6.3	6.5	5.3	4.8	5.5	5.7	
NE 85-378	7.3	5.8	5.8	5.5	4.8	5.8	
LSD (0.05)	1.3	1.5	1.3	1.4	2.4	_	
1							- 50

¹Turfgrass Quality is rated 1-9, 9 = best

TABLE 19

Summer Stress: 1990 University of Arizona Buffalograss Trial¹ (Est. 9/4/89)

			er Stress ² uly 29, 1990		_
Experimental	Stress 1	Stress 2	Stress 3	Stress 4	
609 Buffalograss	8.0 a ³	8.0 a	7.0 a	6.7 a	- 60
Prairie	8.0 a	8.0 a	7.3 a	6.3 a	
Texoka	6.3 b	6.7 b	5.3 b	5.0 b	
NE 84-315	6.3 b	5.7 c	4.0 c	3.0 c	
NE 85-378	6.3 b	4.7 d	3.7 c	2.3 с	

Data taken by Dr. C. Mancino

TABLE 20

Comparative Dehydration Avoidance, as Accessed by Percent Fixing, of Buffalograss Observed During 48 Days of Drought Stress During the Summer of 1989 College Station, Texas¹ Dehydration Avoidance

Experimental	Dehydration Avoidance Rating ²
609 Buffalograss	Very High
Prairie	Low
NE 84-315	Very High
NE 85-378	Very High

Data taken by Dr. J. Beard Dehydration Avoidance Rating: Very High, High, Medium, Low

TABLE 21

Comparative Drought Resistance evaluated as shoot recovery of buffalograss observed 30 days following rewetting, after 48 days of drought stress in 1989, College Station, Texas¹ Comparative Drought Resistance

Experimental	Comparative Drought Resistance ²
609 Buffalograss Prairie NE 84-315	High ² Low High
NE 85-378	High

Data taken by Dr. J. Beard Comparative Drought Resistance: High, Medium, Low

TABLE 22

Turfgrass Stress and Dormancy: 1990 Late Season Buffalograss Clonal Evaluation John Seaton Anderson, Mead, Nebraska (Established 1986)

Experimental	Stress	Stress	Dormancy
	rating ¹	rating	rating ²
	9/13	10/2	10/2
609 Buffalograss	2.5	3.8	2.7
Texoka	3.5	5.5	3.8
NE 84-315	4.8	8.3	7.8
NE 85-378	6.0	8.0	7.5
Means	4.2	6.4	5.5
LSD (0.05)	1.7	1.3	2.0

 $^{^{1}}$ Stress is rated 1 to 9, 9 = plot desiccation, 5 = partial plot desiccation, 1 = no plot desiccation (desiccation rated as amount of leaf firing and pale-green to brown spots

TABLE 23

Water Use Rates 1990 John Seaton Anderson Buffalograss Research Trial							
Experimental	Water Use Rates ¹						
	7/3-5	7/16-18	8/7-9	8/28-30			
609 Buffalograss Prairie Texoka LSD (0.05)	401.6 454.5 434.2 56.1	342.4 359.1 375.3 43.1	265.6 269.0 281.3 17.3	330.2 323.8 337.5 92.8			

 $^{{}^{\}rm I}{\rm Water}$ use rate is a three day total water use measured by grams water lost through evapotranspiration.

TABLE 24

Turfgrass Density: 1990 Season Buffalograss Clonal Evaluation John Seaton Anderson, Mead, Nebraska (Established 1986)

Experimental	Turfgrass Density ¹					
	6/8	6/15	7/30	8/10	9/13	AVG
609 Buffalograss	2.5	3.8	4.3	6.3	6.8	4.7
Texoka	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.8	4.8	3.8
84-315	5.8	7.3	5.8	5.3	4.8	5.8
85-378	6.8	6.0	5.8	6.5	- 6.0	-6.2
MEANS	6.8	6.5	6.6	6.7	7.2	6.7
LSD (0.05)	1.3	1.9	1.2	1.2	1.7	

Turfgrass Density is rated 1-9, 9 = most dense

What is claimed is:

 A new and distinct perennial, female buffalograss cultivar as herein shown and described, distinguished by the characteristics described above.

²Turfgrass quality is sum of color and density. For Dec 20 dates, first quality includes density for green tissue and second quality includes density of all tissue (ground coverage). Density 1-9, 9 = densest - for green tissue only except second Dec 20 date which is density of all tissue regardless of color.

 $^{^3}$ Means within a column followed by the small letter are not significantly different using the Waller-Duncan multiple comparison procedures (K = 100)

²Turfgrass Quality: 1-9, 9 = best

²Summer Stress is rated 9 to 1 with 9 = no stress and 1 dormant

³Means within a column followed by the small letter and not significantly different using the Waller-Duncan multiple comparison procedures (K = 100)

forming on turf canopy).

Dormancy is rated 1 to 9, 9 = completely dormant turfgrass with no green color or signs of plant growth, 5 = partially dormant turfgrass, 1 = non-dormant turf
do grass.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4




FIG. 5




FIG. 6