## University of Nebraska - Lincoln DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln

John J. Stezowski Publications

Published Research - Department of Chemistry

September 1991

## Reply to "Comment on 'Kinetic-energy density functional for a special shape-invariant potential of a one-dimensional two-level system"

Jiushu Shao University of Ulm

John J. Stezowski University of Nebraska - Lincoln, jjs@unlserve.unl.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/chemistrystezowski

Part of the Chemistry Commons

Shao, Jiushu and Stezowski, John J., "Reply to "Comment on 'Kinetic-energy density functional for a special shape-invariant potential of a one-dimensional two-level system"" (1991). *John J. Stezowski Publications*. 1.

https://digitalcommons.unl.edu/chemistrystezowski/1

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Published Research - Department of Chemistry at DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln. It has been accepted for inclusion in John J. Stezowski Publications by an authorized administrator of DigitalCommons@University of Nebraska - Lincoln.

## Reply to "Comment on 'Kinetic-energy density functional for a special shape-invariant potential of a one-dimensional two-level system'"

Jiushu Shao

Department of Theoretical Chemistry, University of Ulm, 7900 Ulm, Federal Republic of Germany

John J. Stezowski

Department of Chemistry, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Lincoln, Nebraska 68588-0304 (Received 21 March 1991)

We thank Jiqiang Chen and M. J. Stott for their helpful remarks [preceding Comment, Phys. Rev. A 44, 3387 (1991)].

For a harmonic potential, which is the special shapeinvariant potential discussed in our paper [1], the relation between the ground state and the first-excited-state wave functions [Eq. (2)] should be

$$\psi_1(x) = -\sqrt{2}/\varepsilon \psi_0'(x) , \qquad (1)$$

and consequently, Eqs. (8)–(10) in our paper become

$$\theta' = \sqrt{\varepsilon/2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \cot\theta , \qquad (2)$$
  
$$\theta'' = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \right]' \cot\theta -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \csc^2\theta \left[ \sqrt{\varepsilon/2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \cot\theta \right] , \qquad (3)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \right]' \cot\theta - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \csc^2\theta \left[ \sqrt{\varepsilon/2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \cot\theta \right] \\ + \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \left[ \sqrt{\varepsilon/2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \cot\theta \right] + \varepsilon \sin(2\theta) = 0 . \quad (4)$$

Let  $\tan \theta = y$  and  $\rho' / \rho = \alpha$ , then Eq. (11) in our paper reads

$$y^{5} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\alpha\sqrt{\varepsilon}} (\frac{1}{2}\alpha' + \frac{1}{2}\alpha^{2} + 2\varepsilon)y^{4} + \frac{1}{2}y^{3} + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\alpha\sqrt{\varepsilon}} (\frac{1}{2}\alpha' + \frac{1}{4}\alpha^{2})y^{2} - \frac{1}{2}y - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2/\varepsilon}\alpha = 0 , \quad (5)$$

which is derived from the equality

$$\theta'' + \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \theta' + \varepsilon \sin(2\theta) = 0 .$$
 (6)

Obviously, y avoids analytical solution from the Eq. (5).

Note that we forget the point that  $\varepsilon$  is also a functional of  $\rho$  and it has effect on the analycity of y. That y is analytically inaccessible via Eq. (5) does not necessarily mean that one cannot reach the exact  $\theta$  or  $\theta'$ .

In fact, as pointed out by Chen and Stott in their Comment [2], there results from Eq. (2)

$$\theta' = \sqrt{2\varepsilon \cos^2 \theta} \ . \tag{7}$$

Combining Eq. (2) and Eq. (7) we obtain a cubic equation for  $\theta'$ .

$$\theta' = \frac{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}(\theta' - \sqrt{\varepsilon/2})^2}{[\rho'/(2\rho)]^2 + (\theta' - \sqrt{\varepsilon/2})^2}$$
(8)

which is analytically solvable.

If one neglects the analycity of the parameter  $\varepsilon$ , one may get  $\theta'$  by solving Eq. (8) and then substitute it into the formula of kinetic-energy density derived from the reduced density matrix,

$$t = -\frac{1}{4}\rho'' + \frac{1}{8} \left[\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right]^2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho(\theta')^2$$
(9)

to obtain the kinetic-energy density in closed form. However, a simple form of kinetic-energy density must not contain a parameter such as  $\varepsilon$ , which is not a constant for harmonic potentials with different scalings.  $\varepsilon$  can only be expressed as a function of  $\rho$ , and the closed form satisfies our requirement. Let us consider this point now.

Taking  $\theta'$  and  $\varepsilon$  as unknown, we solve Eqs. (2) and (7) and find

$$\theta' = \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \frac{\cot\theta \cos^2\theta}{\cos(2\theta)} , \qquad (10)$$

$$\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{\rho'}{\rho} \right]^2 \frac{\cot^2 \theta}{\cos^2(2\theta)} , \qquad (11)$$

thus,

$$\theta^{\prime\prime} = \left[\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\rho}\right]^{\prime} \frac{\cot\theta\cos^{2}\theta}{\cos(2\theta)} \\ + \left[\frac{\rho^{\prime}}{\rho}\right]^{2} \frac{\cot\theta\cos^{2}\theta}{\cos^{3}(2\theta)} \\ \times \left\{2\sin(2\theta)\cos^{2}\theta\cot\theta - [\cot^{2}\theta + \sin(2\theta)\cot\theta]\cos(2\theta)\right\}.$$
(12)

Substituting Eqs. (10)–(12) into Eq. (6) we have

<u>44</u> 3389 ©1991 The American Physical Society

COMMENTS

$$0 = \left[\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right]' \frac{\cot\theta\cos^2\theta}{\cos(2\theta)} + \left[\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right]^2 \frac{\cot\theta\cos^2\theta}{\cos^3(2\theta)} \{2\sin(2\theta)\cos^2\theta\cot\theta - [\cot^2\theta + \sin(2\theta)\cot\theta]\cos(2\theta)\} \\ + \left[\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right]^2 \frac{\cot\theta\cos^2\theta}{\cos(2\theta)} + \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\rho'}{\rho}\right]^2 \frac{\cot^2\theta}{\cos^2(2\theta)},$$
(13)

which is an algebraic equation of trigonometric functions of  $\theta$  and it is not analytically solvable.

Therefore, we have shown that one cannot find a closed form of the kinetic-energy density for the harmonic oscillator.

In the last paragraph of our paper,  $\rho'/\rho$  should be  $\theta'/\rho$ .

- [1] Jiushu Shao and John J. Stezowski, Phys. Rev. A 42, 5767 (1990).
- [2] Jiqiang Chen and M. J. Stott, preceding Comment, Phys. Rev. A 44, 3387 (1991).

3390