## Waveguide Bandpass Filters for Millimeter-Wave Radiometers - DTU Orbit (09/11/2017)

## Waveguide Bandpass Filters for Millimeter-Wave Radiometers

A fundamental requirement for most mm-wave heterodyne receivers is the rejection of the input image signal which is located close to the local oscillator frequency. For this purpose we use a bandpass filter, which for heterodyne receivers is also called an image rejection filter. In this paper we present a systematic approach to the design of a waveguide bandpass filter with a passband from 100 to 110 GHz and upper rejection bandwidth in the range from 113 to 145 GHz. We consider two non-tunable filter configurations: the first one is relatively selective with 11 sections (poles) whereas the second one is simpler with 5 sections. We used established design equations to propose an initial guess for the geometries of the filters, optimized the geometries, constructed the filters using two different milling methods, measured their transmission and reflection characteristics, and compared the measurements with numerical simulations. Measurements of both filters agree well with simulations in frequency response and rejection bandwidth. The insertion loss of the 11-pole filter is better than 10 dB and that of the 5-pole filter is better than 5 dB. The 11-pole filter has a sharper attenuation roll-off compared with the 5-pole filter. The upper out-of-band rejection is better than 40 dB up to 145 GHz for the 11-pole filter and up to 155 GHz for the 5-pole filter.

## General information

State: Published Organisations: Department of Physics, Plasma Physics and Fusion Energy, Chalmers University of Technology Authors: Furtula, V. (Ekstern), Zirath, H. (Ekstern), Salewski, M. (Intern) Pages: 824-836 Publication date: 2013 Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

## **Publication information**

Journal: Journal of Infrared, Millimeter and Terahertz Waves Volume: 34 Issue number: 12 ISSN (Print): 1866-6892 Ratings: BFI (2017): BFI-level 1 Web of Science (2017): Indexed Yes BFI (2016): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 2.54 SJR 1.118 SNIP 1.489 Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes BFI (2015): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2015): SJR 1.155 SNIP 1.46 CiteScore 2.3 BFI (2014): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2014): SJR 1.127 SNIP 1.45 CiteScore 2.17 BFI (2013): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2013): SJR 0.821 SNIP 1.165 CiteScore 2.02 ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes BFI (2012): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2012): SJR 0.581 SNIP 1.029 CiteScore 1.52 ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes BFI (2011): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2011): SJR 0.339 SNIP 0.858 CiteScore 1.25 ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed no Web of Science (2011): Indexed yes BFI (2010): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2010): SJR 0.381 SNIP 0.677 Web of Science (2010): Indexed yes BFI (2009): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2009): SJR 0.329 SNIP 0.789 BFI (2008): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2008): SJR 0.38 SNIP 0.576 Scopus rating (2007): SJR 0.249 SNIP 0.451 Scopus rating (2006): SJR 0.23 SNIP 0.446

Scopus rating (2005): SJR 0.285 SNIP 0.511 Scopus rating (2004): SJR 0.354 SNIP 0.728 Scopus rating (2003): SJR 0.306 SNIP 0.596 Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.324 SNIP 0.625 Scopus rating (2001): SJR 0.299 SNIP 0.615 Scopus rating (2000): SJR 0.319 SNIP 0.564 Scopus rating (1999): SJR 0.361 SNIP 0.672 Original language: English Waveguide, Millimeter waves, Bandpass filter, Fusion, Diagnostics Electronic versions: furtula2013jimtw.pdf DOIs: 10.1007/s10762-013-0013-4 Source: dtu Source-ID: u::8770 Publication: Research - peer-review > Journal article - Annual report year: 2013