Waterflooding optimization in uncertain geological scenarios - DTU Orbit (09/11/2017)

Waterflooding optimization in uncertain geological scenarios

In conventional waterflooding of an oil field, feedback based optimal control technologies may enable higher oil recovery than with a conventional reactive strategy in which producers are closed based on water breakthrough. To compensate for the inherent geological uncertainties in an oil field, robust optimization has been suggested to improve and robustify optimal control strategies. In robust optimization of an oil reservoir, the water injection and production borehole pressures (bhp) are computed such that the predicted net present value (NPV) of an ensemble of permeability field realizations is maximized. In this paper, we both consider an open-loop optimization scenario, with no feedback, and a closed-loop optimization scenario. The closed-loop scenario is implemented in a moving horizon manner and feedback is obtained using an ensemble Kalman filter for estimation of the permeability field from the production data. For open-loop implementations, previous test case studies presented in the literature, show that a traditional robust optimization strategy (RO) gives a higher expected NPV with lower NPV standard deviation than a conventional reactive strategy. We present and study a test case where the opposite happen: The reactive strategy gives a higher expected NPV with a lower NPV standard deviation than the RO strategy. To improve the RO strategy, we propose a modified robust optimization strategy (modified RO) that can shut in uneconomical producer wells. This strategy inherits the features of both the reactive and the RO strategy. Simulations reveal that the modified RO strategy results in operations with larger returns and less risk than the reactive strategy, the RO strategy, and the certainty equivalent strategy. The returns are measured by the expected NPV and the risk is measured by the standard deviation of the NPV. In closed-loop optimization, we investigate and compare the performance of the RO strategy, the reactive strategy, and the certainty equivalent strategy. The certainty equivalent strategy is based on a single realization of the permeability field. It uses the mean of the ensemble as its permeability field. Simulations reveal that the RO strategy and the certainty equivalent strategy give a higher NPV compared to the reactive strategy. Surprisingly, the RO strategy and the certainty equivalent strategy give similar NPVs. Consequently, the certainty equivalent strategy is preferable in the closed-loop situation as it requires significantly less computational resources than the robust optimization strategy. The similarity of the certainty equivalent and the robust optimization based strategies for the closed-loop situation challenges the intuition of most reservoir engineers. Feedback reduces the uncertainty and this is the reason for the similar performance of the two strategies.

General information

State: Published

Organisations: Center for Energy Resources Engineering, Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science , Scientific Computing, Norwegian University of Science and Technology Authors: Capolei, A. (Intern), Suwartadi, E. (Ekstern), Foss, B. (Ekstern), Jørgensen, J. B. (Intern) Pages: 991-1013 Publication date: 2013 Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: Computational Geosciences Volume: 17 Issue number: 6 ISSN (Print): 1420-0597 Ratings: BFI (2018): BFI-level 1 BFI (2017): BFI-level 1 Web of Science (2017): Indexed yes BFI (2016): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 2.6 SJR 0.933 SNIP 1.413 Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes BFI (2015): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2015): SJR 1.277 SNIP 1.517 CiteScore 2.91 Web of Science (2015): Indexed yes BFI (2014): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2014): SJR 1.232 SNIP 1.619 CiteScore 2.62 BFI (2013): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2013): SJR 1.012 SNIP 1.393 CiteScore 2.09 ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes BFI (2012): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2012): SJR 0.856 SNIP 1.366 CiteScore 1.8 ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2012): Indexed yes

BFI (2011): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2011): SJR 0.691 SNIP 1.01 CiteScore 1.92 ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes BFI (2010): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2010): SJR 0.741 SNIP 1.266 BFI (2009): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2009): SJR 1.122 SNIP 1.787 BFI (2008): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2008): SJR 0.664 SNIP 1.548 Scopus rating (2007): SJR 0.716 SNIP 1.489 Web of Science (2007): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2006): SJR 0.92 SNIP 1.491 Scopus rating (2005): SJR 0.807 SNIP 1.376 Scopus rating (2004): SJR 1.689 SNIP 1.182 Scopus rating (2003): SJR 0.598 SNIP 1.08 Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.466 SNIP 0.886 Scopus rating (2001): SJR 0.822 SNIP 0.995 Scopus rating (2000): SJR 0.463 SNIP 0.781 Scopus rating (1999): SJR 0.478 SNIP 0.499 Original language: English Robust optimization, Ensemble Kalman filter, Oil reservoir, Production optimization, Automatic history matching DOIs: 10.1007/s10596-013-9371-1 Source: dtu Source-ID: u::8722 Publication: Research - peer-review > Journal article - Annual report year: 2013