

Fitness costs and benefits of ultraviolet radiation exposure in marine pelagic copepods - DTU Orbit (09/11/2017)

Fitness costs and benefits of ultraviolet radiation exposure in marine pelagic copepods

Life-history theory predicts that organisms should allocate energy throughout their life such that they maximize their fitness. Copepod zooplankton are known to accumulate sunscreens (so-called mycosporine-like amino acids, MAAs) and antioxidant carotenoids to mitigate negative effects of ultraviolet radiation (UVR), but it is not well known how this affects their fitness. We followed cohorts of the marine copepod *Acartia tonsa* and assessed how fitness was affected by UVR exposure and a diet rich in UVR-protective sunscreens. Several fitness components including somatic growth, egg quality and nauplii production (larvae) were negatively affected by UVR, whereas other components such as size at maturity, survival and length of life were not. Nauplii production through low egg quality was the most influential life-history parameter that changed in response to UVR. There was interaction between fitness costs and food source. If copepods were fed a diet rich in UVR-screening MAAs, they were able to maintain and even increase their fitness even though they were exposed to otherwise detrimental radiation. Levels of UVR-protective carotenoids were low in the studied species and a meta-analysis revealed that marine copepods in general have much lower - by an order of magnitude - levels of carotenoids than freshwater species, while levels of MAAs are similar between the two habitats. We conclude that allocation to different fitness components to some extent is plastic although egg quality is by far the most influential factor, and this is an example of how environmental variability affects overall fitness. Fitness costs associated with UVR exposure in the absence of UVR-screening MAAs were present. Other costs such as costs for accumulating MAAs were not detected, and if present, they were outweighed by a stimulated fitness in combined UVR and MAA treatments challenging the common model that inducible defences (such as accumulation of MAAs) should come with a cost. Low levels of carotenoids in marine systems suggest high predation pressures on pigmented specimens. Accumulation of nonpigmented MAAs could hence be a key adaptation for surface-dwelling marine zooplankton to maintain or even increase their fitness when exposed to detrimental radiation

General information

State: Published

Organisations: National Institute of Aquatic Resources, Centre for Ocean Life

Authors: Hylander, S. (Intern), Grenvald, J. C. (Intern), Kiørboe, T. (Intern)

Pages: 149-158

Publication date: 2014

Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: Functional Ecology

Volume: 28

Issue number: 1

ISSN (Print): 0269-8463

Ratings:

BFI (2017): BFI-level 2

Web of Science (2017): Indexed Yes

BFI (2016): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2016): SJR 3.165 SNIP 1.776 CiteScore 5.45

BFI (2015): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2015): SJR 3.059 SNIP 1.673 CiteScore 4.81

BFI (2014): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2014): SJR 3.114 SNIP 1.854 CiteScore 4.96

Web of Science (2014): Indexed yes

BFI (2013): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2013): SJR 2.691 SNIP 1.791 CiteScore 4.82

ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes

BFI (2012): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2012): SJR 3.083 SNIP 1.794 CiteScore 5.03

ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2012): Indexed yes

BFI (2011): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2011): SJR 2.845 SNIP 1.653 CiteScore 4.52

ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes

BFI (2010): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2010): SJR 2.967 SNIP 1.847

BFI (2009): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2009): SJR 2.62 SNIP 1.564

Web of Science (2009): Indexed yes

BFI (2008): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2008): SJR 2.42 SNIP 1.56

Web of Science (2008): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2007): SJR 2.055 SNIP 1.33

Scopus rating (2006): SJR 2.108 SNIP 1.41

Scopus rating (2005): SJR 1.878 SNIP 1.564

Scopus rating (2004): SJR 1.673 SNIP 1.306

Scopus rating (2003): SJR 1.453 SNIP 1.266

Scopus rating (2002): SJR 1.487 SNIP 1.335

Scopus rating (2001): SJR 1.743 SNIP 1.363

Scopus rating (2000): SJR 1.453 SNIP 1.092

Scopus rating (1999): SJR 1.638 SNIP 1.291

Original language: English

DOIs:

10.1111/1365-2435.12159

Publication: Research - peer-review › Journal article – Annual report year: 2013