

1 Onset of North Atlantic Deep Water production coincident with inception of the

2 Cenozoic global cooling trend

3

4 Martyn Stoker¹, Alick Leslie¹, Kevin Smith¹, Jana Ólavsdóttir², Howard Johnson¹, and Jan
5 Sverre Laberg³

6 ¹*British Geological Survey, Murchison House, West Mains Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3LA, UK*

7 ²*Jarðfeingi, Brekkutún 1, P.O. Box 3059, FO-110 Tórshavn, Faroe Islands*

8 ³*Department of Geology, University of Tromsø, N-9037, Tromsø, Norway*

9

10 Hohbein et al. (2012) propose an early Mid-Eocene onset of North Atlantic Deep Water
11 (NADW) production by interpreting a mounded deposit at the south-west end of the Faroe-
12 Shetland Basin (FSB) as a contourite drift, which they term the ‘Judd Falls Drift (JFD)’. We
13 argue that this deposit is not a contourite drift; we also question how their model of early
14 NADW production fits with current understanding of the development of the Faroe-Shetland
15 Basin and the wider Arctic–NE Atlantic region, neither of which was convincingly discussed
16 by these authors. Our reasons are based on the following:

17 1. Sedimentology of British Geological Survey borehole 99/3. In this borehole, the
18 unconformity at the base of the JFD (the Intra-Eocene Unconformity (IEU) of Hohbein et
19 al.) is marked by ferruginous conglomeratic sandstone atop a thick Ypresian deltaic
20 succession (Leslie et al., 2010). This is a subaerial or shallow marine erosion surface, and
21 not a ‘deep-sea hiatus’ as stated by Hohbein et al. The overlying Middle–Upper Eocene
22 marine sediments – assigned wholly to the JFD – comprise sandy mudstone and
23 mudstone with sporadic distal turbidite layers. In contrast with established contourite
24 facies models, these deposits display no evidence for significant changes in current
25 velocity, and bioturbation has not destroyed original lamination and bed contacts.

- 26 2. Structural arguments. The domal, downlapping, plastered-drift morphology of the JFD, as
27 depicted in Figure DR1 of Hohbein et al., would require the disposition of the IEU in its
28 present form during deposition of the JFD. That the IEU is a subaerial/shallow-marine
29 unconformity means that its current structural – folded – disposition is unlikely to reflect
30 its original geometry. If, instead, the IEU was restored to a flatter disposition prior to
31 folding, then the overlying stratal terminations could equally be related to a downlapping
32 slope-apron as the older deltaic succession was tilted and submerged. The presently
33 observed structure of the Eocene succession is related to folding associated with the
34 growth of the Judd and other anticlinal domes since the Mid-Eocene, with a significant
35 phase of folding in Early/Mid-Miocene times (Ritchie et al., 2011).
- 36 3. Palaeogeographic arguments. Hohbein et al. state that the ‘current regime responsible for
37 deposition of the JFD may have been fundamentally similar to today’s hydrographically
38 significant deep overflow through this important gateway’. On the basis of the following
39 observations we disagree that an oceanic gateway existed at this time across the
40 Greenland-Scotland Ridge (GSR).
- 41 a. Post-breakup Eocene sedimentation in the FSB was interspersed with phases of
42 uplift and compression. This tectonism forced episodic recurrence of marginal
43 deltaic systems, channelized incision of the contemporary shelf, and shelf-margin
44 progradation particularly around the southern margin of the basin (Ólavsdóttir et
45 al., 2010; Ritchie et al., 2011). For most of the Eocene, the FSB was a semi-
46 enclosed basin, with no deep-water outlet to the south of the GSR.
- 47 b. There is compelling evidence from ^{13}C , ^{18}O , taxonomic and sedimentologic data
48 from numerous DSDP and ODP sites in the North Atlantic that the GSR was a
49 topographic barrier to any form of north to south deep-water overflow during the
50 Palaeogene, and that no true deep connection existed before the Mid-Miocene

51 when the present synclinal form of the Faroe Bank Channel was created, and a
52 deep-water conduit established (Stoker et al., 2005). This tectonic event was
53 coincident with deep-water breaching of the Arctic gateway (Jakobsson et al.,
54 2007), and the synchronous onset of contourite drift accumulation either side of
55 the GSR was an immediate response to these early Neogene deep-water gateway
56 connections (Laberg et al., 2005).

57 c. The palaeoenvironment of the Arctic and NE Atlantic region was predominantly
58 warm and temperate during Early Eocene times (Ruddiman, 2001). Despite a
59 gradual decline in temperature from the Mid-Eocene it seems unlikely that large
60 ice sheets were permanently established prior to 34 Ma (Tripathi et al., 2005). As
61 NADW is defined as a water mass that forms in the polar North Atlantic Ocean by
62 winter chilling of saline surface water (Ruddiman, 2001), we query how any kind
63 of thermohaline flow comparable to the present-day NADW regime could have
64 been active during the Eocene.

65 In summary, sedimentologic and structural evidence provides no support for a contourite drift
66 and palaeogeographic considerations suggest it is unrealistic to assume that a pattern of
67 oceanic circulation, similar to the present NADW, was active so early in the breakup of the
68 NE Atlantic region.

69

70 REFERENCES

- 71 Hohbein, M. W., Sexton, P. F., and Cartwright, J. A., 2012, Onset of North Atlantic Deep
72 Water production coincident with inception of the Cenozoic global cooling trend:
73 *Geology*, v. 40, p. 255–258, doi: 10.1130/g32461.1.
- 74 Jakobsson, M., Backman, J., Rudels, B., Nycander, J., Frank, M., Mayer, L., Jokat, W.,
75 Sangiorgi, F., O'Regan, M., Brinkhuis, H., King, J., and Moran, K., 2007, The early

76 Miocene onset of a ventilated circulation regime in the Arctic Ocean: *Nature*, v. 447, p.
77 986–990, doi: 10.1038/nature05924.

78 Laberg, J. S., Stoker, M. S., Dahlgren, K. I. T., de Haas, H., Haflidason, H., Hjelstuen, B. O.,
79 Nielsen, T., Shannon, P. M., Vorren, T. O., van Weering, T. C. E., and Ceramicola, S.,
80 2005, Cenozoic alongslope processes and sedimentation on the NW European Atlantic
81 margin: *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, v. 22, p. 1069–1088, doi:
82 10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2005.01.008.

83 Leslie, A., Hitchen, K., and Stoker, M. S., 2010, Sedimentology of the Eocene succession in
84 BGS borehole 99/3, British Geological Survey Commissioned Report CR/10/141.

85 Ólavsdóttir, J., Boldreel, L. O., and Andersen, M. S., 2010, Development of a shelf margin
86 delta due to uplift of Munkagrinnur Ridge at the margin of Faroe-Shetland Basin: a
87 seismic sequence stratigraphic study: *Petroleum Geoscience*, v. 16, p. 91–103, doi:
88 10.1144/1354-079309-014.

89 Ritchie, D., Ziska, Johnson, H, and Evans, D. (editors). *Geology of the Faroe-Shetland Basin*
90 and adjacent areas. British Geological Survey Research Report, RR/11/01, Jarðfeingi
91 Research Report, RR/11/01, ISBN 978 085272 643 3.

92 Ruddiman, W., 2001, *Earth's Climate*, New York, W H Freeman and Company, 465 p.

93 Stoker, M. S., Houlst, R. J., Nielsen, T., Hjelstuen, B. O., Laberg, J. S., Shannon, P. M., Praeg,
94 D., Mathiesen, A., van Weering, T. C. E., and McDonnell, A., 2005, Sedimentary and
95 oceanographic responses to early Neogene compression on the NW European margin:
96 *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, v. 22, p. 1031–1044, doi:
97 10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2005.01.009.

98 Tripathi, A., Backman, J., Elderfield, H., and Ferretti, P., 2005, Eocene bipolar glaciation
99 associated with global carbon cycle changes: *Nature*, v. 436, p. 341–346, doi:
100 10.1038/nature04289.