

1 **First record of Trichoceridae (Diptera) in the maritime Antarctic**

2 **Odile Volonterio¹, Rodrigo Ponce de León¹, Peter Convey² & Ewa Krzeminska³**

3 ¹Sección Zoología de Invertebrados, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de la República,

4 Iguá 4225, 11400 Montevideo, Uruguay, o.volonterio@outlook.com,

5 eumeswill@yahoo.com; ²British Antarctic Survey, High Cross, Madingley Road,

6 Cambridge CB3 0ET, United Kingdom, pcon@bas.ac.uk; ³Institute of Systematics and

7 Evolution of Animals, Polish Academy of Sciences ul. Slawkowska 17, 31-016

8 Krakow, Poland, krzeminska@isez.pan.krakow.pl

9 **Abstract** During the austral summer of 2006-07, abundant Diptera were found in the
10 sewage system of the Base Científica Antártica Artigas on King George Island. These
11 are here identified as *Trichocera (Saltrichocera) maculipennis* (Diptera: Trichoceridae),
12 a Holarctic species widely distributed in the Northern Hemisphere which has been
13 introduced to some sub-Antarctic islands, but never been recorded in the maritime
14 Antarctic. The distribution of the fly on King George Island indicates that it has been
15 introduced by human agency. Although its origin is unclear, adult specimens have
16 distinctive morphological features rarely represented in autochthonous populations in
17 Europe. To date, larvae have been found only in the Artigas Base sewage system, but
18 adults have been observed around the buildings and more widely in the vicinity. Given
19 the species' natural northern range, habitats and feeding preferences, it is likely to have
20 good pre-adaptation permitting survival in the natural terrestrial ecosystems of the
21 maritime Antarctic. We recommend that urgent eradication efforts are made.

22 **Keywords:** *Trichocera*, non-indigenous species, anthropogenic introduction, King
23 George Island

24 **Introduction**

25 Until recent decades, the extreme geographical isolation of the Antarctic continent
26 protected its autochthonous fauna and flora from colonisation by non-indigenous
27 species (Frenot et al. 2005; Barnes et al. 2006). Since the late Eighteenth Century,
28 human activities such as the historical whaling and sealing industries and, more
29 recently, fisheries, scientific research and tourism, have rendered the Antarctic biome
30 more susceptible to human-mediated introduction of both animals and plants (Frenot et
31 al. 2005; Hughes et al. 2005, 2006; Chown et al. 2012). The sub-Antarctic islands were
32 subjected to these pressures earlier than areas at higher southern latitude, and currently
33 host over 95% of the non-indigenous species known to be established in the wider
34 Antarctic region (Frenot et al. 2005; Convey and Lebouvier 2009). Initial efforts to
35 avoid the introduction of non-indigenous species into the Antarctic Treaty area were
36 directed towards regulating intentional introductions, and only recently has more
37 attention been paid to the unintentional import of species with cargo, equipment,
38 clothing and footwear (Hughes et al. 2005, 2010; Lee and Chown 2009; Convey 2010;
39 Chown et al. 2012; Tsujimoto and Imura 2012).

40 The majority of non-indigenous species arriving in Antarctica, by natural or
41 human-assisted means, will be unable to survive in the region's extreme climatic
42 conditions. Successful colonization of Antarctica, as elsewhere, is a complex process,
43 depending on the existence of appropriate habitat and environmental conditions
44 (Gressitt 1970; Ellis-Evans and Walton 1990; Hughes et al. 2006). However, as Hughes
45 et al. (2005) noted, even species which cannot survive in the natural Antarctic
46 environment may persist synanthropically for long periods, for instance establishing
47 reproducing populations in heated buildings or storage facilities.

48 During a routine check in the austral summer of 2006-07, larvae and abundant
49 adult Diptera were found in the sewage system of the Uruguayan Artigas Base on King
50 George Island (South Shetland Islands). Adults were also observed flying outside the
51 Base buildings during this period. In an attempt at eradication, a treatment with
52 permethrin was immediately applied to the tank where the flies were located. In
53 addition, as part of the sewage system management plan, sewage water and sludge were
54 removed from the Base and from the Antarctic Treaty Area (Uruguayan Antarctic
55 Institute 2008). Subsequently, systematic inspections of all sewage tanks carried out
56 during the summer of 2007-08 gave negative results for the presence of adult or larval
57 flies, and it was concluded that the measures taken had been effective and that the
58 species had been eradicated (Uruguayan Antarctic Institute 2008). However, although
59 no formal monitoring plan was put in place over subsequent years, a few specimens
60 were seen flying outside the buildings of the Base by station staff and two of the the co-
61 authors (OV, RPdL) during the period 2009-2011, suggesting that the initial eradication
62 attempt had been unsuccessful.

63 The dipteran is here identified as *Trichocera (Saltrichocera) maculipennis*
64 Meigen, 1818 (Diptera: Trichoceridae). This finding, representing the first record of
65 both the species and family in the maritime Antarctic, is documented here and its
66 implications discussed.

67 **Materials and methods**

68 About 100 adult male and female flies were collected between December 2006 and
69 February 2007 by station staff and one of the co-authors (RPdL) from the sewage
70 system of the Base Científica Antártica Artigas, Fildes Peninsula, King George Island,

71 South Shetland Islands (62°11'18"S, 58°51'07"W; Fig 1A,B). Between 15 January and
72 15 February 2011, pitfall traps (n = 45) were placed randomly in a radius of 1,000 m
73 around the Base, and were checked daily for flies (coll. RPdL). The use of pitfall traps
74 was in part driven by the typically windy conditions of the South Shetland Islands
75 meaning that conventional flying insect traps were not practicable to maintain in a non-
76 attended state. Additionally, as observed for the native winged chironomid *Parochlus*
77 *steinenii* (Gercke) (Convey and Block 1996), conditions are rarely suitable for insect
78 flight, and adult insects are often restricted to activity on the ground. Pitfall traps
79 therefore provide a suitable and pragmatic sampling protocol for the study of species at
80 this location. Sampling sites closest to the Base are shown in Fig. 1C. All specimens
81 were fixed in absolute ethanol and stored at -20°C.

82 Species identity was confirmed through examination of male and female genitalia, and
83 wing venation characteristics (Dahl 1966). The material collected was compared with
84 museum specimens from a range of northern European locations.

85 Abbreviations:

86 BMNH – British Museum - Natural History, London, UK

87 CIFIC – Colección de Invertebrados de la Facultad de Ciencias, Montevideo, Uruguay

88 ISEA – Institute of Systematics and Evolution of Animals, Kraków, Poland

89 MNHN – Musée National d'Histoire Naturelle, Neuchâtel, Switzerland

90 Material examined

91 1. Base Científica Antártica Artigas, Fildes Peninsula, King George Island, South

92 Shetland Islands: 19 males and 2 females deposited in the ISEA (MP-D-873) and 14

93 males and 6 females deposited in the CIFIC (BP 11022).

94 2. Specimens with unicolorous abdomens: Switzerland, Grottes: Sieben Hengste
95 Hofgang, 4. Galerie des Amours: 1675 m, 26.XII. 1986-29.XII. 1987 – 2 f (Fig. 2A);
96 Salle de la fonction 1451 m, same date – 20 f, 1 m; further samples from these caves,
97 altogether c. 200 specimens (A. Hof, MNHN). Poland: Ojców National Park, 6. IV.
98 1989 – 6 f (leg. E. Krzemińska; ISEA). Iceland: Reykjavik 1.VIII. 1921 – 9f, 1m (leg.
99 B. Samundsson; BMNH). Bear Island (Norway) - Tunheim 26-29. VI. 1932 – 4f ; 1-
100 10.VII. 1932 – 2m, 2f; South Coast 18.VII. 1932 – 1m; Fugleodden 8-13.VII. 1932 –
101 3m, 4f; Kap Holthoff 15. VII. 1932 – 1f; Moservantat 25.VI. 1932 – 1m, 2f; Spitrefoss
102 18.VII. 1933 – 1m, 3f (all leg. D. Lack; BMNH). Jan Mayen Isle (Norway) 8.VIII.
103 1947, living rooms – 2f; Camp V (in pony stable) – 1m (all leg. A. MacFayden;
104 BMNH).

105 3. Specimens with ringed abdomens: Iceland: Unadsdalur, 27.VIII. 1947 – 1f (leg. I.L.
106 Cloudsley; BMNH). Lithuania: Vilnius 19.IV. 1986 – 1f; 1.II. 1989 – 1f; 20.II. 1989 –
107 1m; 14.V. 1989 – 1m; Mažeikiai dist., Juodeikai vill. 5.V. 1988 – 2m, 1f; (all leg. S.
108 Podenas; ISEA).

109 **Results**

110 All flies collected were identified as *Trichocera (Saltrichocera) maculipennis* Meigen
111 (for a list of synonyms see Krzemińska et al. 2009). In 2011, abundant flies were
112 present in three of the sewage tanks. Several adults were noted flying in the Base and its
113 surroundings, and about 10 were collected in the pitfall traps at sampling locations 7
114 and 8 (Fig. 1C, 2B). Flies were not found in the traps placed further from the Base, nor
115 noted away from its vicinity in opportunistic observations during the period 2006-2011
116 (OV, RPdL, pers. obs.). However, a single adult was collected by P. Fretwell (British

117 Antarctic Survey) on a moss surface near Frei Base (62°12'06" S, 58°57'47" W) on 19
118 November 2006, indicating a wider occurrence around Maxwell Bay in that season.

119 Morphological notes

120 The specimens from King George Island have a conspicuously ringed abdomen (Fig.
121 2C,D), with each segment being paler distally than proximally (Fig. 2E). This
122 colouration pattern is different from that of *T. (S.) annulata*, the only other congeneric
123 species with a ringed abdomen, in which the distal portions are darker than the
124 proximal. Other morphological structures of the specimens examined, including
125 antennae, palpi and genitalia of males and females, bear all the diagnostic characters of
126 the species, as observed among the specimens from European localities. Particularly, the
127 antennae, especially in females, have a characteristically large first flagellomere. The
128 wing (Fig. 2F) has the typical pattern for the genus: a large dark patch on the origin of
129 the vein Rs, two additional patches over the cross-veins r-m and r-r, and smudges along
130 the veins forming the discal cell and Cu. Between the distal radial veins there are
131 diffused, paler spots. Male genitalia (Fig. 2G) are characterized by the gonostylus
132 having a distinct basal tubercle on the mesal face and a triangular gonocoxal bridge. The
133 female ovipositor has a sharp tip and the setulose area is distinctly delimited (Fig. 2H),
134 the fork of genital plate is very short, and the subgenital plate has two bristles set widely
135 apart.

136 **Discussion**

137 Taxonomic insights

138 The abdomen of adult *T. maculipennis* is usually described as uniformly dark brown
139 (Tokunaga 1938, Karandikar 1931; Seguy 1940), or no mention of striping is given, as

140 in the species' original description from the type locality in Austria (Meigen 1818), or
141 in descriptions of material from other localities (Dahl 1966, 1967, 1968; Alexander
142 1965). The distinctive striped abdominal pattern observed in the specimens collected in
143 this study is unusual. Of the museum samples examined here, the ringed abdomen was
144 present only in specimens from Lithuania and Iceland. In the literature, ringed abdomen
145 coloration has been reported only in populations from northern Great Britain (Edwards
146 1938) and in the subspecies *T. m. pictipennis* from Japan (Alexander 1930).

147 Biogeography

148 The genus is widely distributed in the Northern Hemisphere, especially in boreal and
149 temperate regions (Dahl and Alexander 1976; Dahl and Krzemińska 1997). The natural
150 distribution of *T. maculipennis* is northern boreal. In the Southern Hemisphere, the
151 family Trichoceridae is represented by the native genera *Paracladura* and
152 *Nothotrichocera*, whose distributions extend south to the New Zealand shelf islands
153 (Alexander 1955; Krzemińska 2005), but not to higher southern latitudes. In addition,
154 three of the c. 110 northern species of *Trichocera* have been reported, all from sub-
155 Antarctic or southern cold temperate oceanic locations where they are thought to have
156 been introduced by human agency: *T. annulata* in Australia (Alexander 1926) and New
157 Zealand (Edwards 1928), *T. regelationis* in South Georgia and the Falkland Islands
158 (Bréthes 1925; Edwards 1928; Dahl 1970a, and *T. maculipennis* from Îles Kerguelen
159 (Seguy 1940; Dahl 1970b). The occurrence of *T. maculipennis* at King George Island is
160 therefore the first record of the species and of the family Trichoceridae in the maritime
161 Antarctic.

162 Life history characteristics of the genus *Trichocera*

163 All species of *Trichocera* are adapted to cold environments. Larvae are mostly
164 saprophagous and sometimes coprophagous; in some areas they aestivate throughout the
165 summer, sometimes buried deep in the soil (Dahl 1970c), thus protected against heat
166 and desiccation. There are four larval instars and pupation usually lasts only a few
167 hours. Winged adults typically appear in the cooler seasons (autumn to early spring).
168 Recent studies in Norway (Hågvar & Krzemińska 2008) have demonstrated that adults
169 of several species can continue mating and laying eggs at the height of winter, and that
170 larvae can complete development to adult emergence under snow cover during the same
171 winter. In the majority of species the adult stage shifts progressively into the summer
172 months with increasing latitude or altitude (Dahl 1970a).

173 The three species of *Trichocera* established in the Southern Hemisphere are
174 closely related and belong to the *regelationis* group of species (*sensu* Krzemińska
175 1999), implying they share some biological features allowing them to survive the
176 transport required from the Northern to the Southern Hemisphere. They are known to
177 share the ability to survive the relatively warm conditions experienced towards the
178 southern limits of their distributions in Europe (Krzemińska 1995; Dahl et al. 2002), and
179 the ability to use rich substrata (Perris 1847; Keilin 1912; Karandikar 1931; Krzemińska
180 2000; Dahl 1970c).

181 In addition to being able to survive transport, *T. maculipennis* is sometimes
182 characterized as synanthropic (Lindroth 1931; Dahl 1967). It seems to require (or at
183 least tolerate) more constant and somewhat higher temperatures for larval development
184 than other related species (Dahl 1966). The larvae can utilize very rich substrata, such as
185 composting vegetable matter and animal carcasses and droppings, and sometimes they
186 are pests of stored vegetables (EK unpubl. data). In a scenario of anthropogenic

187 introduction, such synanthropy could predispose the species to survive on initial
188 transfer.

189 Occurrence on King George Island

190 The northern natural distribution of this species is consistent with the species' presence
191 on King George Island being the result of an anthropogenic introduction. Indeed, the
192 November 2006 observation and collection of a specimen close to the runway facility at
193 Frei Base, which predates by several weeks the first observations at Artigas Base (at
194 about 4 km from Frei), is suggestive of such an event. However, with the evidence
195 available, it is not possible to suggest the original source of the population.

196 The current observations do not provide a categorical introduction date to King George
197 Island, not least as no national research programme on the island has operated any form
198 of terrestrial biodiversity monitoring programme, and relatively few collections of
199 terrestrial invertebrates have been made. The species was clearly not present in the
200 1978/79 summer season during extensive surveys by the dipterist Wiesław Krzemiński
201 (ISEA), who only reported the native chironomid *Parochlus steinenii*. (W. Krzemiński,
202 pers. comm.).

203 Despite an intensive eradication attempt after its initial discovery, the fly
204 continues to occur around Artigas Base. As noted by Hughes et al. (2005), established
205 synanthropic species may prove extremely difficult to eradicate. If, as thought, the
206 eradication was successful within the facilities of the Base, the species' subsequent re-
207 appearance within them suggests that it may be established in the natural environment
208 beyond the Base confines and that the sewage tanks were recolonized between 2008 and
209 2011.

210 Potential for establishment and dispersal

211 In the northern parts of its natural distribution, such as in Iceland, Greenland, Jan
212 Mayen Island and Bjornøya (Dahl 1957, 1970a, 1973; Coulson & Resfeth 2004), *T.*
213 *maculipennis* will experience similar low summer temperatures and, in some areas,
214 winter temperatures considerably more extreme than those of the South Shetland
215 Islands. Furthermore, throughout this archipelago, and indeed along much of the
216 western Antarctic Peninsula, there are many sources of suitable decaying organic matter
217 (bird and seal guano, carcasses, vegetation, microbial mats, etc.). Therefore, *T.*
218 *maculipennis* is highly likely to have life history and physiological characteristics that
219 would assist its survival during the transport, initial transfer and establishment in the
220 conditions that are typical throughout the maritime Antarctic.

221 The close proximity of the records reported here to Antarctic Specially Protected
222 Area 150 (Ardley Island) provides particular cause for concern, as there is no barrier to
223 prevent the fly moving into and colonising this important area (cf. Hughes and Convey
224 2010). This risk has separately been illustrated recently by the colonisation of ASPA
225 128 (Western Shore of Admiralty Bay, a location also on King George Island) by alien
226 plants (Olech and Chwedorzewska 2011; Cuba-Diaz et al. 2012).

227 Due to the proximity of many research stations on King George Island, and the
228 observation of some individual adults beyond the buildings and boundary of Artigas
229 Base, there is also a risk of *T. maculipennis* establishing populations at other stations in
230 the immediate vicinity on the Fildes Peninsula. Furthermore, and enhanced by the
231 magnitude of aircraft and vessel traffic utilising the logistic and tourist hubs on King
232 George Island to access the entire Antarctic Peninsula, synergy with regional climatic
233 change and the relatively close proximity of other ice-free ground in this region, there is

234 also a high risk of the species spreading beyond the immediate vicinity of the Fildes
235 Peninsula. Analogous risks of within-region expansion of the distribution of an already-
236 established insect in the maritime Antarctic have recently been demonstrated in a study
237 of the potential distribution of the alien midge *Eretmoptera murphyi*, an introduced
238 species currently restricted to Signy Island (South Orkney Islands) (Hughes and
239 Worland 2010; Hughes et al. 2012).

240 Alien species with potential to become invasive often do not do so for a
241 significant period after initial establishment (Frenot et al. 2005). To provide an
242 objective assessment of the current risk of spread and establishment beyond Artigas
243 Base confines, further information is required on the detailed life history characteristics
244 of the species. However, given that *T. maculipennis* is not indigenous to South America,
245 and is currently known to breed only within an Antarctic research Base, clearly it fulfills
246 the simple and practicable assessment criteria proposed by Hughes and Convey (2012)
247 for informing decisions assessing the colonization status of newly recorded species in
248 the Antarctic, and leading to subsequent management action. In this case, application of
249 these criteria leads to a very high probability of *T. maculipennis* being a human-assisted
250 alien colonist, and hence requiring urgent eradication, as also recommended in the
251 'Non-native Species Manual' of the Committee for Environmental Protection of the
252 Antarctic Treaty System (see
253 http://www.ats.aq/documents/atcm34/ww/atcm34_ww004_e.pdf). An urgent and
254 effective eradication operation is therefore required, along with subsequent site
255 monitoring, focusing on the Artigas Base sewage system which at present provides the
256 only location where the species is known to have successfully completed its life cycle.

257 **Acknowledgements** The authors wish to thank the Uruguayan Antarctic Institute for
258 supporting this study. OV gratefully acknowledges receiving a SCAR Fellowship
259 facilitating collaborative research with the British Antarctic Survey. The paper also
260 contributes to the British Antarctic Survey ‘Ecosystems’ and SCAR ‘Evolution and
261 Biodiversity in Antarctica’ programmes. The contribution of E. Krzemińska was
262 partially supported by the grant of the Polish Ministry for Science and Higher Education
263 No. NN303 803 940. Christophe Dufour and Jean-Paul Haenni (MNHN) are gratefully
264 thanked for a loan of specimens from Switzerland. Dr K. Hughes and two anonymous
265 reviewers are thanked for helpful comments.

266 **References**

- 267 Alexander CP (1926) The Trichoceridae from Australia (Diptera). Proc Linn Soc New
268 South Wales 51:299-304
- 269 Alexander CP (1930) Records and descriptions of Tichoceridae from the Japanese
270 Empire (Ord. Diptera). Konowia 9:103-108
- 271 Alexander CP (1955) The crane-flies of the subantarctic islands of New Zealand
272 (Diptera). Records Dominion Museum 2:233-239
- 273 Alexander CP (1965) Trichoceridae. In: Stone et al (eds) A catalog of the Diptera of
274 America north of Mexico. USDA, Agriculture Handbook 276:15-16
- 275 Barnes DKA, Hodgson DA, Convey P, Allen C, Clarke A (2006) Incursion and
276 excursion of Antarctic biota: past, present and future. Glob Ecol Biogeog
277 15:121-142
- 278 Bréthes J (1925) Un Coléoptère et un Diptère nouveaux de la Georgie du Sud. Com
279 Mus Nac Hist Nat Buenos Aires 2:169-173
- 280 Chown SL, Huiskes AHL, Gremmen NJM, Lee JE, Terauds A, Crosbie K, Frenot Y,
281 Hughes KA, Imura S, Kiefer K, Lebouvier M, Raymond B, Tsujimoto M, Ware
282 C, Van De Vijver B, Bergstrom DM (2012) Continent-wide risk assessment for
283 the establishment of nonindigenous species in Antarctica. Proc Natl Acad Sci
284 USA 109:4938-4943

- 285 Convey P (2010) Terrestrial biodiversity in Antarctica - Recent advances and future
286 challenges. *Polar Sci* 4:135-147
- 287 Convey P, Block W (1996) Antarctic dipterans: ecology, physiology and distribution.
288 *Eur. J. Entomol.* 93:1-13
- 289 Convey P, Lebouvier M (2009) Environmental change and human impacts on terrestrial
290 ecosystems of the sub-antarctic islands between their discovery and the mid-
291 twentieth century. *Papers Proc Roy Soc Tasmania* 143:33-44
- 292 Coulson SJ, Resfeth D (2004) The terrestrial and freshwater fauna of Svalbard (and Jan
293 Mayen). In Prestrud P, Strøm H and Goldman HV (eds) *A Catalogue of the*
294 *Terrestrial and Marine Animals of Svalbard*. Norwegian Polar Institute, Tromsø,
295 Norway, pp 57–122
- 296 Cuba-Diaz M, Troncoso JM, Cordero C, Finot VL, Rondanelli-Reyes M (2012) *Juncus*
297 *bufonius*, a new non-native vascular plant in King George Island, South Shetland
298 Islands. *Antarct Sci* doi:10.1017/S0954102012000958
- 299 Dahl C (1957) Die Gattung *Trichocera* in Spitzbergen, Bäreninsel und Jan Mayen
300 (Dipt.). *Opusc Entomol* 22:227-237
- 301 Dahl C (1966) Notes on the taxonomy and distribution of Swedish *Trichoceridae* (Dipt.
302 Nemat.). *Opusc Entomol* 31:93-118
- 303 Dahl C (1967) Notes on the taxonomy and distribution of Arctic and Subarctic
304 *Trichoceridae* (Dipt. Nemat.) from Canada, Alaska and Greenland. *Opusc*
305 *Entomol* 32:49-78
- 306 Dahl C (1968) Taxonomy and distribution of *Trichoceridae* (Dipt. Nemat.) from
307 Finland and adjacent areas of the USSR. *Opusc Entomol* 33:365-370
- 308 Dahl C (1970a) Diptera: *Trichoceridae* of South Georgia. *Pac Insects Monogr* 23:271-
309 273
- 310 Dahl C (1970b) Diptera: *Trichoceridae* of Kerguelen Island. *Pac Insects Monogr*
311 23:274-275
- 312 Dahl C (1970c) Distribution, phenology and adaptation to arctic environment in
313 *Trichoceridae* (Diptera). *Oikos* 21:185-202
- 314 Dahl C (1973) Notes on the arthropod fauna of Spitsbergen III. 14. *Trichoceridae*
315 (Dipt.) of Spitsbergen. *Ann Entomol Fenn* 39:49-59
- 316 Dahl C, Alexander CP (1976) A world catalogue of *Trichoceridae* Kertész, 1902
317 (Diptera). *Entomol Scand* 7: 7-18

- 318 Dahl C, Krzemińska E (1997) Family: Trichoceridae. In: Papp L, Darvas B (eds)
319 Contributions to a Manual of Palaearctic Diptera, vol. 2. Science Herald,
320 Budapest, pp 227-236
- 321 Dahl C, Krzemińska E, Baez M (2002) Trichoceridae. In: Carles-Tolra Hjorth-Andersen
322 M (coordinator). Catálogo de los Diptera de España, Portugal y Andorra
323 (Insecta). Monografías SEA 8, Zaragoza, pp 82
- 324 Edwards FW (1928) Diptera. Trichoceridae. In: Wytzman P (ed) Genera Insectorum
325 190:30-37
- 326 Edwards (1938) Key of British short-palped crane-flies. Trans Soc Brit Entomol 5: 151-
327 157
- 328 Ellis-Evans JC, Walton D (1990) The process of colonization in Antarctic terrestrial and
329 freshwater ecosystems. Proc NIPR Sym Polar Biol 3:151-163
- 330 Frenot Y, Chown SL, Whinam J, Selkirk P, Convey P, Skotnicki M, Bergstrom D
331 (2005) Biological invasions in the Antarctic: extent, impacts and implications.
332 Biol Rev 80:45–72
- 333 Gressitt JL (1970) Subantarctic entomology and biogeography. Pac Insects Monogr
334 23:295-374
- 335 Hågvar S, Krzemińska E (2008) Contribution to the winter phenology of Trichoceridae
336 (Diptera) in snow-covered southern Norway. Stud Dipt 14:271-283
- 337 Hughes KA and Convey P (2010) The protection of Antarctic terrestrial ecosystems
338 from inter and intra-continental transfer of non-indigenous species by human
339 activities: a review of current systems and practices. *Glob Environm Change –*
340 *Human and Policy Dimensions* 20:96-112
- 341 Hughes KA, Convey P (2012) Determining the native/non-native status of newly
342 discovered terrestrial and freshwater species in Antarctica – current knowledge,
343 methodology and management action. J Environ Manage 93:52-66
- 344 Hughes KA, Convey P, Maslen NR, Smith RIL (2010) Accidental transfer of non-native
345 soil organisms into Antarctica on construction vehicles. Biol Invasions 12:875-
346 891
- 347 Hughes K, Ott S, Bölter M, Convey P (2006) Colonisation processes. In: Bergstrom
348 DM, Convey P, Huiskes AHL (eds) Trends in Antarctic Terrestrial and Limnetic
349 Ecosystems: Antarctica as a Global Indicator. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 35-54

- 350 Hughes KA, Walsh S, Convey P, Richards S, Bergstrom D (2005) Alien fly populations
351 established at two Antarctic research stations. *Polar Biol* 28:568-570
- 352 Hughes KA, Worland MR (2010) Spatial distribution, habitat preference and
353 colonisation status of two alien terrestrial invertebrate species in Antarctica.
354 *Antarct Sci* 22:221-231
- 355 Hughes KA, Worland MR, Thorne M, Convey P (2012) The non-native chironomid
356 *Eretmoptera murphyi* in Antarctica: erosion of the barriers to invasion. *Biol*
357 *Invasions* doi: 10.1007/s10530-012-0282-1
- 358 Karandikar KR (1931) The early stages and bionomics of *Trichocera maculipennis*
359 (Meig.) (Diptera, Tipulidae). *T Entomol Soc London* 79:249-265
- 360 Keilin D (1912) Recherches sur les Diptères du genre *Trichocera*. *Bull Scient France*
361 *Belgique* 46:172-190
- 362 Krzemińska E (1995) Trichoceridae. In: Minelli A, Rufo S, La Posta S (eds) Checklist
363 delle specie della fauna Italiana Vol. 62-65. Edizioni Calderini, Bologna, pp 36
364 Krzemińska E (1999) Three species with clear wings of the *regelationis* group:
365 *Trichocera annulata*, *T. rufescens* and a new species (Diptera, Trichoceridae).
366 *Acta Zool Cracoviensia* 42:251-258
- 367 Krzemińska E (2000) *Trichocera (Metatrachocera) regelationis* (Linnaeus), 1758:
368 intraspecific variability in European populations (Diptera: Trichoceridae). *Acta*
369 *Zool Cracoviensia* 43:217-232
- 370 Krzemińska E (2005) Subfamily Paracladurinae. III. Phylogenetic biogeography; two
371 new genera and three species described (Diptera, Trichoceridae). *New Zealand*
372 *Journal of Zoology* 32: 317-352
- 373 Krzemińska E, Krzemiński W, Dahl C (2009) Monograph of fossil Trichoceridae
374 (Diptera). Over 180 million years of evolution. Institute of Systematics and
375 Evolution of Animals, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków, pp 1-172
- 376 Lee JE, Chown SL (2009) Quantifying the propagule load associated with the
377 construction of an Antarctic research station. *Antarct Sci* 21:471-475
- 378 Lindroth CH (1931) Die Insektenfauna Islands und ihre Probleme. *Zool Bidrag Uppsala*
379 13:105-559
- 380 Meigen JW (1818) Wintermukke *Trichocera*. Systematische Beschreibung der
381 Bekannten Europäischen Zweiflügeligen Insekten Aachen Aachen, 1:211-215
382 + pl 7

383 Nielsen (1962) Nematocera (Insecta) from Afghanistan. Vidensk Medd fra Dansk
384 Naturh Foren 124: 165-169

385 Nielsen P, Ringdahl O, Tuxen SL (1954) Diptera 1. In: The Zoology of Iceland 3.
386 Copenhagen, pp 189

387 Olech M, Chwedorzewska KJ (2011) The first appearance and establishment of an
388 alien vascular plant in natural habitats on the forefield of a retreating glacier in
389 Antarctica. Antarct Sci 23:153-154

390 Perris ME (1847) Sur les metamorphoses de la *Trichocera annulata* (Meig.) et la
391 *Scatopse punctata* pour servir a l'histoire des Tipulaires. Ann Soc Entomol
392 Française 2^e Série 5:37-49 + pl 1

393 Séguy E (1940) Diptères. In: Jeannel R (ed) Croisière du Bougainville aux Îles
394 Australes Françaises. Mém Mus Natl Hist Nat (NS) 14:203-267

395 Tokunaga M (1938) New or little-known Trichoceridae from Japan. Tenthredo 2:137-
396 148

397 Tsujimoto M, Imura S (2012) Does a new transportation system increase the risk of
398 importing non-native species to Antarctica? Antarct Sci 24:441-449

399 Uruguayan Antarctic Institute (2008) Medidas preventivas para evitar la introducción de
400 especies alienas en la Antártida, en cumplimiento del Anexo II del Protocolo. IP
401 33, Antarctic Treaty Consultive Meeting, 2-13 June 2008, Kyiv, Ukraine.
402

403 **Figure captions**

404 **Fig. 1** Collection site. **A**, South Shetland Islands, showing King George Island; **B**,
405 Fildes Peninsula, King George Island; **C**, pitfall sampling sites close to Artigas Base,
406 Fildes Peninsula

407 **Fig. 2** Adult *Trichocera maculipennis*. **A**, Uniform colour of abdomen in specimens
408 from Grottes in Switzerland, scale bar: 2 mm; **B**, specimen on the snow at site 2, Fildes
409 Peninsula; **C**, ringed abdomen in a male from King George Island, scale bar: 2 mm; **D**,
410 ringed abdomen in a sample of specimens from King George Island, scale bar: 6 mm; **E**,
411 magnification of abdominal segments in a specimen from King George Island, showing
412 the pigmentation pattern, scale bar: 300 μm ; **F**, wing of a specimen from King George
413 Island, scale bar: 2 mm; **G**, male genitalia of a specimen from King George Island, scale
414 bar: 200 μm ; **H**, female genitalia of a specimen from King George Island, scale bar: 200
415 μm .



