

Absorption spectrum of H_2^{18}O in the 12 400 – 14 520 cm^{-1} range

Mizuho Tanaka

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK

James W. Brault

*LASP (Space Technology Building), 1234 Innovation Drive,
Boulder, CO 80303-7814 U.S.A.*

Jonathan Tennyson

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, London WC1E 6BT, UK
E-mail: j.tennyson@ucl.ac.uk

Fourier transform spectra recorded using (a) natural abundance water vapor, (b) H_2^{18}O enriched water vapor and (c) H_2^{17}O enriched water vapor are analysed. The ratio of intensities in three spectra are used to identify 927 lines due to absorptions by H_2^{18}O . Intensities and self-broadening parameters are derived for these lines. 778 lines are assigned using theoretical linelists, comparisons with previously assigned H_2^{16}O spectra and automatic searches for combination differences. These lines belong to 14 vibrational states in the $3\nu + \delta$ and 4ν polyads. Newly determined H_2^{18}O vibrational band origins include $4\nu_1$ at 13 793.09 cm^{-1} , $3\nu_1 + \nu_3$ at 13 795.40 cm^{-1} , $2\nu_1 + 2\nu_3$ at 14 188.82 cm^{-1} , $\nu_1 + 3\nu_3$ at 14 276.34 cm^{-1} and $2\nu_2 + 2\nu_2 + \nu_3$ at 13 612.71 cm^{-1} . These results are compared with data in HITRAN.

1. INTRODUCTION

Water is well known as the major absorber of sunlight in the Earth's atmosphere. Indeed water is so important that its second most abundant isotopomer, H_2^{18}O , is considered to be the fifth most important absorber of solar radiation. Despite its importance the near-infrared and optical spectrum of H_2^{18}O , key regions for atmospheric studies, remain poorly determined.

In the early 1980s Chevillard and co-workers used the McMath Fourier transform spectrometer at the National Solar Observatory (Kitt Peak, AZ) to record spectra of isotopically enriched water over wide frequency range. This experimental work resulted in a series of papers analysing the spectrum of H_2^{18}O in the range 4 400 – 11 500 cm^{-1} [1, 2, 3]. More recently this analysis has been extended up to 13 600 cm^{-1} by Bykov

et al [4]. In this work we extend the frequency range for which the H_2^{18}O spectra are analysed up to 14 520 cm^{-1} so that the $3\nu + \delta$ and 4ν polyads are completely covered.

Spectra of natural abundance water has been extensively studied in the near-infrared and visible [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. Recently Schermaul *et al* [16] re-measured the spectrum of normal water using a long path length Fourier transform spectrometer belonging to the Molecular Structure Facility at Rutherford Appleton Laboratory (UK) over the range 6 500 – 16 400 cm^{-1} . For much of this range they probed significantly deeper than previous studies. In this work we use the spectra of Schermaul *et al* to aid the identification of different isotopomers.

2. EXPERIMENT DATA

As reported previously [1, 2, 3], Chevillard, Mandin, Flaud and Camy-Peyret measured the spectrum of two isotopically enriched samples of water using the McMath Fourier transform spectrometer. The first sample contained H_2^{18}O at $73 \pm 1\%$ [2], referred to ' ^{18}O ' below, and the second sample, ' ^{17}O ' below, contained enhanced H_2^{17}O and H_2^{18}O [3]. These spectra, which achieved a typical uncertainty in their line positions of 0.001 cm^{-1} [4], are publicly available via the Kitt Peak electronic archive. The ^{18}O spectrum was recorded using 927 scans and a pathlength of 434.0 m with a vapor pressure of 361.1 Pa. Lines in both spectra were fitted in the 12 400 – 14 520 cm^{-1} range using the GREMLIN program (Brault, unpublished). The exact isotopic composition of these spectra is considered in detail below.

To aid analysis of the isotopically enriched spectra we used the natural abundance, ' ^{16}O ' below, Fourier Transform spectrum of Schermaul *et al* [16]. For the frequency range 12 400

– 14 520 cm⁻¹ the ¹⁶O, ¹⁸O and ¹⁷O spectra were read into a spreadsheet and lines of the same frequency were aligned. The intensities of these were ratioed giving characteristic patterns which, for most lines and particularly for those appearing in all three spectra, facilitated their identification as belong to H₂¹⁶O, H₂¹⁷O or H₂¹⁸O. In this fashion 927 lines were definitely identified as belonging to H₂¹⁸O. Parameters for these lines were then extracted from the ¹⁸O spectrum and used for theoretical analysis. A complete list of these lines including intensities, scaled to natural abundance (see below) and self-broadening parameters are given in the electronic archive.

The exact isotopic composition of the ¹⁸O spectrum is of course crucial for determining accurate transition intensities. In this work we used the comparison between the three spectra to determine the factor by which the lines of H₂¹⁸O are enhanced in the ¹⁸O spectrum. To do this we used the following information: the total number of water molecules per cm⁻² in each sample, the abundance of each species in the ¹⁶O spectrum (assumed to be natural abundance), the ratio of H₂¹⁶O linestrengths in the ¹⁷O and ¹⁸O spectra relative to the ¹⁶O spectrum, and the ratio H₂¹⁷O and H₂¹⁸O linestrengths in the ¹⁷O and ¹⁸O spectra. This procedure gives the ratio of H₂¹⁸O linestrengths in the ¹⁸O to the ¹⁶O spectrum as 31.0 with an estimated error of 0.5. It also shows that the abundance of H₂¹⁷O in the ¹⁷O spectrum, which is yet to be analysed, is fairly small at only about 3% of the total water content. Our result is consistent with the previous analysis of Chevillard *et al* [2] whose published results can be used to give an enhancement of 30.7 with an error of 0.5. The linestrengths given in the electronic archive have therefore been divided by 31.0 to scale them to natural abundance.

3. ANALYSIS

Significant advances have been made in the analysis of optical spectra of water using variational calculations [12, 13, 17, 18]. In this work a variety of strategies were adopted to analyse the spectra. At lower frequencies some transitions, all belonging to the $3\nu + \delta$ polyad, had previously been assigned by Bykov *et al* [4], who studied H₂¹⁸O up to 13 600 cm⁻¹. Further ‘trivial’ assignments, ones linking energy levels previously determined experimentally, could be made using the upper energy levels so generated.

Initial assignments to new upper levels were then made by comparison with variational H₂¹⁸O line list generated by Partridge and Schwenke [17]. Although we were able to confirm many of these assignments by combination differences, it became apparent by comparison with known levels of H₂¹⁶O [19] that in many cases the vibrational labels taken from the line list were not correct. The transitions were therefore relabeled to obtain a consistent set of H₂¹⁸O energy levels. Particularly helpful in this regard was the ratio of H₂¹⁸O to H₂¹⁶O energy levels, which was found to be almost constant within a vibrational band and to only vary slightly between bands. Having established the structure of the H₂¹⁸O levels in the region of the $3\nu + \delta$ and 4ν polyad, further assignments were made by

searching for combination differences using a small computer program. This analysis leads to the assignment of 778 of the 927 H₂¹⁸O lines. These assignments are given with the lines in the electronic archive.

Lines are assigned to 14 different upper vibrational levels. Three of these, (013), (112) and (211), were already extensively studied by Bykov *et al* [4]. The other states have not been previously observed for H₂¹⁸O. Our new assignments can be used to characterize further H₂¹⁸O energy levels. Table 1 gives newly derived energy levels for vibrational states (221), (400), (301), (202) and (103) which covers most of the new data. For these states, vibrational band origins have been determined at 13 612.71, 13 793.09, 13 795.40 14 188.82 and 14 276.34 cm⁻¹ respectively. The small splitting between the (400) and (301) band origins shows, as has been noted previously [12], that stretching states in the 4ν polyad for water are local-mode like. Table 1 therefore labels the vibrational states using both normal and local mode notations. All our levels, including 11 for (023), 9 for (122), 3 for (004) and one each for (141) and (042) are given in the electronic archive.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

A major reason for analysing the H₂¹⁸O spectra presented here is so that the data can be included in databases for use in atmospheric and other models. HITRAN [20] contains 47 transitions assigned to H₂¹⁸O in the region studied here, which are confined to the frequency range 13 608 – 13 893 cm⁻¹. The source of these data is unknown to us. Our analysis confirms the assignments given in HITRAN, the only exceptions being the line at 13 847.279 cm⁻¹, which we assign to $3_{13} - 2_{12} 301 - 000$ but whose assignment is mis-typed in HITRAN, and the $4_{14} - 5_{15}$ line from the same band which we find at 13 677.624 cm⁻¹ as opposed to 13 677.131 cm⁻¹ in HITRAN.

Figure 1 compares the intensities given in HITRAN with those derived here. For ease of analysis we plot the intensities from the ¹⁸O spectrum directly without scaling for enhanced H₂¹⁸O abundance. The ratio of our intensities to HITRAN is 36.5 ± 17.3 . This result is consistent with our result of 31.0 ± 0.5 . The almost 50 % error is well outside our error estimates, even when allowance is made for the errors in the individual lines, and it would appear therefore that the larger uncertainty must be inherent to the HITRAN data.

In this work we have used three separate long path length Fourier transform spectra, recorded using water with different isotopic composition, to identify lines belonging to H₂¹⁸O. 927 lines have been so identified and 778 of them assigned using a variety of techniques. Comparison with known data for H₂¹⁶O aided this process. The spectra analysed here include one containing enhanced H₂¹⁷O. It should be possible to apply the techniques used here to this spectrum.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Roman Tolchenov and Nikolai Zobov for helpful discussion during the course of this work. This work was sup-

ported in part by the UK Natural Environment Research Council and the UK Engineering and Science Research Council.

REFERENCES

1. J. P. Chevillard, J.-Y. Mandin, J.-M. Flaud and C. Camy-Peyret, *Can. J. Phys.*, **63**, 1112-1127 (1985).
2. J. P. Chevillard, J.-Y. Mandin, J.-M. Flaud and C. Camy-Peyret, *Can. J. Phys.*, **64**, 746-761 (1986).
3. J. P. Chevillard, J.-Y. Mandin, J.-M. Flaud and C. Camy-Peyret, *Can. J. Phys.*, **65**, 777-789 (1987).
4. A. Bykov, O. Naumenko, T. Petrova, A. Scherbakov, L. Sinita, J. Y. Mandin, C. Camy-Peyret and J.-M. Flaud, *J. Molec. Spectrosc.*, **172**, 243-253 (1995).
5. C. Camy-Peyret, J.-M. Flaud, J.-Y. Mandin, J.-P. Chevillard, J. Brault, D. R. Ramsay and M. Vervloet, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **113**, 208-228 (1985).
6. J.-Y. Mandin, J.-P. Chevillard, C. Camy-Peyret and J.-M. Flaud, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **116**, 167-190 (1986).
7. J.-Y. Mandin, J.-P. Chevillard, J.-M. Flaud and C. Camy-Peyret, *Can. J. Phys.* **66**, 997-1011 (1988).
8. J.-P. Chevillard, J.-Y. Mandin, J.-M. Flaud and C. Camy-Peyret, *Can. J. Phys.* **67**, 1065-1084 (1989).
9. R. A. Toth, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **166**, 176-183 (1994).
10. J.-M. Flaud, C. Camy-Peyret, A. Bykov, O. Naumenko, T. Petrova, A. Scherbakov and L. Sinita, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **183**, 300-309 (1997).
11. J.-M. Flaud, C. Camy-Peyret, A. Bykov, O. Naumenko, T. Petrova, A. Scherbakov and L. Sinita, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **185**, 211-221 (1997).
12. M. Carleer, A. Jenouvrier, A.-C. Vandaele, P. F. Bernath, M. F. Mérienne, R. Colin, N. F. Zobov, O. L. Polyansky, J. Tennyson and A. V. Savin, *J. Chem. Phys.* **111**, 2444-2450 (1999).
13. N. F. Zobov, D. Belmiloud, O. L. Polyansky, J. Tennyson, S. V. Shirin, M. Carleer, A. Jenouvrier, A.-C. Vandaele, P. F. Bernath, M.F. Marianne and R. Colin, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **113**, 1546-1552 (2000).
14. R. Schermaul, R. C. M. Learner, D. A. Newnham, R. G. Williams, J. Ballard, N. F. Zobov, D. Belmiloud and J. Tennyson, *J. Molec. Spectrosc.*, **208**, 32-42 (2001).
15. R. Schermaul, R. C. M. Learner, D. A. Newnham, J. Ballard, N. F. Zobov, D. Belmiloud and J. Tennyson, *J. Molec. Spectrosc.*, **208**, 43-50 (2001).
16. R. Schermaul, R. C. M. Learner, A. A. D. Canas, J. W. Brault, O. L. Polyansky, D. Belmiloud and J. Tennyson, *J. Molec. Spectrosc.*, **??**, ?? (2002).
17. H. Partridge and D. W. Schwenke, *J. Chem. Phys.* **106**, 4618-4639 (1997).
18. O. L. Polyansky, N. F. Zobov, S. Viti and J. Tennyson, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **189**, 291-300 (1998).
19. J. Tennyson, N. F. Zobov, R. Williamson, O. L. Polyansky and P. F. Bernath, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, **30**, 735-831 (2001).
20. L. S. Rothman, C. P. Rinsland, A. Goldman, S. T. Massie, D. P. Edwards, J.-M. Flaud, A. Perrin, C. Camy-Peyret, V. Dana, J.-Y. Mandin, J. Schroeder, A. McCann, R. R. Gamache, R. B. Wattson, K. Yoshino, K. V. Chance, K. W. Jucks, L. R. Brown, V. Nemtchinov and P. Varanasi, *J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer* **60**, 665-710 (1998); for HITRAN2000 see www.hitran.com.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1 Ratio of H₂¹⁸O line intensities obtained in this work (unscaled for isotopic enrichment) to those given in HITRAN [20].