

Opiyo, N; Shepperd, S; Musila, N; Allen, E; Nyamai, R; Fretheim, A; English, M (2013) Comparison of alternative evidence summary and presentation formats in clinical guideline development: a mixed-method study. PLoS One, 8 (1). e55067. ISSN 1932-6203 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0055067

Downloaded from: http://researchonline.lshtm.ac.uk/1175546/

DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0055067

### Usage Guidelines

 $Please\ refer\ to\ usage\ guidelines\ at\ http://research$  $online.lshtm.ac.uk/policies.html\ or\ alternatively\ contact\ research$ online@lshtm.ac.uk.

Available under license: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/





## Evidence summary: Kangaroo mother care for low birth weight infants

#### Clinical need

Kangaroo mother care (KMC), defined as continuous skin to skin between a mother and her newborn allowing frequent and exclusive breastfeeding, has been proposed as an alternative to conventional care (incubators, cots, etc) for low birth weight (LBW, less than 2500 g) babies. The evidence for the effectiveness and safety of KMC versus conventional care in LBW infants is considered in this summary.

# Clinical questions, Quality of evidence‡ and Key findings

- Population: Low birth weight infants, less than 2500 g
- Comparisons: Kangaroo mother care versus conventional care
- Outcomes: Neonatal mortality, morbidity, breastfeeding status, costs and length of hospital stay

### 1. What is the evidence that KMC reduces the risk of mortality in LBW infants?

#### Key findings

- Low quality evidence suggests that KMC does not reduce the risk of death in stabilized LBW infants
- Low quality evidence suggests that KMC may reduce the risk of death in LBW infants if initiated very early in life before stabilization

# 2. What is the evidence that KMC reduces morbidity in LBW infants?

### Key findings

Low quality evidence suggests that KMC reduces the risk of morbidity (mild / illnesses, nosocomial infections) in LBW infants.

### 3. What is the evidence that KMC improves breastfeeding outcomes in LBW infants?

#### Key findings

 Low quality evidence suggests that KMC increases the likelihood of exclusive breastfeeding at discharge in LBW infants

- Moderate quality evidence suggests that KMC increases the likelihood of exclusive breastfeeding at 41 weeks corrected age in LBW infants
- Very low quality evidence suggests that KMC may improve the chances of exclusive breastfeeding of LBW infants at the age of six months post birth

# 4. What is the evidence that KMC reduces the length of hospital stay of LBW infants?

### Key findings

Low quality evidence suggests that LBW babies on KMC stay hospitalized for a shorter duration compared to those on conventional care

# 5. What is the evidence for the cost-benefit of KMC compared to standard neonatal care?

# Key findings

- Very low quality evidence suggests that the cost of care for babies on KMC is lower than the costs of standard care
- ‡ Quality of evidence is categorized as 'high', 'moderate', 'low' or 'very low'.
  - **HIGH**: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of
  - MODERATE: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.
  - LOW: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.
  - **VERY LOW**: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

# **Quality of Evidence and Summary of Findings**

Question 1: What is the evidence that KMC reduces the mortality risk in LBW infants?

Intervention: Kangaroo mother care Comparison: Conventional care

Bibliography: Worku et al<sup>3</sup>; Charpak et al<sup>4</sup>; Sloan et al<sup>5</sup>; Cattaneo et al<sup>5</sup>; Suman et al<sup>9</sup>

			Summary									
			Effect size	Quality	Importance							
No of studies	No of infants	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	(95% CI)	(GRADE)				
Mortality b	Mortality before stabilization (follow-up 4 to 6 days)											
1	123	randomised controlled	serious†	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious‡	RR 0.57 (0.33 to 1.0)	⊕⊕⊝⊝	CRITICAL			
		trial					(0.00 to 1.0)	LOW				
Mortality a	fter stabil	ization (follow	-up 1 to 7 wee	eks)				,				
4	1512	randomised controlled	serious††	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	RR 0.70 (0.41 to	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \ominus$	CRITICAL			
		trials					1.21)	MODERATE				

<sup>† -</sup> significant number of recruited infants not randomised, blinding of investigators / data collectors unclear; ‡ small sample size, wide 95% confidence interval; †† blinding of investigators / data collectors unclear, potential for reporting bias (selective reporting of outcomes)

**Question 2:** What is the evidence that KMC reduces morbidity in LBW infants?

Intervention: Kangaroo mother care Comparison: Conventional care

**Bibliography:** Charpak et al<sup>4</sup> Sloan et al<sup>5</sup>; Cattaneo et al<sup>6</sup>; Charpak et al<sup>7</sup>

Quality assessment								Summary of findings			
							Effect size	Quality	Importance		
No of studies	No of infants	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	(95% CI)	(GRADE)			
Morbidity	(risk of se	vere illness at	discharge)								
1	285	randomised controlled	serious†	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious‡	RR 0.51 (0.28 to	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$	CRITICAL		
		trial		,			0.94)	LOW			
Morbidity	(risk of inf	ectious episo	des at 40 to 4°	1 weeks correcte	ed age; follow-u	ip 3 to 10 week	(s)				
1	746	randomised controlled	serious¶	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious‡	RR 1.06 (0.72 to	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$	CRITICAL		
		trial		,			1.54)	LOW			
Morbidity	(risk of no	socomial infe	ctions at 40 to	41 weeks corre	cted age; follow	v-up 3 to 10 we	eeks)				
1	285	randomised	serious¶	no serious	no serious	serious‡	RR 0.47	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$	CRITICAL		
		controlled trial		inconsistency	indirectness		(0.30 to 0.73)	LOW			
Morbidity	Morbidity (risk of severe illness at 6 months (follow-up 0-6 months)										

1	275	randomised controlled trial	serious¶	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious‡	(0.30 (0.66)	to	⊕⊕⊝⊝ LOW	CRITICAL
Morbidity (	risk of sev	vere illness at	1 year correc	ted age; follow-ι	up 0 to 12 mont	hs)				
1	285	randomised controlled trial	serious††	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious‡	RR 0 (0.06 15.09)	0.95 to	⊕⊕⊝⊝ LOW	CRITICAL

<sup>† -</sup> blinding of intervention to both investigators and data collectors unclear; ‡ - few number of events; ¶ - unclear concealment of intervention allocation, unblinded outcome assessment; †† - significant loss to follow-up

Question 3: What is the evidence that KMC improves breastfeeding outcomes in LBW infants?

Intervention: Kangaroo mother care Comparison: Conventional care

**Bibliography:** Cattaneo et al<sup>6</sup>; Hake-Brooks et al<sup>11</sup>; Rojas et al<sup>12</sup>; Boo et al<sup>13</sup>

Quality assessment								Summary of findings				
			Effect size	Quality	Importance							
No of studies	No of infants	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	(95% CI)	(GRADE)				
Breastfeed	ing (exclu	isively at disc	harge; follow-	up 0 to 30 days)	<u>'</u>							
4	537	randomised controlled	serious†	no serious inconsistency	serious‡	no serious imprecision	RR 1.33	$\oplus \oplus \ominus \ominus$	IMPORTANT			
		trial		inconsistency		Imprecision	(1.17 to 1.5)	LOW				
Breastfeed	ing (exclu	isively at 40 to	41 weeks co	rrected age; follo	ow-up 0 to 10 w	veeks)						
1	746	randomised controlled	serious†	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	no serious imprecision	RR 1.02 (0.87 to	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \ominus$	IMPORTANT			
		trial		inconsistency	munectress	Imprecision	1.21)	MODERATE				
Breastfeed	ing (exclu	isively at 6 mo	onths of age; i	follow-up mean 6	6 months)							
1	66	randomised	serious¶	no serious	serious‡	serious††	RR 2.51	⊕⊝⊝⊝	IMPORTANT			
		controlled trial		inconsistency			(0.11 to 59.53)	VERY LOW				
Breastfeed	Breastfeeding (follow-up 0 to 12 months)											

2	759	randomised controlled	serious†	no serious inconsistency	serious‡	serious††	RR 0.92	⊕⊝⊝⊝	IMPORTANT
		trial		inconsistency			(0.69 to 1.23)	VERY LOW	

<sup>† -</sup> unclear concealment of allocation of interventions / blinding of outcome assessment; ‡ - one of the included studies conducted in a high income setting with a lactation consultant; ¶ - unclear concealment of allocation of interventions; †† - small number of events (<300)

Question 4: What is the evidence that KMC reduces the length of hospital stay of LBW infants?

Intervention: Kangaroo mother care Comparison: Conventional care

Bibliography: Kadam et al<sup>8</sup>; Gathwala et al<sup>10</sup>; Boo et al<sup>13</sup>

Quality assessment								Summary of findings	
								Quality	Importance
No of studies	No of infants	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	(95% CI)	(GRADE)	
Length of I	hospital s	tay (follow-up	0 to 40 days)						
1	126	randomised controlled trial	serious†	no serious inconsistency	no serious indirectness	serious‡	13.5 days (KMC) versus 22.5 days (CMC)	⊕⊕⊝⊝ LOW	IMPORTANT
Length of	hospital s	tay (follow-up	0 to 2 months	5)					
2	199	randomised controlled trial	serious†	serious¶	no serious indirectness	serious‡	KMC = shorter duration of hospital stay††	⊕⊖⊖⊝ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT

<sup>† -</sup> unclear concealment of allocation of interventions / blinding of outcome assessment /selective reporting of outcomes; ‡ - small number of enrolled participants; CMC - conventional method of care ¶ - age at randomisation into KMC group inconsistent across included studies; †† - Gathwala et al; (KMC, 3.56 days versus CMC, 6.8 days), Kadam et al (KMC, 8.5 days versus CMC, 9.3 days)

Question 4: What is the evidence that KMC reduces the length of hospital stay of LBW infants?

Intervention: Kangaroo mother care Comparison: Conventional care

Bibliography: Sloan et al<sup>5</sup>; Cattaneo et al<sup>6</sup>

Quality assessment								Summary of findings	
			Effect size	Quality	Importance				
No of studies	No of infants	Design	Limitations	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	(95% CI)	(GRADE)	
Cost of ca	re (follow	up 0 to 6 mont	hs)		<u>'</u>				
2	560	observational studies	very serious†	no serious inconsistency	serious‡	no serious imprecision	KMC = Lower costs of care¶	⊕⊝⊝⊝ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT

<sup>† -</sup> potential for selection / investigator bias in recruitment of participants / measurement of outcomes; ‡ - items costed unclear; ¶ -Sloan et al (KMC, US \$101 versus CMC, US \$130), Cattaneo et al (KMC, US \$7,501 versus CMC, US \$9,876)

### Characteristics of the evidence

This evidence summary is based on a comprehensive search and critical appraisal (for methodological rigor and clinical practice applicability) of best currently available literature. The evidence in this summary comes from:

- One Cochrane review of randomised controlled trials (RCTs) (N=1,362 infants, 3 studies)<sup>1</sup>
- One overview of 2 systematic reviews and 7 RCTs<sup>2</sup>
- Ten RCTs (N=2,086 infants)<sup>3-12</sup>

#### References

- 1. Conde-Agudelo A, Belizán JM. *Kangaroo Mother Care to reduce morbidity and mortality in low birth weight infants*. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2003; (2).
- 2. Blackwell K, Adriano C. What is the evidence of Kangaroo mother care of the very low birth weight infants. International Child Health Review Collaboration (ICHRC). Available at: <a href="www.ichrc.org/pdf/kangaroo.pdf">www.ichrc.org/pdf/kangaroo.pdf</a>, Accessed 17th May 2010.
- 3. Worku, B, Kassie A. *Kangaroo Mother Care: A randomized controlled trial on effectiveness of early kangaroo mother care for the low birth weight infants in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.* J Trop Ped, 2005: 51(2); 93-7
- 4. Charpak N, Ruiz-Pelaez JG, et al. A randomized, controlled trial of kangaroo mother care: results of follow-up at 1 year of corrected age. Pediatrics, 2001:108(5); 1072-1079.
- 5. Sloan NL, Camacho LW, Rochas EP, et al. Kangaroo mother method: randomised controlled trial of an alternative method of care for stabilized low-birthweight infants. Maternidad Isidro Ayora Study Team. Lancet, 1994: 344(8925); 782-785.
- 6. Cattaneo A, Davanzo R, Worku B, et al. Kangaroo mother care for low birth weight infants: a randomised controlled trial in different settings. Acta Paed, 1998: 87; 976-985.
- 7. Charpak N, Ruiz-Palaez JG, et al. Kangaroo mother versus traditional care for newborn infants < 2000 grams: a randomised controlled trial. Pediatrics, 1997:100(4):682-688.
- 8. Kadam S, Binoy S, Kanbur W, et al. Feasibility of kangaroo mother care in Mumbai. Indian J Ped, 2005:72; 35-38.
- 9. Suman RP, Udani R, Nanavati R. *Kangaroo mother care for low birth weight infants: a randomized controlled trial.* Indian Pediatr, 2008: 45; 17–23.
- 10. Gathwala G, Singh B, Balhara B. *KMC facilitates mother baby attachment in low birth weight* infants. Indian J Ped, 2008: 75; 43-47.
- 11. Hake-Brooks SJ, Anderson S. *Kangaroo mother care and breast-feeding of mother-preterm infant dyads 0-18 months: A randomized, controlled trial.* Neonatal Network, 2008: 27; 151-159.
- 12. Rojas MA, Kaplan M, Quevedo M, et al. Somatic growth of preterm infants during skinto-skin care versus traditional holding: A randomized, controlled trial. Developmental and Behavioral Pediatrics, 2003: 24; 163–168.