1



AGRICULTURE AND **F**OOD **D**EVELOPMENT **A**UTHORITY 2 3 4 TITLE: Linking hydrogeochemistry to nitrate abundance in groundwater in agricultural 5 settings in Ireland 6 7 AUTHORS: M.M.R. Jahangira, P. Johnston, M.I. Khalil, K.G. Richards 8 9 This article is provided by the author(s) and Teagasc T-Stór in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite the published version. The correct citation is available in the T-Stór record for this article. 10

NOTICE: This is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in Journal of Hydrology. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in Journal of Hydrology, Volumes 448–449, 2 July 2012, Pages 212–222. DOI : 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.04.054

11

12

This item is made available to you under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non commercial-No Derivatives 3.0 License.



14

13

15 16 17	Linking hydrogeochemistry to nitrate abundance in groundwater in agricultural settings in Ireland
18	M.M.R. JAHANGIR ^{1, 2} , P. JOHNSTON ² , M.I. KHALIL ³ , K.G. RICHARDS ¹ , ⁴
19	
20	¹ Teagasc Environment Research Centre, Johnstown Castle, Co. Wexford, Ireland
21	² Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, Trinity College Dublin,
22	Ireland; ³ Environmental Protection Agency, Co. Wexford, Ireland; ⁴ Corresponding Author*
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	*Teagasc Environment Research Centre
28	Johnstown Castle
29	Co. Wexford
30	Ireland
31	Tel: +353 (0) 53 9171200
32	E-mail: jahangim@tcd.ie
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	

40 Abstract

Nitrate (NO₃-N) contamination of groundwater and associated surface waters is an 41 42 increasingly important global issue with multiple impacts on terrestrial, aquatic and atmospheric environments. Investigation of the distribution of hydrogeochemical variables 43 44 and their connection with the occurrence of NO₃-N provides better insights into the prediction of the environmental risk associated with nitrogen use within agricultural systems. 45 The research objective was to evaluate the effect of hydrogeological setting on agriculturally 46 47 derived groundwater NO₃⁻N occurrence. Piezometers (n=36) were installed at three depths 48 across four contrasting agricultural research sites. Groundwater was sampled monthly for chemistry and dissolved gases, between February 2009 and January 2011. Mean groundwater 49 NO_3 -N ranged 0.7 to 14.6 mg L⁻¹, with site and groundwater depth being statistically 50 significant (p<0.001). Unsaturated zone thickness and saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_{sat}) 51 52 were significantly correlated with dissolved oxygen (DO) and redox potential (Eh) across 53 sites. Groundwater NO₃-N occurrence was significantly negatively related to DOC and 54 methane and positively related with Eh and K_{sat}. Reduction of NO₃⁻-N started at Eh potentials 55 <150 mV while significant nitrate reduction occurred <100 mV. Indications of heterotrophic 56 and autotrophic denitrification were observed through elevated dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and oxidation of metal bound sulphur, as indicated by sulphate (SO_4^{2-}) . Land 57 58 application of waste water created denitrification hot spots due to high DOC losses. 59 Hydrogeological settings significantly influenced groundwater nitrate occurrence and 60 suggested denitrification as the main control.

61

62 Key words: K_{sat} , Dissolved Organic C, Dissolved Oxygen, redox-potential, SO_4^{2-} , nitrate 63 retention

64 **1. Introduction**

65 At the global scale, fertilizer production, fossil fuel combustion and the widespread cultivation of leguminous crops now cause more atmospheric N₂ to be fixed into chemically 66 67 and biologically reactive forms than all natural processes on land combined and at regional 68 scale, the transformations are even more dramatic (Townsend and Davidson, 2006). The high 69 rates of N deposition result in nitrogen (N) saturation in agricultural land causing high nitrate 70 delivery to groundwater which is a widespread problem in agricultural areas in Europe, North 71 America and East Asia. Groundwater nitrate contamination is a global environmental and 72 health concerns (Rivett et al., 2007) due mainly to its potential connection to the deterioration of air quality related to particulate matter and ground level ozone (Townsend et al., 2003), 73 74 acidification of lakes and streams (Driscoll et al., 2001), eutrophication, hypoxia and algal bloom (Rabalais, 2002; Mason, 2002); and methaemoglobinaemia (WHO, 2004). 75 76 Groundwater is an important water resource in the Republic of Ireland and accounts for up to 15% of total water supplied by local authorities and about 25% of all water supplies (Daly, 77 78 1993) where nitrate is one of the common contaminants (EPA, 2008).

79 Nitrate concentration in groundwater, however, do not necessarily remain constant and is a 80 function of several physical and biogeochemical processes e.g. dispersion, denitrification, 81 microbial assimilation, immobilization, DNRA and anammox (Burgin and Hamilton, 2007) 82 which are functions of local hydrogeochemistry. Of the biogeochemical processes, denitrification is the principal process which converts the reactive N to dinitrogen gas (Rivett 83 84 et al., 2008). The organisms that contribute to denitrification are ubiquitous in surface water, soil and groundwater (Beauchamp et al., 1989); they are found at great depths in aquifers e.g. 85 nearly 300m below ground (Francis et al., 1989). Therefore, nitrate reduction should mainly 86 87 be controlled by hydrologic and geochemical factors. Denitrifiers are facultative anaerobic

88 heterotrophs (obtain C and energy from oxidation of organic compounds e.g. organic C) and autotrophs (obtain energy from oxidation of inorganic compounds e.g. reduced S or Fe). 89 90 Multiple electron donors can contribute to nitrate reduction by denitrification (Rivett et al., 91 2008; Böhlke, 2002). Therefore, investigation into the distributions of electron donors and 92 hydrogeochemistry can give insights into the abundances of nitrate in groundwater and 93 subsequent delivery to the surface waters. Denitrification is principally an anaerobic process which starts at an oxygen level 4 mg L^{-1} (Böhlke and Denver, 1995); 2-3 mg L^{-1} (Tang and 94 95 Sakura, 2005) but requires more consensuses (Buss et al., 2005). The redox chemistry is an 96 important phenomenon that can be used as an indication of environment favourable for 97 denitrification (Buss et al., 2005). Controls of local hydrology (water table fluctuations and water flow rates), hydrochemistry and hydrogeology on nitrate distribution are therefore 98 99 important to improving agricultural N management. Thayalakumaran et al. (2008) measured 0.1 to 14.4 mg N L⁻¹, 5.9 to 7.6 pH, 4 to 82 mg L⁻¹ DOC, 0.1 to 6 mg L⁻¹ DO, 0to 235 mV Eh, 100 0 to 361 mg L⁻¹ Fe(II) in groundwater at Burdekin floodplain in Australia. Mean DOC 101 concentration in groundwater ranged 1 to 5 mg L^{-1} (Starr and Gillham, 1993; Mohamed et al., 102 2003). Beller et al. (2004) measured NO₃⁻-N between 9.5 and 22 mg L⁻¹ with DO <1 to 10 mg 103 L^{-1} and DOC 0.3 to 1.7 mg L^{-1} , being monitored in groundwater at California. 104

Processed-based understanding of the factors controlling the abundances of nitrate and of their distributions over space and time is crucial for quantifying the effects of human activity on the N cycle and for managing and mitigating the severe environmental consequences associated with N pollution (Boyer et al., 2006) and will provide an important tool for agricultural N management in sustainable agricultural and environmental contexts. The objective of the research was to evaluate the effect of hydrogeological setting on agriculturally derived groundwater NO_3 -N occurrence. The research hypothesis was that hydrogeological settings would 1. influence groundwater physiochemical properties and 2.
physico-chemical properties would affect groundwater nitrate occurrence.

114

115 **2. Materials and Methods**

116 2.1 Study sites

The investigation was carried out in three vertical depths of groundwater to target samples in subsoil, bedrock-interface and bedrock in four agricultural catchments in Southeast Ireland namely: Johnstown castle, (JC); Solohead, (SH); Oak Park, (OP) and Dairy Gold, (DG). An overview of land use, soil type and bedrock geology was summarised in Table 1. Locations of the study sites within Ireland with the soil drainage status are shown in Figure 1.

122

123 2.2 Monitoring well establishment

124 Thirty specifically designed multilevel piezometers (5 cm ID and 2-6 m screen length) were installed along groundwater flow paths in three depths viz. 4-6, 10-12 and 18-30 m bgl 125 126 representing respectively subsoil, bedrock-interface and bedrock in JC, SH, OP and 6 single 127 piezometers in only bedrock (30-50 m bgl; 6 m screen section) in DG. Well development was carried out by pumping the wells for several times over the next two months after installation 128 129 until water was clear using a centrifugal pump (Model MP1, Grundfos, Fresno, CA, USA). 130 Well integrity was checked for each borehole by injecting 5 L water to increase static water 131 level by 1 m in one well and measuring the changes in water levels in other two wells around using electronic transducer (Diver, Eijkelkamp, The Netherland) and found intact. 132

133

134 2.3 Groundwater sampling

Groundwater sampling was carried out monthly from Feb, 2009 to Jan, 2011 using a bladder 135 pump (Geotech Environmental Equipment, Inc., USA) following USEPA Region I Low 136 137 Stress Purging and Sampling Procedures (USEPA, July 30, 1996) for analysing dissolved gases and hydrochemistry. Groundwater pH, temperature, turbidity, DO, electrical 138 139 conductivity and oxidation reduction potential (Eh) were measured on site using In Situ 140 Multiparameter Probe (In Situ Inc. USA). Triplicate samples were collected through Teflon made water outlet tube (ID 0.6 cm) at a rate of 100 ml min⁻¹ so that withstanding of pressure 141 142 does not cause any ebullition of dissolved gases. To analyse dissolved CO₂ and CH₄, water 143 samples were collected into 160 ml serum bottle after overflowing of approximately 150 ml 144 water and immediately sealed with butyl rubber septa and aluminium crimp caps 145 (WHEATON, USA), dipped into water in cool box and stored at 4 °C and analysed within one week. Due to low flow pumping no visible air bubble was observed in water samples. 146 147 The preliminary experimentation on collecting samples in pre-evacuated and without 148 evacuated exetainers and serum bottles showed no significant differences for dissolved gases.

149

150 2.4 Analysis of dissolved CO_2 and CH_4

151 To determine the dissolved CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations, samples were degassed using high purity He (BOC, Linde Group, Germany) (He: water 1:3; v/v). The headspace volume was 152 153 augmented to 40 ml by an additional injection of 40 ml of He and simultaneous replacement of 40 ml water through the rubber septum of sealed serum bottle using plastic syringe. The 154 155 needle was connected to Cu tube (which was connected to the gas cylinder) with a 2- way 156 valve. The samples were shaked in mechanical shaker for 5 min at 400 rpm and left standing for 30 min. After equilibration, headspace gas sample was extracted into 15 ml exetainer 157 (Labco, Wycombe, UK) with an additional injection of 15 ml He using PVC syringe. The 158

159 CO_2 and CH_4 was analysed in auto sampler gas chromatograph (CP-3800, Varian, Inc. USA) 160 equipped with TCD and FID, respectively using Ar as carrier gas. Calculation of CO_2 and 161 CH_4 was carried out using Henry's Law with the solubility co-efficients of the gases at 162 ambient groundwater temperature.

163

164 2.6 Hydrologic properties

165 Daily weather data were collected from the local weather station situated at the close proximity of each site. The modified Penman-Monteith equation (Allen et al., 1998) was used 166 to process the potential evapotranspiration (PET), subsequently the hybrid model for 167 computing soil moisture deficit (SMD) described by Schulte et al. (2005) was used to obtain 168 169 the actual evapotranspiration (AET). Effective rainfall (ER) was calculated by subtracting 170 daily AET from daily rainfall (P) assuming no overland flow. Thickness of the unsaturated 171 zone was measured by measuring the changes in groundwater table (GWT) depth below the 172 ground level (bgl). The GWT changes were measured continuously for 30 minute interval 173 over the experimental period using electronic transducer (Eijkelkamp, The Netherlands). 174 Water table depth was compensated with the atmospheric pressure measured using a 175 Barodiver (Eijkelkamp, The Netherlands). Monthly measurement of GWT was also carried out manually by electronic dipper every month before the commencement of sampling. 176 177 Rainfall data were collected for all sites from the local stations. Saturated hydraulic 178 conductivity (K_{sat}) was estimated by slug test using Bouwer and Rice (1976) method.

179

180 2.7 Hydrogeochemistry

181 Groundwater non-metallic ions e. g., total oxidised N, NO_2^- , NH_4^+ , and CI^- and reduced 182 metals e.g., Mn^{2+} , Fe^{2+} and S^{2-} were analyzed by Aquakem 600 Discrete Analyser (Aquakem 600A, 01621 Vantaa, Finland). SO₄²⁻ concentration was measured by turbimetric method
(Askew and Smith, 2005a). DOC was analysed using Total Organic Carbon Analyser (TOCV cph/cpn; Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan) and total N and total phosphate were
analysed using persulfate method (Askew and Smith, 2005b).

187

188 2.8 Statistical analysis

189 Analysis of data was performed using the Mixed Procedure (SAS, 2009). As most of the 190 variables showed an approximately lognormal distribution, log transformations were used with appropriate re-scaling so that residual checks indicated that the assumptions of the 191 192 analyses were not violated. Pre-specified hypotheses of influential variables were tested by 193 regression modelling for NO_3 -N. Sequential addition of the variables to the model was 194 performed where the size of the F statistic gives an indication of their relative contribution to 195 the full model. Structural factors like depth and sampling dates were tested. Covariance 196 models were included to account for correlations (Pearson's correlation co-efficient) in the data (e.g. across sampling date). For each hydrologic and geochemical parameter effects 197 198 of location and depth were examined along with their interactions (2-way ANOVA). In case 199 significant differences were found, Tukey Kramer HSD multiple comparison test were used 200 to distinguish differences between individual site and depth.

201

202 **3. Results**

203 3.1 Hydrology

Each of the study sites had different hydrologic regimes with respect to the amount of rainfall recorded over the two years. Total rainfall was significantly higher on all sites in 2009 than 2010 (Figure 2) creating a marked contrast in unsaturated zone water content and its delivery 207 to GWT. Despite the two contrasting years of rainfall, mean rainfall was within the range of 208 mean Irish rainfall (800-1400 mm). Both the PET and AET were similar between the study 209 sites within each year (Figure 2). However, ER differed between sites, being highest at JC 210 and lowest at OP (Figure 2) reflecting differences in annual rainfall (2009: 537-836 mm; 211 2010: 241-385 mm). Thickness of unsaturated zone, expressed by the depth between ground 212 surface and GWT, was significantly different between sites and depths (ANOVA; p<0.001) showing mean values of 2.3, 1.7, 4.5 and 29 m bgl in JC, SH, OP and DG, respectively 213 214 (Table 2). In each site, it showed the same pattern of fluctuations over time and was deepest 215 during July- September and shallowest during November-January (Figure 3). Thickness of 216 unsaturated zone showed moderate to high temporal variability in all sites with mean 217 coefficients of variation ranged from 52-57, 38-116, 20-57 and 14% respectively in JC, SH, 218 OP and DG.

The weighted mean values of K_{sat} were 2.3×10^{-2} , 2.2×10^{-2} , 1.5×10^{-1} and 2.6×10^{-1} m d⁻¹, 219 respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG. The K_{sat} in subsoil was significantly higher in OP than 220 221 JC and SH (p<0.049 and 0.03) whereas the later two were similar (Table 2). At interface zone 222 it was also significantly higher in OP than JC and SH. In bedrock, K_{sat} was significantly 223 higher in OP and DG (p<0.001) than JC and SH but when compared between OP and DG, it 224 was higher in DG (p<0.05). Considering inter depths differences, no significant difference 225 was observed between depths except SH where subsoil showed lower K_{sat} value than interface (p<0.01) and bedrock (p<0.01). Spatial variability of groundwater hydraulic 226 227 conductivity was remarkably higher showing mean coefficients of variation of 65-123, 22-126, 44-51 and 42%, respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG. The GWT is deeper where K_{sat} 228 229 value is higher (Pearson's correlation co-efficient; r=0.69; p=0.001).

230

232 Groundwater temperature was approximately similar across sites and depths with very low spatial and temporal variability. It ranged from 11.1-11.3, 10.9-11.0, 10.4-10.6 and 10.0 °C 233 234 respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG with corresponding mean values of 11.2, 11.0 and 10.5 235 and 10.0 °C. Temperature in subsoil and at interface changed over time but in bedrock it is quite stable. Groundwater pH was neutral to alkaline (mean pH 6.8 - 7.9) in all depths and 236 237 sites (Table 2) except the OP site which had significantly higher pH (mean pH 7.4-10.4; 238 p<0.001) than other sites. The pH did not vary significantly between depths except OP where 239 it was lower in subsoil and bedrock than interface (ANOVA; p<0.001). The pH showed very low temporal variability with mean coefficients of variation across depths ranged from 4-7. 4-240 241 5, 5-23 and 4% respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG sites. DOC concentration in groundwater 242 did not differ between sites and depths (p>0.05). Mean DOC across depths were 2.3-4.0, 1.1-1.6, 0.6-1.1 and 0.9 mg L⁻¹, respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG. Despite a numerically higher 243 244 mean value in JC, it was similar across sites because DOC in 3 wells in JC (i.e. JC2A, JC2B and JC2C) was unusually higher (8.81-15.60 mg L^{-1}). Land around these wells has a long 245 246 history of being irrigated with waste water (farm yard washings). It showed moderate 247 temporal variability with highest during December to January and lowest during August to 248 September. During this period DOC consumption was low at low temperature coupled with 249 high water saturation resulting in high DOC transport to groundwater. Temporal variability of 250 DOC was remarkably higher with mean coefficients of variation of 147-159, 75-91, 54-99 and 56%, respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG. Dissolved CO₂ concentration varied 251 252 significantly between sites (p<0.001) and decreased significantly with increasing depths (p<0.001). Mean CO₂ concentrations were 35.5, 27.6, 11.6, and 33.1 mg C L^{-1} , respectively 253 in JC, SH, OP and DG and showed large spatial variability in each site (Table 2). Dissolved 254

CH₄ production was observed in 35% wells in JC and 60% wells in SH but in OP and DG it 255 was very low (Table 2) showing the mean values of 246.5, 29.9, 5.0, and 1.3 μ g C L⁻¹. SO₄²⁻ 256 concentrations in groundwater were similar in all depths across sites (p>0.05) except in 257 subsoil where it was significantly higher in OP than other sites. SO_4^{2-} concentrations had 258 259 moderate temporal variability with consistently higher values during July to September and 260 lower during December to February showing mean coefficient of variations of 40-57, 32-76, 13-23 and 33%, respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG. The CV values were comparatively 261 262 higher in JC and SH sites because there were couple of wells which have comparatively higher SO₄²⁻ concentrations e.g. JC1A, JC29, JC30, JC31, JC2A, JC2B, JC2C, JC3A, JC3B; 263 264 SH1A, SH2B, SH2C, SH3A, SH3B and SH3C (Table 2).

265

266 3.3 Groundwater redox chemistry

267 The DO concentration showed very contrasting results across depths and sites (Table 2). Mean DO values were similar in JC and SH in all depths but were significantly higher in OP 268 (7.1 mg L^{-1}) than JC (2.5 mg L^{-1}) and SH (1.0 mg L^{-1}) in subsoil. In bedrock, it was 269 significantly higher in DG (8.7 mg L^{-1}) than in JC (1.5 mg L^{-1}), SH (1.3 mg L^{-1}) and OP (4.8 270 mg L^{-1}) (ANOVA; p<0.001). Very interestingly, DO at interface was similar across sites. 271 272 However, DO did not differ significantly with depths in individual site. Comparatively higher 273 DO was measured from November to January and lower from July to September regardless 274 of sites and depths. The DO equilibrated with recharge water and transported to groundwater 275 resulting in a high concentration during winter. In addition, in winter groundwater flow rate was higher than summer due to water saturation which might have reduced the residence time 276 277 and enhanced the accumulation of DO in groundwater. The mean coefficients of variations were 74-127, 62-113, 49-62 and 28%, respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG sites. 278

279 Mean Eh was significantly different between sites (p<0.001) and depths (p<0.01) and ranged from 51-107, 42-92, 120-160 and 176 mV respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG regardless of 280 281 depths. There were some wells in JC (JC2B, JC2C, JC33, and JC34) and SH (SH2A, SH2B, SH3A, and SH3B) where Eh ranged from -1 to -72 mV. Mean coefficients of variation in 282 283 each site were medium to high which ranged from 68-217, 83-250, 42-76 and 40% respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG sites. The Eh increased with the increase in K_{sat} values 284 and thickness of unsaturated zone (normalized with ratio of depth below water table to depth 285 286 bgl) (Figure 4).

Fe²⁺ concentration was significantly higher in JC and SH than OP and DG (p<0.001) with the 287 mean values of 30.7, 26.0, 1.2 and 10.4 µg L⁻¹, respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG. It showed 288 similar concentration across depths (p>0.05). High temporal variability of Fe²⁺ was observed 289 in all sites with coefficients of variation of 218, 111, 98 and 201%, respectively in JC, SH, 290 OP and DG. Mn^{2+} concentration showed similar phenomenon to Fe^{2+} with significant 291 differences between sites (p<0.05) but similar concentrations between depths. Mean Mn^{2+} 292 concentration was 301, 130, 3 and 5 μ g L⁻¹, respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG with 293 coefficients of variation of 167, 106, 198 and 178%. Reduced S (S²⁻) concentration was 294 similar across sites and depths (p<0.05) with the mean values of 0.24, 0.19, 0.20 and 0.14 μ g 295 L^{-1} . Clearly, its concentrations as well as spatial variability were lower than reduced Fe²⁺ and 296 Mn^{2+} concentrations and variabilities (Table 2). The mean coefficients of variation were 116, 297 126, 118 and 125%, respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG. The Eh showed negative correlation 298 with Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} and DO concentrations but quadratic relation with S^{2-} (Figure 5). It was 299 also inversely correlated with the DOC concentrations (r=-0.334; p<0.023). Very 300 interestingly, Fe²⁺ and Mn²⁺ started to increase in groundwater while Eh drops below 150 mV 301

and reached maximum levels while Eh drops below 100 mV. S^{2-} decreased with the increase in Fe²⁺ and Mn²⁺ concentrations in some of the wells in JC and SH sites.

304

305 3.4 Groundwater N dynamics

306 Groundwater mean NO₃-N distributions in different depths across sites were shown in Table 2. Mean NO₃⁻N concentrations were 3.7, 0.7, 11.0, and 14.6 mg N L⁻¹, respectively at JC, 307 SH, OP and DG which were significantly different between sites (ANOVA; p<0.001) and 308 309 depths (p<0.01). Moderate temporal variability of NO₃⁻-N concentrations were observed 310 across sites and depths (Table 2) showing consistently higher NO₃-N concentrations during December to February and lower during August to October (Figure 6). Due to lack of 311 312 recharge in summer, soil aeration can increase nitrate content which is flushed to 313 groundwater in winter with recharge as an advective transport. In addition recharge increases 314 DO concentration in groundwater in winter at a low temperature. Mean coefficients of variation over time were 62-86, 103-149, 10-31 and 42% respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG 315 316 sites. In few wells at JC (JC2B, JC2C, JC33, JC34) in interface and bedrock but most of the 317 wells in SH in all depths it was close to detection limit (0.02 mg L^{-1}) .

Very trace level of NO₂⁻ in JC and OP was detected with respectively 0.01-0.06 and 0.02-318 0.38 mg N L^{-1} but in SH and DG most of the sampling times it was close to detection limit. 319 320 OP site showed significantly higher NO_2^- concentrations than JC (p<0.001). Though NH_4^+ concentration was detected in all sites and depths, it was significantly higher in JC than SH, 321 322 OP and DG (p<0.001). Few wells in JC e.g. JC2B, JC2C, JC32, JC33 and OP2B, it was remarkably higher than other wells ranging from 0.05 - 0.22 mg N L⁻¹ (Table 2). The 323 coefficient of variations over time in individual sites were 257-324, 372-424, 139-301 and 324 600% respectively in JC, SH, OP and DG sites. 325

327 The pattern of changes in chloride concentrations were approximately consistent over time 328 showing the coefficients of variation of 16, 28, 32, and 43% in JC, SH, OP and DG whereas 329 nitrate concentrations showed moderate to high temporal changes. In addition, the change in 330 Cl/nitrate ratio over time was remarkably higher which showed higher amplitude of fluctuations during June to September. The Cl⁻/nitrate ratio showed remarkable fluctuations 331 332 over time suggesting natural nitrate attenuation (Figure 7). Plots between NO₃⁻-N vs. groundwater hydrogeochemical properties revealed that NO₃-N concentrations showed 333 334 strong positive relation with the depths of unsaturated (normalized with the ratio of depth below GWT to depth bgl) and K_{sat} (Figure 8a and b). Furthermore, NO₃⁻-N concentrations 335 336 were positively correlated with DO and Eh (Figure 9a and b) which are the indicators of 337 groundwater higher aerobiocity. Conversely, NO₃⁻-N concentrations showed strong negative correlations with NH_4^+ and CH_4 concentrations (Pearson's correlation co-efficient; r=-0.59, 338 p<0.05, r=-0.62, p<0.05, respectively), being an indicator of groundwater anaerobiocity. 339 Decrease in NO_3 -N concentrations were observed with increased with Fe²⁺ and Mn²⁺ 340 341 concentrations in groundwater (r=- 0.59, p<0.05; r= 0.52, p<0.05, respectively). Nitrate concentrations decreased with increase in SO₄²⁻ concentrations in JC and SH but in OP and 342 DG it showed inverse relation. In addition, SO_4^{2-} concentrations increased in groundwater 343 with corresponding decrease in S^{2-} ions (r=0.35; p<0.032). 344

Multiple linear regressions following the stepwise method based on the changes in F-values revealed a good fit model where logDOC, logEh, and logCH₄ and LogK_{*sat*} showed significant impact on the predicted NO₃⁻-N concentrations which could explain 74% of the variances of groundwater ambient NO₃⁻-N concentrations.

349 $\log NO_3 - N = -0.964 - 1.245 \log DOC + 0.865 \log Eh - 0.342 \log CH4 + 0.156 \log K_{sat} (R^2 = 0.74;$

(1)

350 p<0.001; n=36)

where NO₃⁻-N, DOC, CH₄ concentrations are in mg L⁻¹; Eh is in mV and Ksat is in m d⁻¹. The model sequentially included the variables with their relative contributions as shown by F values of 78.22, 50.76, 37.69, and 21.46, respectively for logDOC, logEh, logCH₄, and logK_{sat}.

355

356 **4. Discussion**

357 4.1 Hydrology vs. nitrate abundances

358 GWT fluctuations reflect the pattern of rainwater recharge and drainage to and from 359 groundwater which has significant implication on groundwater hydrochemistry. It shows the change in the depth of unsaturated zone overlying the saturated zone over the sampling 360 361 period. Recharge generally begins in July and builds up GWT up to shallowest level in November. Fenton et al. (2009b) estimated vertical travel time in Irish aquifers from 0.017 m 362 d^{-1} y in poorly productive aquifer to 0.083 m d^{-1} in sand and gravel aquifer irrespective of 363 364 depth of unsaturated zone and effective porosity. Saturated hydraulic conductivity data showed that water can flow 0.8 m at low permeability sites to 8 m at high permeability sites 365 per month. In DG and OP, deeper unsaturated zone with correspondingly higher K_{sat} values 366 revealed higher solute transport potential i.e. higher vulnerability than JC and SH (Figure 2a 367 and b). Higher NO₃⁻-N concentrations are consistent with higher K_{sat} (approximately >0.05 m 368 d^{-1}) values suggesting that groundwater travel time is inversely related to groundwater NO₃⁻-369 370 N reduction. Because, higher K_{sat} is resulted from the numerous larger as well connected pores which enriched groundwater with DO that equilibrated with infiltrating water which in 371 turn consume DOC and produce CO₂. However, DOC input was not sufficient in 372

groundwater to significantly consume DO. As a consequence of free draining conditions, DO 373 concentrations dramatically increased in groundwater which ultimately affected the overall 374 375 biogeochemical N transformations in groundwater. Therefore, the shorter is the travel time 376 the higher is the vulnerability of groundwater to nitrate pollution. Sediments with coarse 377 sands allow faster leaching through larger as well as better connected pores (Goss et al., 378 1998) which shows higher groundwater vulnerability (NRA, 1995) than clayey soils. 379 Conversely, shallow unsaturated zone in JC and SH corresponded to lower permeability but 380 higher nitrate residence time and hence higher nitrate removal capacity than DG and OP. Similar K_{sat} values $(0.007 - 0.016 \text{ m d}^{-1})$ in subsoil (1.5 - 4.5 m) were reported by Fenton et 381 al. (2009a) in JC site. However, in SH the distinctly lower K_{sat} values in subsoil than 382 383 interface and bedrock is due to the presence of densed clay that is intermixed with gravels. 384 Higher spatial structure of GWT depths and K_{sat} values revealed higher heterogeneity in the 385 subsurface hydraulic regimes across sites. In addition, slower permeability increases the 386 potential to build up shallower GWT and can lower unsaturated area. High permeabilities 387 with the correspondingly high thickness of unsaturated zone are also consistent with the high 388 DO and Eh (Figure 4a and b). The GWT is known to play a regulatory role in the functioning 389 of shallow groundwater ecosystems by supplying organic matter for heterotrophic metabolism (Baker et al., 2000). Therefore, deeper unsaturated with higher K_{sat} are not 390 391 favourable for nitrate reduction which in turn increases the vulnerability of groundwater to 392 nitrate.

393

394 4.2 Hydrogeochemistry and the abundances of nitrate

Groundwater temperature is relatively stable across all sites as well as within depths in eachsite and does not response profoundly to the seasonal changes. Though pH was near to

397 neutral across all sites but exceptionally higher pH in OP site was due to the presence of 398 calcareous materials. Thayalakuamaran et al. (2009) reported similar results for groundwater 399 pH in Australia as they noted that groundwater was mostly neutral to alkaline with no 400 obvious spatial and temporal variability.

401 Groundwater DOC concentration was very small in amount in all sites (mean DOC 0.90 mg L^{-1} in OP to 2.92 mg L^{-1} in JC) but their similar concentrations in all depths indicates that 402 DOC can leach out from surface soil to deeper groundwater that can affect groundwater 403 biogeochemistry. DOC concentrations in most aquifers are relatively low, typically $<5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ 404 405 (Rivett et al., 2007). DOC can consume DO and produce CO₂ which can be reduced to CH₄. Substantial CO₂ reduction to CH₄ was observed in approximately 35 and 60% wells in JC and 406 407 SH which wells are indicating the existence of potential anaerobic environment for nitrate reduction. Though DOC low, dissolved CO₂ and CH₄ concentrations shows that groundwater 408 409 can be an important storage for C sequestration. Higher spatial variability in DOC 410 concentration indicates the higher variability in water percolation, leaching, land topography, 411 management practices etc. In JC2A, JC2B and JC2C, respectively in subsoil, interface and bedrock unusually high DOC concentrations (8-25 mg L^{-1}) were measured which was 412 413 accumulated due to waste water irrigation which influenced the DO, Eh and other biogeochemical variables like NO3⁻-N and SO4²⁻ concentrations (Table 2). Similar 414 415 concentrations of DOC to our study sites were reported by Starr and Gillham, (1993), 416 Wassenaar, (1995), Beller et al. (2004) and Mohamed et al. (2003) but higher by 417 Thayalakuamaran et al. (2009). Higher spatial variability of DOC was in line with Von der Heide et al. (2008) who found 68% CV of DOC in shallow groundwater in Germany. 418

419 Similar concentration of SO_4^{2-} in groundwater across sites and depths could be due to two 420 reasons: firstly sulphide reduction to SO_4^{2-} under limited O_2 (<2 mg L⁻¹) resulting in very low 421 nitrate (electron acceptor) reduction by denitrification and another one is sulphur oxidation due to high O₂ concentration having high nitrate abundance. The spatial and temporal 422 variability of SO₄²⁻ concentrations were similar to NO₃⁻-N concentrations in all sites and 423 depths. High spatial distribution of SO_4^{2-} concentrations in groundwater (CV 86%) were 424 found by Von der Heide et al. (2008). In subsoil in OP higher SO_4^{2-} concentration could be 425 due to pyrite oxidation under higher DO concentration and higher pH. Inversely, higher SO_4^{2-} 426 concentrations in few wells in JC and SH (JC1A, JC2B, JC2C, JC3A, JC3B, JC29, JC30, 427 428 JC31; SH2B, SH2C, SH3B, SH3C) could be due to their highly reduced environment (very low DO and Eh) where S^{2-} oxidation might occurred by NO₃-N reduction due to absence of 429 DO. 430

431

432 4.3 Groundwater redox chemistry

Groundwater DO was 0.3 - 10 mg L⁻¹ across all sites and depths while at JC and SH sites it 433 434 was $<1.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$. Similar DO in groundwater was reported by Beller et al. (2004) in a range of unconfined (4 - 10 mg L^{-1}) to confined (<1 - 4 mg L^{-1}) aquifers in California, USA where 435 436 denitrification is considered as an important process of nitrate reduction in groundwater. In 437 subsoil, lower DO at JC and SH could be due to their shallow GWT, lower amplitude of GWT fluctuation and lower K_{sat} values. Consumption of DO due to microbial 438 439 transformations of C to CO₂ could be another reason of low DO in groundwater. Similarly, 440 considering differences in DO in bedrock between 4 sites, higher DO at DG site could be due to deeper unsaturated zone and higher K_{sat} values allowing better aeration. DO did not vary 441 442 with depth at each site probably because of hydrogeological heterogeneity e.g. preferential 443 passage both in vertical and horizontal directions. In some wells, DO was higher in subsoil but in some others it was higher at interface or in bedrock (Table 2) which is supported by 444

their remarkably higher CV values. Lower Eh in JC and SH even negative values in some 445 wells may be due to the lower DO concentrations which indicates a high reduced 446 447 environment. Higher DO and Eh during winter (Nov-Jan) could be due to prevailing low temperature in this period and inverse conditions were observed during summer. Another 448 449 reason of higher DO during this period could be due to DO enrichment with recharging water 450 from rainfall. In a recently infiltrated recharge water, groundwater becomes fully oxygenated which requires an indicative concentrations of DOC below which anaerobic conditions may 451 not develop (Rivett et al., 2008). The wells that have reduced environment, Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} 452 concentrations start to increase but S^{2-} starts to decrease while groundwater Eh drops below 453 150 mV indicating that the Eh value of 150 mV is the turning point in groundwater when 454 nitrate starts to reduce. Moreover, Fe^{2+} and Mn^{2+} reach their maximum levels in some wells 455 in JC and SH sites when the Eh drops below 100 mV indicating that at ±100 mV nitrate 456 457 reduction is high.

458

459 4.4 Groundwater N dynamics

Very low NO₃⁻N concentrations in anoxic groundwater has been observed in a number of 460 461 other studies, and in some case it has been linked to denitrification in the anoxic zones (Robertson et al., 1996; Tesoriero et al., 2000). Therefore, lower NO₃⁻-N in JC and SH than in 462 463 OP and DG indicated that denitrification in groundwater required proper anaerobiocity e.g. DO <2.0 mg L-1, Eh ± 150 and an electron donor like DOC or reduced Fe/S. Conversely, very 464 small amount of NO_3 -N can be retained probably as N_2O (a potent greenhouse gas) in high 465 DO (6.0 - 9.0 mg L^{-1}) and Eh (100-250 mV) with available electron donors mainly DOC and 466 sometimes with reduced S and Fe in OP and DG sites. Unusually high pH (mean 7.4 - 8.6) in 467 OP could be another reason of low denitrification because Rust et al. (2000) quoted an 468

acceptable higher limit for pH of 8.3 above which denitrification is arrested. The decrease in 469 NO₃-N concentrations with depths indicated that denitrification can take place along 470 471 groundwater flow paths from its sources to the receptors (Konrad, 2007) and it is not really confined in shallow layer only. Considering temporal pattern, similar pattern of NO₃⁻N 472 473 removal in riparian groundwater was observed by Maîtr et al. (2003) who found highest 474 nitrate removal in spring and lowest in winter due to the combination of a high nitrogen input and a low plant uptake. Thayalakuamaran et al. (2009) reported higher NO3-N in 475 476 groundwater during January and lower during September/October. But the spatial variability is more pronounced than temporal showing that groundwater hydrochemical properties are 477 478 considerably heterogeneous.

NO₂⁻N and NH₄⁺ concentrations were almost absent in either of groundwater zones except 479 few wells in OP (OP2A and OP2B) which have considerably higher NO₂⁻N and few wells in 480 481 JC (JC2B, JC2C, JC33 and JC34) which have considerably higher NH_4^+ during the study 482 period. Temporal changes in NO₂-N concentration shows approximately consistent trend 483 with slightly higher in winter across all sites and depths because of higher leaching potential 484 with rainwater or could be due to lower chemical and microbial changes during this period. 485 Relatively constant NO₂-N concentrations were also reported by Beller et al. (2004) in denitrifying aquifer in USA. A steady decline in groundwater NO₂-N was also reported by 486 487 Brodie et al. (1984). Spatial variability of NO₂-N concentration was rather higher in 488 groundwater than soil and resembles to the higher spatial structure of groundwater 489 biogeochemical variables which implies that groundwater nitrate is not a conservative ion 490 rather it undergoes biogeochemical changes in groundwater while passing through and from 491 landscape to potential receptors. Similar spatial variability of NO₂-N in groundwater (CV 492 24%) was reported by Von der Heide et al. (2008).

493

494 4.5 Nitrate reduction processes and factors

Neither chloride nor nitrate is affected by chemical processes in groundwater except where 495 496 nitrate may undergo denitrification (Buss et al., 2005) and an increase in the Cl⁻/nitrate ratio 497 indicates that nitrate removal process e.g., denitrification occurs (Altman and Parizek, 1995; 498 Mengis et al., 1999). Nitrate concentration decreases resulting in the increase in Cl-/nitrate 499 ratio potentially suggesting that nitrate reduction is not only a function of dilution but also a 500 process of denitrification. Van Beek et al. (2007) found that the increase in Cl-/nitrate ratio in 501 groundwater was due to nitrate removal by denitrification. The deeper GWT increases 502 groundwater DO (r=0.680; p=0.001) which in turn increase groundwater Eh and hence 503 reduces nitrate retention capacity because, NO₃⁻ reduction follows the DO consumptions (Puckett and Cowdery, 2002; Thayalakuamaran et al., 2009). Positive correlation between 504 505 NO₃⁻N and DO and Eh (Figure 9a and b) indicates that low NO₃⁻N in groundwater with low 506 DO and Eh is due mainly to denitrification because low DO and Eh favour denitrification 507 process (Thayalakuamaran et al., 2009). Therefore, larger K_{sat} with correspondingly deeper GWT have significantly higher groundwater NO₃⁻N concentrations (Figure 8a and b) which 508 509 were observed at OP and DG, in particular. At JC and SH sites mean DO (mean 1.7 and 1.4 mg L^{-1}) and Eh (71 and 60 mV) indicates the potential of those sites for denitrification to 510 occur. DO concentration $<2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ and Eh values <250 mV have been reported to be 511 favourable for denitrification (Korom, 1992). Low DO and Eh, and availability of electron 512 513 donors are used as geochemical indicators to indicate conditions suitable for groundwater 514 denitrification (Thayalakuamaran et al., 2009). Rivett et al. (2008) identified DO and electron 515 donor concentration and availability as the primary factors governing denitrification in 516 groundwater.

517 Ammonium production in groundwater is an indication of the anaerobic conditions which shows significant negative correlation with NO_3 -N, indicating that NO_3 -N reduction occurs 518 519 in groundwater at an anaerobic environment. Negative linear relationships of groundwater NH_4^+ concentration with NO₃⁻-N (Pearson's correlation co-efficient; r=-0.369; p=0.032), DO 520 521 (r=-0.322; p=0.022) and Eh (r=-0.463; p=0.003) imply that both dissimilatory nitrate 522 reduction to ammonium (DNRA) and denitrification take place in groundwater at anaerobic conditions. High ammonium concentrations in few wells coupled with very low NO₃ 523 524 concentrations indicating the occurrence of DNRA because low NO₃⁻ with high NH₄⁺ suggests the occurrence of DNRA (Thayalakuamaran et al., 2009). Similarly, CH₄ production 525 in groundwater shows the anaerobiocity in groundwater which in turn shows significant 526 527 negative relation with NO_3 -N. The effect of land-use is difficult to disaggregate in this study 528 as there was only a single arable site. There were no significant difference in the groundwater 529 NO₃⁻ concentrations observed on the high permeability grassland (DG) and arable sites (OP). 530 However, N input rates with corresponding nitrate concentration in groundwater, being 531 variable at the study sites (Table 1), reflect that biogeochemical processes can be the main 532 driver of nitrate occurrence in groundwater. For instances, at JC and DG sites N input were 312 and 298 kg N ha⁻¹ but nitrate in groundwater were 3.7 and 14.6 mg N L⁻¹. In addition, at 533 OP site (arable site with spring barley) N input was the lowest (150 kg ha⁻¹) but nitrate 534 concentration in groundwater was very high (11.0 mg N L^{-1}) which can be attributed to 535 higher nitrification due to tillage and subsequent transport to groundwater due to high 536 537 permeability. Thus hydrogeological setting, over and above N input level, appears to have the dominant control on groundwater NO₃⁻N occurrence. 538

539 Contribution of DOC as electron donor in groundwater denitrification seems to be an 540 important electron donor because it showed significant negative relation with NO_3^--N (r=-

0.327; p=0.023). Denitrification reactions at some sites may be driven by multiple electron 541 donors, for example, where organic carbon, sulphide and iron minerals are coupled (Rivett et 542 543 al., 2008). However, in all sites the DOC remains relatively consistent over time which 544 indicates that DOC is not completely bioavailable (Siemens et al., 2003) and addition and 545 transformation of bioavailable fractions of DOC in groundwater equates to each other. We observed significantly positive correlation with DOC and CO_2 (r=0.453; p=0.021). In 546 denitrification process, if organic C is the electron donor, bicarbonate and CO₂ are formed but 547 if reduced S is the electron donor, SO_4^{2-} are formed (Rivett et al., 2008). Furthermore, some 548 549 other particulate C sources can affect denitrification which are not analysed in present study. Groundwater increased SO_4^{2-} concentrations with decreasing $NO_3^{-}N$ concentration could be 550 due to sulphide oxidation where S^{2-} (reduced S or metal bound S) might be an important 551 electron donor (autotrophic denitrification). Postma et al. (1991) identified a sand-and-gravel 552 553 aquifer containing both organic carbon and pyrite, which both contributed to denitrification; 554 reduction by pyrite was nevertheless the dominant denitrification process as the organic 555 carbon appeared to be poorly bioavailable. Kolle et al. (1985) and Weymann et al. (2010) 556 postulated that high nitrate removal in the autotrophic denitrification zone is most likely 557 caused by practically anoxic conditions and high reactive microcrystalline pyrite components. Therefore, nitrate reduction by iron sulphide or manganese sulphide can release Fe^{2+} and 558 Mn^{2+} ions which were observed in 35% of the wells under study. Numerous researchers have 559 invoked autotrophic denitrification with Fe^{2+}/S^{2-} (Bottcher et al., 1990; Tesoriero et al., 2000; 560 561 Weymann et al., 2010). Oxidation of sulphur therefore, provides a viable alternative electron donor in carbon-limited systems (Moncaster et al., 2000; Broers, 2004). 562

563 Analysis of groundwater samples for the abundances of denitrifier functional genes in the 564 same wells in our study sites in May and June, 2009 was performed in the Lab of Microbial Ecology, NUI Galway (Data not shown). The denitrification functional genes were present in all sites and depths in similar concentrations (p>0.05). The abundance of denitrifying community is generally assumed to be ubiquitous and the denitrifying genes are reported to be widespread in phylogenetically distant organisms (Linne von Berg and Bothe, 1992) but their expressions required favourable environmental conditions.

570

571 **5.** Conclusions

572 Groundwater systems have the potential for the natural nitrate reduction but it shows a large 573 variability between different agricultural sites due mainly to their complex hydrologic (e.g. 574 K_{sat}, changes in groundwater table depth etc.) and hydrogeochemical (redox chemistry i.e. 575 DO and Eh; DOC and other electron donors like reduced Fe and S, nitrate concentration, pH etc.) variabilities. However, more frequent sampling strategies (e.g. daily sampling following 576 577 recharge and water table fluctuations) will give better insights into the processes-based interpretation of results. Though DOC concentration is not sufficient in groundwater for 578 complete denitrification to occur, multiple electron donors together with DOC (metal bound 579 580 S or sulphide) are available across all sites under study but hydrogeochemical conditions are 581 restricting the extent of NO_3 -N reduction. At JC and SH, the hydrochemistry is favourable for denitrification which have low permeability, $<2.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{ DO}, \pm 100 \text{mV}$ Eh and neutral 582 583 pH but at OP and DG the conditions were opposite. Hydrochemical results in few wells at JC shows that waste water irrigation practices can create a denitrification 'hot spot' by adding 584 585 substantial amount of DOC in groundwater causing 100% reduction of delivered nitrate. 586 Hydrogeochemistry data are log-normally distributed and more spatially heterogeneous than temporal changes. The log-transformed K_{sat}, Eh, CH₄ and DOC are the main drivers of the 587 abundances of groundwater nitrate which explain 74% of variances. Hydrogeological settings 588

significantly influenced groundwater nitrate occurrence and suggested denitrification as themain control.

591

592 Acknowledgement

The study was funded by the Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine Ireland through Teagasc under the Research Stimulus Fund Programme (Grant RSF 06383) in collaboration with the Department of Civil, Structural and Environmental Engineering, Trinity College Dublin. The authors thank Mr D. Brennan for water chemistry analysis and Mr. E McDonald for mapping support. The support of Mr A. Lawless, Mr J. Hogan, Dr J. Humphreys and Dr D. Hennessey are gratefully acknowledge for facilitating access to the research sites and provision of agricultural data for nitrogen balances.

600

601 **References**

- Allen, R.G., Pereira, L.S., Raes, D., Smith, M., 1998. Crop evapotranspiration- Guidelines for
 computing crop water requirements. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper 56, p. 227.
- Altman, S.J., Parizek, R.R., 1995. Dilution of non-point source nitrate in groundwater. J.
 Environ. Qual. 24, 707-718.
- Aquakem 600A (Konelab 60)., 2006. Aquakem reference manual. Thermo electron OY,
 Clinical diagnostics, clinical chemistry and automation systems, Ratasie 2, P. O. Box
 100, 01621 Vantaa, Finland.
- Askew, E.F., R. K. Smith., 2005b. Part 4000, Inorganic and non-metallic constituents.
 Persulfate method for simultaneous determination of total nitrogen and total
 phosphate. 4500-P J. In: Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and
 Wastewater, 21st edition Eaton, A.D., Clesceri, L.S., Rice, W.E. and Greenberg, A. E.

613 (Eds.), ISBN 0-87553-047-8. American Public Health Association, Washington DC.
614 4.160 – 162.

Askew, F.E., R. K. Smith., 2005a. Inorganic non-metallic constituents, 4500-SO42-; Sulfate; Method 4500-SO42- E. Turbimetric method. Page 4-188. In; Standard Methods for the Examination of Waters and Waste Water. 21st ed., D. A.Eaton, L. S. Clesceri, E.

- 618 W. Rice and A. E. Greensberg (Eds.), ISBN 0-87553-047-8. ISBN 0-87553-047-8
- 619 American Public Health Association, 800 1 street, NW Washington, DC 2001-3710.
- Baker, M.A., Vervier, P., 2000. Hydrological variability, organic matter supply and
 denitrification in the Garonne River Ecosystems. Freshwater Biol. 49, 181-190.
- Beauchamp, E.G., Trevors, J.T., Paul, J.W., 1989. Carbon sources for bacterial
 denitrification. Adv. Soil Sci. 10, 113-142
- Beller, H.R., Madrid, V., Hudson, G.B., McNab, W.W., Carlsen, T., 2004. Biogeochemistry
 and natural attenuation of nitrate in groundwater at an explosive test facility. Appl.
 Geochem. 19, 1483-1494.
- Böhlke, J. K. Denver, J. M., 1995. Combined use of groundwater dating, chemical, and
 Bolke, J.K., Denver, J.M., 1995. Combined use of groundwater dating, chemical, and
 isotopic analyses to resolve the history and fate of nitrate contamination in two
 agricultural watersheds, Atlantic coastal plain, Maryland. Water Resources Res. 31
 (9), 2319–2339.
- Böhlke, J.K., 2002. Groundwater recharge and agricultural contamination. Hydrogeol. J. 10,
 153-179.
- Bottcher, J., Strebel, O, Vorkelius, S., Schimdt, H., 1990. Using isotope fractionations of
 nitrate-nitrogen and nitrate-oxygen for evaluation of microbial denitrification in a
 sandy aquifer. J. Hydrol. 114, 413-424.

- Bouwer, H., Rice, R.C., 1976. A slug test for determining hydraulic conductivity of
 unconfined aquifers with completely or partially penetrating wells. Water Resources
 Res. 12: 423-428.
- Boyer, E.W., Richard, B.A., William, J.P., Li, C., Butterbach-bahl, K., Donner, S.D., Skaggs,
 R.W., Del Grosso, S.J., 2006. Modelling denitrification in terrestrial and aquatic
 ecosystems at regional scales. Ecol. Appl. 16(6), 2123-2142.
- Brodie, J.E., Hicks, W.S., Richards, G.N., Thomas, F.G., 1984. Residues related to
 agricultural chemical in the groundwaters of Burdekin river delta, North Queensland
 Environ. Pollut. 8(7), 187-215.
- Broers, H.P., 2004. Nitrate reduction and pyrite oxidation in the Netherlands. In: RazowskaJaworek, L., Sasurski, A. (Eds.), Nitrates in Groundwater, International Association of
 Hydrogeologists selected paper 5, Balkema, Leiden.
- Burgin, A.J., Hamilton, S.K., 2007. Have we overemphasized the role of denitrification in
 aquatic ecosystems? A review of nitrate removal pathways. Front. Ecol. Environ.
 5(2), 89-96.
- Buss, S. R., Rivett, A. R., Morgan, P., Bemment, C. D., 2005. Attenuation of nitrate in the
 subsurface environment. Environment Agency Report, UK.
- Christensen, T.H., Bjerg, P.L., Banwart, S.A., Jakobsen, R., Heron, G. and Albrechtsen, H.J.,
 2000. Characterization of redox conditions in groundwater contaminant plumes. J.
 Contam. Hydrol. 45, 165-241.
- Davidson, E.A., Matson, P. A., Vitousek, P.M., Riley, R., Dunkin, K., Garcia-Mendez, G.,
 Mass, J.M., 1993. Process regulating soil emissions of NO and N₂O in a seasonally
 dry tropical soil. Ecology 74, 130-139.

- Driscoll, C.T., Lawrence, G.B., Bulger, A.J., Butler, T.J., Stoddard, C. S., Weathers, K.C., 660 661 2001. Acidic deposition in the Northeastern United States: sources and inputs, ecosystems effects, and management strategies. BioScience 51, 180-198. 662
- 663 Environment Protection Agency (EPA)., 2008. Ireland's Environment. State of the 664 Environment Report 2008, pp. 78-89.
- 665 Fenton, O., Richards, K.G., Khalil, M.I., Healy, M.G., 2009a. Factors affecting nitrate in shallow groundwater under a beef farm in South Eastern Ireland. J. Environ. Manage. 666 667 90 (10), 3135-3146.
- Fenton, O., Coxon, C.E., Haria, A.H., Horan, B., Humphreys, J., Johnston, P., Murphy, P., 668 Necpalova, M., Premrov, A., Richards, K.G., 2009b. Variations in travel time for N 669 670 loading to groundwaters in four case studies in Ireland: Implications for policy makers 671 and regulators. Irish J. Agril. Environ. Res. 7, 129-142.
- 672 Francis, A.J., Slater, J.M., Dodge, C.J., 1989. Denitrification in deep sub-surface sediments. 673 Geomicrobiol. J. 7, 103-106.
- Goss M. J., Barry, D. A. J. Rudolph, D. L., 1998. Contamination in Ontario farmstead 675 domestic wells and its association with agriculture: 1. Results from drinking water 676 wells. J. Contam. Hydrol. 32, 267-293.

674

- Jahangir, M.M.R., Johnston, P., Khalil, M.I., Hennessy, D., Humphreys, J., Fenton, O., 677
- Richards, K.G., 2011. Groundwater: A pathway for terrestrial C and N losses 678
- 679 and indirect greenhouse gas emissions. Agril. Ecosysts. Environ. (resubmitted)
- 680 Kana, T.M., Darksngelo, C., Hunt, M.D., Oldham, J.B., Bennet, Cornwell, J.C., 1994. A
- membrane inlet mass spectrometer for rapid high precision determination of N₂, O2, 681
- and Ar in environmental water samples. An. Chem. 66, 4166-4170. 682

- Kelly, W.R., Hornberger, G.M., Herman, J.S., Mills, A.L. 1996. Kinetics of BTX
 biodegradation and mineralization in batch and column systems. J. Contam. Hydrol.
 23, 113-132.
- Kelso, B.H.L., Smith, R.V., Laughlin, R.J., Lennox, S.D., 1997. Dissmilatory nitrate
 reduction in anaerobic sediments leading to river nitrate accumulation. Appl. Environ.
 Microbiol. 63 (12), 4679-4685.
- Kolle, W., Strebel, O., Bottcher, J., 1985. Formation of sulphate by microbial denitrification
 in a reducing aquifer. Water Supply 3, 35-40.
- Konrad, C., 2007. Methoden zur Bestimmung des Umsatzes von Stickstoff, dargestellt fur
 drei pleistozane Groundwasserleiter Nord-deutschlands, PhD thesis, Dresden Univ.
 Of Techn., Germany, p.157.
- Korom, S.F., 1992. Natural denitrification in the saturated zone: a review. Water Resources
 Res. 28 (6), 1657–1668.
- Linne von Berg, K.H., Bothe, H., 1992. The distribution of denitrifying bacteria in soil
 monitored by DNA-probing. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 86, 331-340.
- Maître, V., Cosandey, A.-C., Desagher, E., Parriaux, A., 2003. Effectiveness of groundwater
 nitrate removal in a river riparian area: the importance of hydrogeological conditions.
 J. Hydrol. 278, 76-93.
- 701 Mason, C.F., 2002. Biology of Freshwater Pollution, fourth ed. Prentice Hall, Harlow.
- McGuire, J.T., Long, D.T., Klug, M.J., Haack, S.K. and Hyndman, D.W., 2002. Evaluating
 behaviour of oxygen, nitrate and sulphate during recharge and quantifying reduction
 rates in a contaminated aquifer. Environ. Sci. Technol. 36, 2693-2700.

- Mengis, M., Schiff, S.L., Harris, M., English, M.C., Aravena, R., Elgood, R.J., McLean, A.,
 1999. Multiple geochemical and isotopic approaches for assessing groundwater NO₃⁻
 elimination in a riparian zone. Groundwater 27, 448-456.
- Mohamed, M.A.A., Terao, H., Suzuki, R., Babiker, I. S., Ohta, K., Kaori, K., Kato, K., 2003.
 Natural denitrification in the Kakamigahara groundwater basin, Gifu prefecture,
 central Japan. Sci. Total Environ. 307, 191-201.
- Moncaster, S.J., Bottrell, S.H., Tellman, J.H., Lloyd, J.W., Konhauser, K.O., 2000. Migration
 and attenuation of agrochemical pollutants: insights from isotopic analysis of
 groundwater sulphate. J. Contam. Hydrol. 43, 147-163.
- Morris, J.T., Whiting, G.J., Chapelle, F.H., 1988. Potential denitrification rates in deep
 sediments from the Southeastern Coastal Plain. Environ. Sci. Technol. 22, 832-836.
- National Rivers Authority (NRA)., 1995. A guide to groundwater vulnerability mapping in
 England and Wales. The Stationery Office, London
- Neilsen, M.E., Fisk, M.R., Istok, J.D., Pedersen, K., 2006. Microbial nitrate respiration of
 lactate at in situ conditions in groundwater from a granitic aquifer situated 450m
 underground. Geobiology 4(1), 43-52.
- Postma, D., Boesen, C., Kristansen, H., Larsen, F., 1991. Nitrate reduction in an unconfined
 aquifer: water chemistry, reduction processes and geochemical modelling. Water
 Resources Res. 27, 2027-2045.
- Puckett, L.J., Cowdery, T.K., 2002. Transport and fate of nitrate in a glacial outwash aquifer
 in relation to groundwater age, land use practices, and redox processes. J. Environ.
 Qual. 31(3), 782-796.
- Rabalais, N.N., 2002. Nitrogen in aquatic ecosystems. Ambio. 31(2), 102-112.

- Rivett, M.O., Buss, S.R., Morgan, P., Smith, J.S.N., and Bemment, C.D., 2008. Nitrate
 attenuation in groundwater: A review of biogeochemical controlling processes. Water
 Res. 42, 4215-4232.
- Rivett, M.O., Smith, J.W.N., Buss, S.R., Morgan, P., 2007. Nitrate occurrence and
 attenuation in the major aquifers of England and Wales. Q. J. Eng. Geol. Hydrogeol.
 40 (4), 335–352.
- Robertson, W.D., Russell, B.M. and Cherry, J.A., 1996. Attenuation of nitrate in aquitard
 sediments of southern Ontario. J. Hydrol. 180, 267-281.
- Rust, C.M., Aelion, C.M., Flora, J.R.V., 2000. Control of pH during denitrification in subsurface sediment microcosms using encapsulated phosphate buffer. Water Res. 34(5),
 1447-1454.
- 739 SAS Institute Inc. 2004. SAS/STAT 9.1 User's Guide. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- 740 Schulte, R.P.O., Diamond, J., Finkele, K., Holden, N.M., Brereton, A.J., 2005. Predicting the
- soil moisture conditions of Irish grasslands. Irish J. Agril. Food Res. 44, 95-110.
- Siemens, J., Haas, M., Kaupenjohann, M., 2003. Dissolved organic matter-induced
 denitrification in subsoils and aquifers. Geoderma 113(3-4), 253-271.
- Starr, R.C., Gillham, R.W., 1993. Denitrification and organic carbon availability in two
 aquifers. Ground Water 31, 934-947.
- 746 Tang, C., Sakura, Y., 2005. The characteristics of geochemistry in a headwater wetland,
- 747 Chiba, Japan. In: Heathwaite, et al. (Eds.), Tartakovsky, B., Millette, D., Delisle, S.
- and Guiot, S.R., 2002. Ethanol-stimulated bioremediation of nitrate-contaminated
- ground water. Ground Water Monitoring Review, winter, 2002, pp. 78-87.

- Tesoriero, A.J., Liebscher, H., Cox, S.E., 2000. Mechanism and rate of denitrification in an
 agricultural watershed: electron and mass balance along groundwater flow paths.
 Water Resources Res. 36 (6), 1545–1559.
- Tesoriero, A.J., Liebscher, H., Cox, S.E., 2002. Mechanism and rate of denitrification in an
 agricultural watershed: electron and mass balance along groundwater flow paths.
 Water Resources Res. 36(6), 1545-1559.
- Thayalakuamaran, T., Bristow, K.L., Charlesworth, P.B., Fass, T., 2009. Geochemical
 conditions in groundwater systems: Implications for the attenuation of agricultural
 nitrate. Agril. Water Manage. 95, 103-115.
- Townsend, A.R., Davidson, E.A., 2006. Denitrification across landscapes and waterscapes.
 Ecol. Appl. 16 (6), 2055-2056.
- Townsend, A.R., et al., 2003. Human health effects of a changing global nitrogen cycle.
 Frontiers Ecol. Environ. 1(5), 240-246.
- Von der Heide, C., Bottcher, J., Deurer, M., Weymann, D., Well, R., Duijnisveld, W.H.M.,
 2008. Spatial variability of N2O concentrations and of denitrification related factors
 in the surficial groundwater of a catchment in northern Germany. J. Hydrol. 360, 230241.
- Wassenaar, L.I., 1995. Evaluation of the origin and fate of nitrate in the Abbotsford aquifer
 using isotopes of N and O in NO₃⁻. Appl. Geochem. 10, 391-405.
- Weymann, D., Geistlinger, H., Well, R., Von der Heide, C., Flessa, H., 2010. Kinetics of N₂O
 production and reduction in a nitrate-contaminated aquifer inferred from laboratory
- incubation experiments. Biogeosciences 7, 1953-1972.
- WHO., 2004. Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, 3rd edition, WHO, Geneva.
- 773

Table 1 Soil type, bedrock geology and drainage conditions of the study sites

Table 2 Mean (\pm SE) of hydrogeochemical properties in different depths of groundwater in four study sites

Figure 1 Location of research sites overlaid on the soil drainage class map of Ireland. Soil drainage map was reclassified from the general soils map of Ireland 1980 by Sean Diamond, Teagasc.

Figure 2 Annual rainfall, potential evapotranspiration (PET), actual evapotranspiration (AET) and effective rainfall (ER) at the study sites during 2009 and 2010

Figure 3 Fluctuations of mean groundwater table (GWT) depth (m, below ground level) over two years (2009-2010) at the study sites

Figure 4 Plots showing relations between DO and (a) depth below GWT/depth bgl (n=36);
(b) Eh and K_{sat} (mean ± SE; n=36)

Figure 5 Plots showing relations between Eh and (a) DO, (b) Fe^{2+} , (c) Mn^{2+} and (d) S^{2-} (n=36)

Figure 6 Temporal changes in groundwater nitrate concentrations over two years (2009-2010) at all study sites

Figure 7 The fluctuations of chloride/nitrate ratios over time at four different sites

Figure 8 Plots showing relations between NO₃⁻N concentrations and (a) K_{sat} values (n=36) (a); (b) ratios of depth below GWT to depth bgl (n=36)

Figure 9 Plots showing relations between NO_3 -N concentrations and (a) DO and (b) Eh using the mean data during whole study period (n=36)