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# An Initial Look at Non-Response and Attrition in Understanding Society Peter Lynn, Jonathan Burton, Olena Kaminska, Gundi Knies and Alita Nandi 

## Non-Technical Summary

Understanding Society is a survey that attempts to collect data from all members of the households of a random sample of people in the UK, using a number of different interviews and questionnaires. The utility of the survey data to researchers depends in part on the success of the field operation in collecting the data. An important measure of success is the proportion and characteristics of sample members for whom data was collected.

In this paper we present information about the proportion and characteristics of sample members who co-operated with the various components of data collection for Understanding Society that took place in 2009 and 2010. As each survey wave takes place over two years, this includes the whole of wave 1 and the first year of wave 2 . We show how the participating proportion varies across the different components (household enumeration and household interview, individual interview, adult selfcompletion questionnaire, youth self-completion questionnaire) and between the different samples that are included in the survey (the ex-British Household Panel Survey sample, the new general population sample and the ethnic minority boost sample).

Furthermore, for each survey component and each sample we examine whether the participation rates vary between sample subgroups. The subgroups are defined by various characteristics such as the age, sex and education level of the sample member, the type of housing in which they live, and the type of area in which they live. We find considerable variation in participation rates between certain kinds of subgroups, but little or no difference between other kinds of subgroups.
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## 1. Introduction

Managing, minimising and dealing with non-response are vital tasks that underpin maintenance of the long-term scientific value of Understanding Society. In this paper we provide some descriptive information about the nature and correlates of nonresponse at waves 1 and 2. This provides context for discussions of field work success, survey data collection strategies and likely future trends. With respect to non-response bias, all of the analysis variables presented in this paper have been incorporated into the development of weights for Understanding Society. Thus, weighted analysis will not suffer from non-response bias with respect to these variables (though of course some residual bias is likely to remain). Indeed, the survey weighting has drawn additionally on several variables not used in the analysis in this paper, notably auxiliary variables that are not available in identical form for all four countries of the UK.

The tables presented in this paper describe both household and individual level nonresponse at wave 1, as well as attrition at wave 2, both in terms of enumerated persons (that is, persons in a household where at least one person was interviewed) and fully responding adults (that is, persons aged 16 or over who themselves completed the individual interview). Understanding Society comprises an ethnic minority boost sample (EMBS) and the former British Household Panel Survey sample (BHPS) in addition to the main general population sample (GPS). For details of the sample design for GPS see Lynn (2009) and for EMBS see Berthoud et al.
(2009). ${ }^{1}$ We present analysis separately for each of the three samples. In section 2 we describe the methods we used. In sections 3 to 7 we outline the main patterns of non-response at both wave 1 (sections 3 and 4) and wave 2 (sections 5 to 7 ). Additionally, we provide in an annex information on the number of responding cases available for analysis for different instruments, samples, and waves.

## 2. Data and Methods

We present analysis of several stages of the survey non-response process. For each stage, we present the distribution of outcomes for the total sample and we also compare the distribution between subgroups of the sample that are defined using auxiliary data available for both respondents and non-respondents. At each stage, different auxiliary data are available. Consequently, our analysis variables are different in different sections of this paper. Here we describe the data used for each part of the analysis and the sources of auxiliary data.

The first stage of the Understanding Society participation process is to gain response from households sampled to take part in wave 1 . In section 3 below we therefore analyse the nature of response by households at wave 1, defined as completing the household grid and household interview. The auxiliary information that we use in the analysis (tables 1a and 1b) are small area statistics relating to the geographical area in which the sample dwelling is located. These data were obtained from the government's neighbourhood statistics website ${ }^{2}$ but relate only to England and Wales. Consequently, the analysis presented in this section of the paper is restricted

[^0]to England and Wales ${ }^{3}$. The original source of many of these variables is the UK 2001 Census, though a number of variables come from other sources such as police-reported crime statistics, energy consumption data and annual population estimates.

Amongst households in which at least one household member agrees to participate in the survey, the next step is to gain response to the individual interview from each person aged 16 or over in the household. In section 4 below, we analyse the correlates of response to the individual interview conditional on household participation. The covariates in this section all come from either the household grid or the household interview. Some of these variables are individual-level indicators such as sex, age and employment status, though these will have been provided by whichever member of the household answered the grid questions, not necessarily by the person to which they pertain. Others are household-level measures such as the number of adults in the household and whether there is access to the internet in the dwelling. In addition to the individual interviews, all household members aged 10 or over are asked to complete a self-completion questionnaire. There are two very different versions of the questionnaire, a "youth self-completion questionnaire" for persons aged between 10 and 15 and an "adult self-completion questionnaire" for those aged 16 or over. We present analysis of response to both of these selfcompletion questionnaires in terms of covariates measured by the household grid.

Section 5 presents analysis of the probability of being successfully enumerated at wave 2 (i.e. present in a participating household) conditional on having been successfully enumerated at wave 1 and on being (assumed to be) eligible for wave 2 (persons known to have died or moved abroad are therefore excluded). This indicates the extent to which the survey is differentially successful at keeping in touch with sample members over time. For sample members enumerated at both

[^1]waves at least the household grid and household interview data are present on both occasions. The covariates for this analysis are mainly taken from the wave 1 household grid or household interview. Additionally, we analyse wave 2 enumeration by wave 1 response to the individual interview and by sample month. Note that sample members of all ages (0+) are included in this analysis. This analysis is restricted to the year 1 sample, which is only half of the total sample. The wave 2 year 2 field work was not yet complete at the time of writing this paper.

Successful re-enumeration wave-on-wave is sufficient for some analysis purposes, but for many purposes analysts rely on information collected in the individual interview. There is therefore particular interest in the sample of persons responding to the individual interview. Consequently, in section 6 we present analysis of the probability of completing the individual interview at wave 2 conditional on having done so at wave 1. This analysis is therefore by definition restricted to sample members who were aged 16 or over at the time of wave 1 , as well as being restricted to the year 1 sample. The covariates are taken from the wave 1 individual interview, in addition to some from the wave 1 household instruments.

All analyses of the new Understanding Society samples in sections 3 to 6 are presented separately for the GPS and the EMBS. All analyses are unweighted and therefore provide an indication of the success of the field work operation, but not necessarily of the impact on estimates.

At wave 2 of Understanding Society, the British Household Panel Survey (BHPS) sample was incorporated into the survey. This sample had previously been interviewed at wave 18 of the BHPS, for which field work mostly took place between September and December 2008 (though a few interviews took place as late as April 2009). Sample households were randomly allocated to twelve monthly samples and issued to field as part of the year 1 sample at wave 2 of Understanding Society. Consequently, the entire BHPS sample is included in the analysis presented in section 7 below. Three analyses are presented for this sample. The first (table 5) looks at household participation at Understanding Society wave 2 amongst all BHPS households issued to the field (not all of whom necessarily participated in BHPS wave 18). The second (table 6a) examines the association between response to the individual interview at Understanding Society wave 2 and response outcome at

BHPS wave 18. The third (table 6b) restricts analysis to sample members who completed the BHPS wave 18 interview and analyses response to the Understanding Society wave 2 individual interview in terms of variables collected at BHPS wave 18.

## 3. Wave 1 Household-Level Response

The overall proportion of sampled households who took part in the survey at wave 1 was $57.6 \%$ in the general population sample (GPS) and $52.0 \%$ in the ethnic minority boost sample (EMBS) (table 1a). In the GPS, the response rate varied between regions and countries, from a high of $63.2 \%$ in north-east England to a low of $45.3 \%$ in inner London. The response rate was higher in the EMBS than in the GPS in both inner and outer London, but was lower in the west midlands and in north-west England. In other regions, either the rates were similar or the EMBS sample size was small.

We have very little direct information about the characteristics of non-responding households, but we are able to compare responding and non-responding households in England and Wales in terms of a range of small area statistics that we have linked to the sample file via the postcodes of the sampled addresses. Most of these variables show little or no association with response rates (Table 1b). Modest associations are observed for a few variables, with slightly lower response rates in areas with relatively high proportions of single-person households, relatively high fulltime employment, relatively high proportions of people in higher managerial and professional occupations, relatively high burglary rate, and in which relatively few people drive to work.

## 4. Wave 1 Individual-Level Response

Within wave 1 responding households, $81.8 \%$ of persons aged 16 or over in the GPS completed the individual interview, as did 71.9\% of persons in the EMBS (table 2). All of the information collected in either the household grid or the household questionnaire can be used to compare respondent and non-respondent people within responding households. A breakdown of conditional response rates by a range of such variables is presented in table 2 a . We see that conditional on household response, in the GPS sample women were much more likely than men to complete the individual interview ( $87.8 \%$ of women, compared to $75.1 \%$ of men). This difference is much less pronounced in the EMBS (74.1\% of women, compared to $69.5 \%$ of men). There is also an association with age: in the GPS response rates range from $66 \%$ amongst the under-20s to $90 \%$ amongst those of pensionable age. The association is again weaker in the EMBS, where response rates rise from $65.4 \%$ amongst the under-20s to $78.8 \%$ amongst those aged $35-44$, but then decline again in the older age groups. Employed people are less likely to respond than others, but only in the GPS.

We see some interesting associations with indicators of economic status. Individual response rates are highest in the lowest council tax band and in households with lower expenditure on food. Rates are also slightly higher amongst people in households that do not take holidays, do not have savings (not shown), do not have internet access, and are behind with bill payments. These patterns suggest that Understanding Society is not disproportionately losing people in disadvantaged situations, at least at this within-household stage of the response process.

Of all GPS members who responded to the individual interview, 87.4\% also completed the adult self-completion questionnaire. The equivalent figure for the EMBS was $69.7 \%$ (table 2 b ). These return rates varied little by age or gender, except that in both samples persons aged 70 or over were less likely to return the self-completion questionnaire. Unsurprisingly, response to the self-completion questionnaire was much lower amongst sample members for whom a proxy
interview was carried out than amongst those who responded to the individual interview themselves.

Table 2c presents response rates to the wave 1 youth self-completion questionnaire. Overall, $77.0 \%$ of $10-15$ year-olds in the GPS and $62.9 \%$ of those in the EMBS completed the questionnaire. In both samples response rates were slightly lower amongst boys and amongst 10 year-olds. In the GPS, but not in the EMBS, 15 yearolds too had a slightly lower response rate than 11-14 year-olds and young people in London had a lower response rate than those elsewhere.

## 5. Wave 2 Enumeration

Of all persons in the GPS sample enumerated at wave 1 - in other words individuals present in a responding household $-76.4 \%$ were successfully enumerated again at wave 2. The corresponding figure for the ethnic minority boost sample was $67.0 \%$ (table 3). A large part of the difference in re-enumeration rates between the two samples is explained by the higher proportion of individuals in the EMBS whose household was either not located or not contacted at wave 2 (16.2\%, compared to $10.2 \%$ of the GPS).

Re-enumeration rates were lowest for sample members aged 20-29, amongst whom the proportion in households not located or contacted reached $21.4 \%$ in the GPS sample and $25.5 \%$ in the EMBS. Re-enumeration rates varied by region, ranging (in the GPS sample) from $71.9 \%$ in Yorkshire and Humberside to $83.2 \%$ in Northern Ireland. Successful re-enumeration was more likely for people living in houses or bungalows $(77.8 \%$,) rather than flats ( $67.9 \%$ ), for those in rural areas and for people who either own their house or rent privately, as opposed to social renters. The rate does not, however, appear to be related to the presence of children in the household or to sample month. There was some variation by ethnic group, with Bangladeshis, Black Africans, Turkish and Middle Easterners having the lowest re-enumeration rates.

## 6. Wave 2 Individual Response

Of all GPS sample members who completed the individual interview at wave 1 - and excluding those known to have died by the time of wave $2-75.4 \%$ were interviewed again at wave 2, and proxy interviews were conducted on behalf of a further 1.9\%. The remaining $22.7 \%$ were not interviewed: $13.3 \%$ refused, $6.0 \%$ were not contacted and $3.5 \%$ could not be located (table 4a). Re-interview rates were lower in the EMBS, where $63.4 \%$ of wave 1 interviewees were interviewed again, plus $3.1 \%$ with proxy interviews.

In the GPS, the probability of being re-interviewed at wave 2 was lower amongst respondents aged under 30 (and students, and single persons - largely overlapping groups), those in urban rather than rural locations (and particularly those in London), renters rather than owners and those living in flats rather than houses. Re-interview rate had little or no association with physical or mental health or subjective overall health status (results not shown), or with the presence of children in the household. A wave 1 question on whether the respondent expected to move home in the next year proved highly predictive of a subsequent failure to locate them at wave 2 : $11.9 \%$ of people who answered positively to the question were not located at wave 2 and an additional $8.8 \%$ not contacted, compared to just $2.0 \%$ and $5.5 \%$ respectively of people who did not expect to move. This particular finding may have implications for the improvement of survey practices in the future.

The wave 2 adult self-completion questionnaire was completed by $89 \%$ of wave 2 GPS interviewees and $72 \%$ of wave 2 EMBS interviewees (table 4b). In both samples, the completion rates were very similar for men and women. In the GPS completion rates were similar in all age groups, but in the EMBS completion rates were lower than average amongst sample members aged 70 or over ( $62 \%$ ) and higher than average amongst 16-19 year-olds (81\%).

The wave 2 youth questionnaire was completed by $78.9 \%$ of 10-15 year-olds in the enumerated GPS and by $64.5 \%$ of those in the EMBS (table 4c). In the GPS, the response rate was slightly lower amongst 10 year-olds - who were being requested
to take part for the first time - than amongst 11-15 years. The EMBS is too small to detect differences between different ages.

## 7. Wave 2 Response from the ex-BHPS sample

The BHPS samples were included in Understanding Society for the first time at wave 2. Tables 5 and 6 summarise field outcomes for these samples, at household and individual level respectively. The BHPS is made up of four samples, the original 1991 sample ("Living in Britain" - LIB), the 1999 booster samples in Scotland ("Living in Scotland" - LIS) and Wales ("Living in Wales" - LIW) and the 2001 sample in Northern Ireland ("Northern Ireland Household Panel Survey" - NIHPS). Compared to the LIB, household response rate was lower for LIS but noticeably higher for NIHPS (table 5). It should be noted that the Understanding Society fieldwork agency in Northern Ireland (NISRA) had also conducted the NIHPS for eight years previously, whereas in Great Britain the fieldwork agency - and therefore most interviewers too - changed.

Fieldwork for the BHPS used to start in September each year and was mostly completed by mid-December. Wave 18 took place in 2008. When the BHPS sample was incorporated into Understanding Society it was allocated at random to the twelve monthly samples of the first year of fieldwork for each wave. Thus, the first Understanding Society interview for BHPS households would have been around 16 months after their last BHPS interview in the case of the January sample, but 27 months after their last BHPS interview for the December sample. Household response was highest for those households allocated to the first quarter of 2010 (table 5) and declined across subsequent quarters. The difference between quarters is largely due to an increase in the non-contact rate.

Of all persons who completed an individual interview at wave 18 of BHPS, 79.4\% also completed the Understanding Society wave 2 interview, with a further $1.3 \%$ having a proxy interview completed on their behalf. Sizeable numbers of individuals were issued to the field for Understanding Society wave 2 despite not having
completed the BHPS wave 18 interview. Interviews were achieved with minorities of these groups. For example, of those for whom a proxy interview was completed at wave 18, $27 \%$ completed a full interview at wave 2 and a further $34 \%$ again had a proxy interview completed on their behalf (table 6a).

Of those who responded at BHPS wave 18, interview rates at Understanding Society wave 2 were lower amongst those aged under 30 (and students), men, the unemployed, private renters, those in poor health, and those who expected to move in the next twelve months (table 6b). Interview rates were lowest in the West Midlands. There was, however, little variation by qualification level or income quintile.

Table 1a: Household-level response by Government Office Region, wave 1

|  | General Population Sample |  |  |  |  | Ethnic Minority Boost Sample |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Response | NonContact | Refusal | Other | Base | Response | NonContact | Refusal | Other | Base |
| Total | 57.56 | 4.17 | 36.29 | 1.98 | 45325 | 52.00 | 5.43 | 35.52 | 7.05 | 10,111 |
| East Midlands | 63.0 | 2.5 | 32.6 | 1.9 | 3,166 | 53.7 | 3.5 | 33.6 | 9.2 | 470 |
| East of England | 57.7 | 2.9 | 38.0 | 1.5 | 4,143 | 57.4 | 2.4 | 37.0 | 3.2 | 441 |
| Inner London | 45.3 | 9.8 | 41.5 | 3.4 | 2,098 | 49.6 | 6.5 | 35.8 | 8.0 | 3,234 |
| Outer London | 47.9 | 5.8 | 43.0 | 3.3 | 3,171 | 51.0 | 5.1 | 38.1 | 5.8 | 2,618 |
| North East | 63.2 | 3.4 | 32.5 | 0.9 | 1,901 | 55.4 | 7.8 | 35.1 | 1.7 | 110 |
| North West | 60.7 | 3.7 | 33.7 | 1.9 | 4,972 | 56.6 | 3.5 | 29.6 | 10.3 | 611 |
| South East | 56.2 | 3.0 | 39.0 | 1.8 | 6,041 | 57.8 | 2.3 | 37.7 | 2.2 | 528 |
| South West | 59.6 | 3.8 | 35.1 | 1.5 | 3,789 | 67.8 | 4.4 | 24.6 | 3.3 | 108 |
| West Midlands | 56.9 | 4.5 | 36.7 | 1.9 | 3,929 | 47.3 | 7.2 | 35.4 | 10.2 | 1,281 |
| Yorks \& Humber | 60.0 | 3.7 | 34.3 | 2.0 | 3,739 | 59.0 | 5.1 | 30.8 | 5.1 | 511 |
| Wales | 63.0 | 3.0 | 32.3 | 1.7 | 2,142 | 68.7 | 3.0 | 27.5 | 0.9 | 103 |
| Scotland | 54.1 | 6.0 | 36.9 | 3.0 | 4,141 | 66.2 | 17.7 | 12.6 | 3.6 | 96 |
| Northern Ireland | 61.7 | 5.1 | 32.7 | 0.5 | 2,093 |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes: Row percentages. Base is all sampled households/addresses known or assumed to be eligible for the survey. EMBS sample cases of uncertain eligibility (mainly non-contacts to the screener) are weighted by predicted probability of being eligible. Predictions are based on a model of eligibility fitted to all cases for whom eligibility was determined. All other cases in all analyses have a weight of 1.0.

Table 1b: Household-level response by small area indicators, wave 1

|  | General Population Sample |  |  |  |  | Ethnic Minority Boost Sample |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Response | NonContact | Refusal | Other | Base | Response | Non- <br> Contact | Refusal | Other | Base |
| Total | 57.56 | 4.17 | 36.29 | 1.98 | 45325 | 52.00 | 5.43 | 35.52 | 7.05 | 10,111 |
| Percentage of households with no cars or vans in MSOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 59.2 | 2.2 | 37.3 | 1.3 | 9,762 | 54.1 | 3.2 | 37.6 | 5.1 | 2,509 |
| quartile 2 | 58.6 | 2.7 | 37.4 | 1.3 | 9,770 | 51.6 | 5.2 | 36.5 | 6.7 | 2,522 |
| quartile 3 | 57.1 | 4.1 | 36.7 | 2.2 | 9,783 | 52.7 | 5.9 | 34.0 | 7.4 | 2,498 |
| quartile 4 | 56.3 | 6.3 | 34.7 | 2.7 | 9,776 | 49.7 | 7.4 | 34.0 | 8.9 | 2,533 |
| Percentage of households with one car or one van in MSOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 56.9 | 4.7 | 36.1 | 2.4 | 9,762 | 50.1 | 6.7 | 34.6 | 8.6 | 2,508 |
| quartile 2 | 57.4 | 4.2 | 36.7 | 1.8 | 9,773 | 51.2 | 6.0 | 35.2 | 7.5 | 2,508 |
| quartile 3 | 57.8 | 3.6 | 36.7 | 1.9 | 9,773 | 55.0 | 4.8 | 34.6 | 5.6 | 2,522 |
| quartile 4 | 58.0 | 4.2 | 36.0 | 1.9 | 9,783 | 51.7 | 4.2 | 37.6 | 6.6 | 2,524 |
| Percentage of persons with limiting long-term illness in MSOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 55.9 | 3.6 | 38.5 | 1.9 | 9,772 | 51.4 | 4.6 | 39.0 | 5.0 | 2,507 |
| quartile 2 | 56.7 | 4.1 | 37.3 | 1.9 | 9,767 | 51.8 | 5.8 | 35.1 | 7.4 | 2,520 |
| quartile 3 | 58.3 | 4.0 | 35.8 | 1.9 | 9,770 | 49.6 | 5.9 | 36.5 | 8.0 | 2,513 |
| quartile 4 | 58.6 | 4.7 | 34.6 | 2.1 | 9,782 | 55.3 | 5.4 | 31.6 | 7.7 | 2,522 |
| Percentage of persons with no qualifications in MSOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 56.1 | 4.1 | 38.0 | 1.9 | 9,764 | 51.5 | 4.6 | 38.3 | 5.5 | 2,514 |
| quartile 2 | 57.5 | 3.7 | 37.0 | 1.7 | 9,776 | 52.1 | 5.5 | 35.7 | 6.7 | 2,516 |
| quartile 3 | 58.0 | 3.9 | 36.2 | 1.9 | 9,777 | 51.9 | 5.9 | 34.5 | 7.7 | 2,505 |
| quartile 4 | 58.2 | 4.7 | 34.9 | 2.2 | 9,774 | 52.4 | 5.6 | 33.9 | 8.2 | 2,527 |
| Percentage of persons with level 4/5 qualifications in MSOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 58.8 | 3.5 | 35.8 | 1.9 | 9,772 | 51.7 | 5.3 | 35.1 | 7.9 | 2,496 |
| quartile 2 | 58.9 | 3.7 | 35.9 | 1.6 | 9,770 | 52.6 | 5.1 | 35.3 | 7.1 | 2,529 |
| quartile 3 | 58.2 | 3.6 | 36.1 | 2.1 | 9,775 | 52.2 | 5.8 | 35.2 | 6.9 | 2,515 |
| quartile 4 | 55.6 | 5.2 | 37.0 | 2.2 | 9,774 | 51.6 | 5.5 | 36.5 | 6.4 | 2,522 |


| Percentage of persons aged 16-74 who are full-time employees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quartile 1 | 59.7 | 4.4 | 33.5 | 2.5 | 9,751 | 52.7 | 6.7 | 32.3 | 8.4 | 2,514 |
| quartile 2 | 58.3 | 3.7 | 36.2 | 1.9 | 9,757 | 51.1 | 6.4 | 33.6 | 8.9 | 2,510 |
| quartile 3 | 56.3 | 3.8 | 38.2 | 1.7 | 9,809 | 52.2 | 4.6 | 36.8 | 6.4 | 2,503 |
| quartile 4 | 56.6 | 4.6 | 36.9 | 1.9 | 9,774 | 52.1 | 3.8 | 39.6 | 4.5 | 2,535 |
| Percentage of people aged 16-74 who are retired |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 54.1 | 6.4 | 36.3 | 3.2 | 9,725 | 50.0 | 7.2 | 35.3 | 7.5 | 2,513 |
| quartile 2 | 58.4 | 3.7 | 36.0 | 1.9 | 9,802 | 51.6 | 6.6 | 35.5 | 6.3 | 2,518 |
| quartile 3 | 59.4 | 3.1 | 36.1 | 1.5 | 9,733 | 51.5 | 4.7 | 36.5 | 7.3 | 2,514 |
| quartile 4 | 58.0 | 3.8 | 36.6 | 1.6 | 9,831 | 55.1 | 3.1 | 34.7 | 7.2 | 2,517 |
| Inflow of children aged 1-14 as a rate per 1000 at MSOA level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 57.6 | 3.1 | 37.9 | 1.5 | 9,295 | 52.6 | 5.3 | 34.5 | 7.6 | 2,514 |
| quartile 2 | 57.3 | 3.4 | 37.8 | 1.6 | 9,814 | 50.7 | 5.3 | 36.6 | 7.3 | 2,349 |
| quartile 3 | 57.8 | 3.9 | 36.1 | 2.2 | 9,905 | 50.5 | 5.5 | 37.0 | 7.1 | 2,592 |
| quartile 4 | 57.6 | 5.4 | 34.6 | 2.4 | 10,077 | 54.2 | 5.5 | 34.0 | 6.3 | 2,607 |
| Net change in aged 1-14 as a rate per 1000 at MSOA level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 55.3 | 5.9 | 35.8 | 3.0 | 9,413 | 50.0 | 7.0 | 35.0 | 8.1 | 2,472 |
| quartile 2 | 58.1 | 3.6 | 36.5 | 1.7 | 10,113 | 50.4 | 5.9 | 36.4 | 7.4 | 2,483 |
| quartile 3 | 58.8 | 3.3 | 36.3 | 1.6 | 9,739 | 52.4 | 5.1 | 35.4 | 7.1 | 2,549 |
| quartile 4 | 57.8 | 4.0 | 36.5 | 1.8 | 9,826 | 55.2 | 3.8 | 35.3 | 5.7 | 2,558 |
| Net change in aged 65 and over as a rate per 1000 at MSOA level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 54.9 | 5.4 | 37.4 | 2.4 | 9,404 | 50.3 | 6.8 | 37.0 | 5.9 | 2,497 |
| quartile 2 | 58.5 | 3.2 | 36.6 | 1.7 | 9,783 | 52.4 | 4.8 | 35.5 | 7.2 | 2,515 |
| quartile 3 | 58.5 | 3.6 | 36.2 | 1.7 | 10,087 | 52.5 | 5.0 | 33.8 | 8.8 | 2,526 |
| quartile 4 | 58.0 | 4.4 | 35.5 | 2.1 | 9,817 | 52.8 | 5.2 | 35.7 | 6.4 | 2,524 |
| Average consumption of economy 7 domestic electricity in Kilowatt Hours in MSOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 57.5 | 4.0 | 36.2 | 2.3 | 9,769 | 52.3 | 5.3 | 35.1 | 7.2 | 2,513 |
| quartile 2 | 57.3 | 4.4 | 36.4 | 2.0 | 9,768 | 51.6 | 5.2 | 37.0 | 6.3 | 2,465 |
| quartile 3 | 56.6 | 4.2 | 37.4 | 1.9 | 9,766 | 52.7 | 5.6 | 34.6 | 7.1 | 2,559 |
| quartile 4 | 58.4 | 4.1 | 35.6 | 1.9 | 9,788 | 51.4 | 5.6 | 35.4 | 7.6 | 2,525 |


| Percentage of people of working age in MSOA with a limiting long-term illness |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quartile 1 | 56.8 | 3.1 | 38.4 | 1.7 | 9,734 | 52.9 | 3.6 | 38.3 | 5.2 | 2,504 |
| quartile 2 | 56.7 | 3.6 | 37.9 | 1.7 | 9,774 | 51.2 | 5.4 | 37.4 | 6.1 | 2,518 |
| quartile 3 | 57.5 | 4.6 | 35.8 | 2.1 | 9,795 | 51.2 | 6.5 | 33.6 | 8.7 | 2,519 |
| quartile 4 | 58.6 | 4.9 | 34.3 | 2.3 | 9,788 | 52.9 | 6.0 | 33.0 | 8.1 | 2,521 |
| Percentage of people in MSOA with not good general health |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 57.1 | 2.9 | 38.3 | 1.7 | 9,760 | 52.8 | 3.7 | 39.1 | 4.4 | 2,503 |
| quartile 2 | 56.7 | 3.6 | 38.1 | 1.6 | 9,777 | 51.1 | 5.6 | 35.5 | 7.8 | 2,485 |
| quartile 3 | 58.2 | 4.6 | 35.0 | 2.1 | 9,737 | 50.9 | 6.4 | 35.1 | 7.6 | 2,544 |
| quartile 4 | 57.9 | 5.0 | 34.7 | 2.3 | 9,817 | 53.2 | 5.9 | 32.5 | 8.3 | 2,530 |
| Percentage of households in MSOA consisting of a single pensioner |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 56.5 | 4.5 | 36.7 | 2.3 | 9,741 | 50.3 | 6.3 | 36.5 | 6.9 | 2,509 |
| quartile 2 | 57.3 | 3.7 | 37.1 | 2.0 | 9,742 | 50.8 | 6.0 | 36.9 | 6.2 | 2,516 |
| quartile 3 | 59.1 | 3.9 | 35.2 | 1.7 | 9,817 | 50.4 | 5.4 | 36.1 | 8.2 | 2,513 |
| quartile 4 | 57.5 | 4.4 | 36.2 | 1.9 | 9,791 | 56.9 | 3.9 | 32.4 | 6.9 | 2,524 |
| Percentage of households in MSOA consisting of married couple and dependent child(ren) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 54.9 | 6.6 | 35.7 | 2.7 | 9,756 | 50.1 | 6.0 | 36.5 | 7.3 | 2,514 |
| quartile 2 | 59.0 | 3.7 | 35.3 | 2.1 | 9,725 | 51.7 | 5.5 | 35.4 | 7.4 | 2,517 |
| quartile 3 | 58.8 | 3.0 | 36.7 | 1.5 | 9,810 | 53.9 | 5.0 | 34.0 | 7.1 | 2,506 |
| quartile 4 | 57.5 | 3.7 | 37.0 | 1.8 | 9,800 | 52.1 | 5.2 | 36.2 | 6.5 | 2,525 |
| Percentage of lone parents households in MSOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 56.7 | 2.8 | 39.0 | 1.6 | 9,763 | 54.8 | 4.4 | 34.8 | 5.9 | 2,504 |
| quartile 2 | 59.2 | 3.6 | 35.5 | 1.7 | 9,767 | 53.5 | 4.3 | 35.5 | 6.7 | 2,517 |
| quartile 3 | 58.0 | 3.9 | 36.4 | 1.7 | 9,784 | 49.5 | 5.7 | 36.5 | 8.3 | 2,518 |
| quartile 4 | 56.9 | 5.5 | 35.0 | 2.6 | 9,777 | 50.5 | 7.1 | 35.1 | 7.2 | 2,523 |
| Percentage of one-person households in MSOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 59.0 | 2.3 | 37.4 | 1.4 | 9,767 | 50.8 | 4.5 | 38.0 | 6.6 | 2,514 |
| quartile 2 | 59.2 | 2.7 | 36.5 | 1.6 | 9,768 | 53.8 | 4.6 | 34.9 | 6.7 | 2,508 |
| quartile 3 | 57.9 | 3.8 | 36.2 | 2.0 | 9,782 | 51.7 | 5.8 | 35.8 | 6.8 | 2,512 |
| quartile 4 | 55.5 | 6.4 | 35.5 | 2.6 | 9,774 | 51.8 | 7.0 | 33.0 | 8.3 | 2,528 |


| Proportion part-time of all employed persons in MSOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quartile 1 | 53.0 | 5.5 | 38.9 | 2.5 | 9,760 | 49.6 | 5.5 | 39.5 | 5.4 | 2,515 |
| quartile 2 | 57.4 | 4.0 | 36.9 | 1.8 | 9,782 | 50.6 | 5.0 | 37.0 | 7.4 | 2,510 |
| quartile 3 | 59.8 | 3.2 | 35.4 | 1.6 | 9,774 | 54.6 | 5.1 | 33.3 | 7.0 | 2,512 |
| quartile 4 | 59.1 | 4.0 | 34.9 | 2.0 | 9,775 | 53.1 | 6.0 | 32.5 | 8.3 | 2,525 |
| Proportion of employed persons in MSOA with higher managerial and professional occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 60.0 | 3.9 | 33.8 | 2.3 | 9,771 | 51.0 | 6.1 | 34.2 | 8.7 | 2,504 |
| quartile 2 | 58.3 | 4.0 | 36.0 | 1.8 | 9,773 | 53.0 | 5.2 | 34.4 | 7.4 | 2,525 |
| quartile 3 | 57.2 | 3.6 | 37.3 | 1.9 | 9,773 | 51.1 | 5.4 | 37.4 | 6.1 | 2,514 |
| quartile 4 | 55.9 | 4.8 | 37.4 | 2.0 | 9,774 | 53.0 | 5.0 | 36.1 | 5.9 | 2,519 |
| Proportion of employed persons in MSOA with lower managerial and professional occupations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 59.2 | 4.3 | 34.2 | 2.4 | 9,771 | 52.0 | 5.9 | 34.1 | 8.0 | 2,508 |
| quartile 2 | 57.5 | 3.8 | 37.1 | 1.7 | 9,760 | 52.6 | 5.4 | 34.7 | 7.4 | 2,519 |
| quartile 3 | 57.3 | 3.5 | 37.5 | 1.7 | 9,783 | 50.4 | 5.9 | 35.9 | 7.9 | 2,517 |
| quartile 4 | 56.7 | 4.8 | 36.4 | 2.1 | 9,777 | 53.1 | 4.5 | 37.5 | 4.8 | 2,518 |
| Percentage of households in MSOA who own outright |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 55.7 | 6.3 | 35.1 | 2.9 | 9,757 | 50.5 | 7.0 | 34.4 | 8.1 | 2,508 |
| quartile 2 | 57.7 | 4.0 | 36.2 | 2.0 | 9,778 | 51.3 | 5.4 | 36.6 | 6.7 | 2,504 |
| quartile 3 | 59.3 | 2.9 | 36.3 | 1.5 | 9,766 | 53.5 | 5.6 | 34.0 | 7.0 | 2,527 |
| quartile 4 | 57.5 | 3.7 | 37.0 | 1.7 | 9,790 | 52.7 | 3.8 | 37.1 | 6.5 | 2,523 |
| Percentage of households in MSOA who own with mortgage of loan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 56.4 | 6.0 | 34.6 | 2.9 | 9,756 | 50.0 | 6.9 | 34.4 | 8.8 | 2,514 |
| quartile 2 | 59.3 | 3.8 | 35.0 | 1.9 | 9,788 | 51.7 | 6.5 | 34.2 | 7.6 | 2,517 |
| quartile 3 | 57.3 | 3.1 | 37.9 | 1.7 | 9,763 | 53.2 | 5.0 | 35.1 | 6.8 | 2,514 |
| quartile 4 | 57.3 | 3.9 | 37.1 | 1.7 | 9,784 | 53.1 | 3.4 | 38.3 | 5.2 | 2,517 |
| Proportion of households in MSOA who rent from Council |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 57.3 | 3.1 | 38.2 | 1.4 | 9,772 | 53.2 | 4.2 | 36.8 | 5.9 | 2,509 |
| quartile 2 | 58.5 | 3.4 | 36.0 | 2.1 | 9,772 | 52.0 | 5.6 | 36.0 | 6.4 | 2,520 |
| quartile 3 | 57.6 | 4.0 | 36.4 | 2.0 | 9,758 | 51.8 | 5.7 | 34.4 | 8.1 | 2,517 |
| quartile 4 | 57.1 | 5.4 | 35.2 | 2.3 | 9,789 | 51.2 | 6.2 | 34.8 | 7.8 | 2,516 |


| Percentage of employed people aged 16-74 in MSOA who usually drive a car or van to work |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| quartile 1 | 53.4 | 7.0 | 36.2 | 3.4 | 9,759 | 50.3 | 6.4 | 35.9 | 7.4 | 2,509 |
| quartile 2 | 58.5 | 3.8 | 35.8 | 1.9 | 9,786 | 50.6 | 6.8 | 34.6 | 8.1 | 2,520 |
| quartile 3 | 59.4 | 2.7 | 36.5 | 1.4 | 9,768 | 51.6 | 5.5 | 35.4 | 7.5 | 2,510 |
| quartile 4 | 58.4 | 3.6 | 36.5 | 1.5 | 9,778 | 55.6 | 3.0 | 36.1 | 5.3 | 2,523 |
| Average distance (in km) travelled to fixed place of work amongst employed persons in MSOA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 55.8 | 5.4 | 36.1 | 2.7 | 9,756 | 50.9 | 5.6 | 35.6 | 7.9 | 2,509 |
| quartile 2 | 56.6 | 4.1 | 37.1 | 2.2 | 9,774 | 51.0 | 5.7 | 35.0 | 8.3 | 2,512 |
| quartile 3 | 58.8 | 3.6 | 36.3 | 1.3 | 9,769 | 52.2 | 5.3 | 36.4 | 6.2 | 2,509 |
| quartile 4 | 58.5 | 3.8 | 35.9 | 1.8 | 9,792 | 54.1 | 5.1 | 35.0 | 5.9 | 2,532 |
| Rate of burglaries in a dwelling at LA level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| quartile 1 | 60.5 | 2.4 | 35.6 | 1.5 | 9,618 | 57.4 | 4.3 | 32.6 | 5.6 | 2,367 |
| quartile 2 | 59.4 | 3.0 | 36.3 | 1.4 | 9,893 | 48.1 | 6.5 | 35.9 | 9.5 | 2,511 |
| quartile 3 | 58.3 | 4.1 | 36.0 | 1.7 | 9,642 | 50.1 | 5.7 | 38.1 | 6.0 | 2,592 |
| quartile 4 | 54.3 | 6.0 | 36.9 | 2.8 | 9,938 | 52.8 | 5.1 | 35.0 | 7.1 | 2,592 |

Notes: Analysis base is all sample households in England and Wales. Quartiles are defined separately for GPS and EMBS. MSOA is Middle-level Super Output Area, a standard geography first used by the Office for National Statistics for the release of 2001 Census data and subsequently used for the release of a wide range of neighbourhood statistics. MSOAs contain a minimum resident population of 5,000 and a mean of 7,200. Also, see notes to table 1a.

Table 2a: Individual-level response by individual and household characteristics, wave 1

|  | General Population Sample |  |  |  | Ethnic Minority Boost Sample |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Full interview | Proxy | Nonresponse | Base | Full interview | Proxy | Nonresponse | Base |
| Total | 81.77 | 5.23 | 13.00 | 50,199 | 71.85 | 7.25 | 20.90 | 8,370 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 75.1 | 7.7 | 17.3 | 23,894 | 69.5 | 8.0 | 22.5 | 4,039 |
| Female | 87.8 | 3.0 | 9.1 | 26,305 | 74.1 | 6.6 | 19.4 | 4,331 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 66.5 | 9.1 | 24.5 | 3,577 | 65.4 | 8.5 | 26.1 | 870 |
| 20-24 | 67.2 | 7.4 | 25.3 | 4,034 | 65.5 | 7.1 | 27.4 | 1,069 |
| 25-34 | 81.3 | 5.3 | 13.4 | 7,878 | 74.3 | 6.5 | 19.2 | 2,171 |
| 35-44 | 82.9 | 5.2 | 11.9 | 9,295 | 78.8 | 6.4 | 14.7 | 1,785 |
| 45-54 | 82.8 | 5.3 | 11.9 | 8,691 | 73.2 | 7.1 | 19.7 | 1,216 |
| 55 - pension age | 83.3 | 5.0 | 11.7 | 5,566 | 66.4 | 8.8 | 24.9 | 503 |
| pension age - 74 | 90.3 | 2.8 | 6.9 | 7,426 | 68.6 | 8.8 | 22.6 | 544 |
| 75+ | 88.8 | 4.1 | 7.1 | 3,732 | 60.9 | 10.4 | 28.8 | 212 |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Single | 75.0 | 6.3 | 18.7 | 16,506 | 70.5 | 6.3 | 23.2 | 3,294 |
| Married or cohabiting | 82.5 | 5.5 | 11.9 | 25,269 | 71.4 | 8.2 | 20.4 | 4,224 |
| Divorced | 92.4 | 2.1 | 5.5 | 5,384 | 88.1 | 1.9 | 10.0 | 571 |
| Widowed | 93.5 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 3,040 | 62.6 | 14.6 | 22.8 | 281 |
| Employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Not employed | 84.8 | 4.3 | 10.9 | 21,776 | 71.2 | 6.9 | 21.9 | 4,488 |
| Employed | 79.4 | 6.0 | 14.6 | 28,423 | 72.6 | 7.6 | 19.7 | 3,882 |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White British | 82.8 | 5.2 | 11.9 | 42,715 |  |  |  |  |
| Other | 75.7 | 5.3 | 19.1 | 7,484 |  |  |  |  |
| Partnership |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Has a partner in h'hold | 80.4 | 4.9 | 14.7 | 19,025 | 71.8 | 6.1 | 22.2 | 4,018 |


| Has no partner in h'hold | 82.6 | 5.5 | 12.0 | 31,174 | 71.9 | 8.3 | 19.7 | 4,352 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Council tax band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A | 86.2 | 4.1 | 9.7 | 7,343 | 78.1 | 6.7 | 15.2 | 1,037 |
| B | 84.4 | 4.7 | 10.9 | 7,763 | 75.8 | 9.5 | 14.7 | 1,039 |
| C | 83.0 | 5.4 | 11.6 | 7,925 | 75.7 | 7.0 | 17.3 | 1,368 |
| D | 82.5 | 5.9 | 11.6 | 9,051 | 72.9 | 5.8 | 21.4 | 1,330 |
| E-H | 79.5 | 6.3 | 14.2 | 9,631 | 68.6 | 7.2 | 24.3 | 1,076 |
| Don't Know | 76.1 | 4.6 | 19.2 | 8,486 | 66.5 | 7.5 | 26.0 | 2,520 |
| Number of children aged 10 or under in the household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 81.7 | 5.2 | 13.1 | 39,305 | 71.4 | 6.9 | 21.7 | 5,102 |
| 1 child | 82.3 | 5.3 | 12.4 | 6,183 | 72.6 | 7.8 | 19.5 | 1,634 |
| 2 or more | 81.5 | 5.7 | 12.7 | 4,711 | 72.5 | 7.8 | 19.7 | 1,634 |
| Number of cars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 87.3 | 3.2 | 9.5 | 9,070 | 79.2 | 4.6 | 16.2 | 2,962 |
| 1 | 84.9 | 4.6 | 10.5 | 20,059 | 71.8 | 8.0 | 20.2 | 3,512 |
| 2 | 79.0 | 6.4 | 14.6 | 15,636 | 62.7 | 10.0 | 27.4 | 1,411 |
| 3+ | 69.1 | 7.5 | 23.5 | 5,434 | 53.8 | 10.5 | 35.7 | 485 |
| Number of bedrooms per household member |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than one | 75.8 | 6.2 | 18.1 | 14,967 | 68.0 | 8.0 | 24.0 | 5,099 |
| More than one | 84.3 | 4.8 | 10.9 | 35,232 | 77.8 | 6.1 | 16.1 | 3,271 |
| Number of other rooms in the dwelling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-1 | 84.1 | 4.3 | 11.5 | 20,768 | 75.2 | 6.5 | 18.3 | 4,274 |
| 2 | 81.1 | 5.5 | 13.4 | 17,937 | 69.8 | 7.9 | 22.3 | 3,125 |
| 3+ | 78.5 | 6.5 | 15.0 | 11,494 | 63.8 | 8.4 | 27.8 | 971 |
| Housing tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owned outright | 82.9 | 5.1 | 12.1 | 15,026 | 63.3 | 8.5 | 28.2 | 1,393 |
| On mortgage | 79.1 | 6.2 | 14.7 | 19,596 | 68.4 | 8.8 | 22.8 | 2,617 |
| Other | 84.1 | 4.1 | 11.8 | 15,577 | 76.7 | 5.9 | 17.4 | 4,360 |
| Monthly household expenditure on food at home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| less than $£ 400$ | 84.8 | 4.5 | 10.8 | 33,478 | 74.1 | 6.3 | 19.6 | 5,362 |
| £400 or more | 75.8 | 6.7 | 17.5 | 16,721 | 67.8 | 9.0 | 23.2 | 3,008 |


| Monthly household expenditure on food outside home |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| less than $£ 80$ | 83.2 | 4.9 | 11.9 | 31,420 | 73.3 | 6.4 | 20.3 | 5,373 |
| £ 80 or more | 79.3 | 5.8 | 14.9 | 18,779 | 69.3 | 8.8 | 21.9 | 2,997 |
| Number of adults in household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6,844 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 682 |
| 2 | 85.2 | 5.1 | 9.8 | 17,712 | 80.2 | 7.0 | 12.8 | 1,380 |
| 3 or more | 74.7 | 6.7 | 18.6 | 25,643 | 67.1 | 8.1 | 24.8 | 6,308 |
| Access to internet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 80.2 | 5.8 | 14.0 | 38,476 | 70.5 | 7.7 | 21.8 | 6,234 |
| No | 86.8 | 3.5 | 9.8 | 11,723 | 75.8 | 6.1 | 18.2 | 2,136 |
| Green energy tariff: has, considered or considering |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 81.6 | 5.3 | 13.1 | 45,807 | 72.3 | 7.1 | 20.6 | 7,696 |
| No | 83.3 | 4.5 | 12.2 | 4,392 | 66.9 | 8.9 | 24.2 | 674 |
| Up to date with bills |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Up to date | 81.6 | 5.3 | 13.1 | 46,775 | 71.1 | 7.4 | 21.4 | 7,139 |
| Behind | 83.9 | 4.4 | 11.7 | 3,424 | 76.0 | 6.3 | 17.8 | 1,231 |
| Separate items for recycling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sometimes or never | 82.4 | 4.3 | 13.3 | 6,409 | 75.6 | 5.6 | 18.9 | 2,011 |
| Usually or always | 81.7 | 5.4 | 13.0 | 43,790 | 70.7 | 7.8 | 21.5 | 6,359 |
| Holidays |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| We don't have this | 83.1 | 4.6 | 12.3 | 18,351 | 71.1 | 7.3 | 21.6 | 5,340 |
| We have this | 81.0 | 5.6 | 13.4 | 31,848 | 73.2 | 7.1 | 19.7 | 3,030 |
| Regular savings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| We don't have this | 82.9 | 4.6 | 12.5 | 15,363 | 73.5 | 6.5 | 20.0 | 3,351 |
| We have this | 81.3 | 5.5 | 13.2 | 34,836 | 70.8 | 7.7 | 21.5 | 5,019 |
| Number of people over pensionable age in household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 80.3 | 5.6 | 14.1 | 36,247 | 74.0 | 7.0 | 19.1 | 6,881 |
| One or more | 85.7 | 4.3 | 10.0 | 13,952 | 61.9 | 8.7 | 29.4 | 1,489 |
| Number of persons not employed in the household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| None | 82.5 | 5.3 | 12.2 | 19,582 | 81.5 | 5.6 | 12.9 | 1,748 |


| One or more | 81.3 | 5.2 | 13.5 | 30,617 | 69.3 | 7.7 | 23.0 |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number of lone parents in the household |  |  |  | 6,622 |  |  |  |
| None | 81.3 | 5.4 | 13.3 | 47,230 | 70.88 | 7.7 | 21.4 |
| One or more | 89.0 | 2.9 | 8.1 | 2,969 | 79.55 | 3,436 |  |

Table 2b: Response to adult self-completion questionnaire, by individual characteristics, wave 1

|  | General Population Sample |  |  | Ethnic Minority Boost Sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Completed | Did not complete | Base | Completed | Did not complete | Base |
| Total | 87.36 | 12.64 | 41,047 | 69.65 | 30.35 | 6,685 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 87.1 | 12.9 | 17,943 | 69.0 | 31.0 | 3,090 |
| Female | 87.6 | 12.4 | 23,104 | 70.2 | 29.8 | 3,595 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 89.7 | 10.3 | 2,377 | 77.6 | 22.4 | 608 |
| 20-29 | 87.0 | 13.0 | 5,864 | 72.6 | 27.4 | 1,612 |
| 30-39 | 86.8 | 13.2 | 6,979 | 68.6 | 31.4 | 1,774 |
| 40-49 | 87.8 | 12.2 | 7,768 | 69.2 | 30.8 | 1,327 |
| 50-59 | 88.5 | 11.5 | 6,513 | 68.2 | 31.8 | 723 |
| 60-69 | 89.3 | 10.7 | 6,044 | 66.3 | 33.7 | 362 |
| 70+ | 83.4 | 16.6 | 5,502 | 52.3 | 47.7 | 279 |
| Individual Interview Outcome |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full interview | 87.5 | 12.5 | 40,881 | 70.2 | 29.8 | 6,588 |
| Partial interview | 54.8 | 45.2 | 166 | 30.9 | 69.1 | 97 |

Notes: Base is all respondents to the wave 1 individual interview, i.e. all persons who were eligible for the wave 1 self-completion questionnaire.

Table 2c: Response to youth self-completion questionnaire, by individual characteristics, wave 1

|  | General Population Sample |  |  | Ethnic Minority Boost Sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Completed | Did not complete | Base | Completed | Did not complete | Base |
| Total | 76.97 | 23.03 | 5,190 | 62.91 | 37.09 | 1,437 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 75.9 | 24.1 | 2,630 | 60.9 | 39.1 | 724 |
| Female | 78.1 | 21.9 | 2,560 | 64.9 | 35.1 | 713 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 74.7 | 25.3 | 863 | 57.4 | 42.6 | 270 |
| 11 | 77.5 | 22.5 | 861 | 65.9 | 34.1 | 246 |
| 12 | 79.0 | 21.0 | 842 | 62.4 | 37.6 | 213 |
| 13 | 78.9 | 21.1 | 884 | 65.0 | 35.0 | 237 |
| 14 | 78.2 | 21.8 | 844 | 61.9 | 38.1 | 223 |
| 15 | 73.8 | 26.2 | 896 | 65.3 | 34.7 | 248 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| London | 68.5 | 31.5 | 520 | 62.7 | 37.3 | 832 |
| Rest of UK | 77.9 | 22.1 | 4,670 | 63.1 | 36.9 | 605 |

Notes: Base is all wave 1 enumerated individuals aged 10 to 15 , i.e. all persons who were eligible for the wave 1 youth questionnaire.

Table 3: Enumeration outcome at wave 2 conditional on enumeration at wave 1, all persons

|  | General Population Sample |  |  |  |  | Ethnic Minority Boost Sample |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enumerated | Hhd noncontact | Hhd refusal | Unable to locate | Base | Enumerated | Hhd noncontact | Hhd refusal | Unable to locate | Base |
| Total | 76.37 | 5.98 | 13.49 | 4.17 | 34,010 | 67.04 | 9.98 | 16.77 | 6.20 | 5,109 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age group 0-9 | 76.3 | 6.7 | 12.6 | 4.5 | 4,511 | 65.2 | 10.4 | 18.9 | 5.5 | 989 |
| Age group 10-19 | 75.7 | 6.1 | 13.6 | 4.5 | 4,671 | 70.6 | 9.5 | 16.1 | 3.9 | 1,014 |
| Age group 20-29 | 65.7 | 9.9 | 12.9 | 11.5 | 4,273 | 60.1 | 11.9 | 14.4 | 13.7 | 877 |
| Age group 30-39 | 75.8 | 6.5 | 12.5 | 5.2 | 4,553 | 65.4 | 10.0 | 16.4 | 8.2 | 817 |
| Age group 40-49 | 76.7 | 6.3 | 14.3 | 2.7 | 4,963 | 72.3 | 7.9 | 15.4 | 4.5 | 649 |
| Age group 50-59 | 81.0 | 4.8 | 12.7 | 1.6 | 4,216 | 66.7 | 10.4 | 21.1 | 1.8 | 384 |
| Age group 60-69 | 82.1 | 3.2 | 13.6 | 1.0 | 3,640 | 75.3 | 6.7 | 18.0 | 0.0 | 194 |
| Age group 70+ | 79.2 | 3.1 | 16.6 | 1.1 | 3,183 | 71.4 | 11.4 | 16.8 | 0.5 | 185 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 75.8 | 6.0 | 13.5 | 4.6 | 16,428 | 66.8 | 10.5 | 16.0 | 6.7 | 2,520 |
| Female | 76.9 | 5.9 | 13.5 | 3.8 | 17,582 | 67.3 | 9.5 | 17.5 | 5.7 | 2,589 |
| Ethnicity* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Indian | 65.5 | 6.7 | 19.5 | 8.3 | 594 | 71.9 | 8.6 | 14.2 | 5.3 | 676 |
| Pakistani | 67.5 | 13.4 | 16.7 | 2.5 | 449 | 68.4 | 11.7 | 16.6 | 3.3 | 661 |
| Bangladeshi | 62.1 | 7.7 | 20.1 | 10.1 | 169 | 65.1 | 8.6 | 22.4 | 3.9 | 768 |
| Chinese, other Asian | 70.3 | 8.1 | 11.3 | 10.3 | 320 | 70.9 | 4.9 | 8.4 | 15.8 | 285 |
| Caribbean / W Indian | 72.2 | 7.8 | 15.8 | 4.2 | 335 | 67.0 | 10.6 | 16.0 | 6.5 | 558 |
| Black African | 62.1 | 13.8 | 13.4 | 10.7 | 419 | 63.0 | 12.7 | 15.5 | 8.8 | 730 |
| Mixed: Indian or Caribb | 74.5 | 12.1 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 141 | 71.2 | 12.2 | 10.4 | 6.3 | 222 |
| Turkish, Mid East, Iranian | 62.3 | 9.9 | 15.9 | 11.9 | 151 | 61.2 | 4.1 | 22.5 | 12.2 | 98 |
| N African, African Asian | 65.2 | 0.0 | 22.8 | 12.0 | 92 | 65.2 | 7.9 | 22.5 | 4.5 | 89 |
| Other (mainly white) | 76.7 | 5.8 | 13.7 | 3.8 | 23,951 | 90.9 | 6.1 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 33 |


| Household size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-person household | 76.8 | 7.1 | 11.8 | 4.4 | 3,664 | 62.6 | 10.7 | 12.1 | 14.5 | 289 |
| 2-person household | 77.6 | 5.6 | 13.4 | 3.4 | 9,926 | 65.3 | 11.1 | 13.3 | 10.3 | 678 |
| 3-5 -person household | 76.3 | 5.8 | 13.9 | 4.1 | 18,555 | 68.2 | 9.1 | 17.0 | 5.8 | 3,040 |
| 6+-person household | 69.9 | 7.9 | 13.9 | 8.3 | 1,865 | 66.2 | 11.7 | 19.6 | 2.5 | 1,102 |
| Government Office Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North East | 76.9 | 6.1 | 13.7 | 3.4 | 1,486 | 62.2 | 10.8 | 2.7 | 24.3 | 37 |
| North West | 76.1 | 6.6 | 13.5 | 3.8 | 3,681 | 63.8 | 13.9 | 18.4 | 3.9 | 381 |
| Yorkshire \& Humber | 71.9 | 7.9 | 16.7 | 3.5 | 2,795 | 71.6 | 6.5 | 16.1 | 5.9 | 324 |
| East Midlands | 77.8 | 4.8 | 12.4 | 5.1 | 2,530 | 82.7 | 4.7 | 5.6 | 7.0 | 214 |
| West Midlands | 75.2 | 7.1 | 12.6 | 5.0 | 2,787 | 61.6 | 13.5 | 17.8 | 7.2 | 653 |
| East of England | 77.8 | 4.6 | 12.8 | 4.8 | 2,884 | 71.3 | 6.1 | 13.9 | 8.7 | 310 |
| London | 66.9 | 9.2 | 17.0 | 6.9 | 3,073 | 66.2 | 9.7 | 18.3 | 5.9 | 2,844 |
| South East | 78.6 | 3.6 | 14.5 | 3.3 | 4,279 | 72.2 | 11.9 | 12.8 | 3.2 | 219 |
| South West | 80.8 | 4.6 | 10.2 | 4.4 | 2,811 | 75.8 | 0.0 | 16.1 | 8.1 | 62 |
| Wales | 76.1 | 7.9 | 10.8 | 5.2 | 1,652 | 69.4 | 27.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 36 |
| Scotland | 73.8 | 7.6 | 15.6 | 2.9 | 2,741 | 51.7 | 13.8 | 17.2 | 17.2 | 29 |
| Northern Ireland | 83.2 | 3.6 | 10.6 | 2.5 | 3,291 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| Locality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural location | 82.2 | 2.7 | 12.7 | 2.5 | 4,823 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2 |
| Urban location | 75.4 | 6.5 | 13.6 | 4.5 | 29,187 | 67.0 | 10.0 | 16.8 | 6.2 | 5,107 |
| Children aged 0-2 in the household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 76.8 | 5.8 | 13.6 | 3.8 | 28,958 | 67.1 | 11.0 | 16.2 | 5.7 | 3,876 |
| Yes | 74.0 | 7.2 | 12.7 | 6.2 | 5,052 | 66.8 | 6.7 | 18.7 | 7.9 | 1,233 |
| Children aged 3-4 in the household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 76.2 | 6.0 | 13.6 | 4.2 | 30,384 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 10.8 | 6.4 | 4,276 |
| Yes | 78.0 | 5.6 | 12.4 | 4.0 | 3,626 | 27.5 | 29.7 | 29.1 | 5.2 | 833 |
| Children aged 5-11 in the household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 76.3 | 5.8 | 13.6 | 4.3 | 24,820 | 67.2 | 9.2 | 15.3 | 8.3 | 2,888 |
| Yes | 76.5 | 6.4 | 13.2 | 3.9 | 9,190 | 66.8 | 11.0 | 18.6 | 3.5 | 2,221 |


| Children aged 12-15 in the household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 76.4 | 6.1 | 13.2 | 4.3 | 27,753 | 64.5 | 11.1 | 16.5 | 7.9 | 3,683 |
| Yes | 76.3 | 5.3 | 14.8 | 3.6 | 6,257 | 73.6 | 7.0 | 17.5 | 1.8 | 1,426 |
| Housing tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owner | 79.5 | 4.8 | 13.8 | 1.9 | 23,465 | 70.5 | 10.8 | 17.0 | 1.8 | 2,447 |
| Rent, social | 72.9 | 8.0 | 14.4 | 4.7 | 5,755 | 66.8 | 10.6 | 18.1 | 4.5 | 1,571 |
| Rent, private | 65.3 | 8.9 | 10.8 | 15.1 | 4,679 | 73.4 | 72.9 | 73.0 | 18.9 | 1,060 |
| Housing type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| House/bungalow | 77.8 | 5.4 | 13.3 | 3.5 | 29,169 | 68.5 | 10.0 | 16.9 | 4.6 | 3,625 |
| Flat/maisonette | 67.9 | 9.4 | 13.4 | 9.3 | 3,547 | 63.0 | 10.1 | 17.1 | 9.8 | 1,431 |
| Bedsit/other | 77.8 | 5.4 | 13.5 | 3.2 | 185 | 60.0 | 13.3 | 6.7 | 20.0 | 15 |
| Missing | 65.6 | 10.2 | 19.0 | 5.2 | 1,109 | 79.0 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 15.8 | 38 |
| Month of issue |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 76.0 | 6.6 | 12.2 | 5.3 | 2,475 | 71.3 | 8.7 | 14.0 | 6.1 | 393 |
| February | 75.1 | 6.9 | 13.7 | 4.3 | 2,913 | 53.3 | 15.9 | 21.0 | 9.8 | 377 |
| March | 77.2 | 5.3 | 14.1 | 3.4 | 3,035 | 66.5 | 16.3 | 13.0 | 4.2 | 430 |
| April | 75.4 | 7.8 | 12.4 | 4.4 | 2,869 | 70.2 | 4.7 | 18.0 | 7.1 | 423 |
| May | 75.8 | 5.6 | 14.0 | 4.6 | 2,786 | 67.4 | 3.7 | 22.8 | 6.2 | 435 |
| June | 76.4 | 6.7 | 13.4 | 3.6 | 2,845 | 64.9 | 14.4 | 15.8 | 5.0 | 444 |
| July | 76.3 | 5.5 | 13.9 | 4.3 | 2,785 | 67.4 | 13.5 | 15.2 | 3.9 | 362 |
| August | 78.0 | 5.4 | 12.0 | 4.6 | 2,856 | 75.8 | 8.8 | 11.4 | 3.9 | 533 |
| September | 77.5 | 3.9 | 15.2 | 3.4 | 3,116 | 64.0 | 7.0 | 20.5 | 8.5 | 414 |
| October | 75.9 | 5.8 | 13.7 | 4.5 | 2,755 | 71.7 | 3.3 | 17.2 | 7.8 | 332 |
| November | 76.1 | 6.5 | 12.4 | 5.1 | 2,769 | 67.5 | 9.8 | 14.1 | 8.7 | 427 |
| December | 76.4 | 6.0 | 14.6 | 3.0 | 2,806 | 63.3 | 12.6 | 19.3 | 4.8 | 539 |


| Interview outcome W1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Full interview | 79.3 | 5.3 | 11.9 | 3.5 | 21,967 | 71.2 | 8.8 | 13.2 | 6.8 | 2,528 |
| Proxy interview | 70.5 | 7.6 | 17.1 | 4.8 | 1,239 | 57.6 | 10.5 | 24.0 | 7.9 | 229 |
| Refusal | 59.3 | 7.9 | 26.4 | 6.5 | 1,822 | 51.9 | 11.9 | 26.8 | 9.4 | 310 |
| Other non-interview | 58.9 | 10.7 | 20.0 | 10.4 | 1,337 | 51.0 | 18.1 | 23.2 | 7.7 | 298 |
| III/away during survey | 62.9 | 7.8 | 17.2 | 12.1 | 256 | 51.9 | 13.0 | 25.9 | 9.3 | 54 |
| Too infirm/elderly | 65.3 | 10.5 | 20.0 | 4.2 | 95 | 66.7 | 4.8 | 28.6 | 0.0 | 21 |
| Language difficulties | 43.1 | 20.7 | 17.2 | 19.0 | 58 | 71.7 | 15.0 | 8.3 | 5.0 | 60 |
| Youth Interview | 81.2 | 4.6 | 11.6 | 2.6 | 2,148 | 77.1 | 6.5 | 13.6 | 2.8 | 398 |
| Youth: Other non-int | 65.2 | 8.0 | 20.3 | 6.6 | 577 | 64.0 | 12.2 | 22.5 | 1.4 | 222 |
| Child under 10 | 76.3 | 6.7 | 12.6 | 4.5 | 4,511 | 65.2 | 10.4 | 18.9 | 5.5 | 989 |

Notes: Base is all persons enumerated at wave 1 in the year 1 sample (only the first year of wave 2 data is available at the time of analysis), with the exception of ethnicity.
This variable was only collected on the household grid for persons aged 10 or over, so the analysis of ethnicity in this table excludes children under 10.

Table 4a: Individual outcome at wave 2 conditional on interview at wave 1, persons aged 16+

|  | General Population Sample |  |  |  |  |  | Ethnic Minority Boost Sample |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Response |  | Non-response |  |  | Base | Response |  | Non-response |  |  | Base |
|  | Full inter'w | Proxy inter'w | Noncontact | Refusal | Unable <br> locate |  | Full inter'w | Proxy inter'w | Noncontact | Refusal | Unable <br> locate |  |
| Total | 75.37 | 1.85 | 5.97 | 13.35 | 3.46 | 21,967 | 63.37 | 3.05 | 10.25 | 16.57 | 6.76 | 2,528 |
| Age group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Age group 16-19 | 64.1 | 6.2 | 9.0 | 15.2 | 5.5 | 1,315 | 58.8 | 5.9 | 9.8 | 18.4 | 7.1 | 255 |
| Age group 20-29 | 64.3 | 2.2 | 10.9 | 12.9 | 9.8 | 3,112 | 54.4 | 3.2 | 13.6 | 14.9 | 13.9 | 597 |
| Age group 30-39 | 75.0 | 2.2 | 6.7 | 11.6 | 4.5 | 3,820 | 64.7 | 2.6 | 9.6 | 15.6 | 7.6 | 660 |
| Age group 40-49 | 75.7 | 1.6 | 6.0 | 14.1 | 2.5 | 4,141 | 69.8 | 2.2 | 8.8 | 15.9 | 3.3 | 490 |
| Age group 50-59 | 80.1 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 12.5 | 1.3 | 3,503 | 66.3 | 3.4 | 8.7 | 20.5 | 1.1 | 264 |
| Age group 60-69 | 82.1 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 12.6 | 1.0 | 3,210 | 75.2 | 0.7 | 6.6 | 17.5 | 0.0 | 137 |
| Age group 70+ | 79.3 | 0.8 | 2.9 | 16.1 | 1.0 | 2,866 | 64.0 | 4.0 | 12.0 | 19.2 | 0.8 | 125 |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 74.1 | 2.7 | 6.1 | 13.1 | 3.9 | 9,546 | 60.1 | 4.2 | 11.4 | 16.0 | 8.3 | 1,172 |
| Female | 76.3 | 1.2 | 5.9 | 13.5 | 3.1 | 12,421 | 66.2 | 2.1 | 9.2 | 17.0 | 5.5 | 1,356 |
| Born in the UK |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 67.3 | 2.6 | 8.9 | 13.3 | 7.9 | 2,364 | 62.6 | 2.8 | 10.3 | 17.2 | 7.1 | 1,723 |
| Yes | 76.4 | 1.8 | 5.6 | 13.4 | 2.9 | 19,603 | 65.1 | 3.5 | 10.2 | 15.2 | 6.1 | 805 |
| Housing tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owner | 78.3 | 2.0 | 4.9 | 13.5 | 1.4 | 15,307 | 66.3 | 3.2 | 11.2 | 17.9 | 1.4 | 1,190 |
| Rent, social | 71.8 | 1.5 | 8.1 | 14.7 | 3.8 | 3,571 | 64.0 | 4.1 | 9.1 | 17.9 | 5.0 | 739 |
| Rent, private | 65.2 | 1.7 | 8.7 | 10.8 | 13.6 | 3,035 | 56.5 | 1.6 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 19.9 | 582 |
| House type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| House/bungalow | 76.8 | 2.0 | 5.4 | 13.2 | 2.6 | 18,462 | 65.5 | 2.7 | 10.1 | 17.1 | 4.6 | 1,696 |
| Flat/maisonette | 68.1 | 0.9 | 9.0 | 13.4 | 8.7 | 2,674 | 59.1 | 3.5 | 10.5 | 15.9 | 11.0 | 799 |
| Bedsit/other | 76.4 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 12.7 | 3.0 | 165 | 53.9 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 7.7 | 23.1 | 13 |
| Missing | 65.0 | 1.7 | 10.8 | 17.4 | 5.1 | 666 | 65.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 20 |


| Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| British/Irish White | 76.5 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 13.1 | 3.1 | 20,169 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| Indian | 59.4 | 3.3 | 8.1 | 20.3 | 8.9 | 360 | 67.9 | 2.9 | 8.9 | 14.9 | 5.5 | 451 |
| Pakistani | 63.3 | 3.0 | 15.0 | 15.8 | 3.0 | 234 | 61.9 | 2.2 | 14.5 | 17.3 | 4.1 | 365 |
| Bangladeshi | 54.8 | 4.3 | 17.2 | 16.1 | 7.5 | 93 | 56.9 | 5.8 | 8.5 | 24.7 | 4.1 | 413 |
| Chinese, other Asian | 66.4 | 1.4 | 13.9 | 12.0 | 6.3 | 208 | 71.0 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 10.4 | 12.0 | 183 |
| Caribbean | 67.7 | 2.4 | 9.6 | 15.6 | 4.8 | 167 | 67.1 | 1.7 | 10.1 | 15.5 | 5.6 | 356 |
| African, other Black | 59.7 | 1.8 | 12.2 | 16.2 | 10.1 | 278 | 59.7 | 2.3 | 13.6 | 15.5 | 8.9 | 427 |
| Mixed: White/ Black | 69.3 | 3.0 | 7.4 | 13.9 | 6.4 | 202 | 65.8 | 2.2 | 6.0 | 14.1 | 12.0 | 184 |
| Arab | 39.0 | 4.9 | 12.2 | 36.6 | 7.3 | 41 | 50.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 23.3 | 13.3 | 30 |
| Other | 68.2 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 8.9 | 192 | 63.0 | 5.0 | 13.5 | 11.8 | 6.7 | 119 |
| Government Office Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North East | 75.2 | 2.5 | 6.4 | 13.1 | 2.8 | 959 | 55.6 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 5.6 | 27.8 | 18 |
| North West | 75.9 | 1.7 | 5.8 | 12.9 | 3.7 | 2,369 | 59.8 | 1.1 | 16.2 | 17.3 | 5.6 | 179 |
| Yorkshire \& Humber | 70.5 | 2.4 | 7.5 | 16.7 | 3.0 | 1,779 | 68.2 | 2.7 | 6.8 | 17.6 | 4.7 | 148 |
| East Midlands | 77.0 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 12.5 | 3.6 | 1,683 | 76.6 | 3.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 107 |
| West Midlands | 73.3 | 1.5 | 7.5 | 13.6 | 4.0 | 1,808 | 60.1 | 3.8 | 11.1 | 17.4 | 7.6 | 288 |
| East of England | 75.2 | 2.4 | 4.6 | 13.9 | 3.9 | 1,940 | 62.0 | 1.3 | 8.0 | 17.3 | 11.3 | 150 |
| London | 65.1 | 2.4 | 10.2 | 16.3 | 6.0 | 1,848 | 62.7 | 3.6 | 10.1 | 17.5 | 6.0 | 1,457 |
| South East | 78.2 | 1.9 | 3.5 | 13.7 | 2.8 | 2,828 | 70.9 | 0.9 | 10.0 | 13.6 | 4.6 | 110 |
| South West | 81.0 | 1.6 | 4.5 | 9.9 | 3.0 | 1,863 | 73.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 30 |
| Wales | 75.0 | 1.4 | 7.4 | 11.8 | 4.3 | 1,129 | 61.9 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 21 |
| Scotland | 72.3 | 2.1 | 7.4 | 15.3 | 2.9 | 1,789 | 45.0 | 0.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 25.0 | 20 |
| Northern Ireland | 83.2 | 0.8 | 4.1 | 10.0 | 1.9 | 1,972 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. |
| Marital status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Never married | 66.4 | 3.0 | 9.4 | 13.9 | 7.3 | 4,564 | 58.2 | 3.5 | 11.3 | 16.4 | 10.5 | 882 |
| Coupled | 77.3 | 1.9 | 4.9 | 13.5 | 2.4 | 13,955 | 65.9 | 3.0 | 9.5 | 16.6 | 5.0 | 1,361 |
| Separated | 73.8 | 0.4 | 8.4 | 11.4 | 6.1 | 511 | 64.4 | 0.0 | 13.9 | 17.8 | 4.0 | 101 |
| Divorced | 80.4 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 10.1 | 3.0 | 1,513 | 70.3 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 15.3 | 4.2 | 118 |
| Widowed | 80.7 | 0.3 | 4.0 | 14.0 | 1.1 | 1,418 | 67.2 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 18.8 | 1.6 | 64 |


| Household size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-person household | 77.1 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 11.8 | 4.3 | 3,618 | 62.3 | 0.0 | 10.9 | 12.3 | 14.4 | 284 |
| 2-person household | 77.4 | 1.6 | 5.2 | 13.0 | 2.8 | 8,120 | 60.2 | 2.6 | 12.9 | 14.3 | 9.9 | 495 |
| 3-5 -person household | 73.8 | 2.6 | 6.0 | 14.1 | 3.5 | 9,526 | 65.2 | 3.4 | 9.0 | 17.3 | 5.0 | 1,351 |
| 6+-person household | 64.6 | 4.1 | 10.5 | 15.1 | 5.7 | 703 | 61.8 | 4.5 | 10.6 | 19.9 | 3.3 | 398 |
| Children aged 0-2 in household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 75.6 | 1.8 | 5.8 | 13.5 | 3.3 | 19,820 | 62.9 | 2.9 | 11.0 | 16.9 | 6.4 | 2,077 |
| Yes | 73.0 | 2.1 | 7.6 | 12.2 | 5.1 | 2,147 | 65.6 | 3.8 | 6.9 | 15.3 | 8.4 | 451 |
| Children aged 3-4 in household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 75.3 | 1.8 | 6.0 | 13.4 | 3.4 | 20,538 | 63.1 | 3.2 | 9.8 | 16.8 | 7.1 | 2,251 |
| Yes | 76.3 | 2.2 | 5.7 | 12.2 | 3.6 | 1,429 | 65.3 | 2.2 | 13.7 | 14.4 | 4.3 | 277 |
| Children aged 5-11 in household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 75.7 | 1.7 | 5.8 | 13.3 | 3.5 | 18,297 | 62.6 | 2.9 | 10.3 | 16.1 | 8.0 | 1,741 |
| Yes | 73.9 | 2.6 | 6.7 | 13.5 | 3.4 | 3,670 | 65.2 | 3.3 | 10.0 | 17.5 | 3.9 | 787 |
| Children aged 12-15 in household |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 75.6 | 1.7 | 6.0 | 13.1 | 3.6 | 19,285 | 62.4 | 2.7 | 11.3 | 15.5 | 8.2 | 1,963 |
| Yes | 73.8 | 2.7 | 5.6 | 15.2 | 2.7 | 2,682 | 66.9 | 4.3 | 6.6 | 20.4 | 2.0 | 565 |
| Subjective health status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent | 73.8 | 2.1 | 6.2 | 13.7 | 4.3 | 3,860 | 58.1 | 3.2 | 12.9 | 15.4 | 10.5 | 506 |
| Very good | 75.0 | 2.0 | 6.3 | 13.1 | 3.6 | 7,072 | 65.3 | 2.4 | 10.1 | 15.3 | 7.0 | 786 |
| Good | 76.3 | 1.7 | 5.7 | 13.1 | 3.2 | 6,206 | 64.4 | 3.7 | 9.5 | 16.5 | 6.0 | 704 |
| Fair | 76.6 | 1.4 | 5.6 | 13.5 | 3.1 | 3,264 | 65.5 | 3.0 | 9.4 | 18.0 | 4.1 | 339 |
| Poor | 74.7 | 2.0 | 5.9 | 14.3 | 3.0 | 1,548 | 64.1 | 3.3 | 8.2 | 21.7 | 2.7 | 184 |
| SF-12 Physical Component Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Q1 | 77.5 | 1.3 | 4.8 | 14.2 | 2.3 | 4,357 | 64.4 | 3.0 | 9.2 | 20.8 | 2.6 | 466 |
| Q2 | 76.3 | 1.6 | 6.4 | 12.7 | 3.0 | 4,406 | 63.8 | 2.9 | 9.4 | 17.4 | 6.5 | 489 |
| Q3 | 75.5 | 2.2 | 6.0 | 12.9 | 3.4 | 4,359 | 66.9 | 3.4 | 10.1 | 13.5 | 6.1 | 525 |
| Q4 | 74.4 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 13.2 | 4.2 | 4,972 | 63.7 | 3.3 | 9.2 | 16.0 | 7.7 | 543 |
| Q5 | 73.6 | 2.2 | 6.4 | 13.4 | 4.4 | 3,760 | 58.5 | 2.8 | 13.6 | 15.1 | 10.0 | 470 |


| SF-12 Mental Component Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1 | 73.3 | 1.5 | 7.0 | 13.7 | 4.4 | 4,249 | 62.3 | 2.3 | 11.6 | 16.9 | 6.9 | 605 |
| Q2 | 75.5 | 1.8 | 6.3 | 13.1 | 3.3 | 4,348 | 65.9 | 4.0 | 9.4 | 13.8 | 7.0 | 530 |
| Q3 | 76.3 | 1.8 | 5.8 | 12.4 | 3.8 | 4,484 | 60.7 | 2.6 | 13.2 | 16.8 | 6.7 | 417 |
| Q4 | 76.5 | 2.0 | 5.6 | 12.7 | 3.3 | 4,482 | 68.4 | 2.8 | 6.3 | 15.8 | 6.7 | 430 |
| Q5 | 75.7 | 2.1 | 5.2 | 14.5 | 2.5 | 4,291 | 60.9 | 3.7 | 10.6 | 19.2 | 5.7 | 511 |
| Locality |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rural location | 80.7 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 12.5 | 1.7 | 3,165 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 1 |
| Urban location | 74.5 | 1.8 | 6.5 | 13.5 | 3.7 | 18,802 | 63.4 | 3.1 | 10.3 | 16.6 | 6.8 | 2,527 |
| Expects to move |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 64.9 | 2.3 | 8.8 | 12.2 | 11.9 | 3,129 | 55.2 | 2.3 | 12.5 | 14.3 | 15.7 | 567 |
| No | 77.3 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 13.5 | 2.0 | 18,558 | 66.2 | 3.3 | 9.5 | 16.9 | 4.1 | 1,887 |
| Would like to move |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 77.2 | 1.7 | 5.4 | 13.5 | 2.3 | 13,690 | 62.9 | 3.7 | 10.0 | 17.5 | 6.0 | 1,357 |
| No | 72.3 | 2.2 | 7.0 | 13.2 | 5.4 | 8,156 | 64.0 | 2.4 | 10.5 | 15.6 | 7.5 | 1,151 |
| Employment status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Self-employed | 73.9 | 2.9 | 6.1 | 13.8 | 3.3 | 1,507 | 65.9 | 2.4 | 11.8 | 15.9 | 4.1 | 170 |
| Paid employment | 75.9 | 1.9 | 6.4 | 12.6 | 3.2 | 10,374 | 64.5 | 2.8 | 10.5 | 15.5 | 6.7 | 989 |
| Unemployed | 65.6 | 2.6 | 10.8 | 13.4 | 7.6 | 1,269 | 56.5 | 3.4 | 12.4 | 15.7 | 12.0 | 324 |
| Retired | 81.0 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 14.5 | 1.1 | 4,876 | 67.3 | 2.4 | 9.8 | 20.0 | 0.5 | 205 |
| On maternity leave | 78.7 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 11.2 | 3.7 | 188 | 65.2 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 13.0 | 4.4 | 23 |
| Looking after family/ home | 72.9 | 0.7 | 7.4 | 14.8 | 4.1 | 1,352 | 66.1 | 2.9 | 9.1 | 20.5 | 1.5 | 342 |
| Full-time student | 64.5 | 4.7 | 7.8 | 14.4 | 8.6 | 1,286 | 61.6 | 4.4 | 9.0 | 13.1 | 12.0 | 367 |
| LT sick/ disabled | 74.7 | 2.4 | 6.8 | 12.5 | 3.7 | 919 | 61.6 | 4.1 | 5.5 | 26.0 | 2.7 | 73 |
| Government training | 66.7 | 2.8 | 8.3 | 13.9 | 8.3 | 36 | 45.5 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 27.3 | 9.1 | 11 |
| Unpaid in family business | 81.3 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 0.0 | 16 | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | n.a. | 1 |
| Something else | 70.5 | 0.7 | 9.4 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 139 | 63.6 | 0.0 | 4.6 | 9.1 | 22.7 | 22 |


| Highest level of qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Degree | 78.0 | 1.8 | 5.8 | 10.2 | 4.2 | 4,386 | 64.5 | 2.6 | 9.2 | 14.3 | 9.5 | 687 |
| Other higher | 77.9 | 1.2 | 5.9 | 11.8 | 3.3 | 2,510 | 64.3 | 3.3 | 10.3 | 13.5 | 8.6 | 244 |
| A level etc | 73.3 | 2.7 | 5.9 | 13.6 | 4.7 | 4,082 | 63.3 | 1.7 | 13.4 | 16.3 | 5.4 | 479 |
| GCSE etc | 73.9 | 2.2 | 6.9 | 14.0 | 3.0 | 4,638 | 59.8 | 4.7 | 10.1 | 18.9 | 6.5 | 445 |
| Other qualification | 75.6 | 1.3 | 5.1 | 16.4 | 1.7 | 1,144 | 67.8 | 4.0 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 6.7 | 149 |
| No qualification | 74.9 | 1.4 | 5.6 | 15.4 | 2.8 | 5,196 | 63.6 | 3.1 | 8.6 | 20.9 | 3.8 | 522 |
| Flagging/tracking consent given |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No | 68.7 | 2.1 | 7.1 | 18.0 | 4.1 | 6,595 | 60.4 | 3.7 | 10.8 | 19.9 | 5.3 | 977 |
| Yes | 78.3 | 1.7 | 5.4 | 11.3 | 3.2 | 15,288 | 65.6 | 2.7 | 9.8 | 14.1 | 7.8 | 1,509 |
| Sample Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| January | 74.7 | 1.9 | 6.1 | 13.2 | 4.1 | 1,637 | 62.9 | 4.1 | 12.7 | 15.2 | 5.1 | 197 |
| February | 75.0 | 1.3 | 6.5 | 13.7 | 3.5 | 1,873 | 50.6 | 3.4 | 17.6 | 18.8 | 9.7 | 176 |
| March | 75.7 | 1.9 | 5.8 | 13.6 | 3.0 | 1,957 | 66.8 | 2.0 | 11.6 | 15.6 | 4.0 | 199 |
| April | 74.5 | 2.0 | 7.6 | 12.1 | 3.8 | 1,828 | 64.3 | 3.8 | 6.1 | 16.9 | 8.9 | 213 |
| May | 74.2 | 1.2 | 5.6 | 14.8 | 4.3 | 1,766 | 59.1 | 5.1 | 7.4 | 21.9 | 6.5 | 215 |
| June | 74.6 | 2.1 | 6.8 | 13.5 | 3.0 | 1,831 | 62.4 | 0.5 | 13.7 | 18.1 | 5.4 | 205 |
| July | 76.1 | 2.0 | 5.5 | 13.2 | 3.2 | 1,835 | 65.2 | 2.5 | 16.4 | 12.4 | 3.5 | 201 |
| August | 76.0 | 2.1 | 5.5 | 12.4 | 4.1 | 1,829 | 71.3 | 2.9 | 6.3 | 13.6 | 5.9 | 272 |
| September | 75.4 | 2.5 | 5.0 | 14.7 | 2.4 | 1,939 | 64.4 | 2.9 | 11.2 | 12.7 | 8.8 | 205 |
| October | 75.5 | 2.0 | 5.5 | 13.4 | 3.6 | 1,829 | 66.7 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 20.2 | 6.6 | 168 |
| November | 76.3 | 1.6 | 5.8 | 12.0 | 4.3 | 1,782 | 64.4 | 2.0 | 8.8 | 15.6 | 9.3 | 205 |
| December | 76.4 | 1.5 | 6.2 | 13.3 | 2.6 | 1,861 | 59.9 | 3.7 | 9.9 | 18.8 | 7.7 | 272 |

Note: Base is all persons who gave a full individual interview at wave 1 in the year 1 sample (only the first year of wave 2 data is available at the time of analysis) and who were believed still to be eligible at the time of wave 2 (i.e. alive and resident in the UK)

Table 4b: Response to adult self-completion questionnaire, by individual characteristics, wave 2

|  | General Population Sample |  |  | Ethnic Minority Boost Sample |  |  | Ex-BHPS sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Completed | Did not complete | Base | Completed | Did not complete | Base | Completed | Did not complete | Base |
| Total | 89.04 | 10.96 | 17,911 | 72.07 | 27.93 | 2,034 | 86.05 | 13.95 | 12,011 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 88.9 | 11.1 | 7,803 | 71.9 | 28.1 | 910 | 84.8 | 15.2 | 5,483 |
| Female | 89.1 | 11.9 | 10,108 | 72.2 | 27.8 | 1,124 | 87.1 | 12.9 | 6,528 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-19 | 91.0 | 9.0 | 1,058 | 80.8 | 19.2 | 198 | 86.3 | 13.7 | 822 |
| 20-29 | 87.5 | 12.5 | 2,237 | 72.6 | 27.4 | 420 | 83.6 | 16.4 | 1,699 |
| 30-39 | 86.7 | 13.3 | 2,964 | 70.1 | 29.9 | 481 | 86.0 | 14.0 | 1,925 |
| 40-49 | 89.5 | 10.5 | 3,345 | 72.4 | 27.6 | 460 | 88.1 | 11.9 | 2,292 |
| 50-59 | 89.9 | 10.1 | 2,989 | 72.9 | 27.1 | 247 | 86.1 | 13.9 | 1,785 |
| 60-69 | 91.8 | 8.2 | 2,766 | 70.1 | 29.9 | 117 | 87.3 | 12.7 | 1,746 |
| 70+ | 87.7 | 12.3 | 2,552 | 62.2 | 37.8 | 111 | 84.4 | 15.6 | 1,742 |

[^2]Table 4c: Response to youth self-completion questionnaire, by individual characteristics, wave 2

|  | General Population Sample |  |  | Ethnic Minority Boost Sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Completed | Did not complete | Base | Completed | Did not complete | Base |
| Total | 78.86 | 21.14 | 3,486 | 64.52 | 35.48 | 451 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 77.6 | 22.4 | 1,827 | 62.9 | 37.1 | 240 |
| Female | 80.3 | 19.7 | 1,659 | 66.4 | 33.6 | 211 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 72.3 | 27.7 | 556 | 66.7 | 33.3 | 69 |
| 11 | 80.7 | 19.3 | 584 | 63.8 | 36.2 | 80 |
| 12 | 80.6 | 19.4 | 583 | 68.3 | 31.7 | 82 |
| 13 | 80.4 | 19.6 | 617 | 63.3 | 36.7 | 79 |
| 14 | 79.6 | 20.4 | 573 | 70.4 | 29.6 | 71 |
| 15 | 79.2 | 20.8 | 573 | 54.3 | 45.7 | 70 |

Notes: Base is all wave 2 enumerated individuals aged 10 to 15 , i.e. all persons who were eligible for the wave 2 youth questionnaire.

Table 5: Household outcome at wave 2, ex-BHPS sample

|  |  | Non-response |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Responding | Non- <br> contact | Refusal | Other | Base |
| Total | 79.1 | 6.5 | 12.9 | 1.5 | 8,463 |
| Sample |  |  |  |  |  |
| Living in Britain | 78.2 | 6.9 | 13.6 | 1.3 | 4,642 |
| Living in Scotland | 74.6 | 7.1 | 16.0 | 2.3 | 1,220 |
| Living in Wales | 77.7 | 7.1 | 14.0 | 1.2 | 1,288 |
| Northern Ireland HPS | 87.5 | 3.8 | 6.7 | 2.0 | 1,313 |
| Fieldwork Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
| January - March | 81.6 | 5.3 | 12.1 | 1.1 | 2,131 |
| April - June | 80.8 | 6.0 | 11.7 | 1.5 | 2,133 |
| July - September | 77.3 | 7.0 | 14.2 | 1.5 | 2,061 |
| October - December | 76.2 | 7.7 | 14.0 | 2.0 | 2,147 |

Table 6a: Individual outcome at wave 2, ex-BHPS sample members aged 16+

|  | Full interview |  | Non-response |  |  |  |  | Base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Proxy interview | Personal refusal | Other personal non-resp | Unable to locate | Household refusal | Household noncontact |  |
| Total | 68.6 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 2.7 | 13.0 | 5.2 | 15,608 |
| Outcome at BHPS wave 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full interview | 79.4 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 2.1 | 11.1 | 4.3 | 12,903 |
| Proxy interview | 27.1 | 33.8 | 10.0 | 5.7 | 1.4 | 17.1 | 4.8 | 210 |
| Telephone interview | 20.8 | 0.4 | 1.9 | 4.1 | 4.2 | 16.8 | 7.5 | 683 |
| Refusal | 11.3 | 8.8 | 32.8 | 9.4 | 5.5 | 22.8 | 8.2 | 1,262 |
| Other non-interview | 26.1 | 7.7 | 11.7 | 21.6 | 10.8 | 14.4 | 7.2 | 222 |
| Non-interviewed household | 18.3 | 1.7 | 2.9 | 0.8 | 7.5 | 44.6 | 24.2 | 240 |
| Non-contact household | 27.3 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 1.1 | 9.1 | 19.3 | 27.3 | 88 |

[^3]Table 6b: Individual outcome at wave 2, ex-BHPS responding sample


| Household size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-person household | 81.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 2.5 | 9.4 | 5.9 | 1,793 |
| 2-person household | 81.8 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.5 | 11.4 | 3.2 | 4,424 |
| 3-5 -person household | 77.4 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 11.4 | 4.6 | 6,189 |
| 6+-person household | 74.2 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 10.9 | 3.8 | 497 |
| Government Office Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| North East | 75.8 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 11.5 | 4.7 | 322 |
| North West | 76.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 12.6 | 5.3 | 938 |
| Yorkshire \& Humber | 76.1 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 13.2 | 5.1 | 748 |
| East Midlands | 83.4 | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 10.0 | 2.0 | 663 |
| West Midlands | 72.2 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 14.1 | 8.0 | 622 |
| East of England | 78.9 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 12.6 | 3.3 | 748 |
| London | 76.4 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 11.6 | 7.2 | 517 |
| South East | 78.5 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 11.2 | 4.5 | 1,041 |
| South West | 78.4 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 12.5 | 3.1 | 719 |
| Wales | 77.8 | 1.9 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 2.1 | 12.4 | 3.9 | 2,270 |
| Scotland | 77.2 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 12.4 | 6.2 | 2,206 |
| Northern Ireland | 88.9 | 0.8 | 0.5 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 2,109 |
| Subjective health status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excellent | 80.2 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 10.0 | 4.2 | 2,855 |
| Good | 79.1 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 11.7 | 4.2 | 6,184 |
| Fair | 80.2 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 10.4 | 4.4 | 2,702 |
| Poor | 78.2 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 12.0 | 4.0 | 960 |
| Very poor | 73.1 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 10.7 | 7.6 | 197 |
| Housing tenure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Owner | 80.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 11.9 | 3.8 | 9,806 |
| Rent, social | 79.3 | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 9.3 | 4.5 | 1,897 |
| Rent, private | 74.6 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 7.2 | 7.3 | 8.2 | 1,128 |
| Expects to move |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 70.4 | 2.5 | 1.0 | 2.0 | 7.1 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 1,323 |
| No | 80.7 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 1.4 | 11.4 | 3.7 | 11,097 |


| Highest level of qualification |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Degree | 83.9 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 7.5 | 4.2 | 1,951 |
| Other higher | 80.6 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.0 | 11.3 | 3.6 | 3,887 |
| A level etc | 74.2 | 2.1 | 0.5 | 1.7 | 3.3 | 12.2 | 6.1 | 1,629 |
| GCSE etc | 78.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 10.7 | 4.9 | 2,061 |
| Other qualification | 78.2 | 1.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 12.7 | 3.7 | 898 |
| No qualification | 79.7 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.5 | 12.9 | 3.6 | 2,118 |
| Income quintiles |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Highest | 81.0 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 10.2 | 4.4 | 2,474 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ | 81.5 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 10.1 | 4.1 | 2,469 |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 81.0 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 10.4 | 4.0 | 2,434 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | 79.5 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.8 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 4.0 | 2,425 |
| Lowest | 77.0 | 1.8 | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 12.5 | 4.0 | 2,452 |

[^4]
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## Annex: Responding Sample Sizes

Table A1: Wave 1 Sample Sizes

|  | Responding Households |  |  | Enumerated Persons |  |  | Adult Interviews |  |  | Adult Self-comp. Q'res |  |  | Youth Q'res |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GPS | EMBS | Total | GPS | EMBS | Total | GPS | EMBS | Total | GPS | EMBS | Total | GPS | EMBS | Total |
| North East | 1,202 | 37 | 1,239 | 2,866 | 133 | 2,999 | 1,990 | 70 | 2,060 | 1,620 | 39 | 1,659 | 173 | 5 | 178 |
| North West | 3,020 | 253 | 3,273 | 7,248 | 920 | 8,168 | 4,975 | 431 | 5,406 | 4,227 | 287 | 4,514 | 433 | 57 | 490 |
| Yorks and Humber | 2,244 | 255 | 2,499 | 5,540 | 1,011 | 6,551 | 3,774 | 522 | 4,296 | 2,991 | 308 | 3,299 | 341 | 71 | 412 |
| East Midlands | 1,994 | 193 | 2,187 | 4,905 | 613 | 5,518 | 3,452 | 356 | 3,808 | 2,865 | 258 | 3,123 | 339 | 43 | 382 |
| West Midlands | 2,235 | 471 | 2,706 | 5,566 | 1,592 | 7,158 | 3,782 | 825 | 4,607 | 3,024 | 453 | 3,477 | 350 | 89 | 439 |
| East of England | 2,390 | 208 | 2,598 | 5,787 | 678 | 6,465 | 4,095 | 358 | 4,453 | 3,437 | 303 | 3,740 | 377 | 47 | 424 |
| London | 2,470 | 2,324 | 4,794 | 6,354 | 7,422 | 13,776 | 4,112 | 4,168 | 8,280 | 3,046 | 2,576 | 5,622 | 356 | 522 | 878 |
| South East | 3,393 | 224 | 3,617 | 8,404 | 657 | 9,061 | 5,786 | 395 | 6,181 | 4,842 | 288 | 5,130 | 553 | 35 | 588 |
| South West | 2,258 | 48 | 2,306 | 5,434 | 137 | 5,571 | 3,802 | 79 | 3,881 | 3,151 | 53 | 3,204 | 340 | 14 | 354 |
| England - total | 21,206 | 4,013 | 25,219 | 52,104 | 13,163 | 65,267 | 35,768 | 7,204 | 42,972 | 29,203 | 4,565 | 33,768 | 3,262 | 883 | 4,145 |
| Wales | 1,349 | 47 | 1,396 | 3,244 | 138 | 3,382 | 2,299 | 79 | 2,378 | 1,914 | 59 | 1,973 | 207 | 14 | 221 |
| Scotland | 2,242 | 20 | 2,262 | 5,249 | 60 | 5,309 | 3,519 | 37 | 3,556 | 3,034 | 32 | 3,066 | 314 | 7 | 321 |
| Northern Ireland | 1,292 | 0 | 1,292 | 3,351 | 0 | 3,351 | 2,088 | 0 | 2,088 | 1,706 | 0 | 1,706 | 212 | 0 | 212 |
| UK - total | 26,089 | 4,080 | 30,169 | 63,948 | 13,361 | 77,309 | 43,674 | 7,320 | 50,994 | 35,857 | 4,656 | 40,513 | 3,995 | 904 | 4,899 |

Table A2: Wave 2 Sample Sizes, Year 1 sample

|  | Responding Households |  |  |  | Enumerated Persons |  |  |  | Adult Interviews |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GPS | EMBS | BHPS | Total | GPS | EMBS | BHPS | Total | GPS | EMBS | BHPS | Total |
| North East | 500 | 7 | 170 | 677 | 1,201 | 27 | 402 | 1,630 | 855 | 17 | 291 | 1,163 |
| North West | 1,218 | 70 | 478 | 1,766 | 2,890 | 261 | 1,127 | 4,278 | 2,043 | 141 | 832 | 3,016 |
| Yorks and Humber | 852 | 75 | 352 | 1,279 | 2,115 | 260 | 904 | 3,279 | 1,467 | 155 | 658 | 2,280 |
| East Midlands | 860 | 62 | 340 | 1,262 | 2,035 | 206 | 873 | 3,114 | 1,517 | 114 | 633 | 2,264 |
| West Midlands | 879 | 133 | 292 | 1,304 | 2,202 | 442 | 756 | 3,400 | 1,544 | 245 | 548 | 2,337 |
| East of England | 975 | 72 | 370 | 1,417 | 2,349 | 257 | 939 | 3,545 | 1,716 | 131 | 681 | 2,528 |
| London | 848 | 646 | 272 | 1,766 | 2,166 | 2,122 | 668 | 4,956 | 1,437 | 1,272 | 473 | 3,182 |
| South East | 1,432 | 67 | 513 | 2,012 | 3,533 | 186 | 1,254 | 4,973 | 2,570 | 107 | 949 | 3,626 |
| South West | 1,000 | 19 | 366 | 1,385 | 2,358 | 56 | 894 | 3,308 | 1,747 | 35 | 656 | 2,438 |
| England - total | 8,564 | 1,151 | 3,153 | 12,868 | 20,849 | 3,817 | 7,817 | 32,483 | 14,896 | 2,217 | 5,721 | 22,834 |
| Wales | 552 | 11 | 1,153 | 1,716 | 1,311 | 31 | 2,838 | 4,180 | 957 | 18 | 2,094 | 3,069 |
| Scotland | 901 | 6 | 1,162 | 2,069 | 2,107 | 17 | 2,754 | 4,878 | 1,505 | 10 | 2,030 | 3,545 |
| Northern Ireland | 1,099 | 0 | 1,125 | 2,224 | 2,823 | 0 | 2,892 | 5,715 | 1,858 | 0 | 2,027 | 3,885 |
| Region unknown | 0 | 0 | 87 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 225 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 139 |
| UK - total | 11,116 | 1,168 | 6,680 | 18,964 | 27,090 | 3,865 | 16,526 | 47,481 | 19,216 | 2,245 | 12,011 | 33,472 |
| Projected w2 total | 21,675 | 2,650 | 6,680 | 31,005 | 52,825 | 8,775 | 16,526 | 78,126 | 37,470 | 5,100 | 12,011 | 54,581 |

[^5]Table A2, continued: Wave $\mathbf{2}$ Sample Sizes, Year 1 sample

|  | Adult Self-comp. Q'res |  |  |  | Youth Q'res |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | GPS | EMBS | BHPS | Total | GPS | EMBS | BHPS | Total |
| North East | 713 | 13 | 253 | 979 | 89 | 1 | 26 | 116 |
| North West | 1,732 | 118 | 735 | 2,585 | 163 | 29 | 62 | 254 |
| Yorks and Humber | 1,197 | 83 | 574 | 1,854 | 125 | 24 | 57 | 206 |
| East Midlands | 1,275 | 83 | 547 | 1,905 | 133 | 11 | 70 | 214 |
| West Midlands | 1,277 | 151 | 452 | 1,880 | 159 | 31 | 51 | 241 |
| East of England | 1,452 | 105 | 599 | 2,156 | 131 | 33 | 64 | 228 |
| London | 1,070 | 786 | 388 | 2,244 | 118 | 142 | 31 | 291 |
| South East | 2,208 | 81 | 835 | 3,124 | 214 | 14 | 58 | 286 |
| South West | 1,477 | 22 | 555 | 2,054 | 138 | 3 | 54 | 195 |
| England - total | 12,401 | 1,442 | 4,938 | 18,781 | 1,270 | 288 | 473 | 2,031 |
| Wales | 803 | 16 | 1,815 | 2,634 | 65 | 2 | 182 | 249 |
| Scotland | 1,278 | 8 | 1,773 | 3,059 | 132 | 1 | 200 | 333 |
| Northern Ireland | 1,466 | 0 | 1,693 | 3,159 | 167 | 0 | 243 | 410 |
| Region unknown | 0 | 0 | 116 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 |
| UK-total | 15,948 | 1,466 | 10,335 | 27,749 | 1,634 | 291 | 1,115 | 3,040 |
| Projected w2 total | 31,100 | 3,330 | 10,335 | 44,765 | 3,185 | 660 | 1,115 | 4,960 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The GPS and EMBS are divided into 24 monthly samples and interviewed over a 24 month period (e.g., in wave 1 fieldwork for these samples was from January 2009 to December 2010, with nonresponse follow-up continuing until April 2011). The BHPS sample which was incorporated into Understanding Society from wave 2 onwards is divided into 12 monthly samples and interviewed in the first 12 months of the survey period. Although there is a 24 month fieldwork period for each wave, each monthly sample is re-interviewed in the same month each year, so interviews for each household are carried out at one-year intervals.
    ${ }^{2}$ http://neighbourhood.statistics.gov.uk

[^1]:    3 Similar data are available from Scotland's census results online website (at http://www.scrol.gov.uk/scrol/common/home.jsp) and from the Northern Ireland Neighbourhood Information Service (http://www.ninis.nisra.gov.uk/). However, the available variables differ substantially in many respects. These data have been used in developing non-response adjustment weights for Understanding Society, by fitting separate models for each country, but they are not used in this paper.

[^2]:    Notes: Base is all respondents to the wave 2 individual interview, i.e. all persons who were eligible for the wave 2 self-completion questionnaire. Unlike for wave 1 (table 2 b ), it is not possible to compare respondents who gave a full individual interview with those who gave only a partial interview as the number of partial interviews at wave 2 was only 19 in the GPS and 3 in the EMBS.

[^3]:    Note: Base is all ex-BHPS sample members who were aged 16 or over at the time of BHPS wave 18 and were issued to the field at wave 2 of the UKHLS

[^4]:    Note: Base is all persons who gave a full individual interview at wave 18 of the BHPS and who were believed still to be eligible at the time of wave 2 of Understanding Society (i.e. alive and resident in the UK)

[^5]:    Notes: The year 1 sample includes the entire BHPS sample, half of the GPS sample, and about 44\% of the EMBS sample. Region is unknown for a number of BHPS sample cases at the time of producing this paper, as the wave 2 data were not yet fully cleaned.

