io Institucional de la Universidad de Ali

Proceeding

6th INSHS International Christmas Sport Scientific Conference, 11-14 December 2011. International Network of Sport and Health Science. Szombathely, Hungary

Does limited gear ratio driven higher training cadence in junior cycling reflect in maximum effort sprint?

INDREK RANNAMA 🖾 , KRISTJAN PORT, BORISS BAZANOV

Institute of health Sciences and Sport, Tallinn University, Estonia

ABSTRACT

Corresponding author. Institute of health Sciences and Sport, Tallinn University, Tondi 55. 11316 Tallinn. Estonia. E-mail: rannama@tlu.ee 6th INSHS International Christmas Sport Scientific Conference, 11-14 December 2011. International Network of Sport and Health Science. Szombathely, Hungary JOURNAL OF HUMAN SPORT & EXERCISE ISSN 1988-5202 © Faculty of Education. University of Alicante doi:10.4100/jhse.2012.7.Proc1.10

INTRODUCTION

In comparison with sports that utilise cyclic leg motion like running, bicycle gears provide flexibility to vary the speed of leg motion (rate at which a cyclist is turning the pedals or the number of revolutions of the crankset per minute, i.e. cadence) in comparison to overall speed of forward motion relative to ground. It is well known that mechanical power output in cycling depends on pedalling rate. Cyclists vary in a preferred cadence at which they feel most comfortable, hinting on individual balance between sensations and merging of diverse functional abilities like strength, endurance and coordination of individual muscles etc. Research in cycling has had a long time interest in search for optimum cadence that provides a rationale both for training and competing.

Evidence based (optimal energy utilisation, motor activation and efficiency of force transfer to pedals) recommendation postulates that for a well-trained athlete cadence at low to moderate power output should be between 90 and 105 rpm (Faria et al., 1982; Marsh & Martin, 1993; Neptune & Hull, 1999). With increasing power output the cadence shifts upwards (Coast & Welch, 1985; Neptune & Hull, 1999). The maximal peak power output during 10 s sprints have higher values in pedaling rates 100 rpm and 120 rpm and is lower in cadences 60, 80 and 140 rpm (Zoladz et al., 2000). Van Soest and Casius (2000) find in musculoskeletal modelling that best cadence to achieve short time maximum power is near 120 rpm.

Evidence shows that during trek competitions world top sprinters attain maximum power output at pedalling rate near 129 ± 9 rpm (Dorel et al., 2005; Gardner et al., 2007). We have previously measured that during professional road cycling events with large group finish mainly dominated by sprinters the average of the complete finishing effort cadence was 109.9 ± 5.3 rpm and average of the highest cadence was 117.6 ± 6.1 rpm. Small group finishing that consists mainly of non-sprinters corresponding cadences were 95.9 ± 6.4 rpm and 101.0 ± 7.4 rpm (unpublished data).

However, it is speculated that the optimal pedalling rate is not uniquely specified by the power-velocity relationship of muscle (Van Soest & Casius, 2000). Hintzy et al. (1999) reported a positive relationship (r = 0.75) between the energetically optimal cadence and the cadence at which short-term power output was maximized. It is suggested that activation dynamics plays a large role in determining the optimal pedalling rate. One can see, that cadence at highest power output may also depend on muscle composition defined as a relative share of fast and slow twitch fibres (Ahlguist et al., 1992). Authors showed that the force demands of a task, rather than the velocity of contraction, determines the type of muscle fibres recruited. Cyclists who have higher ratio of Type-I, slow twitch fibres use at low to moderate power output cadence that is only slightly below cadence they show at their maximal power output effort. Athletes with lower relative share of Type-I fibres, demonstrated substantial divergence from cadence at low to moderate power output toward maximal effort. It has also been shown that share of slow twitch fibres in muscle composition to be in negative (r=-0.81) correlation with cadence at maximum power output effort (Hansen et al., 2002). This leads to the findings of Umberger et al. (2006) who declare that the fundamental muscle properties determining the energetic of pedaling, rather than experience or training history. In contrast, Coast and Welch (1985) have suggested that during training cyclists adapt to become more efficient at pedaling, often with the adoption of a relatively high cadence.

According to the various national cycling and Union Cycliste Internationale (UCI) rules the maximum gears for youth category riders are limited defined as the amount the bike moves forward for every crank revolution known as gear roll out. As a result, youth category riders are regularly compelled to ride in a high cadence regime well above 100 rpm. At this point it is not known if the high cadence training and competing

reflects in the power generation at the finishing sprint in youth category riders. More precisely the question is, if habituated work at high cadence reflects in effectual cadence at the point of maximal power generation during the 10 second sprint effort.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study participants were 12 national junior (U18) and 12 youth (U16) male Estonian road cycling team members. All cyclists went through anthropometrical measurements. The subjects characteristics were as follows (mean \pm SD): age 16.6 \pm 1.1 years, height 1.78 \pm 0.07m, and mass 67.7 \pm 8.7 kg. All cyclists had at least 2 years cycling training and competition experience.

Experimental tests were performed on electrically braked research grade cycling ergometer platform Cyclus 2 (www.cyclus2.de) that allows usage of athlete's bike with individually adjusted and familiar fitting for best match with real life. After 20 minute warm-up three separate bouts of sprint efforts with 4 minute rest periods in isokinetic mode were conducted. Four minute rest is shown to be sufficient for recovery (Billaut et al., 2003). In isokinetic mode system varies applied force to keep the muscle contraction speed constant. For the testing three target cadences were set of 100 rpm, 120rpm and 140 rpm accordingly, the ergometer allows little variance (±3 rpm) in pre set cadence. All tests were conducted in sitting position hands gripping on the drops.

From each cadence level test the absolute and relative (power per kg of body weight) maximal peak and 10 seconds average power were detected. Also actual peak and 10 seconds average cadence of all subjects were registered. Mean values were computed for all cyclist's group (N=24) and for U16 (N=12) and U18 (N=12) groups separately.

Data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). All the data was tested for their normal distribution (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). A Student's t-test for paired data was applied to compare maximal peak power and 10 second average power values of different cadence tests. One-way ANOVA was used to assess the differences of power and effectual cadence values between age groups. Relationships between cadence and power variables were examined by Pearson product-moment correlation. Significance level was set at p<0.05.

RESULTS

Junior and youth national team cyclist's average maximal peak power during all three tests (best attained power) was $999.2\pm231.6W$ and 10 second average was $868.0\pm181.3W$. Per kg of body weight the best attained power was 14.4 ± 2.4 and $12.8\pm1.8W/kg$ correspondingly. Comparison of the power at three different cadences revealed that at cadences of 100 rpm (peak $958.5\pm195.0W$; 10 sec $840\pm166.7W$) and 120 rpm (peak $980\pm252.2W$; $848.9\pm197.5W$) did not reach statistical significant power output differences. However, the 140 rpm showed statistically significantly (p<0.05) reduced power output (peak $896.3\pm216.2W$; 10 sec $784.4\pm186.8W$) compared to 100rpm and 120rpm tests. The groups average highest power output was achieved at cadences of 113.9 ± 10.6 rpm and highest 10 second power output at 111.7 ± 11.9 rpm. 7 cyclists achieved their best peak (and 9 best 10 seconds average) power in cadence 100 rpm, 16 (13) in cadence 120 rpm and only 1 (2) in cadence 140 rpm.

Comparison of U16 and U18 groups revealed statistically significant higher 10 second average power output in older group ($944\pm184.8W$ versus $791\pm147.1W$ in M18 and M16 correspondingly, p<0.05) as well as average cadence for generating above power output ($117.1\pm8.3rpm$ versus $106.3\pm12.8rpm$ in U18 and U16 correspondingly, p<0.05). For peak power the values and corresponding cadence did not demonstrate statistically significant differences ($1089.6\pm227.7W$ versus $908.8\pm206W$ and for cadence 117.1 ± 10.3 rpm versus 110.8 ± 10.3 rpm for U18 and U16 correspondingly).

In U18 group was a mean peak and 10 seconds average power values of cadence 120 rpm significantly higher than 100 and 140 rpm corresponding values. In U16 group was no significant differences between 100 rpm and 120 rpm power values but the 140 rpm results showed statistically significantly (p<0.05) reduced power output (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Comparison of power results in different cadences between U16 and U18 groups (* -significant (p<0,05) difference with 140 rpm values, ** - significant (p<0,05) difference with 120 rpm values).

The age of cyclists and most effectual cadences to achieve peak (r=0.46) and 10 seconds average (r=0.49) power were moderately correlated (p<0.05). The subjects best power results were also positively (r=0.55) correlated with the effectual cadence values (p<0.01).

DISCUSSION

Junior and youth national team road cyclists demonstrated effectual cadence at the point of maximal power generation (group average 113.9±10.6 rpm) that is similar to the values of professional road cyclist during the last 200m sprinting to finish where 10 second average cadence is 109.9±5.3 rpm and the highest average cadence is 117.6±6.1 rpm. The group average results of effectual cadence are lower than 120 rpm that suggest van Soest and Casius (2000) for maximizing short time power, but most of (16) young cyclist achieve their best power in cadence 120 rpm. Our findings are similar to Zoladz et al. (2000) results – they found that healthy physically active males have higher 10 s sprint power values in pedaling rates 100 rpm and 120 rpm.

Due to allowed gear choice the U16 class cyclists must exercise and compete with much higher cadence than U18 or adult class cyclists. Paradoxically younger cyclists (U16) had tendency to have lower effectual cadence than in older (U18) cyclists (average cadence for generating best 10 seconds power output were 106.3 ± 12.8 rpm versus 117.1 ± 8.3 rpm correspondingly and correlation's between age and effectual cadence were r=0.46 (peak power) and r=0.49 (10seconds average power), p<0.05). It appears that effectual cadence depends more on maturation and physiological condition (strong positive correlation between effectual cadence and maximal power value, r=0.55, p<0.01), than a regular cycling with higher cadence. These results confirm assumption that a fundamental muscle properties are determining the energetic of pedaling more than experience or training history (Umberger et al., 2006; Hansen et al., 2002; Hintzy et al., 1999).

CONCLUSIONS

The premise that regular work at high cadence regime due to limited transmission in youth category riders reflects in effectual cadence at the point of maximal power generation during the 10 second sprint effort was not corroborated.

REFERENCES

- 1. AHLQUIST LE, BASSETT DR JR, SUFIT R, NAGLE FJ, THOMAS DP. The effect of pedaling frequency on glycogen depletion rates in type I and type II quadriceps muscle fibers during submaximal cycling exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol.* 1992; 65(4):360-364.
- 2. COAST JR & WELCH HG. Linear increase in optimal pedal rate with increased power output in cycle ergometry. *Eur J Appl Physiol Occup Physiol.* 1985; 53(4):339-342.
- DORELI S, HAUTIER CA, RAMBAUD O, ROUFFET D, VAN PRAAGH E, LACOUR JR, BOURDIN M. Torque and power-velocity relationships in cycling: relevance to track sprint performance in world-class cyclists. *Int J Sports Med*. 2005; 26(9):739-746.
- 4. FARIA I, SJOJAARD G, BONDE-PETERSEN F. Oxygen cost during different pedalling speeds for constant power output. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*. 1982; 22(3):295-299.
- 5. GARDNER AS, MARTIN JC, MARTIN DT, BARRAS M, JENKINS DG. Maximal torque- and powerpedaling rate relationships for elite sprint cyclists in laboratory and field tests. *Eur J Appl Physiol.* 2007; 101(3):287-292.
- HANSEN EA, ANDERSEN JL, NIELSEN JS, SJØGAARD G. Muscle fibre type, efficiency and mechanical optima affect freely chosen pedal rate during cycling. *Acta Physiol Scand*. 2002; 176(3):185 194.

- 7. HINTZY F, BELLI A, GRAPPE F, ROUILLON JD. Optimal pedalling velocity characteristics during maximal and submaximal cycling in humans. *Eur J Appl Physiol*. 1999; 79:426-432.
- 8. MARSH AP & MARTIN EP. The association between cycling experience and preferred and most economical cadences. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 1993; 25(11):1269-1274.
- 9. NERPTUNE RR, HULL ML. A theoretical analysis of preferred pedaling rate selection in endurance cycling. *J Biomech.* 1999; 32(4):409-415.
- 10. UMBERGER BR, GERRITSEN KG, MARTIN PE. Muscle fiber type effects on energetically optimal cadences in cycling. *J Biomech*. 2006; 39(8):1472-9.
- 11. VAN SOEST O, CASIUS LJ. Which factors determine the optimal pedaling rate in sprint cycling? *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2000; 32(11):1927-1934.
- 12. ZOLADZ JA, RADEMAKER AC, SARGEANT AJ. Human muscle power generating capability during cycling at different pedalling rates. *Exp Physiol*. 2000; 85(1):117-24.