

Ecosistemas 19 (2): 161-164. Mayo 2010. http://www.revistaecosistemas.net/articulo.asp?Id=642

TESIS Y PROYECTOS



The sensitivity of plant interactions and species distribution in alpine snowbeds to climate change

C. Schöb¹

(1) Estación Experimental de Zonas Áridas, CSIC, Carretera de Sacramento s/n, E-04120 La Cañada de San Urbano (Almeria), Spain.

Recibido el 31 de marzo de 2010, aceptado el 9 de abril de 2010.

Schöb, C. (2010). The sensitivity of plant interactions and species distribution in alpine snowbeds to climate change. *Ecosistemas* 19(2):000-000.

Alpine communities are especially susceptible to environmental changes (e.g. Theurillat and Guisan, 2001; Walker et al. 2006) and, therefore, they will probably show a considerable response to global climate warming (Guisan and Theurillat, 2000; Thuiller et al., 2005). Among alpine plant communities, snowbeds are regarded as particularly sensitive indicators of climate change (Björk and Molau, 2007). They are characterised by a long-lasting snow cover which is expected to shorten in the alpine zone due to climate change (Beniston et al., 2003). Therefore, changes in species composition in snowbeds can be expected. In my thesis the main question was: How will species composition and species number in alpine snowbeds change under new climatic conditions?

First, small-scale vascular plant species distribution along snowmelt and soil temperature gradients was studied in snowbeds in the Swiss Alps (Gemmi Pass, 2400 m a.s.l., **Fig. 1**). The results revealed a significant increase in species richness with earlier snowmelt and higher temperature (**Fig. 2**). The pattern of species occurrence along the snowmelt gradient allowed for a categorisation of species with predictions of their future distribution. Five different groups of species could be distinguished (Schöb et al., 2009): dominant, indifferent, and occasional species with very high, intermediate, and low frequency and abundance along the whole snowmelt gradient, respectively; further a group of subordinate snowbed species with a frequent distribution in late melting sites, and a group of grassland species avoiding late melting sites (**Fig. 2**). Therefore, the subordinate snowbed species were considered losers under climate change due to the probable loss of their habitat, whereas grassland species were considered profiteers due to their invasion of snowbeds.



Figure 1. A. Study site Lämmerenplatten, Leukerbad (VS), Switzerland. Thirty snowbeds were situated in an area of about 0.3 km² at 2400 m a.s.l. *B.* Snowbed communities were dominated by Salix herbacea, Alchemilla pentaphyllea and Gnaphalium supinum.



Figure 2. Number of species and relative species cover along the snowmelt and temperature gradients for all species Tot ($- \blacktriangle -$, right y-axis) and for two species groups (left y-axis): subordinate snowbed species S ($- \circ -$) and grassland species G ($- \circ - + - \circ -$). Error bars indicate ± 1 SD for each snowmelt date. * P<0.05, ** P<0.01, *** P<0.001. n for snowmelt date 153 (02.06.2003) = 6, 160 (09.06.2003) = 20, 167 (16.06.2003) = 18, 174 (23.06.2003) = 8. n for $T_{max} = 31$.

Since successful invasion of grassland species into snowbeds will depend on the availability of susceptible microhabitats and plant-plant interactions, small-scale spatial patterns were analysed. It was hypothesised that selective colonisation of microhabitats as well as important plant-plant interactions will result in non-random spatial distribution of species at the very local scale. In general, aggregation was found within subordinate snowbed species and within grassland species, respectively, and segregation between the two groups (Schöb et al., 2008). From the results obtained, a probable existence of microhabitats with different susceptibility to invasion and a competition-based segregation of grassland and snowbed species could be derived. The conclusion was that the inability to cope with very short growing seasons restricted grassland species to the earlier melting sites. However, snowbed species seemed to be pushed back to the later-melting sites through competitive exclusion by grassland species under milder growing conditions.

Through neighbour removal experiments, the effects of plant-plant interactions on plant growth and survival were revealed for species with different distributional optima in snowbeds (**Fig. 3**). Plants growing without neighbours showed increased biomass and fruiting compared to the control individuals (**Fig. 4**). Therefore, in this alpine habitat with favourable growing conditions, apart from a short growing season, competition for resources generally prevailed (Schöb et al., in press). However, simultaneously occurring facilitation due to protection against herbivores resulted in a lower survival probability in removal plots (**Fig. 4**). Furthermore, neighbour effects were neither species-specific nor did they change along the snowmelt gradient. Therefore, competition for resources in snowbeds was generally counterbalanced by facilitative interactions against herbivores, leading the weak net effects for all species and snowmelt dates.



Figure 3. Neighbour removal treatment for Cardamine alpina, a subordinate snowbed species. **A.** Control: Individual growing with neighbours. **B.** Removal: Individual growing without neighbours. For the removal treatment all aboveground biomass in a radius of 5 cm around the target individual was mechanically removed.



Figure 4. The effects of neighbour removal on aboveground biomass, fruiting and survival rate for grassland species and snowbed species, respectively. Displayed are estimated means ± 1 SE of the linear mixed model (biomass) and generalised linear mixed models (fruiting and survival). Species: Lm = Ligusticum mutellina, Pv = Polygonum viviparum, Ca = Cardamine alpina, Va = Veronica alpina (dominant and indifferent species not shown). n for biomass = 382 (dead plants were omitted). n for fruiting = 788 (dead plants were omitted). n for survival = 960.

As long as plant interactions of different sign are cancelling each other out, changes in abiotic conditions will most probably be the main driver for changes in species composition in alpine snowbeds. Since growing conditions in snowbeds will improve under future climate scenarios, an invasion of more competitive grassland species can be expected. This will induce a considerable change in species composition in snowbeds which may further lead to local extinction of subordinate snowbed species and could even globally threaten those restricted to snowbed habitats in South-European mountains. Successful predictions based on models considering only abiotic conditions could be misleading if the balance between positive and negative species interactions change due to environmental conditions (Schöb et al., in press).

References

Beniston, M., Keller, F., Koffi, B., Goyette, S. 2003. Estimates of snow accumulation and volume in the Swiss Alps under changing climatic conditions. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology* 76:125-140.

Björk, R.G., Molau, U. 2007. Ecology of alpine snowbeds and the impact of global change. Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 39:34-43.

Guisan, A., Theurillat, J.-P. 2000. Assessing alpine plant vulnerability to climate change: a modeling perspective. *Integrated* Assessment 1:307-320.

Schöb, C., Kammer, P.M., Kikvidze, Z., Choler, P., Veit, H. 2008. Changes in species composition in alpine snowbeds with climate change inferred from small-scale spatial patterns. *Web Ecology* 8:142-159.

Schöb, C., Kammer, P.M., Choler, P., Veit, H. 2009. Small scale vascular plant species distribution in snowbeds and its sensitivity to climate change. *Plant Ecology* 200:91-104.

Schöb, C., Kammer, P.M., Kikvidze, Z., Choler, P., von Felten, S., Veit, H. (in press) Counterbalancing effects of competition for resources and facilitation against grazing in alpine snowbed communities. *Oikos* 00:000-000 (DOI: 10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18288.x).

Theurillat., J.-P., Guisan, A. 2001. Potential Impact of climate change on vegetation in the European Alps: a review. *Climatic Change* 50:77-109.

Thuiller, W., Lavorel, S., Araújo, M.B., Sykes, M.T., Prentice, I.C. 2005. Climate change threats to plant diversity in Europe. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102:8245-8250.

Walker, M.D., Wahren, C.H., Hollister, R.D., Henry, G.H.R., Ahlquist, L.E., Alatalo, J.M., Bret-Harte, M.S., Calef, M.P., Callaghan, T.V., Carroll, A.B., Epstein, H.E., Jónsdóttir, I.S., Klein, J.A., Magnússon, B., Molau, U., Oberbauer, S.F., Rewa, S.P., Robinson, C.H., Shaver, G.R., Suding, K.N., Thompson, C.C., Tolvanen, A., Totland, Ø., Turner, P.L., Tweedie, C.E., Webber, P.J., Wookey, P.A. 2006. Plant community responses to experimental warming across the tundra biome. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 103:1342-1346.

CHRISTIAN SCHÖB

The sensitivity of plant interactions and species distribution in alpine snowbeds to climate change.

PhD thesis Institute of Geography, University of Bern, Switzerland December 2008 Supervision: Prof. Dr. Heinz Veit, Dr. Peter Kammer, Dr. Philippe Choler

Publications resulting from the thesis:

Schöb, C., Kammer, P.M., Kikvidze, Z., Choler, P., Veit, H. 2008. Changes in species composition in alpine snowbeds with climate change inferred from small-scale spatial patterns. *Web Ecology* 8:142-159.

Schöb, C., Kammer, P.M., Choler, P., Veit, H. 2009. Small scale vascular plant species distribution in snowbeds and its sensitivity to climate change. *Plant Ecology* 200:91-104.

Schöb, C., Kammer, P.M., Kikvidze, Z., Choler, P., von Felten, S., Veit, H. (in press). Counterbalancing effects of competition for resources and facilitation against grazing in alpine snowbed communities. *Oikos* 00:000-000. (doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18288.x).