J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis xxx (2008) xxx-xxx



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jaap



Kinetic study of the pyrolysis and combustion of tomato plant

R. Font, J. Moltó, A. Gálvez*, M.D. Rey

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of Alicante, P.O. Box 99, E-03080 Alicante, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 June 2008 Accepted 21 November 2008 Available online xxx

Keywords: Pyrolysis Combustion Tomato plant Kinetics

ABSTRACT

A kinetic study of the thermal decomposition of tomato plant has been carried out under different conditions by TG and TG–MS. A total of 24 experiments were performed in a nitrogen atmosphere (pyrolysis runs) and also in an oxidative atmosphere with two different oxygen concentrations (10% and 20% oxygen in nitrogen). Dynamic runs and dynamic + isothermal runs have been carried out to obtain many data of decomposition under different operating conditions.

A scheme of five independent reactions for pseudocomponents has been proposed for the pyrolysis process, although only three fractions have probed to be significant, comparing the weight fractions of volatiles evolved with the hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin content of the plant. For the combustion runs, four new reactions have been added: two competitive oxidation reactions for the cellulose and lignin, and two combustion reactions of the carbonaceous residue obtained from pyrolysis. The kinetic parametres have been calculated by integration of the differential equations and minimizing the differences between the experimental and calculated values. It is important to emphasize that the same set of parameters has been proposed for the pyrolysis and combustion runs, and which do not depend on either the heating rate in dynamic runs or whether the run is carried out in a dynamic or isothermal mode. The influence of the oxygen pressure has been also discussed.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Tomato plant is an agricultural waste that is normally decomposed and burnt on the field. Burning can be a problem due to the generation of pollutants that can be introduced in the feeding chain through the new plants, so logically recollection of these wastes and the exploitation of their energetic potential can be interesting.

Gonzalez et al. [1,2] studied the combustion of three types of pellets, one of them from tomato residue in boilers for domestic use. Mangut et al. [3] carried out a thermogravimetric study of the thermal decomposition of residues from the tomato processing industry: peels, seeds and peels + seeds. They considered 13 fractions, obtaining for each one of them the corresponding kinetic parameters of the thermal decomposition. Müller-Hagedorn and Bockhorn [4] studied the behaviour of two types of maize plants in low temperature pyrolysis, considering the kinetic parameters of the maize plant and those obtained from the hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin. No references have been found in literature concerning the pyrolysis and combustion of tomato plants and the references to other plants are scarce, so the contribution of this paper can be important.

2. Experimental

2.1. Raw material

The sample employed in this study was tomato plant obtained from the Alicante area (Spain). The material was milled to an average size of 1 mm. Table 1 presents the elemental and moisture analysis of the material studied. The elemental analysis of the main components was carried out in a PerkinElmer 2400 and the ash residue was obtained by calcination at 850 °C. The moisture content was determined by the weight loss at 105 °C for 12 h. Chlorine was measured (semiquantitative determination) using an automatic sequential spectrometer X-ray Fluorescence model TW 1480. Solubilized-ethanol, hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin contents were also determined for this materials [5-8]. The samples used for the TG runs were dried and kept under 4° C in a freezer. Previously to the runs, the samples were in contact with atmospheric air, so they may have taken some humidity from the environment, making the value different.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 96 590 3546; fax: +34 96 590 3826. *E-mail address*: ara.galvez@ua.es (A. Gálvez).

^{0165-2370/\$ -} see front matter \circledcirc 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jaap.2008.11.026

2

ARTICLE IN PRESS

R. Font et al./J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

Moisture (wt.%)	16.0
Composition on dry basis	
C (wt.%)	38.
H (wt.%)	5.2
N (wt.%)	2.7
S (wt.%)	1.
Ash content (wt.%)	11.
0 % by difference (wt.%)	41.
Cl (wt.%)	8.9
Ethanol extract (wt.%)	5.
Hemicellulose (wt.%)	28.
Cellulose (wt.%)	39.
Lignin (wt.%)	12.

2.2. Thermobalance

A Mettler Toledo thermobalance model TGA/SDTA851e/LF/ 1600 with TG–DTA was used to carry out the TG experiments. This apparatus has a horizontal furnace and a parallel-guided balance. The position of the sample has no influence in the measurement, and flow gas perturbation and thermal buoyancy are minimized. The sample temperature was measured with a sensor placed just under the sample holder.

For the pyrolysis runs, the atmosphere used was N₂. Two atmospheres were used in the presence of oxygen: N₂:O₂ 4:1 (20% O_2) and N₂:O₂ 9:1 (10% O_2). The flow rate was 100 ml min⁻¹.

Dynamic experiments were carried out at different heating rates between 5 and 60 K min⁻¹, from ambient temperature to a final temperature higher than 1000 K, covering in this way an extensive range of decomposition. Dynamic + isothermal experiments started with a constant heating rate until the set temperature was obtained, and then the final temperature was maintained constant throughout the pyrolysis or combustion process for a long period of time. The final temperatures for the isothermal + dynamic runs were selected inside an extensive interval of temperatures, considering those where the decomposition rates in dynamic runs were high. The weight of sample used was around 5 mg, and under these conditions, it was tested with many different materials with strongly endo or exothermic decomposition processes that the heat transfer effect was very small.

An experiment with a heating rate of 5 K min⁻¹ using Avicel PH-105 microcrystalline cellulose was carried out additionally. The kinetic values obtained showed good agreement with the results presented by Grønli et al. [9] in their round-robin study of cellulose pyrolysis kinetics by thermogravimetry. This experiment was used to check the good performance of the thermobalance.

The TG/MS runs were carried out in the same thermobalance previously indicated coupled to a Thermostar GSD301T Pfeiffer Vacuum MS apparatus with the following operating conditions: weight sample around 10 mg, heating rate 30 K min⁻¹, ionization 70 eV, SIR (selected ion recording) detection of several ions (*m*/*z*): 4, 13–18, 25–32, 35–46 and the following ions in another run: 4, 32, 43–46, 50–52, 55–58, 60, 65, 68, 73, 78, 91, 96, 105, 106. In the TG/MS experiments, the gases employed were He and He:O₂ (4:1) It was tested that the very small oxygen concentration in the helium used can cause a small oxidation of the sample and/or the volatiles evolved. The response of the different ions was divided by that of helium (*m*/*z* = 4) and substracted from that corresponding to the beginning of the run.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Experimental results

Fig. 1 shows the TG plots for tomato plant pyrolysis (nitrogen) at heating rates of 5, 10, 20 and 60 K min⁻¹. In the Figure, the



Fig. 1. Tomato plant pyrolysis at 5, 10, 20 and 60 K min⁻¹. Experimental curves (the calculated curves overlap the experimental ones).

weight fraction represents the residual weight fraction of the solid, sum of the residue formed and the non-reacted initial solid. For a run, the DTA results are also plotted on the right Y-axis in a logical scale, from -10 K for a strongly endothermic process to +10 K corresponding to a strongly exothermic process. It can be observed that there are small variations of temperature. The high percentage of inorganic material in the sample can make the variation due to the vaporization of the humidity be small.

Fig. 2 shows the TG and DTG curves for a run which provide more information about the fractions that can be taken into account in the decomposition. It can be observed that there is an initial small peak, a wide band as a result of the overlapping of at least three fractions and finally another small peak.

Fig. 3 shows the intensities of some ions in a TG/MS run in He, corresponding to $H_2O(m/z \ 18)$, $CO_2(m/z \ 44)$, $CO(m/z \ 28)$ and $CH_4(m/z \ 15)$, corresponding to CH_3+ ; the evolution of the ions 12, 13, 15 and 16 are similar). The variation of the weight loss can also be observed. For the water, there is an initial peak, due to the



Fig. 2. Weight fraction and its derivative from a pyrolysis run.

R. Font et al./J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

Tomato plant. He



Fig. 3. Variation of ions (m/z) 15 (CH₄), 18 (H₂O), 28 (CO) and 44 (CO₂) in a pyrolysis TG–MS run (He atmosphere).

humidity of the sample, and then the overlapping of different fractions in a wide band is observed.

Five dynamic + isothermal experiments were carried out for the pyrolysis of the sample. These experiments started with a heating rate until the desired temperature was reached, and then the final temperature was maintained constant throughout the pyrolysis process. Fig. 4 shows the experimental values for these five runs.

Fig. 5 shows the experimental TG plots of combustion runs $(N_2:O_2 4:1)$ at three heating rates (5, 10, 20 K min⁻¹). The ΔT corresponding to the DTA analysis is also plotted and an initial small endothermic peak (evaporation of the humidity) is observed, afterwards, a small exothermic peak appears due to oxidative pyrolysis, and later, there is a significant exothermic process in the last part of the decomposition due to the combustion of char or carbonaceous residue.



Fig. 4. Dynamic + isothermal runs in N_2 atmosphere. Experimental and calculated curves.



Fig. 5. Tomato plant combustion at 5, 10 and 20 K min⁻¹. Experimental curves (the calculated curves overlap the experimental ones).

Fig. 6 shows the weight fraction and its derivative for a dynamic run of combustion, indicating that there is an initial small evolution of volatiles (humidity), three subsequent fractions and two final small fractions at the last part.

The variation of the ion intensities of a combustion dynamic TG/ MS run (in He:O₂ 4:1) is plotted vs. time in Fig. 7, indicating the overlapping of at least three fractions in the central part of the decomposition process. Fig. 8 shows the experimental results of six dynamic + isothermal runs, obtained with different operating conditions (N₂:O₂ 4:1). Whereas, Figs. 9 and 10 show the experimental results of the dynamic and dynamic + isothermal runs in a 9:1 N₂:O₂ atmosphere.

According to the results of the TG, DTG and TG/MS analysis, in order to obtain good correlation models, five fractions in the pyrolysis runs (humidity and three fractions probably corresponding to hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin together with a final small fraction) must be considered. Regarding the combustion runs, another small fraction that decomposes at high temperature has also been taken into account.



Fig. 6. Weight fraction and its derivative from a combustion run.

4

R. Font et al./J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis xxx (2008) xxx-xxx



Fig. 7. Variation of ions 15 (CH₄), 18 (H₂O), 28 (CO) and 44 (CO₂) in a combustion TG-MS run (He:O2 atmosphere).



Fig. 8. Dynamic + isothermal runs in N2:O2 4:1 atmosphere. Experimental and calculated curves.



Fig. 9. Tomato plant oxidative pyrolysis (N2:O2 9:1) at 5, 10 and 20 K min⁻¹. Experimental curves (the calculated curves overlap the experimental ones).



Fig. 10. Dynamic + isothermal runs in a N2:O2 9:1 atmosphere. Experimental and calculated runs.

3.2. Pyrolysis model

Five fractions have been considered in the kinetic model proposed in pyrolysis:

$$wSolid_1 \xrightarrow{1} v_{1\infty} Volatiles_1$$
 (P1)

$$wSolid_2 \xrightarrow{2} v_{2\infty} Volatiles_2$$
 (P2)

$$wSolid_{3} \xrightarrow{3} (w_{s_{30}} - v_{3\infty})Char_{3} + v_{3\infty}Volatiles_{3}$$
(P3)

$$wSolid_4 \xrightarrow{4} (w_{s_{40}} - v_{4\infty})Char_4 + v_{4\infty}Volatiles_4$$
(P4)

$$wSolid_5 \xrightarrow{5} (w_{s_{50}} - v_{5\infty})Char_5 + v_{5\infty}Volatiles_5$$
(P5)

In the previous scheme and for reactions 1 and 2, it has been considered that there is no formation of residues because these reactions are associated with the humidity and volatiles (fraction 1) and with hemicellulose and other volatiles (fraction 2). In this scheme, this consideration does not affect the evolution of volatiles, but for the combustion scheme, the consideration of formation of char or carbonaceous residue that finally is burnt does not improve the correlation of the data.

In the previous reactions, 'Solid₁', 'Solid₂',...'Solid₅' refer to the different fractions of the raw material, 'Volatiles_i' are the gases + volatiles evolved in the corresponding reactions (i = 1-5), and 'Char_i' is the char or carbonaceous residue formed in the decomposition of each Solid_{*i*} (i = 3-5). On the other hand, the small letters represent the yield coefficients representative of each reaction and consequently. The yield coefficient represents the maximum weight fractions obtainable by each reaction. In this way, $v_{i\infty}$ is the yield coefficient for the Volatiles_i, which coincides with the maximum weight fraction of volatiles at infinite time that can be evolved.

The conversion degree for each reaction is defined as the ratio between the weight fraction of solid reacted at any time $(w_{i0} - w_i)$ and the corresponding initial fraction of this component w_{i0} , or the ratio between the weight fraction of volatiles obtained at any time

Please cite this article in press as: R. Font, et al., J. Anal. Appl. Pyrol. (2008), doi:10.1016/j.jaap.2008.11.026

R. Font et al./J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

 (V_i) and the corresponding yield coefficient or the:

$$\alpha_i = \frac{w - w}{w} = \frac{V_i}{v_{i\infty}} \quad i = 1 \text{ to } 5 \tag{1}$$

where w_{i0} is the weight fraction of each Solid_i that is in the original material, and consequently

$$\sum_{i=0}^{5} w = 1$$
 (2)

From the weight balance between products and reactants and the conversion degrees, the kinetic equations for the pyrolysis runs can be expressed as follow:

$$-\frac{d\left(\frac{w}{w}\right)}{dt} = \frac{d\left(\frac{v_i}{v_{i\infty}}\right)}{dt} = \frac{d\alpha_i}{dt} = k_i \left(\frac{w_i}{w}\right)^{n_i} = k_i (1-\alpha_i)^{n_i}$$
$$= k_i \left[1 - \frac{V_i}{v_{i\infty}}\right]^{n_i}$$
(3)

with the kinetic constants following the Arrhenius equation:

$$k_i = k_{i0} \exp\left(-\frac{E_i}{\mathrm{RT}}\right)$$
 $i = 1$ to 5 (4)

By integration of these equations, it is possible to calculate α_1 to α_5 at each time if the temperature program is known. The relationship between α_i values and the weight fraction measured in the thermobalance (*w*) is related with the volatiles obtained (*V*) by

$$w = 1 - V$$

= 1 - F_V($v_{1\infty}\alpha_1 + v_{2\infty}\alpha_2 + v_{3\infty}\alpha_3 + v_{4\infty}\alpha_4 + v_{5\infty}\alpha_5$) (5)

Note that in the correlation of the TG data only the weight of volatiles evolved can be obtained and the residue content is the difference between the unity and the sum of the weight fractions corresponding to the volatiles evolved at infinite time. In addition, a correcting factor F_v has been introduced in Eq. (5), due to the fact that the samples introduced can have a light but significantly different content of inert material (dust, humus, etc.). In all the cases the F_V values are between 0.95 and 1.05. Although an effort was done to obtain homogeneous sample, small differences in the ash contents were obtained. Note that the mixing of an inorganic material with the organic fraction of the tomato plants may not be perfect.

3.3. Combustion model

The combustion model proposed to explain the thermal decomposition of the material is shown in the following scheme: Pyrolysis reactions:

$$wSolid_1 \xrightarrow{1} v_{1\infty} Volatiles_1$$
 (R1)

$$wSolid_2 \xrightarrow{2} v_{2\infty} Volatiles_2$$
 (R2)

$$wSolid_{3} \xrightarrow{3} (w - v_{3\infty})Char_{3} + v_{3\infty}Volatiles_{3}$$
(R3)

$$wSolid_4 \xrightarrow{4} (w - v_{4\infty})Char_4 + v_{4\infty}Volatiles_4$$
(R4)

Combustion of char 3 and char 4:

$$(w - v_{3\infty})Char_{3} + O_{2} \xrightarrow{3c} v_{3c\infty} Volatiles_{3c} + (w - v_{3\infty})$$

$$- v_{3c\infty})Ash_{3c} \qquad (3c)$$

$$(w - v_{4\infty})Char_{4} + O_{2} \xrightarrow{4c} v_{4c\infty} Volatiles_{4c} + (w - v_{4\infty})$$

$$- v_{4c\infty})Ash_{4c} \qquad (4c)$$

Competitive oxidative pyrolysis reactions for Solid₃ and Solid₄ without formation of char:

$$wSolid_{3} + O_{2} \xrightarrow{3cp} v_{3cp\infty} Volatiles_{3cp} + (w - v_{3cp\infty})Ash_{3cp}$$
(3cp)

$$wSolid_4 + O_2 \xrightarrow{4cp} v_{4cp\infty} Volatiles_{4cp} + (w - v_{4cp\infty})Ash_{4cp}$$
(4cp)

Reaction of two minor fractions:

$$wSolid_5 \xrightarrow{\circ} (w - v_{5\infty})Ash_5 + v_{5\infty}Volatiles_5$$
 (R5)

$$wSolid_6 \xrightarrow{6} (w - v_{6\infty})Ash_6 + v_{6\infty}Volatiles_6$$
(R6)

This scheme has been proposed after analysing the results with other schemes with different number of reactions, and considering the shape of the curves presented previously. In this model, it has been considered after the previous results of correlations, that the reaction rate is proportional to the oxygen pressure because the optimized value of reaction order with respect to the oxygen was close to 1 in the combustion reactions. For the competitive reactions 3cp and 4cp, initially a dependence of the preexponential factor with the oxygen pressure was considered, obtaining a reaction order for the oxygen pressure around zero. Consequently, it has been considered that these reactions do not depend on the oxygen pressure.

The kinetic equations, considering conversions degree are the following:

$$\frac{d\alpha_i}{dt} = k_i (1 - \alpha_i)^{n_i} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2 \text{ and } 5, 6 \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{d\alpha_i}{dt} = k_i (1 - \alpha_i - \alpha_{icp})^{n_i} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 3, 4$$
(7)

$$\frac{d\alpha_{icp}}{dt} = k_{icp} (1 - \alpha_i - \alpha_{icp})^{n_{icp}} \quad \text{for} \quad icp = 3\text{cp}, 4\text{cp}$$
(8)

Note that the reactions R3 and 3cp and R4 and 4cp are competitive. On the other hand, there are also two consecutive reactions as a consequence of the oxidation of the char or carbonaceous residue, so

$$\frac{d\alpha_{ic}}{dt} = k_{ic}(\alpha_i - \alpha_{ic})^{n_{ic}} \quad \text{for} \quad ic = 3c, 4c$$
(9)

where the pre-exponential factor k_{ic} is directly proportional to the oxygen pressure, and can be written as

$$k_{ic} = k_{ic}^* \left(\frac{p_{O_2}}{0.20} \right)$$
 (p_{O_2} in atm) (10)

where p_{O_2} is the partial pressure of oxygen in atm, and logically k_{ic} equals k_{ic} when working with N₂:O₂ 4:1 atmosphere (p_{O_2} equals 0.20 atm).

The total weight fraction and the volatile weight fraction V are related to the conversion degrees by

$$w = 1 - V = 1 - F_{\nu} \Big(\nu_{1\infty} \alpha_1 + \nu_{2\infty} \alpha_2 + \nu_{3\infty} \alpha_3 + \nu_{4\infty} \alpha_4 + \nu_{5\infty} \alpha_5 \\ + \nu_{6\infty} \alpha_6 + \nu_{3cp\infty} \alpha_{3cp} + \nu_{4cp\infty} \alpha_{4cp} + \nu_{3c\infty} \alpha_{3c} + \nu_{4c\infty} \alpha_{4c} \Big)$$
(11)

where $v_{i\infty}$, $v_{icp\infty}$, $v_{ic\infty}$ and represent the maximum value of volatiles in the corresponding reaction without possible competence with other reactions, and coincide with the corresponding yield coefficients. The correction factor F_v has been also included, with values between 0.95 and 1.05.

Please cite this article in press as: R. Font, et al., J. Anal. Appl. Pyrol. (2008), doi:10.1016/j.jaap.2008.11.026

R. Font et al./J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

3.4. Kinetic parameters

The dynamic runs and the dynamic + isothermal runs for both pyrolysis and combustion were correlated with the same set of parameters. The calculated values were obtained by integration of the differential equations presented in the kinetic model by the Euler method considering and testing that the intervals of time were small enough to make errors be negligible. The optimization method of the Solver function in a spreadsheet Excel was used to minimize the differences between experimental and calculated weight loss values. The objective function (OF) to minimize was the sum of the square differences between experimental and calculated weight loss values and in some cases the derivatives were also included with a weight factor.

$$OF = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (V_{mi}^{exp} - V_{mi}^{cal})^{2} + factor \sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{dV_{m,i}^{exp}}{dt} - \frac{dV_{m,i}^{cal}}{dt} \right)^{2}$$
(12)

M: number of points, N: number of runs

The model validity was tested calculating the variation coefficient (VC):

$$VC = \frac{\sqrt{\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (V_{mi}^{exp} - V_{mi}^{cal})^{2}\right) / (N_{total} - P)}}{\overline{V_{exp}}} \times 100$$
(13)

where N and P are the number of data and parameters fitted, respectively, and the denominator is the average of the experimental weight fraction of volatiles evolved.

The optimization was carried out in terms of a 'comparable kinetic constant' K_i^* instead of optimizing k_{0i} . This constant K_i^* was calculated at a temperature around the maximum decomposition rate (T_{max}). Value 0.64 was obtained from the optimization program for decreasing the great interrelation between the pre-exponential factor, the apparent activation energy and the reaction order [10].

$$K_i^* = k_i (0.64)^{n_i} = k_{oi} \exp\left(\frac{-E_i}{(RT_{\max})}\right) (0.64)^{n_i}$$
(14)

It must be emphasized that all the data, pyrolysis, oxidative pyrolysis and combustion, dynamic runs with different heating rates and distinct dynamic + isothermal runs, were correlated at the same time. On the other hand, the inclusion of isothermal runs causes difficulties in obtaining acceptable correlations, compared to the case when only dynamic runs are considered.

Table 2 shows the set of parameters optimized. The variation coefficient is a small value around 4%, considering that many runs have been simultaneously correlated with the same set of parameters. Figs. 11 and 12 show the variation of weight fraction of volatiles vs. temperature. It was obtained that the volatiles fraction in the reactions 4 and 4c were very small (Fig. 12), so they have been neglected and the corresponding kinetic parameters have not been presented in Table 2 to avoid misinterpretations. The calculated curve variations are also plotted in some figures shown previously, overlapping the experimental results in many cases. It can be concluded that the correlations are quite good.

For solid fraction 3, there are two competitive reactions, one leading to a char or carbonaceous residue that is later oxidized by reaction 3c, and other second competitive reaction 3cp. The maximum value of volatile fractions of reactions 3 and 3c, in accordance with Table 2, equals 0.429, which coincides with the volatile weight fraction of reaction 3cp. In Fig. 12, the variation of volatile weight fraction is plotted, and the sum of the weight fractions of the reactions 3 + 3c and 3cp at the end of the run equals 0.429, due to the fact that they are competitive, but logically the weight fractions of 3 + 3c and 3_{cp} at infinite time must be lower than the maximum possible if each one was alone. The volatile

Tabl	e	2	

Kinetic parameters obtained for the pyrolysis and combustion.

Reaction	Mass fraction V	$k ({ m s}^{-1})$	E (kJ/mol)	n
Pyrolysis				
1	0.085	1.251×10^{8}	67.4	4.68
2	0.152	1.302×10^{10}	122.2	3.36
3	0.410	1.442×10^{20}	240.8	6.74
4	0.095	1.211×10^{1}	79.4	1.48
5	0.010	6.581×10^{15}	307.6	1.00
Residue	0.248			
Combustion				
1	0.085	1.251×10^{8}	67.4	4.68
2	0.152	1.302 imes 10	122.2	3.36
3	0.184	2.630×10^{20}	240.8	6.74
4		It is not important		
5	0.030	$6.581 imes 10^{15}$	307.6	1.00
6	0.030	6.427×10^{12}	307.6	2.00
3c	0.245	1.274×10^{11}	184.7	0.87
4c		It is not important		
Зср	0.429	$6.718 imes 10^{-2}$	36.1	0.00
4cp	0.160	8.224×10^4	80.3	0.79
Ash	0.114			
VC (%)	4.0			



Fig. 11. Evolution of the mass fraction of volatiles for each reaction in a pyrolysis run.



Fig. 12. Evolution of the mass fraction of volatiles for each reaction in a combustion run.

Please cite this article in press as: R. Font, et al., J. Anal. Appl. Pyrol. (2008), doi:10.1016/j.jaap.2008.11.026

R. Font et al./J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

 Table 3

 Kinetic parameters for the pyrolysis and combustion considering first-order reactions.

Reaction	Mass fraction V	$k (s^{-1})$	E (kJ/mol)	п
Pyrolysis				
1	0.070	2.168×10^7	63.6	1.00
2	0.200	4.959×10^9	117.3	1.00
3	0.313	9.087×10^{17}	144.3	1.00
4	0.134	$9.908 imes 10^1$	65.8	1.00
5	0.010	6.581×10^{15}	307.6	1.00
Residue	0.273			
Combustion				
1	0.070	$2.168 imes 10^7$	63.6	1.00
2	0.200	4.959×10^9	117.3	1.00
3	0.154	5.233×10^{18}	144.3	1.00
4		It is not important		
5	0.030	6.581×10^{15}	307.6	1.00
6	0.030	6.427×10^{12}	307.6	1.00
3c	0.267	1.880×10^{11}	160.6	1.00
4c		It is not important		
Зср	0.422	6.857×10^{-2}	56.6	1.00
4cp	0.134	$\textbf{3.853}\times 10^4$	117.3	1.00
Ash	0.115			
VC	4.6			

weight fractions have been calculated considering the corresponding conversion degrees and the yield coefficients of each reaction.

The reaction order of some reactions is quite different from the unity (reaction order extensively used in literature), so all the runs were also correlated with first-order reactions. The results are shown in Table 3. Although the variation coefficient only increases from 4.0% to 4.6%, the differences between the experimental results and the calculated ones are significant, mainly in the DTA graphs. Comparing Tables 2 and 3, some similarities between the parameters obtained can be observed, but due to the shape of the curves shown in the previous figures and the fact of that dynamic and isothermal runs were correlated with the same set of parameters, the values shown in Table 2 can be the adequate ones to be used as kinetic parameters but must be considered as correlation parameters.

Concerning the kinetic parameters of the pyrolysis process, the following aspects can be considered. There is an initial small fraction (volatile fraction around 0.07–0.085), from which volatiles evolve around 300–370 K, with a small apparent activation energy (64–67 kJ/mol), corresponding mainly to the humidity of the sample. This small value of activation energy is similar to that of 58.2 kJ/mol proposed by Leoni et al. [11] in the dehydration kinetics of Pinus *pinaster* samples.

After the evolution of volatiles corresponding to the first fraction, a second fraction decomposes at 470–600 K, with an apparent activation energy of 117–122 kJ/mol, and probably corresponds mainly to the hemicellulose. This value is close to the value 110 kJ/mol proposed for the hemicellulose in literature [12].

The following fraction decomposed in the range 550–700 K with an apparent activation energy value around 144–240 kJ/ mol. It has been suggested that cellulose decomposes in the range 300–400 °C with an apparent activation energy around 150–250 kJ/mol [9,13–15], so this third fraction must correspond to cellulose. Two reviews of the kinetics of the thermal decomposition of cellulose have been published recently by Antal and Varhegyi [14] and Milosavljevic and Suuberg [15]. In accordance with Antal and Varhegyi [14], under conditions which minimize vapour–solid interactions and heat transfer intrusions, the TG and DTG curves associated with the pyrolysis of a wide variety of pure cellulose samples can be well fitted by an irreversible, single step, first order rate equation with a high activation energy (ca.

238 kJ/mol). On the other hand, Milosavljevic and Suuberg [15] considered that depending upon the heating rate, the pyrolysis of cellulose at a low temperature regime is characterized by an activation energy around 218 kJ/mol and a reaction order that seems to go from zero, very early in the process, to one throughout most of the process.

There is a fourth fraction that decomposes above 800 K with an apparent activation energy around 64–80 kJ/mol that corresponds mainly to lignin. Normally, lignin decomposes in a wide interval of temperatures, so this fraction, must be referred to the fraction that decomposes at high temperature, whereas the fraction that decomposes at low temperature probably is included in the fractions 2 and 3. It must be emphasized that the kinetic models proposed reproduce the experimental data in an extensive interval of operating conditions, but their fractions can only be partially associated with the chemical composition. In literature, the values of activation energy proposed vary significantly among the different authors. Grønly et al. [16] studied the devolatilization kinetics of wood, and deduced the following values of activation energies for first order reactions: 100 kJ/mol for hemicellulose, 236 kJ/mol for cellulose and 46 kJ/mol for lignin.

High values of reaction orders can be explained as a decrease in specific surface of the non-reacted samples, so the correlations obtained with reaction orders greater than unity are also admissible [17].

The apparent activation energy obtained for the combustion of the char formed (160–185 kJ/mol) is similar to that proposed in literature. Haji-Sulaiman and Aroua [18] proposed values around 135 kJ/mol for the oxidation of Malaysian coal chars. From the data presented by Henrich et al. [19], values of apparent activation energy around 140 kJ/mol have been proposed for oxidation in pure oxygen of soot, graphite, activated charcoals and chars of municipal waste and electronic scrap. Walker et al. [20] proposed an apparent activation energy around 209–242 kJ/mol for the reaction: C + 1/2 O₂ \rightarrow CO. The oxygen reaction order deduced in this work for the combustion reactions is the unity, similar to that considered in the gasification of carbon [21].

The weight fractions of hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin obtained from the analysis and from the correlation of the data are presented in Table 4. In this table, it has been assumed that V_2 corresponds to hemicellulose (Tables 3 and 4), V_{3cp} or $V_3 + V_{3c}$ corresponds to cellulose and V_{4cp} corresponds to lignin. There is an acceptable concordance for the parameters of cellulose and lignin. Müller-Hagedorn and Bockhorn [4] analysed the kinetic parameters of thermal decomposition of hemicellulose, cellulose and lignin in the biomass, observing an acceptable concordance in some cases, but not in all the samples. Therefore the real kinetic model, valid in an interval of operating conditions, must be obtained from the correlation of the experimental data. In this paper, it must be emphasized that all the data of pyrolysis, combustion in two atmospheres, dynamic and dynamic + isothermal runs have been correlated satisfactorily with the pyrolysis and combustion models, and the kinetic parameters obtained must be considered as correlation parameters, but useful in an extensive interval of operating conditions.

Table 4	
Comparison	of contents.

	Content in the tomato plant (%)	Percentage of volatile mass on dry basis (%)
Ethanol extract	5.1	
Hemicellulose	28.8	15.2–20.0 ^a
Cellulose	39.1	42.9-42.1 ^a
Lignin	12.1	16.0-13.4 ^a

^a Obtained with reaction order 1.

R. Font et al./J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis xxx (2008) xxx-xxx

Tomato plant. He



Fig. 13. Variation of ions 15 (methane), 25 (acetylene), 26 (ethane, ethylene, acetylene), 27 (ethylene, ethane, propane, propene, butane, butane), 39 and 41 (propane, propene, butane, butane), 40 (propene) in a pyrolysis TG/MS run (He atmosphere).



Fig. 14. Variation of ions 44 (carbon dioxide), 43 (acetic acid, acetaldehyde, acetone, 3-methyl-1-butanol), 45 (acetic acid, formic acid, propionic acid, 2-propanol, hidroxyacetone), 46 (formic acid) in a pyrolysis TG/MS run (He atmosphere).

4. Analysis of the data obtained from the TG-MS data

Figs. 3 and 7 show the variation of the intensity ions corresponding to water (m/z 18), carbon monoxide (m/z 28), carbon dioxide (m/z 44) and methane [CH₃+] (m/z 15) in a helium atmosphere and in a 4:1 He:O₂ atmosphere, respectively.

Fig. 13 shows the variation of the weight fraction of the ions (m/z) 15, 25, 26, 27, 39, 40, 41 corresponding to methane, ethylene, acetylene, propylene, ethane, propane, butane, etc. The curve corresponding to the ion 15 is the highest one, and those corresponding to the other ions have a similar variation. A similar

behaviour can be observed in the combustion run, taking into account that the process begins at low temperature and there is not a strong oxidation of the gases.

Fig. 14 shows the evolution of the ion 44 (carbon dioxide), 43 (acetic acid, acetaldehyde, acetone, 3-methyl-1-butanol), 45 (acetic acid, formic acid, propionic acid, 2-propanol, hidroxyacetone) and 46 (formic acid) in a pyrolysis run. It can be observed that the decarboxylation process takes place in a way parallel to the evolution of oxygenated compounds. Similar conclusions can be deduced from the combustion run.

It can be observed that the evolution of the ions takes place along the runs. All these curves show a decomposition band, overlapping of different fractions and illustrate the difficulty in simulating the real process of decomposition.

5. Conclusions

Two kinetic models for the pyrolysis and combustion of tomato plant have been obtained, which correlate dynamic and isothermal runs with the same set of parameters. It has been tested that an acceptable correlation can be obtained for dynamic runs at different heating rates and isothermal runs at distinct operating temperatures.

An acceptable correspondence between the weight of volatiles and the composition of the waste has also been observed.

Acknowledgment

Support for this work was provided by Spanish MEC, research project CTQ2005-05262.

References

- J.F. Gónzalez, C.M. González-García, A. Ramiro, J. González, E. Sabio, J. Gañan, M.A. Rodríguez, Biomass Bioenergy 27 (2004) 145.
- [2] J.F. Gónzalez, C.M. González-García, A. Ramiro, J. Gañan, A. Ayuso, J. Turegano, Fuel Process Technol. 8 (2006) 717.
- [3] V. Mangut, E. Sabio, J. Gañan, J.F. González, A. Ramiro, C.M. González, S. Román, A. Al-kassir, Fuel Process Technol. 87 (2006) 109.
- [4] M. Müller-Hagedorn, H. Bockhorn, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 79 (2007).
- [5] R.R. Rowell, R.A. Young, J. Rowell (Eds.), Paper and Composites from Agro-Based Resources. Chapter 3. Analytical Procedures, CRC Press, 1996.
- [6] Technical Association for the Pulp and Paper Industries (TAPPI), Preparation of extractive free-wood. TAPPI Test Method T 12 os-75, TAPPI PRESS, Atlanta, 1978.
 [7] Technical Association for the Pulp and Paper Industries (TAPPI), Acid-insoluble in
- wood and pulp. TAPPI Test Method T 222 os-74, TAPPI PRESS, Atlanta, 1978.
 [8] Technical Association for the Pulp and Paper Industries (TAPPI), Alpha-, Beta- and Gamma Cellulose in pulp. TAPPI Test Method T 203 os-74, TAPPI PRESS, Atlanta, 1978.
- [9] M. Grønli, M.J. Antal, G. Várhegyi, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 38 (1999) 2238.
- [10] I. Martín-Gullón, M.F. Gómez-Rico, A. Fullana, R. Font, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 68– 69 (2003) 645.
- [11] E. Leoni, P. Tomi, B. Khoumeri, N. Blabi, J. Fire Sci. 19 (2001) 379.
- [12] R. Font, A. Marcilla, E. Verdú, J. Devesa, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 21 (1991) 29.
- [13] M.J. Antal, H.L. Friedman, F.E. Rogers, Combust. Sci. Technol. 21 (1980) 141.
- [14] M.J. Antal, G. Varhegyi, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 34 (1995) 703.
- [15] I. Milosavljevic, E.M. Suuberg, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 34 (1995 1081).
- [16] M.G. Grønly, G. Várhegyi, C. Di Blasi, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 41 (17) (2002) 4201.
- [17] R. Font, A. García, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 35 (1995) 249.
- [18] M.Z. Haji-Sulaiman, M.K. Aroua, J. I. Energy 70 (1997) 52.
- [19] E. Henrich, S. Bürkle, Z.I. Meza-Renken, S. Rumpel, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis 9 (1999) 133.
- [20] P.L. Walker, F. Rusinko, L.G. Austin, Adv. Catal. 9 (1959) 133.
- [21] Z. Du, A.F. Sarofim, J.P. Longwell, J. Lahay, P. Ehrburger, Fundamental Issues in Control of Carbon Gasification, 1992, p. 91.

8