



Open Archive Toulouse Archive Ouverte (OATAO)

OATAO is an open access repository that collects the work of some Toulouse researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

This is an author's version published in: <https://oatao.univ-toulouse.fr/20897>

Official URL : http://calcul.math.cnrs.fr/IMG/pdf/cours_xavier_vasseur.pdf

To cite this version :

Vasseur, Xavier Deterministic algorithms for the low rank approximation of matrices. (2017) In: Action Nationale de Formation CNRS: Réduction de la dimension dans la fouille de données massives : enjeux, méthodes et outils pour le calcul, 25 September 2017 - 29 September 2017 (Ile d'Oléron, France). (Unpublished)

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository administrator:

tech-oatao@listes-diff.inp-toulouse.fr

Deterministic algorithms for the low rank approximation of matrices

ANF "Réduction de la dimension dans la fouille de données massives : enjeux, méthodes et outils pour le calcul "
(Ile d'Oléron)

Xavier VASSEUR (xavier.vasseur@isae.fr)

September 27 2017



Institut Supérieur de l'Aéronautique et de l'Espace

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
 - Objectives
 - Material and goals of the lecture
 - Preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software
- 6 Conclusions

Objectives

Objectives

- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $p = \min(m, n)$ we seek to compute a rank- k approximation, typically with $k \ll p$ (say $m, n \sim 10^4, 10^6, 10^8, \dots$ and $k \approx 10$ or 10^2) as

$$A \approx EF^H, \quad E \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}, \quad F \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}.$$

- Solving this problem usually requires algorithms for computing the **Singular Value Decomposition** (SVD) or an **eigendecomposition** corresponding to dominant eigenvalues.
- Goal of the lecture** : review standard **deterministic** approaches for the low rank approximation of matrices (**sparse and dense**).
- Those methods are **building blocks** in more recent advanced strategies (e.g. randomized methods, see lecture of Pierre Blanchard).
- Focus on the analysis of the standard algorithms with respect to **parallelism**.

Current challenges in algorithmic design

Current challenges in algorithmic design


- **State-of-the-art** deterministic methods of numerical linear algebra were designed for an environment where the matrix **fits into memory** (RAM) and the key to performance was to minimize the number of **floating point operations** (flops) required.
- Currently, **communication** is the real bottleneck
 - Moving data from a hard drive
 - Moving data between nodes of a parallel machine
 - Moving data between nodes of a cloud computer.
- Ideally we should target for efficient algorithms scaling **linearly** with the problem size and with **minimal data movement**.
- This is required due to the **increasingly large amount of data** in current applications (web search, machine learning, social networks, genomics/proteomics data, ...).

Outline


- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
 - Objectives
 - **Material and goals of the lecture**
 - Preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software
- 6 Conclusions

Material and goals of the lecture

Material

- Lecture notes with references to original papers and additional suggested readings.
- Interactive  notebook during the afternoon session.
- "**Real-life**" applications during the afternoon session.

Goals of the lecture

- Briefly review **fundamental matrix decompositions** (Section 2).
- Provide a brief review on **deterministic methods** for low rank approximations with an emphasis on parallel aspects (Sections 3 and 4).
- Shortly describe related **parallel software** (Section 5).
- Give numerical illustrations in  during the afternoon session.

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
 - Objectives
 - Material and goals of the lecture
 - Preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software
- 6 Conclusions

Preliminaries (Linear algebra) - Basic definitions

Norms

- **Euclidean inner product** : $x \in \mathbb{C}^n, y \in \mathbb{C}^n, \langle x, y \rangle = y^H x = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \bar{y}_j$.
- **Euclidean norm** : $x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|x\|_2 = (\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^2)^{1/2}$.
- ℓ^p **norm** : $x \in \mathbb{C}^n, \|x\|_p = (\sum_{j=1}^n |x_j|^p)^{1/p}$.
- **Spectral norm** of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$:

$$\|A\|_2 = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}, x \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

- **Frobenius norm** of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$:

$$\|A\|_F = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n |A_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Preliminaries (Linear algebra) - Basic definitions

Transpose and adjoint

- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, the **transpose** $B = A^T \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ is defined as :

$$B_{ij} = A_{ji}.$$

- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, the **adjoint** $A^H \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ is defined as :

$$A^H = \overline{A^T}.$$

- Useful norm relation :

$$\|A\|_2^2 = \|AA^H\|_2 = \|A^H A\|_2.$$

Preliminaries (Linear algebra) - Basic definitions

Special classes of matrices

- A $m \times n$ matrix A is **orthonormal** if its columns form an orthonormal basis, i.e., $A^H A = I_n$.
- A $n \times n$ matrix A is **normal** if $A^H A = A A^H$.
- A $n \times n$ real matrix A is **symmetric** if $A^T = A$.
- A $n \times n$ matrix A is **self-adjoint (Hermitian)** if $A^H = A$.
- A $n \times n$ matrix A is **skew-adjoint** if $A^H = -A$.
- A $n \times n$ matrix A is **unitary** if it is invertible and $A^H = A^{-1}$.
- A $n \times n$ self-adjoint matrix A is said to be **positive definite** if :

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n, x \neq 0, x^H A x > 0.$$

- A $n \times n$ self-adjoint matrix A is said to be **positive semi-definite** if :

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{C}^n, x \neq 0, x^H A x \geq 0.$$

Preliminaries (Linear algebra) - Basic definitions

Spectral decomposition

- λ is an **eigenvalue** and v an **eigenvector** of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ if :

$$v \neq 0, Av = \lambda v.$$

- $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is **normal** if and only if A admits a factorization of the form :

$$A = VDV^H,$$

where $V = [v_1 v_2 \cdots v_n] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is unitary and $D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is diagonal with entries $\lambda_j, (j = 1, \dots, n)$.

- The previous relation can alternatively be written

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j v_j v_j^H,$$

where (λ_j, v_j) are the **eigenpairs** of A .

Preliminaries (Linear algebra) - Basic definitions

Partial spectral decomposition and Krylov subspace methods

- If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ can be applied **rapidly** to vectors as happens when A is sparse or structured, then **Krylov subspace methods** can accurately and effectively compute a **partial spectral decomposition**.
- Given $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such as $\|v\|_2 = 1$ and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, the Krylov subspace of dimension at most k generated by A and v is defined as :

$$\mathcal{K}_k(A, v) = \text{span}\{v, Av, \dots, A^{k-1}v\}.$$

- The idea is to seek approximations of eigenvectors **within this particular subspace**.
- **Caveat** : the most basic versions of Krylov subspace methods are **numerically unstable** !
- **Block Krylov subspace methods** consider the case where the starting vector v is replaced by a starting matrix V of appropriate dimension. A **richer** subspace is then expected.

Preliminaries (Linear algebra) - Basic definitions

Householder reflection (Householder matrix, Householder transformation)

- Given $v \in \mathbb{C}^m$ such as $\|v\|_2 = 1$, the Householder matrix H_v is defined as :

$$H_v = I_m - 2v v^H.$$

- H_v is Hermitian (self-adjoint).
- H_v is unitary and $H_v^2 = I_m$.
- $H_v v = -v, \forall w \in v^\perp, H_v w = w$.
- Application** : Given $u \in \mathbb{C}^m$ with $u_1 = e^{i\theta_1} \|u\|_2, e_1 \in \mathbb{C}^m$ (first unit vector), and $v = \frac{u - e^{i\theta_1} \|u\|_2 e_1}{\|u - e^{i\theta_1} \|u\|_2 e_1\|_2}$,

$$H_v u = u_1 e_1.$$

- This is a basic step in the **Householder QR** factorization.

Preliminaries (Linear algebra) - Basic definitions

Householder-QR factorization

- Reduce $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ to triangular form $H_L A = R$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \star & \star & \star \\ \star & \star & \star \\ \star & \star & \star \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_{L,1}} \begin{bmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ & \square & \square \\ & & \square \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_{L,2}} \begin{bmatrix} \square & \square & \square \\ & \circ & \circ \\ & & \circ \end{bmatrix}$$

where $H_{L,i}$ indicates a left-multiplication by a **Householder** reflection. At the end of this step we have :

$$H_{L,m-1} \cdots H_{L,1} A = R.$$

- Final step** : $A = QR$ with $Q = H_{L,1} \cdots H_{L,m-1}$.
- Complexity** : $O(m^2 p)$ with $p = \min(m, n)$.
- Parallel performance** : **low** since heavily based on sequence of matrix-vector operations due to Householder reflections.

Preliminaries (Parallel computing)

Main features to analyze

- **Data distribution.**
- **Load balancing** property of the algorithm.
- **Weak and strong** scalability properties of the algorithm.
- **Resiliency** and **fault-tolerant** properties of the algorithm.

Distributed data analysis and scientific computing

- Apache **Hadoop Map/Reduce** (**RDD** : Resilient Data Distribution).
- Spark Apache **MLlib** (Dimensionality Reduction : SVD, PCA).
- **Message Passing Interface** (MPI).
- **R and Distributed R** (Rmpi, RHadoop).

Preliminaries (Parallel computing)

Map/Reduce algorithms

- **Framework** for processing parallelizable problems across large datasets using a large number of nodes on a cluster.
- **Current methodology** :
 - **Map** : Each worker node applies the "map()" function to the local data, and writes the output to a temporary storage. A master node ensures that only one copy of redundant input data is processed.
 - **Shuffle** : Worker nodes redistribute data based on the output keys (produced by the "map()" function), such that all data belonging to one key is located on the same worker node.
 - **Reduce** : Worker nodes now process each group of output data, per key, in parallel.
- Widely used in **Big data** applications.
- An efficient **distributed file system** is usually required.

Suggested reading

Suggested reading


- **A. Gittens, A. Devarakonda, E. Racah, M. Ringenbunrg, L. Gerhardt, J. Kottalam, J. Liu, K. Maschhoff, S. Canon, J. Chhugani, P. Sharma, J. Yang, J. Demmel, J. Harrell, V. Krishnamurthy and M. Mahoney** *Matrix factorizations at scale : A comparison of scientific data analytics in Spark and C+ MPI using three case studies*, IEEE International Conference on Big Data, pp. 204-213, 2016.
- **G. Golub, and C. Van Loan.** *Matrix Computations*, Johns Hopkins University, fourth edition, 2012.
- **G. Hager and G. Wellein.** *Introduction to High Performance Computing for Scientists and Engineers*, CRC Press, 2010.
- **V. Miele and V. Louvet.** *Calcul parallèle avec R*, EDP Sciences, 2016.

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 **Fundamental matrix decompositions**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
 - R-SVD
 - Polar decomposition and QR-based Dynamically Weighted Halley (QDWH)
 - UTV
 - Comparison of matrix decomposition algorithms
 - Afternoon session
 - Your notes
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition

Objectives and key idea

Objectives

- Review **fundamental matrix decompositions** that are **useful** for low rank approximations.
- Focus on **parallel** properties of the algorithms and discuss parallel performance on modern computing platforms.
- Provide first numerical illustrations in  **julia**.

Key idea

- Exploit the **optimality** property of the Singular Value Decomposition in terms of approximation to provide a rank- k approximation of a given matrix.

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 **Fundamental matrix decompositions**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - **Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)**
 - R-SVD
 - Polar decomposition and QR-based Dynamically Weighted Halley (QDWH)
 - UTV
 - Comparison of matrix decomposition algorithms
 - Afternoon session
 - Your notes
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition

Full SVD

Full SVD [Beltrami, 1873], [Jordan, 1874], [Sylvester, 1889], [Picard, 1910]

- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $p = \min(m, n)$, the **full** singular value decomposition of A reads :

$$A = U \Sigma V^H,$$

with $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ unitary ($U^H U = I_m$, $V^H V = I_n$) and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$.

- $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p)$ with $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \dots \geq \sigma_p \geq 0$.
- $\sigma_i, (i = 1, p)$ are called **singular values** of A .
- The columns of $U = [u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p]$ are called **left singular vectors** :
 $AV = U \Sigma$.
- The columns of $V = [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p]$ are called **right singular vectors** :
 $A^H U = V \Sigma^H$.
- (U, Σ, V) is called a **singular triplet** of A (non unique !)

Thin SVD and truncated SVD

Thin, compact, thresholded and truncated SVD

- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $p = \min(m, n)$, the **thin** singular value decomposition of A reads :

$$A = U \Sigma V^H,$$

with $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}$ with orthonormal columns ($U^H U = I_p$, $V^H V = I_p$) and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ a diagonal matrix.

- A **compact** SVD only keeps the r singular triplets corresponding to nonzero singular values ($r = \text{rank}(A)$).

Thin SVD and truncated SVD

Thin, compact, thresholded and truncated SVD

- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $p = \min(m, n)$, the **thin** singular value decomposition of A reads :

$$A = U \Sigma V^H,$$

with $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}$ with orthonormal columns ($U^H U = I_p$, $V^H V = I_p$) and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ a diagonal matrix.

- A **thresholded** SVD only keeps the singular triplets with singular values larger than a given positive threshold τ .

Thin SVD and truncated SVD

Thin, compact, thresholded and truncated SVD

- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $p = \min(m, n)$, the **thin** singular value decomposition of A reads :

$$A = U \Sigma V^H,$$

with $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}$ with orthonormal columns ($U^H U = I_p$, $V^H V = I_p$) and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ a diagonal matrix.

- A **truncated** SVD (to rank k) corresponds to the approximation :

$$A_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j u_j v_j^H = U(:, 1:k) \Sigma(1:k, 1:k) V(:, 1:k)^H.$$

Thin SVD and truncated SVD

Thin, compact, thresholded and truncated SVD

- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $p = \min(m, n)$, the **thin** singular value decomposition of A reads :

$$A = U \Sigma V^H,$$

with $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}$ with orthonormal columns ($U^H U = I_p$, $V^H V = I_p$) and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ a diagonal matrix.

- A **truncated** SVD (to rank k) corresponds to the approximation :

$$A_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j u_j v_j^H = U(:, 1:k) \Sigma(1:k, 1:k) V(:, 1:k)^H.$$

- Approximation property [Eckart-Young-Mirsky theorem, 1936] :**

$$\|A - A_k\|_2 = \min_{\text{rank}(B)=k} \|A - B\|_2 = \sigma_{k+1}, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}.$$

Thin SVD and truncated SVD

Thin, compact, thresholded and truncated SVD

- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $p = \min(m, n)$, the **thin** singular value decomposition of A reads :

$$A = U \Sigma V^H,$$

with $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times p}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times p}$ with orthonormal columns ($U^H U = I_p$, $V^H V = I_p$) and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$ a diagonal matrix.

- A **truncated** SVD (to rank k) corresponds to the approximation :

$$A_k = \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j u_j v_j^H = U(:, 1:k) \Sigma(1:k, 1:k) V(:, 1:k)^H.$$

- Approximation property [Eckart-Young-Mirsky theorem, 1936] :**

$$\|A - A_k\|_F = \min_{\text{rank}(B)=k} \|A - B\|_F = \sum_{i=k+1}^p \sigma_i, B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}.$$

Sketch of the standard SVD algorithm [Golub and Kahan, 1965]

- **First step** : Reduce $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ to upper bidiagonal form $H_L A H_R = B$

$$A \xrightarrow{H_{L,1}} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * \\ & * & * \\ & * & * \\ & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_{R,1}} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & \\ & * & * \\ & * & * \\ & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_{L,2}} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & \\ & * & * \\ & & * & * \\ & & & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_{L,3}} B$$

where $H_{L,i}$ indicates a left-multiplication by a **Householder** reflection and $H_{R,i}$ a right-multiplication. At the end of this step we have :

$$H_{L,m-1} \cdots H_{L,1} A H_{R,1} \cdots H_{R,n-2} = B.$$

- **Second step** : Perform a bidiagonal SVD of B as $B = U_B \Sigma V_B^H$.
- **Final step** : $A = U \Sigma V^H$ with $U = H_L^H U_B$ and $V = H_R V_B$.
- **Complexity** : $O(mnp)$ with $p = \min(m, n)$.
- **Parallel performance** : **low** since heavily based on sequence of matrix-vector operations due to Householder reflections.

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 **Fundamental matrix decompositions**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
 - **R-SVD**
 - Polar decomposition and QR-based Dynamically Weighted Halley (QDWH)
 - UTV
 - Comparison of matrix decomposition algorithms
 - Afternoon session
 - Your notes
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition

R-SVD

R-SVD [Chan, 1982]

- **Idea** : Perform an initial QR decomposition if the matrix is sufficiently tall relative to its width (i.e. $m \geq n$ with at least by a factor of 1.5).
- **First step** : QR factorization of $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ as $A = QR$ where $Q \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ has orthonormal columns ($Q^H Q = I_n$ and $R \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ is a triangular matrix).
- **Second step** : SVD decomposition of R as $R = U_R \Sigma_R V_R^H$.
- **Final step** : $A = U \Sigma_R V^H$ with $U = Q U_R$ and $V = V_R$.
- **Complexity** : $4mn^2 + 22n^3$.
- **Parallel performance** : Tall and Skinny QR ($TSQR$) algorithm [Demmel et al, 2012] to be favored for the first step to obtain parallel performance.

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 **Fundamental matrix decompositions**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
 - R-SVD
 - **Polar decomposition and QR-based Dynamically Weighted Halley (QDWH)**
 - UTV
 - Comparison of matrix decomposition algorithms
 - Afternoon session
 - Your notes
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition

Polar decomposition

Polar decomposition [Autonne, 1902]

- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $p = \min(m, n)$, the polar decomposition of A reads :

$$A = W H,$$

where $W \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ has orthonormal columns and $H \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is Hermitian positive semidefinite.

- Relation with the **SVD** decomposition of A :

$$A = W H = W(V_H \Sigma_H V_H^H) = (WV_H) \Sigma_H V_H^H.$$

- Interest** : efficient **parallel iterative** methods are available to first compute the polar decomposition and then deduce the SVD decomposition of A .

QR-based Dynamically Weighted Halley (QDWH)

QDWH [Nakatsukasa et al, 2010] [Householder prize, 2014]

- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $p = \min(m, n)$, the QR-based Dynamically Weighted Halley ℓ -th iteration ($\ell \geq 1$) reads (with $X_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$) :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{c_\ell} X_\ell \\ I_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{bmatrix} R, \quad X_{\ell+1} = \frac{b_\ell}{c_\ell} X_\ell + \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_\ell}} \left(a_\ell - \frac{b_\ell}{c_\ell} \right) Q_1 Q_2^H, \ell \geq 0.$$

with $Q_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $Q_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ ($Q_1^H Q_1 = I_m$, $Q_2^H Q_2 = I_m$), $R \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ upper triangular and a_ℓ, b_ℓ, c_ℓ parameters chosen dynamically to optimise the convergence rate. $X_0 = \frac{A}{\alpha}$ with $\alpha = \|A\|_2$.

- The polar factor W is obtained as the limit of the sequence X_ℓ . H is deduced as $H = \frac{1}{2}(W^H A + (W^H A)^H)$.
- The sequence is usually converging very fast in practice in double precision arithmetic for any matrix A with $\kappa_2(A) \leq 10^{16}$.
- Complexity** : $O(mnp)$

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 **Fundamental matrix decompositions**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
 - R-SVD
 - Polar decomposition and QR-based Dynamically Weighted Halley (QDWH)
 - **UTV**
 - Comparison of matrix decomposition algorithms
 - Afternoon session
 - Your notes
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition

UTV

UTV [Stewart, 1992]

- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $p = \min(m, n)$, the *UTV* factorization of A reads :

$$A = U T V^H,$$

with $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, $V \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ both unitary ($U^H U = I_m$, $V^H V = I_n$) and $T \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ is (lower or upper) triangular.

- Algorithm that is possible to stop once a specified tolerance has been met.
- Provides close to optimal low rank approximations in practice.
- Complexity** : $O(mnk)$ for a rank- k approximation of A .
- Parallel performance** : **good** due to blocking (matrix-matrix operations).

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 **Fundamental matrix decompositions**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
 - R-SVD
 - Polar decomposition and QR-based Dynamically Weighted Halley (QDWH)
 - UTV
 - **Comparison of matrix decomposition algorithms**
 - Afternoon session
 - Your notes
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition

Comparison of matrix decomposition algorithms


Synopsis

	SVD	R-SVD	QDWH	UTV
Arithmetic cost		$O(mnp)$		
Backward stability	✓	✓	✓	✓
Ease of parallelization	Hard	Fairly easy	Easy	Easy
Min. communication ?	×	×	✓	×
Partial factorization	Not easily	Yes but not useful	×	✓
Useful for low rank approximation	✓	✓	✓	✓

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 **Fundamental matrix decompositions**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
 - R-SVD
 - Polar decomposition and QR-based Dynamically Weighted Halley (QDWH)
 - UTV
 - Comparison of matrix decomposition algorithms
 - **Afternoon session**
 - Your notes
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition

Afternoon session

- Implement in  **julia** a simple communication-minimizing factorization algorithm **Cholesky-QR** to be used when the matrix is tall and skinny.
- This algorithm provides a **QR** factorization.
- An **SVD** factorization can be then deduced easily (as in the **R-SVD** algorithm).
- **Interest** : discover how to use of **Map/Reduce** strategies.
- Study **robustness** for synthetic problems with variable singular gap.
- Study **performance** on your dataset if time permits.
- Conclusions to be shared !

Suggested reading

Suggested reading

- **G. Ballard, E. Carson, J. Demmel, M. Hoemmen, N. Knight, and O. Schwartz.** *Communication lower bounds and optimal algorithms for numerical linear algebra*, Acta Numerica. Cambridge University Press, 23, 1-155, 2014.
- **J. Demmel, L. Grigori, M. F. Hoemmen, and J. Langou.** *Communication-optimal parallel and sequential QR and LU factorizations*, SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, Vol. 34, No 1, 2012.
- **N. Higham**, *Accuracy and Stability of Numerical Algorithms*, SIAM, Second edition, 2002.
- Talk of **L. Grigori** at Collège de France :
<http://www.college-de-france.fr/site/pierre-louis-lions/seminar-2014-01-10-11h15.htm>

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 **Fundamental matrix decompositions**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Singular Value Decomposition (SVD)
 - R-SVD
 - Polar decomposition and QR-based Dynamically Weighted Halley (QDWH)
 - UTV
 - Comparison of matrix decomposition algorithms
 - Afternoon session
 - **Your notes**
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition

Your notes

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 **Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization**
 - **Objectives and key idea**
 - Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization
 - Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization with reorthogonalization
 - Lanczos bidiagonalization with thick restarting and reorthogonalization
 - Krylov Golub-Kahan decomposition
 - Your notes
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software

Objectives and key idea

Objectives

- Review **the Lanczos bidiagonalization method** that is **useful** for low rank approximations of A when A is either sparse or structured or when only the action of A and of A^H on a vector is available.
- Put emphasis on specific important features of the algorithm.
- Focus on **parallel** properties of the algorithms.

Key idea

- Implicitly construct a rank- k approximation of A by solving a projected problem of reduced dimension on a particularly relevant subspace, called the Krylov subspace $\mathcal{K}_{k+1}(A, v_1)$.

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 **Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - **Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization**
 - Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization with reorthogonalization
 - Lanczos bidiagonalization with thick restarting and reorthogonalization
 - Krylov Golub-Kahan decomposition
 - Your notes
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software

Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization

Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization [Björck, 1996] - decomposition

- Given $v_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\|v_1\|_2 = 1$ and $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, the Lanczos bidiagonalization algorithm **implicitly** leads to the decomposition ($k \geq 1$):

$$\begin{aligned} AV_k &= U_k B_k, \\ A^H U_k &= V_k B_k^T + \beta_k v_{k+1} e_k^T \end{aligned}$$

with $U_k \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}$, $V_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$ with orthonormal columns ($U_k^H U_k = I_k$, $V_k^H V_k = I_k$), $B_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ being upper bidiagonal and $v_{k+1} \in \mathbb{C}^n$

$$B_k = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \beta_1 & & & \\ & \alpha_2 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \beta_{k-1} & \\ & & & & \alpha_k \end{bmatrix}.$$

Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization method - algorithm (basic version)

Input : $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $v_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\|v_1\|_2 = 1$

Output : Partial Lanczos bidiagonal decomposition with

$U_k = [u_1, \dots, u_k] \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}$, $V_{k+1} = [v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$ with orthonormal columns and $B_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ upper bidiagonal

$\beta_0 = 0, u_0 = 0$

for $j = 1, k$ **do**

$u_j = Av_j - \beta_{j-1}u_{j-1}$

$\alpha_j = \|u_j\|_2$

$u_j = u_j / \alpha_j$

$v_{j+1} = A^H u_j - \alpha_j v_j$

$\beta_j = \|v_{j+1}\|_2$

$v_{j+1} = v_{j+1} / \beta_j$

end for

Simple algorithm ...

Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization method - algorithm (basic version)

Input : $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $v_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\|v_1\|_2 = 1$

Output : Partial Lanczos bidiagonal decomposition with

$U_k = [u_1, \dots, u_k] \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}$, $V_{k+1} = [v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}] \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$ with orthonormal columns and $B_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ upper bidiagonal

$\beta_0 = 0, u_0 = 0$

for $j = 1, k$ **do**

$u_j = Av_j - \beta_{j-1}u_{j-1}$

$\alpha_j = \|u_j\|_2$

$u_j = u_j / \alpha_j$

$v_{j+1} = A^H u_j - \alpha_j v_j$

$\beta_j = \|v_{j+1}\|_2$

$v_{j+1} = v_{j+1} / \beta_j$

end for

Simple algorithm ... that is prone to roundoff error propagation due to loss of orthogonality in U_k and V_k .

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 **Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization
 - **Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization with reorthogonalization**
 - Lanczos bidiagonalization with thick restarting and reorthogonalization
 - Krylov Golub-Kahan decomposition
 - Your notes
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software

Main features of the Lanczos bidiagonalization method

- The Lanczos bidiagonalization method delivers a low rank approximation with a complexity that linearly depends on the number of iterations k .
- Only products with A or A^H and matrix-vector operations are required, which leads to a fairly easy parallel implementation.
- The storage is quite reduced.

Drawbacks of the Lanczos bidiagonalization method

- The Lanczos bidiagonalization method is prone to **roundoff error propagation**. This leads to a loss of orthogonality in U_k and V_k .
- A first cure is to use **selective or complete reorthogonalization** techniques to limit the roundoff error propagation during the algorithm.
- A second cure consists of stopping the algorithm after a certain number of iterations and of restarting by exploiting meaningful information (**thick restarting**).

Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization method with FULL orthogonalization [changes with respect to the basic version are highlighted in color]

for $j = 1, k$ **do**

$$u_j = Av_j$$

for $i = 1, j - 1$ **do**

$$\gamma = u_i^H u_j$$

$$u_j = u_j - \gamma u_i$$

end for

$$\alpha_j = \|u_j\|_2$$

$$u_j = u_j / \alpha_j$$

$$v_{j+1} = A^H u_j$$

for $i = 1, j$ **do**

$$\gamma = v_i^H v_{j+1}$$

$$v_{j+1} = v_{j+1} - \gamma v_i$$

end for

$$\beta_j = \|v_{j+1}\|_2$$

$$v_{j+1} = v_{j+1} / \beta_j$$

end for

Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization method with ONE-SIDED orthogonalization [changes with respect to the basic version are highlighted in color]

$$\beta_0 = 0, u_0 = 0$$

for $j = 1, k$ **do**

$$u_j = Av_j - \beta_{j-1}u_{j-1}$$

$$\alpha_j = \|u_j\|_2$$

$$u_j = u_j/\alpha_j$$

$$v_{j+1} = A^H u_j$$

for $i = 1, j$ **do**

$$\gamma = v_i^H v_{j+1}$$

$$v_{j+1} = v_{j+1} - \gamma v_i$$

end for

$$\beta_j = \|v_{j+1}\|_2$$

$$v_{j+1} = v_{j+1}/\beta_j$$

end for

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization
 - Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization with reorthogonalization
 - Lanczos bidiagonalization with thick restarting and reorthogonalization**
 - Krylov Golub-Kahan decomposition
 - Your notes
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software

Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization method with thick restarting and reorthogonalization

- They must be **favored** with respect to standard Lanczos bidiagonalization methods !
- Their **parallel performance** is however limited by the reorthogonalization procedure, which can be costly in a massively parallel environment.
- Their **complexity** heavily depends on the repartition of the leading singular values of A .
- **Software** : SLEPc.

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization
 - Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization with reorthogonalization
 - Lanczos bidiagonalization with thick restarting and reorthogonalization
 - Krylov Golub-Kahan decomposition**
 - Your notes
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software

Krylov Golub-Kahan decomposition

Krylov Golub-Kahan decomposition [Stoll, 2012]

- Given $v_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of unit Euclidean norm, we consider the **Lanczos bidiagonalization** decomposition :

$$\begin{aligned} AV_k &= U_k B_k, \\ A^H U_k &= V_k B_k^T + \beta_k v_{k+1} e_k^T. \end{aligned}$$

- We perform the **SVD** decomposition of the small bidiagonal matrix $B_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ as $B_k = P_k \Sigma_k Q_k^T$.
- This leads to the **Krylov Golub-Kahan** decomposition :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A} \tilde{V}_k &= \tilde{U}_k \Sigma_k, \\ A^H \tilde{U}_k &= \tilde{V}_k \Sigma_k + \beta_k v_{k+1} p_k^T \end{aligned}$$

with $\tilde{U}_k = U_k P_k$, $\tilde{U}_k^H \tilde{U}_k = I_k$, $\tilde{V}_k = V_k Q_k$, $\tilde{V}_k^H \tilde{V}_k = I_k$, $p_k^T = e_k^T P_k$ and $\Sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ being **diagonal**.

Krylov Golub-Kahan decomposition

Krylov Golub-Kahan decomposition [Stoll, 2012]

- The **Krylov Golub-Kahan** decomposition is **extremely** convenient for implementing **deflation**, an important feature to improve convergence that can be tedious to implement in other decompositions.
- **Deflation** : if l singular values are of interest, we decide to **lock (keep)** them, otherwise we **purge** them. This corresponds to a simple permutation matrix Π of Σ_k as :

$$\hat{\Sigma}_k = \Pi^T \Sigma_k \Pi = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_l, \dots, \sigma_k), \quad (l \leq k)$$

- This then leads to the **Krylov Golub-Kahan** shrunked decomposition :

$$\begin{aligned} A \hat{V}_l &= \hat{U}_l \hat{\Sigma}_l, \\ A^H \hat{U}_l &= \hat{V}_l \hat{\Sigma}_l + \beta_l v_{l+1} \hat{\rho}_l^T \end{aligned}$$

- The factorization can then be expanded from dimension l to k .

Suggested reading

Suggested reading

- **A. Björck.** *Numerical Methods for Least Squares Problems*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1996.
- **V. Hernandez, J. Roman, A. Tomas.** *Restarted Lanczos Bidiagonalization for the SVD in SLEPc*, SLEPc Technical Report STR-8, 2007. Available at <http://slep.c.upv.es/documentation/reports/str8.pdf>.

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization
 - Partial Lanczos bidiagonalization with reorthogonalization
 - Lanczos bidiagonalization with thick restarting and reorthogonalization
 - Krylov Golub-Kahan decomposition
 - **Your notes**
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software

Your notes

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 **Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Formulation of the matrix eigenvalue problem
 - Standard algorithm for the Hermitian eigendecomposition
 - Subspace iteration method
 - Lanczos tridiagonalization
 - Contour integration spectrum slicing methods
 - Afternoon session
 - Your notes

Objectives and key idea

Objectives

- Why selecting this approach ? : **Much better performance** in terms of parallelization for the approaches based on the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition can be expected with respect to standard factorization methods.
- Discuss both **sparse and dense** aspects of these methods.
- Focus on parallel properties of the algorithms.

Key idea

- Deduce all or selected singular values/vectors of A as the result of a standard eigenproblem to be detailed.

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Formulation of the matrix eigenvalue problem**
 - Standard algorithm for the Hermitian eigendecomposition
 - Subspace iteration method
 - Lanczos tridiagonalization
 - Contour integration spectrum slicing methods
 - Afternoon session
 - Your notes

Formulation of the matrix eigenvalue problem

Cross-product formulation

- **Idea** : to retrieve a low rank approximation by solving a standard Hermitian eigenvalue problem for which efficient deterministic parallel methods are available.
- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $m \geq n$, the **cross-product eigenvalue formulation** reads :

$$A^H A x = \lambda x,$$

where $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is an eigenvector of $A^H A$ associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$. $A^H A$ is called the Gram matrix.

- This yields $A^H A V = V \Lambda$ with $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ diagonal.
- Singular values are given by $\lambda_j = \sigma_j^2$.
- Case of $m \leq n$: $A A^H x = \lambda x$.

Formulation of the matrix eigenvalue problem

Cyclic formulation

- **Idea** : to retrieve a low rank approximation by solving a Hermitian eigenvalue problem for which efficient deterministic parallel methods are available.
- Given $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ with $m \geq n$, the **cyclic eigenvalue formulation** reads :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0_{m \times m} & A \\ A^H & 0_{n \times n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_j \\ v_j \end{bmatrix} = \lambda_j \begin{bmatrix} u_j \\ v_j \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\begin{bmatrix} u_j \\ v_j \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{m+n}$ is an eigenvector of the augmented matrix C associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$.

- This yields $CV_C = V_C\Lambda$ with $\Lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ diagonal.
- $\lambda_j(C) = \sigma_j(A) = -\lambda_{n+m-i+1}(C)$ and 0.

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 **Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Formulation of the matrix eigenvalue problem
 - **Standard algorithm for the Hermitian eigendecomposition**
 - Subspace iteration method
 - Lanczos tridiagonalization
 - Contour integration spectrum slicing methods
 - Afternoon session
 - Your notes

Standard algorithm for the Hermitian eigendecomposition : Householder tridiagonalization

- **First step** : Reduction of $C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ to **tridiagonal** form $H_L C H_R = T$

$$C \xrightarrow{H_1} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & & \\ * & * & * & * \\ & * & * & * \\ & * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{H_2} \begin{bmatrix} * & * & & \\ * & * & * & \\ & * & * & * \\ & & * & * \end{bmatrix} \equiv T$$

where H_i indicates a **two-sided Householder** transformation. At the end of this step we have $C = HTH^H$ with :

$$H = H_{n-2} \cdots H_1.$$

- **Second step** : Eigendecomposition of T as $T = Q_T \Lambda Q_T^H$
- **Final step** : $C = (HQ_T) \Lambda (HQ_T)^H$.
- **Complexity** : $O(n^3)$.
- **Parallel performance** : relatively low in the first step and **high** in the second step (Divide and conquer [Cuppen, 1981], MRRR [Dhillon et al, 2006]).

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 **Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Formulation of the matrix eigenvalue problem
 - Standard algorithm for the Hermitian eigendecomposition
 - **Subspace iteration method**
 - Lanczos tridiagonalization
 - Contour integration spectrum slicing methods
 - Afternoon session
 - Your notes

Subspace iteration method

Subspace iteration method

Input : $\ell > 1$, $C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$, $V_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$ with $V_1^H V_1 = I_k$

Output : Orthonormal basis $V_\ell \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times k}$

for $j = 1, \ell - 1$ **do**

$W_j = CV_j$

Compute the *QR* decomposition of W_j as $W_j = V_{j+1} R_{j+1}$

end for

- Eigenvalue extraction from Galerkin condition $Cv - \mu v \perp \mathcal{V}_\ell, v \in \mathcal{V}_\ell$
- μ is an eigenvalue of the $k \times k$ matrix $V_\ell^H C V_\ell$
- $v = V_\ell w$ with $\|w\|_2 = 1$, eigenvector of $V_\ell^H C V_\ell$ associated with μ .
- μ and v are called Ritz value and Ritz vector, respectively.
- The basic subspace iteration extracts k Ritz pairs which are close to the dominant eigenvalues/eigenvectors of C .

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 **Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Formulation of the matrix eigenvalue problem
 - Standard algorithm for the Hermitian eigendecomposition
 - Subspace iteration method
 - **Lanczos tridiagonalization**
 - Contour integration spectrum slicing methods
 - Afternoon session
 - Your notes

Lanczos tridiagonalization

Lanczos method (basic version)

Input : $C \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ or the action of C on a vector, $v_1 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\|v_1\|_2 = 1$

Output : orthonormal basis $V_{k+1} = [v_1, \dots, v_{k+1}]$ of $\mathcal{X}_{k+1}(C, v_1)$

for $j = 1, k$ **do**

$$z_j = Cv_j$$

$$\alpha_j = v_j^H z_j$$

$$\tilde{v}_{j+1} = z_j - \alpha_j v_j$$

if $j > 1$ **then**

$$\tilde{v}_{j+1} = \tilde{v}_{j+1} - \beta_{j-1} v_{j-1}$$

end if

$$\beta_j = \|\tilde{v}_{j+1}\|_2$$

$$v_{j+1} = \tilde{v}_{j+1} / \beta_j$$

end for

Lanczos tridiagonalization

Lanczos decomposition

- The Lanczos algorithm leads to the decomposition :

$$CV_k = V_k H_k + \hat{\beta}_k v_{k+1} e_k^T$$

with H_k being symmetric and tridiagonal :

$$H_k = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_1 & \hat{\beta}_1 & & & \\ \hat{\beta}_1 & \hat{\alpha}_2 & \ddots & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \hat{\beta}_{k-1} & & \\ & & & \hat{\alpha}_k & \end{bmatrix}.$$

- The eigenpairs (μ_j, w_j) of $H_k = V_k^H C V_k$ are called **Ritz pairs** (Ritz values and Ritz vectors, respectively). They provide approximate spectral information of C .
- Convergence of Ritz pairs (which part of the spectrum ?) + difficulties

Lanczos tridiagonalization

Lanczos decomposition with complete/selective reorthogonalization

- Complete reorthogonalization is an effective but **expensive** cure
- Require to store the complete basis V_k (i.e. k vectors)
- The computational cost grows from $O(mvp + nk)$ to $O(mvp + nk^2)$
- Paige (1990) : Consider a k -order Lanczos decomposition computed in floating point arithmetic with machine precision ϵ_{mach} . The Ritz pairs $(\mu_1, w_1), \dots, (\mu_k, w_k)$ satisfy

$$w_i^H w_{k+1} = \frac{O(\epsilon_{mach} \|C\|_2)}{\|r_i\|_2}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

with $r_i = Cw_i - \mu_i w_i$

- This suggests the use of **selective reorthogonalization** only versus converged Ritz pairs (within $\sqrt{\epsilon}$).

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Formulation of the matrix eigenvalue problem
 - Standard algorithm for the Hermitian eigendecomposition
 - Subspace iteration method
 - Lanczos tridiagonalization
 - Contour integration spectrum slicing methods**
 - Afternoon session
 - Your notes

Contour integration spectrum slicing (CISS) methods

CISS [Sakurai and Sugiura [2003], Polizzi [2009]]

- **Locate** and **compute** the **eigenvalues** within a given region of interest with contour C .

- Indicator function of C : $f(z) = -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_C (\mu - z)^{-1} d\mu, \quad z \notin C.$

$$f(z) = 1, z \in C, \quad f(z) = 0, \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

- Numerical approximation of the spectral projector $D = -\frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_C (zI_n - C)^{-1} dz$ by Gauss quadrature

$$\hat{D} = \sum_{j=0}^N w_j (z_j I_n - C)^{-1},$$

where $N+1$ is the number of contour points, z_j the quadrature points on C and w_j the quadrature weights, respectively.

Contour integration spectrum slicing (CISS) methods

Algorithm (Hermitian case) formulated as a filtered subspace iteration

- We define the density matrix (spectral projector) as :

$$D = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C G(z) dz \text{ with } G(z) = (zI_n - C)^{-1}.$$

- (a) Pick $Y_{n \times M} = [y_1, \dots, y_M]$ M **random** vectors of \mathbb{C}^n .
- (b) Compute Q an approximation of $D Y_{n \times M}$ by numerical integration :

$$Q = \sum_{j=0}^N w_j (z_j I_n - C)^{-1} Y_{n \times M}.$$

- (c) Solve the **projected** generalized Hermitian eigenproblem (of size $M \times M$) :

$$Q^H C Q p_i = \lambda_i (Q^H Q) p_i$$

with $(\lambda_j, x_j = Q p_j)$ is a putative eigenpair of C .

- Check if $\lambda_j \in C$, and go back to step (b) using $Y = X = [x_1, \dots, x_M]$ if needed.

Contour integration spectrum slicing (CISS) methods

Main properties

- **Fast and systematic convergence** in the Hermitian case : using 8 to 16 contour points, the algorithm converges in 2–3 iterations only to obtain up to **thousands** of eigenpairs (if exist) with machine accuracy.
- Naturally captures **all** multiplicities.
- **No** (explicit) orthogonalization procedure required.
- **Natural** parallelism at various levels (C , $N+1$ contour points, solution of linear systems).
- Allow the use of (**parallel**) iterative methods for solving the complex linear systems.
- **Drawback** : use of complex arithmetic for solving a symmetric real-valued eigenproblem (cross-product formulation).

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 **Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Formulation of the matrix eigenvalue problem
 - Standard algorithm for the Hermitian eigendecomposition
 - Subspace iteration method
 - Lanczos tridiagonalization
 - Contour integration spectrum slicing methods
 - **Afternoon session**
 - Your notes

Afternoon session

- Experiment the two different strategies for the eigendecomposition (**Lanczos** based or **CISS** based) with two different codes that are publicly available.
- Synthetic test matrices are provided for easy testing.
- Study **robustness** for synthetic problems with variable singular gap.
- Study **performance** on your dataset if time permits.
- **Requirements** : your matrix must be stored in **HDF5** or **MatrixMarket** formats.
- Conclusions to be shared !

Suggested reading

Suggested reading

- **Z. Bai et al.** *Templates for the Solution of Algebraic Eigenvalue Problems : A Practical Guide.*, SIAM, 2000.
- **Y. Saad.** *Numerical Methods for Large Eigenvalue Problems*, SIAM, 2011.
- **E. Polizzi.** *Density-matrix-based algorithms for solving eigenvalue problems*, Phys. Rev. B, 79 :115112, 2009.
- **T. Sakurai and H. Sugiura.** *A projection method for generalized eigenvalue problems*, J. Comput. Appl. Math., Vol. 159, pp. 119-128, 2003.
- **P. T. P. Tang and E. Polizzi.** *FEAST as a subspace iteration eigensolver accelerated by approximate spectral projection*. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 35(2) :354–390, 2014

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 **Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition**
 - Objectives and key idea
 - Formulation of the matrix eigenvalue problem
 - Standard algorithm for the Hermitian eigendecomposition
 - Subspace iteration method
 - Lanczos tridiagonalization
 - Contour integration spectrum slicing methods
 - Afternoon session
 - **Your notes**

Your notes

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software**
 - **Parallel software for dense linear algebra problem**
 - Parallel software for the singular value decomposition
 - Parallel software for the Hermitian eigendecomposition
 - Your notes
- 6 Conclusions

CANDMC

CANDMC (Communication Avoiding Numerical Dense Matrix Computations)

- <https://github.com/solomonik/CANDMC>
- E. Solomonik (University of Illinois, USA)
- **Dense linear algebra** software
- Special focus on **communication avoiding** algorithms (**LU, QR and symmetric eigendecomposition**)
- Implementation of **TSQR** algorithm
- Written in C++
- Last version : 2016, BSD licence
- **Householder prize in 2017**

Chameleon

Chameleon

- <https://project.inria.fr/chameleon/>
- Joint project : ICL (University of Tennessee), INRIA, KAUST, University of Colorado
- **Dense linear algebra** software relying on sequential task-based algorithms where subtasks of the overall algorithms are submitted to a runtime system
- **General paradigm** (Direct Acyclic Graph (DAG)) used on very different type of architectures : laptop, many-core nodes, CPUs-GPUs, multiple nodes
- Chameleon is able to perform a Cholesky factorization (double-precision) at **80** TFlop/s on a dense matrix of order **400000**
- Written in C++, C and Python
- Last version : 0.9.0 in June 2016, Cecill-C licence

DPLASMA

DPLASMA

- <https://www.icl.utk.edu/dplasma>
- ICL (University of Tennessee)
- **Dense linear algebra** software relying on sequential task-based algorithms
- **General paradigm** (Direct Acyclic Graph (DAG)) used on very different type of architectures
- Cholesky, QR and TSQR factorizations
- Written in Fortran, C, C++
- Last version : 1.2.0 in May 2014

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software**
 - Parallel software for dense linear algebra problem
 - Parallel software for the singular value decomposition**
 - Parallel software for the Hermitian eigendecomposition
 - Your notes
- 6 Conclusions

Elemental

Elemental

- <https://www.libelemental.org>
- Elemental is open-source, openly-developed, software for distributed-memory **dense and sparse-direct** linear algebra and optimization which supports a wide range of functionality not available elsewhere.
- Support for “double-double”, “quad-double”, quad-precision, and arbitrary-precision floating-point arithmetic.
- **Research oriented** software with a focus on recent algorithms.
- General software (decomposition, SVD and eigendecomposition).
- Written in C++.
- Last version : 0.87.7 in February 2017.

IRLBA

IRLBA (Implicitly Restarted Lanczos Bidiagonalization Algorithm)

- <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/irlba/>
- Implementation of the algorithm proposed in [Baglama and Reichel, 2005]
- **Dense and sparse** matrices are considered
- Lanczos bidiagonalization with selective reorthogonalization and thick restarting
- Distributed memory implementation
- Written in R.
- Python version available at <https://github.com/bwlewis/irlbpy>
- Last version : 2.2.1 in May 2017, GPL3 license.

QR_MUMPS

QR_MUMPS

- http://buttari.perso.enseeiht.fr/qr_mumps/
- A. Buttari (IRIT, Toulouse)
- Applicable to **sparse** matrices.
- Parallel, multithreaded software based on the StarPU runtime engine.
- Asynchronous and dynamic data-flow programming model which provides high efficiency and scalability.
- Written in Fortran.
- Last version : 2.0 in June 2016.

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software**
 - Parallel software for dense linear algebra problem
 - Parallel software for the singular value decomposition
 - Parallel software for the Hermitian eigendecomposition**
 - Your notes
- 6 Conclusions

ARPACK

ARPACK (Arnoldi Package)

- <http://www.caam.rice.edu/software/ARPACK/>
- R. Lehoucq, K. Maschhoff, D. Sorensen and C. Yang, Rice University, USA.
- **Sparse and dense linear algebra.** Include routines for the SVD.
- Based on reverse communication interface.
- Written in Fortran 77.
- Last version : BSD license.
- Current supported library : <https://github.com/opencollab/arpack-ng>

ELPA

ELPA (Eigenvalue SoLvers for Petaflop-Applications)

- <https://elpa.mpcdf.mpg.de/>
- Joint project in Germany (Max Planck Gesellschaft and several universities).
- **Dense linear algebra.**
- Provide **highly efficient and highly scalable** direct eigensolvers for symmetric matrices based on standard algorithms.
- Target **massively parallel** architectures.
- Written in Fortran (C/C++ interface available).
- Last version : 2017.05 in May 2017, LGPL license.

FEAST

FEAST

- <http://www.feast-solver.org/>
- University of Amherst, USA
- Contour Integral Spectrum slicing method
- **Dense and sparse linear algebra**
- Free high-performance numerical library for solving the Hermitian and non-Hermitian eigenvalue problems, and obtaining all the eigenvalues and (right/left) eigenvectors within a given search interval or arbitrary domain in the complex plane
- It includes flexible reverse communication interfaces and ready to use predefined interfaces for dense, banded and sparse systems.
- Versions for shared and distributed memory platforms
- Written in Fortran
- Last version : 3.0 in June 2015, BSD license.

SLEPc

SLEPc (Scalable Library for Eigenvalue Problem Computations)

- <http://slepc.upv.es/>
- University Politècnica de València, Spain
- **Sparse linear algebra**
- Software library for the solution of large scale sparse eigenvalue problems on parallel computers. It can also be used for computing a partial SVD of a large, sparse, rectangular matrix.
- Extension of PETSc <http://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc/>.
- Versions based on the PETSc data structures which employs the MPI standard for message-passing communication.
- Main language : C.
- Last version : slepc-3.7.4 in May 2017, LGPL license.

Spectra and RSpectra

Spectra (Sparse Eigenvalue Computation Toolkit as a Redesigned ARPACK)

- <http://spectralib.org/>
- C++ library for large scale eigenvalue problems, built on top of Eigen <http://eigen.tuxfamily.org>, an open source linear algebra library.
- Appropriate for the computation of few eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors of large and sparse matrices based on the Implicitly Restarted Arnoldi Method
- **Dense and sparse linear algebra**
- Available in R as RSpectra <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/RSpectra/index.html>
- Partial SVD is also provided ('svds' function) in RSpectra
- <https://bwlewis.github.io/irlba/comparison.html>
- Last version : 0.12-0 in June 2016, MPL2 license.

z-Pares

z-Pares

- <http://zpare.cs.tsukuba.ac.jp/>
- University of Tsukuba, Japan.
- Contour Integral Spectrum slicing method.
- z-Pares is designed to compute eigenvalues and eigenvectors of sparse or dense matrices.
- Single precision and double precision are supported.
- Versions for distributed memory platforms.
- Written in Fortran 90/95.
- Last version : v0.9.6a in October 2014.

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software**
 - Parallel software for dense linear algebra problem
 - Parallel software for the singular value decomposition
 - Parallel software for the Hermitian eigendecomposition
 - **Your notes**
- 6 Conclusions

Your notes

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software
- 6 **Conclusions**
 - **Conclusions**
 - Larger Picture
- 7 References

Conclusions

Summary

- We have first reviewed popular **fundamental rank-revealing matrix decompositions** (Section 2).
- We have focused on **deterministic methods** for low rank approximations with an emphasis on parallel methods (Sections 3 and 4).
- We have shortly described a few related **parallel software libraries** (Section 5).
- We have mostly focused on the **SVD** due to its optimal approximation property. Other deterministic **close to optimal** algorithms have been proposed (two popular examples follow).

Deterministic algorithms for dimensionality reduction

Nonnegative matrix factorization (NMF) [Lee et al, 1999]

- **Goal** : retain both **sparseness** and **interpretability** in the factorization, contrary to the SVD which leads to dense factors.
- **Idea** : Impose a particular constraint in the factored form of A (e.g. nonnegativity, sparsity, weights, regularization or restriction to nonzero entries).
- **Example** : Find $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}$ and $H \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times n}$ such that :

$$\min_{C, H} \|A - CH\|_F, \quad C, H \geq 0,$$

i.e. C, H are entry-wise nonnegative matrices.

- This leads to a **non-convex** optimization problem.
- C is usually selected as a subset of the columns of A , if A has nonnegative entries.
- Applications in image processing, medical imaging, astronomy.

Deterministic algorithms for dimensionality reduction

Skeleton decomposition : CUR/CX factorizations [Mahoney et al, 2009]

- **Idea** : Impose a particular structure for the factored form of A expressed in terms of a small number of actual columns/rows of A . If A is sparse, this keeps sparseness !
- **CZ factorization** : Find $C \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times k}$ and $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times n}$ such that :

$$\min_{C, Z} \|A - CZ\|_F.$$

- C is usually selected as a relevant subset of the columns of A .
- Current algorithms lead to the upper bound :
 $\|A - CZ\|_F \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|A - A_k\|_F$, where A_k is the best rank- k approximation of A and ε positive.
- Applications in astronomy, genetics, mass spectrometry imaging.

Outline

- 1 Objectives and preliminaries
- 2 Fundamental matrix decompositions
- 3 Low rank approximations using the Lanczos bidiagonalization
- 4 Low rank approximations using the symmetric eigenvalue decomposition
- 5 Software
- 6 Conclusions**
 - Conclusions
 - **Larger Picture**
- 7 References

Algorithms for dimensionality reduction

This is an active research area from different perspectives

- **Linear algebra** : Randomized algorithms (see the lecture of Pierre Blanchard).

Algorithms for dimensionality reduction

This is an active research area from different perspectives

- **Linear algebra** : Randomized algorithms (see the lecture of Pierre Blanchard).
- **Multilinear algebra** : Low-rank tensor approximations (canonical polyadic factorization and Tucker decomposition).

Algorithms for dimensionality reduction

This is an active research area from different perspectives

- **Linear algebra** : Randomized algorithms (see the lecture of Pierre Blanchard).
- **Multilinear algebra** : Low-rank tensor approximations (canonical polyadic factorization and Tucker decomposition).
- **Numerical optimization** : Solve the minimization problem in large dimension with deterministic or stochastic gradient descent formulations.

Algorithms for dimensionality reduction

This is an active research area from different perspectives

- **Linear algebra** : Randomized algorithms (see the lecture of Pierre Blanchard).
- **Multilinear algebra** : Low-rank tensor approximations (canonical polyadic factorization and Tucker decomposition).
- **Numerical optimization** : Solve the minimization problem in large dimension with deterministic or stochastic gradient descent formulations.
- **Machine learning** : Current strong activity on **deep learning** algorithms for dimensionality reduction.

Algorithms for dimensionality reduction

This is an active research area from different perspectives

- **Linear algebra** : Randomized algorithms (see the lecture of Pierre Blanchard).
- **Multilinear algebra** : Low-rank tensor approximations (canonical polyadic factorization and Tucker decomposition).
- **Numerical optimization** : Solve the minimization problem in large dimension with deterministic or stochastic gradient descent formulations.
- **Machine learning** : Current strong activity on **deep learning** algorithms for dimensionality reduction.
- **Statistical** learning algorithms.

Algorithms for dimensionality reduction

This is an active research area from different perspectives

- **Linear algebra** : Randomized algorithms (see the lecture of Pierre Blanchard).
- **Multilinear algebra** : Low-rank tensor approximations (canonical polyadic factorization and Tucker decomposition).
- **Numerical optimization** : Solve the minimization problem in large dimension with deterministic or stochastic gradient descent formulations.
- **Machine learning** : Current strong activity on **deep learning** algorithms for dimensionality reduction.
- **Statistical** learning algorithms.

Thank you for your attention !

Bibliography I



J. Baglama and L. Reichel.

Augmented implicitly restarted Lanczos bidiagonalization methods.
SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 27-1 :19-42, 2005.



A. Björck.

Numerical Methods for Least Squares Problems.
SIAM, Philadelphia, 1996.



T. Chan.

An improved algorithm for computing the Singular Value Decomposition.
ACM Trans. Math. Softw., 8 :1, 84-88, 1982.



J. Chiu and L. Demanet.

Sublinear randomized algorithms for skeleton decomposition.
SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl., 34 :3, 1361-1383, 2013.



J. Cuppen.

A divide and conquer method for the symmetric tridiagonal eigenproblem.
Numerische Mathematik, 36 :177-195, 1981.

Bibliography II



I. Dhillon and B. Parlett.

The Design and Implementation of the MRRR Algorithm.

ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, 32 :4, 533–560, 2006.



C. Eckart and G. Young.

The approximation of one matrix by another of lower rank.

Psychometrika, 1 :211-8, 1936.



A. Gittens et al.

Matrix factorizations at scale : A comparison of scientific data analytics in Spark and C+ MPI using three case studies.

IEEE International Conference on Big Data, pp. 204-213, 2016.



G. Golub and W. Kahan.

Calculating the singular values and pseudo-inverse of a matrix.

Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics : Series B, Numerical Analysis. 2 (2) : 205–224, 1965.

Bibliography III



G. Golub, and C. Van Loan.

Matrix Computations.

Johns Hopkins University, fourth edition, 2012.



G. Golub and W. Kahan.

Calculating the singular values and pseudo-inverse of a matrix.

Journal of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics : Series B, Numerical Analysis. 2 (2) : 205–224, 1965.



V. Hernandez, J. Roman and A. Tomas.

A robust and efficient parallel SVD solver based on restarted Lanczos bidiagonalization.

ETNA, 31, 68-85, 2008.



D. Lee and H. Seung.

Learning the parts of objects by non-negative matrix factorizations.

Nature, 401(6755), 788-791, 1999.

Bibliography IV



M. Mahoney and P. Drineas.

CUR matrix decompositions for improved data analysis.
Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, 106, 697-702, 2009.



Y. Nakatsukasa and R. W. Freund.

Computing Fundamental Matrix Decompositions Accurately via the Matrix Sign Function in Two Iterations : The Power of Zolotarev's Functions.
SIAM Review, 58 :3, 461-493, 2016.



E. Polizzi.

Density-Matrix-Based algorithms for solving eigenvalue problems.
Phys. Rev. B., 79 :115112, 2009.



H. Simon and H. Zha.

Low-rank matrix approximation using the Lanczos bidiagonalization process with applications.
SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 21-6 :2257-2274, 2000.

Bibliography V



G. W. Stewart.

An updating algorithm for subspace tracking.

IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 40 :6, 1535, 1992.



G. W. Stewart.

On the early history of the Singular Value Decomposition.

SIAM Review, 35 (4) : 551–566, 1993.



M. Stoll.

A Krylov–Schur approach to the truncated SVD.

Linear Algebra and its Applications, 436 :2795-2806, 2012.



L. N. Trefethen and D. Bau III.

Numerical linear algebra..

SIAM, Philadelphia, 1997.