Novel Optical Oxygen Sensor for Profiling Observation Platforms: Fast Response Time Enables Higher Spatial and Temporal Data Resolution

Tobias Hahn[1]*, Steffen Aßmann[2], and Arne Körtzinger[1,3]

*thahn@geomar.de

[1] GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Germany
[2] Kongsberg Maritime Contros GmbH, Kiel, Germany
[3] Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, Germany

GEOMAR

SFB 754 Ocean Deoxygenation: Drivers and Consequences · Past · Present · Future ·

Topic 1: Prediction and Monitoring

Problem

Data show a decline in the global oceanic O_2 content of more than 2% since 1960 (Schmidtko et al., 2017).

Quantifying global and regional changes of the O_2 distribution improves the understanding of chemical, biological and physical processes in the global ocean, especially in Oxygen Minimum Zones (OMZ).

The faster response time of the novel optical oxygen sensor (optode) HydroFlash™ O2 compared to other optodes is promising to observe various processes with higher spatial and temporal data resolution.

Aim

Integrated characterization of the HydroFlash™ O2 is aimed regarding accuracy, precision, pressure dependance, long-term stability & drift and response time in lab and field (according to Bittig et al., 2018).



Fig. 1: Global Oxygen Minimum Zones (Keeling et al., 2010)

AADI 4330

Sea-Bird SBE63

KM CONTROS HydroFlash™ O2

Results



The response time of $t_{63\%}$ **= 3 – 4s** is ~50% faster compared to other optodes.



Lab calibrations yield accuracies with RMSE < 1 µmol·L^{-1}.



Implementation on Argo-Float

8+ months mooring data

Underway (UW) measurements: 100+ days
Performance on CTD-Casts: 80+ profiles (up to 6000m)

Conclusion & Outlook

Due to its small dimensions and response characteristics, this novel optode could be used on a wide range of autonomous observation platforms such as ships, time-series stations and wave gliders, yet it is potentially promising on floats and gliders.

Next steps: Complete data & performance evaluation of optode, biogeochemical analysis of South Atlantic M133 underway data



References:

Bittig et al. (2018). Oxygen Optode Sensors: Principle, Characterization, Calibration, and Application in the Ocean. Frontiers in Marine Science 4.429, pp. 1-25. [doi:10.3389/fmars.2017.00429].

D'Asaro and McNeil (2013). Calibration and stability of oxygen sensors on autonomous floats. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol 30, pp. 1896-1906. [doi:10.1175/JTECH-D-12-00222.1].

Keeling et al. (2010). Ocean deoxygenation in a warming world. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci. 2: 199-229. [doi:10.1146/annurev.marine.010908.163855).

Schmidtko et al. (2017). Decline in global oceanic oxygen content during the past five decades. Nature 542., p. 335. [doi:10.1038/nature21399].

Uchida et al. (2008). In-situ calibration of optode-based oxygen sensors. J. Atmos. Oceanic. Technol. 25, pp. 2271-2281. [doi:10.1175/2008JTECHO549.1].

AtlantOS

HELMHOLTZ SPITZENFORSCHUNG FÜR GROSSE HERAUSFORDERUNGEN