



Miskolc Mathematical Notes  
Vol. 14 (2013), No 1, pp. 41-47

HU e-ISSN 1787-2413  
DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2013.599

## Hyers-Ulam stability and applications in gauge spaces

*Monica Bota, T. Petra Petru, and G. Petruşel*



## HYERS-ULAM STABILITY AND APPLICATIONS IN GAUGE SPACES

M. BOTA, T. P. PETRU, AND G. PETRUȘEL

*Received 18 September, 2012*

*Abstract.* Using the weakly Picard operator technique, we will present some Ulam- Hyers stability results for operatorial equations and some applications in gauge spaces.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 47H10; 54H25

*Keywords:* Hyers-Ulam stability, weakly Picard operator,  $\psi$ -weakly Picard operator, fixed point, integral equation

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1959, G. Marinescu [10] extended the Banach Contraction Principle to locally convex spaces, while I. Colojoară [4] and N. Gheorghiu [7] to gauge spaces and R. J. Knill [9] to uniform spaces. In 1971, Cain and Nashed [3] extended the notion of contraction to Hausdorff locally convex linear spaces. They showed that on sequentially complete subset, the Banach Contraction Principle is still valid. V.G. Angelov [1] introduced the notion of generalized  $\varphi$ -contractive single-valued map in gauge spaces in 1987, meanwhile the concept for multivalued operators was given in 1998 (see V.G. Angelov [2]). In 2000, M. Frigon [6] introduced the notion of generalized contraction in gauge spaces and proved that every generalized contraction on a complete gauge space (sequentially complete gauge space) has a unique fixed point.

**Definition 1.** Let  $X$  be any set. A map  $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  is called a pseudometric ( or, a gauge) in  $X$  whenever

- (1)  $p(x, y) \geq 0$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (2) If  $x = y$ , then  $p(x, y) = 0$ ;
- (3)  $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (4)  $p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z)$ , for every triple of point.

---

This work was possible with the financial support of the Faculty of Business, Babeș-Bolyai University Cluj-Napoca.

**Definition 2.** A family  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  of pseudometrics on  $X$  (or a gauge structure on  $X$ ), where  $A$  is a directed set, is said to be separating if for each pair of points  $x, y \in X$ , with  $x \neq y$ , there is a  $p_\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $p_\alpha(x, y) \neq 0$ .

A pair  $(X, \mathcal{P})$  of a nonempty set  $X$  and a separating gauge structure  $\mathcal{P}$  on  $X$  is called a gauge space.

It is well known (see Dugundji [5], pages 198-204) that any family  $\mathcal{P}$  of pseudometrics on a set  $X$  induces on  $X$  a uniform structure  $\mathcal{U}$  and conversely, any uniform structure  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $X$  is induced by a family of pseudometrics on  $X$ . In addition, we have that  $\mathcal{U}$  is separating (or Hausdorff) if and only if  $\mathcal{P}$  is separating. Thus we may identify the gauge spaces and the Hausdorff uniform spaces.

A sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of elements in  $X$  is said to be Cauchy if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha \in A$ , there is an  $N$  with  $p_\alpha(x_n, x_{n+p}) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called convergent if there exists an  $x_0 \in X$  such that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha \in A$ , there is an  $N$  with  $p_\alpha(x_0, x_n) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $n \geq N$ .

**Definition 3.** A gauge space is called sequentially complete if any Cauchy sequence is convergent.

A subset of  $X$  is said to be sequentially closed if it contains the limit of any convergent sequence of its elements.

For further details see J. Dugundji [5], A. Granas, J. Dugundji [8].

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be an operator. Then  $x \in X$  is called fixed point for  $f$  if and only if  $x = f(x)$ . The set  $Fix(f) := \{x \in X \mid x = f(x)\}$  is called the fixed point set of  $f$ .

**Definition 4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{P})$  be a gauge space and let  $f : (X, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{P})$  be a single-valued operator. By definition,  $f$  is weakly Picard (briefly WPO) operator if the sequence of successive approximations  $f^n(x)$  converges for all  $x \in X$  and the limit (which may depend on  $X$ ) is a fixed point of  $f$ .

If  $f$  is WPO, then we consider the operator  $f^\infty : (X, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{P})$  defined by  $f^\infty(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(x)$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{P})$  be a gauge space and let  $f : (X, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{P})$  be a WPO and  $\psi = \{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  be a family of mappings such that  $\psi_\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  increasing, continuous in 0 and  $\psi_\alpha(0) = 0$ . By definition the operator  $f$  is  $\psi_\alpha$ -WPO if

$$p_\alpha(x, f^\infty(x)) \leq \psi_\alpha(p_\alpha(x, f(x))), \text{ for all } x \in X, \alpha \in A.$$

If there exists  $c = \{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \in (0, \infty)^A$  such that  $\psi_\alpha(t) := c_\alpha \cdot t$ , for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\alpha \in A$  then the operator  $f$  is  $c_\alpha$ -WPO.

For the theory of weakly Picard operators, see [11] for the single-valued case.

The purpose of this paper is to present some results concerning the Hyers-Ulam stability of some operatorial inclusions (such as the fixed point inclusion, the coincidence point equation or inclusion, etc.) in gauge spaces, using the weakly Picard operator technique.

## 2. HYERS-ULAM STABILITY FOR FIXED POINT EQUATIONS

We will present first the concept of Hyers-Ulam stability in the setting of gauge spaces.

**Definition 6.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{P})$  be a gauge space and let  $f : (X, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{P})$  be a single-valued operator. The fixed point equation

$$x = f(x), \quad x \in X \quad (2.1)$$

is called generalized Hyers-Ulam stable if and only if there exists  $\psi = \{\psi_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$  a family of mappings,  $\psi_\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  increasing, continuous in 0 and  $\psi_\alpha(0) = 0$  such that for each  $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \in (0, \infty)^A$  and for each solution  $y^*$  of the inequation

$$p_\alpha(y, f(y)) \leq \varepsilon_\alpha, \quad \alpha \in A, \quad (2.2)$$

there exists a solution  $x^*$  of the fixed point equation (2.1) such that

$$p_\alpha(y^*, x^*) \leq \psi_\alpha(\varepsilon_\alpha), \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in A.$$

If there exists  $c = \{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \in (0, \infty)^A$  such that  $\psi_\alpha(t) := c_\alpha \cdot t$ , for each  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  and  $\alpha \in A$  then the fixed point equation (2.1) is said to be Hyers-Ulam stable.

We refer to [12] for the particular case of Hyers-Ulam stability in metric spaces. Our first abstract result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(X, \mathcal{P})$  be a gauge space and let  $f : (X, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{P})$  be a  $\psi_\alpha$ -WPO. Then, the fixed point equation (2.1) is generalized Hyers-Ulam stable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_\alpha \in (0, \infty)^A$  and let  $y^* \in f^\infty(x, y)$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -solution of (2.2), i.e.,  $p_\alpha(y^*, f(y^*)) \leq \varepsilon_\alpha$ , for all  $\alpha \in A$ . Since  $f$  is a  $\psi_\alpha$ -WPO, for each  $x \in X$  and  $\alpha \in A$  we have

$$p_\alpha(x, f^\infty(x)) \leq \psi_\alpha(p_\alpha(x, f(x))).$$

Then choosing  $x^* = f^\infty(y^*)$  we have

$$p_\alpha(y^*, x^*) = p_\alpha(y^*, f^\infty(y^*)) \leq \psi_\alpha(p_\alpha(y^*, f(y^*))) \leq \psi_\alpha(\varepsilon_\alpha).$$

Thus the fixed point equation (2.1) is generalized Hyers-Ulam stable.  $\square$

In 1974, Tarafdar [13] expressed the notion of contraction in Hausdorff uniform spaces, using the observation that a uniformity on  $X$  determines a family of gauges  $\{p_\alpha\}$ . A Hyers-Ulam stability result for the case of Tarafdar contraction in gauge spaces is as follows.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{P})$  be a gauge space and let  $f : (X, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{P})$  be an  $a_\alpha$ -contraction, i.e. for every  $\alpha \in A$  there exists  $a = \{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \in (0, 1)^A$  such that

$$p_\alpha(f(x), f(y)) \leq a_\alpha \cdot p_\alpha(x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then  $F_f = \{x^*\}$  and the fixed point equation (2.1) is Hyers-Ulam stable.

*Proof.* From Tarafdar [13] we get that  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $x^* \in X$  and, for each  $x \in X$ , we have that  $f^n(x) \rightarrow x^*$ . Thus,  $f$  is a Picard operator. Moreover, it is a  $c_\alpha$ -WPO, with  $c_\alpha := \frac{1}{1-a_\alpha}$ . Applying Theorem 1 we obtain the conclusion.  $\square$

An extension of the previous result concerns the case of graphic-contractions.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{P})$  be a sequentially complete gauge space. Let  $f : (X, \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{P})$  be an operator. If  $f$  is a graphic  $a_\alpha$ -contraction, i.e., for every  $\alpha \in A$  there exists  $a = \{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \in (0, 1)^A$  such that

$$p_\alpha(f^2(x), f(x)) \leq a_\alpha \cdot p_\alpha(x, f(x)), \text{ for all } x \in X$$

and  $f$  has closed graph, then  $F_f \neq \emptyset$  and the equation (2.1) is Hyers-Ulam stable.

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_n \in f(x_{n-1}) = f^n(x_0), n = 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers,  $m < n$ , then for each  $\alpha \in A$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p_\alpha(x_m, x_n) &= p_\alpha(f^m(x_0), f^n(x_0)) \\ &\leq p_\alpha(f^m(x_0), f^{m+1}(x_0)) + p_\alpha(f^{m+1}(x_0), f^{m+2}(x_0)) + \dots \\ &\quad + p_\alpha(f^{n-1}(x_0), f^n(x_0)) \\ &\leq a_\alpha p_\alpha(f^{m-1}(x_0), f^m(x_0)) + a_\alpha p_\alpha(f^m(x_0), f^{m+1}(x_0)) + \dots \\ &\quad + a_\alpha p_\alpha(f^{n-2}(x_0), f^{n-1}(x_0)) \\ &\leq a_\alpha^m p_\alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) + a_\alpha^{m+1} p_\alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) + \dots + a_\alpha^{n-1} p_\alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) \\ &= p_\alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) a_\alpha^m (1 + a_\alpha + \dots + a_\alpha^{n-m-1}) \\ &\leq p_\alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) a_\alpha^m \frac{1 - a_\alpha^{n-m}}{1 - a_\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the sequence  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy, therefore  $(x_n)$  converges to a point  $x^* \in X$ . From the continuity of  $f$  we get that  $x^*$  is a fixed point for  $f$ . So, we have

$$p_\alpha(x_m, x_n) \leq p_\alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) a_\alpha^m \frac{1 - a_\alpha^{n-m}}{1 - a_\alpha}.$$

If we choose in the above inequality  $m = 0$  and let  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain:

$$p_\alpha(x_0, x^*) \leq p_\alpha(x_0, f(x_0)) \frac{1}{1 - a_\alpha}, \text{ for all } \alpha \in A.$$

Thus  $f$  is a  $c_\alpha$ -WPO with  $c_\alpha := \frac{1}{1-a_\alpha}$ . Therefore the second conclusion follows from Theorem 1.  $\square$

## 3. APPLICATIONS

We will apply some of the above results to nonlinear integral equations on the real axis.

$$x(t) = \int_0^t K(t, s, x(s))ds + g(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (3.1)$$

We give the notion of Hyers-Ulam stability for the integral equation.

**Definition 7.** The integral equation (3.1) is called Hyers-Ulam stable if and only if there exists  $c = \{c_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \in (0, \infty)^A$  such that for each  $\varepsilon = \{\varepsilon_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \in (0, \infty)^A$  and for any  $\varepsilon$ -solution  $y^*$  of (1) (i.e., any  $y^* \in C([0, \infty], \mathbb{R}^n)$  which satisfies the inequality

$$|y^*(t) - \int_0^t K(t, s, x(s))ds - g(t)| \leq \varepsilon_\alpha, \quad \text{for each } t \geq 0 \quad (3.2)$$

there exists a solution  $x^*$  of the equation (3.1) such that

$$|y^*(t) - x^*(t)| \leq c_\alpha \cdot \varepsilon_\alpha, \quad \text{for each } t \geq 0.$$

**Theorem 4.** Consider equation (3.1). Suppose that:

- i)  $K : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  are continuous;
- ii) there exists  $k > 0$  such that

$$|K(t, s, u) - K(t, s, v)| \leq k|u - v|, \quad \text{for each } t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n;$$

Then the integral equation (3.1) has a unique solution  $x^*$  in  $C([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$  and equation (3.1) is Hyers-Ulam stable.

*Proof.* Let  $X := C([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$  and the family of pseudo-norms

$$\|x\|_n := \max_{t \in [0, n]} |x(t)|e^{-\tau t}, \quad \text{where } \tau > 0.$$

Define now  $d_n(x, y) := \|x - y\|_n$  for  $x, y \in X$ .

Then  $\mathcal{P} := (d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  is family of gauges on  $X$ . Then  $(X, \mathcal{P})$  is a complete gauge space.

Define  $A : C([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C([0, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ , by the formula

$$Ax(t) := \int_0^t K(t, s, x(s))ds + g(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_+.$$

For each  $x, y \in X$  and for  $t \in [0, n]$ , we have successively:

$$\begin{aligned} |Ax(t) - Ay(t)| &\leq \int_0^t |K(t, s, x(s)) - K(t, s, y(s))|ds \leq \int_0^t k|x(s) - y(s)|ds \\ &= k \int_0^t |x(s) - y(s)|e^{-\tau s}e^{\tau s}ds \leq k \int_0^t e^{\tau s}(|x(s) - y(s)|e^{-\tau s})ds \\ &\leq kd_n(x, y) \int_0^t e^{\tau s}ds \leq \frac{k}{\tau}d_n(x, y)e^{\tau t}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $\tau > k$  and denoting  $L := \frac{k}{\tau} < 1$  we obtain

$$d_n(Ax, Ay) \leq Ld_n(x, y), \text{ for each } x, y \in X.$$

The conclusion follows now from Theorem 2.  $\square$

Consider now the following equation

$$x(t) = \int_{-t}^t K(t, s, x(s))ds + g(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.3)$$

**Theorem 5.** Consider the equation (3.3). Suppose that:

- i)  $K : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  are continuous;
- ii) there exists  $k > 0$  such that

$$|K(t, s, u) - K(t, s, v)| \leq k|u - v|, \text{ for each } t, s \in \mathbb{R}, u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n;$$

Then the integral equation (3.3) has a unique solution  $x^*$  in  $C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and equation (3.3) is Hyers-Ulam stable.

*Proof.* We consider the gauge space  $X := (C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{P} := (d_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})$  where

$$d_n(x, y) = \max_{-n \leq t \leq n} (|x(t) - y(t)| \cdot e^{-\tau|t|}), \quad \tau > 0,$$

and the operator  $B : X \rightarrow X$  defined by

$$Bx(t) = \int_{-t}^t K(t, s, x(s))ds + g(t).$$

From condition (ii), for  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Bx(t) - By(t)| &\leq \int_{-t}^t k|x(s) - y(s)|e^{-\tau|s|}e^{\tau|s|}ds \leq \\ &k \int_{-t}^t e^{\tau|s|}(|x(s) - y(s)|e^{-\tau|s|})ds \leq kd_n(x, y) \left| \int_{-t}^t e^{\tau|s|}ds \right| \leq \\ &kd_n(x, y) \int_{-|t|}^{|t|} e^{\tau|s|}ds \leq \frac{2k}{\tau} d_n(x, y) e^{\tau|t|}, \quad t \in [-n; n]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any  $\tau \geq 2k$ , if we denote  $L := \frac{2k}{\tau} < 1$ , we obtain

$$d_n(B(x), B(y)) \leq Ld_n(x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in E, \text{ and for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The conclusion follows again by Theorem 2.  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

For the first author, this work was possible with the financial support of a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-ID-PCE-2011-3-0094.

## REFERENCES

- [1] V. G. Angelov, "Fixed point theorem in uniform spaces and applications," *Czech. Math. J.*, vol. 37(112), no. 1, pp. 19–33, 1987.
- [2] V. G. Angelov, "Fixed points of multi-valued mappings in uniform spaces," *Math. Balk., New Ser.*, vol. 12, no. 1-2, pp. 29–35, 1998.
- [3] G. L. j. Cain and M. Z. Nashed, "Fixed points and stability for a sum of two operators in locally convex spaces," *Pac. J. Math.*, vol. 39, pp. 581–592, 1971.
- [4] I. Colojoară, "Sur un théorème d'un point fixe dans les espaces uniformes complets," *Comun. Acad. Republ. Popul. Romine*, vol. 11, pp. 281–283, 1961.
- [5] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, ser. Advanced Mathematics. Boston: Allyn and Bacon, Inc., 1966.
- [6] M. Frigon, "Fixed point results for generalized contractions in gauge spaces and applications," *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, vol. 128, no. 10, pp. 2957–2965, 2000.
- [7] N. Gheorghiu, "Ein satz über Kontraktionen in uniformen Räumen," *Stud. Cercet. Mat.*, vol. 19, pp. 119–122, 1967.
- [8] A. Granas and J. Dugundji, *Fixed point theory*, ser. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. New York: Springer, 2003.
- [9] R. J. Knill, "Fixed points of uniform contractions," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 12, pp. 449–455, 1965.
- [10] G. Marinescu, *Topologice und pseudotopologische Vektorräume [Spații vectoriale topologice si pseudotopologice]*, ser. Biblioteca Matematică. București: Editura Academiei Republicii Populare Romine, 1959, vol. 4.
- [11] I. A. Rus, "Picard operators and applications," *Sci. Math. Jpn.*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 191–219, 2003.
- [12] I. A. Rus, "Remarks on ulam stability of the operatorial equations," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 305–320, 2009.
- [13] E. Tarafdar, "An approach to fixed-point theorems on uniform spaces," *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, vol. 191, pp. 209–225, 1974.

*Authors' addresses***M. Bota**

Babeș-Bolyai University, Department of Applied Mathematics, Kogalniceanu Str. No 1., Cluj-Napoca, Romania

*E-mail address:* bmonica@math.ubbcluj.ro

**T. P. Petru**

Babeș-Bolyai University, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, Teodor Mihali Str. No 1., Cluj-Napoca, Romania

*E-mail address:* petra.petru@econ.ubbcluj.ro

**G. Petrușel**

Babeș-Bolyai University, Faculty of Business, Horea Str. No 7., Cluj-Napoca, Romania

*E-mail address:* gabi.petrusel@tbs.ubbcluj.ro