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#### Abstract

J. C. Robson has investigated the ideal $I_{n}$ of all polynomials in the free associative algebra $R\langle x\rangle$ over a non-commutative ring $R$ generated by $x$ and the $n^{2}$ entries of an $n \times n$ matrix $\alpha=\left(a_{i j}\right)$, which are satisfied by $\alpha$. He found four cubics generating the ideal for $n=$ 2 and proved its finite generation for any $n$. Ts. Rashkova has considered the ideal $I_{2}$ for matrix algebras with involution over a noncommutative ring and over a field of characteristic zero. In the paper the ideal $I_{3}$ is described for some special upper triangular matrices over a field of characteristic 0 . The $T$-ideal $T\left(U_{2}(G)\right)$ is investigated as well for $G$ denoting the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra.
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## 1. Introduction

The Cayley-Hamilton theorem and the corresponding trace identity play a fundamental role in proving classical results about the polynomial and trace identities of the $n \times n$ matrix algebra $M_{n}(K)$ over a field $K$ of characteristic zero.

The structure theory of semisimple rings and quantum matrices for example show the importance of matrices over non-commutative rings in the theory of PI-algebras and other branches of algebra as well.
J. C. Robson investigated in [12-14] the ideal $I_{n}$ of all polynomials (including nonmonics) in the free associative algebra $R\langle x\rangle$ over a non-commutative ring $R$ generated by $x$ and the $n^{2}$ entries of an $n \times n$ matrix $\alpha=\left(a_{i j}\right)$, which are satisfied by $\alpha$. Those polynomials we call the laws over $R$ of a non-commutative $n \times n$ matrix $\alpha$. These are not polynomial identities since the entries of $\alpha$ are allowed as coefficients in the laws and they vary with the choice of $\alpha$.

Robson showed that $I_{n}$ is an insertive ideal (meaning that its homogeneous elements are closed under inner multiplication by a constant $a_{i j}$ in some fixed position) and as such is finitely generated (see [13] and [12, Theorem 2.3]).

[^0]The minimal degree of polynomials in $I_{n}$ remains unknown. However, for the case $n=2$, Robson [12, Proposition 3.2] found four polynomials of degree 3 (least possible) in $I_{2}$ and Pearson showed in [10, Corollary] that these four Robson cubics do indeed generate $I_{2}$ as an insertive ideal.

## 2. Results

The first study of the non-commutative case for $n=3$ was done in [9]. The results there provide further evidence of the tantalizing complexity of even these small matrices. Each of the four found laws of degree 7 has 1156 terms. Thus, special cases of $3 \times 3$ matrices even over a field are of interest.

In [11] we study the ideal $I_{3}$ for some special $3 \times 3$ upper triangular matrices considered in [7]. These algebras could be endowed with involution $*$ and their $*$ codimensions have important properties.

Here we give a complete answer for the existing laws in these algebras and investigate the $T$-ideal $T\left(U_{2}(G)\right)$ of the $2 \times 2$ upper triangular matrices over $G$, where $G$ stands for the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra.

### 2.1. Laws for upper triangular matrices over a field

### 2.1.1. Special upper triangular matrices

In [7] D. La Mattina and P. Misso study some associative algebras with involution generating $*$-varieties of algebras with linear or linearly bounded sequences of $*$ codimensions. Questions concerning laws for them were discussed in [11]. Here we give the complete answers.

Let $K$ be a field of characteristic zero and

$$
M_{2}(K)=\left\{x=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & b & c \\
0 & a & b \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right): a, b, c \in K\right\}
$$

All coefficients in the polynomials below mean the corresponding scalar matrix, i. e., $a=a E$ for example.

Theorem 1. The only Robson cubic for a matrix from $M_{2}(K)$ in the general case is $r(x)=(x-a)^{3}$. For $b=0, c \neq 0$ a law of minimal degree is $(x-a)^{2}$ and for $b=c=0$ it is $x-a$.

Proof. For a matrix $x$ from the considered algebra we prove directly that ( $x-$ $a)^{3}=0$. Due to [8, Lemma 2, p.432] considering the subring $T$ of the diagonal elements of a generic upper triangular matrix $A$ and $w(x) \in T\langle x\rangle$, then $w(A)=0$ iff $w(x) \in\left\langle x-a_{11}\right\rangle\left\langle x-a_{22}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle x-a_{n n}\right\rangle$, where $\langle f\rangle$ is the ideal generated by $f$. In the cited Lemma $T$ is a subring of a non-commutative ring. In Theorem 1 the elements of the matrix are elements of a field and then $T\langle x\rangle=K\langle x\rangle$ and the possible
laws of degree less or equal to 3 could be given with the linear combination

$$
A=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} x+\alpha_{3}(x-a)+\alpha_{4} x^{2}+\alpha_{5}(x-a)^{2}+\alpha_{6} x(x-a)
$$

Equating to zero the corresponding entries we get the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1}+a \alpha_{2}+a^{2} \alpha_{4} & =0 \\
b \alpha_{2}+b \alpha_{3}+2 a b \alpha_{4}+a b \alpha_{6} & =0 \\
c \alpha_{2}+c \alpha_{3}+\left(2 a c+b^{2}\right) \alpha_{4}+b^{2} \alpha_{5}+\left(a c+b^{2}\right) \alpha_{6} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

For $b \neq 0$ the system has a solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{5}=-\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{6}, \\
& \alpha_{1}=a \alpha_{3}+a^{2} \alpha_{4}+a^{2} \alpha_{6}, \\
& \alpha_{2}=-\alpha_{3}-2 a \alpha_{4}-a \alpha_{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case $A$, is identically equal to zero. Considering $b=0$, we get the rest of the statement.

Let us put

$$
M_{3}(K)=\left\{x=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & b & c \\
0 & 0 & d \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right): a, b, c, d \in K\right\}
$$

Theorem 2. The only Robson cubic for a matrix from $M_{3}(K)$ in the general case is $r(x)=(x-a)^{2} x$. For the three cases $b \neq 0, c=d=0 ; d \neq 0, b=c=0$, and $b=c=d=0$ a law of minimal degree is $(x-a) x$.

Proof. Directly we calculate that for a matrix $x$ from the considered algebra we have $(x-a)^{2} x=0$. Then, due to Lemma 2 from [8, p. 432], considerations analogous to the proof of Theorem 1 lead us to the linear combination

$$
A=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} x+\alpha_{3}(x-a)+\alpha_{4} x^{2}+\alpha_{5}(x-a)^{2}+\alpha_{6} x(x-a)
$$

of all possible laws of degree $\leq 3$. Equating to zero the corresponding entries, we obtain the system

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\alpha_{1}+a \alpha_{2}+a^{2} \alpha_{4}=0, \\
b \alpha_{2}+b \alpha_{3}+a b \alpha_{4}-a b \alpha_{5}=0, \\
c \alpha_{2}+c \alpha_{3}+(2 a c+b d) \alpha_{4}+b d \alpha_{5}+(a c+b d) \alpha_{6}=0 \\
\alpha_{1}-a \alpha_{3}+a^{2} \alpha_{5}=0 \\
d \alpha_{2}+d \alpha_{3}+a d \alpha_{4}-a d \alpha_{5}=0
\end{array}
$$

For $b \neq 0, d \neq 0$ the system has a solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{6}=-\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{5}, \\
& \alpha_{1}=a \alpha_{3}-a^{2} \alpha_{5}, \\
& \alpha_{2}=-\alpha_{3}-a \alpha_{4}+a \alpha_{5} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case $A$ is identically equal to zero.
The three cases $b \neq 0, c=d=0 ; d \neq 0, b=c=0$, and $b=c=d=0$ give the law $(x-a) x$.

Let us put

$$
M_{4}(K)=\left\{x=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & b & c \\
0 & a & d \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right): a, b, c, d \in K\right\}
$$

Theorem 3. The only Robson cubic for a matrix from $M 4(K)$ in the general case is $r(x)=(x-a) x^{2}$. For $c=d=0$ or $b=c=0$ a law of minimal degree is $(x-a)$.

Proof. It follows the above pattern of proof. Directly we get $(x-a) x^{2}=0$. The corresponding system is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1}+a \alpha_{2}+a^{2} \alpha_{4} & =0, \\
b \alpha_{2}+b \alpha_{3}+a b \alpha_{4}-a b \alpha_{5} & =0, \\
c \alpha_{2}+c \alpha_{3}+b d \alpha_{4}+(b d-2 a c) \alpha_{5}+(b d-a c) \alpha_{6} & =0, \\
\alpha_{1}-a \alpha_{3}+a^{2} \alpha_{5} & =0, \\
d \alpha_{2}+d \alpha_{3}+a d \alpha_{4}-a d \alpha_{5} & =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $b \neq 0, d \neq 0$ the system has the same solution as in the previous theorem and $A$ is identically equal to zero.

The two cases $c=d=0$ and $b=c=0$ give the law $(x-a) x$.

### 2.1.2. The general upper triangular case

Now we consider the general case, namely, the algebra $U_{3}(K, *)$ of the upper triangular matrices of order 3 with the involution $*$ reflection along the second diagonal. We could find the analogues of the Robson cubics for the $*$-symmetric matrices and for the $*$-skew-symmetric matrices as well.

Theorem 4. The only law of minimal degree for a symmetric matrix $x \in U_{3}^{+}(K, *)$, where

$$
U_{3}^{+}(K, *)=\left\{x=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & b & d \\
0 & c & b \\
0 & 0 & a
\end{array}\right): a, b, c \in K\right\}
$$

is $(x-a)^{2}(x-c)$. For $b=d=0$ it is $(x-a)(x-c)$.

Proof. Directly we calculate that $(x-a)^{2}(x-c)=0$. Then as explained in the above proofs we have to form the linear combination

$$
A=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}(x-a)+\alpha_{3}(x-a)^{2}+\alpha_{4}(x-c)+\alpha_{5}(x-c)^{2}+\alpha_{6}(x-a)(x-c)
$$

of all possible laws of degree $\leq 3$. Equating to zero the corresponding entries we get the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{1}+(a-c) \alpha_{4}+(a-c)^{2} \alpha_{5} & =0 \\
b \alpha_{2}+b(c-a) \alpha_{3}+b \alpha_{4}+b(a-c) \alpha_{5} & =0 \\
d \alpha_{2}+b^{2} \alpha_{3}+d \alpha_{4}+\left(2 d(a-c)+b^{2}\right) \alpha_{5}+\left(d(a-c)+b^{2}\right) \alpha_{6} & =0 \\
\alpha_{1}+(c-a) \alpha_{2}+(c-a)^{2} \alpha_{3} & =0 \\
b \alpha_{2}+b(c-a) \alpha_{3}+b \alpha_{4}+b(a-c) \alpha_{5} & =0 \\
\alpha_{1}+(a-c) \alpha_{4}+(a-c)^{2} \alpha_{5} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

For $b \neq 0, d \neq 0$ the system has a solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{6}=-\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{5} \\
& \alpha_{1}=-(a-c) \alpha_{4}-(a-c)^{2} \alpha_{5}, \\
& \alpha_{2}=-(-a+c) \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{4}-(a-c) \alpha_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case $A$, is identically equal to zero. For $b=d=0$, we obtain the quadratic law $(x-a)(x-c)$.

We point that in the general case $(x-a)^{2}(x-c)=0$ is in fact the CayleyHamilton theorem in a factor form, i. e., $x^{3}-(2 a+c) x^{3}+a(a+2 c) x-a^{2} c=0$.

Theorem 5. The only law of minimal degree for a skew-symmetric matrix $x \in$ $U_{3}^{-}(K, *)$, where

$$
U_{3}^{-}(K, *)=\left\{x=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
a & b & 0 \\
0 & c & -b \\
0 & 0 & -a
\end{array}\right): a, b, c \in K\right\}
$$

is $(x-a)(x-c)(x+a)$. If $a=b=0$, then a law is $x(x-c)$.
Proof. The law $(x-a)(x-c)(x+a)=0$ is checked directly. Then we form the linear combination

$$
\begin{aligned}
A= & \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}(x-a)+\alpha_{3}(x-a)^{2}+\alpha_{4}(x-c) \\
& +\alpha_{5}(x-c)^{2}+\alpha_{6}(x+a)+\alpha_{7}(x+a)^{2} \\
& +\alpha_{8}(x-a)(x-c)+\alpha_{9}(x-a)(x+a)+\alpha_{10}(x-c)(x+a)
\end{aligned}
$$

of all possible laws of degree $\leq 3$. Equating to zero the corresponding entries, we obtain the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}+(a-c) \alpha_{4}+(a-c)^{2} \alpha_{5}+2 a \alpha_{6}+4 a^{2} \alpha_{7}+2 a(a-c) \alpha_{10}=0, \\
& b \alpha_{2}+b(c-a) \alpha_{3}+b \alpha_{4}+b(a-c) \alpha_{5}+b \alpha_{6} \\
&+b(c+3 a) \alpha_{7}+b(c+a) \alpha_{9}+2 a b \alpha_{10}=0, \\
&-b^{2} \alpha_{3}-b^{2} \alpha_{5}-b^{2} \alpha_{7}-b^{2} \alpha_{8}-b^{2} \alpha_{9}-b^{2} \alpha_{10}=0, \\
& \alpha_{1}+(c-a) \alpha_{2}+(c-a)^{2} \alpha_{3}+(c+a) \alpha_{6}+(c+a)^{2} \alpha_{7}+(c-a)(c+a) \alpha_{9}=0, \\
&-b \alpha_{2}-b(c-3 a) \alpha_{3}-b \alpha_{4}+b(a+c) \alpha_{5}-b \alpha_{6} \\
&-b(c+a) \alpha_{7}+2 a b \alpha_{8}-b(c-a) \alpha_{9}=0, \\
& \alpha_{1}-2 a \alpha_{2}+4 a^{2} \alpha_{3}-(a+c) \alpha_{4}+(a+c)^{2} \alpha_{5}+2 a(a+c) \alpha_{8}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Its solution is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=-2 a(a-c) \alpha_{10}-(a-c) \alpha_{4}-(a-c)^{2} \alpha_{5}-2 a \alpha_{6}-4 a^{2} \alpha_{7} \\
& \alpha_{8}=-\alpha_{10}-\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{5}-\alpha_{7}-\alpha_{9} \\
& \alpha_{2}=-2 a \alpha_{10}-(-a+c) \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{4}-(a-c) \alpha_{5}-\alpha_{6}-(3 a+c) \alpha_{7}-(a+c) \alpha_{9}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case $A$, is identically equal to zero. The case $a=b=0$ leads one directly to the validity of the law $x(x-c)$.

The law in the general case illustrates the Cayley-Hamilton theorem in a factor form, namely $x^{3}-c x^{2}-a^{2} x+a^{2} b=0$. All the computations are made using the computer algebra system Mathematica.
2.2. Laws and identities for upper triangular matrices over the Grassmann algebra

### 2.2.1. Preliminaries

We consider the matrix algebra of the $2 \times 2$ upper triangular matrices $U_{2}(G)$ over the Grassmann algebra $G$.

We recall the definition of the infinite dimensional Grassmann algebra $G$, namely,

$$
G=G(V)=K\left\langle v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots \mid v_{i} v_{j}+v_{j} v_{i}=0, i, j=1,2, \ldots\right\rangle
$$

The algebra $G^{\prime}$ (without 1) has a basis $v_{i_{1}} v_{i_{2}} \ldots v_{i_{k}}$, where $1 \leq i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{k}$. The elements $v_{i}$ are called generators of $G^{\prime}$ while the elements $v_{i_{1}} v_{i_{2}} \ldots v_{i_{k}}$ for $1 \leq$ $i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{k}$ are called basic monomials of $G^{\prime}$. For $G=G^{\prime} \cup 1$, a generator is 1 as well. The algebras $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ are PI-equivalent (they satisfy one and the same identities). It is easy to be seen that $G^{\prime}=J(G)$ for $J(G)$ being the Jacobson radical of the algebra.

The algebra $G$ is in the mainstream of recent research in PI theory. Its importance is connected mainly with the structure theory for the $T$-ideals of identities of associative algebras developed by Kemer in [5]. Kemer proved [5, Theorem 1.2] that any $T$-prime $T$-ideal can be obtained as the $T$-ideal of identities of one of the following algebras: $M_{n}(K), M_{n}(G)$ and $M_{n, u}(G)$, the latter being the algebra of $n \times n$ supermatrices over $G=G_{0} \oplus G_{1}$ with $G_{0}$ blocks (with entries of even degree) of sizes $u \times u$ and $(n-u) \times(n-u)$ and with $G_{1}$ blocks (with entries of odd degree) of sizes $u \times(n-u)$ and $(n-u) \times u$.

Well known facts concerning the algebra $G$ are the following:
Proposition 1 ([6, Corollary, p. 437]). The T-ideal $T(G)$ is generated by the identity $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]=0$.

Proposition 2 ([4, Exercise 5.3]). For $G_{k}=G\left(V_{k}\right)$ over $k$-dimensional vector space $V_{k}$ all identities follow from the identity $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]=0$ and the standard identity $S_{2 p}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 p}\right)=\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(2 p)}(-1)^{\sigma} x_{\sigma(1)} \ldots x_{\sigma(2 p)}=0$, where $p$ is the minimal integer with $2 p>k$.

Remark 1. In the monograph [4, Exercise 5.3] one could see that the identity $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right] \ldots\left[x_{2 p+1}, x_{2 p+2}\right]=0$ on $G_{2 p+1}$ is equivalent to the standard identity of degree $2 p+2$.

Remark 2. It could be seen [4, Exercise 5.8] that the $T$-ideal of the algebra $M_{2}(K)$ from Theorem 1 is generated by the identities $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]=0$ and $S_{4}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)=0$. Nevertheless, the algebra $M_{2}(K)$ is not isomorphic to the Grassmann algebra $G_{2}$ of the two-dimensional vector space.

For the rest of the paper we will use capital letters for the matrices with entries from the Grassmann algebra.

### 2.2.2. The $T$-ideal $T\left(U_{2}(G)\right)$

Theorem 6. The identity $\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right]\left[X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}\right]=0$ holds on the algebra $U_{2}(G)$.
Proof. As the considered polynomial is multilinear we could rely on [16, Remark 3.1] stating that it is an identity on $M_{2}(G)$ if and only if for every choice of the matrix units $e_{a_{i}, b_{i}}$ and either $v_{i}^{*}=v_{i}$ or $v_{i}^{*}=1$, the substitution $x_{i} \rightarrow e_{a_{i}, b_{i}} v_{i}^{*}$ in the polynomial gives zero.

We take the matrices $X_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}a_{1 i} & b_{1 i} \\ 0 & c_{1 i}\end{array}\right)$ for $i=1,2,3$ belonging to $U_{2}(G)$ with entries being generators of $G$. It is easy to see that

$$
\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left.a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{13}\right] & * \\
0 & {\left[c_{11}, c_{12}, c_{13}\right]}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & * \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) . . . ~ . ~}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The same form has the matrix $\left[X_{4}, X_{5}, X_{6}\right]$. As the only possibly non-zero entry of the considered matrices is the $(1,2)$-entry, the multiplication gives 0 .

Remark 3. Theorem 6 could be reformulated as follows. Let $f(x, y, z)=[x, y, z]$. The $2 \times 2$ upper triangular matrices over the Grassmann algebra satisfy the identity $f^{2}=0$ while their entries satisfy the identity $f=0$.

In [3, Theorem 3.1], Domokos gave a compact form of a theorem of Szigeti from [15], namely,

Proposition 3. For any $2 \times 2$ matrix $X$ over a $K$-algebra $S$ satisfying the identity $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right]=0$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{4}- & 2 X^{3}(\operatorname{tr} X)+X^{2}\left(2 \operatorname{tr}^{2} X-\operatorname{tr} X^{2}\right)+X\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} X \circ \operatorname{tr} X^{2}-\operatorname{tr}^{3} X\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left(\operatorname{tr}^{4} X+\operatorname{tr}^{2} X^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}^{2} X \operatorname{tr} X^{2}-\frac{5}{2} \operatorname{tr} X^{2} \operatorname{tr}^{2} X+2\left[\operatorname{tr} X^{3}, \operatorname{tr} X\right]\right) E
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{4}- & 2(\operatorname{tr} X) X^{3}+\left(2 \operatorname{tr}^{2} X-\operatorname{tr} X^{2}\right) X^{2}+\left(\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} X \circ \operatorname{tr} X^{2}-\operatorname{tr}^{3} X\right) X \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left(\operatorname{tr}^{4} X+\operatorname{tr}^{2} X^{2}-\frac{5}{2} \operatorname{tr}^{2} X \operatorname{tr} X^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} X^{2} \operatorname{tr}^{2} X-2\left[\operatorname{tr} X^{3}, \operatorname{tr} X\right]\right) E
\end{aligned}
$$

are equal to zero in $S^{2 \times 2}$.
In [15], Szigeti developed a new theory of determinants of $n \times n$ matrices over rings satisfying the polynomial identity of $m$-Lie nilpotency

$$
\left.\left[\left[\left[\cdots\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right], x_{3}\right], \cdots\right], x_{m}\right], x_{m+1}\right]=0
$$

As the Grassmann algebra is 2-Lie nilpotent the defined in [15] right $m$-adjoint of a matrix, the right $m$-determinant of a matrix $r d_{m}$ and the right $m$-characteristic polynomial $p(x)$ of a matrix and their properties could be interpreted for the matrix algebra $U_{2}(G)$.

Proposition 4 ([15, Theorem 4.2]). If $p(x)=\lambda_{0}+\lambda_{1} x+\cdots+\lambda_{d} x^{d}$ is the right $m$-characteristic polynomial of a $n \times n$ matrix $A \in M_{n}(R)$ over a m-Lie nilpotent ring $R$ then the left substitution of $A$ into $p(x)$ is zero: $(A) p=E \lambda_{0}+A \lambda_{1}+\cdots+$ $A^{d} \lambda_{d}=0$.

Again in [15], Szigeti pointed out the identity of "algebraicity" for matrices over the Grassmann algebra.

Proposition 5 ([15, Theorem 5.1]). The polynomial identity

$$
S_{2 n^{2}}\left(\left[Y^{2 n^{2}}, Z\right],\left[Y^{2 n^{2}-1}, Z\right], \ldots,\left[Y^{2}, Z\right],[Y, Z]\right)=0
$$

holds on $M_{n}(G)$ for any two matrices $Y$ and $Z$.
Now we give some laws and identities for the upper triangular matrices over the Grassmann algebra $G$.

Theorem 7. Let the matrix $X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right)$ belong to $U_{2}(J(G))$ for $a, b, c$ being basic monomials of $G^{\prime}$.
(I) The following laws are valid for $X$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(X-a)(X-c) & =0 \\
X^{3}(\operatorname{tr} X) & =0 \\
(\operatorname{tr} X) X^{3} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

(II) Two identities hold for any matrices $X$ and $Y$ of the considered type, namely $X^{2} Y^{2}=0$ and $\left(X^{2} Y\right)^{2}=0$.
Thus any matrix $X$ is nilpotent of index 4. A matrix $X$ with $\operatorname{tr} X=0$ is nilpotent of index 3.

Proof. (I) Direct calculations give the validity of the three stated laws for a matrix $X$.
(II) Again applying direct calculations we get that the only non-zero entries in $X^{2}, Y^{2}$ and $X^{2} Y$ are the $(1,2)$ entries and the corresponding multiplication gives zero.

We see that both Proposition 3 and Proposition 4 for $n=2$ are compatible with Theorem 7 as for such a matrix $A \in U_{2}(G)$ we have $\operatorname{rdet}_{2} A=0$ and $p(x)=\operatorname{rdet}_{2}(A-$ $E x)=x^{4}-2 \operatorname{rdet} A x^{3}$. Thus $A^{4}-2(\operatorname{tr} A) A^{3}=0$.

Corollary 1. An identity of degree 9 holds for any two matrices $Y$ and $Z$ from $U_{2}(G)$ with entries being basic monomials, namely, $S_{3}\left(\left[Y^{3}, Z\right],\left[Y^{2}, Z\right],[Y, Z]\right)=0$.

Proof. Applying Proposition 5 and the index of nilpotency of the matrix $Y$.
Remark 4. If we consider the Grassmann algebra over a finite dimensional space, the corresponding identities have much smaller degrees.

Theorem 8. The following two assertions hold:
(I) On the algebra $U_{2}\left(J\left(G_{2}\right)\right)$ we get the identity $X Y Z=0$ (respectively, $X^{3}=$ 0).
(II) Any matrix $X=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ 0 & c\end{array}\right)$ from $U_{2}\left(J\left(G_{2}\right)\right)$ satisfies the law $(\operatorname{tr} X) X^{2}=0$, respectively, $X^{2}(\operatorname{tr} X)=0$.

Proof. In the considered algebra the square of every element is zero. The identity and the law are proved directly.

Multiplying two linear combinations of the basic elements $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $e_{1} e_{2}$ we get only $\alpha e_{1} e_{2}$. Its product with any other linear combination of $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $e_{1} e_{2}$ gives zero.

Analogous considerations are valid for the linear combinations of the basic elements of any finite dimensional Grassmann algebra (over a $n$-dimensional vector
space). The multiplication of $n$ linear combinations will result in $\alpha e_{1} e_{2} \ldots e_{n}$ and the result of the next multiplication will be zero. Thus, we come to the following

Corollary 2. The matrix algebra $U_{k}\left(J\left(G_{n}\right)\right)$ (respectively, $M_{k}\left(J\left(G_{n}\right)\right)$ ) is nilpotent of class $\leq n+1$.

Corollary 3. The polynomial identity $S_{n-1}\left(\left[Y^{n-1}, Z\right],\left[Y^{n-2}, Z\right], \ldots,[Y, Z]\right)=0$ holds on $M_{k}\left(J\left(G_{n}\right)\right)$ for $n \geq 3$ and $k \geq 2$.

As the algebra $U_{2}(G)$ is a subalgebra of $M_{2}(G)$ we turn to the Hall identity, the four degree standard identity for $M_{2}(K)$ and the product commutator identity for $U_{2}(K)$ considering the Grassmann algebra $G$ instead of the field $K$. We get

Theorem 9. The polynomials $\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]^{2}, x_{1}\right], S_{4}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$, and $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]\left[x_{3}, x_{4}\right]$ are not identities for the algebra $U_{2}(G)$.

Proof. A counter example for the validity of the first and the third identity gives the matrices

$$
X_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e_{1} & e_{2} \\
0 & e_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
X_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
e_{4} e_{5}+e_{6} & 1 \\
0 & e_{1}+e_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

We get that the $(1,2)$-entry of $\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]^{2}$ is nonzero, namely,

$$
2 e_{1} e_{3} e_{6}+2 e_{1} e_{2} e_{4} e_{5} e_{6}-2 e_{1} e_{2} e_{3} e_{4} e_{5}+4 e_{1} e_{2} e_{3} e_{6}
$$

The (1,2)-entry of $\left[\left[x_{1}, x_{2}\right]^{2}, x_{1}\right]$ is $-2 e_{1} e_{2} e_{3} e_{4} e_{5} e_{6}$. For the second statement, we rely on the general case considered later.

In $[1,2]$, a connection is given between the identities on $M_{n}(K)$ and those on $M_{n}(G)$.

Proposition 6 ([2, Proposition 2.1]). Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d} \in K\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\rangle$ be elements of the $T$-ideal of identities of $M_{n}(K)$. If $d>\frac{1}{2} n^{2} m$, then $f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{d}=0$ is an identity on $M_{n}(G)$.

Remark 5. Applying the result to $M_{2}(G)$ and the standard polynomial $S_{4}$ we get that $S_{4}^{9}=0$ is an identity on $M_{2}(G)$. However, this is not the best possible result. Really on $M_{2}(G)$ we get the identities $S_{4}^{5}=0$ and $\left[[x, y]^{2}, x\right]^{5}=0$. Thus we get two identities of degree 20 and 25 , respectively, for $U_{2}(G)$.

The above Proposition 6 has an analogue for the upper triangular matrices $U_{n}$.
Theorem 10. Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{d} \in K\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\rangle$ be elements of the $T$-ideal of identities of $U_{n}(K)$. If $d>\frac{1}{4} n(n+1) m$, then $f_{1} f_{2} \cdots f_{d}=0$ is an identity on $U_{n}(G)$.

Proof. It follows the proof of Proposition 6 taking into account the dimension of $U_{n}(K)$ and the fact that the relatively free algebra $F\left(U_{n}(K)\right)$ has as a basis the monomials

$$
x_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots x_{m}^{a_{m}}\left[x_{i_{11}}, x_{i_{21}}, \ldots, x_{i_{p_{1} 1}}\right] \cdots\left[x_{i_{1 r}}, x_{i_{2 r}}, \ldots, x_{i_{p r} r}\right]
$$

where the number $r$ of participating commutators is $\leq n-1$ and the indices in each commutator $\left[x_{i_{1 s}}, x_{i_{2 s}}, \ldots, x_{i_{p_{s} s}}\right]$ satisfy the relations $i_{1 s}>i_{2 s} \leq \cdots<i_{p_{s} s}$.

Proposition 7 ([1, Lemma, p. 1509]). The algebra $M_{n}(G)$ satisfies the identity $S_{2 n}^{k}$ for some $k>1$ but satisfies neither $S_{2 n}$ nor identities of the form $S_{m}^{k}$ for any $k$ when $m<2 n$.

Theorem 11. The algebra $U_{n}(G)$ does not satisfy the identity $S_{2 n}=0$.
Proof. We have

$$
S_{2 n}\left(e_{11}, e_{12}, e_{22}, e_{23}, \ldots, e_{n-1, n-1}, e_{n-1, n}, e e_{n n}, f e_{n n}\right)=2 e f e_{1 n} \neq 0
$$

for $e, f \in G$ such that $e f=-f e \neq 0$.
In [16, Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 6.1], Vishne described an efficient way to use the $S_{n}$-module structure in the computation of the multilinear identities of degree $n$ of a given algebra. He used the method to show that the minimal degree of an identity for $M_{2}(G)$ is 8 and gave explicit identities of degree 8 . He described a class of identities for $M_{2}(G)$, namely,

Proposition 8 ([16, Corollary 4.3]). Let $f$ be a multilinear polynomial of degree 8. If $\operatorname{tr} f\left(x_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, x_{\sigma(8)}\right)=0$ for every $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{8} \in M_{2}(G)$, then $f$ is an identity of $M_{2}(G)$.

We use the notation $A_{n}=\sum_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)} x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$. By $G_{0}^{\prime}$ we denote the even part of $G^{\prime}$ and by $G_{1}^{\prime}$ its odd part.

Proposition 9. The following identities hold:
(1) On $U_{2}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ we have $A_{k}^{2}=0$ for every integer $k$.
(2) On $U_{2}\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ we have $S_{k}^{2}=0$ for every integer $k$.

Proof. For $x_{1}, x_{2} \in U_{2}\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $A_{2}=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ we get $a_{11}=a_{21}=a_{22}=0$. Thus $A_{2}^{2}=0$. Then we use induction. Let $A_{k-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right)$ have only one nonzero entry, namely the (1,2)-entry. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)= & A_{k-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-1}\right) x_{k}+A_{k-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-2}, x_{k}\right) x_{k-1} \\
& +A_{k-1}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k-3}, x_{k-1}, x_{k}\right) x_{k-2}+\cdots \\
& +A_{k-1}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) x_{2}+A_{k-1}\left(x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) x_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The multiplication by $x_{i}$ keeps the three zero entries in every summand. So for $A_{k}=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ we have $b_{11}=b_{21}=b_{22}=0$ and thus $A_{k}^{2}=0$.

The arguments for $S_{2}^{2}=0$ are similar as for $x_{1}, x_{2} \in U_{2}\left(G_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ and for $S_{2}=\left(c_{i j}\right)$ we have $c_{11}=c_{21}=c_{22}=0$. The recursive formulas

$$
S_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}(-1)^{k-1} S_{k-1}\left(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{k}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)
$$

for $k$ even and

$$
S_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i} S_{k-1}\left(x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{k}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}\right)
$$

for $k$ odd show that for $S_{k}=\left(d_{i j}\right)$ we have $d_{11}=d_{21}=d_{22}=0$ and, therefore, $S_{k}^{2}=0$.
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