



A CORRECTION TO APPROXIMATION OF GENERALIZED HOMOMORPHISMS IN QUASI-BANACH ALGEBRAS

ISMAIL NIKOUFAR

Received 23 June, 2016

Abstract. Eshaghi et. al [Approximation of generalized homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras, An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta, 17(2), (2009), 203–214] defined the notion of generalized homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras. They investigated generalized homomorphisms from quasi-Banach algebras to p -Banach algebras and proved the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability. In this paper, we show that their results only hold for Banach algebras and then we correct and prove the results for p -Banach algebras.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 46B03; 47Jxx; 47B48; 39B52

Keywords: Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability, quasi-Banach algebra, p -Banach algebra, generalized homomorphism

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

We know that a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called a homomorphism if $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$ so that every homomorphism is a generalized homomorphism, but the converse is false, in general.

Eshaghi et. al [1] investigated generalized homomorphisms from quasi-Banach algebras to p -Banach algebras and proved the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability. In this paper, we verify that the results presented in [1] hold for Banach algebras. Then, we correct their results and prove the results for p -Banach algebras. We remark that the presenting results in this paper hold for p -Banach algebras, where $0 < p \leq 1$, in general. The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors in p -Banach algebras and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [2,3,5] and references therein).

Let X be a real linear space. A quasi-norm is a real-valued function on X satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $\|x\| \geq 0$ for all $x \in X$ and $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$,
- (ii) $\|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $x \in X$,
- (iii) there is a constant $K \geq 1$ such that $\|x + y\| \leq K(\|x\| + \|y\|)$ for all $x, y \in X$.

The pair $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a quasi-normed space if $\|\cdot\|$ is a quasi-norm on X . The smallest possible K is called the modulus of concavity of $\|\cdot\|$. A quasi-Banach space is a complete quasi-normed space. Indeed, by a quasi-Banach space we mean a quasi-normed space in which every $\|\cdot\|$ -Cauchy sequence in X converges. This class includes Banach spaces and the most significant class of quasi-Banach spaces which are not Banach spaces. A quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is called a p -norm ($0 < p \leq 1$) if

$$\|x + y\|^p \leq \|x\|^p + \|y\|^p \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. In this case, a quasi-Banach space is called a p -Banach space.

Let $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a quasi-normed space. The quasi-normed space $(A, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a quasi-normed algebra if A is an algebra and there is a constant $K > 0$ such that $\|xy\| \leq K\|x\|\|y\|$ for all $x, y \in A$. A quasi-Banach algebra is a complete quasi-normed algebra. If the quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|$ is a p -norm, then the quasi-Banach algebra is called a p -Banach algebra. Eshaghi et. al [1] defined the notion of generalized homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebra as follows:

Definition 1. Let A be a quasi-Banach algebra with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ and let B be a p -Banach algebra with p -norm $\|\cdot\|_B$. A \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $f : A \rightarrow B$ is called a generalized homomorphism if there exists a homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that $f(xy) = f(x)h(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$.

Then, they investigated generalized homomorphisms from quasi-Banach algebras to p -Banach algebras associated with the following functional equation

$$rf\left(\frac{x+y}{r}\right) = f(x) + f(y)$$

and proved the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability and superstability of generalized homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras. In this paper, we prove that their results only hold for Banach algebras and then we correct their results and confirm the results for p -Banach algebras.

2. MAIN PROBLEMS

Following [1] throughout this paper, assume that A is a quasi-Banach algebra with quasi-norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ and that B is a p -Banach algebra with p -norm $\|\cdot\|_B$. In addition, we assume r to be a constant positive integer.

We will use the following lemma in this section.

Lemma 1 ([4]). *Let X and Y be linear spaces and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an additive mapping such that $f(\mu x) = \mu f(x)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. Then the mapping f is \mathbb{C} -linear.*

The following two theorems proved in [1, Theorems 2.2 and 2.5]. In these theorems, the authors want to prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of generalized homomorphisms from quasi-Banach algebras to p -Banach algebras.

Theorem 1. Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exist a mapping $g : A \rightarrow B$ with $g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1$ and a function $\varphi : A^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\|rf(\frac{\mu a + \mu b + cd}{r}) - \mu f(a) - \mu f(b) - f(c)g(d)\|_B \leq \varphi(a, b, c, d), \quad (2.1)$$

$$\|g(\mu ab + \mu cd) - \mu g(a)g(b) - \mu g(c)g(d)\|_B \leq \varphi(a, b, c, d), \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a, b, c, d) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^i a, 2^i b, 2^i c, 2^i d)}{2^i} < \infty$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then, there exists a unique generalized homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(a) - h(a)\|_B \leq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0, 0)$$

for all $a \in A$.

Theorem 2. Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exist a mapping $g : A \rightarrow B$ with $g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1$ and a function $\varphi : A^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the inequalities (2.1), (2.2) and

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a, b, c, d) := \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^i \varphi(\frac{a}{2^i}, \frac{b}{2^i}, \frac{c}{2^i}, \frac{d}{2^i}) < \infty$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then, there exists a unique generalized homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(a) - h(a)\|_B \leq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0, 0)$$

for all $a \in A$.

In the following theorems we correct the results and prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of generalized homomorphisms from quasi–Banach algebras to p –Banach algebras.

Theorem 3. Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exist a mapping $g : A \rightarrow B$ with $g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1$ and a function $\varphi : A^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\|rf(\frac{\mu a + \mu b + cd}{r}) - \mu f(a) - \mu f(b) - f(c)g(d)\|_B \leq \varphi(a, b, c, d), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\|g(\mu ab + \mu cd) - \mu g(a)g(b) - \mu g(c)g(d)\|_B \leq \varphi(a, b, c, d), \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a, b, c, d) := \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^i a, 2^i b, 2^i c, 2^i d)^p}{2^{ip}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \quad (2.5)$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then, there exists a unique generalized homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(a) - h(a)\|_B \leq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0, 0) \quad (2.6)$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. Setting $a = b, c = d = 0$ and $r = \mu = 1$ in (2.3) and dividing both sides of the resulting inequality by 2, we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{f(2a)}{2} - f(a) \right\|_B \leq \frac{\varphi(a, a, 0, 0)}{2} \quad (2.7)$$

for all $a \in A$. Then, we have

$$\left\| \frac{f(2a)}{2} - f(a) \right\|_B^p \leq \frac{\varphi(a, a, 0, 0)^p}{2^p} \quad (2.8)$$

for all $a \in A$. Replacing a in (2.7) by $2a$ and dividing both sides of the resulting inequality by 2, we get

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^2a)}{2^2} - \frac{f(2a)}{2} \right\|_B \leq \frac{\varphi(2a, 2a, 0, 0)}{2^2} \quad (2.9)$$

for all $a \in A$. Then, we have

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^2a)}{2^2} - \frac{f(2a)}{2} \right\|_B^p \leq \frac{\varphi(2a, 2a, 0, 0)^p}{2^{2p}} \quad (2.10)$$

for all $a \in A$. Applying (2.8), (2.10), and (1.1) we detect that

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^2a)}{2^2} - f(a) \right\|_B^p \leq \frac{\varphi(a, a, 0, 0)^p}{2^p} + \frac{\varphi(2a, 2a, 0, 0)^p}{2^{2p}} \quad (2.11)$$

for all $a \in A$. By induction on n we conclude that

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^n a)}{2^n} - f(a) \right\|_B^p \leq \frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\varphi(2^i a, 2^i a, 0, 0)^p}{2^{ip}} \quad (2.12)$$

for all $a \in A$ and all non-negative integers n . Consequently,

$$\left\| \frac{f(2^{n+m} a)}{2^{n+m}} - \frac{f(2^m a)}{2^m} \right\|_B \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=m}^{n+m-1} \frac{\varphi(2^i a, 2^i a, 0, 0)^p}{2^{ip}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \quad (2.13)$$

for all non-negative integers n and m with $n \geq m$ and all $a \in A$. It follows from (2.5) and (2.13) that the sequence $\{\frac{f(2^n a)}{2^n}\}$ is Cauchy in B for all $a \in A$. Since B is a p -Banach algebras, this sequence is convergent in B for all $a \in A$. Define the mapping

$$h(a) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n a)}{2^n}. \quad (2.14)$$

Setting $c = d = 0, r = 1$ and replacing a, b by $2^n a, 2^n b$, respectively, in (2.3) and dividing both sides of (2.3) by 2^n and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we deduce

$$h(\mu a + \mu b) = \mu h(a) + \mu h(b) \tag{2.15}$$

for all $a, b \in A$ and $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. So the mapping h is \mathbb{C} -linear by Lemma 1. Note that inequality (2.6) follows from (2.12) and (2.14). To show that h is unique, let k be another \mathbb{C} -linear mapping satisfying (2.6). From (2.6) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h(a) - k(a)\|_B^p &= \frac{1}{2^{np}} \|h(2^n a) - k(2^n a)\|_B^p \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{np}} (\|h(2^n a) - f(2^n a)\|_B^p + \|f(2^n a) - k(2^n a)\|_B^p) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{np}} \frac{2}{2^p} \tilde{\varphi}(2^n a, 2^n a, 0, 0)^p \\ &= \frac{2}{2^p} \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(2^i a, 2^i a, 0, 0)^p}{2^{ip}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $a \in A$. The right hand side tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of [1, Theorem 2.2] and we omit it. \square

Theorem 4. *Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exist a mapping $g : A \rightarrow B$ with $g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1$ and a function $\varphi : A^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying the inequality (2.3) and (2.4) and*

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a, b, c, d) := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{ip} \varphi\left(\frac{a}{2^i}, \frac{b}{2^i}, \frac{c}{2^i}, \frac{d}{2^i}\right)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \tag{2.16}$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then, there exists a unique generalized homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(a) - h(a)\|_B \leq \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0, 0) \tag{2.17}$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. Setting $a = b, c = d = 0$ and $r = \mu = 1$ in (2.3), we obtain

$$\|f(2a) - 2f(a)\|_B \leq \varphi(a, a, 0, 0) \tag{2.18}$$

for all $a \in A$. Replacing a in (2.18) by $\frac{a}{2}$, we get

$$\|f(a) - 2f\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)\|_B \leq \varphi\left(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}, 0, 0\right) \tag{2.19}$$

for all $a \in A$. Replacing a in (2.19) by $\frac{a}{2}$ and multiplying both sides of the resulting inequality by 2, we detect

$$\|2f\left(\frac{a}{2}\right) - 2^2 f\left(\frac{a}{2^2}\right)\|_B \leq 2\varphi\left(\frac{a}{2^2}, \frac{a}{2^2}, 0, 0\right) \tag{2.20}$$

for all $a \in A$. Using (2.19), (2.20), and (1.1) we conclude that

$$\|f(a) - 2^2 f(\frac{a}{2^2})\|_B^p \leq \varphi(\frac{a}{2}, \frac{a}{2}, 0, 0)^p + 2^p \varphi(\frac{a}{2^2}, \frac{a}{2^2}, 0, 0)^p \quad (2.21)$$

for all $a \in A$. By induction on n we deduce that

$$\|f(a) - 2^n f(\frac{a}{2^n})\|_B^p \leq \frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{ip} \varphi(\frac{a}{2^i}, \frac{a}{2^i}, 0, 0)^p \quad (2.22)$$

for all $a \in A$ and all non-negative integers n . Hence,

$$\|2^m f(\frac{a}{2^m}) - 2^{n+m} f(\frac{a}{2^{n+m}})\|_B^p \leq \frac{1}{2^p} \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+n} 2^{ip} \varphi(\frac{a}{2^i}, \frac{a}{2^i}, 0, 0)^p \quad (2.23)$$

for all non-negative integers n and m with $n \geq m$ and all $a \in A$. It follows from (2.16) and (2.23) that the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{a}{2^n})\}$ is Cauchy in B for all $a \in A$ so that this sequence is convergent in B . Define the mapping

$$h(a) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f(\frac{a}{2^n}). \quad (2.24)$$

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3 and we omit it. \square

Corollary 1. Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a mapping with $f(0) = 0$ for which there exist constants $\epsilon > 0, \alpha \neq 1$ and a mapping $g : A \rightarrow B$ with $g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|rf(\frac{\mu a + \mu b + cd}{r}) - \mu f(a) - \mu f(b) - f(c)g(d)\|_B \\ \leq \epsilon(\|a\|_A^\alpha + \|b\|_A^\alpha + \|c\|_A^\alpha + \|d\|_A^\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

$\|g(\mu ab + \mu cd) - \mu g(a)g(b) - \mu g(c)g(d)\|_B \leq \epsilon(\|a\|_A^\alpha + \|b\|_A^\alpha + \|c\|_A^\alpha + \|d\|_A^\alpha)$
for all $a, b, c, d \in A$ and all $\mu \in \mathbb{T}^1$. Then, there exists a unique generalized homomorphism $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$\|f(a) - h(a)\|_B \leq \frac{\epsilon}{|1 - 2^{p(\alpha-1)}|^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|a\|_A^\alpha. \quad (2.25)$$

for all $a \in A$.

Proof. Define $\varphi(a, b, c, d) := \epsilon(\|a\|_A^\alpha + \|b\|_A^\alpha + \|c\|_A^\alpha + \|d\|_A^\alpha)$. If $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, then Theorem 3 entails that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0, 0) = \frac{2\epsilon}{(1 - 2^{p(\alpha-1)})^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|a\|_A^\alpha.$$

Consequently,

$$\|f(a) - h(a)\|_B \leq \frac{\epsilon}{(1 - 2^{p(\alpha-1)})^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|a\|_A^\alpha. \quad (2.26)$$

If $\alpha > 1$, then by applying Theorem 4 we find that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a, a, 0, 0) = \frac{2\epsilon}{(2^{p(\alpha-1)} - 1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|a\|_A^\alpha.$$

Hence,

$$\|f(a) - h(a)\|_B \leq \frac{\epsilon}{(2^{p(\alpha-1)} - 1)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \|a\|_A^\alpha. \quad (2.27)$$

From inequalities (2.26) and (2.27) we conclude inequality (2.25). \square

3. CONCLUSIONS

We conclude that

- (i) in Theorems 3, 4, if we take $p = 1$, then we obtain [1, Theorems 2.2, 2.5], respectively,
- (ii) in Corollary 1, if we take $p = 1$, then we deduce [1, Corollary 2.3],
- (iii) in Corollary 1, if we take $p = 1$, $\epsilon = \frac{\delta}{2}$, and $\alpha = 0$, then we recover [1, Corollary 2.4].

Indeed, the results presented in [1] hold for 1-Banach algebras. We know that 1-Banach algebras exactly coincide with Banach algebras and so the results of [1] only hold for Banach algebras. Our presented results in this paper hold for p -Banach algebras where $0 < p \leq 1$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by a grant from Payame Noor University with the same title. The author would like to thank the referee for the careful reading of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Eshaghi Gordji and M. B. Savadkouhi, "Approximation of generalized homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras," *An. St. Univ. Ovidius Constanta*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 203–214, 2009.
- [2] A. Najati, "Homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras associated with a Pexiderized Cauchy-Jensen functional equation," *Acta Math. Sinica*, vol. 25, no. 9, pp. 1529–1542, 2009.
- [3] A. Najati and C. Park, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras associated to the Pexiderized Cauchy functional equation," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 335, pp. 763–778, 2007.
- [4] C. Park, "Homomorphisms between Poisson JC*-algebras," *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.*, vol. 36, pp. 79–97, 2005.
- [5] C. Park, "Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of homomorphisms in quasi-Banach algebras," *Bull. Sci. Math.*, vol. 132, pp. 87–96, 2008.

Author's address

Ismail Nikoufar

Department of Mathematics, Payame Noor University, P.O. BOX 19395-3697 Tehran, Iran

E-mail address: nikoufar@pnu.ac.ir