



CHARACTERIZATIONS OF STRONG WELL-POSEDNESS FOR A CLASS OF MULTI-VALUED VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES

M. OVEISIHA

Received 03 June, 2016

Abstract. In this paper, by using the limiting subdifferential we consider the well-posedness for multi-valued variational inequalities and give some equivalence formulations for them. Moreover, we show that the strong well-posedness for a multi-valued variational inequality is equivalent to the existence and uniqueness of its solution.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 49K40; 49J52; 90C31

Keywords: limiting subdifferential, variational inequality, well-posedness, approximating sequence

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical concept of well-posedness for a minimization problem, which has been known as the Tykhonov well-posedness, was introduced by Tykhonov [7] in 1966. A minimization problem is Tykhonov well-posed if it has a unique solution and every minimizing sequence of the problem converges to the unique solution. In the last decades, various concepts of well-posedness such as α -well-posedness, Hadamard well-posedness, Levitin-Polyak well-posedness and well-posedness by perturbations have been presented and studied for optimization problems, see [3, 4, 10, 12] and references therein. The concept of well-posedness for hemivariational inequality was first introduced by Goeleven and Motreanu [2] to provide some conditions guaranteeing the existence and uniqueness of a solution for a hemivariational inequality. Later, Xiao and Huang [10] considered a concept of well-posedness for a variational-hemivariational inequality and obtained the equivalence of well-posedness between the variational-hemivariational inequality and the corresponding inclusion problem. Very recently, Xiao et al. [9] established two kinds of conditions under which the strong and weak well-posedness for the hemivariational inequality are equivalent to the existence and uniqueness of its solutions, respectively.

In this paper, by using the limiting subdifferential we extend the concept of well-posedness to a class of multi-valued variational inequality which include as a special

case the classical variational and hemivariational inequalities. Moreover, we establish some equivalence results for them. The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 prepares briefly some preliminary notions and results used in sequel. In Section 3, we show that the strong well-posedness for a multi-valued variational inequality is equivalent to the existence and uniqueness of its solution. Also, a metric characterization for the strong well-posedness of multi-valued variational inequality is obtained.

2. NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a Banach space and X^* its topological dual space. The norm in X and X^* will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. We denote $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $[x, y]$ and $]x, y[$ the dual pair between X and X^* , the line segment for $x, y \in X$, and the interior of $[x, y]$, respectively. Now, we recall some concepts of subdifferentials that we need in the next section.

Definition 1 ([6]). Let X be a normed vector space, Ω be a nonempty subset of X , $x \in \Omega$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$. The set of ε -normals to Ω at x is

$$\widehat{N}_\varepsilon(x; \Omega) := \{x^* \in X^* \mid \limsup_{u \rightarrow x} \frac{\langle x^*, u - x \rangle}{\|u - x\|} \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Assume that $\bar{x} \in \Omega$, the limiting normal cone to Ω at \bar{x} is

$$N(\bar{x}; \Omega) := \limsup_{x \rightarrow \bar{x}, \varepsilon \downarrow 0} \widehat{N}_\varepsilon(x; \Omega).$$

Let $J : X \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ be finite at $\bar{x} \in X$; the limiting subdifferential of J at \bar{x} is defined as follows

$$\partial_M J(\bar{x}) := \{x^* \in X^* \mid (x^*, -1) \in N((\bar{x}, J(\bar{x})); \text{epi} J)\}.$$

Remark 1 ([6]). The set-valued mapping $x \mapsto \partial_M J(x)$ has closed graph for locally Lipschitz functions.

Definition 2. Let $T : X \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ be a set-valued mapping. T is said to be relaxed invariant monotone with respect to η if there exists a constant α such that for any $x, y \in X$ and any $u \in T(x), v \in T(y)$, one has

$$\langle v, \eta(x, y) \rangle + \langle u, \eta(y, x) \rangle \leq -\alpha(\|\eta(x, y)\|^2 + \|\eta(y, x)\|^2).$$

Remark 2. (1) When $T : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a single-valued operator, we obtain the definition of a relaxed invariant monotone operator.

(2) If $\alpha = 0$, then the Definition 2 reduces to the definition of an invariant monotone map.

Definition 3 ([11]). A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X^*$ is said to be hemicontinuous if for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$, the function $t \mapsto \langle T(x_1 + tx_2), x_2 \rangle$ from $[0, 1]$ into $]-\infty, +\infty[$ is continuous at 0_+ .

Condition C ([5]). Let $\eta : X \times X \rightarrow X$. Then, for any $x, y \in X, \lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$\eta(y, y + \lambda\eta(x, y)) = -\lambda\eta(x, y), \quad \eta(x, y + \lambda\eta(x, y)) = (1 - \lambda)\eta(x, y).$$

Remark 3. By some computation, we can see that if Condition C holds, then for any $x_1, x_2 \in X$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$

$$\eta(x_1 + \lambda\eta(x_2, x_1), x_1) = \lambda\eta(x_2, x_1).$$

Now, suppose that $J : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\eta : X \times X \rightarrow X$, $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a mapping and $f \in X^*$ is some given element. Consider the following multi-valued variational-like inequality associated with (A, f, J) :

$MVLI(A, f, J)$: Find $\bar{x} \in X$ such that for any $x \in X$, there exists $\xi \in \partial_M J(\bar{x})$, that

$$\langle A\bar{x} - f + \xi, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Definition 4. A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is said to be an approximating sequence for the $MVLI(A, f, J)$, if there exists $\{\epsilon_n\}$ with $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$ such that for any $x \in X$ there exists $x_n^* \in \partial_M J(x_n)$, that

$$\langle Ax_n - f + x_n^*, \eta(x, x_n) \rangle \geq -\epsilon_n \|\eta(x, x_n)\|.$$

Definition 5. The multi-valued variational-like inequality $MVLI(A, f, J)$ is said to be strongly well-posed if it has a unique solution \bar{x} on X and for every approximating sequence $\{x_n\}$, $\eta(\bar{x}, x_n)$ converges strongly to 0.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we establish some conditions under which the well-posedness for the multi-valued variational-like inequality is equivalent to the existence and uniqueness of its solution. Theorems in this section extend theorems in [8,9] from hemivariational inequalities with Clarke’s subdifferential which is convex to multi-valued variational-like inequalities with limiting subdifferential which is not necessarily convex.

Theorem 1. Assume that operator $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is hemicontinuous and relaxed invariant monotone with constant c and J is locally Lipschitz such that $\partial_M J$ satisfies relaxed invariant monotonicity condition with constant α . Consider the following assertions:

- (i) \bar{x} is a solution of the $MVLI(A, f, J)$.
- (ii) \bar{x} is a solution of the following associated multi-valued variational-like inequality:
 $AMVLI(A, f, J)$: Find $\bar{x} \in X$ such that for any $x \in X$ there exists $x^* \in \partial_M J(x)$ such that $\langle Ax - f + x^*, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq 0$.

If $c + \alpha \geq 0$ and η is skew, then (i) \Rightarrow (ii). If η satisfies Condition C , then (ii) \Rightarrow (i).

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\bar{x} \in X$ be a solution of $MVLI(A, f, J)$. Hence, for any $x \in X$ there exists $\xi \in \partial_M J(\bar{x})$ such that

$$\langle A\bar{x} - f + \xi, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq 0. \tag{3.1}$$

By the relaxed invariant monotonicity of $\partial_M J$, for any $x \in X$ and $x^* \in \partial_M J(x)$, one has

$$\langle x^*, \eta(\bar{x}, x) \rangle + \langle \xi, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \leq -\alpha(\|\eta(x, \bar{x})\|^2 + \|\eta(\bar{x}, x)\|^2). \quad (3.2)$$

It follows from relaxed invariant monotonicity of the operator A , (3.1) and (3.2), that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ax - f + x^*, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle &\geq \langle Ax + x^*, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle - \langle A\bar{x} + \xi, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \\ &= -[\langle Ax, \eta(\bar{x}, x) \rangle + \langle A\bar{x}, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle + \langle x^*, \eta(\bar{x}, x) \rangle + \langle \xi, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle] \\ &\geq (c + \alpha)(\|\eta(x, \bar{x})\|^2 + \|\eta(\bar{x}, x)\|^2), \end{aligned}$$

which shows that \bar{x} is a solution of $AMVLI(A, f, J)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Conversely, let \bar{x} be a solution to the $AMVLI(A, f, J)$. Hence, for any $x \in X$ there exists $x^* \in \partial_M J(x)$ such that

$$\langle Ax - f + x^*, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

For any $z \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, set $x(t) = \bar{x} + t\eta(z, \bar{x})$ in inequality (3.3), we have

$$\langle A(\bar{x} + t\eta(z, \bar{x})) - f + x_t^*, \eta(\bar{x} + t\eta(z, \bar{x}), \bar{x}) \rangle \geq 0,$$

that $x_t^* \in \partial_M J(x(t))$. It follows Condition C, that

$$\langle A(\bar{x} + t\eta(z, \bar{x})) - f + x_t^*, \eta(z, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Since J is locally Lipschitz, we deduce that $\partial_M J$ is locally bounded (Corollary 1.81 in [6]). Hence, there exists a neighborhood of \bar{x} and a constant $\ell > 0$ such that for each z in this neighborhood and $x^* \in \partial_M J(z)$, we have $\|x^*\| \leq \ell$. Since $x(t) \rightarrow \bar{x}$ when $t \rightarrow 0$ for t to be sufficiently small $\|x_t^*\| \leq \ell$, without loss of generality we may assume that $x_t^* \rightarrow x^*$ in weak*-topology. Now, hemicontinuity of the operator A on X implies that

$$\langle A\bar{x} - f + x^*, \eta(z, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq 0.$$

By the arbitrariness of $z \in X$, we conclude that \bar{x} is a solution of $MVLI(A, f, J)$. \square

Proposition 1. Let $C^* \subset X^*$ be nonempty, closed, convex and bounded, $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be proper, convex and lower semi-continuous and $y \in X$ be arbitrary. Assume that η is continuous and affine with respect to the first argument and for each $x \in X$, there exists $x^*(x) \in C^*$ such that

$$\langle x^*(x), \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq \varphi(y) - \varphi(y + \eta(x, y)).$$

Then, there exists $y^* \in C^*$ such that

$$\langle y^*, \eta(x, y) \rangle \geq \varphi(y) - \varphi(y + \eta(x, y)), \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Proof. With some minor modification in the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [1], we can deduce the proof. \square

Theorem 2. *Let $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ be relaxed invariant monotone with constant c and J a l.s.c. function that its limiting subdifferential satisfies relaxed invariant monotonicity condition with constant α . If $\alpha + c > 0$ and η is continuous and affine with respect to the second argument and skew, then $MVLI(A, f, J)$ is strongly well-posed if and only if it has a unique solution on X .*

Proof. The necessity is obvious. For the sufficiency, suppose that $MVLI(A, f, J)$ has a unique solution \bar{x} . Since \bar{x} is the unique solution of $MVLI(A, f, J)$, for any $x \in X$, there exists $x^* \in \partial_M J(\bar{x})$ such that

$$\langle A\bar{x} - f + x^*, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is an approximating sequence for $MVLI(A, f, J)$. It follows that there exists $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$ such that for any $x \in X$, there exists $\xi_n(x) \in \partial_M J(x_n)$ that

$$\langle Ax_n - f + \xi_n(x), \eta(x, x_n) \rangle \geq -\epsilon_n \|\eta(x, x_n)\|.$$

Now, consider the nonempty, convex and bounded set $\text{co}\{Ax_n - f + \xi_n \mid \xi_n \in \partial_M J(x_n)\}$. Hence, it follows from Proposition 1 with $\varphi(x) = \epsilon_n \|x - x_n\|$ that there exists ξ_n which is independent on x , such that

$$\langle Ax_n - f + \xi_n, \eta(x, x_n) \rangle \geq -\epsilon_n \|\eta(x, x_n)\|, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

By choosing ξ_n , we can set $\xi_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \xi_n^i$ that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$ and $\xi_n^i \in \partial_M J(x_n)$. Hence,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \langle Ax_n - f + \xi_n^i, \eta(x, x_n) \rangle \geq -\epsilon_n \|\eta(x, x_n)\|, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Now, set $x = \bar{x}$ in above inequality, yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \langle Ax_n - f + \xi_n^i, \eta(\bar{x}, x_n) \rangle \geq -\epsilon_n \|\eta(\bar{x}, x_n)\|.$$

Hence, it follows from relaxed invariant monotonicity of the operator A , relaxed invariant monotonicity of the $\partial_M J$, the skewness of η and above inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} -\epsilon_n \|\eta(\bar{x}, x_n)\| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \langle Ax_n - f + \xi_n^i, \eta(\bar{x}, x_n) \rangle \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i [\langle Ax_n + \xi_n^i, \eta(\bar{x}, x_n) \rangle - \langle A\bar{x} + \xi_n^i, \eta(\bar{x}, x_n) \rangle] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i [-\langle A\bar{x} - Ax_n + \xi_n^i - \xi_n^i, \eta(\bar{x}, x_n) \rangle] \\ &\leq -\sum_{i=1}^m 2\lambda_i (c + \alpha) \|\eta(\bar{x}, x_n)\|^2 = -2(c + \alpha) \|\eta(\bar{x}, x_n)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_n^i \in \partial_M J(\bar{x})$ is obtained from (3.4) by setting $x = x_n$. Since $c + \alpha > 0$, it follows that

$$\|\eta(\bar{x}, x_n)\| \leq \frac{\epsilon_n}{2(c + \alpha)}.$$

Taking limit at both sides of the above inequality, implies that $\eta(\bar{x}, x_n)$ converges strongly to 0. \square

Example 1. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $f = 0$, A be the identity map and J be defined as

$$J(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 + 2x & \text{if } x > 0, \\ x^2 - x & \text{if } x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

The limiting subdifferential of J is

$$\partial_M J(x) = \begin{cases} 2x + 2 & \text{if } x > 0, \\ [-1, 2] & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 2x - 1 & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

Let η be defined as $\eta(x, y) := k(x - y)$, such that $0 < k \leq 1$. Then by some computation we can see that $\partial_M J, A$ are relaxed invariant monotone with constants $\alpha = 1$, $c = \frac{1}{2}$, respectively. Hence, all assumptions of Theorem 2 are fulfilled and $\bar{x} = 0$ is a unique solution of (MVL I) and therefore it is strongly well-posed.

For any $\epsilon > 0$, consider the following two sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(\epsilon) &= \{\bar{x} : \forall x \in X, \exists x^* \in \partial_M J(\bar{x}) \text{ s.t. } \langle A\bar{x} - f + x^*, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq -\epsilon \|\eta(x, \bar{x})\|\}, \\ \Psi(\epsilon) &= \{\bar{x} : \forall x \in X, \exists x^* \in \partial_M J(\bar{x}) \text{ s.t. } \langle -Ax, \eta(\bar{x}, x) \rangle + \langle -f + x^*, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq \\ &\quad -\epsilon \|\eta(x, \bar{x})\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1. *Suppose that $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is invariant monotone and hemicontinuous. Then $\Omega(\epsilon) = \Psi(\epsilon)$ for all $\epsilon > 0$.*

Proof. Taking into account the invariant monotonicity of mapping A , it is easy to obtain that $\Omega(\epsilon) \subset \Psi(\epsilon)$. For the other side suppose that $\bar{x} \in \Psi(\epsilon)$. Then, for any $x \in X$, there exists $x^* \in \partial_M J(\bar{x})$ such that

$$\langle -Ax, \eta(\bar{x}, x) \rangle + \langle -f + x^*, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq -\epsilon \|\eta(x, \bar{x})\|. \tag{3.5}$$

Set $x = \bar{x} + t\eta(z, \bar{x})$ in (3.5), that $z \in X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, yields

$$\begin{aligned} \langle -A(\bar{x} + t\eta(z, \bar{x})), \eta(\bar{x}, \bar{x} + t\eta(z, \bar{x})) \rangle + \langle -f + x^*, \eta(\bar{x} + t\eta(z, \bar{x}), \bar{x}) \rangle \geq \\ -\epsilon \|\eta(\bar{x} + t\eta(z, \bar{x}), \bar{x})\|. \end{aligned}$$

By using Condition C, we obtain

$$\langle -A(\bar{x} + t\eta(z, \bar{x})), -\eta(z, \bar{x}) \rangle + \langle -f + x^*, \eta(z, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq -\epsilon \|\eta(z, \bar{x})\|.$$

Now, it follows from the hemicontinuity of mapping A that

$$\langle A\bar{x} - f + x^*, \eta(z, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq -\epsilon \|\eta(z, \bar{x})\|,$$

which shows that $\bar{x} \in \Omega(\epsilon)$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2. *Suppose that $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is a hemicontinuous mapping. If J is locally Lipschitz and η is continuous with respect to the second argument, then $\Omega(\epsilon)$ is closed in X for all $\epsilon > 0$.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\} \subset \Omega(\epsilon)$ be a sequence such that $x_n \rightarrow \bar{x}$ in X . Then for any $x \in X$, there exists $x_n^* \in \partial_M J(x_n)$ such that

$$\langle Ax_n - f + x_n^*, \eta(x, x_n) \rangle \geq -\epsilon \|\eta(x, x_n)\|. \quad (3.6)$$

Since J is locally Lipschitz, there exists a subsequence of x_n^* that convergent to a $x^* \in \partial_M J(\bar{x})$ in weak*-topology. Consider (3.6) with this subsequence, taking limit at both sides of it and using this fact that A is hemicontinuous and η is continuous with respect to the second argument, we obtain

$$\langle A\bar{x} - f + x^*, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle \geq -\epsilon \|\eta(x, \bar{x})\|,$$

which implies that $\bar{x} \in \Omega(\epsilon)$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $A : X \rightarrow X^*$ is hemicontinuous and invariant monotone with respect to η that η is continuous with respect to the second argument, satisfies Condition C and skew. Then $MVLI(A, f, J)$ is strongly well-posed if and only if*

$$\Omega(\epsilon) \neq \emptyset, \forall \epsilon > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam}(\Omega(\epsilon)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. “Necessity” follows similarly from the first part of Theorem 3.1 in [8]. Hence, we prove the “Sufficiency”. Suppose that $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is an approximating sequence for $MVLI(A, f, J)$. Then there exist a nonnegative sequence $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that for any $x \in X$, there exists $\xi_n \in \partial_M J(x_n)$ such that

$$\langle Ax_n - f + \xi_n, \eta(x, x_n) \rangle \geq -\epsilon_n \|\eta(x, x_n)\|, \quad (3.7)$$

it means that $x_n \in \Omega(\epsilon_n)$. It follows from $\text{diam}(\Omega(\epsilon)) \rightarrow 0$, that $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence and so converges strongly to some point $\bar{x} \in X$. Since J is locally lipschitz, there exists a subsequence of ξ_n (e.g. $\{\xi_{n_i}\}$) that is convergent to a $\xi \in \partial_M J(\bar{x})$ in weak*-topology. Taking limit at the both side of (3.7) and using this fact that A is monotone and η is skew and continuous with respect to the second argument, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ax - f + \xi, \eta(x, \bar{x}) \rangle &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle Ax - f + \xi_{n_i}, \eta(x, x_{n_i}) \rangle \\ &\geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \langle Ax_{n_i} - f + \xi_{n_i}, \eta(x, x_{n_i}) \rangle \\ &\geq \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} -\epsilon_{n_i} \|\eta(x, x_{n_i})\| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using Theorem 1, \bar{x} is a solution of $MVLI(A, f, J)$. Since, $\text{diam}(\Omega(\epsilon)) \rightarrow 0$ when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $MVLI(A, f, J)$ has a unique solution. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Giannessi and A. Khan, “Regularization of non-coercive quasi variational inequalities,” *Control Cyber.*, vol. 29, pp. 91 – 110, 2000.
- [2] D. Goeleven and D. Motreanu, “Well-posed hemivariational inequalities,” *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, vol. 16, pp. 909 – 921, 1995.
- [3] X. X. Huang, X. Q. Yang, and D. L. Zhu, “Levitin-polyak well-posedness of variational inequality problems with functional constraints,” *J. Global Optim.*, vol. 44, pp. 159 – 174, 2009, doi: [10.1007/s10898-008-9310-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10898-008-9310-1).
- [4] X. J. Long and N. J. Huang, “Metric characterizations of α -well-posedness for symmetric quasi-equilibrium problems,” *J. Global Optim.*, vol. 45, pp. 459 – 471, 2009, doi: [10.1007/s10898-008-9385-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10898-008-9385-8).
- [5] S. R. Mohan and S. K. Neogy, “On invex sets and preinvex functions,” *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 189, pp. 901 – 908, 1995, doi: [10.1006/jmaa.1995.1057](https://doi.org/10.1006/jmaa.1995.1057).
- [6] B. S. Mordukhovich, *Variational Analysis and Generalized Differential I, Basic theory*, 1st ed., ser. Grundlehren. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2006, vol. 330.
- [7] A. N. Tikhonov, “On the stability of the functional optimization problem,” *Comput. Math. Math. Phys.*, vol. 6, pp. 28 – 33, 1966.
- [8] Y. B. Xiao, N. J. Huang, and M. M. Wong, “Well-posedness of hemivariational inequalities and inclusion problems,” *Taiwanese J. Math.*, vol. 15, pp. 1261 – 1276, 2011.
- [9] Y. B. Xiao, X. Yang, and N. J. Huang, “Some equivalence results for well-posedness of hemivariational inequalities,” *J. Global Optim.*, vol. 61, pp. 789 – 802, 2015, doi: [10.1007/s10898-014-0198-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10898-014-0198-7).
- [10] Y. Xiao and N. Huang, “Well-posedness for a class of variational-hemivariational inequalities with perturbations,” *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, vol. 151, pp. 33 – 51, 2011, doi: [10.1007/s10957-011-9872-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10957-011-9872-9).
- [11] E. Zeidler, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications*. Berlin: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1990, vol. II.
- [12] J. Zeng, S. J. Li, W. Y. Zhang, and X. W. Xue, “Hadamard well-posedness for a set-valued optimization problem,” *Optim. Lett.*, vol. 7, pp. 559 – 573, 2013, doi: [10.1007/s11590-011-0439-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11590-011-0439-3).

Author’s address

M. Oveisaha

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Imam Khomeini International University, P.O. Box 34149-16818, Qazvin, Iran

E-mail address: oveisaha@sci.ikiu.ac.ir