

Vol. 19 (2018), No. 1, pp. 111–124 DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2018.1652

Miskolc Mathematical Notes HU e-ISSN 1787-2413

# A NADLER-TYPE FIXED POINT THEOREM IN DISLOCATED SPACES AND APPLICATIONS

H. AYDI, A. FELHI, ERDAL KARAPINAR, AND S. SAHMIM

*Received 16 October, 2015*

*Abstract.* In this paper, we introduce the concept of a Hausdorff dislocated metric . We initiate the study of fixed point theory for multi-valued mappings on dislocated metric space using the Hausdorff dislocated metric and we prove a generalization of the well known Nadler's fixed point theorem. Moreover, we provide some examples and we give an application of our main result.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 47H10; 54H25

*Keywords:* Hausdorff dislocated metric, multi-valued mapping, Nadler's fixed point theorem

# 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $CB(X)$  denotes the collection of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X. For  $A, B \in CB(X)$ , define

$$
H(A, B) := \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d(b, A) \right\},\,
$$

where  $d(x, A) := \inf \{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$  is the distance of a point x to the set A. It is known that H is a metric on  $CB(X)$ , called the Hausdorff metric induced by the metric  $d$ .

**Definition 1.** Let  $X$  be any nonempty set. An element  $x$  in  $X$  is said to be a a fixed point of a multi-valued mapping  $T : X \to 2^X$  if  $x \in Tx$ , where  $2^X$  denotes the collection of all nonempty subsets of  $X$ .

We recall that a multi-valued mapping  $T : X \to CB(X)$  is said to be a contraction if

$$
H(Tx,Ty) \leq kd(x,y)
$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and for some k in [0, 1).

The study of fixed points for multi-valued contractions using the Hausdorff metric was initiated by Nadler [\[18\]](#page-12-0) who proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** ([\[18\]](#page-12-0)). Let  $(X,d)$  be a complete metric space and  $T: X \rightarrow CB(X)$ *be a contraction mapping. Then, there exists*  $x \in X$  *such that*  $x \in T$ *x.* 

c 2018 Miskolc University Press

The notion of dislocated metric space was introduced by Hitzler and Seda [\[12\]](#page-12-1) (see also  $[11]$ ). Later, Amini-Harandi  $[9]$  re-discovered the notion of dislocated metric under the name of "metric-like". In this paper, the author  $[9]$  presented some fixed point results in the class of dislocated metric spaces. Very recently, Karapınar and Salimi [\[19\]](#page-12-4) established some fixed point theorems for cyclic contractions. For more fixed point results on dislocated metric spaces, see e.g.  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$  $[1-3, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 20-23]$ .

**Definition 2.** Let X be a nonempty set. A function  $\sigma : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be a dislocated metric (or a metric-like) on X if for any  $x, y, z \in X$ , the following conditions hold:

 $(\sigma_1) \ \sigma(x,y) = 0 \Longrightarrow x = y;$  $(\sigma_2) \sigma(x, y) = \sigma(y, x);$  $(\sigma_3)$   $\sigma(x, z) \leq \sigma(x, y) + \sigma(y, z)$ .

The pair  $(X, \sigma)$  is then called a dislocated metric (metric-like) space.

It is known that a partial metric  $[17]$  is also a dislocated metric. So, a trivial example of a dislocated metric space is the pair  $([0, \infty), \sigma)$ , where  $\sigma : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is defined as  $\sigma(x,y) = \max\{x,y\}.$ 

In the sequel,  $\mathbb{R}^+_0$  $_{0}^{+}$  represents the set of all nonnegative reals. In the following example, we give a dislocated metric which is neither a metric nor a partial metric.

*Example* 1 ([\[6\]](#page-12-14)). Take  $X = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and consider the dislocated metric  $\sigma : X^2 \to$  $R_0^+$  $_0^+$  given by

$$
\sigma(1,1) = 0, \quad \sigma(2,2) = 1, \quad \sigma(3,3) = \frac{2}{3},
$$

$$
\sigma(1,2) = \sigma(2,1) = \frac{9}{10}, \quad \sigma(2,3) = \sigma(3,2) = \frac{4}{5},
$$

$$
\sigma(1,3) = \sigma(3,1) = \frac{7}{10}.
$$

Since  $\sigma(2,2) \neq 0$ ,  $\sigma$  is not a metric and since  $\sigma(2,2) > \sigma(1,2)$ ,  $\sigma$  is not a partial metric [\[17\]](#page-12-13).

Each dislocated metric  $\sigma$  on X generates a  $T_0$  topology  $\tau_\sigma$  on X which has as a base the family open  $\sigma$ -balls  $\{B_{\sigma}(x,\varepsilon): x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\}$ , where  $B_{\sigma}(x,\varepsilon) = \{y \in X:$  $|\sigma(x, y) - \sigma(x, x)| < \varepsilon$ , for all  $x \in X$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Observe that a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a dislocated metric space  $(X, \sigma)$  converges to a point  $x \in X$ , with respect to  $\tau_{\sigma}$ , if and only if  $\sigma(x, x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(x, x_n)$ .

**Definition 3.** Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a dislocated metric space.

(a) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to be a Cauchy sequence if  $\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \sigma(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite.

(b)  $(X, \sigma)$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X converges with respect to  $\tau_{\sigma}$  to a point  $x \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(x, x_n) = \sigma(x, x) =$ 

$$
\lim_{n,m\to\infty}\sigma(x_n,x_m).
$$

We need in the sequel the following trivial inequality

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\sigma(x, x) \le 2\sigma(x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \tag{1.1}
$$

In this paper, we introduce a new concept called a Hausdorff dislocated metric . Using this concept, we establish a fixed point result for multi-valued mappings involving a generalized contraction. We derive many interesting corollaries on existing known results in the literature. Our obtained results are supported by some examples and an application to an integral equation.

# 2. HAUSDORFF DISLOCATED METRIC

Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a dislocated metric space. Let  $CB^{\sigma}(X)$  be the family of all nonempty, closed and bounded subsets in the dislocated metric space  $(X, \sigma)$ , induced by the dislocated metric  $\sigma$ . Note that the boundedness is given as follows: A is a bounded subset in  $(X, \sigma)$  if there exist  $x_0 \in X$  and  $M \ge 0$  such that for all  $a \in A$ , we have  $a \in B_{\sigma}(x_0, M)$ , that is,

$$
|\sigma(x_0, a) - \sigma(x_0, x_0)| < M.
$$

The Closedness is taken in  $(X, \tau_{\sigma})$  (where  $\tau_{\sigma}$  is the topology induced by  $\sigma$ ). Let  $\overline{A}$ be the closure of A with respect to the dislocated metric  $\sigma$ . We have

# <span id="page-2-1"></span>Definition 4.

$$
a \in \overline{A} \Longleftrightarrow B_{\sigma}(a,\varepsilon) \cap A \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0
$$
  

$$
\iff \text{there exists } x_n \in A, \quad x_n \to a \text{ in } (X,\sigma).
$$

If  $A \in CB^{\sigma}(X)$ , then  $\overline{A} = A$ .

For  $A, B \in CB^{\sigma}(X)$  and  $x \in X$ , define

$$
\sigma(x, A) = \inf \{ \sigma(x, a), a \in A \}, \ \delta_{\sigma}(A, B) = \sup \{ \sigma(a, B) : a \in A \} \text{ and}
$$

$$
\delta_{\sigma}(B, A) = \sup \{ \sigma(b, A) : b \in B \}.
$$

<span id="page-2-3"></span>**Lemma 1.** *Let*  $(X, \sigma)$  *be a dislocated metric space and A be any nonempty set in*  $(X, \sigma)$ , then

$$
if \sigma(a, A) = 0, \quad then a \in \overline{A}.
$$
 (2.1)

*Also, if*  $\{x_n\}$  *is a sequence in*  $(X, \sigma)$  *that is*  $\tau_{\sigma}$ -*convergent to*  $x \in X$ *, then* 

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} |\sigma(x_n, A) - \sigma(x, A)| = \sigma(x, x). \tag{2.2}
$$

*Proof.* If  $\sigma(a, A) = 0$ , so inf  $\sigma(a, x) = 0$ , that is, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x \in A$  $x \in A$ such that  $\sigma(a, x) < \varepsilon$ . Hence, for all  $n \ge 1$ , there exists  $x_n \in A$  such that

$$
\sigma(a,x_n)<\frac{1}{n}.
$$

Thus,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(a, x_n) = 0$ . By [\(1.1\)](#page-2-0), we have  $\sigma(a, a) \leq 2\sigma(a, x_n)$ ,  $\forall n$ . Then,  $\sigma(a, a) \le 2 \lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(a, x_n) = 0$ . Finally, we obtain  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(a, x_n) = \sigma(a, a) = 0$ , which means that  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a in  $(X, \sigma)$ . By Definition [4,](#page-2-1)  $a \in \overline{A}$ .

The equality from  $(2.2)$  follows from the inequality

$$
|\sigma(x_n - A) - \sigma(x, A)| = \sigma(x_n, x).
$$

 $\Box$ 

*Remark* 1*.* It was shown in Remark 2.1 from [\[4\]](#page-12-15) that if A is a subset of a partial metric space  $(X, p)$  and  $x \in X$ , then

$$
x \in \overline{A} \Longleftrightarrow p(x, A) = p(x, x).
$$

We show by an example that this property is not longer true in a dislocated metric space.

*Example 2.* Let 
$$
X = \{0, 1\}
$$
 and  $\sigma : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}_0^+$  be defined by  
\n $\sigma(0, 0) = 2$  and  $\sigma(x, y) = 1$  if  $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$ .

Then,  $(X, \sigma)$  is a dislocated metric space. Note that  $\sigma$  is not a partial metric on X because  $\sigma(0,0) \ge \sigma(1,0)$ .

We have  $0 \in \overline{X} (= X)$ , but  $\sigma(0, X) = \min{\{\sigma(0, 0), \sigma(0, 1)\}} = 1 \neq \sigma(0, 0)$ 

Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a dislocated metric space. For  $A, B \in CB^{\sigma}(X)$ , define

$$
H_{\sigma}(A, B) = \max \{ \delta_{\sigma}(A, B), \delta_{\sigma}(B, A) \}.
$$

Now, we shall study some properties of  $H_{\sigma}: CB^{\sigma}(X) \times CB^{\sigma}(X) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ .

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Proposition 1.** Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a dislocated metric space. For all  $A, B, C \in CB^{\sigma}(X)$ , *we have the following:*

> $(i)$ :  $H_{\sigma}(A, A) = \delta_{\sigma}(A, A) = \sup{\{\sigma(a, A) : a \in A\}};$  $(ii)$ :  $H_{\sigma}(A,B) = H_{\sigma}(B,A);$  $(iii)$ :  $H_{\sigma}(A, B) = 0$  *implies that*  $A = B$ ;  $(iv): H_{\sigma}(A,B) \leq H_{\sigma}(A,C) + H_{\sigma}(C,B).$

*Proof.* (i) and (ii) are clear.

(iii) Suppose that  $H_{\sigma}(A, B) = 0$ . Then,

 $\sup \sigma(a, B) = 0.$  $a\in A$ 

Mention that  $\sup \sigma(a, B) = 0$ , implies  $\forall a \in A$ ,  $\sigma(a, B) = 0$ . Then, by lemma [1,](#page-2-3)  $a \in A$  $a \in \overline{B} = B$ . As a is arbitrary in A, we conclude that  $A \subset B$ . Similarly,  $H_{\sigma}(B, A) = 0$  implies  $B \subset A$ .

(iv) Let  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$  and  $c \in C$ . As

$$
\sigma(a,b) \leq \sigma(a,c) + \sigma(c,b),
$$

so we have

$$
\sigma(a,B) \leq \sigma(a,c) + \sigma(c,B) \leq \sigma(a,c) + \delta_{\sigma}(C,B) \leq \sigma(a,C) + \delta_{\sigma}(C,B),
$$

since c is an arbitrary element of C. As  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary element of A, it follows

$$
\delta_{\sigma}(A,B) \leq \delta_{\sigma}(A,C) + \delta_{\sigma}(C,B) \leq H_{\sigma}(A,C) + H_{\sigma}(C,B).
$$

Similarly, due to symmetry of  $H_{\sigma}$ , we have

$$
\delta_{\sigma}(B, A) \le H_{\sigma}(A, C) + H_{\sigma}(C, B).
$$

Combining the two above inequalities, we get (iv).

*Remark* 2. The converse of assertion  $(iii)$  from Proposition [1](#page-3-0) is not true in general as it is clear from the following example.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>*Example* 3. Let  $X = \{0, 1\}$  be endowed with the dislocated metric  $\sigma : X \times X \rightarrow$  $[0,\infty)$  defined by

$$
\sigma(1, 1) = 2
$$
 and  $\sigma(0, 0) = \sigma(0, 1) = \sigma(1, 0) = 1$ .

Note that  $\sigma$  is not a partial metric since  $\sigma(1, 1) > \sigma(1, 0)$  $\sigma(1, 1) > \sigma(1, 0)$  $\sigma(1, 1) > \sigma(1, 0)$ . From (i) of Proposition 1, we have

$$
H_{\sigma}(X, X) = \delta_{\sigma}(X, X) = \sup \{ \sigma(x, X), x \in \{0, 1\} \}
$$
  
= max\{\sigma(0, \{0, 1\}), \sigma(1, \{0, 1\}) \} = 1 \neq 0.

In view of Proposition [1,](#page-3-0) we call the mapping  $H_{\sigma}$ :  $CB^{\sigma}(X) \times CB^{\sigma}(X) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ , a Hausdorff dislocated metric induced by  $\sigma$ .

*Remark* 3*.* It is easy to show that any Hausdorff metric is a Hausdorff dislocated metric . The converse is not true (see Example [3\)](#page-4-0).

# 3. FIXED POINT OF MULTI-VALUED CONTRACTION MAPPINGS

We start with the following simple useful lemma. One may find its analogous for the partial metric case in  $[5]$ .

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Lemma 2.** Let  $A, B \in CB^{\sigma}(X)$  and  $a \in A$ . Then, for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a point  $b \in B$  *such that*  $\sigma(a, b) \leq H_{\sigma}(A, B) + \varepsilon$ .

 $\Box$ 

The inequality from Lemma [2](#page-4-1) also appears in Nadler's paper [\[18\]](#page-12-0). Now, we state and prove our main result.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Theorem 2.** Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a complete dislocated metric space. If  $T : X \to CB^{\sigma}(X)$ *is a multi-valued mapping such that for all*  $x, y \in X$ *, we have* 

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) \le k M(x, y) \tag{3.1}
$$

*where*  $k \in [0, 1)$  *and* 

$$
M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \sigma(x, y), \sigma(x, Tx), \sigma(y, Ty), \frac{1}{4} (\sigma(x, Ty) + \sigma(y, Tx)) \right\}.
$$

*Then,* T *has a fixed point.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$ . Clearly, if  $\sigma(x_0, x_1) = 0$ , then  $x_0 = x_1$  and  $x_0$  is a fixed point of T. Assume  $\sigma(x_0, x_1) > 0$ . Since  $Tx_0, Tx_1 \in CB^\sigma(X)$  and  $x_1 \in Tx_0$ , Lemma [2](#page-4-1) implies the existence of a point  $x_2 \in Tx_1$  such that

$$
\sigma(x_2, x_1) \le H_{\sigma}(Tx_1, Tx_0) + \frac{1 - k}{2} M(x_1, x_0).
$$
 (3.2)

If  $\sigma(x_2, x_1) = 0$ , then  $x_2 = x_1$  and  $x_1$  is a fixed point of T. Assuming  $\sigma(x_2, x_1) > 0$ , then, by Lemma [2,](#page-4-1) there is a point  $x_3 \in Tx_2$  such that

$$
\sigma(x_3, x_2) \le H_{\sigma}(Tx_2, Tx_1) + \frac{1-k}{2}M(x_2, x_1). \tag{3.3}
$$

Continuing in this fashion, we complete a sequence  $(x_n) \subset X$  such that  $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$ and  $\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) > 0$  with

$$
\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq H_{\sigma}(Tx_n, Tx_{n-1}) + \frac{1-k}{2}M(x_n, x_{n-1}).
$$

Then, we get

$$
\sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq k M(x_n, x_{n-1}) + \frac{1-k}{2} M(x_n, x_{n-1})
$$
  
\n
$$
= \frac{1+k}{2} M(x_n, x_{n-1})
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \frac{1+k}{2} \max \{ \sigma(x_n, x_{n-1}), \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}), \frac{1}{4} [\sigma(x_n, x_n) + \sigma(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})] \}.
$$

By a triangular inequality, we get

$$
\frac{1}{4}(\sigma(x_n, x_n) + \sigma(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})) \leq \frac{1}{4}(3\sigma(x_n, x_{n-1}) + \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_n))
$$
  
\$\leq\$ max{ $\sigma(x_n, x_{n-1}), \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1})$  }.

Then

$$
\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \frac{1+k}{2} \max \{ \sigma(x_{n-1}, x_n), \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) \}.
$$

Now, if  $\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) > \sigma(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ , then we have

$$
\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \frac{1+k}{2} \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) < \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}),
$$

which is a contradiction. So, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \sigma(x_n, x_{n-1})$ . Finally, we get

$$
\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \frac{1+k}{2} \sigma(x_{n-1}, x_n), \ \forall n \ge 1.
$$

Moreover, by induction, one finds

$$
\sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \left(\frac{1+k}{2}\right)^n \sigma(x_0, x_1), \forall n \geq 1.
$$

Since  $k \in [0, 1)$ , we have  $\sum$  $n\geq0$  $\frac{1+k}{2}$  $\frac{1+\kappa}{2}$ )<sup>n</sup> <  $\infty$ . So, for all  $p \ge 0$ , we have

$$
\sigma(x_n, x_{n+p}) \leq \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \sigma(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + \sigma(x_{n+p-1}, x_{n+p})
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=n}^{n+p-1} \left(\frac{1+k}{2}\right)^i \sigma(x_0, x_1)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \sum_{i=n}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+k}{2}\right)^i \sigma(x_0, x_1) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$
\n(3.4)

Thus, by symmetry of  $\sigma$ , we obtain

$$
\lim_{n,m \to \infty} \sigma(x_n, x_m) = 0. \tag{3.5}
$$

This yields that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. Since  $(X, \sigma)$  is complete, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a point  $x^* \in X$ , i.e,

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma(x_n, x^*) = \sigma(x^*, x^*) = \lim_{n,m \to \infty} \sigma(x_n, x_m) = 0.
$$
 (3.6)

We have  $\sigma(x^*, Tx^*) \leq \sigma(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \sigma(x_{n+1}, Tx^*).$ Since  $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$ , it follows

$$
\sigma(x^{\star}, Tx^{\star}) \leq \sigma(x^{\star}, x_{n+1}) + \delta_{\sigma}(Tx_n, Tx^{\star})
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \sigma(x^{\star}, x_{n+1}) + H_{\sigma}(Tx_n, Tx^{\star})
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \sigma(x^{\star}, x_{n+1}) + kM(x_n, x^{\star}),
$$

where

$$
M(x_n,x^\star)
$$

118 H. AYDI, A. FELHI, ERDAL KARAPINAR, AND S. SAHMIM

$$
= \max \left\{ \sigma(x_n, x^{\star}), \sigma(x_n, Tx_n), \sigma(x^{\star}, Tx^{\star}), \frac{1}{4} \left( \sigma(x_n, Tx^{\star}) + \sigma(x^{\star}, Tx_n) \right) \right\}.
$$

We have

$$
\sigma(x_n, Tx_n) \le \sigma(x_n, x_{n+1}),
$$
  

$$
\sigma(x^*, Tx_n) \le \sigma(x^*, x_{n+1}).
$$

When passing to limit, it should be mentioned that, by Lemma [1](#page-2-3) and [\(3.6\)](#page-6-0),

$$
\sigma(x^{\star},Tx_n)\to\sigma(x^{\star},Tx^{\star}).
$$

Again, by taking  $n \to \infty$  and using [\(3.6\)](#page-6-0), we obtain

$$
\sigma(x^{\star}, Tx^{\star}) \le k \max \left\{ \sigma(x^{\star}, Tx^{\star}), \frac{1}{4}\sigma(x^{\star}, Tx^{\star}) \right\}
$$

$$
= k\sigma(x^{\star}, Tx^{\star}).
$$

Since,  $k \in [0, 1)$ , we have  $\sigma(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$ . Finally, by lemma [1,](#page-2-3) we have  $x^* \in \overline{Tx^*} =$  $Tx^*$ . Then,  $x^*$  is a fixed point of T.

As consequences of our main result, we may state the following immediate corollaries.

**Corollary 1** (Hardy-Rogers type  $[10]$ ). *Let*  $(X, \sigma)$  *be a complete dislocated metric* space. If  $T : X \to CB^{\sigma}(X)$  is a multi-valued mapping such that for all  $x, y \in X$ , we *have*

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) \le a\sigma(x, y) + b\sigma(x, Tx) + c\sigma(y, Ty) + d[\sigma(x, Ty) + \sigma(y, Tx)]
$$
\n(3.7)

*where*  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1)$  *such that*  $a + b + c + 4d < 1$ *. Then, T has a fixed point.* 

**Corollary 2** (Kannan type  $[14]$ ). *Let*  $(X, \sigma)$  *be a complete dislocated metric space. If*  $T: X \to CB^{\sigma}(X)$  *is a multi-valued mapping such that for all*  $x, y \in X$ *, we have* 

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) \le a\sigma(x, y) + b\sigma(x, Tx) + c\sigma(y, Ty)
$$
\n(3.8)

*where*  $a, b, c \in [0, 1)$  *such that*  $a + b + c < 1$ *. Then, T has a fixed point.* 

**Corollary 3.** Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a complete dislocated metric space. If  $T : X \rightarrow$  $CB^{\sigma}(X)$  is a multi-valued mapping such that for all  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) \le k \sigma(x, y) \tag{3.9}
$$

*where*  $k \in [0, 1)$ *. Then, T has a fixed point.* 

**Corollary 4** ([\[4\]](#page-12-15)). Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a complete partial metric space. If  $T : X \rightarrow$  $CB^{\sigma}(X)$  is a multi-valued mapping such that for all  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) \le k \sigma(x, y) \tag{3.10}
$$

*where*  $k \in [0, 1)$ *. Then, T has a fixed point.* 

**Corollary 5** ([\[18\]](#page-12-0)). Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a complete metric space. If  $T : X \to CB^{\sigma}(X)$ *is a multi-valued mapping such that for all*  $x, y \in X$ *, we have* 

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) \le k \sigma(x, y) \tag{3.11}
$$

*where*  $k \in [0, 1)$ *. Then, T has a fixed point.* 

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Corollary 6.** Let  $(X, \sigma)$  be a complete dislocated metric space. If  $T : X \to X$  is a *single-valued mapping such that for all*  $x, y \in X$ *, we have* 

$$
\sigma(Tx, Ty)
$$
\n
$$
\leq k \max \left\{ \sigma(x, y), \sigma(x, Tx), \sigma(y, Ty), \frac{1}{4} (\sigma(x, Ty) + \sigma(y, Tx)) \right\}
$$
\n(3.12)

*where*  $k \in [0, 1)$ *. Then, T has a fixed point*  $x \in X$ *, that is,*  $Tx = x$ *.* 

# 4. EXAMPLES AND AN APPLICATION

First, we give the following illustrative examples where the main result of Aydi et al. [\[4\]](#page-12-15) is not applicable..

Example 4. Let 
$$
X = \{0, 1, 2\}
$$
 and  $\sigma : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  defined by  
\n
$$
\sigma(0,0) = \sigma(1,1) = 0, \qquad \sigma(2,2) = \frac{23}{48}
$$
\n
$$
\sigma(0,1) = \sigma(1,0) = \frac{1}{3}, \qquad \sigma(0,2) = \sigma(2,0) = \frac{11}{24} \text{ and } \sigma(1,2) = \sigma(2,1) = \frac{1}{2}.
$$

Then,  $(X, \sigma)$  is a complete dislocated metric space. Note that  $\sigma$  is not a partial metric on X because  $\sigma(2,2) \geq \sigma(2,0)$ .

Define the map  $T: X \to CB^{\sigma}(X)$  by

$$
T0 = T1 = \{0\}, \qquad T2 = \{0, 1\}
$$

Note that it easy that Tx is bounded and is closed for all  $x \in X$  in the dislocated metric space  $(X, \sigma)$ .

We shall show that

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) \le \frac{8}{11}M(x, y), \qquad \forall x, y \in X.
$$

For this, we distinguish the following cases: case1 :  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$ . We have

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) = \sigma(0,0) = 0 \le \frac{8}{11}\sigma(x,y) \le \frac{8}{11}M(x,y).
$$

case2 :  $x \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $y = 2$ . We have

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) = H_{\sigma}(\{0\}, \{0, 1\}) = \max{\{\sigma(0, \{0, 1\}), \max{\{\sigma(0, 0), \sigma(0, 1)\}}\}}
$$

$$
= \max{\{\min{\{\sigma(0, 0), \sigma(0, 1)\}}, \frac{1}{3}\}} = \frac{1}{3}
$$

120 H. AYDI, A. FELHI, ERDAL KARAPINAR, AND S. SAHMIM

$$
\leq \frac{8}{11}\sigma(x,y) \leq \frac{8}{11}M(x,y).
$$

case3 :  $x = y = 2$ . We have

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) = H_{\sigma}(\{0, 1\}, \{0, 1\}) = \max\{\sigma(0, \{0, 1\}), \sigma(1, \{0, 1\})\}
$$

$$
= \min\{\sigma(0, 1), \sigma(1, 1)\} = 0 \le \frac{8}{11}\sigma(2, 2) \le \frac{8}{11}M(2, 2).
$$

Thus, all the required hypotheses of Theorem  $2$  are satisfied. Then,  $T$  has a fixed point. Here,  $x = 0$  is the unique fixed point of T.

Example 5. Let 
$$
X = \{0, 1, 2\}
$$
 and  $\sigma : X \times X \to [0, \infty)$  defined by  
\n $\sigma(0,0) = 0, \sigma(1,1) = 3, \sigma(2,2) = 1$   
\n $\sigma(0,1) = \sigma(1,0) = 7, \quad \sigma(0,2) = \sigma(2,0) = 3$  and  
\n $\sigma u d\sigma(1,2) = \sigma(2,1) = 4.$ 

Then,  $(X, \sigma)$  is a complete dislocated metric space. Note that  $\sigma$  is not a partial metric on X because  $\sigma(0, 1) \ge \sigma(2, 0) + \sigma(2, 1) - \sigma(2, 2)$ . Define the map  $T: X \to CB^{\sigma}(X)$  by

$$
T0 = T2 = \{0\}
$$
 and  $T1 = \{0, 2\}.$ 

Note that  $Tx$  is bounded and is closed for all  $x \in X$  in the dislocated metric space  $(X, \sigma)$ .

We shall show that

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{3}{4}M(x, y), \qquad \forall x, y \in X.
$$

For this, we consider the following cases: case1 :  $x, y \in \{0, 2\}$ . We have

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) = \sigma(0,0) = 0 \le \frac{3}{4}M(x, y).
$$

case2 :  $x \in \{0, 2\}$ ,  $y = 1$ . We have

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) = H_{\sigma}(\{0\}, \{0, 2\}) = \max\{\sigma(0, \{0, 2\}), \max\{\sigma(0, 0), \sigma(0, 2)\}\}
$$

$$
= \max\{0, 3\} = 3 \le \frac{3}{4}\sigma(x, y) \le \frac{3}{4}M(x, y).
$$

case3 :  $x = y = 1$ . We have

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) = H_{\sigma}(\{0, 2\}, \{0, 2\}) = \max\{\sigma(0, \{0, 2\}), \sigma(2, \{0, 2\})\}
$$

$$
= \min\{\sigma(0, 2), \sigma(2, 2)\} = 1 \le \frac{3}{4}\sigma(1, 1) \le \frac{3}{4}M(1, 1).
$$

Therefore, all the required hypotheses of Theorem [2](#page-5-0) are satisfied. Here,  $x = 0$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$ 

*Example* 6. Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $\sigma : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$
\sigma(x, y) = x + y, \quad \forall x, y \in X
$$

Then,  $(X, \sigma)$  is a complete dislocated metric space. Note that  $\sigma$  is not a partial metric on X because  $\sigma(x, x) > \sigma(x, y)$  for all  $x > y$ .  $\sigma$  is not also a metric on X since  $\sigma(1, 1) = 2.$ 

Define the map  $T: X \to CB^{\sigma}(X)$  by

$$
Tx = \{0, \frac{x^2}{1+x}\}, \quad \forall x \in X
$$

It is easy that T x is bounded and is closed for all  $x \in X$  in the dislocated metric space  $(X, \sigma)$ .

We shall show that

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{1}{2}M(x, y), \qquad \forall x, y \in X.
$$

For this, we consider the following cases: case1 :  $x = y$ . We have

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty) = \max{\{\sigma(0, Tx), \sigma(\frac{x^2}{1+x}, Tx)\}}
$$
  
=  $\max{\{\min{\{\sigma(0, 0), \sigma(0, \frac{x^2}{1+x})\}}, \min{\{\sigma(0, \frac{x^2}{1+x}), \sigma(\frac{x^2}{1+x}, \frac{x^2}{1+x})\}}\}}$   
=  $\max{\{0, \frac{x^2}{1+x}\}} = \frac{x^2}{1+x} \le x = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(x, x) \le \frac{1}{2}M(x, y).$ 

case2 :  $x \neq y$ . Since  $\sigma$  is symmetric, we suppose  $x > y$ . We have

$$
H_{\sigma}(Tx, Ty)
$$
  
=  $H_{\sigma}(\{0, \frac{x^2}{1+x}\}, \{0, \frac{y^2}{1+y}\})$   
=  $\sup\{\max\{\sigma(0, \{0, \frac{y^2}{1+y}\}), \sigma(\frac{x^2}{1+x}, \{0, \frac{y^2}{1+y}\})\},\$   
 $\max\{\sigma(0, \{0, \frac{x^2}{1+x}\}), \sigma(\frac{y^2}{1+y}, \{0, \frac{x^2}{1+x}\})\}\}\$   
=  $\max\{\sigma(\frac{x^2}{1+x}, \{0, \frac{y^2}{1+y}\})\}, \sigma(\frac{y^2}{1+y}, \{0, \frac{x^2}{1+x}\})\}\}$   
=  $\max\{\frac{x^2}{1+x}, \frac{y^2}{1+y}\} = \frac{x^2}{1+x} \le \frac{1}{2}x \le \frac{1}{2}(x+y) = \frac{1}{2}\sigma(x, y) \le \frac{1}{2}M(x, y).$ 

Thus, all the required hypotheses of Theorem [2](#page-5-0) are satisfied. Here,  $x = 0$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$ .

Now, we provide an application on the research of a solution of an integral equation. For instance, using Corollary [6,](#page-8-0) we will prove the existence of a solution of the following integral equation.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
x(t) = \int_{a}^{b} K(t, x(s)) ds,
$$
 (4.1)

where  $K : [a, b] \times \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty)$  is a continuous nonnegative function.

Throughout this part, let  $X = C([a, b], [0, \infty))$  be the set of real nonnegative continuous functions defined on [a, b]. Take the dislocated metric  $\sigma: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ defined by

$$
\sigma(x, y) = ||x||_{\infty} + ||y||_{\infty} = \max_{s \in [a, b]} x(s) + \max_{s \in [a, b]} y(s) \text{ for all } x, y \in X.
$$

Mention that  $\sigma$  is not partial metric on X. But, it is easy that  $(X, d)$  is a complete dislocated metric space.

Now, take the operator  $T : X \to X$  defined by

$$
Tx(t) = \int_{a}^{b} K(t, x(s)) ds.
$$
\n(4.2)

Mention that  $(4.1)$  has a solution if and only if the operator T has a fixed point.

The main result is

**Theorem 3.** Assume that there exists  $\lambda \in (0,1)$ , such that for every  $s \in [a,b]$  and  $u \in X$ *, we have* 

$$
K(s, u(s)) \leq \frac{\lambda}{b-a}u(s).
$$

*Then,* T *has a fixed point in* X*.*

*Proof.* For all  $x \in X$ 

$$
|T(x)(t)| \le \int_a^b |K(t, s, x(s))| ds
$$
  
 
$$
\le \frac{\lambda}{b-a} \int_a^b x(s) ds \le \lambda ||x||_{\infty}.
$$

It follows that for all  $x, y \in X$ 

$$
\sigma(Tx, Ty) \le \lambda \sigma(x, y) \le \lambda M(x, y). \tag{4.3}
$$

Therefore, all the hypotheses of Corollary  $6$  are satisfied. Consequently, T has a fixed point, that is, [\(4.1\)](#page-11-0) has a solution  $x \in X$ .

 $\Box$ 

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors express their gratitude to the referees for constructive and useful remarks and suggestions.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-12-5"></span>[1] C. T. Aage and J. N. Salunke, "The results on fixed points in dislocated and dislocated quasi-metric space," *Appl. Math*, vol. 2, no. 59, pp. 2941–2948, 2008.
- [2] S. Al-Mezel, C. M. Chen, E. K. nar, and V. Rakocevic, "Fixed point results for various  $\alpha$ admissible contractive mappings on metric-like spaces," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, no. Article ID 379358, 2014.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>[3] H. H. Alsulami, E. nar, and H. Piri, "Fixed points of modified f -contractive mappings in complete metric-like spaces," *Journal of Function Spaces*, no. Article ID 270971, 2015.
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>[4] H. Aydi, M. Abbas, and C. Vetro, "Partial hausdorff metric and nadler's fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces," *Topology and its Appl.*, vol. 159, pp. 3234–3242, 2012.
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>[5] H. Aydi, M. Abbas, and C. Vetro, "Common fixed points for multivalued generalized contractions on partial metric spaces," *RACSAM - Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Fisicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matematicas*, vol. 108, pp. 483–501, 2014.
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>[6] H. Aydi and E. Karapınar, "Fixed point results for generalized  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractions in metric-like spaces and applications," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2015, no. 133, pp. 1–15, 2015.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>[7] R. D. Daheriya, R. Jain, and M. Ughade, "Some fixed point theorem for expansive type mapping in dislocated metric space," *ISRN Math. Anal.*, no. Article ID 379358, 2012.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>[8] R. George, "Cyclic contractions and fixed points in dislocated metric spaces," *Int. J. Math. Anal.*, vol. 7, no. 9, pp. 403–411, 2013.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>[9] A. A. Harandi, "Metric-like spaces, partial metric spaces and fixed points," *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol. 204, 2012.
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>[10] G. E. Hardy and T. D. Rogers, "A generalization of a fixed point theorem of reich," *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin*, vol. 16, pp. 201–206, 1973.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>[11] P. Hitzler, "Generalized metrics and topology in logic programming semantics," Ph.D. dissertation, School of Mathematics, Applied Mathematics and Statistics, National University Ireland, University College Cork, 2001.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>[12] P. Hitzler and A. K. Seda, "Dislocated topologies," *J. Electr. Eng*, vol. 51, no. 12, pp. 3–7, 2000.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>[13] A. Isufati, "Fixed point theorems in dislocated quasi-metric space," appl," *Math. Sci*, vol. 4, no. 5, pp. 217–233, 2010.
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>[14] R. Kannan, "Some results on fixed points," *Bulletin of the Calcutta Mathematical Society*, vol. 10, pp. 71–76, 1968.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>[15] P. S. Kumari, "Some fixed point theorems in generalized dislocated metric spaces," *Math. Theory Model.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 16–22, 2011.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>[16] P. S. Kumari, W. Kumar, and I. R. Sarma, "Common fixed point theorems on weakly compatible maps on dislocated metric spaces," *Math. Sciences*, vol. 6, no. 71, pp. 1–5, 2012.
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>[17] S. G. Matthews, "Partial metric topology," in *Proceedings of the 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, vol. 728, , Annals of the Academy of Sciences*, 1994, pp. 183–197.
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>[18] S. B. Nadler, "Multivalued contraction mappings," *Pacific J. Math.*, vol. 30, pp. 475–488, 1969.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>[19] E. K. nar and P. Salimi, "Dislocated metric space to metric spaces with some fixed point theorems," *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol. 222, 2013.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>[20] I. R. Sarma and P. S. Kumari, "On dislocated metric spaces," *Int. J. Math. Arch.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 72–77, 2012.
- [21] M. Shrivastava, K. Qureshi, and A. D. Singh, "A fixed point theorem for continuous mapping in dislocated quasi-metric spaces," *Int. J. Theor. Appl. Sci.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 39–40, 2012.
- [22] R. Shrivastava, Z. K. Ansari, and M. Sharma, "Some results on fixed points in dislocated and dislocated quasi-metric spaces," *J. Adv. Stud. Topol.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 25–31, 2012.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>[23] K. Zoto, "Some new results in dislocated and dislocated quasi-metric spaces," *Appl. Math. Sci.*, vol. 6, no. 71, pp. 3519–3526, 2012.

#### *Authors' addresses*

### H. Aydi

University of Dammam Department of Mathematics. College of Education of Jubail, P.O: 12020 ., 31961 Industrial Jubail, Saudi Arabia, and Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung , Taiwan

*E-mail address:* hmaydi@uod.edu.sa

#### A. Felhi

KF University, College of Sciences. Department of Mathematics, Al-hasa, Saudi Arabia *Current address*: Université de Carthage, Institut Préparatoire de Bizerte, Jarzouna, Tunisie *E-mail address:* afelhi@kfu.edu.sa

# Erdal Karapınar

Atilim University, Department of Mathematics 06836, ˙Incek Ankara, Turkey *E-mail address:* erdalkarapinar@yahoo.com

# S. Sahmim

KF University, College of Sciences. Department of Mathematicst, Al-hasa, Saudi Arabia *Current address*: Université de Carthage, Laboratoire D'Ingénirie Mathématiques, Ecole Polytechniques de Tunisie, P.B.743-2078, La Marsa, Tunisie

*E-mail address:* ssahmim@kfu.edu.sa