

Miskolc Mathematical Notes Vol. 18 (2017), No. 2, pp. 851–858 HU e-ISSN 1787-2413 DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2017.2131

BALANCING WITH POWERS OF THE LUCAS SEQUENCE OF RECURRENCE $u_n = Au_{n-1} - u_{n-2}$

NURETTIN IRMAK AND MURAT ALP

Received 30 October, 2016

Abstract. In this paper, we show that there is no solution of the diophantine equation

$$u_1^k + u_2^k + \dots + u_{n-1}^k = u_{n+1}^l + u_{n+2}^l + \dots + u_{n+r}^l$$

for special cases of k and l where the elements of sequence $\{u_n\}$ satisfy the relation $u_n = Au_{n-1} - u_{n-2}$ with $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$ and $A \ge 3$ is a positive integer.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B39

и

Keywords: Diophantine equation, Lucas sequence

1. INTRODUCTION

Let the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is defined by the recurrence relation

$$u_n = A u_{n-1} - u_{n-2} \tag{1.1}$$

where A is a positive integer with $u_0 = 0$ and $u_1 = 1$. It's Binet form of the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is known as

$$u_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 - 4}}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{A - \sqrt{A^2 - 4}}{2}$. Assume the $\{v_n\}$ be the associate sequence of the sequence $\{u_n\}$. Namely, the elements of the sequence $\{v_n\}$ satisfies the following recurrence,

$$v_n = Av_{n-1} - v_{n-2}$$

with initial conditon $v_0 = 2$ and $v_1 = A$. The Binet formula of the sequnce $\{v_n\}$ is

$$v_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n. \tag{1.3}$$

The case A = 6 coincides with the sequence of balancing number (see [2, 4, 7, 8]) whose elements satisfy the equation

$$1 + 2 + \dots + (n - 1) = (n + 1) + (n + 2) + \dots + (n + r).$$

© 2017 Miskolc University Press

NURETTIN IRMAK AND MURAT ALP

Recently, several authors handled some diophantine equations including balancingtype rules. For example, Behera et al. [3] showed that the diophantine equation

$$F_1^k + F_2^k + \dots + F_{n-1}^k = F_{n+1}^l + F_{n+2}^l + \dots + F_{n+r}^l$$
(1.4)

has no solution in the positive integers n, r, k, l with $n \ge 2$ in the case

$$k \le l$$
 and $(k,l) = (2,1), (3,1), (3,2).$ (1.5)

Here F_n denotes the n^{th} Fibonacci number. They also conjectured in [3] that only the quadruple (n, r, k, l) = (4, 3, 8, 2) satisfies the equation (1.4). Their conjecture was proved by Alvarado et al. [1]. In the sequel, Irmak [5] replaced the Fibonacci numbers with balancing numbers in (1.4), and conjectured that there is no solution of the equation

$$B_1^k + B_2^k + \dots + B_{n-1}^k = B_{n+1}^l + B_{n+2}^l + \dots + B_{n+r}^l.$$

In this paper, we generalize the conjecture of Irmak [5]. Our conjecture is following,

Conjecture 1. Assume that $A \ge 3$. For the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n\ge 0}$, there is no solution of the equation

$$u_1^k + u_2^k + \dots + u_{n-1}^k = u_{n+1}^l + u_{n+2}^l + \dots + u_{n+r}^l$$
(1.6)

for positive integers $n \ge 2$, r, k and l.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we present several lemmas to confirm the conjecture. First lemma presents some formulas including the sums of the elements of the sequence $\{u_n\}$.

Lemma 1. For any positive integer k, the following identities hold.
(a)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k = \frac{1}{A-2} (u_{n+1} - u_n - 1)$$

(b) $\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k^2 = \frac{1}{A^2 - 4} (u_{2n+1} - (2n+1))$
(c) $\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k^3 = \frac{1}{A^2 - 4} \left\{ \frac{u_{3n+3} - u_{3n} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} - \frac{3}{A-2} (u_{n+1} - u_n - 1) \right\}$
(d) $\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{2k-1} = u_n^2$.

Proof. We prove the third one. We follow the Binet formula (1.2). Other identities can be proven by similar way.

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k^3 = \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)^3} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1} \right)^3$$
$$= \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)^3} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{3k+3} - \beta^{3k+3} - 3 \left(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1} \right)$$

BALANCING WITH POWERS OF THE LUCAS SEQUENCE OF RECURRENCE...

$$= \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)^3} \left\{ \alpha^3 \frac{1 - \alpha^{3n}}{1 - \alpha^3} - \beta^3 \frac{1 - \beta^{3n}}{1 - \beta^3} - 3\left(\alpha \frac{1 - \alpha^n}{1 - \alpha} - \beta \frac{1 - \beta^n}{1 - \beta}\right) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} \left\{ \frac{\alpha^{3n+3} - \beta^{3n+3} - (\alpha^{3n} - \beta^{3n}) - (\alpha^3 - \beta^3)}{(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha^3 + \beta^3 - 2)} - \frac{3}{A - 2}(u_{n+1} - u_n - 1) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{A^2 - 4} \left\{ \frac{u_{3n+3} - u_{3n} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} - \frac{3}{A - 2}(u_{n+1} - u_n - 1) \right\}$$

as claimed.

Lemma 2. For positive integer n,

$$u_n^2 - u_{n-1}^2 = u_{2n-1}$$

follows.

Proof. It can proven by the Binet formula of the sequence $\{u_n\}$ or Lemma 1 d. \Box

Lemma 3. For the positive integer $A \ge 3$ and $n \ge 1$, then the inequalities

$$(A-2)u_n < u_{n+1} - u_n < (A-1)u_n$$

and

$$(A^{3}-3A-2)u_{3n} < u_{3n+3}-u_{3n}-u_{3} < (A^{3}-3A-1)u_{3n}$$

hold.

Proof. By the Binet formulas of the sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$, we obtain the formula

$$u_{rn} = v_r u_{r(n-1)} + (-1)^r u_{r(n-2)}.$$

Assume that r = 3. By the recurrence relation (1.1),

$$u_{3(n+1)} - u_{3n} = (A^3 - 3A)u_{3n} - u_{3(n-1)} - u_{3n}$$
$$= (A^3 - 3A - 1)u_{3n} - u_{3(n-1)}$$
$$< (A^3 - 3A - 1)u_{3n}$$

follows. Since the inequality $-u_{3n} < -u_{3(n-1)}$ holds, then

$$u_{3(n+1)} - u_{3n} = (A^3 - 3A - 1)u_{3n} - u_{3(n-1)} > (A^3 - 3A - 2)u_n$$

s, Second one can be proven by similar way.

follows

Lemma 4. Suppose that
$$A \ge 3$$
. Then for all integers $n \ge 3$, the inequality $\alpha^{n-1} < u_n < \alpha^{n-0.83}$

$$\alpha^{n-1} < u_n < \alpha^{n-0.83} \tag{2.1}$$

hold.

Proof. See Lemma 2.2 in [6].

853

Lemma 5. Suppose that a > 0 and $b \ge 0$ are real numbers, and that t_0 is a positive integer. Then for all integers $t_0 \le t \le t_1$, the inequality $\alpha^{t+\kappa_1} \le \alpha \alpha^{t} + b \le \alpha^{t+\kappa_0}$

$$\alpha^{i+\kappa_1} \leq a\alpha^i + b \leq \alpha^i$$

where $\kappa_i = \log_{\alpha} \left(1 + \frac{b}{\alpha^{t_i}} \right)$ for i = 0, 1.

Proof. It is obvious.

3. RESULTS

In this section, we prove the several theorems which the special cases of the diophantine equation (1.6). These theorems confirm the conjecture.

Theorem 1. If $l \ge k$, then there is no solution of the diophantine equation (1.6) for positive integers r and $n \ge 2$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 (a),

$$u_{1}^{k} + u_{2}^{k} + \dots + u_{n-1}^{k} < (u_{1} + u_{2} + \dots + u_{n-1})^{k}$$
$$< \left(\frac{u_{n} - u_{n-1} - 1}{A - 2}\right)^{l} < u_{n}^{l}$$

which completes the proof.

Theorem 2. If k = 2 and l = 1 in (1.6), then there is no solution of the diophantine equation (1.6) for positive integers r and $n \ge 2$.

Proof. The Lemma 1 (a) and (b) yield that

$$\frac{u_{2n-1} - (2n-1)}{A^2 - 4} = \frac{1}{A-2} \left(u_{n+r+1} - u_{n+r} - u_{n+1} + u_n \right)$$

which gives

$$u_{2n-1} - (2n-1) + (A+2)(u_{n+1} - u_n) = (A+2)(u_{n+r+1} - u_{n+r}).$$

Let

$$LS := u_{2n-1} - (2n-1) + (A+2)(u_{n+1} - u_n)$$

and

$$RS := (A+2)(u_{n+r+1}-u_{n+r}).$$

Together with Lemma 3, we have

$$u_{2n-1} - (2n-1) + (A^2 - 4)u_n < LS < u_{2n-1} + (A+2)(A-1)u_n$$

So, $LS > \alpha^{2n-2}$ holds. The Lemma 4 gives

$$LS < u_{2n-1} + (A+2)(A-1)u_n$$

$$< \alpha^{2n-1.83} + \alpha^{n+1.57}$$

$$= \alpha^{n+1.57} (\alpha^{n-3.4} + 1) < 2\alpha^{n+1.57} \alpha^{n-2.8} < \alpha^{2n-0.5}.$$
(3.1)

Similarly, we have the followings with Lemma 3

$$(A+2)(A-2)u_{n+r} < RS < (A+2)(A-1)u_{n+r}.$$

So, the inequalities

$$\alpha^{n+r+0.67} < RS < \alpha^{n+r+1.57} \tag{3.2}$$

follow by Lemma 4. Combining the inequalities (3.1) and (3.2), we deduce that

$$\max\{2n-2, n+r+0.67\} < \min\{n+r+1.57, 2n-0.5\}$$

which yields that

$$1.17 < n - r < 3.57.$$

So, there are two possibilities which are n = r + 2 and n = r + 3.

If n = r + 2, then the equation (1.6) turns to the equation

$$u_1^2 + u_2^2 + \dots + u_{r+1}^2 = u_{r+1} + u_{r+2} + \dots + u_{2r+2}.$$

Above equation yields that

$$\frac{1}{A^2 - 4} \left(u_{2r+3} - (2r+3) \right) = u_{r+1} + u_{r+2} + \dots + u_{2r+2}$$

Obviously, this is not possible. For the case n = r + 3, we arrive at a contradiction similarly since we obtain the following equation.

$$\frac{1}{A^2 - 4} \left(u_{2r+5} - (2r+5) \right) = u_{r+1} + u_{r+2} + \dots + u_{2r+3}.$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. If k = 3 and l = 1 in (1.6), then there is no solution of the diophantine equation (1.6) for positive integers r and $n \ge 2$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 (a) and (c), the equation turns to

$$\frac{1}{A-2} \left\{ \frac{u_{3n} - u_{3n-3} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} - \frac{3}{A-2} (u_n - u_{n-1} - 1) \right\} + (u_{n+1} - u_n)$$

= $u_{n+r+1} - u_{n+r}$.

Let

$$LS := \frac{1}{(A-2)(A^3 - 3A - 2)} (u_{3n} - u_{3n-3} - u_3) - \left(\frac{3}{(A-2)^2} + 1\right) (u_n - u_{n-1} - 1) + \frac{3}{A-2}$$

and

$$RS := u_{n+r+1} - u_{n+r}$$

We have the followings by Lemma 3,

$$\frac{1}{(A-2)}u_{3n-3} - \left(\frac{3}{(A-2)^2} + 1\right)(A-1)u_{n-1} < LS$$

and

$$LS < \frac{(A^3 - 3A - 1)}{(A - 2)(A^3 - 3A - 2)}u_{3n-3} - \left(\frac{3}{(A - 2)^2} + 1\right)(A - 2)u_{n-1}.$$

Since $\alpha^{-1} < \frac{(A^3 - 3A - 1)}{(A - 2)(A^3 - 3A - 2)} < \alpha^{0.63}$ and $1 < \left(\frac{3}{(A - 2)^2} + 1\right)(A - 2) < \alpha^{1.45}$ follow, then the inequalities

$$\alpha^{3n-5} - \alpha^{n-0.38} < LS < \alpha^{3n-0.2} - \alpha^{n-1}$$

hold which gives that

$$\alpha^{3n-6.5} < LS < \alpha^{3n-0.2}. \tag{3.3}$$

By Lemma 3, we obtain

$$(A-2)u_{n+r} < RS < (A-1)u_{n+r}$$

which yields that

$$\alpha^{n+r-1} < RS < \alpha^{n+r-0.17}.$$
 (3.4)

Together with the inequalities (3.3) and (3.4), we get that

$$\max\{3n-6.5, n+r-1\} < \min\{3n-0.2, n+r-0.17\}.$$

So there are seven possibilities which are 2n - r = j where $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$. If r = 2n, the diophantine equation turns the equation

$$u_1^3 + u_2^3 + \dots + u_{n-1}^3 = u_{n+1} + u_{n+2} + \dots + u_{3n}$$

By Lemma 1 (c), we get

$$\frac{1}{A^2 - 4} \left\{ \frac{u_{3n} - u_{3n-3} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} - \frac{3}{A - 2} (u_n - u_{n-1} - 1) \right\}$$

= $u_{n+1} + u_{n+2} + \dots + u_{3n}$.

Since $\alpha^{-5} < \frac{1}{(A^2-4)(A^3-3A-2)} < \alpha^{-3.26}$ holds, then $\alpha^{3n-6} < \frac{u_{3n}}{(A^2-4)(A^3-3A-2)} < \alpha^{3n-4.09}$ follows. But this is not possible since the inequality $\alpha^{3n-1} < u_{3n} < \alpha^{3n-0.83}$ holds. Since we have similar calculations for the cases $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, we omit the proofs of these cases to cut unnecessary calculations. So, we complete the proof of Theorem 3.

Theorem 4. If k = 3 and l = 2 in (1.6), then there is no solution of the diophantine equation (1.6) for positive integers r and $n \ge 2$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 (b) and (c), we have

$$\frac{u_{3n} - u_{3n-3} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} - \frac{3}{A - 2} (u_n - u_{n-1} - 1) + u_{2n+1}$$

= $u_{2(n+r)+1} - 2r$.

Let

$$RS := u_{2(n+r)+1} - 2r$$

and

$$LS := \frac{u_{3n} - u_{3n-3} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} - \frac{3}{A - 2}(u_n - u_{n-1} - 1) + u_{2n+1}.$$

Together with Lemma 4, we have

$$\alpha^{2(n+r)} - 2r < RS < \alpha^{2(n+r)+1-0.83}.$$
(3.5)

The inequalities

$$\alpha^{3n-4} < u_{3n-3} < \frac{u_{3n-u_{3n-3}} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} < \frac{A^2 - 3A - 1}{A^2 - 3A - 2} u_{3n-3} < \alpha^{3n-3.89},$$

$$\alpha^{n-2} < 3u_{n-1} < \frac{3}{A-2} (u_n - u_{n-1} - 1) < \frac{3(A-1)}{A-2} u_{n-1} < \alpha^{n-1.83+1.15}$$

and

$$\alpha^{2n} < u_{2n+1} < \alpha^{2n+0.17}$$

yield that

$$\begin{aligned} &\alpha^{n-0.68} \left(\alpha^{2n-3.32} - 1 + \alpha^{n+0.68} \right) \\ &= \alpha^{3n-4} - \alpha^{n-0.68} + \alpha^{2n} < LS \\ &< \alpha^{3n-3.89} - \alpha^{n-2} + \alpha^{2n+0.17} \\ &= \alpha^{n-2} \left(\alpha^{2n-2.89} - 1 + \alpha^{n+2.17} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha^{2n-4.1} < \alpha^{2n-3.32} - 1$ and $\alpha^{2n-2.89} - 1 < \alpha^{2n-2.88}$ hold, then we obtain $\alpha^{n-0.68} \left(\alpha^{2n-4.1} + \alpha^{n+0.68} \right) < LS < \alpha^{n-2} \left(\alpha^{2n-2.88} + \alpha^{n+2.17} \right).$

By the inequalities $\alpha^{2n} \alpha^{n-4.78} < \alpha^{n-0.68} (\alpha^{n+0.68} (\alpha^{n-4.78} + 1)) = \alpha^{n-0.68} (\alpha^{2n-4.1} + \alpha^{n+0.68})$ and $\alpha^{n-2} (\alpha^{2n-2.89} + \alpha^{n+2.17}) = \alpha^{n-2} (\alpha^{n+2.17} (\alpha^{n-5.06} + 1)) < \alpha^{n-2+n+2.17+n-1.9}$, then

$$\alpha^{3n-4.78} < LS < \alpha^{3n-1.73} \tag{3.6}$$

follows. Together with inequalities (3.5) and (3.6), the condition

$$\max\{3n - 4.78, 2n + 2r\} < \min\{3n - 1.73, 2n + 2r + 0.17\}$$

gives that 1.73 < n - 2r < 4.95. So, the possible cases are n - 2r = i where $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. When we replace n = 2r + i in the equation (1.6), we get that

$$u_1^3 + u_2^3 + \dots + u_{2r+i-1}^3 = u_{2n+2}^2 + u_{2n+3}^2 + \dots + u_{3r+i}^2.$$

Obviously, the left hand side is less than the right hand side. Therefore, we arrive at a contradiction. Hence, the proof is completed. $\hfill \Box$

NURETTIN IRMAK AND MURAT ALP

REFERENCES

- S. D. Alvarado, A. Dujella, and F. Luca, "On a conjecture regarding balancing with powers of Fibonacci numbers." *Integers*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1127–1158, a2, 2012, doi: 10.1515/integers-2012-0032.
- [2] A. Behera and G. Panda, "On the square roots of triangular numbers." *Fibonacci Q.*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 98–105, 1999.
- [3] A. Behera, K. Liptai, G. K. Panda, and L. Szalay, "Balancing with Fibonacci powers." *Fibonacci Q.*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 28–33, 2011.
- [4] R. Finkelstein, "The house problem." Am. Math. Mon., vol. 72, pp. 1082–1088, 1965, doi: 10.2307/2315953.
- [5] N. Irmak, "Balancing with balancing powers." *Miskolc Math. Notes*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 951–957, 2013.
- [6] N. Irmak and L. Szalay, "Diophantine triples and reduced quadruples with the Lucas sequence of recurrence $u_n = Au_{n-1} u_{n-2}$." *Glas. Mat., III. Ser.*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 303–312, 2014, doi: 10.3336/gm.49.2.05.
- [7] G. Panda, "Sequence balancing and cobalancing numbers." *Fibonacci Q.*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 265–271, 2007.
- [8] G. Panda, "Some fascinating properties of balancing numbers." *Congr. Numerantium*, vol. 194, pp. 185–189, 2009.

Authors' addresses

Nurettin Irmak

Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University, Art and Science Faculty, Mathematics Department, 51240, Niğde, Turkey

E-mail address: nirmak@ohu.edu.tr, irmaknurettin@gmail.com

Murat Alp

Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University, Art and Science Faculty, Mathematics Department, 51240, Niğde, Turkey

E-mail address: muratalp@ohu.edu.tr