1	Large-scale mitochondrial DNA analysis reveals new light on the phylogeography of
2	Central and Eastern-European Brown hare (Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778)
3	
4	Mohammad Reza Ashrafzadeh ¹ , Mihajla Djan ² , László Szendrei ³ , Algimantas Paulauskas ⁴ ,
5	Massimo Scandura ⁵ , Zoltán Bagi ⁶ , Daniela Elena Ilie ⁷ , Nikoloz Kerdikoshvili ⁸ , Panek Marek ⁹ ,
6	Noemi Soós ³ , Szilvia Kusza ³ *
7	
8	¹ Department of Fisheries and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Natural Resources and
9	Earth Sciences, Shahrekord University, Shahrekord 88156-48456, Iran
10	² Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad, 21000
11	Novi Sad, Serbia
12	³ Institute of Animal Husbandry, Biotechnology and Nature Conservation, University of
13	Debrecen, 4032 Debrecen, Hungary
14	⁴ Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Vytautas Magnus University, 44404
15	Kaunas, Lithuania
16	⁵ Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sassari, 07100 Sassari, Italy
17	⁶ Institutes for Agricultural Research and Educational Farm, University of Debrecen, 4032,
18	Debrecen, Hungary
19	⁷ Research and Development Station for Bovine Arad, Academy for Agricultural and Forestry
20	Sciences, 310059, Arad, Romania
21	⁸ Tbilisi Zoo, 0171, Tbilisi, Georgia
22	⁹ Polish Hunting Association, Research Station, 64-020 Czempiń, Poland
23	
24	
25	

- 26 *Corresponding author:
- 27 E-mail: kusza@agr.unideb.hu (SzK)

29 Short title: Phylogeography of Central-, Eastern-European Brown hare

31 Abstract

European brown hare, Lepus europaeus, from Central and Eastern European countries 32 (Hungary, Poland, Serbia, Lithuania, Romania, Georgia and Italy) were sampled, and 33 phylogenetic analyses were carried out on two datasets: 1.) 137 sequences (358 bp) of control 34 region mtDNA; and 2.) 105 sequences of a concatenated fragment (916 bp), including the 35 cytochrome b, tRNA-Thr, tRNA-Pro and control region mitochondrial DNA. Our sequences 36 were aligned with additional brown hare sequences from GenBank. A total of 52 and 51 37 38 haplotypes were detected within the two datasets, respectively, and assigned to two previously described major lineages: Anatolian/Middle Eastern (AME) and European (EUR). 39 Furthermore, the European lineage was divided into two subclades including South Eastern 40 41 European (SEE) and Central European (CE). Sympatric distribution of the lineages of the brown hare in South-Eastern and Eastern Europe revealed contact zones there. BAPS analysis 42 assigned sequences from L. europaeus to five genetic clusters, whereas CE individuals were 43 assigned to only one cluster, and AME and SEE sequences were each assigned to two 44 clusters. Our findings uncover numerous novel haplotypes of Anatolian/Middle Eastern 45 brown hare outside their main range, as evidence for the combined influence of Late 46 Pleistocene climatic fluctuations and anthropogenic activities in shaping the phylogeographic 47 structure of the species. Our results support the hypothesis of a postglacial brown hare 48 49 expansion from Anatolia and the Balkan Peninsula to Central and Eastern Europe, and suggest some slight introgression of individual haplotypes from L. timidus to L. europaeus. 50

51

52 Keywords: Central-, Eastern Europe; contact zones; genetic structure; glacial refugia;

53 phylogeography; Lepus europaeus

54

55 **Introduction**

The brown hare (Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778) is a native species to Northern, Central, Western Europe and the Western part of Asia, and it was introduced as a game into several countries (Argentina, Australia, Barbados, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Falkland Islands, New Zealand, Rèunion and the United States; [1]).

The effect of translocation on hare genome was proved by previous genetic studies and they 60 suggested that the brown hare and the Cape hare (Lepus capensis) are the same species [2]. 61 However, later the same authors performed mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) analysis and found 62 a significant divergence between them, and therefore they are currently considered to be two 63 different species [3]. Pierpaoli et al. [4] showed that Italian and European hares did not share 64 65 any mitochondrial haplotypes, indicating the lack of interspecific gene flow between the two species due to reproductive isolation in the course of their long separate evolutionary history. 66 They identified two main groups of Eurasian and African hare haplotypes: Clade A (L. 67 granatensis, L. corsicanus, L. timidus) and Clade B (L. c. mediterraneus, L. habessinicus, L. 68 starcki, L. europaeus). These results suggest that the three species belonging to Clade A, with 69 a common ancestor, would have colonized Europe independently of L. europaeus and would 70 have originated by isolation during the Pleistocene glaciations in the southern or northern 71 areas of refuge. 72

It is strongly argued that the current geographical distribution of temperate species and genetic relationships among their populations have been influenced by the climatic oscillations during the Late Quaternary [5, 6]. Specifically, different lineages represent populations repeatedly isolated into distinct glacial refugia such as the Iberian, the Apennine, the Balkan Peninsulas and Turkey [5, 7-10]. Furthermore, different human activities, competition for food or breeding and hybridization between species also led to a higher diversity in the southern refugial areas and the present genetic diversity of the hares [11-13].

There is evidence for human-mediated translocations that is well documented in the southern part of Europe [14].

Previous studies based on mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) analysis on extant brown hare 82 populations has revealed a relatively high degree of geographic partitioning [6, 15-18]. These 83 studies distinguished two major geographically distinct lineages, the European (EUR) and the 84 Anatolian/Middle Eastern (AME) clade. The EUR lineage is further subdivided into two 85 subclades: the Central European (CE) and the South-Eastern European (SEE) [6]. The CE 86 subclade includes individuals from across North-Central Europe, whereas the SEE comprises 87 hares living in South-Eastern Europe. The second lineage, AME, includes individuals from 88 89 Anatolia, South-Eastern Europe and the eastern Mediterranean Islands [17].

A recent study [18] found that there were three major haplogroups including Anatolia/Middle 90 East (AMh), Balkans (BLh), and central Europe (cEUh) among brown hare populations 91 worldwide. Additionally, three subgroups were revealed within the BLh haplogroup including 92 South-Eastern Balkans (SEB), Southern Balkans (SB) and Greek islands excluding those 93 harboring A-lineages (GI-B) off the Anatolian coast. Moreover, the South-Eastern and Central 94 Balkans (SEB), comprising northeastern Greece, south and North-Western as well as South-95 Central Bulgaria, north-eastern part of Republic of Northern Macedonia, South-Eeastern and 96 97 South-Western Serbia, was identified as the primary source region for most other Balkan brown hare populations [18]. 98

99 On the other hand, Anatolian/Middle Eastern haplotypes have not been observed in South, 100 Central and North-Western Greece and the rest of Europe, with the exception of one Serbian 101 haplotype [18]. Also, European haplotypes have not been reported across the entire species 102 range in the Middle East [6, 15, 19]. Further, the existence of a contact zone between the 103 European and Anatolian/Middle Eastern lineages was detected in Bulgaria and North-Eastern 104 Greece [6, 10, 15]. Detection of brown hare lineages is mostly based on the mtDNA control region (CR), and is usually well supported by cytochrome b (cyt b). It proves that mtDNA genomic data are useful in determining phylogenetic relationships between closely related species and within species [20-21] and for understanding the extent and nature of contact zones [10].

Overall, despite a relatively large number of genetic studies on brown hares, their 109 phylogenetic relationships still remain challenging. Only several broad-range studies of 110 phylogeography of brown hares have been done, relying on mtDNA control region sequences 111 from Serbian, Greek and Bulgarian hares [6, 15, 18, 22-26]. Using wide-range geographic 112 sampling over seven countries, we aimed to study (i) the extent of mitochondrial genetic 113 variability and diversity of the brown hare in Central and Eastern Europe; (ii) the 114 phylogeographic relationships of the studied populations, and furthermore (iii) to provide 115 comprehensive information on the genetic characteristics of brown hares for conservation 116 programs and management plans. 117

118

119

120 Materials and methods

121 <u>Sample collection</u>

A total of 137 legally hunted, unprotected adult brown hares were sampled in seven countries (Hungary, Poland, Serbia, Lithuania, Romania, Georgia, Italy; Fig 1, and see S1 Table) between 2010 and 2015. Also, three mountain hares have been accidentally collected along with our samples. No animals were killed for the purposes of this research.

126

Fig 1. Spatial distribution of the European hares' maternal lineages, based on the 358bp mtDNA control region, resulting when combining sequence data from GenBank (S1 Table) and the present study. Squares and polygons indicate the Central European and South-East European subclades, respectively, in the European lineage. Circles and triangles indicate the Anatolian/Middle Eastern lineage and Mountain hare (L. timidus), respectively. Ellipses depict the two discovered contact zone areas between brown hare lineages in South-Eastern and North-Eastern Europe. Filled geometric shapes indicate the geographic location of the sampling sites in this study. Colours of the geometric shapes are in accord with clades/lineages; light green: Central European, dark green: South-East European, red: Anatolian/Middle Eastern, blue: Mountain hare.

- 137
- 138

All tissue samples were stored in 96% ethanol at -4°C. Hair follicles samples were kept in individually registered nylon or paper bags and stored at -4°C until the laboratory analysis. Total DNA was extracted using the E.Z.N.A.® Tissue DNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, USA), the High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit (Roche, USA) and standard FAO protocol. DNA concentrations were evaluated spectrophotometrically and visually by standard agarose gel electrophoresis.

Different regions of the mitochondrial DNA were amplified. PCR protocols and primers 145 (Le.H-Dloop_F, Le.L-Dloop_R [15] for the control region (CR) and LepCyb2L_F, 146 LepD2H R [4] for cytochrome b (cyt b) + tRNA-Thr + tRNA-Pro + control region) were 147 used to the amplification. PCRs were carried out in a total volume of 25 µl, using the 148 following sequence of steps: denaturation at 94 °C for 5 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 149 amplification 94 °C for 1 minute, 60 °C for 1 minute and 72 °C for 1 minute, and a final step 150 at 72 °C for 5 minutes. The forward sequencing reaction was performed by Macrogen Europe 151 (The Netherlands). 152

In addition, previously published sequences of the species were downloaded from theGenBank (S1 and S2 Tables).

156 Ethics statement

Animals were not shot for the purpose of this study. The study did not involve the collection of samples from live animals. An ethics statement was not required. Samples from the different countries were obtained from licensed collaborators and licensed hunters who took samples following their regulations in brown hare management.

161

162 <u>Sequence analysis</u>

Two datasets were created from the sequences. The first dataset comprised 137 CR mtDNA 163 sequences with a total length of 358 bp. The second dataset comprised 105 concatenated 164 sequences cyt b + tRNA-Thr + tRNA-Pro + CR, with a total length of 916 bp after alignment. 165 Alignment was performed using Seqscape 2.6 (Applied Biosystems) and ClustalW in MEGA 166 6 [27], respectively. The aligned sequences were deposited in GenBank with the Accession 167 numbers: MG865671-MG865724 for CR and MG841060- MG841112 for the cyt b + tRNA-168 Thr + tRNA-Pro + CR region (S1 and S2 Tables). The European Rabbit (Oryctolagus 169 cuniculus) (GenBank: AJ001588) [28] was used as an outgroup for the phylogenetic analyses. 170 DAMBE 6 [29] was used to analyze substitution saturation. 171

The number of polymorphic sites, haplotype diversity, nucleotide diversity, average number of nucleotide differences for each location and number of haplotypes were estimated with DnaSP 5.10 [30]. The best-fitting partitioning scheme and nucleotide substitution model were selected using the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) and the corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc) implemented in PartitionFinder 2.1.1 [31].

Bayesian inference (BI) was performed using BEAST v2.3 [32] with 40,000,000 generations of Monte Carlo Markov chains (MCMC), sampling every 100 generations. Maximum likelihood (ML) analyses were implemented in IQ-TREE 1.6 [33] with 10,000 bootstrap steps. Additionally, MEGA 6 [27] was used to construct a neighbour-joining (NJ)

phylogenetic tree, applying the pairwise distance data and p-distance model with 10,000
bootstrap replications. Furthermore, median-joining networks [34] among haplotypes were
inferred using PopART 1.7 [35].

Fu's FS [36] and Tajima's D [37], performed in Arlequin 3.5 [38], were employed to assess 184 the demographic history and to examine hypotheses of selective neutrality [39]. The 185 significance of these tests was calculated using 10,000 permutations. The hierarchical analysis 186 of molecular variance (AMOVA) and fixation index were implemented with 10,000 iterations 187 using Arlequin 3.5 [38] to evaluate levels of population structure. The aim of the AMOVA 188 analysis was to examine whether genetic variation was significantly structured among 189 different haplogroups. Φ_{ST} can provide an estimate of the genetic differentiation among 190 populations in order to make inferences of past demographic changes. 191

To estimate the presence of genetic clusters (populations) within the sequences of L. 192 europaeus and L. timidus (or introgressed individuals), we used Bayesian Analysis of 193 Population Structure (BAPS) v6 [40-41] implementing the method of "clustering for linked 194 loci" with two independent runs and K = 10 repetitions. To assess introgression occurring 195 within the populations of these two species, we performed the method of "admixture based on 196 mixture clustering" implemented in BAPS. To provide a correct assessment of population 197 genetic structure, it is recommended to use the admixture models, because these models are 198 robust to an absence of admixture in the sample; reciprocally, models without admixture are 199 not robust to the inclusion of admixed individuals in the sample [42]. 200

201

202 **Results**

203 <u>MtDNA control region sequences (358 bp)</u>

The substitution saturation test based on both datasets (916 bp and 358 bp sequences) revealed that the base substitutions did not reach saturation, and these datasets were suitable for phylogenetic analyses.

For the 358 bp control region, 137 samples were sequenced from Central-Eastern Europe (S1 Table). Additional sequences from Europe and the Middle East published in GenBank were included in the analyses, yielding a dataset comprising a total of 447 sequences and 259 haplotypes (S1 Table). A total of 52 haplotypes were identified among the 137 new sequences, including 40 novel haplotypes and 12 previously reported haplotypes.

The phylogenetic analyses (BI, ML, and NJ trees) yielded relatively identical topologies, indicating that among 137 selected haplotypes from the dataset (447 individuals) two lineages were identified (Fig 2).

216

217

Fig 2. Phylogenetic relationships of brown hare from Central-Eastern Europe with other brown hares, based on the 358-bp mtDNA control region sequences and rooted with Oryctolagus cuniculus (AJ001588). The numbers on the branches are posterior probabilities in the Bayesian inference and bootstrap support in maximum likelihood and neighbourjoining. Colored ovals represent haplotypes identified in the current study. The branches within blue rectangular include mountain hare sequences or introgressed haplotypes of this species in other hare species. For detailed information on haplotypes see S1 Table.

225

The MJ network analysis (Fig 3) also supported the clusters distinguished in the phylogenetic trees. The first lineage, European (EUR), was divided into two phylogeographically distinct subclades: Central European (CE) and South-East European (SEE).

Fig 3. Median joining network of brown hare from Central-Eastern Europe and other brown hares, based on the 358-bp mtDNA control region. The numbers on the haplotypes (1-259) are the same haplotype codes (CR1-CR259) as in Fig 2 and S1 Table. Dark circles are connecting nodes (i.e. putative undetected haplotypes). Blue circles include mountain hare sequences or introgressed haplotypes of this species in other hare species.

236

237

The subclade CE was mostly distributed across various regions of Central Europe, Scotland, 238 England, the Netherlands, France, Germany, Italy, Austria, Switzerland, Poland, Lithuania, 239 Hungary and Northern Serbia (Fig 1). However, two individuals belonging to the subclade 240 were found in Eastern Romania and Southern Serbia. Also, one brown hare from Cyprus 241 (Cyprus 4 in S1 Table) clustered within CE (falling into haplotype CR40, S1 Table). 242 Haplotype CR40 along with haplotypes CR1 and CR10 was the most common haplotype in 243 the subclade CE and was shown to inhabit more than one region in Europe (Fig 3). Haplotype 244 CR40 was identified as the most abundant (38 individuals) and central haplotype in the 245 subclade, and was observed across Northern Europe, from Lithuania to Poland, Germany, 246 France, England, and Scotland. Haplotype CR1 was observed in Poland, Hungary, Romania, 247 Serbia, and Italy, whereas haplotype CR10 was observed in Lithuania, Poland, Hungary, 248 Serbia, Austria, Italy and France. The subclade SEE predominantly occurred in South-Eastern 249 Europe including Bulgaria, Greece, Republic of Northern Macedonia and Serbia (Fig 1). 250 However, individuals belonging to this subclade were also present in Hungary, Poland, 251 Central Italy and France (Corsica Island) (Figs 1 and 2, S1 Table). Haplotypes in SEE were 252 mostly specific to relatively limited spatial distributions (Fig 3). However, three haplotypes 253 belonging to this subclade were recorded over a larger geographical range: CR8 (Hungary and 254

Italy), CR32 (Serbia and Italy) and CR62 (Italy and Poland). Phylogenetic analyses revealed
no shared haplotype between the subclades in this lineage.

The second cluster, the Anatolian/Middle Eastern lineage (AME) was predominantly present in Georgia, Turkey and the Middle East, and was also found in Lithuania, Poland, Romania, North-Eastern Greece, Republic of Northern Macedonia, Italy and France (Corsica Island) (Fig 1). Haplotypes in this lineage were mostly restricted to small geographic ranges. However, within AME, haplotypes CR52, CR53, and CR54 were recorded both in Romania and Italy, but haplotypes CR57 (Italy and Republic of Northern Macedonia) and CR200 (Turkey and Cyprus) were also found in distant localities (Figs 1, 2 and 3).

264

265 <u>MtDNA cytochrome b, tRNA-Thr, tRNA-Pro and control region (916 bp)</u>

Phylogenetic analyses of the control region revealed two major lineages in Central-Eastern 266 Europe, with contact zones discovered in the geographic range (Fig 1). To obtain a better 267 assessment of phylogeographic structure, we sequenced the additional fragments cyt b (426 268 bp), tRNA-Thr (66 bp) and tRNA-Pro (66 bp) of 105 brown hares from Italy, Hungary, 269 Serbia, Georgia, Romania, Poland and Lithuania (S2 Table). Sixteen additional sequences 270 belonging to brown hares from Germany, Sweden, Poland, Greece, Turkey and Cyprus 271 272 available in GenBank were also added to the alignment (S2 Table). Finally, a total dataset comprising 124 sequences (916 bp fragment of mtDNA), corresponding to a total of 62 273 haplotypes was used for phylogenetic analysis. According to this longer fragment, and in 274 accordance with control region sequences, the brown hare population in Central-Eastern 275 Europe is divided into the same two distinct phylogeographic lineages (EUR and AME) (Figs 276 4 and 5). 277

Fig 4. Phylogenetic relationships of brown hare from Central-Eastern Europe with other brown hares, based on the 916-bp mtDNA sequences (cyt b + tRNA-Thr + tRNA-Pro + control region) and rooted with Oryctolagus cuniculus (AJ001588). The numbers on the branches are posterior probabilities in the Bayesian inference and bootstrap support in maximum likelihood and neighbour-joining. Colored ovals represent haplotypes identified in the current study. For detailed information on haplotypes see S2 Table.

285

Fig 5. Median joining network of brown hare from Central-Eastern Europe and other brown hares, based on the 916-bp mtDNA sequences (cyt b + tRNA-Thr + tRNA-Pro + control region). For detailed information on haplotypes see Fig 4 and S2 Table. Dark circles are connecting nodes (i.e. putative undetected haplotypes).

290

Furthermore, brown hares belonging to the lineage EUR fall into two subclades, the same CE and SEE as in the first dataset. The contact zones among all lineages and subclades were identified in the same geographic ranges as in Fig 1.

A total of 51 haplotypes was found throughout Central-Eastern Europe. Moreover, 50 novel haplotypes and only one previously reported haplotype were detected among them. The genetic statistics for the sequenced brown hares in this study are displayed in Table 1.

297

Table 1. Comparison of genetic statistics for the brown hares sequenced in this study,
 originating from Central-Eastern Europe, based on the 916-bp mtDNA sequences (cyt b
 + tRNA-Thr + tRNA-Pro + control region)

Group	n	h	Hd (SD)	Pi (SD)	K	Р	Fu's FS	Tajima's
								D
Central European	83	32	0.927(0.019)	0.0051(0.0003)	4.71	41	-	-1.455*

	15.340**	
	South-East 14 12 0.978(0.035) 0.0153(0.0021) 14.14 52 -1.567 -0.593	
	European	
	Anatolian/Middle 8 7 0.964(0.077) 0.0198(0.0029) 18.32 40 -0.607 0.623	
	Eastern	
301	n, number of individuals; h, number of haplotypes; Hd, haplotype diversity; SD, Standa	rd
302	Deviation; Pi, nucleotide diversity (per site); K, average number of nucleotide differences;	P,
303	variable (polymorphic) sites. Statistical significance: *p<0.05, Statistical high significance	e:
304	**p<0.01.	
305		
306		
307		
308	High haplotype diversity values and relatively low-moderate nucleotide diversity we	re
309	obtained for brown hares of the study populations. The lineage AME (only for Fu's FS) and	nd
310	both the subclades of lineage EUR presented negative values for Tajima's and Fu's neutrali	ty
311	tests, but only the outcome for the Central European subclade was found significant (D =	= -
312	1.455, $P = 0.045$; $FS = -15.34$, $P = 0.00$) (Table 1). Thus, this subclade is likely to have	ve
313	undergone a recent population expansion. Results of the AMOVA revealed that the amon	g-
314	haplogroups component of variance (67.59%) was higher than the variation with	in
315	haplogroups (32.41%) (Table 2). According to the fixation index a significant genet	ic
316	structure among all haplogroups was also observed ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.676$, P = 0.00) (Table 2).	
317		

Table 2. AMOVA results for three major haplogroups (AME, SEE and CE) of brown hare originating from Central-Eastern Europe, based on the 916-bp mtDNA sequences (cyt b + tRNA-Thr + tRNA-Pro + control region).

Source of variation	d.f.	Percentage	Fixation	p-value
		of variation	index ($\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{ST}}$)	
Among haplogroups	2	67.59	0.676	p<0.000
Within haplogroups	101	32.41		
Total	103			

322

The analysis performed with BAPS v6 separated L. europaeus and L. timidus (and introgressed mountain hare in other hare species) with K = 6 (ln(P) = -8954.5009). This analysis assigned sequences from L. europaeus to five genetic clusters, and L. timidus to only one cluster (Fig 6). Within L. europaeus, sequences belonging to lineage AME and subclade SEE (lineage EUR) were each assigned to two clusters, whereas individuals belonging to subclade CE (lineage EUR) fell into one cluster.

329

Fig 6. Bayesian clustering analysis of 358-bp mtDNA control region sequences from 330 brown hares (L. europaeus) and mountain hares (L. timidus and introgressed haplotypes 331 of this species in other hares) as implemented in BAPS v6. resulting in K = 6. We 332 detected 5 clusters within major lineages of L. europaeus; 2 and 3 clusters within lineages 333 AME and EUR (SEE = 2 clusters; CE = 1 cluster), respectively. Also, L. timidus and 334 introgressed individuals were assigned to one cluster. Numbers 1 to 20 are the localities of 335 sequence data from our study and others (see S1 Table): 1. Georgia; 2. Middle East; 3. 336 Cyprus; 4. Turkey; 5. Greece; 6. Bulgaria; 7. Romania; 8. Republic of Northern Macedonia; 337 9. Serbia; 10. Hungary; 11. Austria; 12. Switzerland; 13. Italy; 14. France; 15. Poland; 16. 338 Lithuania; 17. Sweden; 18. Germany; 19. The Netherlands, England and Scotland; 20. Iberian 339 Peninsula. 340

342 **Discussion**

Previous studies estimated phylogenetic relationships among brown hare populations in 343 Europe and the Middle East, where insufficient sampling left a relatively large gap in several 344 geographic ranges, especially in Central-Eastern Europe. This information gap has prevented 345 the delineation of a comprehensive picture of genetic diversity and phylogeographic structure 346 of the species. European brown hares have been classified to two major lineages, European 347 (EUR) and Anatolian/Middle Eastern (AME) [6, 15, 17-18] that co-exist in Republic of 348 Northern Macedonia, North-Eastern Greece and Bulgaria [6, 10, 15]. In this study, we 349 presented a relatively comprehensive dataset on mtDNA cytochrome b, tRNA-Thr, tRNA-Pro 350 351 and control region fragments (a total of 916 bp) of brown hares in Central-Eastern Europe, where two datasets were used in the genetic analyses; the first dataset included a 358-bp 352 control region sequence, whereas the second dataset covered a concatenated sequence of 353 mtDNA fragments (the 916-bp sequence). 354

Our findings revealed a high genetic diversity within the 916-bp mtDNA sequence (105 new 355 sequences, 51 haplotypes) of brown hares from Central-Eastern Europe, where 50 haplotypes 356 were reported for the first time (Table 1). Phylogenetic analyses revealed two major lineages 357 of brown hare in the study area, based on a combination of our sequences and previously 358 published sequences (S1 and S2 Tables) for both datasets: (i) AME, which comprises 359 individuals from Georgia, Anatolia, the Middle East and also includes some hares living in 360 South-Eastern, North-Eastern and Central Europe, and (ii) EUR, which includes hares from 361 362 Central, South-Eastern, Eastern and Northern Europe. In accordance with others [6, 15], the EUR lineage is subdivided into two well-supported subclades, Central European (CE) and 363 South-East European (SEE). 364

The significant genetic structure among brown hare haplogroups from Central-Eastern Europe 365 was well supported by Φ_{ST} and AMOVA (Table 2). The fixation index is a standard measure, 366 which gives an estimate of the degree of genetic differentiation among and within 367 populations/haplogroups [43]. In fact, the analyses demonstrated that partitioning into the 368 major haplogroups explains 67.59% of the overall mtDNA variability and corresponds to a 369 highly significant fixation index (p<0.000). The female philopatry of brown hares [16, 44] 370 could have resulted in the formation of multigenerational matrilineal assemblages that are 371 geographically structured [45]. 372

The population structure determined by BAPS v6 partially described diversity allocation 373 between clusters based on the control region mtDNA sequences. BAPS is known to be 374 relatively highly efficient in identifying hidden population structures [46]. The analysis 375 revealed five genetic clusters within the populations of L. europaeus and only one cluster 376 within L. timidus (and introgressed) sequences. Within L. europaeus, individuals belonging to 377 the major lineage AME were assigned to two clusters: (i) cluster 1, which includes brown 378 hares from Georgia, Turkey, Cyprus, Bulgaria, Romania, Republic of Northern Macedonia, 379 Central Italy, France (Corsica Island), Poland and Lithuania; (ii) cluster 2, which comprises 380 brown hares living in the Middle East, Georgia, Turkey, Greece, Republic of Northern 381 Macedonia, Central Italy and France (Corsica Island). Sequences belonging to subclade SEE 382 (lineage EUR), within L. europaeus, were divided to two clusters: (i) cluster 1, including the 383 sequences from Greece, Republic of Northern Macedonia, Serbia, Hungary, Central Italy, 384 France (Corsica Island), Germany and Poland; (ii) cluster 2, which includes individuals from 385 Greece, Bulgaria, Republic of Northern Macedonia, Serbia, Central Italy and France (Corsica 386 Island). It is interesting that all genetic clusters of brown hare are present in Central Italy and 387 France (Corsica Island) (Fig 6). 388

Our findings revealed some slight introgression of individual haplotypes from L. timidus into L. europaeus only in one sample (GER4 in S1 Table) from Germany (Fig 6). Extensive introgression mtDNA and nuclear genes of mountain hare into other hares has been reported in previous studies (e.g., [47-48]). The introgression of individual genotypes among populations potentially could have resulted from recent genetic hybridization or incomplete lineage sorting of ancestral variation.

The contact zones among the two major lineages (and two subclades belonging to lineage 395 EUR), interestingly, were discovered in two large areas in Central-Eastern Europe, 396 encompassing South-Eastern (Republic of Northern Macedonia, North-Eastern Greece, 397 398 Bulgaria and Romania) and North-Eastern (Lithuania and North-Eastern Poland) Europe (Fig. 1). While the sympatric distribution of haplotypes of lineages EUR and AME in Republic of 399 Northern Macedonia, North-Eastern Greece and Bulgaria had already been shown by others 400 [6, 10, 15], other overlapping distributions are characterized here for the first time. However, 401 the region comprising Thrace and Bulgaria, which probably extends into Turkish Thrace and 402 maybe into Anatolia is a well-known hybrid zone of Europe [5] for species that were 403 restricted to refuge areas in the Southern Balkans and Anatolia during the Pleistocene cold 404 stages [15]. 405

406 Based on the combined analyses of our sequences and those of others [15; Strzala et al. unpublished, direct submission to GenBank), Polish brown hares harbour haplotypes of both 407 lineages (and the two EUR subclades). Whereas lineage EUR (mostly the subclade CE) is 408 widespread and predominant in Poland, another lineage is only found in the eastern part of the 409 country. Brown hares living in Western Romania fall into the European lineage (subclade 410 CE), whereas individuals from Eastern Romania also show haplotypes of lineage AME. 411 Overall, our data reveal overlapping EUR and AME haplotypes both in Romania and 412 Lithuania. 413

Brown hares inhabiting Georgia exhibited high genetic diversity (dataset 1: 7 individuals, 6 414 novel haplotypes; and dataset 2: 4 individuals, 3 new haplotypes), but only within the lineage 415 AME. Thus, based on our data, extending the contact zone to Georgia and the Middle East, as 416 speculated by others [6, 15] is not justified. It is interesting that among the sequences 417 previously reported from Cyprus [15, 17], one brown hare (CYP4, listed in S1 and S2 Tables; 418 published by [17]) shared a common haplotype (CR40 that distributes across Northern 419 Europe; see Fig 3 and S1 Table for detailed information) of European lineage origin (subclade 420 CE). However, the haplotype was found outside the range of Northern Europe only in Cyprus. 421 We consider human-mediated translocations for these introgressions, as has been widely 422 423 confirmed for both recent and historic times [15, 49-50]. However, more extensive samplings, especially in Eastern Europe, Balkans, north of the Black Sea and Anatolia, may reveal 424 important phylogeographic signatures. 425

Our data confirm the presence of both subclades (CE and SEE) belonging to the lineage EUR in Hungary and Serbia. Whereas haplotypes belonging to SEE are predominant in Southern and Central Serbia, the unique sequences of CE are predominantly found in Hungary and Northern Serbia. Moreover, a recent study reported one haplotype belonging to AME among brown hares from Northern Serbia as a possible consequence of human-mediated translocations [18].

According to the combined analysis of our sequences and those of others [51], haplotypes belonging to lineages EUR (both subclades CE and SEE) and AME are present in Italy. Nevertheless, haplotypes belonging to CE are predominant in this country. The European brown hare is a major game species in Europe [52], and different populations of the species have been introduced in different areas, mostly for hunting. Thus, this presence of AME is also probably due to human-mediated translocations, as reported in other studies (e.g., [51]. Furthermore, the occurrence of L. europaeus in Corsica is recent and artificial, as it is known that different species of hares have been introduced in the region up to this day [53]. Overall,
the presence of both major lineages (and the European subclades) of brown hare in Corsica
could be the result of several human-mediated introduction events from different origins [54].
Likewise, a contact zone between mountain hares (L. timidus) and brown hares can be
observed in Lithuania, as recorded in different populations of brown hares [9, 48,55-57].

The network result, in accordance with Stamatis et al. [6], showed that there are relatively 444 close relationships between some haplotypes belonging to CE and several haplotypes from 445 SEE (Fig 3). This finding indicates that one haplotype of the first subclade is only connected 446 by one, so far undetected, haplotype, to another haplotype from the second subclade. 447 448 However, the network analysis based on the longer sequence (916 bp) (Fig 5) does not provide strong support for this hypothesis. Overall, the close phylogenetic relationships 449 between the two subclades SEE and CE in large geographic ranges of Europe support the idea 450 451 that the brown hare colonized the current spatial ranges, when ecological conditions in these areas became suitable for the species after the Last Glacial Maximum [6, 58]. Also, the 452 presence of a large number of unique haplotypes in South-Eastern Europe (the Balkans) and 453 Anatolia is evidence for maintenance of a high proportion of the pre-glacial brown hare 454 diversity in these areas during at least the last glacial period. Other studies have demonstrated 455 the high intraspecies diversity of brown hare in these areas [6, 15, 18]. 456

We discovered large contact zones for brown hares in several countries of Central-Eastern Europe. These findings support the existence of probable glacial refugia during the LGM in some of these areas (especially in Southern Europe), where the refugial populations probably underwent genetic differentiation [8], resulting in a number of genetic clusters. Following the retreat of the glaciers, the genetically isolated populations colonized Europe and formed secondary contact zones [59]. Our findings are in accordance with others [6-8, 15] who suggest the post-glacial population expansion scenario from southern refugia (such as Iberia,

Italy and the Balkans, as well as Asia Minor and the Caspian/Caucasus region). Other studies 464 [18] provide evidence for the hypothesis of an Anatolian population range expansion of the 465 brown hare into south-eastern and south-central areas of the Balkans, which has likely acted 466 as a potentially important source in the pattern of gene flow to southern, central and northern 467 areas of the Balkan Peninsula. Furthermore, it is suggested that colonization of the central and 468 western parts of Europe by brown hares started from the Northern Balkans in a postglacial 469 expansion. However, the Balkans were the most important source of European populations, 470 due to the lack of major geographical barriers limiting a northward expansion, compared to 471 the Alps and the Pyrenees for the Italian and the Iberian refugia, respectively [7]. Several 472 473 authors described the existence of introgression of Anatolian mtDNA in Bulgarian brown hares which most likely result of hunting management practices in recent time [6, 15, 18, 49]. 474 The colonization pattern of Central and Northern Europe from the Balkan Peninsula has also 475 been proposed for other species such as the marbled white butterfly (Melanargia galathea) 476 [60] and the wild boar (Sus scrofa) [61]. 477

Our data, in combination with additional ones [6, 17, 48], indicate phylogenetically close 478 relationships among brown hares throughout Central and Northern Europe, where a common 479 haplotype (CR40 in Fig 3 and S1 Table) was identified. Furthermore, other shared haplotypes 480 481 (e.g., CR1 and CR10) were found from the east (Lithuania, Romania, Serbia) to central (Poland, Hungary, Austria) and west (Italy and France) across Europe. The findings suggest 482 that source regions for the origin of northern, western, and central populations of brown hare 483 are probably situated in Eastern or Southern Europe. High variability of mtDNA in these 484 probable sources support the hypothesis of gene flow in a northward and westward expansion 485 of the identified contact zones, as Stamatis et al. [6] proposed the gene flow from north-486 western populations of Greece into Central Italy via a land bridge between the Balkans and 487 the Italian peninsula at the end of the Pleistocene and the Holocene. Also, Stamatis et al. [6] 488

suggested the probable pattern of gene flow northward from Italy to Switzerland and Austria, after the retreat of the southern alpine glaciers. Several studies suggested the postglacial colonization of Central and North-Western continental Europe by the brown hare of the Balkans [6, 15, 18]. Others [62] supported the postglacial recolonization of Central Europe by the Italian populations.

The existence of AME haplotypes in South-Eastern Europe support a sudden expansion of this lineage to Europe during the late Pleistocene via the Bosphorus land bridge that disappeared only ca. 8000 years ago with the rise of the sea level [18, 63] or some Greek islands when they were still connected to Anatolia in the late Pleistocene [15]. On the other hand, the presence of a genetic break at the border between Anatolia and the surrounding regions has been reported in different species [64].

Also, our data confirm the presence of AME haplotypes in North-Eastern Europe, indicating 500 501 the gene flow from Anatolian/Middle Eastern brown hares into Eastern and North-Eastern Europe via west of the Black Sea or other post-glacial colonization routes, especially east of 502 the Black Sea. Alternatively, the existence of some haplotypes out of their lineage's original 503 homeland may be due to recent translocations. Indeed, Kasapidis et al. [15] described that the 504 brown hares living in some Eastern Mediterranean islands (such as Crete and Cyprus) have 505 506 probably been introduced by humans because these islands were cut off from the mainland more than 2.5 million years ago. 507

Neutrality tests were negative for the lineages and subclades (except in AME for the value of Tajima's D), but only the subclade CE showed a significant negative value, indicating a significant excess of rare haplotypes suggesting that the population is not under mutation-drift equilibrium due to sudden expansion [45, 65]. Also, the star-like connection pattern of haplotypes (CR1, 10, 27, 36, 40, 57, and 167 in Fig 3; and H3, 8 and 38 in Fig 5) gives support to the hypothesis of population expansion [66]. Some of these haplotypes are the

central and most abundant ones and are widely distributed in the study area. Thus, it is highly likely that the common and central haplotypes are ancestral haplotypes. Moreover, the patterns of high haplotype diversity along with relatively low nucleotide diversity (as found in this study) indicate sudden demographic expansion from a restricted area or a small effective population size in the recent past [65, 67]. In other words, this pattern suggests that the populations originate from closely related haplotypes.

520

521 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian 522 Academy of Sciences. The publication is supported by the EFOP-3.6.3-VEKOP-16-2017-523 00008 project. The project is co-financed by the European Union and the European Social 524 Fund. Blanka Mező, Fanni Lakatos and Bettina Ferenczi are thanked for the laboratory work. 525 We are grateful to Péter Farkas and to local hunters for helping in sample collection. Thanks 526 are also due to Dr. István Komlósi for his support, Dr. Erzsébet Fejes for language proof-527 reading and anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments on an earlier draft of the 528 manuscript. 529

530

531 **References**

Flux JEC, Angermann R. 1990. The hares and jackrabbits. Rabbits, hares and pikas. Status
 survey and conservation action plan. In: J. A. Chapman & J. E. C. Flux (Eds.), IUCN/SSC
 Lagomorph Specialist Group (Vol. 1, pp. 61–94) Gland, CH & Cambridge, UK: IUCN.

2. Ben Slimen H, Suchentrunk F, Memmi A, Sert H, Kryger U, Alves PC, et al. Evolutionary
relationships among hares from North Africa (Lepus sp. or Lepus spp.), cape hares (L.
capensis) from South Africa, and brown hares (L. europaeus), as inferred from mtDNA

- PCR-RFLP and allozyme data. Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary
 Research. 2006. 44(1): 88–99. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0469.2005.00345.x
- 3. Ben Slimen H, Suchentrunk F, Stamatis C, Mamuris Z, Sert H, Alves PC, et al. Population
 genetics of cape and brown hares (Lepus capensis and L. europaeus): A test of Petter's
 hypothesis of conspecificity. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology. 2008. 36(1): 22–39.
 doi:10.1016/j.bse.2007.06.014
- 4. Pierpaoli M, Riga F, Trocchi V, Randi E. Species distinction and evolutionary relationships
 of the Italian hare (Lepus corsicanus) as described by mitochondrial DNA sequencing.
 Molecular Ecology. 1999. 8(11): 1805–1817. doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.1999.00766.x
- 547 5. Hewitt GM. Post-glacial re-colonization of European biota. Biological Journal of the
 548 Linnean Society. 1999. 68(1-2): 87–112. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.1999.tb01160.x
- 549 6. Stamatis C, Suchentrunk F, Moutou KA, Giacometti M, Haerer G, Djan M, et al.
 550 Phylogeography of the brown hare (Lepus europaeus) in Europe: a legacy of southeastern
 551 Mediterranean refugia? Journal of Biogeography. 2009. 36(3): 515–528.
 552 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.02013.x
- 7. Taberlet P, Fumagalli L, Wust-Saucy AG, Cosson JF. Comparative phylogeography and
 postglacial colonization routes in Europe. Molecular Ecology. 1998. 7(4): 453–464.
 doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00289.x
- 8. Hewitt G. The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. Nature. 2000. 405(6789): 907–
 913. doi:10.1038/35016000
- 9. Alves PC, Melo-Ferreira J, Branco M, Suchentrunk F, Ferrand N, Harris DJ. Evidence for
 genetic similarity of two allopatric European hares (Lepus corsicanus and L. castroviejoi)
 inferred from nuclear DNA sequences. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution. 2008.
- 561 46(3): 1191–1197. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2007.11.010

- 10. Antoniou A, Magoulas A, Platis P, Kotoulas G. Assessing the genetic landscape of a
 contact zone: the case of European hare in northeastern Greece. Genetica. 2013. 141(1-3):
 23–40. doi:10.1007/s10709-013-9703-z
- 565 11. Guberti V, de Marco MA, Riga F, Cavazza A, Trocchi V, Capucci L. Virolog and species
 566 conservation: the case of EBHSV and the Italian hare (Lepus corsicanus De Winton,
 567 1898). Proceedings of V Int. Congress of European Society for Veterinary Virology,
 568 Brescia. 2000. 8: 27–30.
- Thulin CG, Isaksson M, Tegelström H. The origin of Scandinavian mountain hares. Gibier
 Faune Sauvage, Game Wildlife. 1997. 14(3): 463–475.
- 13. Melo-Ferreira J, Boursot P, Suchentrunk F, Ferrand N, Alves PC. Invasion from the cold
 past: extensive introgression of mountain hare (Lepus timidus) mitochondrial DNA into
 three other hare species in northern Iberia. Molecular Ecology. 2005. 14(8): 2459–2464.
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02599.x
- 575 14. Smith AT. 2008. Conservation of endangered lagomorphs. In: P.C. Alves, N. Ferrand &
 576 K. Hacklander (Eds.), Lagomorph biology: evolution, ecology and conservation (pp. 297–
 577 315). Berlin, D: Springer.
- 15. Kasapidis P, Suchentrunk F, Magoulas A, Kotoulas G. The shaping of mitochondrial 578 DNA phylogeographic patterns of the brown hare (Lepus europaeus) under the combined 579 influence of Late Pleistocene climatic fluctuations and anthropogenic translocations. 580 2005. Molecular Phylogenetics Evolution. 34(1): 55-66. 581 and doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2004.09.007 582
- 16. Mamuris Z, Moutou KA, Stamatis C, Sarafidou T, Suchentrunk F. Y DNA and
 mitochondrial lineages in European and Asian populations of the brown hare (Lepus
 europaeus). Mammalian Biology-Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde. 2010. 75(3): 233–242.
 doi:10.1016/j.mambio.2009.01.004

587	17. Giannoulis T, Stamatis C, Tsipourlianos A, Mamuris Z. Mitogenomic analysis in
588	European brown hare (Lepus europaeus) proposes genetic and functional differentiation
589	between the distinct lineages. Mitochondrial DNA. Part A, DNA mapping, sequencing, and
590	analysis Mitochondrial DNA Part A. 2018. 1-8. doi:10.1080/24701394.2016.1278540
591	18. Djan M, Stefanović M, Veličković N, Lavadinović V, Alves PC, Suchentrunk F. Brown
592	hares (Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778) from the Balkans: a refined phylogeographic model.
593	Hystrix, the Italian Journal of Mammalogy. 2017. 28(2): 1-8. doi:10.4404/hystrix-28.2-
594	12202
595	19. Sert H, Ben Slimen H, Erdoğan A, Suchentrunk F. Mitochondrial HVI sequence variation
596	in Anatolian hares (Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778). Mammalian Biology-Zeitschrift für
597	Säugetierkunde. 2009. 74(4): 286–297. doi:10.1016/j.mambio.2008.05.008
598	20. Yu L, Li YW, Ryder O, Zhang YP. Analysis of complete mitochondrial genome
599	sequences increases phylogenetic resolution of bears (Ursidae), a mammalian family that
600	experienced rapid speciation. BMC Evolutionary Biology. 2007. 7(1): 198.
601	doi:10.1186/1471-2148-7-198
602	21. Zhou T, Shen X, Irwin DM, Shen Y, Zhang Y. Mitogenomic analyses propose positive
603	selection in mitochondrial genes for high-altitude adaptation in galliform birds.
604	Mitochondrion. 2014. 18: 70-75. doi:10.1016/j.mito.2014.07.012
605	22. Hartl GB, Suchentrunk F, Nadlinger K, Willing R. Studies on the European hare. 47. An
606	integrative analysis of genetic differentiation in the brown hare Lepus europaeus based on
607	morphology, allozymes, and mitochondrial DNA. Acta Theriologica. 1993. 38(2): 33-57.
608	23. Vapa L, Obreht D, Vapa M, Selmic V. Genetic variability in brown hare (Lepus
609	europeaus) populations in Yugoslavia. Zeitschrift für Jagdwissenschaft. 2002. 48: 261-
610	266. doi:10.1007/BF02192416

611	24. Vapa L, Djan M, Obreht D, Hammer S, Suchentrunk F. Allozyme variability of brown
612	hares (Lepus europaeus) from the Vojvodina (Serbia), compared to central and south
613	eastern European populations. Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae. 2007.
614	53(1): 75–87.

- 25. Djan M, Obreht D, Vapa L. Polymorphism of mtDNA regions in brown hare (Lepus
 europaeus) populations from Vojvodina (Serbia and Montenegro). European Journal of
 Wildlife Research. 2006. 52(4): 288–291. doi:10.1007/s10344-006-0050-6
- 26. Djan M, Veličković N, Stefanović M, Marković V, Vidaković DO, Vapa L. Genetic
 variation within and among brown hare (Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778) populations in
 Serbia as inferred from microsatellites. Balkan Journal of Wildlife Research. 2015. 2(1):
 18–26. doi:10.15679/bjwr.v2i1.22
- 27. Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary
 genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2013. 30(12): 2725–2729.
 doi:10.1093/molbev/mst197
- 28. Gissi C, Gullberg A, Arnason U. The complete mitochondrial DNA sequence of the
 rabbit, Oryctolagus cuniculus. Genomics. 1998. 50(2): 161–169.
 doi.org/10.1006/geno.1998.5282
- 29. Xia X. DAMBE6: New tools for microbial genomics, phylogenetics and molecular
 evolution. Journal of Heredity. 2017. 108(4): 431–437. doi:10.1093/jhered/esx033
- 30. Librado P, Rozas J. DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA
 polymorphism data. Bioinformatics. 2009. 25(11): 1451–1452.
 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187
- 31. Lanfear R, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Senfeld T, Calcott B. Partition Finder 2: new
 methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological

- phylogenetic analyses. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2016. 34(3): 772–773.
 doi:10.1093/molbev/msw260
- 32. Bouckaert R, Heled J, Kühnert D, Vaughan T, Wu CH, Xie D, et al. BEAST 2: a software
 platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. PLoS Computational Biology. 2014. 10(4):
 e1003537. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003537
- 33. Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective
 stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Molecular Biology
 and Evolution. 2015. 32(1): 268–274. doi:10.1093/molbev/msu300
- 34. Bandelt HJ, Forster P, Röhl A. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific
 phylogenies. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 1999. 16(1): 37–48.
 doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026036
- 646 35. http://popart.otago.ac.nz/downloads.shtml
- 647 36. Fu YX. Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth, hitchhiking
 648 andbackground selection. Genetics. 1997. 147(2): 915–925.
- 37. Tajima F. The effect of change in population size on DNA polymorphism. Genetics. 1989.
 123(3): 597–601.
- 38. Excoffier L, Lischer HE. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform
 populationgenetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Molecular Ecology Resources.
 2010. 10(3): 564–567. doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x
- 39. Ramírez-Soriano A, Ramos-Onsins SE, Rozas J, Calafell F, Navarro A. Statistical power
 analysis of neutrality tests under demographic expansions, contractions and bottlenecks
 with recombination. Genetics. 2008. 179(1): 555–567. doi:10.1534/genetics.107.083006
- 40. Corander J, Waldmann P, Marttinen P, Sillanpää MJ. BAPS 2: enhanced possibilities for
- the analysis of genetic population structure. Bioinformatics. 2004. 20(15): 2363–2369.

- 41. Corander J, Marttinen P, Sirén J, Tang J. Enhanced Bayesian modelling in BAPS software
 for learning genetic structures of populations. BMC bioinformatics. 2008. 9(1): 539.
 doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-539
- 42. François O, Durand E. Spatially explicit Bayesian clustering models in population
 genetics. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2010. 10(5): 773–784.
- 43. Neigel JE. Is FST obsolete? Conservation Genetics. 2002. 3(2): 167–73.
- 44. Fickel J, Schmidt A, Putze M, Spittler H, Ludwig A, Streich WJ, et al. Genetic structure
 of populations of European brown hare: implications for management. Journal of Wildlife
- 667
 Management.
 2005.
 69(2):
 760–770.
 doi:10.2193/0022

 668
 541X(2005)069[0760:GSOPOE]2.0.CO;2
 541X(2005)069[0760:GSOPOE]2.0.CO;2
 541X(2005)069[0760:GSOPOE]2.0.CO;2
- 45. Ashrafzadeh MR, Kaboli M, Naghavi MR. Mitochondrial DNA analysis of Iranian brown
 bears (Ursus arctos) reveals new phylogeographic lineage. Mammalian Biology-Zeitschrift
 für Säugetierkunde. 2016. 81(1): 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.mambio.2015.09.001
- 46. Corander J, Marttinen P. Bayesian identification of admixture events using multilocus
 molecular markers. Molecular Ecology. 2006. 15(10): 2833–2843. doi:10.1111/j.1365294X.2006.02994.x
- 47. Levänen R, Thulin CG, Spong G, Pohjoismäki JL. Widespread introgression of mountain
- hare genes into Fennoscandian brown hare populations. PloS One. 2018. 13(1): e0191790.
 doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191790
- 48. Melo-Ferreira J, Boursot P, Carneiro M, Esteves PJ, Farelo L, Alves PC. Recurrent
 introgression of mitochondrial DNA among hares (Lepus spp.) revealed by species-tree
 inference and coalescent simulations. Systematic Biology. 2012. 61(3): 367–381.
 doi:10.1093/sysbio/syr114
- 49. Mamuris Z, Sfougaris AI, Stamatis C. Genetic structure of Greek brown hare (Lepus
 europaeus) populations as revealed by mtDBNA RFLP-PCR analysis: implications for

conserving genetic diversity. Biological Conservation. 2001. 101(2): 187–196.
doi:10.1016/S0006-3207(01)00065-9

- 50. Suchentrunk F, Ben Slimen HB, Stamatis C, Sert H, Scandura M, Apollonio M, et al.
 Molecular approaches revealing prehistoric, historic, or recent translocations and
 introductions of hares (Genus Lepus) by humans. Human Evolution. 2006. 21(2): 151–165.
 doi:10.1007/s11598-006-9016-7
- 51. Canu A, Scandura M, Luchetti S, Cossu A, Iacolina L, Bazzanti M, et al. Influence of
 management regime and population history on genetic diversity and population structure of
 brown hares (Lepus europaeus) in an Italian province. European Journal of Wildlife
 Research. 2013. 59(6): 783–793. doi:10.1007/s10344-013-0731-x
- 52. Fontanesi L, Di Palma F, Flicek P, Smith AT, Thulin CG, Alves PC, et al. LaGomiCs—
 Lagomorph Genomics Consortium: An International Collaborative Effort for Sequencing
 the Genomes of an Entire Mammalian Order. Journal of Heredity. 2016. 107(4): 295–308.
 doi:10.1093/jhered/esw010
- 53. Pietri C. 2007. Caccia e protezione delle popolazioni di lepre (Lepus sp.) in Corsica. In: G.
 De Filippo, L. De Riso, F. Riga, V. Trocchi, & S. R. Troisi (Eds.), Conservazione di Lepus
 corsicanus De Winton, 1898 e stato delle conoscenze (pp. 52–62). Napoli, IT: IGF
 Publication.
- 54. Pietri C, Alves PC, Melo-Ferreira J. Hares in Corsica: high prevalence of Lepus
 corsicanus and hybridization with introduced L. europaeus and L. granatensis. European
 Journal of Wildlife Research. 2011. 57(2): 313–321. doi:10.1007/s10344-010-0430-9
- 55. Alves PC, Ferrand N, Suchentrunk F, Harris DJ. Ancient introgression of Lepus timidus
 mtDNA into L. granatensis and L. europaeus in the Iberian peninsula. Molecular
 Phylogenetics and Evolution. 2003. 27(1): 70–80. doi:10.1016/S1055-7903(02)00417-7

708	56. Melo-Ferreira J, Alves PC, Freitas H, Ferrand N, Boursot P. The genomic legacy from the
709	extinct Lepus timidus to the three hare species of Iberia: contrast between mtDNA, sex
710	chromosomes and autosomes. Molecular Ecology. 2009. 18(12): 2643-2658.
711	doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04221.x

- 57. Thulin CG, Fang M, Averianov AO. Introgression from Lepus europaeus to L. timidus in
 Russia revealed by mitochondrial single nucleotide polymorphisms and nuclear
 microsatellites. Hereditas. 2006. 143: 68–76. doi:10.1111/j.2006.0018-0661.01952.x
- 58. Corbet GB. Relationships and origins of the European lagomorphs. Mammal Review.
 1986. 16(3-4): 105–110. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2907.1986.tb00029.x
- 59. Hewitt GM. Genetic consequences of climatic oscillations in the Quaternary.
 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences. 2004.
 359(1442): 183–195. doi:10.1098/rstb.2003.1388
- 60. Schmitt T, Habel JC, Zimmermann M, Mueller P. Genetic differentiation of the marbled
 white butterfly, Melanargia galathea, accounts for glacial distribution patterns and
 postglacial range expansion in southeastern Europe. Molecular Ecology. 2006. 15(7):
 1889–1901.
- 61. Alexandri P, Triantafyllidis A, Papakostas S, Chatzinikos E, Platis P, Papageorgiou N, et
 al. The Balkans and the colonization of Europe: the post-glacial range expansion of the
 wild boar, Sus scrofa. Journal of Biogeography. 2012. 39(4): 713–723. doi:10.1111/j.13652699.2011.02636.x
- Fickel J, Hauffe HC, Pecchioli E, Soriguer R, Vapa L, Pitra C. Cladogenesis of the
 European brown hare (Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778). European Journal of Wildlife
 Research. 2008. 54(3): 495–510. doi:10.1007/s10344-008-0175-x

- 63. Gökaşan E, Demirbağ E, Oktay FY, Ecevitoğlu B, Şimşek M, Yüce H. On the origin of
 the Bosporus. Marine Geology. 1997. 140(1-2): 183–199. doi:10.1016/S00253227(97)00022-4
- 64. Bilgin R. Back to the suture: the distribution of intraspecific genetic diversity in and
 around Anatolia. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2011. 12(6): 4080–4103.
 doi:10.3390/ijms12064080
- 737 65. Ojeda AA. Phylogeography and genetic variation in the South American rodent
 738 Tympanoctomys barrerae (Rodentia: Octodontidae). Journal of Mammalogy. 2010. 91(2):
- 739 302–313. doi:10.1644/09-MAMM-A-177.1
- 66. Slatkin M, Hudson RR. Pairwise comparisons of mitochondrial DNA sequences in stable
 and exponentially growing populations. Genetics. 1991. 129(2): 555–562.
- 742 67. Avise JC. 2000. Phylogeography: the history and formation of species. Harvard
 743 University Press, MA: Cambridge.
- 744

745 Supporting information

746	S1 Table. Details of sequences used in the phylogenetic analyses of brown hares based on
747	the 358 bp mtDNA control region.
748	
749	S2 Table. Details of sequences used in the phylogenetic analyses of brown hares based on
750	the 916 bp mtDNA sequences (cytochrome b, tRNA-Thr, tRNA-Pro and control
751	region).












Supporting Information_S1

Click here to access/download Supporting Information Table S1 (358bp)_rev.xlsx Supporting Information S2

Click here to access/download **Supporting Information** Table S2 (916bp)_rev.xlsx

1	Large-scale mitochondrial DNA analysis reveals new light on the phylogeography of
2	Central and Eastern-European Brown hare (Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778)
3	
4	Mohammad Reza Ashrafzadeh ¹ , Mihajla Djan ² , László Szendrei ³ , Algimantas Paulauskas ⁴ ,
5	Massimo Scandura ⁵ , Zoltán Bagi ⁶ , Daniela Elena Ilie ⁷ , Nikoloz Kerdikoshvili ⁸ , Panek Marek ⁹ ,
6	Noemi Soós ³ , Szilvia Kusza ³ *
7	
8	¹ Department of Fisheries and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Natural Resources and
9	Earth Sciences, Shahrekord University, Shahrekord 88156-48456, Iran
10	² Department of Biology and Ecology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Novi Sad, 21000
11	Novi Sad, Serbia
12	³ Institute of Animal Husbandry, Biotechnology and Nature Conservation, University of
13	Debrecen, 4032 Debrecen, Hungary
14	⁴ Department of Biology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Vytautas Magnus University, 44404
15	Kaunas, Lithuania
16	⁵ Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sassari, 07100 Sassari, Italy
17	⁶ Institutes for Agricultural Research and Educational Farm, University of Debrecen, 4032,
18	Debrecen, Hungary
19	⁷ Research and Development Station for Bovine Arad, Academy for Agricultural and Forestry
20	Sciences, 310059, Arad, Romania
21	⁸ Tbilisi Zoo, 0171, Tbilisi, Georgia
22	⁹ Polish Hunting Association, Research Station, 64-020 Czempiń, Poland
23	
24	

- 26 *Corresponding author:
- 27 E-mail: kusza@agr.unideb.hu (SzK)

28

29 Short title: Phylogeography of Central-, Eastern-European Brown hare

2

31 Abstract

European brown hare, Lepus europaeus, from Central and Eastern European countries 32 (Hungary, Poland, Serbia, Lithuania, Romania, Georgia and Italy) were sampled, and 33 34 phylogenetic analyses were carried out on two datasets: 1.) 137 sequences (358 bp) of control region mtDNA; and 2.) 105 sequences of a concatenated fragment (916 bp), including the 35 cytochrome b, tRNA-Thr, tRNA-Pro and control region mitochondrial DNA. Our sequences 36 were aligned with additional brown hare sequences from GenBank. A total of 52 and 51 37 haplotypes were detected within the two datasets, respectively, and assigned to two previously 38 described major lineages: Anatolian/Middle Eastern (AME) and European (EUR). 39 Furthermore, the European lineage was divided into two subclades including South Eastern 40 European (SEE) and Central European (CE). Sympatric distribution of the lineages of the 41 brown hare in South-Eeastern and Eastern Europe revealed contact zones there. BAPS 42 analysis assigned sequences from L. europaeus to five genetic clusters, whereas CE 43 individuals were assigned to only one cluster, and AME and SEE sequences were each 44 assigned to two clusters. Our findings uncover numerous novel haplotypes of 45 Anatolian/Middle Eastern brown hare outside their main range, as evidence for the combined 46 influence of Late Pleistocene climatic fluctuations and anthropogenic activities in shaping the 47 phylogeographic structure of the species. Our results support the hypothesis of a postglacial 48 brown hare expansion from Anatolia and the Balkan Peninsula to Central and Eastern Europe, 49 and suggest some slight introgression of individual haplotypes from L. timidus to L. 50 europaeus. 51

52

53 Keywords: Central-, Eastern Europe; contact zones; genetic structure; glacial refugia;

54 phylogeography; Lepus europaeus

56 Introduction

57 The brown hare (Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778) is a native species to Northern, Central, 58 Western Europe and the Western part of Asia, and it was introduced as a game into several 59 countries (Argentina, Australia, Barbados, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Falkland Islands, New 60 Zealand, Rèunion and the United States; [1]).

The effect of translocation on hare genome was proved by previous genetic studies and they 61 suggested that the brown hare and the Cape hare (Lepus capensis) are the same species [2]. 62 However, later the same authors performed mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) analysis and found 63 a significant divergence between them, and therefore they are currently considered to be two 64 different species [3]. Pierpaoli et al. [4] showed that Italian and European hares did not share 65 any mitochondrial haplotypes, indicating the lack of interspecific gene flow between the two 66 species due to reproductive isolation in the course of their long separate evolutionary history. 67 68 They identified two main groups of Eurasian and African hare haplotypes: Clade A (L. granatensis, L. corsicanus, L. timidus) and Clade B (L. c. mediterraneus, L. habessinicus, L. 69 starcki, L. europaeus). These results suggest that the three species belonging to Clade A, with 70 a common ancestor, would have colonized Europe independently of L. europaeus and would 71 have originated by isolation during the Pleistocene glaciations in the southern or northern 72 73 areas of refuge.

It is strongly argued that the current geographical distribution of temperate species and genetic relationships among their populations have been influenced by the climatic oscillations during the Late Quaternary [5, 6]. Specifically, different lineages represent populations repeatedly isolated into distinct glacial refugia such as the Iberian, the Apennine, the Balkan Peninsulas and Turkey [5, 7-10]. Furthermore, different human activities, competition for food or breeding and hybridization between species also led to a higher diversity in the southern refugial areas and the present genetic diversity of the hares [11-13]. There is evidence for human-mediated translocations that is well documented in the southern part of Europe [14].

Previous studies that were-based on mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) analysis on extant brown 83 hare populations has revealed a relatively high degree of geographic partitioning [6, 15-18]. 84 These studies distinguished two major geographically distinct lineages, the European (EUR) 85 and the Anatolian/Middle Eastern (AME) clade. The EUR lineage is further subdivided into 86 two subclades: the Central European (CE) and the South-Eastern European (SEE) [6]. The CE 87 subclade includes individuals from across North-Central Europe, whereas the SEE comprises 88 hares living in South-Eastern Europe. The second lineage, AME, includes individuals from 89 Anatolia, South-Eastern Europe and the eastern Mediterranean Islands [17]. 90

91 A recent study [18] found that there were three major haplogroups including Anatolia/Middle East (AMh), Balkans (BLh), and central Europe (cEUh) among brown hare populations 92 worldwide. Additionally, three subgroups were revealed within the BLh haplogroup including 93 South-Eeastern Balkans (SEB), Southern Balkans (SB) and Greek islands excluding those 94 harboring A-lineages (GI-B) off the Anatolian coast. Moreover, the Ssouth-Eeastern and 95 Ceentral Balkans (SEB), comprising northeastern Greece, south and <u>N</u>north-<u>W</u>western as well 96 as sSouth-Ceentral Bulgaria, north-eastern part of Republic of Northern Macedonia, Ssouth-97 98 Eeastern and South-Wwestern Serbia, was identified as the primary source region for most other Balkan brown hare populations [18]. 99

On the other hand, no-Anatolian/Middle Eastern haplotypes have<u>not</u> been observed in South,
Central and North-Western Greece and the rest of Europe, with the exception of one Serbian
haplotype [18]. Also, no European haplotypes have<u>not</u> been reported across the entire
species range in the Middle East [6, 15, 19]. Further, the existence of a contact zone between
the European and Anatolian/Middle Eastern lineages was detected in Bulgaria and NorthEastern Greece [6, 10, 15].

Formatted: Not Highlight

<u>D</u>The detection of brown hare lineages is mostly based on the mtDNA control region (CR), and it is usually well supported by cytochrome b (cyt b). It has been provenproves that mtDNA genomic data are useful in determining phylogenetic relationships between closely related species and within species [20-21] and for understanding the extent and nature of contact zones [10].

111 Overall, despite a relatively large number of genetic studies on brown hares, their phylogenetic relationships still remain challenging. Only several broad--ranged studies of 112 113 phylogeography of brown hares have been done, relying on mtDNA control region sequences from Serbian, Greek and Bulgarian hares [6, 15, 18, 22-26]. Using wide-range geographic 114 sampling over seven countries, we aimed to study (i) the extent of mitochondrial genetic 115 variability and diversity of the brown hare in Central and Eastern Europe; (ii) the 116 phylogeographic relationships of the studied populations, and furthermore (iii) to provide 117 comprehensive information on the genetic characteristics of brown hares for conservation 118 programs and management plans. 119

- 120
- 121

Materials and methods

123 <u>Sample collection</u>

A total of 137 legally hunted, unprotected adult brown hares were sampled in seven countries (Hungary, Poland, Serbia, Lithuania, Romania, Georgia, Italy; Fig 1, and see S1 Table) between 2010 and 2015. Also, three mountain hares have been accidentally collected along with our samples. No animals were killed for the purposes of this research.

128

Fig 1. Spatial distribution of the European hares' maternal lineages, based on the 358bp mtDNA control region, resulting when combining sequence data from GenBank (S1

Formatted: Not Highlight

Table) and the present study. Squares and polygons indicate the Central European and 131 South-East European subclades, respectively, in the European lineage. Circles and triangles 132 indicate the Anatolian/Middle Eastern lineage and Mountain hare (L. timidus), respectively. 133 Ellipses depict the two discovered contact zone areas between brown hare lineages in South-134 Eastern and North-Eastern Europe. Filled geometric shapes indicate the geographic location 135 136 of the sampling sites in this study. Colours of the geometric shapes are in accord with clades/lineages; light green: Central-_European, dark green: South-East European, red: 137 138 Anatolian/Middle Eastern, blue: Mountain hare.

- 139
- 140

All tissue samples were stored in 96% ethanol at -4°C. <u>and H</u>hair follicles samples were kept <u>in</u> individually registered in-nylon or paper bags and stored on<u>at</u>-4°C until the laboratory analysis. Total DNA was extracted using the E.Z.N.A.® Tissue DNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, USA), the High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit (Roche, USA) and standard FAO protocol. DNA concentrations were evaluated spectrophotometrically and visually by standard agarose gel electrophoresis.

Different regions of the mitochondrial DNA were amplified. PCR protocols and primers 147 148 [Le.H-Dloop_F₃ and Le.L-Dloop_R [15] for the control region (CR) and LepCyb2L_F₃ and LepD2H_R [4] for cytochrome b (cyt b) + tRNA-Thr + tRNA-Pro + control region) were 149 150 used for-to the amplification. PCRs were carried out in a total volume of 25 µl, using the following sequence of steps: denaturation at 94 °C for 5 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of 151 amplification 94 °C for 1 minute, 60 °C for 1 minute and 72 °C for 1 minute, and a final step 152 at 72 °C for 5 minutes. The forward sequencing reaction was performed by Macrogen Europe 153 (The Netherlands). 154

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight
Formatted: Not Highlight

In addition, previously published sequences of the species were downloaded from theGenBank (S1 and S2 Tables).

157

158 Ethics statement

Animals were not shot for the purpose of this study. The study did not involve the collection of samples from live animals. An ethics statement was not required. Samples from the diff<u>e</u>rent countries were obtained from licensed collaborators and licensed hunters who took samples following their regulations in brown hare management.

163

164 <u>Sequence analysis</u>

Two datasets were created from the sequences. The first dataset comprised 137 CR mtDNA 165 sequences with a total length of 358 bp. The second dataset comprised 105 concatenated 166 sequences cyt b + tRNA-Thr + tRNA-Pro + CR, with a total length of 916 bp after alignment. 167 Alignment was performed using Seqscape 2.6 (Applied Biosystems) and ClustalW in MEGA 168 6 [27], respectively. The aligned sequences were deposited in GenBank with the Accession 169 170 numbers: MG865671-MG865724 for CR and MG841060- MG841112 for the cyt b + tRNA-Thr + tRNA-Pro + CR region (S1 and S2 Tables). The European Rabbit (Oryctolagus 171 172 cuniculus) (GenBank: AJ001588) [28] was used as an outgroup for the phylogenetic analyses. DAMBE 6 [29] was used to analyze substitution saturation. 173

The number of polymorphic sites, haplotype diversity, nucleotide diversity, average number of nucleotide differences for each location and number of haplotypes were estimated with DnaSP 5.10 [30]. The best-fitting partitioning scheme and nucleotide substitution model were selected using the Bayesian information criterion (BIC) and the corrected Akaike Information Criterion (AICc) implemented in PartitionFinder 2.1.1 [31].

179 Bayesian inference (BI) was performed using BEAST v2.3 [32] with 40,000,000 generations

180 of Monte Carlo Markov chains (MCMC), sampling every 100 generations. Maximum

likelihood (ML) analyses were implemented in IQ-TREE 1.6 [33] with 10,000 bootstrap
steps. Additionally, MEGA 6 [27] was used to construct a neighbour-joining (NJ)
phylogenetic tree, applying the pairwise distance data and p-distance model with 10,000
bootstrap replications. Furthermore, median-joining networks [34] among haplotypes were
inferred using PopART 1.7 [35].

186 Fu's FS [36] and Tajima's D [37], performed in Arlequin 3.5 [38], were employed to assess the demographic history and to examine hypotheses of selective neutrality [39]. The 187 significance of these tests was calculated using 10,000 permutations. The hierarchical analysis 188 of molecular variance (AMOVA) and fixation index were implemented with 10,000 iterations 189 using Arlequin 3.5 [38] to evaluate levels of population structure. The aim of the AMOVA 190 191 analysis was to examine whether genetic variation was significantly structured among different haplogroups. Φ_{ST} can provide an estimate of the genetic differentiation among 192 193 populations in order to make inferences of past demographic changes.

To estimate the presence of genetic clusters (populations) within the sequences of L. 194 europaeus and L. timidus (or introgressed individuals), we used Bayesian Analysis of 195 Population Structure (BAPS) v6 [40-41] implementing the method of "clustering for linked 196 loci" with two independent runs and K = 10 repetitions. To assess introgression occurring 197 198 within the populations of these two species, we performed the method of "admixture based on mixture clustering" implemented in BAPS. To provide a correct assessment of population 199 genetic structure, it is recommended to use the admixture models, because these models are 200 robust to an absence of admixture in the sample; reciprocally, models without admixture are 201 not robust to the inclusion of admixed individuals in the sample [42]. 202

203

204 **Results**

205 <u>MtDNA control region sequences (358 bp)</u>

206

The substitution saturation test based on both datasets (916 bp and 358 bp sequences) revealed that the base substitutions did not reach saturation, and these datasets were suitable for phylogenetic analyses.

For the 358 bp control region, 137 samples were sequenced from Central-Eastern Europe (S1 Table). Additional sequences from Europe and the Middle East published in GenBank were included in the analyses, yielding a dataset comprising a total of 447 sequences and 259 haplotypes (S1 Table). A total of 52 haplotypes were identified among the 137 new sequences, including 40 novel haplotypes and 12 previously reported haplotypes.

The phylogenetic analyses (BI, ML, and NJ trees) yielded relatively identical topologies, indicating that among 137 selected haplotypes from the dataset (447 individuals) two lineages were identified (Fig 2).

- 218
- 219

Fig 2. Phylogenetic relationships of brown hare from Central-Eastern Europe with other brown hares, based on the 358-bp mtDNA control region sequences and rooted with Oryctolagus cuniculus (AJ001588). The numbers on the branches are posterior probabilities in the Bayesian inference and bootstrap support in maximum likelihood and neighbourjoining. Colored ovals represent haplotypes identified in the current study. The branches within blue rectangular include mountain hare sequences or introgressed haplotypes of this species in other hare species. For detailed information on haplotypes see S1 Table.

The MJ network analysis (Fig 3) also supported the clusters distinguished in the phylogenetic trees. The first lineage, European (EUR), was divided into two phylogeographically distinct subclades: Central European (CE) and South-East European (SEE).

231

232

Fig 3. Median joining network of brown hare from Central-Eastern Europe and other brown hares, based on the 358-bp mtDNA control region. The numbers on the haplotypes (1-259) are the same haplotype codes (CR1-CR259) as in Fig 2 and S1 Table. Dark circles are connecting nodes (i.e. putative undetected haplotypes). Blue circles include mountain hare sequences or introgressed haplotypes of this species in other hare species.

- 238
- 239

The subclade CE was mostly distributed across various regions of Central Europe, Scotland, 240 England, the Netherlands, France, Germany, Italy, Austria, Switzerland, Poland, Lithuania, 241 Hungary and Northern Serbia (Fig 1). However, two individuals belonging to the subclade 242 were found in Eastern Romania and Southern Serbia. Also, one brown hare from Cyprus 243 (Cyprus 4 in S1 Table) clustered within CE (falling into haplotype CR40, S1 Table). 244 245 Haplotype CR40 along with haplotypes CR1 and CR10 was the most common haplotype in the subclade CE and was shown to inhabit more than one region in Europe (Fig 3). Haplotype 246 CR40 was identified as the most abundant (38 individuals) and central haplotype in the 247 subclade, and was observed across Northern Europe, from Lithuania to Poland, Germany, 248 France, England, and Scotland. Haplotype CR1 was observed in Poland, Hungary, Romania, 249 Serbia, and Italy, whereas haplotype CR10 was observed in Lithuania, Poland, Hungary, 250 Serbia, Austria, Italy and France. The subclade SEE predominantly occurred in South-Eastern 251 Europe including Bulgaria, Greece, Republic of Northern Macedonia and Serbia (Fig 1). 252

However, individuals belonging to this subclade were also present in Hungary, Poland, Central Italy and France (Corsica Island) (Figs 1 and 2, S1 Table). Haplotypes in SEE were mostly specific to relatively limited spatial distributions (Fig 3). However, three haplotypes belonging to this subclade were recorded over a larger geographical range: CR8 (Hungary and Italy), CR32 (Serbia and Italy) and CR62 (Italy and Poland). Phylogenetic analyses revealed no shared haplotype between the subclades in this lineage.

The second cluster, the Anatolian/Middle Eastern lineage (AME) was predominantly present in Georgia, Turkey and the Middle East, and was also found in Lithuania, Poland, Romania, North-Eastern Greece, Republic of Northern Macedonia, Italy and France (Corsica Island) (Fig 1). Haplotypes in this lineage were mostly restricted to small geographic ranges. However, within AME, haplotypes CR52, CR53, and CR54 were recorded both in Romania and Italy, but haplotypes CR57 (Italy and Republic of Northern Macedonia) and CR200 (Turkey and Cyprus) were also found in distant localities (Figs 1, 2 and 3).

266

267 <u>MtDNA cytochrome b, tRNA-Thr, tRNA-Pro and control region (916 bp)</u>

Phylogenetic analyses of the control region revealed two major lineages in Central-Eastern 268 Europe, with contact zones discovered in the geographic range (Fig 1). To obtain a better 269 270 assessment of phylogeographic structure, we sequenced the additional fragments cyt b (426 bp), tRNA-Thr (66 bp) and tRNA-Pro (66 bp) of 105 brown hares from Italy, Hungary, 271 Serbia, Georgia, Romania, Poland and Lithuania (S2 Table). Sixteen additional sequences 272 belonging to brown hares from Germany, Sweden, Poland, Greece, Turkey and Cyprus 273 available in GenBank were also added to the alignment (S2 Table). Finally, a total dataset 274 comprising 124 sequences (916 bp fragment of mtDNA), corresponding to a total of 62 275 haplotypes was used for phylogenetic analysis. According to this longer fragment, and in 276 277 accordance with control region sequences, the brown hare population in Central-Eastern Europe is divided into the same two distinct phylogeographic lineages (EUR and AME) (Figs 4 and 5).

280

Fig 4. Phylogenetic relationships of brown hare from Central-Eastern Europe with other brown hares, based on the 916-bp mtDNA sequences (cyt b + tRNA-Thr + tRNA-Pro + control region) and rooted with Oryctolagus cuniculus (AJ001588). The numbers on the branches are posterior probabilities in the Bayesian inference and bootstrap support in maximum likelihood and neighbour-joining. Colored ovals represent haplotypes identified in the current study. For detailed information on haplotypes see S2 Table.

287

Fig 5. Median joining network of brown hare from Central-Eastern Europe and other brown hares, based on the 916-bp mtDNA sequences (cyt b + tRNA-Thr + tRNA-Pro + control region). For detailed information on haplotypes see Fig 4 and S2 Table. Dark circles are connecting nodes (i.e. putative undetected haplotypes).

292

Furthermore, brown hares belonging to the lineage EUR fall into two subclades, the same CE and SEE as in the first dataset. The contact zones among all lineages and subclades were identified in the same geographic ranges as in Fig 1.

A total of 51 haplotypes was found throughout Central-Eastern Europe. Moreover, 50 novel haplotypes and only one previously reported haplotype were detected among them. The genetic statistics for the sequenced brown hares in this study are displayed in Table 1.

299

Table 1. Comparison of genetic statistics for the brown hares sequenced in this study,
 originating from Central-Eastern Europe, based on the 916-bp mtDNA sequences (cyt b
 + tRNA-Thr + tRNA-Pro + control region)

	Group	n	h	Hd (SD)	Pi (SD)	K	Р	Fu's FS	Tajima's
									D
	Central European	83	32	0.927(0.019)	0.0051(0.0003)	4.71	41	-	-1.455*
								15.340**	
	South-East	14	12	0.978(0.035)	0.0153(0.0021)	14.14	52	-1.567	-0.593
	European								
	Anatolian/Middle	8	7	0.964(0.077)	0.0198(0.0029)	18.32	40	-0.607	0.623
	Eastern								
303	n, number of ind	ividu	ials;	h, number of	haplotypes; Hd, h	naplotyp	e div	versity; SD	, Standard
304	Deviation; Pi, nuc	cleoti	ide d	iversity (per sit	e); K, average nu	mber of	nucl	eotide diffe	erences; P,
305	variable (polymor	rphic) site	es. Statistical s	ignificance: *p<0).05, Sta	atistic	cal high sig	gnificance:
306	**p<0.01.								
307									
308									
309									
310	High haplotype	dive	rsity	values and re	elatively low-mod	derate 1	nucle	otide diver	sity were
311	obtained for brow	n ha	res c	of the study pop	pulations. The line	eage AN	AE (o	only for Fu	's FS) and
312	both the subclade	s of l	inea	ge EUR presen	ted negative value	es for Ta	ijima	's and Fu's	neutrality
313	tests, but only the	e out	come	e for the Centra	al European subcl	lade wa	s fou	nd signific	ant (D = -
314	1.455, $P = 0.045$; FS	= -]	15.34, $P = 0.00$	0) (Table 1). Thu	s, this s	subcl	ade is like	ly to have
315	undergone a recent	nt po	pula	tion expansion.	Results of the A	MOVA	reve	ealed that the	ne among-
316	haplogroups con	npon	ent	of variance (67.59%) was hi	gher th	ian 1	the variation	on within
317	haplogroups (32.	41%) (Ta	able 2). Accor	rding to the fixa	tion in	dex	a significa	nt genetic
318	structure among a	ll haj	plogr	oups was also o	observed ($\Phi_{\rm ST} = 0$.676, P	= 0.0	00) (Table 2).

Table 2. AMOVA results for three major haplogroups (AME, SEE and CE) of brown hare originating from Central-Eastern Europe, based on the 916-bp mtDNA sequences (cyt b + tRNA-Thr + tRNA-Pro + control region).

Source of variation	d.f.	Percentage	Fixation	p-value
		of variation	index ($\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{\mathrm{ST}}$)	
Among haplogroups	2	67.59	0.676	p<0.000
Within haplogroups	101	32.41		
Total	103			

323

324

The analysis performed with BAPS v6 separated L. europaeus and L. timidus (and introgressed mountain hare in other hare species) with K = 6 (ln(P) = -8954.5009). This analysis assigned sequences from L. europaeus to five genetic clusters, and L. timidus to only one cluster (Fig 6). Within L. europaeus, sequences belonging to lineage AME and subclade SEE (lineage EUR) were each assigned to two clusters, whereas individuals belonging to subclade CE (lineage EUR) fell into one cluster.

331

Fig 6. Bayesian clustering analysis of 358-bp mtDNA control region sequences from 332 brown hares (L. europaeus) and mountain hares (L. timidus and introgressed haplotypes 333 of this species in other hares) as implemented in BAPS v6. resulting in K = 6. We 334 detected 5 clusters within major lineages of L. europaeus; 2 and 3 clusters within lineages 335 AME and EUR (SEE = 2 clusters; CE = 1 cluster), respectively. Also, L. timidus and 336 introgressed individuals were assigned to one cluster. Numbers 1 to 20 are the localities of 337 sequence data from our study and others (see S1 Table): 1. Georgia; 2. Middle East; 3. 338 Cyprus; 4. Turkey; 5. Greece; 6. Bulgaria; 7. Romania; 8. Republic of Northern Macedonia; 339

9. Serbia; 10. Hungary; 11. Austria; 12. Switzerland; 13. Italy; 14. France; 15. Poland; 16.
Lithuania; 17. Sweden; 18. Germany; 19. The Netherlands, England and Scotland; 20. Iberian
Peninsula.

343

344 **Discussion**

Previous studies estimated phylogenetic relationships among brown hare populations in 345 Europe and the Middle East, where insufficient sampling left a relatively large gap in several 346 geographic ranges, especially in Central-Eastern Europe. This information gap has prevented 347 the delineation of a comprehensive picture of genetic diversity and phylogeographic structure 348 of the species. European brown hares have been classified to two major lineages, European 349 (EUR) and Anatolian/Middle Eastern (AME) [6, 15, 17-18] that co-exist in Republic of 350 351 Northern Macedonia, Nnorth-Eeastern Greece and Bulgaria [6, 10, 15]. In this study, we 352 presented a relatively comprehensive dataset on mtDNA cytochrome b, tRNA-Thr, tRNA-Pro and control region fragments (a total of 916 bp) of brown hares in Central-Eastern Europe, 353 where two datasets were used in the genetic analyses; the first dataset included a 358-bp 354 control region sequence, whereas the second dataset covered a concatenated sequence of 355 mtDNA fragments (the 916-bp sequence). 356

Our findings revealed a high genetic diversity within the 916-bp mtDNA sequence (105 new 357 sequences, 51 haplotypes) of brown hares from Central-Eastern Europe, where 50 haplotypes 358 were reported for the first time (Table 1). Phylogenetic analyses revealed two major lineages 359 of brown hare in the study area, based on a combination of our sequences and previously 360 published sequences (S1 and S2 Tables) for both datasets: (i) AME, which comprises 361 individuals from Georgia, Anatolia, the Middle East and also includes some hares living in 362 South-Eastern, North-Eastern and Central Europe, and (ii) EUR, which includes hares from 363 Central, South-Eastern, Eastern and Northern Europe. In accordance with others [6, 15], the 364

EUR lineage is subdivided into two well-supported subclades, Central European (CE) and South-East European (SEE).

The significant genetic structure among brown hare haplogroups from Central-Eastern Europe 367 was well supported by Φ_{ST} and AMOVA (Table 2). The fixation index is a standard measure, 368 which gives an estimate of the degree of genetic differentiation among and within 369 370 populations/haplogroups [43]. In fact, the analyses demonstrated that partitioning into the major haplogroups explains 67.59% of the overall mtDNA variability and corresponds to a 371 highly significant fixation index (p<0.000). The female philopatry of brown hares [16, 44] 372 could have resulted in the formation of multigenerational matrilineal assemblages that are 373 374 geographically structured [45].

375 The population structure determined by BAPS v6 partially described diversity allocation between clusters based on the control region mtDNA sequences. BAPS is known to be 376 relatively highly efficient in identifying hidden population structures [46]. The analysis 377 revealed five genetic clusters within the populations of L. europaeus and only one cluster 378 within L. timidus (and introgressed) sequences. Within L. europaeus, individuals belonging to 379 the major lineage AME were assigned to two clusters: (i) cluster 1, which includes brown 380 hares from Georgia, Turkey, Cyprus, Bulgaria, Romania, Republic of Northern Macedonia, 381 382 Central Italy, France (Corsica Island), Poland and Lithuania; (ii) cluster 2, which comprises brown hares living in the Middle East, Georgia, Turkey, Greece, Republic of Northern 383 Macedonia, Central Italy and France (Corsica Island). Sequences belonging to subclade SEE 384 (lineage EUR), within L. europaeus, were divided to two clusters: (i) cluster 1, including the 385 sequences from Greece, Republic of Northern Macedonia, Serbia, Hungary, Central Italy, 386 France (Corsica Island), Germany and Poland; (ii) cluster 2, which includes individuals from 387 Greece, Bulgaria, Republic of Northern Macedonia, Serbia, Central Italy and France (Corsica 388

Island). It is interesting that all genetic clusters of brown hare are present in Central Italy andFrance (Corsica Island) (Fig 6).

Our findings revealed some slight introgression of individual haplotypes from L. timidus into L. europaeus only in one sample (GER4 in S1 Table) from Germany (Fig 6). Extensive introgression mtDNA and nuclear genes of mountain hare into other hares has been reported in previous studies (e.g., [47-48]). The introgression of individual genotypes among populations potentially could have resulted from recent genetic hybridization or incomplete lineage sorting of ancestral variation.

The contact zones among the two major lineages (and two subclades belonging to lineage 397 EUR), interestingly, were discovered in two large areas in Central-Eastern Europe, 398 encompassing South-Eastern (Republic of Northern Macedonia, North-Eastern Greece, 399 Bulgaria and Romania) and North-Eastern (Lithuania and North-Eastern Poland) Europe (Fig 400 1). While the sympatric distribution of haplotypes of lineages EUR and AME in Republic of 401 Northern Macedonia, North-Eastern Greece and Bulgaria had already been shown by others 402 [6, 10, 15], other overlapping distributions are characterized here for the first time. However, 403 the region comprising Thrace and Bulgaria, which probably extends into Turkish Thrace and 404 maybe into Anatolia is a well-known hybrid zone of Europe [5] for species that were 405 406 restricted to refuge areas in the Southern Balkans and Anatolia during the Pleistocene cold stages [15]. 407

Based on the combined analyses of our sequences and those of others [15; Strzala et al. unpublished, direct submission to GenBank), Polish brown hares harbour haplotypes of both lineages (and the two EUR subclades). Whereas lineage EUR (mostly the subclade CE) is widespread and predominant in Poland, another lineage is only found in the eastern part of the country. Brown hares living in Western Romania fall into the European lineage (subclade CE), whereas individuals from Eastern Romania also show haplotypes of lineage AME. Overall, our data reveal overlapping EUR and AME haplotypes both in Romania andLithuania.

Brown hares inhabiting Georgia exhibited high genetic diversity (dataset 1: 7 individuals, 6 416 novel haplotypes; and dataset 2: 4 individuals, 3 new haplotypes), but only within the lineage 417 AME. Thus, based on our data, extending the contact zone to Georgia and the Middle East, as 418 419 speculated by others [6, 15] is not justified. It is interesting that among the sequences previously reported from Cyprus [15, 17], one brown hare (CYP4, listed in S1 and S2 Tables; 420 published by [17]) shared a common haplotype (CR40 that distributes across Northern 421 Europe; see Fig 3 and S1 Table for detailed information) of European lineage origin (subclade 422 CE). However, the haplotype was found outside the range of Northern Europe only in Cyprus. 423 424 We consider human-mediated translocations for these introgressions, as has been widely confirmed for both recent and historic times [15, 49-50]. However, more extensive samplings, 425 especially in Eastern Europe, Balkans, north of the Black Sea and Anatolia, may reveal 426 important phylogeographic signatures. 427

Our data confirm the presence of both subclades (CE and SEE) belonging to the lineage EUR in Hungary and Serbia. Whereas haplotypes belonging to SEE are predominant in Southern and Central Serbia, the unique sequences of CE are predominantly found in Hungary and Northern Serbia. Moreover, a recent study reported one haplotype belonging to AME among brown hares from Northern Serbia as a possible consequence of human-mediated translocations [18].

According to the combined analysis of our sequences and those of others [51], haplotypes belonging to lineages EUR (both subclades CE and SEE) and AME are present in Italy. Nevertheless, haplotypes belonging to CE are predominant in this country. The European brown hare is a major game species in Europe [52], and different populations of the species have been introduced in different areas, mostly for hunting. Thus, this presence of AME is also probably due to human-mediated translocations, as reported in other studies (e.g., [51].
Furthermore, the occurrence of L. europaeus in Corsica is recent and artificial, as it is known
that different species of hares have been introduced in the region up to this day [53]. Overall,
the presence of both major lineages (and the European subclades) of brown hare in Corsica
could be the result of several human-mediated introduction events from different origins [54].
Likewise, a contact zone between mountain hares (L. timidus) and brown hares can be
observed in Lithuania, as recorded in different populations of brown hares [9, 48,55-57].

The network result, in accordance with Stamatis et al. [6], showed that there are relatively 446 close relationships between some haplotypes belonging to CE and several haplotypes from 447 SEE (Fig 3). This finding indicates that one haplotype of the first subclade is only connected 448 by one, so far undetected, haplotype, to another haplotype from the second subclade. 449 However, the network analysis based on the longer sequence (916 bp) (Fig 5) does not 450 provide strong support for this hypothesis. Overall, the close phylogenetic relationships 451 between the two subclades SEE and CE in large geographic ranges of Europe support the idea 452 453 that the brown hare colonized the current spatial ranges, when ecological conditions in these areas became suitable for the species after the Last Glacial Maximum [6, 58]. Also, the 454 455 presence of a large number of unique haplotypes in South-Eastern Europe (the Balkans) and Anatolia is evidence for maintenance of a high proportion of the pre-glacial brown hare 456 diversity in these areas during at least the last glacial period. Other studies have demonstrated 457 the high intraspecies diversity of brown hare in these areas [6, 15, 18]. 458

We discovered large contact zones for brown hares in several countries of Central-Eastern Europe. These findings support the existence of probable glacial refugia during the LGM in some of these areas (especially in Southern Europe), where the refugial populations probably underwent genetic differentiation [8], resulting in a number of genetic clusters. Following the retreat of the glaciers, the genetically isolated populations colonized Europe and formed

secondary contact zones [59]. Our findings are in accordance with others [6-8, 15] who 464 suggest the post-glacial population expansion scenario from southern refugia (such as Iberia, 465 Italy and the Balkans, as well as Asia Minor and the Caspian/Caucasus region). Other studies 466 [18] provide evidence for the hypothesis of an Anatolian population range expansion of the 467 brown hare into south-eastern and south-central areas of the Balkans, which has likely acted 468 as a potentially important source in the pattern of gene flow to southern, central and northern 469 470 areas of the Balkan Peninsula. Furthermore, it is suggested that colonization of the central and western parts of Europe by brown hares started from the Northern Balkans in a postglacial 471 expansion. However, the Balkans were the most important source of European populations, 472 due to the lack of major geographical barriers limiting a northward expansion, compared to 473 474 the Alps and the Pyrenees for the Italian and the Iberian refugia, respectively [7]. Several authors described the existence of introgression of Anatolian mtDNA in Bulgarian brown 475 hares which most likely result of hunting management practices in recent time [6, 15, 18, 49]. 476 The colonization pattern of Central and Northern Europe from the Balkan Peninsula has also 477 been proposed for other species such as the marbled white butterfly (Melanargia galathea) 478 [60] and the wild boar (Sus scrofa) [61]. 479

Our data, in combination with additional ones [6, 17, 48], indicate phylogenetically close 480 relationships among brown hares throughout Central and Northern Europe, where a common 481 haplotype (CR40 in Fig 3 and S1 Table) was identified. Furthermore, other shared haplotypes 482 (e.g., CR1 and CR10) were found from the east (Lithuania, Romania, Serbia) to central 483 (Poland, Hungary, Austria) and west (Italy and France) across Europe. The findings suggest 484 that source regions for the origin of northern, western, and central populations of brown hare 485 are probably situated in Eastern or Southern Europe. High variability of mtDNA in these 486 probable sources support the hypothesis of gene flow in a northward and westward expansion 487 of the identified contact zones, as Stamatis et al. [6] proposed the gene flow from north-488

western populations of Greece into <u>Ceentral Italy via a land bridge between the Balkans and</u> the Italian peninsula at the end of the Pleistocene and the Holocene. Also, Stamatis et al. [6] suggested the probable pattern of gene flow northward from Italy to Switzerland and Austria, after the retreat of the southern alpine glaciers. Several studies suggested the postglacial colonization of Central and North-Western continental Europe by the brown hare of the Balkans [6, 15, 18]. Others [62] supported the postglacial recolonization of Central Europe by the Italian populations.

The existence of AME haplotypes in South-Eastern Europe support a sudden expansion of this lineage to Europe during the late Pleistocene via the Bosphorus land bridge that disappeared only ca. 8000 years ago with the rise of the sea level [18, 63] or some Greek islands when they were still connected to Anatolia in the late Pleistocene [15]. On the other hand, the presence of a genetic break at the border between Anatolia and the surrounding regions has been reported in different species [64].

Also, our data confirm the presence of AME haplotypes in North-Eastern Europe, indicating 502 the gene flow from Anatolian/Middle Eastern brown hares into Eastern and North-Eastern 503 Europe via west of the Black Sea or other post-glacial colonization routes, especially east of 504 the Black Sea. Alternatively, the existence of some haplotypes out of their lineage's original 505 homeland may be due to recent translocations. Indeed, Kasapidis et al. [15] described that the 506 brown hares living in some Eastern Mediterranean islands (such as Crete and Cyprus) have 507 probably been introduced by humans because these islands were cut off from the mainland 508 more than 2.5 million years ago. 509

Neutrality tests were negative for the lineages and subclades (except in AME for the value of Tajima's D), but only the subclade CE showed a significant negative value, indicating a significant excess of rare haplotypes suggesting that the population is not under mutation-drift equilibrium due to sudden expansion [45, 65]. Also, the star-like connection pattern of

haplotypes (CR1, 10, 27, 36, 40, 57, and 167 in Fig 3; and H3, 8 and 38 in Fig 5) gives 514 support to the hypothesis of population expansion [66]. Some of these haplotypes are the 515 central and most abundant ones and are widely distributed in the study area. Thus, it is highly 516 likely that the common and central haplotypes are ancestral haplotypes. Moreover, the 517 patterns of high haplotype diversity along with relatively low nucleotide diversity (as found in 518 519 this study) indicate sudden demographic expansion from a restricted area or a small effective population size in the recent past [65, 67]. In other words, this pattern suggests that the 520 populations originate from closely related haplotypes. 521

522

523 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the János Bolyai Research Scholarship of the Hungarian 524 Academy of Sciences. The publication is supported by the EFOP-3.6.3-VEKOP-16-2017-525 00008 project. The project is co-financed by the European Union and the European Social 526 Fund. Blanka Mező, Fanni Lakatos and Bettina Ferenczi are thanked for the laboratory work. 527 We are grateful to Péter Farkas and to local hunters for helping in sample collection. Thanks 528 are also due to Dr. István Komlósi for his support, Dr. Erzsébet Fejes for language proof-529 reading and anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments on an earlier draft of the 530 manuscript. 531

532

533 **References**

Flux JEC, Angermann R. 1990. The hares and jackrabbits. Rabbits, hares and pikas. Status
 survey and conservation action plan. In: J. A. Chapman & J. E. C. Flux (Eds.), IUCN/SSC
 Lagomorph Specialist Group (Vol. 1, pp. 61–94) Gland, CH & Cambridge, UK: IUCN.

537	2. Ben Slimen H, Suchentrunk F, Memmi A, Sert H, Kryger U, Alves PC, et al. Evolutionary	
538	relationships among hares from North Africa (Lepus sp. or Lepus spp.), cape hares (L.	
539	capensis) from South Africa, and brown hares (L. europaeus), as inferred from mtDNA	
540	PCR-RFLP and allozyme data. Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary	
541	Research. 2006. 44(1): 88–99. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0469.2005.00345.x	
542	3. Ben Slimen H, Suchentrunk F, Stamatis C, Mamuris Z, Sert H, Alves PC, et al. Population	
543	genetics of cape and brown hares (Lepus capensis and L. europaeus): A test of Petter's	
544	hypothesis of conspecificity. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology. 2008. 36(1): 22-39.	
545	doi:10.1016/j.bse.2007.06.014	
546	4. Pierpaoli M, Riga F, Trocchi V, Randi E. Species distinction and evolutionary relationships	
547	of the Italian hare (Lepus corsicanus) as described by mitochondrial DNA sequencing.	
548	Molecular Ecology. 1999. 8(11): 1805–1817. doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.1999.00766.x	
549	5. Hewitt GM. Post-glacial re-colonization of European biota. Biological Journal of the	
550	Linnean Society. 1999. 68(1-2): 87-112. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8312.1999.tb01160.x	
551	6. Stamatis C, Suchentrunk F, Moutou KA, Giacometti M, Haerer G, Djan M, et al.	
552	Phylogeography of the brown hare (Lepus europaeus) in Europe: a legacy of southeastern	
553	Mediterranean refugia? Journal of Biogeography. 2009. 36(3): 515-528.	
554	doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.02013.x	
555	7. Taberlet P, Fumagalli L, Wust-Saucy AG, Cosson JF. Comparative phylogeography and	
556	postglacial colonization routes in Europe. Molecular Ecology. 1998. 7(4): 453-464.	
557	doi:10.1046/j.1365-294x.1998.00289.x	
558	8. Hewitt G. The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. Nature. 2000. 405(6789): 907-	
559	913. doi:10.1038/35016000	
560	9. Alves PC, Melo-Ferreira J, Branco M, Suchentrunk F, Ferrand N, Harris DJ. Evidence for	
561	genetic similarity of two allopatric European hares (Lepus corsicanus and L. castroviejoi)	

- inferred from nuclear DNA sequences. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution. 2008.
 46(3): 1191–1197. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2007.11.010
- 10. Antoniou A, Magoulas A, Platis P, Kotoulas G. Assessing the genetic landscape of a
- contact zone: the case of European hare in northeastern Greece. Genetica. 2013. 141(1-3):
 23–40. doi:10.1007/s10709-013-9703-z
- 567 11. Guberti V, de Marco MA, Riga F, Cavazza A, Trocchi V, Capucci L. Virolog and species
 568 conservation: the case of EBHSV and the Italian hare (Lepus corsicanus De Winton,
 569 1898). Proceedings of V Int. Congress of European Society for Veterinary Virology,
- 570 Brescia. 2000. 8: 27–30.
- 12. Thulin CG, Isaksson M, Tegelström H. The origin of Scandinavian mountain hares. Gibier
 Faune Sauvage, Game Wildlife. 1997. 14(3): 463–475.
- 13. Melo-Ferreira J, Boursot P, Suchentrunk F, Ferrand N, Alves PC. Invasion from the cold
 past: extensive introgression of mountain hare (Lepus timidus) mitochondrial DNA into
- three other hare species in northern Iberia. Molecular Ecology. 2005. 14(8): 2459–2464.
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2005.02599.x
- 577 14. Smith AT. 2008. Conservation of endangered lagomorphs. In: P.C. Alves, N. Ferrand &
 578 K. Hacklander (Eds.), Lagomorph biology: evolution, ecology and conservation (pp. 297–
- 579 315). Berlin, D: Springer.
- 15. Kasapidis P, Suchentrunk F, Magoulas A, Kotoulas G. The shaping of mitochondrial 580 DNA phylogeographic patterns of the brown hare (Lepus europaeus) under the combined 581 influence of Late Pleistocene climatic fluctuations and anthropogenic translocations. 582 2005. 583 Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution. 34(1): 55-66. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2004.09.007 584
- 16. Mamuris Z, Moutou KA, Stamatis C, Sarafidou T, Suchentrunk F. Y DNA and
 mitochondrial lineages in European and Asian populations of the brown hare (Lepus

588	doi:10.1016/j.mambio.2009.01.004
589	17. Giannoulis T, Stamatis C, Tsipourlianos A, Mamuris Z. Mitogenomic analysis in
590	European brown hare (Lepus europaeus) proposes genetic and functional differentiation
591	between the distinct lineages. Mitochondrial DNA. Part A, DNA mapping, sequencing, and
592	analysis Mitochondrial DNA Part A. 2018. 1-8. doi:10.1080/24701394.2016.1278540
593	18. Djan M, Stefanović M, Veličković N, Lavadinović V, Alves PC, Suchentrunk F. Brown
594	hares (Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778) from the Balkans: a refined phylogeographic model.
595	Hystrix, the Italian Journal of Mammalogy. 2017. 28(2): 1-8. doi:10.4404/hystrix-28.2-
596	12202
597	19. Sert H, Ben Slimen H, Erdoğan A, Suchentrunk F. Mitochondrial HVI sequence variation
598	in Anatolian hares (Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778). Mammalian Biology-Zeitschrift für
599	Säugetierkunde. 2009. 74(4): 286–297. doi:10.1016/j.mambio.2008.05.008
600	20. Yu L, Li YW, Ryder O, Zhang YP. Analysis of complete mitochondrial genome
601	sequences increases phylogenetic resolution of bears (Ursidae), a mammalian family that
602	experienced rapid speciation. BMC Evolutionary Biology. 2007. 7(1): 198.
603	doi:10.1186/1471-2148-7-198
604	21. Zhou T, Shen X, Irwin DM, Shen Y, Zhang Y. Mitogenomic analyses propose positive

europaeus). Mammalian Biology-Zeitschrift für Säugetierkunde. 2010. 75(3): 233-242.

- selection in mitochondrial genes for high-altitude adaptation in galliform birds.
 Mitochondrion. 2014. 18: 70–75. doi:10.1016/j.mito.2014.07.012
- 607 22. Hartl GB, Suchentrunk F, Nadlinger K, Willing R. Studies on the European hare. 47. An
- 608 integrative analysis of genetic differentiation in the brown hare Lepus europaeus based on
- morphology, allozymes, and mitochondrial DNA. Acta Theriologica. 1993. 38(2): 33–57.

- 23. Vapa L, Obreht D, Vapa M, Selmic V. Genetic variability in brown hare (Lepus 610 europeaus) populations in Yugoslavia. Zeitschrift für Jagdwissenschaft. 2002. 48: 261-611 266. doi:10.1007/BF02192416 612
- 24. Vapa L, Djan M, Obreht D, Hammer S, Suchentrunk F. Allozyme variability of brown 613 hares (Lepus europaeus) from the Vojvodina (Serbia), compared to central and south 614 615 eastern European populations. Acta Zoologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae. 2007. 53(1): 75-87. 616
- 25. Djan M, Obreht D, Vapa L. Polymorphism of mtDNA regions in brown hare (Lepus 617 europaeus) populations from Vojvodina (Serbia and Montenegro). European Journal of 618 Wildlife Research. 2006. 52(4): 288-291. doi:10.1007/s10344-006-0050-6 619
- 620 26. Djan M, Veličković N, Stefanović M, Marković V, Vidaković DO, Vapa L. Genetic variation within and among brown hare (Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778) populations in 621 Serbia as inferred from microsatellites. Balkan Journal of Wildlife Research. 2015. 2(1): 622 18-26. doi:10.15679/bjwr.v2i1.22
- 623
- 27. Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S. MEGA6: molecular evolutionary 624
- genetics analysis version 6.0. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2013. 30(12): 2725-2729. 625 doi:10.1093/molbev/mst197 626
- 28. Gissi C, Gullberg A, Arnason U. The complete mitochondrial DNA sequence of the 627 rabbit, Oryctolagus cuniculus. Genomics. 1998. 50(2): 161-169. 628 doi.org/10.1006/geno.1998.5282 629
- 29. Xia X. DAMBE6: New tools for microbial genomics, phylogenetics and molecular 630 evolution. Journal of Heredity. 2017. 108(4): 431-437. doi:10.1093/jhered/esx033 631
- 30. Librado P, Rozas J. DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA 632 polymorphism data. **Bioinformatics**. 2009. 25(11): 1451-1452. 633 doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187 634

635	31. Lanfear R, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Senfeld T, Calcott B. Partition Finder 2: new
636	methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological
637	phylogenetic analyses. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 2016. 34(3): 772-773.
638	doi:10.1093/molbev/msw260

- 32. Bouckaert R, Heled J, Kühnert D, Vaughan T, Wu CH, Xie D, et al. BEAST 2: a software
- platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. PLoS Computational Biology. 2014. 10(4):
 e1003537. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003537
- 642 33. Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective
- 643 stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Molecular Biology
- and Evolution. 2015. 32(1): 268–274. doi:10.1093/molbev/msu300
- 64534. Bandelt HJ, Forster P, Röhl A. Median-joining networks for inferring intraspecific646phylogenies. Molecular Biology and Evolution. 1999. 16(1): 37–48.
- doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026036

648 35. http://popart.otago.ac.nz/downloads.shtml

- 649 36. Fu YX. Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth, hitchhiking
- andbackground selection. Genetics. 1997. 147(2): 915–925.
- 37. Tajima F. The effect of change in population size on DNA polymorphism. Genetics. 1989.
 123(3): 597–601.
- 653 38. Excoffier L, Lischer HE. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform
- 654 populationgenetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Molecular Ecology Resources.
- 655 2010. 10(3): 564–567. doi:10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x
- 656 39. Ramírez-Soriano A, Ramos-Onsins SE, Rozas J, Calafell F, Navarro A. Statistical power
- analysis of neutrality tests under demographic expansions, contractions and bottlenecks
- with recombination. Genetics. 2008. 179(1): 555–567. doi:10.1534/genetics.107.083006

- 40. Corander J, Waldmann P, Marttinen P, Sillanpää MJ. BAPS 2: enhanced possibilities for
 the analysis of genetic population structure. Bioinformatics. 2004. 20(15): 2363–2369.
- 41. Corander J, Marttinen P, Sirén J, Tang J. Enhanced Bayesian modelling in BAPS software
- for learning genetic structures of populations. BMC bioinformatics. 2008. 9(1): 539.
 doi:10.1186/1471-2105-9-539
- 42. François O, Durand E. Spatially explicit Bayesian clustering models in population
 genetics. Molecular Ecology Resources. 2010. 10(5): 773–784.
- 43. Neigel JE. Is FST obsolete? Conservation Genetics. 2002. 3(2): 167–73.
- 44. Fickel J, Schmidt A, Putze M, Spittler H, Ludwig A, Streich WJ, et al. Genetic structure
- of populations of European brown hare: implications for management. Journal of Wildlife
- 669 Management. 2005. 69(2): 760–770. doi:10.2193/0022-
- 670 541X(2005)069[0760:GSOPOE]2.0.CO;2
- 45. Ashrafzadeh MR, Kaboli M, Naghavi MR. Mitochondrial DNA analysis of Iranian brown
 bears (Ursus arctos) reveals new phylogeographic lineage. Mammalian Biology-Zeitschrift
- 673 für Säugetierkunde. 2016. 81(1): 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.mambio.2015.09.001
- 46. Corander J, Marttinen P. Bayesian identification of admixture events using multilocus
 molecular markers. Molecular Ecology. 2006. 15(10): 2833–2843. doi:10.1111/j.1365294X.2006.02994.x
- 47. Levänen R, Thulin CG, Spong G, Pohjoismäki JL. Widespread introgression of mountain
 hare genes into Fennoscandian brown hare populations. PloS One. 2018. 13(1): e0191790.
- 679 doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0191790
- 48. Melo-Ferreira J, Boursot P, Carneiro M, Esteves PJ, Farelo L, Alves PC. Recurrent
 introgression of mitochondrial DNA among hares (Lepus spp.) revealed by species-tree
 inference and coalescent simulations. Systematic Biology. 2012. 61(3): 367–381.
 doi:10.1093/sysbio/syr114

49. Mamuris Z, Sfougaris AI, Stamatis C. Genetic structure of Greek brown hare (Lepus
europaeus) populations as revealed by mtDBNA RFLP-PCR analysis: implications for
conserving genetic diversity. Biological Conservation. 2001. 101(2): 187–196.
doi:10.1016/S0006-3207(01)00065-9

- 50. Suchentrunk F, Ben Slimen HB, Stamatis C, Sert H, Scandura M, Apollonio M, et al.
 Molecular approaches revealing prehistoric, historic, or recent translocations and
 introductions of hares (Genus Lepus) by humans. Human Evolution. 2006. 21(2): 151–165.
 doi:10.1007/s11598-006-9016-7
- 51. Canu A, Scandura M, Luchetti S, Cossu A, Iacolina L, Bazzanti M, et al. Influence of
 management regime and population history on genetic diversity and population structure of
 brown hares (Lepus europaeus) in an Italian province. European Journal of Wildlife
 Research. 2013. 59(6): 783–793. doi:10.1007/s10344-013-0731-x
- 52. Fontanesi L, Di Palma F, Flicek P, Smith AT, Thulin CG, Alves PC, et al. LaGomiCs—

697 Lagomorph Genomics Consortium: An International Collaborative Effort for Sequencing

- the Genomes of an Entire Mammalian Order. Journal of Heredity. 2016. 107(4): 295–308.
 doi:10.1093/jhered/esw010
- 53. Pietri C. 2007. Caccia e protezione delle popolazioni di lepre (Lepus sp.) in Corsica. In: G.
 De Filippo, L. De Riso, F. Riga, V. Trocchi, & S. R. Troisi (Eds.), Conservazione di Lepus
- corsicanus De Winton, 1898 e stato delle conoscenze (pp. 52–62). Napoli, IT: IGF
 Publication.
- 54. Pietri C, Alves PC, Melo-Ferreira J. Hares in Corsica: high prevalence of Lepus
 corsicanus and hybridization with introduced L. europaeus and L. granatensis. European
 Journal of Wildlife Research. 2011. 57(2): 313–321. doi:10.1007/s10344-010-0430-9

708	mtDNA into L. granatensis and L. europaeus in the Iberian peninsula. Molecular
709	Phylogenetics and Evolution. 2003. 27(1): 70-80. doi:10.1016/S1055-7903(02)00417-7
710	56. Melo-Ferreira J, Alves PC, Freitas H, Ferrand N, Boursot P. The genomic legacy from the
711	extinct Lepus timidus to the three hare species of Iberia: contrast between mtDNA, sex
712	chromosomes and autosomes. Molecular Ecology. 2009. 18(12): 2643-2658.
713	doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2009.04221.x
714	57. Thulin CG, Fang M, Averianov AO. Introgression from Lepus europaeus to L. timidus in
715	Russia revealed by mitochondrial single nucleotide polymorphisms and nuclear
716	microsatellites. Hereditas. 2006. 143: 68-76. doi:10.1111/j.2006.0018-0661.01952.x
717	58. Corbet GB. Relationships and origins of the European lagomorphs. Mammal Review.
718	1986. 16(3-4): 105–110. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2907.1986.tb00029.x
719	59. Hewitt GM. Genetic consequences of climatic oscillations in the Quaternary.
720	Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences. 2004.
721	359(1442): 183–195. doi:10.1098/rstb.2003.1388
722	60. Schmitt T, Habel JC, Zimmermann M, Mueller P. Genetic differentiation of the marbled
723	white butterfly, Melanargia galathea, accounts for glacial distribution patterns and
724	postglacial range expansion in southeastern Europe. Molecular Ecology. 2006. 15(7):
725	1889–1901.
726	61. Alexandri P, Triantafyllidis A, Papakostas S, Chatzinikos E, Platis P, Papageorgiou N, et
727	al. The Balkans and the colonization of Europe: the post-glacial range expansion of the

55. Alves PC, Ferrand N, Suchentrunk F, Harris DJ. Ancient introgression of Lepus timidus

- wild boar, Sus scrofa. Journal of Biogeography. 2012. 39(4): 713–723. doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 729 2699.2011.02636.x
- 62. Fickel J, Hauffe HC, Pecchioli E, Soriguer R, Vapa L, Pitra C. Cladogenesis of the
 European brown hare (Lepus europaeus Pallas, 1778). European Journal of Wildlife
 Research. 2008. 54(3): 495–510. doi:10.1007/s10344-008-0175-x
- 63. Gökaşan E, Demirbağ E, Oktay FY, Ecevitoğlu B, Şimşek M, Yüce H. On the origin of
 the Bosporus. Marine Geology. 1997. 140(1-2): 183–199. doi:10.1016/S0025-
- 735 3227(97)00022-4
- 736 64. Bilgin R. Back to the suture: the distribution of intraspecific genetic diversity in and
- around Anatolia. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2011. 12(6): 4080–4103.
 doi:10.3390/ijms12064080
- 739 65. Ojeda AA. Phylogeography and genetic variation in the South American rodent
- Tympanoctomys barrerae (Rodentia: Octodontidae). Journal of Mammalogy. 2010. 91(2):
 302–313. doi:10.1644/09-MAMM-A-177.1
- 66. Slatkin M, Hudson RR. Pairwise comparisons of mitochondrial DNA sequences in stable
- and exponentially growing populations. Genetics. 1991. 129(2): 555–562.
- 744 67. Avise JC. 2000. Phylogeography: the history and formation of species. Harvard
- 745 University Press, MA: Cambridge.

746

747 Supporting information

748	S1 Table. Details of sequences used in the phylogenetic analyses of brown hares based on	
749	the 358 bp mtDNA control region.	
750		
751	S2 Table. Details of sequences used in the phylogenetic analyses of brown hares based on	
752	the 916 bp mtDNA sequences (cytochrome b, tRNA-Thr, tRNA-Pro and control	
753	region).	
754		