

Optimization of sequential administration of bevacizumab plus cytotoxics in non-small cell lung cancer by combining in vivo experiments and mathematical modeling

Sébastien Benzekry

▶ To cite this version:

Sébastien Benzekry. Optimization of sequential administration of bevacizumab plus cytotoxics in nonsmall cell lung cancer by combining in vivo experiments and mathematical modeling. Mathematical perspectives in the biology and therapeutics of cancer, Jul 2018, Marseille, France. hal-01969142

HAL Id: hal-01969142 https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01969142

Submitted on 4 Jan 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Optimization of sequential administration of performab plus cytotoxics in non-small cell lung cancer by combining in vivo experiments and mathematical modeling

S. Benzekry

Inria team MONC, Bordeaux

Mathematical perspectives in the biology and therapeutics of cancer

July 11, 2018





Angiogenesis



Vascular normalization: a time window for improved pharmacokinetics?

- Bevacizumab = anti-VEGF monoclonal antibody ⇒ anti-angiogenic action (first approved in 2004)
- Only proved clinical efficacy when combined (concomitantly) with cytotoxics
- Possible explanation: transient normalization of the otherwise abnormal (leaky, tortuous) vascular architecture



```
Vakoc et al., Jain, 2009, Nat Med
```









Inadequate

Jain, Nat Med, 2001

Question

What is the **optimal time gap** between administration of bevacizumab and cytotoxic chemotherapy? How to capture **inter-individual variability** for designing **personalized therapies**?

Hypothesis: sequential use of bevacizumab associated with chemotherapy would achieve better efficacy and modeling support could help to define the optimal timewindow



Modeling

and

Simulation

Imbs et al. (Benzekry), CPT: Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol, 2018

A first theoretical and complex model

Entity	Model equation
Density of P_1	$\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}_1} P_1) = 0 \ P_1(a=0) = 2P_2(a=a_{max,P_2})$
Density of P_2	$\frac{\partial l_2}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial P_2}{\partial a_t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{P}_2} P_2) = -P_2(a = a_{max,P_2}) \frac{E_{max,C}[C]}{C} P_2(a = 0) = fP_1(a = a_{max,P_1}) + [\partial_t f]^+ Q(t^-)$
Density of Q	$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{Q}}Q) = g(1-f)P_1(a = a_{max,P_1}) - \left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right]^+ Q(t^-) + \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}\right]^- Q(t^-)$
Density of A	$\frac{\partial A}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{A}} A) = (1-g)P_1(a = a_{max,P_1}) - \begin{bmatrix} \partial g \\ \partial t \end{bmatrix}^{-} Q(t^{-})$
Density of H	$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v}_H H) = 0$
Density of mature vessel cells	$\frac{\partial Es}{\partial t} = \mu \mathcal{H}(E + Es - \tau_E)E - a_{ES}Es$
Density of immature vessel cells	$\frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\chi E(1 - \frac{E}{N_E})\nabla[V]) = pE\left(1 - \frac{E + Es}{N_E}\right) - a_E E - \mu \mathcal{H}(E + Es - \tau_E)E$
Quality of the vasculature	$R = \frac{\int E}{Vol} \prod = 1 - \frac{R^{\gamma_n}}{R^{\gamma_n} + R^{\gamma_n}},$
Concentration of oxygen	$-\nabla(K_{[0_2]}\nabla[0_2]) = -\sum_{\phi} \alpha_{[0_2],\phi} \phi \ [0_2] = \Pi C_{max} \text{where } Es \ge \tau_v$
Concentration of VEGF	$\frac{\partial[V]}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot (K_{[V]}\nabla[V]) = \alpha_{[V]}Q_{[0_2] \le \tau_{1,h}} - \beta_{[V]}E[V] - \delta_{[V]}[V] - [V]\frac{Emax_{[AA]}[AA]}{[AA]_{50} + [AA]}$
Concentration of chemo.	$-\nabla \cdot (K\nabla[C]) = -\xi_{[C]}[C] [C] = \prod P_{[C]}(t)$ where $Es \ge \tau_{\nu}$
Concentration of antiangiogenic	$-\nabla \cdot (K\nabla[AA]) = -[V] \frac{Emax_{[AA]}[AA]}{\nu_{50} + [AA]} [AA] = \Pi P_{[AA]}(t) \text{where } Es \ge \tau_{\nu}$

			\bigcirc
			\bigcirc
\bigcirc	\sim	\bigcirc	\bigcirc
0	Ó	\odot	
\diamond	\bigcirc		0



Parameter	Description	Value	Unit
τ_0	Threshold of overcrowding	$5 imes 10^4$	cell
$\tau_{1,h}$	Threshold of moderate hypoxia	$4 imes 10^{-7}$	M
$\tau_{2,h}$	Threshold of severe hypoxia	$4 imes 10^{-9}$	M
N _{max}	Total density of tumor and/or healthy cells	10 ⁵	cell
a_{max,P_1}	Maximum duration of phase P_1	5	time-unit
a_{max,P_2}	Maximum duration of phase P2	8	time-unit
$\alpha_{[V]}$	Secretion rate of VEGF by quiescent cells	10 ⁻⁸	M/cell
$\delta_{[V]}$	Consumption rate of VEGF by immature endothelial cells	0	M/cell
ζ _[V]	Degradation rate of VEGF	0	M^{-1}
N _E	Maximum number of endothelial cells	10 ⁵	cell
μ	Rate of maturation for endothelial cells	0.5	cell/time-unit
τ_E	Minimis quantity of immature EC leading to maturation	$5 imes 10^2$	cell
γ_n	Sigmoidal coefficient for the computation of vasculature quality	0.5	cell/mm ²
R _{0.5}	Density of EC leading to half of the maximal vasculature quality	$8 imes 10^{-3}$	cell/mm ²
τ_{v}	Number of EC needed to form a functional blood vessel	$4 imes 10^4$	cell
C _{max}	Oxygen concentration in blood	2×10^{-2}	М
Κ	Diffusion coefficient of molecules in the tissue	1-5	mm ² /time-unit
$\beta_{[O_2], P_1}$	Oxygen consumption of the P_1 tumor cells	10^{-4}	M/cell
$\beta_{[O_2], P_2}$	Oxygen consumption of the P_2 tumor cells	10^{-4}	M/cell
$\beta_{[0_2], Q}$	Oxygen consumption of the quiescent tumor cells	$0.25 imes 10^{-4}$	M/cell
ξıcı	Degradation rate of chemotherapy	1.25×10^{-4}	M/time-unit
Emax _{IAAI}	Maximal effect of the antiangiogenic drug on VEGF	1	None
v ₅₀	Amount of antiangiogenic drug producing half of the maximal effect	0.5	М
E _{max, C}	Maximal effect of the chemotherapy on P_2 cells	0.75	None
C ₅₀	Amount of chemotherapy producing half of the maximal effect	0.2	M

Simplified model for the anti-angiogenic therapy: the Hahnfeldt-Folkman approach

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dV}{dt} = aV\ln\left(\frac{K}{V}\right)\\ \frac{dK}{dt} = bV - dV^{2/3}K - eA(t)K \end{cases}$$

Hahnfeldt-Folkman effect: **K** = **f**(**A**(**t**))



Dynamics of *K* are governed by a balance between **angiogenic stimulation and inhibition** (both endogenous and exogenous)



Modeling the combination of chemotherapy and bevacizumab

Idea: define a dynamical index of quality of the vasculature *Q* by dividing the vasculature into **stable and unstable** compartments

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{dV}{dt} &= aV \ln\left(\frac{S}{V}\right) - e_{CT}QSC(t)V\\ \frac{dU}{dt} &= bV - dV^{2/3}U - \chi U - e_{AA}QSA(t)U\\ \int \frac{dS}{dt} &= \chi U - \tau S \end{aligned}$$



$$Q = \frac{S}{S+U}$$

A priori simulations of the model suggest optimal sequence



•••• Anti-angiogenics first, then cytotoxics

Benzekry et al., A new mathematical model for optimizing the combination between antiangiogenic and cytotoxic drugs in oncology, CRAS, 2012



Concomitant

Sequential



Innocenti F. et al., Drug Metab Dispos Biol Fate Chem, 1995

Lin et al., J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 1999



Confrontation to experimental data





Semi-mechanistic mathematical model



Simeoni et al., Rocchetti, Cancer Res, 2004

Imbs et al., Benzekry, CPT: Pharmacometrics Syst Pharmacol, 2018

+ PK models for beva A(t) and CT C(t) concentrations



D5

Cycle 1

D1

Human NSCLC H460-Luc+ xenograft

- Subcutaneous graft
- Matrigel support
- Follow-up

٠

- Bioluminescence imaging
- Weight monitoring



D31

Cycle 3

D14

Cycle 2

Sequential administration Beva then Chemo improves response and survival



-71.2% tumor size at study conclusion (day 60)



⇒ Sequential use increases survival by 44%

Population approach for model calibration: nonlinear mixed effects modeling

Classical nonlinear regression considers each time series independently

 When only sparse data are available from subjects in the same population, one can fit the parameters distribution all-in-once

$$Y_i^j = M(t_i^j, \beta^j) + \varepsilon_i^j$$

$$\beta^1, \ldots, \beta^N \sim \mathcal{LN}(\beta_\mu, \beta_\omega), \quad \beta_\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p, \ \beta_\omega \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$$

Lavielle, CRC press, 2014

• Reduces the number of parameters from *pxN* to *p*+*p*²

Conclusion

- In order to be confronted to empirical data and yield robust predictions, mathematical models must **remain simple** and **well dimensioned** with the data
- Mathematical modeling can be used to **identify optimized drug regimen** for combination therapies among a large number of scenarios that cannot be all tested experimentally
- This is of increasing relevance in modern oncology where **an always larger arsenal of anticancer agents** becomes available to oncologists (cf. immune-oncology in combination)
- **Nonlinear mixed-effects modeling** is a powerful statistical approach for pooling together population data that arise from studies in experimental and clinical oncology
- Subsequent patient-specific bayesian estimation of the parameters can be used for personalized scheduling

Acknowledgments

Modeling & Simulation

Pr. D. Barbolosi

Dr DC Imbs

Dr R. El Cheikh

Translational/Bench

Dr. J. Ciccolini

Pr. F. Barlesi

Translational/Bedside - Lung cancer

Dr. P. Tomasini

Dr. A. Boyer

Dr. C. Mascaux

Dr. C. Serdjebi