University of Bath

UNIVERSITY OF BATH

PHD

The control of chlorophyll formation and chloroplast development in the primary leaves of Phaseolus aureus.

Hole, C. C.

Award date:
1973

Awarding institution:
University of Bath

Link to publication

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

THE CONTROL OF CHLOROPHYLL FORMATION

AND CHLOROPLAST DEVELOPMENT IN THE

PRIMARY LEAVES OF *PHASEOLUS AUREUS*

Submitted by

C. C. HOLE

for the Degree of Ph.D.

of the University of Bath

1973

This thesis may be made available for consultation within the University Library and may be photocopied or lent to other libraries for the purposes of consultation.

ProQuest Number: U415155

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS
The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript
and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed,
a note will indicate the deletion.

CONTENTS

ABBREVIATIONS

ALA	δ-aminolaevulinic acid
6-BAP	6-benzylaminopurine
B9 (CIBA)	N-dimethylamino succinamic acid
B9 (UNIROYAL)	N-dimethylamino succinamic acid
CCC	(2-chloroethyl) trimethylammonium chloride
CMU	(3-(4-chlorophenyl)-1:1-dimethylurea
DMF	Dimethyl formamide
DVA	γ δ-dioxovaleric acid
GA_3	Gibberellic acid
GA_4' GA_7	Other forms of gibberellin
IAA	Indoleacetic acid

SUMMARY

The effects of gibberellic acid and 6-benzylaminopurine on chlorophyll synthesis in the primary leaves of *Phaseoulus aureus* (mung bean) have been investigated. These have been related to the role of the cotyledon and hypocotyl in controlling chlorophyll formation during illumination of the etiolated seedlings.

The importance of the cotyledon to chlorophyll production declined with age after six days of etiolated growth and in five day old plants was of less significance than the presence of the hypocotyl. The presence of both organs resulted in interference and not an additive interaction.

Gibberellic acid and 6-benzylaminopurine promoted and inhibited chlorophyll synthesis. The nature of the response was dependent on the concentration of the hormone and the age of the leaf. In the absence of photosynthesis, the activity of both hormones was altered. Gibberellic acid proved ineffective and 6-benzylaminopurine inhibitory. The addition of sucrose to this or the control system (+ photosynthesis) indicated that gibberellic acid was more responsive to carbohydrate supply than was 6-benzyl-aminopurine. An examination of different carbohydrate sources revealed that sucrose most affected the hormone-induced responses.

The interaction of the two hormones showed a strong synergistic response in five day old leaves. This was present at

the higher concentrations of each hormone; the lower concentrations appeared antagonistic. Changes in the interaction were investigated with regard to age and substrate supply and were related to the level of endogenous gibberellin.

Possible effects of the hormones on chloroplast replication and carbon dioxide exchange were also examined.

The results are discussed in relation to the mode of action of the hormones and their role in the greening of primary leaves.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

I.   Introductory Paragraph

Seedling growth through the soil or in imposed darkness is dependent on the available reserve stored within the seed. When the first leaves become illuminated they undergo many changes which transform them into assimilatory organs. The development of these leaves in the light is still very much affected by the presence of storage organs. Expansion of the primary leaves (Vyvyan, 1924; Wheeler, 1966) and chlorophyll synthesis (Wolff and Price, 1960; Sisler and Klein, 1963) in *Phaseolus vulgaris* are examples of this. Mung beans *(Phaseolus aureus)* are very similar to French beans *(P. vulgaris)* and it may therefore be concluded that observations on one species may be applicable to the other. The role of exogeneously applied substrates and hormones as a possible replacement for the cotyledons has been investigated (Wolff and Price, 1960; Sisler and Klein, 1963). No effects of hormone applications were observed, but other reports (see later) indicate that hormones do affect chlorophyll synthesis. The purpose of this work was to analyse more closely the inter-relationship of leaf chlorophyll synthesis and other organs and to examine the effects of hormones in conjunction with substrate supply.

2.   Etiolation

Chlorophyll synthesis in most higher plants occurs only in the light in both etiolated and fully green material. Because of the extensive developmental changes which occur in light-treated etiolated plants, this affords an ideal system for the investigation of chloroplast development and its control.

The etiolated system is to some extent artificial, although this depends on the age of the plant material used. During normal light development the seedling experiences a degree of etiolation while growing through the soil and frequently the middle leaves of a closed apex are yellow. Usually one is experimenting with material which has been given an extended period of dark development. Under these conditions the normal features of etiolation (rigid stem elongation, lack of leaf expansion and absence of chlorophyll) are observed. The dark grown leaves contain characteristic plastids (etioplasts) which are about 3μ in diameter (Mego and Jagendorf, 1961) and contain a three-dimensional crystal lattice called the prolamellar body (von Wettstein, 1958). The etioplast develops from the proplastid which is a colourless, undifferentiated organelle approximately 1μ in diameter (from Kirk and Tilney-Bassett, 1967). In dark-grown barley, etioplast development took about 15 days (von Wettstein, 1958) and was accompanied by an increase in the formation of protochlorophyll (see Henningsen and Boynton, 1970). Since by this time the leaf under natural conditions would have experienced illumination, the formation of fully developed etioplasts would probably not have occurred. The etioplast is, therefore, a

dark-developed proplastid while a chloroplast is a light-developed proplastid. The extent of etioplast to chloroplast development which may occur under natural conditions will depend on the time it takes for the seedling to become exposed to light.

3.   Protochlorophyll and Its Photoconversion

The existence of protochlorophyll was recorded as early as 1874 by Pringsheim and its role as the immediate precursor to chlorophyll was postulated by Monteverde (1893). The absorption spectrum was first discovered for a pure extraction of a non-phototransformable type obtained from pumpkin cotyledons. This corresponded well with the action spectrum for leaf chlorophyll formation (Frank, 1946) and was indentical with the absorption spectrum for purified leaf protochlorophyll (Koski and Smith, 1948). Final proof of Monteverde's suggestion was provided by Koski, French and Smith (1951) who correlated the peaks of the absorption and action spectra and by Koski (1950) who showed that for every molecule of chlorophyll formed one molecule of protochlorophyll disappeared.

The active form of protochlorophyll exists in association with a protein molecule and the complex is known as the proto-chlorophyll holochrome (Smith, 1960). The nature of the protein is not known. It has been suggested that it may be the enzyme ribulose diphosphate carboxylase (Trown, 1965) but distinctions between the holochrome and the latter have been made (Falk and

Bogorad, 1967). The stage of incorporation of protochlorophyll into the holochrome has been placed after the formation of the chlorophyll precursor (Granick, 1967). As will be described later (see Introduction 3) the pigment remains associated with the protein complex throughout a number of stages after photoconversion.

In etiolated leaves protochlorophyll exists in two forms, phytolated and non-phytolated (Loeffler, 1955). To avoid confusion the term protochlorophyllide ester is used to describe the former and protochlorophyllide, the latter. The use of the generic term, protochlorophyll is confined to instances where the form is not specified or both types are indicated (after Kirk and Tilney-Bassett, 1967). Evidence from absorption (Shibata, 1957) and fluorescence (Thorne, 1971a) spectrophotometry supported the presence of two forms of protochlorophyll. Only one of these, the longer wavelength-absorbing form protochlorophyll A650 was phototransformed (Shibata, 1957 ; Litvin and Krasnovsky, 1957 ; Sironval, Michel-Wolwertz and Madsen, 1965; Thorne, 1971a). Since protochlorophyllide is acidic while the ester is neutral it proved possible to separate them using polar and non-polar solvents. Wolff and Price (1957) exploited this difference and observed that it was the acidic protochlorophyllide which was phototransformed to chlorophyll. These results were confirmed by Virgin (1960). It may be concluded that the protochlorophyllide and protochlorophyll-A650 are the same molecule while the ester corresponds the form with an absorption peak at 636 nm. This is supported by Sironval et al (1965) who observed an increase in phytolation and the absorption peak with age in etiolated barley. Thorne (1971a) has shown that energy transfer

between the phototransferable protochlorophyll and previously

synthesized chlorophyll molecules occurs and concluded that this comprises

part of the holochrome while the non-phototransferable type does not.

It is possible that protochlorophyll A636 may be converted to proto-

chlorophyll A650 (Sundeqvist, 1969, 1970; Murray and Klein, 1971).

The conversion of protochlorophyllide to chlorophyllide

is a photoreduction which takes place very rapidly, the rate being

directly proportional to the light intensity (Smith and Benitez,

1954). The reaction was sufficiently resilient to withstand

temperatures below $0^{\circ}C$, but ceased at $-195^{\circ}C$. The kinetics of the

reaction suggested that it was not entirely photochemical, but

involved intermolecular interactions (Smith and Benitez, 1954;

Virgin, 1955). Any substance involved in the transformation must

necessarily be very closely associated with the protochlorophyll

molecule since at $0^{\circ}C$ the light induced absorption change is completed

within 10^{-5} seconds, (Schopfer and Siegelman, 1969). The existence

of different reducing systems in various species is exemplified by

the loose relationship found in a mutant of *Arabidopsis* (Röbbelen,

1956). Protochlorophyllide could be reduced only in the presence

of a soluble unpigmented fraction isolated from normal leaves.

In some plants, however, such as conifers, ferns and mosses

chlorophyll may be synthesized in darkness (Kirk and Tilney-Bassett,

1967).

4.   Changes In Chlorophyllide After Photoconversion

Concomitant with the reduction of protochlorophyllide to
chlorophyllide there is a shift in the *in vivo* absorption maximum
from 650 nm to 684 nm (Shibata, 1957).   If the leaf is maintained
in darkness, further spectral changes are observed.   The sequence
of spectral shifts has been studied by Shibata, 1957; Krasnovsky,
1960; Sironval, Michel-Wolwertz and Madsen, 1965; Gassman, Granick
and Mauzerall, 1968; Bonner, 1969; Thorne, 1971a, 1971b).   A
scheme of the results is shown in Figure 1.   The most recent work
(Thorne, 1971a, 1971b) showed that the sequence changed when more
than one flash of light was administered to the system.   After a
second photoconversion of protochlorophyll the fluorescence emission
and absorption peaks of the final form of chlorophyll were different
and there was no energy transfer between this form and protochloro-
phyllide.   It was concluded that at this stage the chlorophyll
molecule was removed from the holochrome structure.

The changes which result in the spectral shift from A684
to A673 are not known, but the latter has been correlated with
phytolation (Vorobaeva, Bystrova and Krasnovsky, 1963;   Sironval *et
al*, 1965).   Similar spectral shifts, however, can be induced by
physical treatments such as freezing and thawing which result in
disaggregation of the pigment molecules (Butler and Briggs, 1966).
Akoyunoglou and Michalopoulos (1971) have reported that in bean
leaves phytolation and this spectral shift are independent processes.
It would seem, therefore, that any correlation is coincidental.   The
earlier spectral changes are probably a result of inter- and intra-
molecular changes within the pigment-holochrome complex.

FIGURE 1

SPECTRAL SHIFTS IN CHLOROPHYLLIDE AFTER PHOTOCONVERSION

<u>ABSORPTION SPECTROPHOTOMETRY</u>   <u>FLUORESCENCE SPECTROPHOTOMETRY</u>

A650	A650	Protochloro-phyllide	F655

Shift I PHOTO

Intermediate

Shift II PHOTO

	A678	Chlorophyllide	F687- -F690

Shift III DARK

A684	A684

30 minutes

Shift IV DARK

A673	A673	Chlorophyll	F680

16 minutes

A677	A677		F686

A637

F655, A650

F674, A668 ← 10 seconds

F687, A678 ← 15 seconds

F694, A682 ← 45-50 minutes

F680, A672 ← NONE AFTER SECOND PHOTO-CONVERSION

F683, A674

ENERGY TRANSFER

Shibita (1957)

Shibita(1957)
Sironval et al.(1965)
Gassman et al.(1968)
Benner(1969)

Krasnovsky(1960)

Thorne(1971a)
" (1971b)

<u>KEY</u>

⟶ { Changes after initial photoconversion(Thorne's data)
{ Changes after illumination(other references)

-----➤ Changes after second photoconversion(Thorne's data)

NOTE : Nomenclature and shift numbering after
Thorne(1971a,1971b)

5.   Time Course of Chlorophyll Synthesis

Following the initial rapid photoconversion of proto-chlorophyllide to chlorophyllide, there is a lag phase which may last for 1 - 2 hours and which precedes a phase of rapid chlorophyll synthesis (Koski, 1950; Virgin, 1955; Akoyunoglou and Argyroudi-Akoyunoglou, 1969). The lag phase is age dependent and is not apparent in young leaves (Sisler and Klein, 1963). In freshly excised leaves of *P. vulgaris*, which exhibited a 30 minute lag period, Wolff and Price found that this could be extended to 4 hours by preincubating the leaves on water in the dark for 18 hours. The addition of glucose or sucrose to the incubating medium during this period reduced the extended lag period as did the presence of one cotyledon attached to the leaf. Sisler and Klein (1963) observed that there was a rise in the capacity for chlorophyll synthesis between the 4th and 5th days of growth and concluded that some factor was exported from the cotyledons between these times. Removal of the leaves on the fourth day and then allowing them to develop to the fifth on sucrose was insufficient to produce the chlorophyll synthetic rate associated with 5-day old leaves. This agrees with the results of Wheeler (1966) for the effects of the cotyledons on the expansion of primary leaves in *P. vulgaris*. The possible role of growth regulators in this system is discussed in Section 14 of the Introduction. In addition to carbohydrates and the cotyledons, δ-ALA has been shown to shorten the lag phase in *P. vulgaris* leaves (Sisler and Klein, 1963), so it may be concluded that the lag phase is probably a feature of the shortage of substrate for chlorophyll synthesis.

In addition to chemical means, the lag phase may be overcome by a short impulse of red light followed by a dark period before illumination with white light (Withrow, Wolff and Price, 1956; Virgin, 1958). This effect was reversed by treatment with a short impulse of far red light after the red light (Withrow *et al*, 1956). The far red treatment was effective when given up to 9 hours after the red light although the response was reduced (Price and Klein, 1961). When alternating cycles of red and far red were used, the terminal quality of light determined the rate of chlorophyll synthesis during the exposure to white light (Price and Klein, 1961; Mitrakos, 1961). The length of the dark period between pre-irradiation and white light illumination is age dependent (Akoyunoglou, 1970) and is longer than the duration of the lag phase. The discrepancy between the two must be due to a continuous effect of light on chlorophyll synthesis other than on the photoconversion.

After the lag phase, chlorophyll is rapidly synthesized (Koski, 1950; Virgin, 1955; Akoyunoglou and Argyroudi-Akoyunoglou, 1969). This period correlates with the onset of grana formation (see Section 13 of Introduction) and the development of many of the attributes of mature chloroplasts.

6.   Biosynthesis of Protochlorophyllide
        _____

        Large quantities of protochlorophyll are formed when leaves

are incubated on a medium containing ALA (Granick, 1963; Sisler and

Klein, 1963).   This observation and the similarity between the

structures of chlorophyll and haem suggested that chlorophyll may be

synthesized by the same biosynthetic pathway as haem.   The bio-

synthetic sequence to the point at which the pathways diverge is

shown in Figure 2.   The details of this pathway have been

elucidated from work on avian erythrocytes and mammalian liver.

Direct evidence for the presence of this pathway in plants has been

reported (Carell and Kahn, 1964; Rebeiz, Abou-Haider, Yaghi and

Castelfranco, 1970).   The results obtained by Carell and Kahn

(1964) indicated that the pathway existed in the chloroplasts and

that the chloroplast envelope exhibited differential permeability to

certain of the precursors supplied.

        The conversion of protophorphyrin IX, the compound which

forms the branching point of the haem and chlorophyll pathways, to

protochlorophyll involves the incorporation of magnesium and various

alterations in the structure of the tetrapyrrole.   The scheme for

this part of the sequence is shown in Figure 3.   The presence of

several of the intermediates has been demonstrated in etiolated

leaves treated with ALA (Granick, 1963; Rebeiz *et al*, 1970; Rebeiz

and Castelfranco, 1971).   The final conversion step of protochloro-

phyllide to chlorophyllide *a* has been demonstrated in isolated

etioplasts on exposure to light (Klein and Poljakoff-Mayber, 1961).

This step is followed by phytolation of chlorophyllide *a* to

FIGURE 2

COMMON BIOSYNTHETIC SEQUENCE OF PROTOCHLOROPHYLLIDE AND HAEM
(after Granick,1963)

FIGURE 3

FORMATION OF CHLOROPHYLL a FROM PROTOPORPHYRIN IX
(after Granick,1967)

Protoporphyrin IX

↓

Mg Protoporphyrin

↓

Mg Protoporphyrin
monomethyl ester

↓

Mg Divinyl phaeoperphyrin-a₅

↓

Mg Vinyl phaeoperphyrin-a₅
(Protochlorophyllide)

↓ ─Protein

Protochlorophyllide holochrome

↓ ← ⌇ ── hν

Chlorophyllide holochrome

↓ ─ Phytol

Chlorophyll a

chlorophyll a which occurs during 20 - 25 minutes following

illumination (Wolff and Price, 1957) depending on age (Akoyunoglou

and Michalopoulos, 1971).

It would seem therefore that the chloroplast contains the

means by which to synthesize chlorophyll from ALA. The subject of

more recent discussions has been whether this latter compound

represents the first step within the chloroplast (Kirk, 1970). The

formation of δ-ALA is usually shown as being from succinyl CoA and

glycine (Granick, 1963; Kirk and Tilney-Bassett, 1967), but the

possibility of its production via the transamination of DVA also

exists (Neuberger and Turner, 1963). The existence of this

mechanism has not been reported in plants, although Hedley and

Stoddart (1971a) have observed a close correlation between light-

stimulated alanine amine transferase activity and chlorophyll

synthesis. They suggested a close co-operation of this enzyme

with δ-ALA amino-transferase, the former supplying substrates for the

transamination of DVA to ALA. In a recent report Wellburn and

Wellburn (1971) showed that isolated etioplasts were capable of

incorporating radioactive succinyl CoA and glycine into chlorophyll a.

This answers the original question of the position of ALA in chloro-

plast metabolism. Succinyl CoA and glycine are both produced in the

mitochondria and are probably supplied to the chloroplast. Succinyl

CoA synthetase has been found in bean (Steer and Gibbs, 1969),

spinach (Kaufman and Alivisatos, 1955), tobacco (Bush, 1969) and

wheat leaves (Nandi and Waygood, 1965) but does not reside within

the chloroplast in barley leaves (Stobart and Pinfield, 1970). A

summary of the supply of substrate to the plastid is given in Figure 4.

FIGURE 4

POSSIBLE SOURCE OF SUBSTRATE FOR PROTOCHLOROPHYLLIDE SYNTHESIS

7.   Synthesis of Chlorophyll *b*

        The appearance of chlorophyll *b* has been reported to occur

1 - 3 hours after the first observation of chlorophyll *a* in continuous

white light (Seybold and Egle, 1938;   Goodwin and Owens, 1947;   Blaaw-

Jansen, Komen and Thomas, 1950;   Augustinussen, 1964;   Butler, 1965;

Oelze-Karow and Butler, 1971).   Thorne and Boardman (1971) however

recorded its appearance after only 10 minutes illumination and Shlyk

(1971) concluded that chlorophyll *b* synthesis showed no delay,

beginning almost immediately on illumination.   It was, however,

preceded by chlorophyll *a* synthesis.   Whether chlorophyll *b* is

formed from chlorophyll *a* or from protochlorophyll *b* is not known

with certainty, but Shlyk (1971) concluded that the former mechanism

operates.   Its synthesis appears to be light controlled (Thorne and

Boardman (1971) as exemplified by the fact that flashlight regimes

favour chlorophyll *a* production (Akoyunoglou, Argyroudi-Akoyunoglou,

Michel-Wolwertz and Sironval, 1966).   Shlyk (1971) has suggested

that newly formed chlorophyll *a* molecules are the precursors of

chlorophyll *b*.

8.   Development of Photosynthesis
        _____

        The synthesis of chlorophyll is accompanied by the

development of many other processes, one of which is photosynthesis.

This process involves many factors which need not necessarily be

formed simultaneously. The light trapping (electron transport) and

carbon dioxide fixing systems may be conveniently separated and

within each of these the formation of individual enzymes may be

followed.

        a) Formation of the Electron Transport System
            _____

        Currently, this system is considered to comprise two light

trapping centres which work in conjunction. One, photosystem II

(PS II) oxidises water and produces oxygen, while the other,

photosystem I (PS I) reduces NADP. The two systems are connected

by an energy-releasing pathway which results in the formation of

ATP. The process has recently been reviewed by Hall and Evans

(1972).

        The appearance of the oxygen-evolving capacity varies

between species. It was first detected after 30 minutes in barley

(Smith, 1954), 2 hours in oats (Blaauw-Jansen et al, 1950) and

16 hours in P. vulgaris. The development of this ability was not

strictly correlated with chlorophyll synthesis as was evident from

the results of Briggs (1920) and Smith (1954) which showed that after

initial greening periods, oxygen-evolving ability was increased

during subsequent dark periods.

Photosystem II activity as measured by reduction of Hill oxidants, is detectable at early stages of chloroplast development in barley leaves (Smith, French and Koski, 1952). Its detection lagged behind oxygen evolution and after 7 hours greening the rate of activity on a chlorophyll basis declined. A similar time-lapse before any PSII activity was detected, occurred in *P. vulgaris* leaves (Anderson and Boardman, 1964), and flax (Dodge and Whittingham, 1966). In contrast, Gyldenholm and Whatley (1968) first detected PSII activity in leaves of *P. vulgaris* between 10 and 15 hours.

Photosystem I activity is thought to precede the onset of PSII activity (Gyldenholm and Whatley, 1968; Plesnicar and Bendall, 1971; Odze-Karow and Butler, 1971). In peas this was not the case. Both activities began 4 hours after initial illumination (Dowdell and Dodge, 1971).

Although, dependent on the formation of chlorophyll, the photosystems are controlled by the synthesis of other factors some of which are light-independent (Oh-Hama, Shihira-Ishikawa and Hase, 1965) and others light controlled (Dodge and Whittingham, 1966; Dowdell and Dodge, 1971). The importance of light in controlling the development of the photosystems is emphasized by the preferential synthesis of PSI in flashlight regimes (Akoyunoglou and Argyroudi-Akoyunoglou, 1971). Transferring leaves from this regime to continuous light promoted PSII development (Michel-Wolwertz and Sironval, 1971; Akoyunoglou and Argyroudi-Akoyungoglou, 1971).

The formation of cofactors and enzymes involved in the photosystem has not been extensively investigated. Many have been

found to increase during greening (Dodge and Whittingham, 1966;

Plesnicar and Bendall, 1971; Phung Nhu Hung, Remy and Moyse, 1971)

but as yet none appear to have been specifically indicated as being

limiting factors in the process of photosynthetic development.

b) Development of Carbon Dioxide Fixation

The onset of light-stimulated carbon dioxide uptake may

be considered to mark the beginning of maturity for the chloroplast,

since it must involve co-operation of a fully developed electron

transport system and the Calvin-Benson cycle. It has been detected

after 5 hours of illumination of wheat leaves (Tolbert and Gailey,

1955), 6 hours in *Euglena* (Stern, Schiff and Epstein, 1964) and

3 hours in barley (Rhodes and Yemm, 1966) and peas (Dowdell and

Dodge, 1971). In etiolated bean leaves it was not observed until

after 16 hours illumination (Bradbeer, 1969). This correlated with

the time-lapse observed for oxygen evolution and the formation of

the partial activities of the chloroplast (Gyldenholm and Whatley,

1968). The times observed for detection of carbon dioxide uptake

were not dissimilar for those of oxygen evolution. In general,

chlorophyll was not a limiting factor. As with oxygen evolution,

carbon dioxide uptake increases during the dark after an intial

illumination period (Gabrielsen, Madsen and Vejlby, 1961).

As might be anticipated, enzymes involved in photosynthesis

increase in activity during greening. Ribulose diphosphate (Ru DP)

carboxylase, phosphoribulokinase and triose-phosphate dehydrogenase

(NADP) have been the subjects of particular interest (Chen, McMahon

and Bogorad, 1967; Filner and Klein, 1968; Bradbeer, 1969).

These three are confined to the chloroplast but others which are present in both chloroplast and cytoplasm have also been observed to increase (Filner and Klein, 1968) as a result of light-stimulation.

The development of RuDP carboxylase has been correlated with chlorophyll synthesis (Dassiou and Akoyunoglou, 1969; Huffaker, Obendorf, Keller and Kleinkopf, 1966; Obendorf and Huffaker, 1970), but this is probably indirect since other evidence is available which demonstrates the development of the enzyme in the absence or at low levels of chlorophyll (Filner and Klein, 1968; Benedict and Kohel, 1969; Huffaker, Cox, Kleinkopf and Stanford, 1970). The appearance of the enzyme, triose-phosphate dehydrogenase (NADP) may be dependent on photosynthetic electron transport producing NADP (Ziegler and Ziegler, 1965). In bean leaves the lag phase of 15 hours (Bradbeer, 1969) prior to its induction, correlated closely with the appearance of photosynthetic activity.

Since the enzymes involved in carbon dioxide fixation are not as intimately associated with chlorophyll are those concerned with electron transport, a lesser dependence on chlorophyll synthesis may have been expected. Nevertheless, the operation of the system as a whole is controlled by each individual factor. Once photo-synthetic competence is attained, the chloroplast is capable of supplying some of its own substrate. This aspect will be considered in Section 14 of the Introduction.

9.   Protein Synthesis
       _____

Illumination of etiolated plants results in large increases in the amount of protein (De Deken-Grensen, 1954; Brawerman and Chargaff, 1959; Mego and Jagendorf, 1961). The plastid fraction has been indicated as being largely responsible for the increases (Rhodes and Yemm, 1963). General increases in total protein have also been recorded in connection with changes in individual proteins, (Filner and Klein, 1968; Bradbeer, 1969). Huffaker *et al* (1966) observed similar increases in particular enzymes but no change in total protein.

There is evidence to suggest that some of the proteins formed are synthesized within the chloroplast (Margulies, 1964; Smillie, Graham, Dwyer, Grieve and Tobin, 1967; Ireland and Bradbeer, 1971; Goodenough, Togasaki, Paszewski and Levine, 1971). Much of this evidence has been gained from the use of chloramphenicol which inhibits the activity of chloroplast ribosomes and cyclo-hexamide which interferes with cytoplasmic ribosomes (Kirk, 1970).

The relationship of chlorophyll synthesis and protein synthesis is considered in Section 14.

10.   Nucleic Acid Synthesis

The direction of protein synthesis is ultimately dependent on nucleic acid synthesis. The point of interest is again whether the centre of control is the cytoplasm or chloroplast. Chloroplasts contain RNA (Smillie, 1963) and this increases during illumination of etiolated material (Rhodes and Yemm, 1963; Gyldenholm, 1968; Pollack and Davies, 1970; Poulson and Beevers, 1970; Smith, Stewart and Berry, 1970). Studies on the incorporation of radioactive precursors have indicated that chloroplasts are capable of synthesizing RNA (Bogorad, 1965; Spencer and Whitfield, 1967a; Bottomley, 1970). This evidence suggests that chloroplasts may also direct the synthesis of their own protein. They are also capable of synthesizing DNA (Spencer and Whitfield, 1967b; Kirk, 1970). During greening of etiolated bean leaves (Gyldenholm, 1968) no changes in DNA level were observed. Similarly Rhodes and Yemm (1963) found no differences between light and dark-grown barley. Conversely, in both spinach (Possingham and Saurer, 1969) and tobacco (Boasson and Laetsch, 1969) the number of plastids (and presumably therefore the total amount of plastid DNA) increased. The importance of chloroplast replication during greening is an area which does not appear to have been fully investigated.

The relative control of chloroplast metabolism by nuclear and chloroplast genes has been investigated using various mutants of barley (von Wettstein, Henningsen, Boynton, Kannangara and Nielsen, 1971) and *Chlamydomonas* (Goodenough *et al*, 1971). The results have indicated that many of the processes are controlled by nuclear genes.

II.   Terpenoid Synthesis
_____

Illuminated etiolated seedlings from large amounts of
carotenoid pigments in addition to chlorophyll (Blaaw-Jansen *et al*,
1950).   The function of these is uncertain but they may protect the
chlorophyll molecule from photo-oxidation (Anderson and Robertson,
1960).   The synthesis of carotenoids shares a common pathway with
the synthesis of the phytol portion of the chlorophyll molecule.
Terpenoid formation may be induced by a short impulse of red light
(Cohen and Goodwin, 1962) and subsequently continues in the dark.
The action spectrum for carotenogenesis is similar to the proto-
chlorophyll absorption spectrum (Wolken and Mellon, 1956).   This
implies a close relationship between chlorophyll synthesis and
light-induced carotenoid synthesis.

The control of terpenoid biosynthesis has been investigated
by Goodwin (1958a, 1958b) and Mercer and Goodwin (1962, 1963).
They have proposed that segregation of the enzymes within the cell
and specific impermeability of the intracellular membranes are the
principal components of control.   More recent evidence on the
localization of certain of the enzymes involved supports this theory
(Rogers, Shah and Goodwin, 1966a, 1966b).   The mechanisms of control
involved in this area of synthesis may be applicable to other areas
of chloroplast metabolism.   The implications of selective permeability
of the chloroplast envelope are discussed in Section 14.

The pathway of terpenoid biosynthesis is also responsible
for the formation of gibberellins.   The possible role of these in

chloroplast metabolism forms a large part of this thesis. In proposing a role for endogenously synthesized gibberellin, the source and formation of the hormone are important factors.

12.   Lipid Synthesis

Unit membranes comprise both lipid and protein layers. The lamellar system of the chloroplast is no exception and consequently both protein and lipid are required for its formation. The prolammellar body is also made from these compounds and is known to give rise to the thylakoids formed during illumination. Gunning and Jagoe (1967) concluded that by the end of the lag phase no large areas of new membrane had been formed, which suggested that the membranous system at this stage had been formed largely by rearrangement of the prolamellar body. The necessity for lipid synthesis would, therefore, appear to be minimal except for qualitative changes which may occur. Increases in fatty acid (Crombie, 1958) and lipid content (Leech, Rumsby, Thomson and Crosby, 1971; Tevini, 1971; Trémolières and Lepage, 1971; Kannangara, Henningsen, Stumpf, Appelqvist and von Wettstein, 1971) during greening have been recorded. The production of linolenic acid was the most significant compositional change (Crombie, 1958; Wallace and Newman, 1965; Leech *et al*, 1971; Trémolières and Lepage, 1971). In peas a considerable contribution of fatty acids or precursors was made to the greening leaves by the cotyledons.

The plastid does posses the capacity for lipid biosynthesis but this is absent in young leaves (Kannangara *et al*, 1971). The level of activity and destination of labelled precursor were also modified by light. Givan and Leech (1971) have found that chloroplasts are capable of synthesizing some fatty acids but not linolenic acid, which is the major component formed during greening. Current evidence therefore indicates that the developing chloroplast is dependent on cytoplasmic synthesis of some of its lipid components.

13.   Ultrastructural Development
   _____

After illumination the prolamellar body of the etioplast is gradually transformed into granal lamellae of the mature chloroplast. The process may be divided into three phases: tube transformation, vesicle dispersal and grana formation (Virgin, Kahn and von Wettstein, 1963). Tube transformation describes the period when the para-crystalline structure of the prolamellar body is changed into small tubular vesicles. It occurs at very low light intensities (Eriksson, Kahn, Walles and von Wettstein, 1961) and continues in the dark once stimulated (Henningsen and Boynton, 1970). The action spectrum for this process (Virgin *et al*, 1963) is consistent with the suggestion that protochlorophyll is the photoreceptor for the transformation. Whether the structural change is an obligatory consequence of proto-chlorophyll photoconversion or not is uncertain (Kirk and Tilney-Bassett, 1967) but there is a very intimate relationship between the prolamellar body and protochlorophyll synthesis. (Henningsen and Boynton, 1970).

The tubular vesicles gradually disperse to form double-membraned perforated sheets which align almost parallel with one another in the stroma (Gunning and Jagoe, 1965). This second phase also takes place at low light intensities (Eilam and Klein, 1962). The rate of extension is proportional to light intensity (Virgin et al, 1963),being completed within a few minutes at sufficiently high intensity. The photo-receptor which is not yet known, must be able to absorb the light (450nm) which is the only waveband which will stimulate the process (Henningsen, 1967).

Grana formation begins immediately after the lag phase of chlorophyll synthesis has elapsed and is directly correlated with the rapid phase of chlorophyll formation (Virgin et al, (1963). This correlation is not a direct one. The addition of CMU to greening reduces chlorophyll synthesis to a greater extent than it does grana formation (Klein and Neuman, 1966) and δ-ALA which promotes chlorophyll synthesis reduces the number of grana present (Klein and Bogorad, 1964). The addition of sucrose reversed the effect of CMU on grana formation indicating that the role of photosynthesis was not specific. In this treatment the grana stacks were larger and contained more thylakoids than in the controls (Klein and Neuman, 1966).

The ultrastructural organisation of the chloroplast represents the integrated form of the individual developing processes and is a demonstration of the enormous complexity of control systems required to transform an etioplast into a chloroplast.

14.   Control

The development of photosynthetic capabilities is dependent

on the synthesis of chlorophyll during the early stages of greening

(See Section 8 of the Introduction) although the relationship is not

necessarily a direct one.   During these first few hours the synthesis

of chlorophyll does not appear to be dependent on photochemical

activity.   Incubation of mung bean leaves on CMU showed that there

was little effect on the chlorophyll level until more than 10 hours

had elapsed (Dodge, Alexander and Blackwood, 1971).   This is a few

hours after the onset of carbon dioxide fixation (see "Results"),

which indicates that there is a lag period before photosynthesis

begins to contribute to chloroplast development.   During the later

course of chlorophyll synthesis, photosynthetic competence is a

necessary factor (Klein and Neuman, 1966;   Dodge *et al*, 1971).   The

effect of photosynthesis is non-specific as indicated by its

replacement with sucrose (Klein and Neuman, 1966;   Dodge *et al*, 1971).

It has also been suggested that photosystem I may contribute sub-

strate to the chloroplast by *in vivo* operation of cyclic phosphory-

lation in leaves treated with CMU and sucrose.   This process may

influence the uptake and utilization of sucrose.   (Dodge *et al*,

1971).   Photosynthesis may also contribute to chloroplast development

by inducing the activity or synthesis of enzymes such as ribose-5-

phosphate isomerase (McMahon and Bogorad, 1967) and NADP-dependent

triose phosphate dehydrogenase (Ziegler and Ziegler, 1965).   This

mechanism was suggested as a possibility in peas (Dowdell and Dodge,

1970).   Leaves greened at low light intensity for 48 hours possessed

inhibited carbon dioxide uptake when compared with leaves greened at high light intensity to the same chlorophyll level.

The use of inhibitors of protein synthesis has shown that chlorophyll synthesis is dependent on protein formation during greening. Chloramphenicol inhibits greening in *E. gracilis* (Pogo and Pogo, 1965), *P. vulgaris* (Margulies, 1967; Ireland and Bradbeer, 1971) and *Pisum sativum* (Dowdell and Doge, 1971). It may therefore be interpreted that chlorophyll synthesis is controlled by the synthesis of proteins. Many associated developmental processes, such as the formation of lamellae (Margulies, 1966), lipids (Bishop and Smillie, 1970) and photosynthetic capabilities are also diminished by chloramphenicol, thus chlorophyll synthesis may be inhibited indirectly by its effect on these other phenomena. Gassman and Bogorad (1967) have shown that the chloramphenicol inhibition during the lag phase can be partially relieved by the addition of δ-ALA and concluded that light regulated chlorophyll synthesis by controlling the availability of δ-ALA. This may have been mediated through an enzyme of δ-ALA synthesis. Since all the enzymes of protochlorophyllide synthesis from δ-ALA are believed to be present in the etiolated leaf (See Section 6 of Introduction) it is most likely that ALA synthetase is the enzyme involved (Kirk and Tilney-Bassett, 1967).

At a nucleic acid level it has been shown that chlorophyll synthesis is dependent on RNA synthesis (Bogorad and Jacobsen, 1964; Poulson and Beevers, 1970; Pollack and Davies, 1970) but that DNA synthesis was not an important factor (Smillie, 1963). This latter

observation conflicts with evidence which suggests that phases of

rapid chlorophyll synthesis correlate with chloroplast division

(Boasson, Laetsch and Price, 1972).   The direction of RNA synthesis

in the chloroplast may come from nucleic and chloroplast DNA.   This

aspect is an area of active interest at the present (Kirk, 1970).

The developmental interaction of chloroplasts and cytoplasm

may be carried further by consideration of the substrates required

by the chloroplast.   During the early stages of development,

photosynthesis is absent and many other syntheses are at a low level.

During this period the chloroplast is almost entirely dependent on

the cytoplasm for carbohydrates, amino acids, fatty acids and

metabolic cofactors.   These substances must pass through the

chloroplast envelope in order to be utilised.   It, therefore follows

that the permeability properties of this membrane are of great

importance.   This has been investigated in relation to chloroplast

terpenoids and its possible importance in gibberellin biosynthesis

has been emphasised (Stoddart, 1968).

15.   Agents of Control
       ───────────────

       The most dramatic changes in the plastid are induced by

light.   In addition to its effect on protochlorophyllide transformation

it has been found to have short and long-term effects on chloroplast

metabolism.   After a brief period of illumination protochlorophyllide

resynthesis shows a 5 - 10 minute lag period and then continues to

the same level as before (Augustinussen and Madsen, 1965).   It has

been suggested that this may be the result of the temporary removal

of feedback inhibition of δ-ALA synthetase activity (Granick, 1967).

Pre-treatment with light (particularly red) followed by a dark period

overcomes the longer lag period of chlorophyll synthesis (See Section

5 of Introduction).   This lag period is also present in protochloro-

phyllide synthesis   (Shibata, 1957;   Butler, 1961;   Augustinussen

and Madsen, 1965) and is shortened by pre-irradiation (Virgin, 1958),

suggesting that the effect on the lag period of chlorophyll synthesis

is via protochlorophyllide synthesis.   It has been indicated that red

light may operate via ALA supply (Kirk and Tilney-Bassett, 1967)

possibly through increased synthesis of RNA and enzymes of the δ-ALA

synthetic pathway (Gassman and Bogorad, 1967).   Red light treatment

also promotes the formation of other chloroplast constituents such as

plastid nitrogen, carotenoids and lipids (Mego and Jagendorf,

1961).   Several chloroplast enzymes are induced by treatment with a

brief duration of red light (Filner and Klein, 1968) and Bradbeer

(1971) concluded that the increases in *P. vulgaris* were the result of

de novo synthesis of proteins.

       The primary site of action of red light is not known, however,

until recent years it has been thought that it acted at a nucleic acid level possibly by induction or de-repression of an operon. Fast responses to red light have been observed in systems involving permeability changes and this has been suggested as an alternative mechanism (Hendricks and Borthwick, 1967; Smith, 1970). The importance of the chloroplast envelope in plastid development has already been emphasized and this could be a suitable target for red light to activate.

Within the plant there exist modifying influences which alter the rate and level of chlorophyll synthesis. The presence of cotyledons enhances chlorophyll production in primary leaves of *P. vulgaris* (Wolff and Price, 1960; Sisler and Klein, 1963) and in cucumber (Hardy, Castelfranco and Rebeiz, 1970) and mustard (Moore and Lovell, 1970) cotyledons, chlorophyll production is controlled by the presence of the embryonic axis. The importance of the cotyledons in the photosynthetic development of bean chloroplasts was observed by Briggs (1920).

These reports suggest the presence of diffusible factors which are transported from the effective plant part to the greening organ. These factors may be induced (De Greef and Caubergs, 1972) or inhibited (Hardy *et al*, 1970) by light. Replacement of the plant organs with hormones such as IAA, GA_3 and 6-BAP was not successful (Sisler and Klein, 1963; Hardy *et al*, 1970) and cotyledon extracts were ineffective (Sisler and Klein, 1963). These were mainly preliminary investigations and do not preclude the possibility of a role. The literature suggests that GA_3 may increase (Wheeler and

Humphries, 1963; Negbi and Ruskin, 1966) and decrease (Sestak and
Ullman, 1960; Artomonov, 1966; Szalai, 1968, 1969; Grebenskii and
Palanitsa, 1970) chlorophyll synthesis in green and greening plant
material. There are also reports which show that GA_3 enhances the
retention of chlorophyll in senescing tissue (Lewis, Coggins and
Garber, 1964; Fletcher and Osborne, 1966; Beevers, 1966; Whyte
and Luckwill, 1966). The effects of exogenously applied cytokinins
appear more consistent in their nature. In most cases kinins have
stimulated the chlorophyll level (Sugiura, 1963; Banerji and Laloraya,
1971; Shlyk and Averina, 1969; Beevers, Loveys, Pearson and Wareing,
1970; Fletcher and McCullagh, 1971; Kaul and Sabkarwal, 1971;
Penner and Wiley, 1972; Stobart, Shewry and Thomas, 1972), however,
Narain and Laloraya (1970) observed a cytokinin-inhibited chlorophyll
level. In senescing leaf material cytokinins are very effective
agents in retarding the breakdown of chlorophyll (Richmond and Lang,
1957; Osborne and McCalla, 1961).

There is a clear indication that chlorophyll synthesis and
chloroplast development are modified by diffusible internal factors
and that known growth hormones can affect the chlorophyll levels in
plants. The purpose of this thesis is to investigate the importance
of growth regulators to primary leaf chlorophyll synthesis in
Phaseolus aureus (mung bean) with reference to the role of other
plant organs.

EXPERIMENTAL

EXPERIMENTAL

I.   Materials

Mung beans were grown at $25^{\circ}C$ in total darkness in

vermiculite and prepared for experimentation at the appropriate

stage.   When only the primary leaves were required, they were

detached from the plant immediately beneath the base of the petioles,

thus including the apical bud in the system.

Experimental material incorporating the cotyledons was

removed from the plant at the base of the cotyledons, while in

hypocotyl treatments the roots and cotyledons were removed from the

plants.   The desired age of the material was determined from the

growth curve shown in Figure 7 (Results Section).

Prior to use, the samples of leaves were matched by weight

for size and age.   With cotyledons and hypocotyls attached, this

was not possible since the variation in the relationship between leaf

weight and cotyledon or hypocotyl weight was large.   In these cases

the samples were matched subjectively.   Unless stated otherwise, the

samples consisted of five leaf pairs.   These were placed on two

layers of Whatman No.3 filter paper in 9 cm crystallizing dishes

containing 10 mls of the appropriate treatment.   After 4 hours dark

incubation, the leaf pairs were illuminated under fluorescent tubes

(1000 lux) at $25^{\circ}C$ for the desired period of time.

An agar base was tried as a possible medium for incubation of the leaves. The latter were pushed into the agar by means of their short stems. This proved impracticable, mainly because the stems were not sufficiently strong to break the agar surface and support the leaf.

The gibberellins, GA_3, GA_4 and GA_7, were all dissolved in distilled water and used from a stock solution of 100 mg/l (2.9×10^{-4}M GA_3). Kinetin and 6-BAP were initially dissolved in DMF and made up to volume with distilled water. The final concentration of the cytokinins was 100 mg/l (4.4×10^{-4}M 6-BAP) containing 0.5% DMF. The highest concentration of DMF used in experiments did not affect chlorophyll synthesis. The growth retardants CCC and B9 (CIBA) were also made up in stock solution at 100 mg/l (2.5×10^{-4}M CCC and B9) in distilled water, but B9 (Uniroyal) was initially dissolved in methanol to give a final concentration of 2% in 100 mg/l solution. Carbohydrates were used from stock solutions at a concentration of 0.4M. CMU was made up to a final concentration of 10^{-3} M in 2% methanol. All solutions were stored at 4°C and replaced at monthly intervals.

2.   Fresh Weight
        _____

This was measured immediately after excess liquid had been blotted from the material.

3.   Dry Weight
         ─────────────

Fresh samples were dried for 24 hours at 100°C before being weighed.   In experiments where chlorophyll was extracted from leaf material dry weight measurements were made on the extracted leaves. This resulted in decreased values for the dry weights, but was adequate for comparison between treatments.

4.   Chlorophyll
         ─────────────

This was extracted by soaking the leaves in 80% acetone and storing the samples at 1 - 2°C.   The concentration of chlorophyll was determined spectrophotometrically using the method of Arnon (1949).

5.   Cell Counts
         ─────────────

Samples of five leaf pairs were macerated in 10 mls of 5% chromic acid (Dale, 1964) incubated for 20 hours at 40°C and the separated cells were counted in a haemocytometer.   The proporation of chloroplast-containing cells in the leaves was obtained by counting the chlorophyllous and non-chlorophyllous cells of sections taken from distal and proximal regions of the leaf.   Two regions of the lamina were analysed for each of the two sections per treatment.   The sections were obtained using a freeze microtome.

6.   Chloroplast Counts

Five pairs of leaves were fixed in 0.5% osmium tetroxide

for 15 minutes and subsequently transferred to 10 mls of pectinase

(2.5% Rohament P in 0.2M phosphate buffer at pH 5.6) at 38°C.

Single cells were obtained after 4 hours incubation, at which time

the chloroplasts were counted under phase contrast.   Counting was

facilitated if the coverslip was pressed gently to rupture the cell

membrane (Possingham and Saurer,1969),   thus compressing the

chloroplasts into a single plane.

Although the chloroplasts were clearly visible, fixation

was necessary to prevent their rupture when pressure was applied to

the coverslip.   Osmium tetroxide (0.5%) was found to be better than

glutaraldehyde (3.5%) in this repect and both fixatives hindered

cell separation necessitating a higher concentration of the pectinase

enzyme.   Hydrochloric acid (1 N) at 60°C (Possingham and Saurer,1969)

was not very effective as a macerating agent for mung bean leaves.

7.   Carbon Dioxide Analysis

The carbon dioxide exchange of leaf samples was measured

using a Grubb Parson infra-red gas analyser (IRGA) linked to an open

circuit gas flow system (see Diagram in Figure 5).   Air from a

cylinder was passed through a series of drying towers containing

calcium chloride and then split into two separate lines, one,

the 'reference' which led directly to the IRGA and the other,

FIGURE 5

DIAGRAM OF GAS FLOW FOR IRGA ANALYSIS

the 'sample', which fed the chamber in which the leaves were placed. The air stream was wetted prior to the chamber to prevent desiccation of the leaves and dried by calcium chloride towers placed after the chambers before being fed to the IRGA. A sample bypass was arranged around the chamber so that a baseline could be obtained. The air flow was controlled by flowmeters (G.A. Platon) in each line. As a precaution against leaks in the chamber, flow-meters were placed before and after the chamber in the sample line.

Each sample consisted of twenty leaf pairs and gas exchange was measured at a light intensity of 12,000 lux and a flow rate of 500 mls/minute.

The rate of photosynthesis was calculated from the following equation:

$$\frac{x \ Cf}{M \times 10^6} = y$$

where y = rate of CO_2 uptake in mls/min.

x = difference between light and dark traces
(in recorder divisions)

M = Recorder/IRGA magnification

C = Calibration of one IRGA division

10^6 converts from ppm.

To calculate the respiration rate, x must represent the difference between the dark trace and the baseline. The rates in mls/min were converted to μ moles/hour and expressed in terms of the whole leaf, fresh weight, dry weight and/or chlorophyll.

8. Endogenous Gibberellin Analysis

Four hundred mung bean leaves were extracted with 80% methanol and acid washed sand in a pestle and mortar. The debris was removed by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 15 minutes in a Griffin 'Christ'and the supernatant was evaporated under vacuum at 45°C until only the aqueous phase remained. The pH of this was adjusted to 2.5 with HCl and extracted four times with equal volumes of ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate fractions were bulked and partitioned four times with 5% sodium bicarbonate. The latter fraction was collected and the pH adjusted to 2.5, then it was re-extracted four times with half volumes of ethyl acetate. This final extract was evaporated under vacuum to approximately 10 mls and then concentrated to less than 1 ml by evaporation under a stream of nitrogen. The samples were stored at -15°C until required for chromatography. At all stages during the extraction when it was necessary to temporarily store the extracts, this was done at 2 - 3°C.

The extracts were run on Whatman No.1 chromatography paper in isopropanol : ammonia : water (10 : 1 : 1). The chromatograms were cut into ten Rf strips, each of these was laid in a 5 cm Petri dish containing 1.5 mls of distilled water. Inert strips of chromatography paper were used in the control and GA$_3$ standards of 0.0001, 0.001, 0.01 and 0.1 mg/1 were also set up. Gibberellin levels were measured using the Rumex leaf disc bioassay (Whyte and Luckwill, 1966). Discs were cut from eight Rumex leaves, using a No.4 cork borer, and one disc from each leaf was placed in each Petri dish. The dishes were then left in the dark for 5½ days, by which

FIGURE 6

CALIBRATION CURVE : RUMEX GIBBERELLIN BIOASSAY.
CHLOROPHYLL RETENTION OF GA3-TREATED LEAF DISCS

GA3 Concentration (mg/l)

time the controls were sufficiently yellow. The chlorophyll from

each batch of discs was extracted in 80% acetone and measured as

stated previously. The calibration curve obtained from the

standard GA_3 concentration is shown in Figure 6.

9.   Expression of Results

The results were expressed as units of chlorophyll or CO_2

in the whole leaf and in relation to the fresh weight and dry matter

content of the leaves. In experiments concerning the effect of the

cotyledon and hypocotyl, fresh weight was used since both fresh and

dry weight of the leaf increased. When leaves were incubated alone

fresh weight increased and dry weight decreased. Hormones supplied

to these leaves caused greater water uptake than occurred in the

controls. To avoid expressing the chlorophyll content in terms of

the extra water content of the leaves, the dry weight was used for

calculations of concentration. Chlorophyll content per leaf was

estimated to determine the effect of the treatments on overall

chlorophyll level, while the concentration was considered to represent

the degree of effect related to the remainder of leaf. The changes

in chlorophyll elicited by the various treatments were expressed as a

percentage of the appropriate control level. This was necessary

because of the variation in control levels between experiments.

RESULTS

RESULTS

I. Growth of Plants

In this section results from the general growth parameters of dry weight and fresh weight are recorded in order to establish the inter-relationships of the various organs of *P. aureus* in the dark and the light.

During etiolated growth, the hypocotyl, stem and leaf developed at the expense of the cotyledon (Figure 7) which was almost expended after 8 days and had disappeared after 12 days. The dry weight increase of the hypocotyl ceased after $6\frac{1}{2}$ days, just prior to the end of the cotyledons useful life, and was followed by a decrease which corresponded to the phase of rapid increase in dry weight of the stem. Leaf development was very gradual for the first $6\frac{1}{2}$ days and then reached a plateau. The fresh weight data have also been included to illustrate the very large water uptake and extension which occurred in the hypocotyl and stem relative to the leaf and cotyledon fresh weights.

From this circumstantial evidence it may be suggested that during etiolated growth the cotyledon directly supports the development of the hypocotyl and leaf while the stem develops from the reserves of the hypocotyl.

In subsequent experiments, the stated age of the material used, refers to the weight development as depicted in Figure 7.

FIGURE 7

GROWTH PATTERN OF ETIOLATED MUNG BEANS

Fresh Weight

Fresh Weight (grams)

Hypocotyl

Stem

Cotyledon

Leaf

Time (Days)

Dry Weight

Dry Weight (grams)

Cotyledon

Hypocotyl

Stem

Leaf

Time (Days)

The relationship of the cotyledons and leaves during

illumination was investigated by detaching this system immediately

beneath the point of attachment of the cotyledons to the hypocotyl,

thus excluding the latter and the small root system.   This system

will be referred to as the cotyledonary explant.   The effect of

detachment (after 6 days growth) on etiolated growth of the explant

during the next 48 hours (Figure 8) was to terminate the increase in

leaf dry weight and to slow the loss of cotyledon dry weight.   When

incubated alone (Figure 9), the leaves showed a loss in dry weight

and the cotyledons a further retardation of weight loss, thus

demonstrating that the cotyledons were just maintaining the leaves

after detachment.   Some of the loss of cotyledon weight was probably

due to the presence of the stem, the weight of which was not recorded.

The effect of illumination at 1000 lux for 48 hours on the

cotyledonary explant varied with age (Figure 8).   Four-and-a-half

day old leaves increased very rapidly in dry weight until after 48

hours they were twice as heavy as their counterparts on the etiolated

plant.   Five-and-a-half day old leaves increased in dry weight at a

similar rate to those on the whole plant in the dark, but continued

their increase for 48 hours and eventually rose above the plateau

level for those etiolated leaves.   They were about 70% heavier than

the leaves of the cotyledonary explant incubated in the dark.   After

6 days of etiolated growth, the leaves of the illuminated explant did

not increase in dry weight when compared with the etiolated leaves

of the whole plant and they decreased in weight when eight-and-a-half

day old cotyledonary explants were illuminated.   Leaves from all ages,

when incubated alone in the light (Figure 9), decreased in dry weight

FIGURE 8

DRY WEIGHT CHANGES IN LEAF AND COTYLEDON
UNDER VARIOUS CONDITIONS

Leaf Dry Weight

Dry Weight
(g/Leaf)

Time (Days)

Cotyledon Dry Weight

Dry Weight
(g/Cotyledon)

KEY

X   WHOLE PLANT IN DARK

O   "   "   " LIGHT

▲   LEAF+STEM+COTYLEDON
              IN DARK

●   LEAF+STEM+COTYLEDON
              IN LIGHT

Time (Days)

FIGURE 9

DRY WEIGHT CHANGES IN LEAF AND COTYLEDON
AFTER 48 HOURS IN LIGHT(1000 LUX) OR DARK

The cotyledon+stem+leaf system was removed at the stated age of dark growth and incubated either as the complete system or in separation.

relative to their initial value. Leaves from the three younger
ages confirmed that the presence of an effective cotyledon was
essential for weight increase to occur. This is compatible with
the results of Wheeler (1966) who found that the cotyledons affected
expansion of the primary leaves of *P. vulgaris* only if they were
removed prior to five days of light growth. In the oldest mung
bean leaves, the decrease in leaf dry weight was greater in the
presence than in the absence of the cotyledons, suggesting that at
this stage the cotyledons were hindering leaf development. A
comparison of the dry weight of dark- and light-incubated 6 day old
leaves shows that light altered leaf dry weight only in the presence
of the cotyledons. The cotyledons must, therefore supply the leaves
with factors necessary for their response to light.

These changes were reflected in the dry weight changes of
the cotyledon. On illumination of the explant the cotyledons lost
weight at all ages. The $7\frac{1}{2}$ and $8\frac{1}{2}$ day old cotyledons lost weight
in a similar manner to the cotyledons of the etiolated leaf but the
cotyledons from $3\frac{1}{2}$ and $4\frac{1}{2}$ day old illuminated explants exhibited
the same retardation of weight loss observed in the dark-incubated
explant. Since leaf development in the former is so much larger
than in the latter, light evidently caused the supply of cotyledonary
reserve to be utilized more efficiently by the leaf. A feature of
the time-course for the loss of cotyledonary dry weight at these
ages is the lag observed during the first 16 hours. A small lag in
the dry weight development of the leaves at these ages is also
discernible. Since this lag was not present in the dry weight curve
for the cotyledons of the dark-incubated explants it may be concluded

that it was light induced. The data in Figure 9 confirm that the presence of the leaf was the cause of the increased dry weight loss of the cotyledon. Cotyledons incubated alone lost less dry weight than those on the explants, with the exception of those which were eight-and-a-half days old, when the two weights were equal.

For comparison, five-and-a-half day old whole plants were illuminated for 48 hours and the dry weight changes in the leaves and cotyledons recorded (Figure 8). Leaf dry weight increased very rapidly without any lag phase and the final dry weight was greater than that for the leaves of four-and-a-half day old explants. The loss in cotyledon dry weight also exhibited no lag phase and decreased in a similar manner to that of the cotyledons of the etiolated whole plant. These results indicate that the hypocotyl also contribute substantially to leaf development in the light and may have had a large effect on the leaves at later stages as well. The absence of an obvious lag phase in the loss of cotyledon dry weight suggests that the lag present in the illuminated cotyledon explant was a feature of illumination in the absence of the hypocotyl.

The loss of effect of the cotyledon after 6 days etiolated growth occurred at a similar time to that for the cotyledons of *P. vulgaris* (Vyvyan, 1924; Wheeler, 1966) and *Capsicum annum* L. (Rylski and Halevy, 1972) during illuminated growth. The cotyledons of light-grown French beans persisted for eleven to sixteen days, (Öpik and Simon, 1963). This compares well with the 12 days which elapsed before the cotyledons of dark-grown mung beans finally disappeared. Although there is a difference in species

these comparisons and the results for loss of cotyledon dry weight

in Figure 8 suggest that illumination does not affect the decrease in

cotyledon dry weight on the whole plant.

It may be concluded that light stimulated the flow of

substrate from the hypocotyl to the leaf while the cotyledons

continued to supply the hypocotyl as they had in the dark. After

detachment the cotyledons were able to promote leaf development in

the light but this required an adjustment of the direction of flow of

substrate and resulted in the observed lag phase. In Figure 10 the

results of an investigation of these changes, including hypocotyl dry

weight, are shown for 5 day old plants. The leaf dry weight changes

show that the presence of the cotyledon and hypocotyl together was

more effective than the two alone, and that their effect was additive.

The hypothesis of substrate flow previously suggested was supported

by the hypocotyl dry weight changes. In the presence of the

cotyledon, the dry weight of the hypocotyl remained fairly constant,

but decreased considerably in its absence. The data for cotyledon

dry weight agree with that shown in Figure 8, i.e. the presence of the

hypocotyl considerably enhanced cotyledon dry weight loss.

The older leaves showed little response in their dry weight

changes when the cotyledonary explants were illuminated. It may be

assumed that this was due to the loss of cotyledon reserve, however

there exists the possibility that the response of the leaf had

changed. For this reason 8 day old leaves were incubated on 0.2 M

sucrose and illuminated for 48 hours (Figure 11). Six day old

leaves were also examined for comparison. The graphs reveal that

FIGURE 10

DRY WEIGHT CHANGES OF LEAF, COTYLEDON AND HYPOCOTYL
DURING 48 HOURS ILLUMINATION AT 1000 LUX

KEY

x INITIAL DRY WEIGHT AND THAT
   OF LEAF INCUBATED ALONE

o LEAF + HYPOCOTYL

□ LEAF + COTYLEDON

● LEAF + COTYLEDON + HYPOCOTYL

FIGURE 11

EFFECT OF 0.2M SUCROSE ON LEAF DRY WEIGHT
DURING 48 HOURS ILLUMINATION AT 1000 LUX

leaves of both ages responded similarly and both showed a substantial
increase in dry weight.   Both curves showed a rapid initial rate
which gradually declined when compared with the shape of the curve
for the leaves of the cotyledonary explant which exhibited a lag
phase followed by a rapid increase.   These results illustrate two
points: firstly that the 8 day old leaves are capable of responding
to substrate and that sucrose does not control leaf development in
the same way as the cotyledons.

In Results Section 2(a) the experiments investigating the
role of the cotyledons have been repeated to measure their effect on
chlorophyll synthesis and these are compared with the effect of
sucrose at the same ages.

2.   Chlorophyll Synthesis in the Primary Leaves

a)   Effect of Cotyledon and Hypocotyl

The presence of the cotyledon enhanced chlorophyll synthesis
at all ages examined, whether chlorophyll was expressed on a per
leaf or per gram fresh weight basis (Figure 12).   In 4 day old
leaves illuminated with attached cotyledons the total chlorophyll
content per leaf increased throughout the 48 hours incubation period.
After a short lag the effectiveness of the cotyledon at six days
also increased but was less than half that of the four day old
cotyledons.   In the seven day old leaves, the effect was fairly
constant but much smaller than at 6 days.   After 8 days growth the

FIGURE 12

EFFECT OF COTYLEDONS ON CHLOROPHYLL SYNTHESIS
IN PRIMARY LEAVES

effect of the cotyledon was very small and became inhibitory after

48 hours illumination. The size of the effect in the youngest

plants was a result of the enormous leaf expansion induced by the

cotyledon. On a fresh weight basis its effect reached

210% of the control after 30 hours incubation but then decreased to

150% after 48 hours. This was in great contrast to the total per

leaf. The six day old leaves also showed a less dramatic rise when

expressed on a fresh weight basis. The effect, however, did

increase throughout the incubation period. Seven day old cotyledons

also proved to be fairly effective on this basis, maintaining a 50%

increase throughout. As before the 8 day old cotyledons elicited

only a small increase.

It may be concluded that the chlorophyll content of the whole

leaves was decreasingly affected by the cotyledon as it aged, mainly

because of the loss of effect on leaf expansion. On a fresh weight

basis the effectiveness was not lost so rapidly and in fact, proved

to be slightly greater, after 48 hours illumination, in 6 day old

explants. It appears that during the life of the cotyledon either

the balance of factors exported from the cotyledon or the response

of the leaf altered in such a way that in the four day old explant

leaf expansion was promoted to a greater extent than chlorophyll

synthesis while in 6 day old explants the reverse occurred. This

observation correlates with the conclusion of Sisler and Klein (1963)

that a chlorophyll-promoting factor was exported from the cotyledon

of P. vulgaris to the primary leaves at about the 5th day of etiolated

growth.

The age effect of the cotyledons on chlorophyll synthesis in the primary leaves was similar to their effect on the dry weight development of the leaves. This suggests that any cotyledonary factors were general rather than specific in their effects. The percentage increases for dry weight were, however, less than those for chlorophyll per leaf.

The use of 0.2 M sucrose (Figure 13) as a replacement for the cotyledon revealed that the older leaves (seven and eight day) responded considerably more than the younger ages (four and six day). The shapes of the curves for chlorophyll per leaf indicated that in all but 4 day old leaves the maximum effect occurred during the early stages of illumination and gradually decreased. The curve for four day old leaves suggests that they developed a responsiveness to sucrose during the incubation period, since the maximum effectiveness occurred after 30 hours incubation. From this point it declined as at the other ages. These results could be due to either an increasing response to sucrose or a depletion of substrate with ageing. On a fresh weight basis, similar curves were obtained, although the percentage changes were somewhat reduced. After 48 hours incubation, 4 and 6 day old leaves showed inhibited chlorophyll levels on this basis. The effect of sucrose on chlorophyll synthesis would therefore appear to be immediate, and temporary. The difference in the shapes of the curves for the cotyledon and sucrose treatments and the different responses of the young leaves to these treatments suggests that sucrose alone was insufficient to replace the cotyledon in its effect on chlorophyll synthesis. This finding is similar to that for the effect on dry weight and agrees with the results of

FIGURE 13

EFFECT OF 0.2M SUCROSE ON CHLOROPHYLL SYNTHESIS
IN PRIMARY LEAVES

KEY

x 4-day old plants

• 6- "   "   "

o 7- "   "   "

◻ 8- "   "   "

Wolff and Price (1960) and Sisler and Klein (1963).

Investigating the effect of ageing cotyledons on chlorophyll synthesis in primary leaves involved two variables; the decreasing supply of cotyledonary factors and a metabolically changing leaf. The experiments with sucrose showed that the dry weight of six and eight day old leaves was similar but that chlorophyll synthesis in the latter responded to a greater degree. To alter the cotyledon supply at a constant leaf age, five day old leaves with varying amounts of cotyledon were illuminated (Figure 14). Two half and two whole cotyledons elicited an increase which was slightly less than that elicited by one cotyledon. The presence of half of a cotyledon resulted in a promotion which was less than 50% of those due to the other treatments. The results on a fresh weight basis were essentially the same. The main conclusion is that the cotyledonary reserve at this stage was at a saturation level when only one cotyledon was present. Above this level no further increase was observed and below it the effect gradually decreased. There was no differential response of dry weight increase and chlorophyll synthesis to the different amounts of cotyledons. This contrasted with the differential effect observed with cotyledons of different ages and reinforces the suggestion that as the plants age the role of the cotyledon changes in relation to the leaf.

In Results Section 1 it was suggested that substrate from the cotyledons may first pass to the hypocotyl before being transported to the leaf rather than directly to the latter organ. It was thought that the chlorophyll level might reflect this relationship and so

FIGURE 14

EFFECT OF VARYING THE AMOUNT OF COTYLEDON
ON CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT OF PRIMARY LEAVES

NOTE;   1. Illuminated at 1000 lux for 48 hours
         2. Explant from plants aged 5 days

the effect of the hypocotyl and cotyledon alone and together was ascertained (Figure 15). At five days, the hypocotyl was a more effective promoter of chlorophyll synthesis than the cotyledons, but the levels were of a similar order. Together, they further enhanced chlorophyll per leaf but the effect was less than additive, indicating some form of antagonism. On a fresh weight basis the hypocotyl was much more effective than the cotyledon and was almost as effective as the two together. These results suggest that at this stage of development the cotyledon was promoting leaf expansion with a resultant increase in chlorophyll synthesis while the hypocotyl more specifically promoted the latter. The effects at earlier and later stages would have provided interesting comparisons.

It may be concluded that while a large proportion of the effect due to the cotyledons and hypocotyl was the result of substrate supply, this was not their only role. The possibility of growth hormones forming part of the cotyledonary factor has been investigated for both leaf expansion (Wheeler, 1966) and chlorophyll synthesis (Sisler and Klein, 1963) in *P. vulgaris*. Gibberellic acid was implicated as a possible component of the 'leaf expansion' factor but neither gibberellic acid nor cytokinins affected chlorophyll synthesis. This was, however, examined only during the lag phase and at one age. Evidence from other sources indicates that both gibberellic acid and kinins may be effective in controlling chloroplast metabolism (see Introduction and Discussion). Bean cotyledons contain large quantities of gibberellins (Wheeler, 1960) and by inference, roots and cytokinins have a similar effect on leaf

FIGURE 15

INTERACTION OF COTYLEDONS AND HYPOCOTYL
IN AFFECTING
CHLOROPHYLL SYNTHESIS IN PRIMARY LEAVES

Chlorophyll/Leaf **Chlorophyll/g Fresh wt.**

% of
Control
(Leaves
alone)

Con-   +Cot +Hyp +Cot   Con-   +Cot +Hyp +Cot
trol   +Hyp   trol   +Hyp

NOTE: 1. Illuminated at 1000 lux for 48 hours
        2. Plants aged 5 days

metabolism (Chibnall, 1954; Richmond and Lang, 1957; Kulaeva,

1962; Feierabend, 1969).   It was therefore decided to investigate

the effects of giberellins and cytokinins on leaf chlorophyll

synthesis.

b)   Effects of GA_3 and 6-BAP on Chlorophyll Synthesis

The role of the hormones was examined in four systems of

differing substrate status:

a)   in the presence of photosynthesis (control system)

b)   in the absence of photosynthesis (plus CMU)

c)   in the presence of exogenous substrate and photosynthesis
     (plus sucrose)

d)   in the presence of exogenous substrate minus photo-
     synthesis (plus sucrose/CMU).

The competence of CMU to block photosynthetic reactions has been

previously reported (Jagendorf and Margulies, 1960).   It was hoped

that the behaviour of the hormones in these systems might reveal

some indication of the nature of their effects in addition to

empirical data.

Figure 16 shows the effect of various concentrations of CMU

and sucrose when applied to greening leaves.   At the three

concentrations of CMU tested   (5×10^{-6}, 5×10^{-5}, 5×10^{-4}M)

chlorophyll content was inhibited to approximately 50% of the control

level.   The greatest inhibition was at 5×10^{-4}M CMU and the pattern

was similar for chlorophyll content plotted on each basis.   The

FIGURE 16

EFFECT OF CMU, SUCROSE AND CMU/SUCROSE ON
CHLOROPHYLL LEVEL OF PRIMARY LEAVES

NOTE: 1. Illuminated at 1000 lux for 48 hours
2. Leaves from 5-day old plants

addition of sucrose caused an increased chlorophyll level in the

whole leaf at all three concentrations (0.05, 0.1 and 0.2M) but on

a fresh weight and dry weight basis, 0.1 and 0.2M sucrose were

inhibitory, while 0.05M sucrose resulted in a 15% increase. In the

presence of CMU (5 × 10^{-4}M), sucrose promoted the chlorophyll level

per leaf considerably. The pattern of response differed from that

of sucrose alone, in that the maximum effect was obtained with 0.1M

and 0.2M sucrose. Again, the dry weight and fresh weight increases

were sufficiently large to reduce this increase and result in levels

equal to or less than that of the control. These results are in

agreement with those of Klein and Neumann, (1966) and Dodge *et al*,

(1971).

The data for hormone effects in Figure 17 were calculated

as percentage changes of the chlorophyll content of the corresponding

control treatment with CMU, sucrose and CMU/sucrose and not of the

untreated control, e.g. GA_3/CMU (5 × 10^{-4}M) was expressed as a

percentage of CMU (5 × 10^{-4}M). The control curves shown in

Figure 16 have, thus been eliminated and the changes may be compared

directly with those of the hormone treatment in the control system.

Giberellic acid at 1.0 mg/l and 6-BAP at 5.0 mg/l were chosen as

concentrations likely to elicit responses.

Treatment with CMU (5 × 10^{-6}M) did not reduce the effects of

GA_3 or 6-BAP, but a tenfold increase in CMU concentration completely

abolished any effect of GA_3. The curve for 6-BAP showed that this

hormone inhibited the level of chlorophyll in the absence of photo-

synthesis. Since 5 × 10^{-6}M CMU inhibited chlorophyll synthesis to

FIGURE 17

EFFECT OF GA3(1mg/1) AND 6-BAP(5mg/1) ON CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT
OF PRIMARY LEAVES IN THE PRESENCE OF CMU, SUCROSE & CMU/SUCROSE

a) CMU — Chlorophyll /Leaf, Chlorophyll /g Fresh wt., Chlorophyll /g Dry wt.; % of CMU Control; CMU Concentration (Molar)

b) Sucrose — % of Sucrose Control; Sucrose Concentration (Molar)

c) CMU(5x10^{-4}M)/Sucrose — % of Sucrose Control; Sucrose Concentration (Molar)

NOTE: 1. Illuminated at 1000 lux for 48 hours
       2. Leaves from 5-day old plants

almost the same degree as the higher concentration (Figure 16) it is surprising that it did not abolish the effects of GA_3 and 6-BAP. This suggests that either a sufficient level of photosynthesis for these effects was achieved or CMU interfered in another way at concentrations of $5 \times 10^{-5}M$ and above. The curves were similar for all three expressions of chlorophyll content.

When supplemented with sucrose (0.1M) the leaves responded more readily to GA_3 than 6-BAP, but the shapes of the curves were similar for both hormones with an optimum sucrose concentration of 0.1M. The 6-BAP effect on 0.1M sucrose-treated leaves was no greater than its effect on untreated leaves. At 0.05 and 0.2M sucrose, 6-BAP was much less effective. On a fresh weight basis 6-BAP was inhibitory in the presence of sucrose, thus indicating its large effect on expansion. The curve for chlorophyll per gram dry weight was similar to that for chlorophyll per leaf. Gibberellic acid was also less effective when incubated with 0.05 and 0.2M sucrose than it was in the control system, but 0.1M sucrose enhanced its activity. On a fresh weight basis this was not the case, which suggested that the stimulation could have been due to increased leaf expansion. The absence of promotion in the 6-BAP-treated leaves as a result of expansion, however, tends to argue against this. The increase elicited by GA_3 in the presence of 0.1M was even more evident on a dry weight basis than on a whole leaf basis. Thus, it was not a result of a general increase in leaf substance.

In the CMU/sucrose system, GA_3 exerted a promotive effect at all concentrations of sucrose and this was shown on whichever

basis the chlorophyll level was expressed.   6-Benzylaminopurine was,
however, inhibitory at 0.05 and 0.1M sucrose, but provoked an increase
at 0.2M sucrose.   This was no greater than its effect in the control
system.

It may be summarized that GA_3 promoted in the presence of
photosynthesis but was ineffective in its absence.   The addition of
exogenous substrate improved the promotion when used at certain
concentration, but in the absence of photosynthesis was effective at
all concentrations.   On the whole these data indicate that the
supply of substrate was an important factor in the promotion of
chlorophyll level by GA_3.   6-Benzylaminopurine was also capable of
promotion in the presence of active photosynthesis but reduced the
chlorophyll level in its absence.   Sucrose was not an effective aid
to the 6-BAP promotion and only restored it in the absence of
photosynthesis, when it was supplied at 0.2M.   At the lower
concentration of sucrose 6-BAP was inhibitory.   Substrate level
undoubtedly influenced the activity of 6-BAP but it appeared to be
more related to photosynthetic substrate than to that exogenously
supplied.   This might indicate that 6-BAP could be involved in the
promotion of photosynthetic development or its action may be
dependent on the production of specific photosynthetic products.   In
each of these systems the general tendency for the GA_3/6-BAP curve
was to follow the curve of 6-BAP alone, indicating an over-riding
effect of 6-BAP [see Results 2(e)].

Figure 18 shows the effect of hormone concentration
(0.01 to 10mg/l) on chlorophyll content in the four systems

FIGURE 18

EFFECT OF GA3 AND 6-BAP CONCENTRATION ON CHLOROPHYLL
CONTENT OF PRIMARY LEAVES IN THE PRESENCE OF CMU,
SUCROSE & SUCROSE/CMU

a) Control

Chlorophyll /Leaf Chlorophyll /g Fresh wt. Chlorophyll /g Dry wt.

% of Dist. Water Control

6-BAP
GA3

160 140 120 100 80 60 40 20 0

0 ·01 ·1 1·0 10

Hormone Concentration (mg/l)

b) CMU(5x10^{-4}M)

% of CMU Control

6-BAP
GA3

160 140 120 100 80 60 40 20 0

0 ·01 ·1 1·0 10

Hormone Concentration (mg/l)

NOTE: 1. Illuminated at 1000 lux for 48 hours
2. Leaves from 5-day old plants

FIGURE 18 (Continued)

Chlorophyll
/Leaf

Chlorophyll
/g Fresh wt.

Chlorophyll
/g Dry wt.

a) Sucrose(0.1M)

160
140
% of 120
Sucrose
Control 80
60
40
20
0

6-BAP

GA3

O ·01 ·1 1·0 10

O ·01 ·1 1·0 10

O ·01 ·1 1·0 10

Hormone Concentration (mg/1)

d) Sucrose*/CMU(5x10^{-4}M)

160
140
% of 120
Sucrose
/CMU
80
Control 60
40
20
0

GA3

6-BAP

O ·01 ·1 1·0 10

O ·01 ·1 1·0 10

O ·01 ·1 1·0 10

Hormone Concentration (mg/1)

NOTE: 1. Illuminated at 1000 lux for 48 hours
2. Leaves from 5-day old plants

* Concentration + GA3 — 0.1M
+ 6-BAP — 0.2M

previously described.   They are from one experiment only and were

obtained to check that the concentrations used were optimal.   Both

hormones were most effective in the 1.0 mg/1 range in the control

system. At 0.01 mg/1 6-BAP was inhibitory.   This agrees with

results from the factorial experiments on the interaction of GA_3

and 6-BAP [see Results 2(e)] which suggest a diphasic response to

6-BAP.

As shown by the previous set of results, GA_3 was ineffective

in the presence of CMU (5×10^{-4}M) and altering its concentration had

no effect.   6-Benzylaminopurine was inhibitory at concentrations

of 0.1 to 10 mg/1 and showed a small increase in inhibition with

increasing 6-BAP concentration.   At 0.01 mg/1, 6-BAP promoted the

chlorophyll level, thus the 6-BAP curve was almost a mirror image of

the results obtained in the control system.

When sucrose was added, GA_3 showed increasing promotion

with increasing concentration up to 1.0 mg/1.   On a chlorophyll per

leaf basis, 6-BAP promoted equally well at concentrations of 0.1,

1.0 and 10 mg/1 but on fresh weight and dry weight bases 0.1 mg/1

6-BAP was the most effective.   This change of optimum may explain

the poor response of 6-BAP in the presence of sucrose as shown in

Figure 17.   It also indicates that the lower 6-BAP concentration

promoted chlorophyll synthesis more specifically than the higher

concentrations.   These seemed to promote the general increase of

leaf substance.   The relationship of chlorophyll content with GA_3

concentration was very much the same in the presence of CMU/sucrose

as was observed in the presence of sucrose alone, but the response to

6-BAP confirmed earlier observations that it was inhibitory when
photosynthesis was inhibited.   Only 10 mg/l 6-BAP was not inhibitory
and the chlorophyll level in the leaves treated with this was
similar to that of the control.   These data confirm that the
concentrations used in other experiments were optimum, with the
exception of 6-BAP in the presence of sucrose (0.1M) where a
concentration lower than 5 mg/l may have been preferable.

The time courses (Figure 19) for the development of the
effects of GA_3 (1 mg/l) and 6-BAP (5 mg/l) were investigated in the
control system, in the presence of sucrose (0.1M) and CMU (5 x 10^{-4}M)
/sucrose (0.1 and 0.2M).

In the control system, both hormones were effective after
about 5 hours and a maximum response was elicited at 7 hours.
After this, the effect declined to about 25% at 48 hours.   The
decline was more rapid in the GA_3-treated than in the 6-BAP-treated
leaves.   When the leaves were incubated on sucrose there were no
large hormone-induced chlorophyll increases in the early stages of
illumination.   Gibberellic acid promotion became evident between
5 and 10 hours and increased to 43% during the first 24 hours.
Subsequently it remained constant.   6-Benzylamonopurine promoted
after 5 hours illumination and remained constant at 23% throughout
the time course.   When sucrose (0.1 and 0.2M) and CMU (5 x 10^{-4}M)
were present in the incubation medium, 6-BAP exhibited a large
promotion after about 16 hours.   This then declined to the control
level in 0.1M sucrose and more gradually to 32% increase in 0.2M
sucrose.   Gibberellic acid had no enhancing effects until after

FIGURE 19

TIME COURSE OF GA3(1mg/1) AND 6-BAP(5mg/1) EFFECTS IN THE PRESENCE OF NO SUBSTRATE, SUCROSE & SUCROSE/CMU

a) Distilled Water

b) Sucrose(0.1M)

c) Sucrose(0.1M)/CMU(5x10^{-4}M)

d) Sucrose(0.2M)/CMU(5x10^{-4}M)

NOTE: Illuminated at 1000 lux : 5-day old leaves

  * At their respective times during illumination
  † Chlorophyll/Leaf

24 hours in CMU/0.1M sucrose. From this time the effect was

maintained at approximately 60% for the duration of the incubation.

In CMU/0.2M sucrose its promoting effect appeared earlier (7 hours)

and increased to only 45% during the illumination period. The

final level of GA_3 promotion was consistent with that of earlier

results (Figure 17).

In the absence of photosynthesis 6-BAP was ineffective

after 5 hours illumination and inhibitory after 48 hours, as in

earlier experiments (Figures 11 and 18). The effect of GA_3 at

these times was the converse of this. The trends of these curves

corresponded to those in the CMU/sucrose system.

It may be concluded that both hormones affected chlorophyll

synthesis soon after the onset of photosynthesis in the control

system, (see Results Section 4). When sucrose was added these

large increases were not observed, because the roles of the hormones

at this stage had to some extent been replaced. The later level of

promotion was, however, still evident. The inhibition of photo-

synthesis in the presence of sucrose also eliminated the early

promotion of GA_3. 6-Benzylaminopurine however, induced a large

increase in chlorophyll level. The effects later in the time course

were dependent on the level of sucrose used.

As suggested previously, the action of GA_3 appeared to

depend on the presence of a supply of substrate and the results are

compatible with this idea. The early promotion by 6-BAP in CMU/

sucrose is also consistent with the suggestion that 6-BAP may be

involved in photosynthetic development rather than general

utilization of substrate. The absence of an early peak in the sucrose treatment does, however, contradict this. It can only be assumed that in this system there is saturation of substrate supply and this can replace the hormonal activity.

c) Effect of other Growth Hormones on Chlorophyll Synthesis

For comparison with GA_3 and 6-BAP, two other gibberellins (GA_4 and GA_7) and one other cytokinin (kinetin) were tested. The results of the experiment are illustrated in Figure 20. Of the gibberellins, GA_7 (1 mg/l) promoted when supplied alone but GA_4 (1 mg/l) was without effect. When sucrose was added, GA_7 was inhibitory at 0.01M but promoted at 0.05, 0.1 and 0.2M. The promotion was only slightly higher than in the control system at 0.05 and 0.1M and was much lower at 0.2M sucrose. On a dry weight basis, promotion was maximal at 0.1M sucrose. Gibberellin GA_4 was also inhibitory at low sucrose concentration (0.01 and 0.05M), but exhibited considerable promotive effects at 0.1 and 0.2M. These were also present on a fresh weight and dry weight basis. It appears therefore that GA_4 required the presence of sucrose for its activity while GA_7 exhibited activity with and without sucrose. Since these changes were present on whichever basis chlorophyll was expressed they reflect changes in both the total and proportion of chlorophyll. Neither GA_4 or GA_7 was as active as GA_3.

Kinetin (5 mg/l) was inhibitory when supplied alone and with 0.01 and 0.05 sucrose, but this was offset by 0.1 and 0.2M sucrose. The only indication of a similarity with 6-BAP was a

FIGURE 20

EFFECT OF GA4, GA7 AND KINETIN ON CHLOROPHYLL
CONTENT OF PRIMARY LEAVES ± SUCROSE

Chlorophyll   Chlorophyll   Chlorophyll
/Leaf   /g Fresh wt.   /g Dry wt.

a) GA3,GA4 & GA7 (1mg/l)

b) 6-BAP,Kinetin (5mg/l)

Sucrose Concentration (Molar)

NOTE: 1. Illuminated at 1000 lux for 48 hours
         2. Leaves from 5-day old plants

suggestion of an increase at the higher sucrose concentrations.
The different responses observed between the three gibberellins and
the two cytokinins may, of course, have been due to a concentration
differential which was not fully explored.

d) Carbohydrate Source and Hormone Response

Both hormones, particularly GA_3, responded to the presence
of exogenously supplied sucrose. The nature of substrate supply
was investigated further by examining the specificity of this
response. In addition to the carbohydrates shown in Figure 21,
glucose-1-phosphate, glucose-6-phosphate, fructose-6-phosphate and
fructose-1,6-diphosphate were examined. These sugar-phosphates
caused complete inhibition of chlorophyll synthesis at 0.1M. The
effect of inorganic phosphate on chlorophyll synthesis was
subsequently investigated, and complete inhibition was found at 0.1
and 0.2M. When supplied at 0.05M it resulted in only 60% inhibition.
These results confirm those of Wolff and Price (1960).

The histograms in Figure 21(a) show the effects of the
various substrates (sucrose, maltose, glucose, fructose and glycerol)
on chlorophyll per leaf, per gram fresh weight and per gram dry
weight at concentrations of 0.1 and 0.2M. The amount of chlorophyll
per leaf was promoted by sucrose, maltose and fructose at 0.1M while
glucose was inhibitory and glycerol ineffective. The largest
increase was elicited by maltose. This was four times those
elicited by fructose and sucrose. At 0.2M, the effect of maltose
was considerably less, though still larger than that of sucrose.

FIGURE 21

EFFECT OF GA3 AND 6-BAP ON CHLOROPHYLL CONTENT OF
PRIMARY LEAVES IN THE PRESENCE OF VARIOUS CARBOHYDRATES

a) Effect of carbohydrate alone

FIGURE 21 (Continued)

b) Effect of GA3 (1mg/l)

Chlorophyll/Leaf

Chlorophyll/g Fresh wt.

Chlorophyll/g Dry wt.

FIGURE 21 (Continued)

c) Effect of 6-BAP (5mg/l)

NOTE: 1. Leaves illuminated at 1000 lux for 48 hours

      2. Leaves from 5-day old plants

Fructose (0.2M) gave the highest promotion and glycerol (0.2M) was as effective as sucrose. Glucose again inhibited, but to a much lesser degree. On a fresh weight basis, only maltose increased the chlorophyll level at 0.1M concentration, but at 0.2M the changes were the same as for chlorophyll per leaf. When dry weight changes were taken into consideration, all of the substrates were inhibitory with the exception of maltose (0.1M) fructose (0.2M) and glycerol (0.2M). It is surprising that maltose, which is composed of two glucose units should be so effective while glucose itself was inhibitory. The effect of maltose may be associated with the disaccharide nature of the molecule. The intermediate position of sucrose in relation to the fructose and glucose levels was however in agreement with their individual effects. Undoubtedly the fructose moiety is an active part of sucrose in its effect on chlorophyll synthesis. The small effect exerted by glycerol was expected since, as a triose sugar, it represented less available energy than the others. Wolff and Price (1960) measured proto-chlorophyllide production in leaves of *P. vulgaris* three hours incubation on various substrates and observed increases due to glycerol, glucose, fructose, sucrose and maltose at both 0.1M and 0.2M. Of these, the least effective were glycerol and fructose. Glucose was as effective as either of the disaccharides. Comparison of the two sets of data suggests that the inhibitory effects which occur after 48 hours are the result of interference with metabolic activities which are not present at such an early stage as 3 hours. Harris and Naylor (1968) also reached the conclusion that some compounds may initially stimulate chlorophyll synthesis. They, too

found that in greening tobacco leaves, a concentration of 0.02M glucose was stimulatory while 0.2M glucose was not.

The GA_3 promotion of chlorophyll synthesis was enhanced most by 0.1M sucrose. Of the other carbohydrates at 0.1M only fructose was particularly effective while glucose and glycerol made little difference and maltose erradicated its promotion. At 0.2M only glucose effectively increased GA_3 promotion; the other carbohydrates were all inhibitory. The same pattern was evident on fresh weight and dry weight basis. The efficacy of glucose and fructose is consistent with the positive effect exerted by sucrose.

Only 0.1M glycerol and 0.2 sucrose improved the 6-BAP-induced promotion on a chlorophyll/leaf basis and on a dry weight basis this was less evident, so the effect seemed to be through a general increase in leaf dry matter. Glycerol was more effective when the results were expressed on a fresh weight basis, indicating that the 6-BAP induced expansion was either counteracted or chlorophyll synthesis was stimulated to keep in step. Of the other carbohydrates, fructose and maltose had no effect while glucose was inhibitory.

Since the metabolism of all these compounds is closely related it might be expected that their effects on chlorophyll synthesis would correlate with the amount of energy available and show an interaction with the osmolarity at which they were supplied. This was not the case, and, thus, indicates that there were certain specific effects. Since both maltose and sucrose produce glucose

units on hydrolysis, they also might be expected to inhibit chlorophyll synthesis. One may conclude that as this was not observed, there was a direct inhibition due to the presence of exogenously supplied glucose and this did not occur as a result of endogenously produced glucose. Oochiai and Hase (1970) found that glucose suppressed chlorophyll synthesis in *Chlorella protothecoides*. This was also observed for fructose, galactose, glycerol and acetate and so may be considered different.

In mung bean leaves sucrose might have been expected to have been as effective as maltose, particularly as fructose alone exerted a promotive influence. It is possible that exogenously supplied sucrose also inhibited chlorophyll synthesis but the presence of the fructose unit counteracted this. Sucrose suppression of chlorophyll synthesis has been observed in carrot callus tissue (Edelman and Hanson, 1971).

The influence of GA$_3$ seemed to be associated with the presence of the fructose molecule. It is, true however that glucose at 0.2M was also effective. These results were contra-dictory since maltose inhibited the GA$_3$ promotion. The explanation of this depends on the precise fate of the sugars inside the leaf. They may either be metabolised through the E.M.P. pathway or incorporated into starch. The data of Wolff and Price (1960) show that addition of sucrose resulted in increased starch production. If starch production is a feature of carbohydrate treatment of mung bean leaves then GA$_3$ may be effective through its subsequent hydrolysis as it is in germinating seeds (Varner, 1964). Since

maltose units are possibly one of the initial breakdown products

of starch (Akazawa, 1965) the GA_3 activity is bypassed. This

argument could be made for any of the carbohydrates and as already

stated depends on the degree to which each was incorporated into

starch reserve. Certainly maltose itself is not reversibly

transformed into starch (Akazawa, 1965) but by metabolism to glucose

this becomes distinctly probable.

The results for 6-BAP illustrate again the lesser dependence

of the action of this hormone on the presence of excessive substrate.

The chlorophyll a/b ratios for leaves treated with

hormones and substrate revealed no discernible differences between

treatments.

e) The Interaction of GA_3 and 6-BAP in Controlling

Chlorophyll Synthesis

Testing GA_3 and 6-BAP in various substrate regimes has

revealed characteristic properties in their effects on chlorophyll

synthesis. The hormones may be further characterized by examining

them in combination. This may emphasize the extent to which they

are specific and how they may interact in the whole plant to play a

part in controlling chloroplast development.

The effects of GA_3 and 6-BAP were investigated with 3½, 5

and 7 day old etiolated leaves during a 48 hour illumination period.

The results, on a chlorophyll per leaf basis, are shown in Table 1.

Tenfold dilution ranges (0.001 - 10 mg/l) of the hormones were used

TABLE 1

INTERACTION OF GA3 AND 6-BAP AND THE EFFECT ON CHLOROPHYLL SYNTHESIS

a) 3½ day-old leaves

		GA3 Concn. (mg/l)					
		0	.001	.01	.1	1.0	10
	0	100	95	95	86*	81*	112*
	.001	85*	88	83*	92	92	100
6-BAP Concn. (mg/l)	.01	81*	81*	76*	81*	95	110
	.1	105	114	110	124**	124**	105
	1.0	85*	94	113	106	119	125*
	10	79*	81	94	100	113	113

b) 5 day-old leaves

		GA3 Concn. (mg/l)					
		0	.001	.01	.1	1.0	10
	0	100	110	110	103	107	117***
	.001	84*	77**	86**	77*	83*	94
6-BAP Concn. (mg/l)	.01	71**	49***	56**	56*	90	95
	.1	94	87	85	105	100	103
	1.0	95	109	120	129*	143**	126*
	5.0	112	119	169**	174***	169**	156***
	10	105	111	131**	120	117	129*

Significance

* 5.0%
** 1.0%
*** 0.1%

c) 7 day-old leaves

		GA3 Concn. (mg/l)					
		0	.001	.01	.1	1.0	10
	0	100	94	94	98	100	111
	.001	75**	90	92	92	80*	98
6-BAP Concn. (mg/l)	.01	81*	94	97	90	97	106
	.1	85*	92	76**	76**	102	93
	1.0	92	98	102	76*	95	105
	10	91	92	80*	105	95	105

Note. Results represent chlorophyll (mg/leaf) expressed as % distilled water control.

and in addition, in 5 day old leaves a 5 mg/l concentration of 6-BAP
was included. Each result is the average of at least four replicates
of samples containing ten leaves. The significant differences
indicated in Table 1 were determined by the Student t test and are
the differences as compared with the untreated controls. In $3\frac{1}{2}$ day
old leaves promotion of the chlorophyll level in the presence of
the individual hormones was observed only at a concentration of
10 mg/l GA_3, while inhibition occurred at 0.1 and 1.0 mg/l GA_3 and
at .001, .01, 1.0 & 10 mg/l 6-BAP. All of these were significant at
the 5% probability level. In combination, a peak of promotion was
exhibited at 0.1 mg/l 6-BAP and 0.1 - 1.0 mg/l GA_3 with fairly high
increases at 1.0 mg/l 6-BAP and 1.0 - 10 mg/l GA_3. When the lower
concentrations were combined, a decreased level of chlorophyll was
observed, the greatest inhibition being at .01 mg/l 6-BAP and .01
mg/l GA_3. Increasing the GA_3 concentration appeared to offset the
inhibitory effect of 6-BAP and at the higher 6-BAP concentrations
the relationship showed signs of synergism. As 6-BAP was increased
in concentration the two hormones became more effective but this
began to decrease again at 10 mg/l GA_3. The relationship of hormone
concentration (Figure 22) showed that at concentrations where 6-BAP
alone was inhibitory the highest concentration of GA_3 gave most
effective reversal, but at the 6-BAP concentration of least
inhibition (0.1 mg/l), the optimum GA_3 concentration decreased from
10 mg/l to 0.1 - 1.0 mg/l. The 6-BAP concentration which resulted
in the highest and lowest percentage changes in chlorophyll content
at a given GA_3 concentration remained fairly constant at 0.1 - 1.0
mg/l and 0.001 - 0.01 mg/l respectively. This contrasted with the

FIGURE 22

CONCENTRATION* RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN GA3 AND 6-BAP

a) 3½ day old leaves

b) 5 day old leaves

x—x HIGHEST VALUE

o—o LOWEST VALUE

* Concentration: mg/l

trends observed for GA_3 concentration against 6-BAP concentration which showed that optimum GA_3 concentration altered in accordance with the 6-BAP effect. The general trend indicated that the hormones were mutually antagonistic to their inhibitions and that at certain concentrations where synergistic promotion occurred the effect was due to a change in response of the chlorophyll level to 6-BAP. The antagonism was supported by the observation that the concentration where the hormones alone resulted in the highest value (10 mg/1 GA_3 and 0.1 mg/1 6-BAP) no increase was observed when they were applied together. The data for fresh weight increases caused by the hormones did not show corresponding changes to the data for chlorophyll increases. It was concluded, therefore that changes in leaf expansion were an unlikely cause of the changes in chlorophyll level.

In five day old etiolated leaves no inhibition of the chlorophyll level was caused by GA_3 and promotion was significant at 10 mg/1 GA_3 at the 0.1% level of probability. Significant inhibitions were however observed at 0.001 and 0.01 mg/1 6-BAP. The level of chlorophyll gradually increased with increasing 6-BAP concentration to 5 mg/1. In combination with 6-BAP, GA_3 at high concentration (10 mg/1) counteracted the 6-BAP inhibition, but a lower concentrations it appeared to enhance the inhibition, particularly at 0.01 mg/1 6-BAP. At 1.0, 5.0 and 10 mg/1, 6-BAP caused considerable promotion and a significant synergistic effect was observed. This reached a maximum at 5.0 mg/1 6-BAP and 0.1 mg/1 GA_3. The 6-BAP concentration peak showed a greater specificity for concentration than the GA_3 peak which was spread fairly evenly from 0.01 to 1.0 mg/1. The concentration relationship (Figure 22) of the two hormones revealed that GA_3 at

high concentration (10 mg/l) reversed the 6-BAP inhibition at low

concentration. Once again the synergistic promotion occurred in

the optimum concentration for 6-BAP alone, but the level of GA_3

necessary was less than 10 mg/l which was the optimum level when

applied alone. As the concentration of 6-BAP was increased within

the 1.0 to 10 mg/l range, the effective concentration of GA_3 gradually

decreased, suggesting that an increase in sensitivity to GA_3 took

place. The concentrations of 6-BAP giving the highest and lowest

values remained very constant at 5.0 mg/l and 0.01 - 0.1 mg/l. In

ageing from $3\frac{1}{2}$ to 5 days, the leaves became responsive to higher

concentrations of 6-BAP but showed the same diphasic trend. The

reversal of 6-BAP inhibition by high GA_3 concentration was still

present but the antagonism between the optimum concentrations of the

two hormones alone was not evident. The fresh weight data were

again of a different pattern to the chlorophyll data.

After 7 days etiolated growth very little effect could be

discerned when the hormones were applied to the leaves. The only

significant differences were inhibitions which appeared to be due to

the presence of 6-BAP at 0.001, 0.01 and 0.1 mg/l. Since the 6-BAP

optimum concentration for the synergistic promotion increased from

0.1 to 5 mg/l during the time the leaves aged from three to five days,

it is possible that seven day old leaves may have responded to

higher 6-BAP concentrations.

The age response is summarised in Figure 23. The graph

shows the maximum and minimum effects obtained with the three main

treatments (GA_3, 6-BAP and GA_3/6-BAP) regardless of the concentrations

FIGURE 23

EFFECT OF AGE OF LEAF ON RESPONSE OF CHLOROPHYLL LEVEL
TO GA3, 6-BAP AND GA3/6-BAP.

used. The order of the response (i.e. 5, 3 and 7 days) was inversely related to the total amount of gibberellins assayed in the leaves (see Results Section 3).

The results from five day old leaves indicated that there was one site of inhibition which was stimulated by lower concentrations of 6-BAP and a site of promotion operated in the 5 mg/l range. The inhibitory site when in combination with the GA_3-operated stimulatory site resulted in an enhanced inhibition while the interaction of the stimulatory sites was synergistic. This situation also existed in $3\frac{1}{2}$ day old leaves, but in addition there was an inhibitory site operated by low concentrations of GA_3. This latter site seems to have disappeared as the leaf developed.

The maximum promotions elicited by combination of GA_3 and 6-BAP in five day old leaves were examined under various substrate regimes and generally followed the curves for 6-BAP alone see Results Section 2(d) .

f) Effect of GA_3/6-BAP Under Differing Regimes of

Substrate Supply

A new batch of beans was examined for the effect of combinations of GA_3 and 6-BAP on the chlorophyll content of the primary leaves under the same conditions as the previous experiment (Table 2). This time, however, concentration ranges of 0.1 to 10 mg/l of each hormone were used and these were tested in four

TABLE 2

EFFECT OF INTERACTION OF GA3 AND 6-BAP ON CHLOROPHYLL SYNTHESIS IN VARIOUS SUBSTRATE REGIMES

a) Control (Distilled water)

6-BAP Concn. (mg/l)	GA3 Concn. (mg/l)				GA3 Concn. (mg/l)			
	0	.1	1.0	10	0	.1	1.0	10
0	100	110	114	124	100	106	110	115
.1	103	117	141	140	109	122	145	136
1.0	130	125	114	116	131	123	115	122
10	108	125	132	132	116	122	131	136

b) 0.1M Sucrose

6-BAP Concn. (mg/l)	GA3 Concn. (mg/l)				GA3 Concn. (mg/l)			
	0	.1	1.0	10	0	.1	1.0	10
0	100	129	146	146	100	132	132	152
.1	116	140	146	137	136	138	138	136
1.0	125	128	129	163	124	115	121	149
10	120	106	132	165	115	126	150	178

c) 0.1M Sucrose + CMU (5×10^{-4})

6-BAP Concn. (mg/l)	GA3 Concn. (mg/l)				GA3 Concn. (mg/l)			
	0	.1	1.0	10	0	.1	1.0	10
0	100	97	111	107	100	103	108	121
.1	96	121	96	120	80	138	95	122
1.0	111	119	117	114	114	119	109	113
10	101	109	94	136	99	114	95	114

d) 0.2M Sucrose + CMU (5×10^{-4})

6-BAP Concn. (mg/l)	GA3 Concn. (mg/l)				GA3 Concn. (mg/l)			
	0	.1	1.0	10	0	.1	1.0	10
0	100	85	106	102	100	77	99	102
.1	111	141	93	108	105	120	77	92
1.0	117	89	95	86	119	80	80	80
10	92	91	127	104	82	84	101	96

Chlorophyll/leaf   Chlorophyll/mg dry wt.

Note : Results are expressed as %ages of the respective regime control.

systems (Table 2):

        a) under normal greening conditions

        b) incubated on sucrose (0.1M)

        c) incubated on CMU/sucrose (0.1M)

        d) incubated on CMU/sucrose (0.2M)

Two concentrations of sucrose were tested in the sucrose/CMU system because it was found that 6-BAP responded to 0.2M sucrose in the presence of CMU while GA_3 was more effective with 0.1M sucrose (see Figure 17). In the control system (Table 2) GA_3 and 6-BAP gave a promotion at 10 mg/l and 1.0 mg/l respectively. This was similar to the results for the previous batch of seeds. Their interaction, however, was somewhat altered. In the previous beans, GA_3 was most effective at the optimum 6-BAP concentration. In this batch the most positive interactions were at sub- and supra-optimal concentrations of 6-BAP. When 6-BAP was optimal, GA_3 antagnonised its promotion. At 0.1 mg/l 6-BAP there was an indication of a synergism but at 10 mg/l the response was additive. The results were essentially the same whether expressed as chlorophyll per leaf, per gram fresh weight or per gram dry weight, except that on a fresh weight basis the percentage increases were smaller. As in the previous factorial experiment the tendency was for the interaction to be governed by the response to 6-BAP concentration.

The addition of sucrose was tried in order to determine whether the level of substrate may have been a regulating factor in the response and whether it altered the relationship of the two hormones. The highest increases were obtained at 1.0 and 10 mg/l

6-BAP in the presence of 10 mg/l GA_3. It may be concluded the relationship did change since these concentrations were also the most effective when applied alone. In some of the combinations of lower concentrations the increases were no larger than those elicited by the individual hormones, thus antagonism had undoubtedly existed, but the concentration response had altered. The maximum responses to the individual hormones varied according to the way the results were expressed.

In 0.1 M sucrose/CMU, on a chlorophyll per leaf basis the results were erratic. There appeared to be a suggestion of synergistic response at 0.1 mg/l GA_3 with all concentrations of 6-BAP and also at 10 mg/l GA_3 with 0.1 and 10 mg/l 6-BAP. When expressed on a dry weight basis, only the response at 0.1 mg/l GA_3 and 6-BAP (0.1 - 10 mg/l) was synergistic. On a fresh weight basis, most of the treatments were inhibitory. In 0.2M sucrose/CMU the chlorophyll level was promoted by combinations of 0.1 mg/l GA_3 with 0.1 mg/l 6-BAP and 1.0 mg/l GA_3 with 10 mg/l 6-BAP when expressed as chlorophyll/ leaf. When based on dry weight, only the increase at 0.1 mg/l of GA_3 and 6-BAP was evident and this was synergistic.

It may be concluded that in this batch of beans, the relationship of GA_3 and 6-BAP exhibited both antagonism and synergism, as in the previous batch, but that the concentration response had altered. Addition of exogenous substrate removed any tendency for synergism at the concentrations tested, but the interaction could be additive. The removal of photosynthesis as a source of substrate lowered the general response to the hormones but did seem to reinstate a synergistic increase in chlorophyll content at a combination of the lowest concentrations.

3.   Endogenous Hormones

It is advantageous to compare the effects of exogenously
applied hormones with levels of hormone present in the plant and to
measure the effect of artificially altering the internal hormone
level or interfering with endogenous hormone activity.   The
importance of the level of endogenous gibberellin was investigated
in two ways: by the use of growth retardants and analysis of *in vivo*
hormone levels.

The growth retardant, CCC, inhibits the biosynthesis
of gibberellins,   (Kende, Ninneman and Lang, 1963;   Harada and
Lang, 1965;   Zeevart, 1966).   B9 does not inhibit gibberellin
synthesis (Ninneman, Zeevart, Kende and Lang, 1964) and at present
the mode of action of this compound is not known.   The kinetics of
its effects on plant growth are, however, similar to those of other
growth retardants (Lang, 1970).

These retardants were applied at various concentrations to
etiolated mung bean leaves which were subsequently illuminated. Two
sources of B9 were used and both elicited similar responses.
Chlorophyll synthesis was inhibited at a concentration of 100 mg/l
of B9 and not at all by CCC (Figure 24).   At 100 mg/l CCC actually
promoted chlorophyll synthesis considerably as did B9 at lower
concentrations.   A concentration of 100 mg/l B9 was subsequently
tested in the presence of exogenously supplied GA_3.   In this
experiment a promotion as a result of treatment with B9 alone was
observed.   The level of this was higher than that for the lowest

FIGURE 24

EFFECT OF GROWTH RETARDANTS ON CHLOROPHYLL
CONTENT OF PRIMARY LEAVES

a) CCC, B9(CIBA), B9(Uniroyal)

Chlorophyll/Leaf Chlorophyll/g F.wt. Chlorophyll/g D.wt.

KEY
x CCC
● B9(CIBA)
o B9(Uniroyal)

Growth Retardant Concentration (mg/l)

b) B9 ± GA3

x —B9
● + B9

GA3 Concentration (mg/l)

NOTE: 1.   Illuminated at 1000 lux for 48 hours
        2.   Leaves from 5-day old plants

concentration of GA_3. It was evident however, that when added

with GA_3, B9 interfered with GA_3 activity and the level of GA_3

promotion was reduced. It may be concluded that B9 either

interacted specifically with GA_3 or interfered more readily with

the activity of exogenously supplied gibberellin. These results do,

however, indicate some effect due to the supplied GA_3, though they

are not convincing evidence for a role played by endogenous

gibberellins.

The levels of methanol soluble endogenous acidic gibberellin

were assayed in three-and-a-half, five and seven day etiolated leaves

and 5 day old leaves illuminated for 48 hours (Figure 25). In three-

and-a-half day old leaves 35×10^{-6} µg equivalents of GA_3 per leaf

were measured but in five day old leaves the amount was less than 25%

of this while after seven days etiolated growth the leaves contained

277×10^{-6} µg equivalent of GA_3 per leaf. Incubation of five day old

leaves for 48 hours at 1000 lux resulted in an endogenous gibberellin

level of 167×10^{-6} µg equivalent of GA_3 per leaf. Since no dark

control was assayed, it was not possible to determine whether this

increase was light stimulated or due to ageing of the leaf to seven

days. There is considerable evidence for red light stimulation of

gibberellin synthesis, (Reid, Clements and Carr, 1968; Kohler, 1966;

Loveys and Wareing, 1971), and Wheeler (1960) has reported that the

primary leaves of dark-grown P. vulgaris do not accumulate gibberellins

but that light-grown leaves contain large quantities. Contrary to

this Crozier and Audus (1968) observed less gibberellin in the leaves

of light grown Phaseoulus multiflorus than in the leaves of the

etiolated plant.

FIGURE 25

EFFECT OF AGE AND ILLUMINATION ON ENDOGENOUS GIBBERELLIN ACTIVITY[*] OF PRIMARY LEAVES

$3\frac{1}{2}$ day-old leaves   5 day-old leaves   7 day-old leaves

Chlorll. (mg/1)

GA₃ GA₇

Rf No.

5 day-old leaves
+ 48 hours light(1000 lux)

Chlorophyll (mg/1)

Rf No.

TOTAL GIBBERELLIN CHANGES

Treatment	GA/Leaf[†]	GA/g Dry wt.[†]
$3\frac{1}{2}$ days	35×10^{-6}	87×10^{-3}
5 "	8×10^{-6}	7×10^{-3}
7 "	277×10^{-6}	213×10^{-3}
$\frac{5}{7}$ Light	167×10^{-6}	210×10^{-3}

[*] as measured by Rumex leaf disc assay   [†] ug eq. of GA3

On a dry weight basis the gibberellin changes were similarly related but the light-treated leaves possessed a much greater concentration.

When related to the growth curve, the highest gibberellin content occurred just prior to stem development and at the end of cotyledon life.

An inspection of the qualitative changes (Figure 25) shows that three and five day old leaves contained single peaks at Rf 5. By seven days this peak had increased considerably and others at Rfs I and 7 were also present. In the illuminated leaves, peaks at Rfs 5, 6, 7 and 8 were present. These could possibly be resolved into two peaks. There was no peak at Rf 1, which indicated that this was a result of attachment to the plant. The gibberellin activity at Rfs 5 and 7 corresponded to the Rf values for GA_3 and GA_7.

In relation to the results obtained from the effects of hormones on chlorophyll synthesis, these data show that the exogenously applied hormones were most active when the endogenous level was low. The internal hormone status of the leaf, therefore may determine the level of response of the leaf to exogenously added hormones.

4.   Development of Carbon Dioxide Changes and the Effect of

Hormones and Substrate

Carbon dioxide exchanges in greening leaves were examined because of the association of chlorophyll synthesis and photosynthetic development and the possible role of hormones in controlling photosynthetic and respiratory activity.

The respiratory changes have been plotted on a per leaf basis only, because the curves on fresh weight and dry weight bases were very similar.   For the same reason, photosynthesis was plotted in this way.   In addition, however, its relationship with the development of chlorophyll has also been shown by expressing it per unit of chlorophyll, (Figure 26).

The purpose of this investigation was to determine at what time photosynthesis began and to follow its subsequent development and that of respiration.   After 5 hours illumination, no uptake of carbon dioxide was observed, but a further half an hour was sufficient to cause a detectable carbon dioxide influx.   This rose rapidly to a maximum after about 12 hours illumination and then declined.   On a chlorophyll basis a sharp maximum was reached after 7 hours illumination.   The carbon dioxide uptake then dropped rapidly until 20 hours of illumination and subsequently decreased more slowly.   In the early stages the increase in photosynthetic activity was much more rapid than the increase in the chlorophyll level, but later chlorophyll accumulation did not result in

FIGURE 26

TIME COURSE OF DEVELOPMENT OF PHOTOSYNTHESIS
AT 1000 LUX

KEY

x——x   Respiration/leaf

●——●   Photosynthesis/leaf

o——o   Photosynthesis/mg Chlorophyll

NOTE: 5-day old leaves

increased photosynthesis. The rate of respiration constantly

dropped during the incubation; the decline was more rapid during

the first 24 hours. The leaves did not compensate for respiration

until after at least 12 hours of illumination. This correlates with

the effect of CMU (Dodge *et al*, 1971) on chlorophyll synthesis,

when inhibition began at some stage between 10 and 20 hours.

The development of photosynthesis per leaf was different

from that observed by Bradbeer (1969) for *P. vulgaris*. He did not

detect carbon dioxide uptake until after 15 hours illumination and

the rate rose proportionally with time during the 48 hours light

period. Apart from the species of plant used, the major differences

in the experimental conditions were light intensity and the nature

of the plant system. The *P. vulgaris* leaves were illuminated at

3000 - 4000 lux and were attached to the whole plant, but the mung

bean leaves were incubated alone. The presence of the hypocotyl

and root could act as a sink for substrate from the developing

photosynthetic system and thus sustain an increase in photosynthetic

rate (Humphries and French, 1969). This continued increase in

photosynthetic rate was also observed in whole pea seedling (Dowdell

and Dodge, 1971) and barley seedlings (Rhodes and Yemm, 1966).

The present results would appear to be a feature of detachment from

the plant.

Photosynthesis by the whole leaf was not significantly

enhanced by either GA_3 or 6-BAP (Fig.27), although small increases were

present. When expressed as per unit chlorophyll, only GA_3 showed

a small increase. The addition of 0.1 M sucrose markedly

FIGURE 27

PHOTOSYNTHESIS AND RESPIRATION OF PRIMARY LEAVES :
EFFECT OF GA3 AND 6-BAP ± SUCROSE AND SUCROSE/CMU

a) Photosynthesis/Leaf

b) Photosynthesis/g Fresh wt.

c) Photosynthesis/g Dry wt.

d) Photosynthesis/mg chlorophyll

FIGURE 27 (Continued)

e) Respiration/Leaf

f) Respiration/g Fresh wt.

g) Respiration/g Dry wt.

NOTE: 5-day old leaves illuminated for 48 hours

stimulated the photosynthetic rate on whichever basis it was expressed, but when GA_3 or 6-BAP was added to this, the photosynthetic rate was slightly reduced. Because of the hormone increases in fresh weight and chlorophyll, the reductions were more evident when expressed in these terms. This implied that the chlorophyll produced in response to hormone treatment was not contributing to photosynthesis. As might have been expected, there was no photosynthesis in leaves treated with CMU.

In the control system, GA_3 did not affect respiration but 6-BAP inhibited it. Sucrose promoted the rate of respiration and it was further enhanced by the presence of GA_3 or 6-BAP. This was also the case in the CMU/sucrose system, but it is worth noting that in this system 6-BAP was considerably more effective than GA_3.

Although the changes elicited by the hormones after 48 hours may be of significance in connection with their effects on chlorophyll synthesis, the changes at earlier stages may have been equally relevant to the situation at this stage.

5.   The Effect of GA$_3$ and 6-BAP on Cell and Chloroplast Number

The chlorophyll content of the leaf may be increased either by an increase in the number of chloroplasts or in the amount of chlorophyll within each chloroplast and an increased number of chloroplasts may result from an increase per cell or an increased number of chloroplast-containing cells. The purpose of this part of the investigation was to determine if the various treatments affected any of these parameters. The results in Table 3 show that the number of chloroplasts in a mung bean leaf cell was not significantly altered by any of the treatments. Similarly the number of chloroplast-containing cells was fairly constant. These results indicate that the treatments affected the synthesis of chlorophyll of the developing chloroplasts by influencing the developmental processes rather than the replicative ones.

TABLE 3

EFFECT OF VARIOUS TREATMENTS ON CELL AND CHLOROPLAST NUMBER

Treatment	Cells/leaf	Chloroplast containing cells/leaf	Chloroplasts /cell
Control	2.3×10^7	1.4×10^7	17
GA3 (1mg/1)	2.4 "	1.7 "	19
6-BAP (5mg/1)	2.2 "	1.5 "	19
Sucrose (0.1M)	2.6 "	1.8 "	18
GA3 + Sucrose	1.9 "	1.4 "	17
6-BAP + Sucrose	2.2 "	1.5 "	18
Sucrose + CMU (5×10^{-4})	2.1 "	1.5 "	20
GA3 + Sucrose +CMU	2.4 "	1.8 "	18
6-BAP + Sucrose +CMU	2.4 "	1.6 "	19

DISCUSSION

DISCUSSION

I.   Gibberellins and Chlorophyll Synthesis

Gibberellic acid elicited a concentration-dependent promotion of chlorophyll synthesis. The maximum response was similar in 3 to 7 day old leaves but in the young leaves inhibition at low GA_3 concentrations was observed. Many reports have indicated that the application of GA_3 gives rise to leaves of a paler green colour than those of untreated plants (Wittwer and Bukovac, 1958; Sestak and Ullman, 1960; Wolff and Haber, 1960; Wheeler and Humphries, 1963; Hamburg, 1964; Szalai, 1968, 1969; Grebenskii and Palanitsa, 1970). In mung bean leaves under the stated conditions this was not the case, since increased chlorophyll content was observed. All of the above reports were based on results from the treatment of whole plants. Wolff and Haber, (1960) investigated the effect of GA_3 on detached etiolated leaves and they observed no change in the rate of synthesis of chlorophyll as a result of GA_3 treatment. In these experiments 8 day old wheat leaves were used, and this may explain the difference in response. Beevers et al (1970) also observed no change in chlorophyll synthesis during the lag phase in 7 day old detached etiolated wheat leaves. The leaves were treated during a six hour pre-incubation period, washed and then illuminated on distilled water. This approach would have been valuable in the mung bean system for determining the stage during illumination when the leaves were most

responsive and for what period the hormone remained active after the exogenous source had been removed.

It has been suggested that the paler appearance of whole plants treated with GA_3 is not due to decreased chlorophyll synthesis but is an artefact caused by increased cell expansion (Wolff and Haber, 1960; Bishop and Whittingham, 1961; Wheeler and Humphries, 1963). Wheeler and Humphries (1963) found that treated potato leaves contained more chlorophyll/leaf than untreated ones but less chlorophyll/gram fresh weight. In peas (Bishop and Whittingham, 1961) chlorophyll/leaf and chlorophyll/gram fresh weight were the same in treated and untreated plants while in wheat, Wolff and Haber (1960) observed that total chlorophyll content was unchanged in 6 day old plants but was less in older plants treated with GA_3. It has also been proposed that the GA_3-induced chlorosis results from reduced chlorophyll synthesis and/or enhanced chlorophyll destruction. Sestak and Ullman (1960) recorded that chlorophyll/gram dry weight was greatly decreased by GA_3 during the greening of 7 day old wheat and maize seedlings. They concluded that this reduction was due to the preferential utilization of substrate in GA_3-promoted syntheses. A decrease may have been detected because the treated plants were dark-grown for 7 days in nutrient solution containing GA_3 and not treated just before illumination. The dry weight values for the leaf samples showed that GA_3 reduced the dry weight of the leaves during this dark development. Thus the effect on chlorophyll synthesis was complicated by changes prior to illumination. These results were, however, supported by those of Artomonov (1966) who found decreased chlorophyll/gram dry weight in

sugar-beet sprayed with GA$_3$ in the field and 10 day old etiolated corn seedlings treated in the laboratory. He concluded that GA$_3$ both decreased chlorophyll synthesis and enhanced chlorophyll destruction. The same conclusion was reached by Grebenskii and Palanitsa (1970) for maize, pea, *Amaranthus* and *Codetia*. Szalai (1968) reported that the leaves of P. *vulgaris* became chlorotic when light-grown plants developed in the presence of GA$_3$. The decrease in chlorophyll level was proportional to increasing gibberellin concentration. The same relationship was observed when GA$_3$ was applied to *Hordeum vulgare* seedlings (Szalai, 1969). In both papers chlorophyll was based on fresh weight and no "whole leaf" data were reported. Also, the plants were treated with GA$_3$ for three days prior to illumination. These experimental differences may well account for the disagreement with the results in P. *aureus*. Using only the etiolated leaves of P. *vulgaris* Wolff and Price (1960) and Sisler and Klein (1963) detected no responses as a result of GA$_3$ treatment.

The results with P. *aureus* support the 'dilution' theory explained above, and show that GA$_3$ can promote the chlorophyll level. The reduction of chlorophyll content at some concentrations and at certain leaf ages suggests that decreased synthesis or enhanced destruction may occur. Karabanov (1968) reported that in black-currant leaves under field conditions, both inhibition and promotion occurred depending on the availability of mineral nutrients. Gibberellic acid alone was slightly inhibitory, but in the presence of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium it increased the chlorophyll

content.   In corn leaves (Artomonov, 1966) the addition of

riboflavin not only reversed the observed GA_3 inhibition but

resulted in an enhanced chlorophyll level when compared with ribo-

flavin alone.   The conditions of nutrient supply, therefore, appear

to affect the response of the chlorophyll level to GA_3.   The

results in Figure 21 show that the addition of various carbohydrates

enhanced the effect of GA_3 but dependended on the concentration of

the substrate.

GA$_3$ was most effective on a percentage basis at the

beginning of photosynthesis.   This and the absence of any effect

in the presence of CMU also suggests that GA_3 activity in this

system was limited by substrate availability.   Another variable

factor between many of the reports was the use of either etiolated

or green leaf material.   It was not possible, however, to separate

the promotive and inhibitory effects of GA_3 on this basis.

2.   Cytokinins and Chlorophyll Synthesis

6-Benzylaminopurine inhibited and promoted the level of

chlorophyll in mung bean leaves aged $3\frac{1}{2}$ and 5 days.   The direction

of the response depended on the concentration supplied.   In seven

day old leaves, 6-BAP inhibited at all concentrations.   Sugiura

(1963) reported that kinetin at $10^{-5}M$ (Ω 2mg/1) promoted chlorophyll

synthesis in 6 day old etiolated P. vulgaris leaves after 3 hours

illumination in the presence of the cotyledons.   There was, however

no effect in their absence.   This early promotion was consistent

with the one observed in five day old etiolated mung bean leaves and
agrees with that observed in etiolated cucumber cotyledons (Fletcher
and McCullagh, 1971) and etiolated barley leaves (Beevers *et al*,
1970). Fletcher and McCullagh reported that the age of the
cotyledons and length of exposure to light were critical factors in
working with low concentrations of cytokinin. Narain and Laloraya
(1970) found that kinetin inhibited chlorophyll synthesis in cucumber
cotyledons after 48 hours illumination, while Hardy, Castlefranco
and Rebeiz (1971) observed no effect after 3 hours illumination. In
a previous paper Banerjii and Laloraya (1967) had demonstrated that
in pumpkin cotyledons kinetin stimulated chlorophyll synthesis.
Similarly Penner and Wiley (1972) reported that 6-BAP promoted
chlorophyll synthesis in cucumber and squash cotyledons after only 8
hours illumination. It would seem therefore that the effect varies
with the plant species and the period of incubation, as it does in
mung bean leaves. The observed stimulation was largely a result of
increased protochlorophyll synthesis rather than an effect on its
photoreduction to chlorophyll. Shlyk and Averina (1969) have also
shown that kinetin stimulated protochlorophyllide synthesis in green
barley leaves incubated in the dark. Stobart, Shewry and Thomas
(1972) observed that kinetin at 0.16 mM (Ω 36 mg/1) promoted
chlorophyll synthesis in etiolated barley leaves illuminated for 16
hours. The effect was absent in leaves up to eight days old and
became evident as the leaves aged from eight to twenty days. This
observations was the converse of the response of mung bean leaves.
In cultured branch apices of the red algae *Hypnea musciformis*
kinetin stimulated chlorophyll *a* and phycoerythrin synthesis

(Jennings, Broughton and McComb, 1972) and the role of cytokinins in retarding chlorophyll degradation in senescing leaves is well documented (Richmond and Lang, 1957; Mothes, 1959; Osborne, 1962 and 1967).

Investigations using tissue culture have shown that kinetin can promote chlorophyll concentration in olive callus growth, (Lavee and Messer, 1969). In non-green callus tissue from *Nicotiona tabacum* L. the presence of kinetin and sucrose were essential for the induction of chlorophyll synthesis (Kaul and Sabkarwal, 1971). In green callus, however, kinetin inhibited chlorophyll development. Kinetin and sucrose interacted in promoting chlorophyll synthesis in the non-green callus. At low sucrose concentrations (0.05 and 0.1%) chlorophyll synthesis increased with increasing kinetin concentration up to 6 mg/l and decreased at 8 mg/l. At sucrose concentrations up to 6%, inhibitions by both low and high kinetin concentrations were observed with an optimum promotion at 4 mg/l. These results were obtained one week after the induction of greening. After a four week interval the inhibitions were overcome. Inhibitions by low concentrations of kinetin were also found in mung bean leaves (Table 1 and Figure 18) and the response was altered by the presence of sucrose. Sugiura (1963) tried to replace the function of the cotyledon in the effect of kinetin on chlorophyll synthesis, with 0.2 M sucrose. After 3 hours illumination no effect was evident. The results from tobacco callus showed that it was necessary to test a number of concentrations of each substance to obtain a comprehensive assay. In mung bean leaves the results indicated that sucrose suppressed the effect of 6-BAP. This and the data of Suguira (1963)

suggest that 6-BAP activity was not specifically dependent on carbohydrate supply. Its promotive effect in the presence of the cotyledon may have been due to increased translocation from the cotyledon to the leaf. With the exception of the data of Narain and Laloraya (1970) results from the application of 6-BAP or kinetin to etiolated cotyledons indicated that cytokinins were very effective in this system. Penner and Wiley (1972) concluded that this may be a result of increased availability of cotyledon reserves as a result of 6-BAP treatment. Application of cytokinins to isolated etiolated leaves has in many instances elicited no response (Wolff and Price, 1960; Sisler and Klein, 1963; Hardy *et al*, 1971). Positive effects have been observed only in mung bean and wheat leaves (Beevers *et al*, 1970). The percentage increase of chlorophyll in leaves treated with 6-BAP was low in comparison with that in treated cotyledons. This is consistent with the conclusion of Penner and Wiley (1972) since the leaves contained less reserve than the cotyledons, but indicated that cytokinins are capable of other effects on chlorophyll synthesis.

Both 6-BAP and kinetin were applied to mung bean leaves and the responses to the two cytokinins were very different at the tested concentrations. Kinetin inhibited and 6-BAP promoted chlorophyll accumulation. On the addition of sucrose the kinetin induced inhibition was reversed and became higher than the control. The effect of 6-BAP was either reduced or unaltered depending on the sucrose concentration. It may be concluded that under the conditions of the experiment the two cytokinins, kinetin and 6-BAP, exerted

different responses. Fletcher and McCullagh (1971) observed that zeatin, kinetin and 6-BAP were all equally effective in promoting chlorophyll synthesis in cucumber cotyledons. Differences in the response to gibberellin type were also evident in mung bean leaves, although none of them inhibited chlorophyll synthesis at the concentrations supplied. The addition of sucrose (0.1 and 0.2 M) to the medium enhanced the gibberellin effect which sucrose at 0.05 and 0.01 M caused GA_7 to become inhibitory. The differences between the various hormones may be due to different concentration optima for each of them.

3.   Interaction of GA_3 and 6-BAP
───

When GA_3 and 6-BAP were applied in combination a synergistic response was obtained. Its presence was dependent on the age of the leaves and the concentrations of the two hormones and was related to the level of endogenous gibberellin activity assayed in the leaves prior to treatment. The pattern of the relationship of the two hormones indicated that the response of chlorophyll synthesis to a combination of GA_3 and 6-BAP was determined to a greater extent by the cytokinin concentration. The maximum response always occurred at 5 mg/l. The response to GA_3 was a much broader one and lower concentrations of this hormone were more effective in promoting chlorophyll synthesis at higher 6-BAP concentrations. At the lower 6-BAP concentrations, the addition of GA_3 enhanced the 6-BAP inhibition of the chlorophyll level. It may be concluded that

6-BAP enhanced the effectiveness of GA_3. Both Bejerano and Lips (1970) reported a similar inter-relationship for nitrate reductase activity in summer-grown tobacco plants. In the winter-grown plants the relationship altered such that as the concentration of kinetin was increased the greater was the concentration of GA_3 required to obtain maximum activity. It was suggested that winter-grown tobacco contained higher endogenous levels of cytokinin, therefore addition of kinetin resulted in supra-optimal concentrations. The results obtained from mung bean leaves cannot be explained on the basis of the endogenous gibberellin level since 6-BAP should have promoted in the leaves with the highest content i.e. seven days. This would suggest that the action of 6-BAP in a combination of hormones was specifically related to exogenous gibberellin. The overall response, however, does as has already been stated, show an inverse relationship with the endogenous gibberellin level.

In isolated pumpkin cotyledons GA_3 (1 mg/l) and 6-BAP (10 mg/l) given in combination promoted expansion to a greater extent than the sum of the individual hormone effects. These concentrations are very similar to those used in mung bean leaves. The promotion of chlorophyll synthesis by these hormones did not result from an effect on leaf expansion, since the pattern of response for fresh weight data was different from that for chlorophyll synthesis. In leaves of *P. vulgaris*, GA_3 accelerated leaf expansion with the final size of the leaf unaltered (Humphries, 1958). Kinetin depressed both of these parameters and the two hormones together followed the GA_3 curve. An additive response to GA_3 and kinetin was observed when the two hormones were applied to etiolated bean leaf discs for

24 hours in the light (Humphries and Wheeler, 1960). In mung beans

the hormones increased the fresh weight of the isolated leaves and

the effect was greater as the hormone concentration increased. The

interaction showed synergism, but this occurred at the combination

of the highest hormone concentration and not at the concentrations

which were optimal for chlorophyll promotion. It appears unlikely

therefore that the hormones improved the leaf chlorophyll content by

increasing leaf expansion and providing a greater light-receptive

area.

A change in the batch of beans used for experimentation

resulted in an absence of response to the most effective combination

of GA_3 and 6-BAP as assayed in the original material. In this

second batch leaf chlorophyll was increased by both hormones when

added individually but their interaction had altered. Originally,

exogenous gibberellin promoted most at the 6-BAP concentration which

gave maximum promotion, but in the second batch it was antagonistic.

This may have been due to a higher endogenous level of gibberellin

or a changed substrate status. The addition of sucrose to the

medium (Table 2) did not replace the effect of GA_3 but it did upset

the balance of the two hormones with the result that the high

concentrations gave greatest promotion. The substrate status of

different batches of seeds could therefore have altered their

response to hormone concentration. The level of endogenous hormone

may have been changed by this and consequently its relationship with

the applied hormones may not have been causal. Conversely it is

possible that the hormone level may control substrate availability.

The age effect (Figure 23) was possibly determined by both of these factors. Sisler and Klein (1963) observed that the older leaves of *P. vulgaris* required exogenous sucrose to obtain a reasonable rate of chlorophyll synthesis. It may be concluded therefore, that as etiolated leaves age they lose substrate. The five day old mung bean leaves probably represented an intermediate state where there were considerable reserves but a low endogenous hormone level. Treating the leaves at this stage with exogenous hormone therefore resulted in the greatest interaction of endogenous reserves and exogenous hormone. A reduction in substrate, brought about by the inhibition of photosynthesis by CMU in the presence of sucrose, abolished the effects of the combinations of high concentration of 6-BAP and GA_3 observed with sucrose alone. There were signs of synergism between the two hormones when applied at low concentrations. Jones and Kauffman (1971) found that kinetin inhibited gibberellin-promoted growth of *Avena* internodes and the inhibition was much greater in the absence of sucrose. Kinetin appeared to direct substrates for use in other synthetic processes. The interaction of the two hormones was of a different nature in mung bean leaves although the addition of sucrose did result in an additive interaction at concentrations which previously had resulted in mutual interference.

The roles of GA_3 and 6-BAP in relation to one another present a complex problem, particularly as batches of seed differ from one another. If this is to be resolved it will be necessary to produce seed of a consistent and known nature. The most profitable techniques would seem to be:

82

a) the analysis of endogenous hormone and substrate status prior

to use;

b) the use of material with genetically reduced hormone level;

and

c) the use of material with chemically reduced hormone levels.

The last of these requires the use of inhibitors of hormone synthesis

and this can result in more problems than it solves since the

inhibitors can cause changes in growth patterns of plants (Stoddart,

1965; Felippe and Dale, 1968). An aspect of the interaction which

may prove important with regard to the mode of action of the hormones

is their sequential relationship. Treatment with kinetin prior to

GA_3 increased the response of aleurone tissue α-amylose production

(Eastwood, Taverner and Laidman, 1969) and of lateral shoot growth

in tomato (Catalano and Hill, 1969).

4.   Hormones and Substrate Supply

The concentration response of GA_3 and 6-BAP alone (Figure

18) corresponded with the general conclusion from the interaction

data that the GA_3 response was governed by the 6-BAP system. Under

each of the substrate regimes tested GA_3 showed a similar concentration

curve, except in the absence of substrate, while the 6-BAP response

varied. This suggested that GA_3 always acted in the same way but

that 6-BAP was effective through two active sites. Although the

pattern of response to 6-BAP alone was altered by different substrate

systems the magnitude of promotion was not markedly increased

(Figure 17). The magnitude of GA_3 promotion, was, however,

increased by substrate addition. In the system where photosynthesis

was inhibited 6-BAP was also inhibitory. This could be reversed

only by adding a high concentration (0.2 M) of sucrose and is evidence

that 6-BAP promotion of chlorophyll synthesis was associated with

photosynthesis. The time course of the effect of 6-BAP in the

control system also showed a strong association with the onset of

photosynthesis. The latter began after $5\frac{1}{2}$ hours illumination and

the largest 6-BAP promotion occurred after 7 hours. Sucrose

abolished this initial increase but when photosynthesis was inhibited

it was once again evident. This was the converse of the situation

at 48 hours and thus indicated a temporal differentiation of the

hormone effect. Gibberellic acid also greatly increased the level

of chlorophyll immediately after the onset of photosynthesis in the

control system, but this was not present in any of the other systems

and is consistent with the suggestion previously made that its action

is dependent on the provision of substrate. The magnitude of the

early effects correlated with the very low hormone level at this

stage. After 48 hours, the effect of the exogenous hormone

decreased and the level of endogenous gibberellin increased. This

latter change may have contributed to the decrease of hormone

effectiveness.

GA$_3$ and kinetin have been shown to increase the photo-

synthetic rates of maize, bean and clover (Wareing, Khalifa and

Treharne, 1968; Treharne and Stoddart, 1968), and these were

correlated with increased RuDP carboxylase activity in maize and clover leaves. Gibberellic acid similarly affected enzyme activity in pea leaves and stem internodes (Broughton, Hellmuth and Yeung, 1970) and 6-BAP increased carbon dioxide uptake in bean plants (Adedipe, Hunt and Fletcher, 1971). Feierabend (1969) reported that kinetin restored the rate of photosynthetic enzyme formation in rootless developing seedlings to that of intact seedlings. In this system gibberellic acid was ineffective. Gibberellic acid and 6-BAP very slightly increased leaf photosynthesis in mung bean leaves illuminated for 48 hours, but these effects were not significantly different from the control. On a chlorophyll basis, however, GA_3 was more effective. The situation at 48 hours may not reflect the true effect of these hormones, because the relevant changes may occur prior to this stage. Although the addition of sucrose enhanced the photosynthetic rate, GA_3 and 6-BAP were inhibitory in this system. These data do not exclude a role for photosynthesis in the mode of action of the hormones during mung bean leaf development.

Broughton and McComb (1971) advanced the hypothesis that the overall effect of GA_3 was to provide more substrate for general cell metabolism in elongating pea internodes, by stimulating the activity of enzymes involved in carbohydrate metabolism. Similar GA_3-increased enzyme activity in the leaf sheath of dwarf maize seedlings was observed by Katsumi and Fukahara (1969) and in tobacco leaves (Lee, 1969). GA_3 is well known to increase α-amylase activity in barley endosperm (Paleg, 1960). Broughton et al (1970) were unable to detect increased amylolytic activity in leaves of the treated peas, however, in their experiments the whole plant was

used and the hormone was injected into the internode.   In mung bean

leaves the effect of GA$_3$ on chlorophyll was increased by the addition

of several different carbohydrates.   The role of GA$_3$ in increasing

substrate provision for metabolic processes seems to fit this data,

but it is possible that the effect of GA$_3$ is merely dependent on

the extra substrate.   It has recently been reported (Delmer, 1972)

that GA$_3$ promoted sucrose synthetase activity in the direction of

sucrose breakdown when added to a preparation of the enzyme from

P. aureus.   Additional substrate did not enhance 6-BAP promoted

chlorophyll synthesis.   The data for hormone effects on respiration

showed that GA$_3$ and 6-BAP increased this, thus indicating that both

hormones stimulated the utilization of substrate.   One may conclude

therefore that GA$_3$ directed the substrate more specifically towards

chlorophyll synthesis but that 6-BAP stimulated general use of the

substrate for cell and leaf development.   This was reflected in the

larger dry weights generally observed for 6-BAP/sucrose treated

leaves.   It has previously been reported that kinetin increased

starch breakdown in Chinese cabbage (Berridge and Ralph, 1971) and

wheat coleoptiles (Boothby and Wright, 1962).

5.   Chloroplast Replication

There are various functions which may result in an increase in chlorophyll level.   These are increases in the amount of chlorophyll in each chloroplast, the number of chloroplasts in a cell or the number of cells containing chloroplasts.   The last two are consequences of replication, and therefore, nucleic acid metabolism while the first results from changes in metabolic processes. Chloroplasts contain DNA and are capable of replication (see Introduction - Section 10) and cytokinins have been shown capable of increasing the number of chloroplasts in tobacco leaves (Boasson and Laetsch, 1969) and spinach (Possingham and Smith, 1972) and of controlling DNA replication in *Funaria* chloroplasts (Giles, 1971).

In GA_3- and 6-BAP-treated mung bean leaves no difference in the number of chloroplasts per cell was observed, whether the system was supplemented with sucrose or not.   Humphries and Wheeler (1960) reported that in the light, GA_3 and kinetin did not increase cell number in leaf discs of *P. vulgaris*.   No significant increases were noted in the treated mung bean leaves.   It may, therefore be concluded that the hormones did not exert their effects through DNA synthesis.

6.   Protein Synthesis, GA₃ and 6-BAP

Chlorophyll synthesis is controlled by the formation of both cytoplasmic and chloroplastic proteins (see Introduction). Both GA₃ (Varner, 1964). Fletcher and Osborne, 1965; Jacobsen and Varner, 1967; Jones and Stoddart, 1970) and 6-BAP (Parthier and Wollgiehn, 1961; Sugiura, Umemura and Oota, 1962; Osborne, 1962; Kuraishi, 1968; Richmond, Sachs and Osborne, 1971) have been associated with the promotion of protein synthesis. More specifically, chloroplast protein synthesis in tobacco chloroplasts extracted from 6-BAP-treated leaves was greater than in those from control leaves (Romanko, Khein and Kulaeva, 1968; Richmond *et al*, 1971). In contrast, the increased activity of photosynthetic enzymes due to hormone treatment (Treharne and Stoddart, 1968) was not paralleled by ribosomal RNA synthesis which is normally associated with *de novo* protein synthesis (Treharne, Stoddart, Pughe, Paranjothy and Wareing, 1970). In isolated pumpkin cotyledons kinetin was able to partially overcome the inhibition of protochlorophyll synthesis induced by chloramphenicol (Banerjii and Laloraya, 1967) which suggested that its action may be at a similar level to that of chloramphenicol (see Introduction - Section 9) or that it bypassed the site of inhibition. Chloramphenicol was also ineffective in preventing kinetin-stimulated betacyanin synthesis in *Amaranthus caudatis* (Koehler, 1972) but cyclohexamide and actinomycin D both prevented the stimulation. Koehler (1972) concluded that kinetin may act at the level of gene de-repression but it could be equally true that its influence was exerted at the ribosomal level.

In red algae, however, chloramphenicol completely inhibited any kinetin effect on pigment synthesis (Jennings, Broughton and McComb, 1972). This was indicative of the requirement for plastid protein synthesis to sustain kinetin activity. The inhibitions produced by chloramphenicol and cyclohexamide on the formation of RuDP carboxylase in rye seedlings were not overcome by kinetin (Feierabend, 1970) although kinetin alone stimulated the rate of enzyme formation (Feierabend, 1969). It was stated that under appropriate conditions all the enzymes studied responded to variation in the cytokinin level thus indicating an unspecific role in this respect. This may be compatibile with a general effect of cytokinin on gene activation or alternatively on increasing the demand for substrates from these enzymes.

The activity of alanine aminotransferase, which may be associated directly with chlorophyll synthesis (see Introduction - Section 6), is altered by hormone application. Its activity in the whole plant was increased by CCC and decreased by GA_3 (Hedley and Stoddart, 1971b). In leaf segments both kinetin and CCC retarded the decline in activity but GA_3 was without effect. Kinetin also retarded the loss of chlorophyll but neither GA_3 nor CCC was effective in this direction. These results are compatible with the stimulatory effects of 6-BAP and CCC in mung bean leaves, but not with the effects of GA_3.

7.   Membrane Permeability
      _____

Recent evidence (Fondeville, Borthwick and Hendricks, 1966; Tanada, 1968) implicated membrane permeability as a primary site of action for phytochrome and the subject has been discussed by Hendricks and Borthwick (1967), Black (1968) and Smith (1970). The similar action of phytochrome and cytokinins in various systems (Miller, 1956; Hillman, 1957; Powell and Griffiths, 1960) and the red light stimulated production of gibberellins (Kohler, 1966; Reid, Clements and Carr, 1968; Loveys and Wareing, 1971) provide indirect evidence for associating hormone activity with this system. Some direct evidence has been obtained from isolated leaf protoplasts (Power and Cocking, 1970). The chloroplast envelope is a primary barrier between the cytoplasm and chloroplast and provides a suitable target. Richmond, Sachs and Osborne (1971) have suggested that kinetin may exert a primary effect on the hydration and permeability of chloroplast membranes. The permeability of the chloroplast membrane is of great importance during the development of the chloroplast. Prior to synthesis, it restricts the substrates which may pass into the developing etioplast and later the photosynthetic substrates which pass out, while throughout the whole of the life of the chloroplast it may regulate nuclear and cytoplasmic control.

8.   Characteristics of GA$_3$ and 6-BAP Activity in Mung Bean

       Leaves

The effects of gibberellins and cytokinins appeared to be very similar in general terms. The data reported above suggest that the effects of GA$_3$ and 6-BAP on mung bean leaf chlorophyll synthesis have different characteristics. The results obtained when the hormones were applied alone and in combination indicated that 6-BAP activated two different sites and GA$_3$ one site in five day old leaves. The characteristics of these activities are summarised in Table 4. The site operated by low concentrations of 6-BAP inhibited chlorophyll synthesis under the conditions of the control system and the sites operated by high concentrations of both hormones stimulated. The effect of the 6-BAP-inhibited site was reversed by all treatments except low concentrations of GA$_3$. At high concentration of 6-BAP, GA$_3$ at all concentrations enhanced the effect. It was reversed by the addition of CMU but substrate was ineffective in the control or CMU system. The responses to substrate and CMU suggest that the low concentration 6-BAP site diverted general cell substrate away from chlorophyll synthesis, but that the high concentration 6-BAP site was dependent on photosynthetically produced substrate. The reversal of 6-BAP inhibition by the addition of CMU is inconsistent with this suggestion. This result was, however, obtained from only one experiment while the others were drawn from several. The close association of the high concentration 6-BAP site and photosynthesis raises the possibility that this site is located with the chloroplast. The low concentration

TABLE 4

CHARACTERISTICS OF HORMONE-ACTIVATED SITES IN
5 DAY-OLD GREENING MUNG BEAN LEAVES

Site	Control	+GA3		+6-BAP		+CMU	+Substrate	
		High	Low	High	Low		+CMU	-CMU
6-BAP* Low Conc.	-	R	E	R	/	R	R	R
6-BAP** High Conc.	+	E	E	/	/	R	R	O
GA3** High Conc.	+	/	/	E	R	R	E	E

KEY

| 0	No effect
+	Promotion
-	Inhibition
E	Enhancement
R	Reversal
/	No result

* 0.01-0.001 mg/1
** 1.0-10 mg/1

Note. Control column shows effect of hormone on chlorophyll synthesis : other columns show effect of respective additive on control result .

6-BAP site may be cytoplasmic. This is also supported on the basis

that high concentrations may penetrate further than low concentrations.

The GA_3-operated site was rendered ineffective in the absence of

substrate (+ CMU) but was very effective when supplied with substrate

whether photosynthesis was operating or not. This suggested that

GA_3 enhanced breakdown and utilization of exogenous and possibly

endogenous substrate. The tendency for high concentrations of

GA_3 to reverse 6-BAP inhibition of chlorophyll synthesis may have

been achieved in this way. The inability of exogenous substrate to

alter the high concentration when photosynthesis was inhibited,

indicated however, that GA_3 may not have behaved in this manner

during the synergistic promotion. It has already been suggested

that 6-BAP responded to photosynthetically produced substrate.

Gibberellic acid could have specifically interacted with 6-BAP by

increasing the supply and utilisation of this. The effects of GA_3

and 6-BAP on chlorophyll synthesis and their interaction with sub-

strate, are represented diagrammatically in Figure 28. The

interaction of the leaf hormone status and the changing nutrient

status may explain the age response to the added hormones. In the

youngest leaves both the hormone and nutrient level was high, but

because the latter was much greater on a proportional basis

exogenous hormones exerted some influence. In five day old leaves

the hormone level was considerably reduced, but nutrient status was

still fairly high thus the added hormones, particularly GA_3,

increased the level of substrate utilization. After seven days

growth, the leaf hormone level had increased and the nutrient level

decreased resulting in reduced effectiveness of the endogenous hormones.

FIGURE 28

INVOLVEMENT OF GA3 AND 6-BAP IN CHLOROPHYLL
SYNTHESIS AND THEIR INTERACTION WITH SUBSTRATE

KEY

O   SITE OF HORMONE ACTION.

──────▶ SUBSTRATE FLOW

- - - -▶ DIRECTION OF HORMONE ACTION

The interaction of GA_3 and 6-BAP is further complicated by the finding that cytokinins can increase or maintain endogenous gibberellin levels (Sebanek, 1966; Karanov and Vassilev, 1969; Chin and Beevers, 1970). The different natures of the gibberellin and cytokinin activities in the mung bean system make this an unlikely mode of action for cytokinin.

9.   Endogenous Gibberellins, CCC and B9 Activity

Newly synthesized leaf gibberellin seemed to play little part in chlorophyll production as suggested by the failure of CCC to inhibit the chlorophyll level. At 100 mg/l CCC, chlorophyll synthesis was promoted. This has been observed previously in tobacco (Humphries, 1963), ryegrass (Stoddart, 1965) and French bean (Humphries, 1968). Inhibition of chlorophyll synthesis by CCC has been observed primarily in cotyledons (Negbi and Rushkin, 1966; Knypl, 1969; Knypl and Chylinska, 1972) but has also been reported in etiolated barley leaves (Shewry, Pinfield and Stobart, 1971; Berry and Smith, 1970). Some of the reports indicated that the inhibition of protein synthesis was a possible mode of action. Berry and Smith (1970) concluded that this may be the effect of CCC concentrations greater than 10^{-3} M (Ω 166 mg/l) which may explain the effects observed in cotyledons. The concentrations used on experiments with mung beans were below this level.

The activity of exogenous GA_3 was interfered with by the

addition of B9 and there was evidence that a 100 mg/l it inhibited

chlorophyll synthesis when added alone. This supports the

possibility that endogenous gibberellins play some part in

controlling chlorophyll synthesis and that B9 interferes with GA_3

activity and not its synthesis. At low concentrations of B9,

however, the chlorophyll level was increased. This effect casts

doubt on the interpretation of the results from the use of B9.

Previous reports (Knypl, 1969, 1970) have recorded that B9

inhibited chlorophyll synthesis in cotyledons. The concentrations

of B9 used in Knypl's experiments were much higher and, as a result,

there was no indication of a stimulatory effect. As in mung bean

leaves, the activity of GA_3 in promoting chlorophyll synthesis in

cucumber cotyledons was inhibited by B9. The results using growth

retardants B9 and CCC are inconclusive but combined with the age/

hormone response and endogenous gibberellin assay they indicate that

endogenous hormones may play a part in controlling chloroplast

development. There is evidence that chloroplasts contain gibberellin-

like activity (Stoddart, 1968) which is of a different qualitative

pattern from that of the total leaf extract. There is considerable

scope in this field of chloroplast research. The evaluation of

gibberellin activity of total leaf and chloroplast fractions during

greening would yield data having an important bearing on experiments

involving their application. It could also lead to the identification

of the chloroplast hormones and possibly their use in application

experiments.

9.   Relationship of Cotyledon, Hypocotyl and Leaf

During primary leaf development the cotyledon performs an
essential role in promoting leaf development and chlorophyll
synthesis.   It was very effective at increasing growth during the
period prior to six days and less so after this, while its effect
on chlorophyll synthesis appeared to decrease much less rapidly.
The reserve of substrate was undoubtedly a major influence in this,
however, sucrose was unable to replace the cotyledon, both in its
quantitative and qualitative effects.   The addition of GA_3 improved
the expansion of the leaves and increased the chlorophyll level
after 48 hours greening, but this was well below the increase due
to the cotyledon.   On a weight basis, however, the treatments were
almost equally effective.   Bean cotyledons are known to contain
gibberellins (Wheeler, 1960;   Crozier and Audus, 1968;   Dale, 1969)
and these may be exported with substrate to the leaves.   Alternatively,
the leaves may manufacture their own gibberellin, (see Results –
Section 3), and thus the hormones are active when the cotyledonary
substrate arrives.   Isolated chloroplasts have been demonstrated to
synthesize gibberellins from radioactive kaurenic acid (Stoddart,
1969).   The discrepancy between total leaf chlorophyll of the
cotyledon and GA_3/sucrose treatments may be explained by the absence
of mineral nutrients.   Dale (1966) observed that the largest rates
of leaf disc expansion occurred in discs treated with carbohydrate
nutrients GA_3 and NAA.   The effect of the nutrients was about 30%
of the sucrose effect.   In the mung bean system, the presence of
auxin may be assumed, since the primary leaf pair possessing the apical

bud was used as the experimental system. Addition of indoleacetic acid (IAA) was observed to inhibit the level of chlorophyll in these leaves.

The inhibitory effects obtained at some concentrations of sucrose were probably a feature of the method of application. Substrate from the cotyledon would be supplied through the vascular system and only as required by the leaf. In these experiments substrates may have entered through the leaf surface.

The hypotcotyl was also a very effective promoter of chlorophyll synthesis in five day old leaves and on a chlorophyll concentration basis was more effective than the cotyledons. The content per leaf was increased to a similar level by both organs. Since the cotyledon supplies the hypocotyl with substrate and other factors it may be assumed that a proportion of the hypocotyl effect was derived from the cotyledon, but its greater effectiveness may have been due to other factors derived from the root. It is unlikely to be entirely contributed by the cotyledons, because prior to this the cotyledons had a much greater effect on expansion than on the chlorophyll level. When both the hypocotyl and cotyledon were present, the chlorophyll content per leaf was increased to a lesser extent than the sum of the individual effects and chlorophyll concentration was increased only slightly more than by the hypocotyl alone. The effect on dry weight, however, was additive. It may be concluded that the cotyledon and hypocotyl mutually interfere with one another in controlling leaf chlorophyll synthesis, but not in controlling dry weight development. It is conceivable that the

level of substrate supplied by each organ was not at saturation level for dry weight increase but was approaching this for the chlorophyll system. This was supported by the data from Figures 14 and 16 which show that one cotyledon was as effective as two at five days and that lower concentrations of sucrose were more effective than higher ones. Additionally an effect involving hormone balance may have been superimposed. The roots are a possible source of cytokinins (Kulaeva, 1962; Wheeler, 1971), and these must pass through the hypocotyl to reach the leaf. The concentration relationship of the cytokinins from the root, gibberellins in the leaf and substrate derived from the cotyledon and hypocotyl may have resulted in the interference observed between the latter two organs. Further observations of their interaction with age would determine the manner in which this changed and could be linked to a study of the endogenous hormones.

In *P. vulgaris* the opening of the hypocotyl hook is stimulated by GA_3 and inhibited by kinetin in the light and dark (Powell and Morgan, 1970). The cotyledons, however, were inhibitory and the lower hypocotyl had little effect at all. These results suggested the presence of transported "factors", but indicated a system which responded in a different way from chlorophyll synthesis. Reports on the effect of the cotyledon on chlorophyll synthesis in bean primary leaves have been discussed previously. Some direct evidence of inter-organ control of chlorophyll accumulation has recently been reported by De Greef and Caubergs (1972). They found that pre-illumination of the embryonic axis in intact bean plants eliminated the lag phase observed in the leaf, thus suggesting the

transmission of a light-induced stimulus. In the slightly different

system where the cotyledons become the first photosynthetic organ,

Moore and Lovell (1970) found that the removal of the embryonic axis

enhanced chlorophyll production in mustard cotyledons but decreased

their photosynthetic rate. They concluded that the embryonic axis

competed for material involved in chlorophyll formation, but

stimulated the development of other components of the photosynthetic

system. The absence of roots as a source of cytokinin may have

reduced the photosynthetic activity of the cotyledon (Feierabend,

1969). Hardy, Castelfranco and Rebeiz (1970) demonstrated that the

presence of the hypocotyl during illumination enhanced chlorophyll

production of cucumber cotyledons during the lag phase. This was

a much shorter time period than that of Moore and Lovell (1970) and

the material which remained attached to the cotyledons differed

slightly. Hardy *et al* (1970) suggested that the hypocotyl "factor"

was unlikely to be a general organic nutrient since most of the

reserves would be in the cotyledon. None of the classical hormones

was able to elicit a response, but ethylene was found to be

effective in promoting chlorophyll synthesis (Hardy *et al*, 1971).

It is however, possible that part of the nutrients exported to the

hypocotyl may be returned to the cotyledon once the hypocotyl has

achieved an appropriate length. This may then be utilized in the

more essential development of the cotyledon into a photosynthetic

organ. Dark growth of the mung bean stem was entirely dependent

on the hypocotyl supply (Figure 7).

To obtain a more comprehensive understanding of the role

of hormones and organs in controlling mung bean leaf chlorophyll

synthesis, the effect of exogenous substrates and hormones on the leaf in the presence of other organs could be investigated. For example, feeding these substances through the hypocotyl and stem would provide a more normal method of supply to the leaf. This does, however, introduce problems of hormone-induced redistribution of substrate, which may mask direct effects on the leaf.

It may be concluded that chloroplast development in mung bean primary leaves is partially controlled by a complex interaction of translocated factors from the hypocotyl and cotyledons and the nutrient and hormone status of the leaf. Application of gibberellins and cytokinins showed that these hormones may be active in this inter-relationship and that their activity was dependent on photosynthetic development and substrate supply.

The control of chloroplast development by hormones and factors produced at remote sites is an area of research which is still in its infancy. The understanding of the means of chloroplast control is of great importance to our knowledge of photosynthesis and may provide information for improving the available plants. Such systems as the etiolated mung bean leaf could play an important part in solving some of the mysteries.

REFERENCES

REFERENCES

ADEDIPE, N.O., HUNT, L.A. and FLETCHER, R.A. (1971): Effects of benzyladenine on photosynthesis, growth and senescence of the bean plant. Plant Physiol. 25 : 151-153.

AKAZAWA, T. (1965): Starch, inulin and other reserve polysaccharides. in Plant Biochemistry. Ed. J. Bonner and J.E. Varner. Acad. Press 258-297.

AKOYUNOGLOU, G. (1970): The effect of age on the phytochrome-mediated chlorophyll formation in dark-grown bean leaves. Physiol. Plant. 23 : 29-37.

AKOYUNOGLOU, G. and ARGYROUDI-AKOYUNOGLOU, J.H. (1969): Effects of intermittent and continuous light on the chlorophyll formation in etiolated plants at various stages. Physiol. Plant. 22 : 288-295.

AKOYUNOGLOU, G. and ARGYROUDI-AKOYUNOGLOU, J.H. (1971): Photoinduced changes in young bean plants. I. Formation of photosystems I and II. Int. Cong. on Photos. Res. Stresa, 1971. Abstracts p.99.

AKOYUNOGLOU, G., ARGYROUDI-AKOYUNOGLOU, J.H., MICHEL-WOLWERTZ, M.R.
and SIRONVAL, C. (1966): Effect of intermittent and
continuous light on chlorophyll formation in etiolated
plants. Physiol. Plant. 19 : 1101-1104.

AKOYUNOGLOU, G. and MICHALOPOULOS, G. (1971): The relation between
the phytylation and the 682 → 672 nm shift *in vivo* of
chlorophyll *a*. Physiol. Plant. 25 : 324-329.

ANDERSON, J.M. and BOARDMAN, N.K. (1964): Studies on the greening
of dark-grown bean plants II. Development of photochemical
activity. Amer. J. Biol. Sci. 17 : 93-101.

ANDERSON, I.C. and ROBERTSON, D.S. (1960): Role of carotenoids in
protecting chlorophyll from photodestruction. Plant Physiol.
35 : 531-534.

ARNON, D.I. (1949): Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts.
Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. Plant Physiol. 24 :
1-15.

ARTOMONOV, V.I. (1966): On the synthesis and decomposition of
chlorophyll in plants under the influence of gibberellin
and vitamin B_2. Fiziol. Rast. 13 : 424-428.

AUGUSTINUSSEN, E. (1964): On the formation of chlorophyll *a* and
chlorophyll *b* in etiolated leaves. Physiol. Plant 17 :
403-406.

AUGUSTINUSSEN, E. and MADSEN, A. (1965): Regeneration of proto-chlorophyll in etiolated barley seedlings following different light treatments. Physiol. Plant. 18 : 828-837.

BANERJII, D. and LALORAYA, M.M. (1967): Chlorophyll formation in isolated pumpkin cotyledons in the presence of kinetin and chloramphenicol. Plant Cell Physiol.8 : 263-268.

BEEVERS, L. (1966): Effect of gibberellic acid on the senescence of leaf discs of nasturtium (Trapaeolum majus). Plant Physiol. 41 : 1074-1076.

BEEVERS, L., LOVEYS, B., PEARSON, J.A. and WAREING, P.F. (1970): Phytochrome and hormonal control of expansion and greening of etiolated wheat leaves. Planta (Berl.) 90 : 286-294.

BENEDICT, C.R. and KOHEL, R.J. (1969): The synthesis of ribulose-1,5-diphosphate carboxylase and chlorophyll in virescent cotton leaves. Plant Physiol. 44 : 621-622.

BERRIDGE, M.V. and RALPH, R.K. (1971): Kinetin and carbohydrate metabolism in Chinese cabbage. Plant Physiol. 47 : 562-567.

BERRY, D.R. and SMITH, H. (1970): The inhibition by high concentrations of (2-chloroethyl)-trimethylammonium chloride (CCC) of chlorophyll and protein synthesis in excised barley leaf sections. Planta (Berl.) 91 : 80-86.

BISHOP, D.E. and SMILLIE, R.M. (1970): The effect of chloramphenicol and cyclohexamide on lipid synthesis during chloroplast development in *Euglena gracilis*. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 137 : 179-189.

BISHOP, P. and WHITTINGHAM, C.P. (1961): Gibberellic acid and chlorophyll content of leaves and Meteor peas. Nature 192 : 576-577.

BLAAW-JANSEN, G., KOMEN, J.G. and THOMAS, J.B. (1950): On the relation between the formation of assimilatory pigments and the rate of photosynthesis in etiolated oat seedlings. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 5 : 179-185.

BLACK, M. (1968): How light controls plants. New Scientist 40 : 716-717.

BOASSON, R. and LAETSCH, W.M. (1969): Changes in chloroplast number per cell during leaf development in spinach. Plant (Berl.) 86 : 186-194.

BOASSON, R., LAETSCH, W.M. and PRICE, I. (1972): The etioplast-chloroplast transformation in tobacco: correlation of ultrastructure, replication and chlorophyll synthesis. Amer. J. Bot. 59 : 217-223.

BOGORAD, L. and JACOBSEN, A.B. (1964): Inhibition of etiolated leaves by actinomycin D. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 14 : 113-117.

BONNER, B.A. (1969): A short-lived intermediate form in the *in vivo* conversion of protochlorophyllide 650 to chlorophyllide 684. Plant Physiol. 44 : 739-747.

BOOTHBY, D. and WRIGHT, S.T.C. (1962): Effects of kinetin and other plant growth regulators on starch degradation. Nature 196 : 389-390.

BOTTOMLEY, W. (1970): Deoxyribonucleic acid-dependent ribonucleic acid polymerase activity of nuclei and plastids from etiolated peas and their response to red and far red light *in vivo*. Plant Physiol. 45 : 608-611.

BRADBEER, J.W. (1969): The activities of the photosynthetic carbon cycle enzymes of greening bean leaves. New Phytol. 68 : 233-245.

BRADBEER, J.W. (1971): Plastid development in primary leaves of *Phaseoulus vulgaris*. The effects of red, far-red and white light treatments on dark-grown plants. J. Exp. Bot. 22 : 382-390.

BRAWERMAN, G. and CHARGAFF, E. (1959): Changes in protein and ribonucleic acid during the formation of chloroplasts in *Euglena gracilis*. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 31 : 164-171.

BRIGGS, G.E. (1920): Experimental researches on vegetable assimilation and respiration XIII. The development of photosynthetic activity during germination. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. 91B : 249-268.

BROUGHTON, W.J., HELLMUTH, E.O. and YEUNG, D. (1970): Role of glucose in development of the gibberellin response in peas. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 222 : 491-500.

BROUGHTON, W.J. and McCOMB, A.J. (1971): Changes in the pattern of enzyme development in gibberellin-treated pea internodes. Ann. Bot. 35 : 213-228.

BUSH, L.P. (1969): Influence of certain cations on activity of succinyl CoA synthetase from tobacco. Plant Physiol. 44 : 347-350.

BUTLER, W.L. (1961): Chloroplast development : energy transfer and structure. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 92 : 287-295.

BUTLER, W.L. (1965): Development of photosynthetic systems 1 and 2 in greening leaf. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 102 : 1-8.

BUTLER, W.L. and BRIGGS, W.R. (1966): The relation between
structure and pigment during the first stages of proplastid
greening. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 112 : 45-53.

CARRELL, E.F. and KAHN, J.S. (1964): Synthesis of porphyrins by
isolated chloroplasts of *Euglena*. Arch. Biochem. Biophys.
108 : 1-6.

CATALANO, M. and HILL, T.A. (1969): Interaction between gibberellic
acid and kinetin in overcoming apical dominance, natural and
induced by IAA in tomato *Lycopersicium esculentum* Mill.
cultivar Potentate. Nature 221 : 985-986.

CHEN, S., McMAHON, D. and BOGORAD, L. (1967): Early effects of
illumination on the activity of some photosynthetic enzymes.
Plant Physiol. 42 : 1-5.

CHIBNALL, A.C. (1954): Protein metabolism in rooted runner-bean leaves.
New Phytol. 53 : 31-37.

CHIN, T-Y. and BEEVERS, L. (1970): Changes in endogenous growth
regulators in Nasturtium leaves during senescence.
Planta (Berl.) 92 : 178-188.

COHEN, R.Z. and GOODWIN, T.W. (1962): The effect of red and far-red
light on carotenoid synthesis by etiolated maize seedlings.
Phytochem. 1 : 67-72.

CROMBIE, W.M. (1958): Fatty acids in chloroplasts and leaves. J. Exp. Bot. 9 : 254-261.

CROZIER, A. and AUDUS, L.J. (1968): Distribution of gibberellin-like substances in light- and dark-grown seedlings of *Phaseoulus multiflorus*. Planta (Berl.) 83 : 207-217.

DALE, J.E. (1964): Leaf growth in *Phaseoulus vulgaris* I. Growth of the first pair of leaves under controlled conditions. Ann. Bot. N.S. 28 : 579-590.

DALE, J.E. (1966): The effect of nutritional factors and certain growth substances on the growth of disks cut from young leaves of *Phaseoulus*. Physiol. Plant. 19 : 385-396.

DALE, J.E. (1969): Gibberellins and early growth in seedlings of *Phaseoulus vulgaris*. Planta (Berl.) 89 : 155-164.

DASSIOU, C. and AKOYUNOGLOU, G. (1969): Effect of green and white flashes on the activity of the enzyme ribulose diphosphate carboxylase in dark grown barley plants. Physiol. Plant. 22 : 570-574.

DE DEKEN-GRENSON, M. (1954): Grana formation and synthesis of chloroplastic protein induced by light in portions of etiolated leaves. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 14 : 203-211.

DE GREEF, J.A. and CAUBERGS, R. (1972): Studies on greening of
etiolated seedlings. I. Elimination of the lag phase of
chlorophyll biosynthesis by a pre-illumination of the
embryonic axis in intact plants. Physiol. Plant. 26 :
157-165.

DELMER, D.P. (1972): The regulatory properties of purified *Phaseoulus
aureus* sucrose synthetase. Plant Physiol. 47 : 705-708.

DODGE, A.D., ALEXANDER, D.J. and BLACKWOOD, G.C. (1971) : The
contribution of photosynthesis to chlorophyll formation in
etiolated Mung bean leaves. *Physiol. Plant.* 25 : 71-74.

DODGE, A.D. and WHITTINGHAM, C.P. (1966): Photochemical activity
of chloroplasts isolated from etiolated plants. Ann. Bot.
30 : 711-719.

DOWDELL, R.J. and DODGE, A.D. (1970): The photosynthetic capacity
of pea leaves with a controlled chlorophyll formation.
Planta (Berl.) 94 : 282-290.

DOWDELL, R.J. and DODGE, A.D. (1971): Chlorophyll formation and
the development of photosynthesis in illuminated etiolated
pea leaves. Planta (Berl.) 98 : 11-19.

EASTWOOD, D., TAVERNER, R.J.A. and LAIDMAN, D.L. (1969): Sequential
action of cytokinin and gibberellic acid in wheat aleurone
tissue. Nature 221 : 1267.

EDELMAN, J. and HANSON, A.D. (1971): Sucrose supression of
chlorophyll synthesis in carrot-tissue cultures.
Planta (Berl.) 98 : 150-156.

EILAM, Y. and KLEIN, S. (1962): The effect of light intensity
and sucrose feeding on the fine structure in chloroplasts
and on chlorophyll content of etiolated leaves.
Plant Cell Physiol. 7 : 115-123.

ERIKSSON, G., KAHN, A., WALLES, B. and von WETTSTEIN, D. (1961):
Zur makromolekularen physiologie der Chloroplasten III.
Ber. deut. bot. Ges. 74 : 221-232.

FALK, R.H. and BOGORAD, L. (1967): Immunological distinction
between fraction 1 protein and protochlorophyllide holo-
chrome. Plant Physiol. 44 : 1669-1671.

FEIERABEND, J. (1969): Der einfluss von cytokinen auf die bildung
von photosyntheseenzymen in Roggenkeimlingen. Planta
(Berl.) 84 : 11-29.

FEIERABEND, J. (1970): Characterization of cytokinin action on
enzyme formation during the development of the photo-
synthetic apparatus in Rye seedlings. Enzymes of the
reductive and oxidative pentose phosphate cycles. Planta
(Berl.) 94 : 1 - 15.

FELIPPE, G.M. and DALE, G.E. (1968): Effect of a growth retardant,
CCC, on leaf growth in Phaseoulus vulgaris. Planta (Berl.)
80 : 328-343.

FILNER, B. and KLEIN, A.O. (1968): Changes in enzymatic activities
in etiolated bean seedling leaves after a brief illumination
Plant Physiol. 43 : 1587-1596.

FLETCHER, R.A. and McCULLAGH, D. (1971): Cytokinin-induced chloro-
phyll formation in cucumber cotyledons. Planta (Berl.)
101 : 88-90.

FLETCHER, R.A. and OSBORNE, D.J. (1966): Gibberellin as a
regulator of protein synthesis and ribonucleic acid
synthesis during senescence in leaf cells of *Taraxacum
officinale*. Can. J. Bot. 44 : 739-745.

FONDEVILLE, J.C., BORTHWICK, H.A. and HENDRICKS, S.B. (1966):
Leaflet movement of *Mimosa pudica* L. indicative of phyto-
chrome action. Planta (Berl.) 69 : 357-364.

FRANK, S.R. (1946): The effectiveness of the spectrum in chlorophyll
formation. J. Gen. Physiol. 29 : 157-179.

GABRIELSEN, E.K., MADSEN, A. and VEJLBY, K. (1961): Induction of
photosynthesis in etiolated leaves. Physiol. Plant. 14 :
98-110.

GASSMAN, M. and BOGORAD, L. (1967): Control of chlorophyll
production in rapidly greening bean leaves. Plant Physiol.
42 : 774-780.

GASSMAN, M., GRANICK, S. and MAUZERALL, D. (1968): A rapid spectral
change in etiolated Red Kidney bean leaves following trans-
formation of protochlorophyll. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.
32 : 295-300.

GILES, K.L. (1971): The control of chloroplast division in *Funaria hygrometrica* II. The effects of kinetin and indole-acetic acid on nucleic acids. Plant Cell Physiol. 12 : 447-450.

GIVAN, C.V. and LEECH, R.M. (1971): Biochemical autonomy of higher plant chloroplasts and their synthesis of small molecules. Biol. Rev. 46 : 409-428.

GOODENOUGH, U.W., TOGASAKI, R.K., PASZEWSKI, A. and LEVINE, R.P. (1971): Inhibition of chloroplast ribosome formation by gene mutation in *Chlamydomonas reinhardi*. In Autonomy and Biogenesis of Mitochondria and Chloroplasts. 224-234. Ed. Boardman, Linnane and Smillie. North-Holland.

GOODWIN, R.H. and OWENS, O.H. (1947): The formation of chlorophyll *a* in etiolated out seedlings. Plant Physiol. 22 : 197-200.

GOODWIN, T.W. (1958a): Incorporation of $^{14}CO_2$ $[2^{-14}C]$ acetate and $[2^{-14}C]$ mevalonic acid into β-carotene in etiolated maize seedlings. Biochem. J. 68 : 26p-27p.

GOODWIN, T.W. (1958b): Studies in carotogenesis. 25. The incorporation of $^{14}CO_2$, $[2^{-14}C]$ acetate and $[2^{-14}C]$ mevalonate into β-carotene by illuminated etiolated maize seedlings Biochem. J. 70 : 612-617.

GRANICK, S. (1963): The pigments of the biosynthetic chain of
chlorophyll and their interaction with light. Proc. Vth
Int. Congr. Biochem. Moscow. Pergamon Press. 176-186.

GRANICK, S. (1967): The heme and chlorophyll biosynthetic chain.
In Biochemistry of Chloroplasts. Ed. T.W. Goodwin.
Academic Press. London and New York. II. 373-410.

GREBENSKII, S.O. and PALANITSA, P.V. (1970): Effect of gibberellin
on chlorophyll content in leaves and chloroplasts.
Fiziol. Rast. 17 : 175-176.

GUNNING, B.E.S. and JAGOE, M.P. (1967): The prolammellar body.
In Biochemistry of Chloroplasts. Ed. T.W. Goodwin.
Academic Press. London and New York. II. 655-676.

GYLDENHOLM, A.O. (1968): Macromolecular physiology of plastids. V.
On the nucleic acid metabolism during chloroplast
development. Heriditas 59 : 142-168.

GYLDENHOLM, A.O. and WHATLEY, F.R. (1968): The onset of photo-
phosphorylation in chloroplasts isolated from developing
bean leaves. New Phytol. 67 : 461-468.

HALL, D.O. and EVANS, M.C.W. (1972): Photosynthetic phosphory-
lation in chloroplasts. Sub-Cell. Biochem. 1 :
197-206.

HAMBURG, K.Z. (1964): Growth regulators and plant growth.
Quoted in Grebenskii and Palanitsa (1970).

HARADA, H. and LANG, A. (1965): Effect of some (2-chloroethyl) trimethyl-ammonium chloride analogs and other growth retardants on gibberellin biosynthesis in *Fusarium moniliforme*. Plant Physiol. 40 : 176-183.

HARDY, S.I., CASTELFRANCO, P.A. and REBEIZ, C.A. (1970): Effect of the hypocotyl hook on greening in etiolated cucumber cotyledons. Plant Physiol. 46 : 705-707.

HARDY, S.I., CASTELFRANCO, P.A. and REBEIZ, C.A. (1971): Effect of the hypocotyl hook on chlorophyll accumulation in excised cotyledons of *Cucumis sativa* L. Plant Physiol. 47 : 705-708.

HARRIS, J.B. and NAYLOR, A.W. (1968): Changes in the etiolated tobacco leaf during greening.III. The effect of organic metabolites and light intensity on chlorophyll and carotenoid production. Tobacco Sci. XII 171-176. Tobacco 167 : 24-29.

HEDLEY, C.L. and STODDART, J.L. (1971a): Light-stimulation of alanine amino-transferase activity in dark-grown leaves of *Lolium tementulum* L. as related to chlorophyll formation. Planta (Berl.) 100 : 309-324.

HEDLEY, C.L. and STODDART, J.L. (1971b): Factors influencing alanine amino-transferase activity in leaves of *Lolium tementulum* L. II. Effects of growth regulators and protein biosynthesis inhibitors. J. Exp. Bot. 22 : 249-261.

HENDRICKS, S.B. and BORTHWICK, H.A. (1967): The function of
phytochrome in regulation of plant growth. Proc. U.S. Nat.
Acad. Sci. 58 : 2125-2130.

HENNINGSEN, K.W. (1967): An Action spectrum for vesicle dispersal in
bean plastids. In Biochemistry of Chloroplasts. Ed. T.W.
Goodwin. Academic Press. London and New York. II. 453-460.

HENNINGSEN, K.W. and BOYNTON, J.E. (1970): Macromolecular
physiology of plastids VIII. Pigment and membrane formation
in plastids of barley greening under low light intensity.
J. Cell Biol. 44 : 290-304.

HILLMAN, W.S. (1957): Non photosynthetic light requirement in
Lemna minor and its partial satisfaction by kinetin.
Science 126 : 165-166.

HUFFAKER, R.C., COX, E.L., KLEINKOPF, G.E. and STANFORD, E.H.
(1970): Regulation of synthesis of chlorophyll, carotene,
ribulose-1,5 diphosphate carboxylase and phosphoribulo-
kinase in a temperature-sensitive chlorophyll mutant of
Medigago sativa. Physiol. Plant. 23 : 404-411.

HUFFAKER, R.C., OBENDORF, R.L., KELLER, C.J. and KLEINKOPF, G.E.
(1966): Effects of light intensity on photosynthetic
carboxylase phase enzymes and chlorophyll synthesis in
greening leaves of *Hordeum vulgare* L. Plant Physiol. 41 :
913-918.

HUMPHRIES, E.C. (1958): Effect of gibberellic acid and kinetin on growth in the primary leaves of dwarf bean *(Phaseolus vulgaris)*. Nature 181 : 1081-1082.

HUMPHRIES, E.C. (1963): Effect of 2-chloroethyl trimethyl-ammonium chloride on plant growth, leaf area and net assimilation rate. Ann. Bot. 27 : 517-532.

HUMPHRIES, E.C. (1968): The effect of growth regulators, CCC and B9 on protein and total nitrogen of bean leaves during development. Ann. Bot. 32 : 497-507.

HUMPHRIES, E.C. and FRENCH, S.A.W. (1969): Photosynthesis in sugar beet depends on root growth. Planta (Berl.) 88 : 87-90.

HUMPHRIES, E.C. and WHEELER, A.W. (1960): The effects of kinetin, gibberellic acid and light on expansion and cell division in leaf discs of dwarf bean *(Phaseolus vulgaris)*. J. Exp. Bot. 11 : 81-85.

IRELAND, H.M.M. and BRADBEER, J.W. (1971): Plastid development in primary leaves of *(Phaseolus vulgaris)*. The effects of D-threo and L-threo chloramphenicol on the light-induced formation of enzymes of the photosynthetic carbon pathway Planta (Berl.) 96 : 254-261.

JACOBSEN, J.V. and VARNER, J.E. (1967): Gibberellic acid induced synthesis of protease by isolated aleurone layers of barley. Plant Physiol. 42 : 1596-1600.

JAGENDORF, A.T. and MARGULIES, M.M. (1960): Inhibition of spinach chloroplast photosynthetic reactions by p-chlorophenyl-1, 1-dimethylurea. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 90 : 184-195.

JENNINGS, R.C., BROUGHTON, W.J. and McCOMB, A.J. (1972): Effect of kinetin on the phycoerythrin and chlorophyll content of a red alga. Phytochem. 11 : 1937-1943.

JONES, R.A. and KAUFFMAN, P.B. (1971): Regulation of growth in *Avena* stem segments by gibberellic acid and kinetin. Physiol. Plant. 24 : 491-497.

JONES, T.W.A. and STODDART, J.L. (1970): Gibberellin-induced changes in protein synthesis and enzyme activity in short apices of *Trifolium pratense*. J. Exp. Bot. 21 : 452-461.

KANNANGARA, C.G., HENNINGSEN, K.W., STUMPF, P.K., APPELQVIST, L. and von WETTSTEIN, D. (1971): Lipid biosynthesis in isolated barley chloroplasts in relation to plastid development. Plant Physiol. 48 : 526-531.

KARABANOV, I.A. (1968): Effect of gibberellin on chlorophyll content in plants. Fiziol. Rast. 15 : 1068-1070.

KARANOV, E. and VASSILEV, L. (1969): The effect of N-6-benzyl-adenine on the growth and gibberellin content of tall and dwarf peas. C.P. Acad. Bulgare des Sci. 22 : 89-93.

KATSUMI, M. and FUKAHARA, M. (1969): The activity of α-amylase in the shoot and its relation to gibberellin-induced elongation. Physiol. Plant. 22 : 68-75.

KAUFFMAN, S. and ALIVISATOS, S.G.A. (1955): Purification and properties of the phosphorylating enzyme from spinach. J. Biol. Chem. 216 : 141-152.

KAUL, K. and SABKARWAL , P.S. (1971): Effects of sucrose and kinetin on growth and chlorophyll synthesis in tobacco tissue cultures. Plant Physiol. 47 : 691-695.

KENDE, H., NINNEMAN, H. and LANG, A. (1963): Inhibition of GA synthesis in *Fusarium moniliforme* by AMO-1618 and CCC. Naturwiss. 50 : 599-600.

KIRK, J.T.O. (1970): Biochemical aspects of chloroplast development Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 21 : 11-42.

KIRK, J.T.O. and TILNEY-BASSETT, R.A.E. (1967): The Plastids. Their chemistry, structure, growth and inheritance. W.H. Freeman and Co. London and San Francisco.

KLEIN, S. and BOGORAD, L. (1964): The structural changes in proplastids during photodestruction of pigments. J. Cell. Biol. 22 : 443-451.

KLEIN, S. and NEUMANN, J. (1966): The greening of etiolated bean leaves and the development of chloroplast fine structure in absence of photosynthesis. Plant Cell. Physiol. 7 : 115-123.

KLEIN, S. and POLJAKOFF-MAYBER, A. (1961): Fine structure and pigment conversion in isolated etiolated proplastids. J. Biochem. Biophys. Cytol. 11 : 433-440.

KNYPL, J.S. (1969): Inhibition of chlorophyll synthesis by growth retardants and coumarin, and its reversal by potassium. Nature 224 : 1025-1026.

KNYPL, J.S. (1970): Inhibition of chlorophyll synthesis by growth retarding chemicals and coumarin in detached cotyledons of pumpkin. Biochem. Physiol. Pflanzen. 161 : 1-13.

KNYPL, J.S. and CHYLINSKA, K.M. (1972): The inhibitory effect of (2-chloroethyl) trimethylammonium chloride on chlorophyll and protein synthesis in lettuce cotyledons and its reversal by pottassium. J. Exp. Bot. 23 : 525-529.

KOEHLER, K.H. (1972): Action of inhibitors of protein and nucleic acid synthesis on light-dependent and kinetin-stimulated betacyanin synthesis. Phytochem. 11 : 127-131.

KÖHLER, D. (1966): Die abhängigkeit der gibberellinproduktion von normalerbsen vom phytochrom-system. Planta (Berl.) 98 : 109-116.

KOSKI, V.M. (1950): Chlorophyll formation in seedlings of *Zea mays* L. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 29 : 339-343.

KOSKI, V.M., FRENCH, C.S. and SMITH, J.H.C. (1951): The action spectrum for the transformation of protochlorophyll to chlorophyll *a* in normal and albino corn seedlings. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 31 : 1-17.

KOSKI, V.M. and SMITH, J.H.C. (1948): The isolation and spectral absorption properties of protochlorophyll from etiolated barley seedlings. J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 70 : 3558-3562.

KRASNOVSKY, A.A. (1960): The primary processes of photosynthesis in plants. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 11 : 363-410.

KULAEVA, O.N. (1962): The effect of roots on leaf metabolism in relation to the action of kinetin on leaves. Fiziol. Rast. 9 : 229-239.

KURAISHI, I. (1968): The effect of kinetin on protein level of *Brassica* leaf discs. Physiol. Plant. 21 : 78-83.

LANG, A. (1970): Gibberellins : structure and metabolism. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 21 : 537-570.

LAVEE, S. and MESSER, G. (1969): The effect of growth regulating substances and light on olive callus growth *in vitro*. J. Exp. Bot. 20 : 604-614.

LEE, T.T. (1969): Regulation of starch and sugar levels in tobacco leaves by gibberellic acid. Can. J. Bot. 47 : 1595-1598.

LEECH, R.M., RUMSBY, M.G., THOMSON, W.W. and CROSBY, W. (1971): Lipid changes in plastid differentiation in developing maize leaves. Int. Congr. on Photosynthesis. Stresa. Abstracts. p.30.

LEWIS, L.N., COGGINS, C.W., and GARBER, M.J. (1964): Chlorophyll concentration in the navel orange rind as related to potassium gibberellate, light intensity and time. Am. Soc. Hort. Sci. 84 : 177-180.

LITVIN, F.F. and KRASNOVSKY, A.A. (1957): Intermediate stages of formation of chlorophyll in etiolated leaves as studied by fluorescence spectra. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. S.S.S.R. 117 : 106-109.

LOEFFLER, J.E. (1955): Precursors of protochlorphyll in etiolated barley seedlings. Cam. Inst. Year Book 54 : 159-160.

LOVEYS, B.R. and WAREING, P.F. (1971): The red light controlled production of gibberellin in etiolated wheat leaves. Planta (Berl.) 98 : 109-116.

MARGULIES, M.M. (1964): Effect of chloramphenicol on light-dependent synthesis of proteins and enzymes of leaves and chloroplasts of *Phaseoulus vulgaris*. Plant Physiol. 39 : 579-585.

MARGULIES, M.M. (1966): Effect of chloramphenicol on formation of
chloroplast structure and protein during greening of
etiolated leaves of *Phaseoulus vulgaris*. Plant Physiol.
41 : 992-1003.

MARGULIES, M.M. (1967): Effect of chloramphenicol on chlorophyll
synthesis of bean leaves. Plant Physiol. 42 : 218-220.

McMAHON, D. and BOGORAD, L. (1967): Inhibition of photosynthetic
enzyme induction by inhibitors of photosynthesis.
Federation Proc. 26 : 807.

MEGO, J.L. and JAGENDORF, A.T. (1961): Effect of light on growth
of Black Valentine bean plastids. Biochim. Biophys. Acta
53 : 237-254.

MERCER, E.I. and GOODWIN, T.W. (1962): The incorporation of
$[2^{-14}C]$ mevalonic acid and $^{14}CO_2$ into the phytyl side chain
of chlorophyll in maize seedlings. Biochem. J. 85 : 13p.

MERCER, E.I. and GOODWIN, T.W. (1963): Incorporation of $[2^{-14}C]$
mevalonic acid into steroids of maize seedlings. Biochem.
J. 88 : 46p-47p.

MICHEL-WOLWERTZ, M.R. and SIRONVAL, C. (1971): On the induction
of PSII function in greening bean leaves. Int. Congr. on
Photos. Res. Stresa, 1971. Abstracts p.97.

121

MILLER, C.O. (1956): Similarity of some kinetin and red light

    effects. Plant Physiol. 31 : 318-319.

MITRAKOS, K. (1961): The participation of the red far-red reaction

    system in chlorophyll metabolism. Physiol. Plant. 14 :

    497-503.

MONTEVERDE, N.A. (1893): quoted by Kirk and Tilney-Bassett (1967).

MOORE, K. and LOVELL, P. (1970): Differential effects of the

    embryonic axis on chlorophyll production and photosynthesis

    of mustard cotyledons. Planta (Berl.) 93 : 289-294.

MOTHES, K. (1959): Bemerkungen über die physiolegischen

    voraussetzungen der eweissynthese in isolieten blättern.

    Colleg. Ges. Physiol. Chem. 10 : 72-81.

MURRAY, A.E. and KLEIN, A.O. (1971): Relationship between photo-

    convertible and non-photoconvertible protochlorophyllides.

    Physiol. Plant. 48 : 383-388.

NANDI, D.L. and WAYGOOD, E.R. (1965): Biosynthesis of porphyrins

    in wheat leaves. I. Succinate : CoA ligase (ADP).

    Can. J. Biochem. 43: 1605-1614.

NARAIN, A. and LALORAYA, M.M. (1970): Inhibition of chlorophyll

    synthesis by kinetin in Cucumis cotyledons. Plant Cell.

    Physiol. 11 : 173-175.

NEGBI, M. and RUSHKIN, E. (1966): Inhibition of chlorophyll
synthesis by growth retardants and counteraction of the
effect by gibberellin. Israel J. Bot. 15 : 17-21.

NEUBERGER, A. and TURNER, J.M. (1963): γ,δ-Dioxovalerate amino-
transferase activity in *Rhodopseudomonas spheroides*.
Biochim. Biophys. Acta 67 : 342-345.

NINNEMAN, H., ZEEVART, J.A.D., KENDE, H. and LANG, A. (1964):
The plant growth retardant CCC as inhibitor of gibberellin
biosynthesis in *Fusarium moniliforme*. Planta (Berl.) 61 :
229-235.

OBENDORF, R.L. and HUFFAKER, R.C. (1970): Influence of age and
illumination on distribution of several Calvin cycle enzymes
in greening barley leaves. Plant Physiol. 45 : 579-582.

OELZE-KAROW, H. and BUTLER, W.L. (1971): The development of
photophosphorylation and photosynthesis in greening bean
leaves. Plant Physiol. 48 : 621-625.

OH-HAMA, T., SHIHIRA-ISHIKAWA, I. and HASE, E. (1965):
Development of photosynthetic activities during the process
of chloroplast formation of *Chlorella protothecoides*.
Plant Cell Physiol. 6 : 743-760.

OOCHAI, S. and HASE, E. (1970): Studies on chlorophyll formation in *Chlorella protothecoides.* I. Enhancing effects of light and added δ-aminolevulinic acid and suppresive effect of glucose on chlorophyll formation. Plant Cell Physiol. 11 : 663-673.

ÖPIK, H. and SIMON, E.W. (1963): Water content and respiration of bean cotyledons. J. Exp. Bot. 14 : 299-310.

OSBORNE, D.J. (1962): Effect of kinetin on protein and nucleic acid metabolism in *Xanthium* leaves during senescence. Plant Physiol. 37 : 595-602.

OSBORNE, D.J. (1967): Hormonal regulation of leaf senescence in Aspects of the biology of ageing. Ed. H.W. Woodhouse. Symp. Soc. Exp. Bot. XXI. 305-321.

OSBORNE, D.J. and McCALLA, D.R. (1961): Rapid bioassay for kinetin and kinins using senescing leaf tissue. Plant Physiol. 36 : 219-221.

PALEG, L. (1960): Physiological effects of gibberellic acid. I. On carbohydrate metabolism and amylase activity of barley endosperm. Plant. Physiol. 35 : 293-299.

PARTHIER, B. and WOLLGIEHN, R. (1961): Uber den einfluss des kinetins auf den eiweiss - und nubleinsäure stoffwecksel in isolierten Tabakblattern. Ber. Deut. Botan. Ges. 74 : 47-51.

PENNER, D. and WILEY, L. (1972): The influence of atrazine, benzyladenine and the embryonic axis on chlorophyll synthesis in cucumber and squash cotyledons. Physiol. Plant. 26 : 148-151.

PHUNG NHU HUNG, S., REMY, R. and MOYSE, A. (1971): Cytochromes and lamellar protein of greening barley etioplasts. Int. Congr. on photosynthesis. Stresa, Abstracts. p.97.

PLESNICAR, M. and BENDALL, D.S. (1971): The development of photochemical activities during greening of etiolated barley. Int. Congr. on photosynthesis. Stresa, Abstracts. p.96.

POGO, B.G.T. and POGO, A.O. (1965): Inhibition by chloramphenicol of chlorophyll and protein synthesis and growth in *Euglena gracilis*. J. Protozool. 12 : 96-100.

POLLACK, R.W. and DAVIES, P.J. (1970): Kinetic studies on chloroplastic ribosomal RNA and chlorophyll development during greening of pea buds. Phytochem. 9 : 471-476.

POSSINGHAM, J.V. and SAURER, W. (1969): Changes in chloroplast number per cell during leaf development in spinach. Planta (Berl.) 86 : 186-194.

POSSINGHAM, J.V. and SMITH, J.W. (1972): Factors affecting chloroplast replication in spinach. J. Exp. Bot. 23 : 1050-1059.

POULSON, R. and BEEVERS, L. (1970): Nucleic acid metabolism during greening and unrolling of barley leaf segments. Plant Physiol. 46 : 315-319.

POWELL, R.D. and MORGAN, P.W. (1970): Factors involved in the opening of the hypocotyl hook of cotton and beans. Plant Physiol. 45 : 548-552.

POWELL, R.D. and GRIFFITHS, M.M. (1960): Some anatomical effects of kinetin and red light on disks of bean leaves. Plant Physiol. 35 : 273-275.

POWER, J.B. and COCKING, E.C. (1970): Isolation of leaf protoplasts : macromolecule uptake and growth substance response. J. Exp. Bot. 21 : 64-70.

PRICE, L. and KLEIN, W.H. (1961): Red, far-red responses and chlorophyll synthesis. Plant Physiol. 36 : 733-755.

PRINGSHEIM, N. (1874): quoted by Kirk and Tilney-Bassett (1967).

REBEIZ, C.A., ABOU-HAIDAR, M., YAGHI, M. and CASTELFRANCO, P.A. (1970): Porphyrin biosynthesis in cell-free homogenates from higher plants. Plant Physiol. 46 : 543-549.

REBEIZ, C.A., YAGHI, M., ABOU-HAIDAR, M. and CASTELFRANCO, P.A. (1970): Protochlorophyll biosynthesis in cucumber cotyledons. Plant Physiol. 46 : 56-63.

REBEIZ, C.A. and CASTELFRANCO, P.A. (1971): Protochlorophyll biosynthesis in a cell-free system from higher plants. Plant Physiol. 47 : 24-32.

REID, D.M., CLEMENTS, J.B. and CARR, D.J. (1968): Red light induction of gibberellin synthesis in leaves. Nature 217 : 580-582.

RHODES, M.J.C. and YEMM, E.W. (1963): Development of chloroplasts and the synthesis of proteins in leaves. Nature 200 : 1077-1080.

RHODES, M.J.C. and YEMM, E.W. (1966): The development of chloroplasts and photosynthetic activities in young barley leaves. New Phytol. 65 : 331-342.

RICHMOND, A.E. and LANG, A. (1957): Effect of kinetin on protein content and survival of detached *Xanthium* leaves. Science 125 : 650-651.

RICHMOND, A.E., SACHS, B. and OSBORNE, D.J. (1971): Chloroplasts, kinetin and protein synthesis. Physiol. Plant. 24 : 176-180.

RÖBBELEN, G. (1956): Über die protochlorophyllreduktion in einer mutante von *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Planta 47 : 532-546.

ROGERS, L.J., SHAH, S.P. and GOODWIN, T.W. (1966a): Intracellular localization of mevalonate-activating enzymes in plant cells. Biochem. J. 99 : 381-388.

ROGERS, L.J., SHAH, S.P. and GOODWIN, T.W. (1966b): Mevalonate-kinase isoenzymes in plant cells. Biochem. J. 100 : 14c-17c.

ROMANKO, E.G., KHEIN, Kh. Ya. and KULAEVA, O.N. (1968): Effect of cytokinin on physiological activity of chloroplasts. Biokhimiya 33 : 547-552.

ROTH-BEJERANO, N. and LIPS, S.H. (1970): Seasonal characteristics of interaction between kinetin and gibberellic acid with regard to level of nitrate reductase activity in tobacco leaves. Israel J. Bot. 19 : 30-36.

RYLSKI, I. and HALEVY, A.H. (1972): The effect of damage to cotyledons or primary leaves on the development of pepper (*Capsicum annum* L.) Hort. Sci. 7 : 69-70.

SCHOPFER, P. and SIEGELMAN, H.W. (1969): Purification of proto-chlorophyllide holochrome. Prog. in Photosynthesis Research. Ed. H. Metzner. II. Plastid pigments, electron transport. 611-617.

SEBANEK, J. (1966): Einfluss des kinetins auf den gehalt endogeno-gibberelline in den wurzeln dekapitierter erbsenkeimlinge. Naturwiss. 53 : 336-

SESTAK, Z. and ULLMAN, J. (1960): The effect of gibberellic acid on the dynamics of chlorophyll synthesis in etiolated seedlings. Biol. Plant. (Praha) 2 : 43-47.

SEYBOLD, A. and EGLE, K. (1938): Zur chromatographischen methode der blattpigmente. Planta 28 : 114-118.

SHEWRY, P.R., PINFIELD, N.J. and STOBART, A.K. (1971): The effect of 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid and (2-chloroethyl) trimethylammonium chloride on chlorophyll synthesis in barley leaves. Planta (Berl.) 101 : 352-359.

SHIBATA, K. (1957): Spectroscopic studies on chlorophyll formation in intact plants. J. Biochem. 44 : 147-173.

SHLYK, A.A. (1971): Biosynthesis of chlorophyll *b*. Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. 22 : 169-184.

SHLYK, A.A. and AVERINA, N.G. (1969): Kinetin stimulation of protochlorophyllide accumulation in normal green leaves in the dark. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. S.S.S.R. 186 : 1209-1212.

SIRONVAL, C., MICHEL-WOLWERTZ, M.R. and MADSEN, A. (1965): On the nature and possible functions of the 673- and 684 mμ forms *in vivo* of chlorophyll. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 94 : 344-354.

SISLER, E.C. and KLEIN, W.H. (1963): The effect of age and various chemicals on the lag phase of chlorophyll synthesis in dark grown bean seedlings. Physiol. Plant. 16 : 315-322.

SMILLIE, R.M. (1963): Formation and function of soluble proteins in chloroplasts. Can. J. Bot. 41 : 123-154.

SMILLIE, R.M., GRAHAM, D., DWYER, R., GRIEVE, A. and TOBIN, N.F. (1967): Evidence for the synthesis *in vivo* of proteins of the Calvin cycle and of the photosynthetic electron-transfer pathway on chloroplast ribosomes. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 28 : 604-610.

SMITH, H. (1970): Phytochrome and photomorphogenesis in plants. Nature 227 : 665-668.

SMITH, H., STEWART, G.R. and BERRY, D.R. (1970): The effects of light on plastid ribosomal-RNA and enzymes at different stages of barley etioplast development. Phytochem. 9 : 977-983.

SMITH, J.H.C. (1954): The development of chlorophyll and oxygen-evolving power in etiolated barley leaves when illuminated. Plant. Physiol. 29 : 143-148.

SMITH, J.H.C. (1960): Protochlorophyll transformations. In Comp. Biochem. of Photoreactive Systems. Ed. M.B. Allen. Acad. Press. 325-338.

SMITH, J.H.C. and BENITEZ, A. (1954): The effect of temperature on the conversion of protochlorophyll to chlorophyll a in etiolated barley leaves. Plant. Physiol. 29 : 135-143.

SMITH, J.H.C., FRENCH, C.S. and KOSKI, V.M. (1952): The Hill reaction: development of chloroplast activity during greening of etiolated barley leaves. Plant Physiol. 27 : 212-213.

SPENCER, D. and WHITFIELD, P.R. (1967a): Ribonucleic acid synthesizing activity of spinach chloroplasts and nuclei. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 121 : 336-345.

SPENCER, D. and WHITFIELD, P.R. (1967b): DNA synthesis in isolated chloroplasts. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm. 28 : 538-542.

STEER, B.T. and GIBBS, M. (1969): Changes in succinyl CoA synthetase activity in etiolated bean leaves caused by illumination. Plant Physiol. 44 : 775-780.

STERN, A.J., SCHIFF, J. and EPSTEIN, H.T. (1964): Chloroplast development in *Euglena*.V. Pigment biosynthesis, photo-synthetic oxygen evolution and carbon dioxide fixation during chloroplast development. Plant Physiol. 39 : 220-226.

STOBART, A.K. and PINFIELD, N.J. (1970): Light-induced changes in succinyl-CoA synthetase in barley seedlings. New Phytol. 69 : 31-35.

STOBART, A.K., SHEWRY, P.R. and THOMAS, D.R. (1972): The effect of kinetin on chlorophyll synthesis in ageing etiolated barley leaves exposed to light. Phytochem. 11 : 571-577.

STODDART, J.L. (1965): Chemical changes in *Lolium temulentium* after treatment with CCC. J. Exp. Bot. 16 : 604-613.

STODDART, J.L. (1968): The association of gibberellin-like activity with the chloroplast fraction of leaf homogenates. Planta (Berl.) 81 : 106-112.

STODDART, J.L. (1969): Incorporation of kaurenic acid into gibberellin by chloroplast preparations of *Brassica oleracea*. Phytochem. **8** : 831-837.

SUGIURA, M. (1963): Promotion of chlorophyll synthesis by kinetin. Bot. Mag. Tokyo **76** : 309-310.

SUGIURA, M., UMEMURA, K. and OOTA, Y. (1962): The effect of kinetin on protein level of tobacco leaf disks. Physiol. Plant. **15** : 457-464.

SUNDEQVIST, C. (1969): Transformation of protochlorophyllide formed from exogenous δ-aminolaevulinic acid in continuous light and flashlight. Physiol. Plant. **22** : 147-156.

SUNDEQVIST, C. (1970): The conversion of protochlorophyllide-636 to protochlorophyllide-650 in leaves treated with δ-amino laevulinic acid. Physiol. Plant. **23** : 412-424.

SZALAI, I. (1968): Gibberellinsäure und chlorophyllgehalt des blattes von *Phaseoulus vulgaris* L. Planta (Berl.) **83** : 161-165.

SZALAI, I. (1969): Relation between the chlorophyll content and paleness of gibberellic acid treated leaves. Physiol. Plant. **22** : 587-593.

TANADA, T. (1968): A rapid photoreversible response of barley root tips in the presence of 3-indoleacetic acid. Proc. U.S. Natl. Acad. Sci. 59 : 376-380.

TEVINI, M. (1971): The formation of phospholipids and glycolipids following illumination of etiolated seedlings. Int. Congr. on Photosynthesis. Stresa. Abstracts. p.29.

THORNE, S.W. (1971a): The greening of etiolated bean leaves. I. The initial photoconversion process. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 226 : 113-127.

THORNE, S.W. (1971b): The greening of etiolated bean leaves. II. Secondary and further photoconversion processes. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 226 : 128-134.

THORNE, S.W. and BOARDMAN, N.K. (1971): Formation of chlorophyll *b* and the fluorescent properties and photochemical activities of isolated plastids from greening pea seedlings. Plant Physiol. 47 : 252-261.

TOLBERT, N.E. and GAILEY, F.B. (1955): Carbon dioxide fixation by etiolated plants after exposure to white light. Plant Physiol. 30 : 491-499.

TREHARNE, K.J. and STODDART, J.L. (1968): Effects of gibberellin on photosynthesis in red clover *(Trifolium pratense L.)* Nature 220 : 457-458.

TREHARNE, K.J., STODDART, J.L. PUGHE, J., PARANJOTHY, K. and
WAREING, P.F. (1970): Effects of gibberellin and cyto-
kinins on the activity of photosynthetic enzymes and
plastid ribosomal RNA synthesis in *Phaseoulus vulgaris* L.
Nature 228 : 129-131.

TRÉMOLIÈRES, A. and LEPAGE, M. (1971): Changes in lipid
composition during greening of etiolated pea seedlings.
Plant Physiol. 47 : 329-334.

TROWN, P.W. (1965): An improved method for the isolation of
carboxydismutase. Probable indentity with fraction I
protein and the protein moiety of protochlorophyll
holochrome. Biochem. 4 : 908-918.

VARNER, J.E. (1964): Gibberellic acid controlled synthesis of
α-amylase in barley endosperm. Plant Physiol. 39 : 413-415.

VIRGIN, H.I. (1955): The conversion of protochlorophyll to chloro-
phyll *a* in continuous and intermittent light. Physiol.
Plant. 8 : 389-403.

VIRGIN, H.I. (1958): Studies on the formation of protochlorophyll
and chlorophyll *a* under varying light treatments. Physiol.
Plant. 11 : 347-362

VIRGIN, H.I. (1960): Pigment transformation in leaves of wheat after
irradiation. Physiol. Plant 13 : 155-164.

VIRGIN, H.I., KAHN, A. and von WETTSTEIN, D. (1963): The
    physiology of chlorophyll formation in relation to
    structural changes in chloroplasts. Photochem. Photobiol.
    2 : 83-91.

VOROBAEVA,L.M., BYSTROVA, M.I. and KRASNOVSKY, A.A. (1963): Phytolic
    and non-phytolic forms of pigments in leaves and homogenates.
    Biokhimiya **28** : 426-433.

VYVYAN, M.C. (1924): Studies on the rate of growth of leaves by
    a photographic method. I. The determination of the rate
    of growth of first leaves of *Phaseoulus vulgaris*. Ann. Bot.
    38 : 59-103.

WALLACE, J.W. and NEWMAN, D.W. (1965): Lipids of proplastids and
    nitrogen - deficient chloroplasts. Phytochem. **4** : 43-47

WAREING, P.F., KHALIFA, M.M. and TREHARNE, K.J. (1968): Rate
    limiting processes in photosynthesis at saturating light
    intensities. Nature **220** : 453-457.

WELLBURN, F.A.M. and WELLBURN, A.R. (1971): Chlorophyll synthesis
    by isolated intact etioplasts. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Comm.
    45 : 747-750.

von WETTSTEIN, D. (1958): The formation of plastid structures.
    Brookerhaven Symp. in Biology 11. 138-159.

von WETTSTEIN, D., HENNINGSEN, K.W., BOYNTON, J.E., KANNANGARA, C.G.,
and NIELSEN,O.F.:(1971): The genic control of chloro-
plast development in barley. Autonomy and biogenesis of
mitochondria and chloroplasts 205-223. Ed. Boardman,
Linnane and Smillie. North-Holland.

WHEELER, A.W. (1960): Changes in a leaf-growth substance in cotyledons
and primary leaves during growth of dwarf bean seedlings.
J. Exp. Bot. 11 : 217-226.

WHEELER, A.W. (1966): Effect of removing cotyledons, apical growing
region, or trifoliate leaves on the growth and growth
substance content of dwarf French bean (Phaseoulus vulgaris).
J. Exp. Bot. 17 : 621-626.

WHEELER, A.W. (1971): Auxins and cytokinins exuded during formation
of roots by detached primary leaves and stems of dwarf
French beans (Phaseoulus vulgaris L.) Planta (Berl.) 98 :
128-135.

WHEELER, A.W. and HUMPHRIES, E.C. (1963): Effect of gibberellic
acid on growth, gibberellin content and chlorophyll content
of leaves of potato (Solanum tuberosum). J. Exp. Bot.
14 : 132-136.

WHYTE, P. and LUCKWILL, L.C. (1966): A sensitive bioassay for
gibberellins based on retardation of leaf senescence in
Rumex obtusifolus L. Nature 210 : 1360.

WITHROW, R.B., WOLFF, J.B. and PRICE, L. (1956): Elimination of the lag phase of chlorophyll synthesis in dark-grown leaves by a pre-treatment with low irradiances of monochrome energy. Plant Physiol. 31 : xiii-xiv.

WITTWER, S.H. and BUKOVAC, M.J. (1958): The effects of gibberellin on economic crops. J. Econ. Bot. 12 : 213-255.

WOLFF, F.T. and HABER, A.H. (1960): Chlorophyll content of gibberellin-treated wheat seedlings. Nature 186 : 217-218.

WOLFF, J.B. and PRICE, L. (1957): Terminal steps of chlorophyll a biosynthesis in higher plants. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 72 : 293-301.

WOLFF, J.B. and PRICE, L. (1960): The effect of sugars on chlorophyll biosynthesis in higher plants. J. Biol. Chem. 235 : 1603-1608.

WOLKEN, J.J. and MELLON, A.D. (1956): The relation between chlorophyll and the carotenoids in the algal flagellate, *Euglena.* J. Gen. Physiol. 39 : 675-685.

ZEEVART, J.A.D. (1966): Reduction of the gibberellin content of *Pharbitis* seeds by CCC and after effects in the progeny. Plant. Physiol. 41 : 856-862.

ZIEGLER, H. and ZIEGLER, I. (1965): Der einfluss der belichtung

auf die NADP$^+$-abhängige gylcerinaldehyde-3-phosphate-

dehydrogenase. Planta (Berl.) 65 : 369-380.

The Interaction of a Gibberellin and a Kinin in the Control of Chlorophyll Synthesis

Chlorophyll formation in etiolated plant material is a light requiring process. In darkness the immediate precursor of chlorophyll, protochlorophyll, accumulates and this is photoreduced to chlorophyll. This terminal step is at the end of a complex biosynthetic pathway, and the continuing production of chlorophyll is associated with a parallel development of chloroplast thylakoid structure[1]. Many physiological and biochemical treatments have an apparent effect on chlorophyll formation in a variety of known and unknown ways. A few examples of this are the phytochrome-mediated abolition of the lag phase of chlorophyll synthesis[2-5], the inhibition of chlorophyll formation by a range of antibiotics[6-9], and a range of responses elicited by growth regulators. Gibberellins[10-13] and kinins[14-16] have been reported to promote[10,11,14,15] and inhibit[12,13,16] chlorophyll formation in a variety of plant materials.

In this preliminary report we have investigated the effects of a gibberellin (gibberellic acid, GA_3) and a kinin (6-benzyl-aminopurine, 6-BAP) on chlorophyll formation in etiolated mung bean leaves.

The treated plant material consisted of the two primary leaves with petioles and the minute apical bud. These were detached from seedlings which had been grown in total darkness on vermiculite for 5 days at 25 °C. Four uniform samples of 5 leaf pairs for each treatment were placed on Whatman number 3 filter paper in 9 cm crystallizing dishes, containing 10 ml of the appropriate solutions. GA_3 was prepared in aqueous solution and 6-BAP contained 0.05% dimethyl formamide at 10 mg/l. This was used to initially dissolve the cytokinin and did not effect chlorophyll synthesis. After 4 h dark incubation, the leaf pairs were illuminated at 1000 lux for 48 h at 25 °C. Chlorophyll was extracted in 80% acetone and the concentration determined using the method of Arnon[17].

The results in the Table reveal a synergistic promotion of chlorophyll synthesis at 1,5 and 10 mg/l 6-BAP in combination with 0.01, 0.1, 1.0 and 10 mg/l GA_3. A peak for this enhancement occured at 5 mg/l GA_3. Synergistic inhibition of chlorophyll formation was also observed with a maximum effect at 0.01 mg/l 6-BAP in the presence of 0.001 mg/l GA_3. The effect of these hormones on their own was small. A slight promotion was observed with most GA_3 concentrations, while 6-BAP showed an inhibition at the lower concentrations and a promotion at 5 mg/l.

The relationship of the concentrations of GA_3 and 6-BAP which produced an optimum promotion showed that as the concentration of 6-BAP was increased, the concentration of GA_3 necessary for this optimum effect decreased. A similar interrelationship between GA_3 and kinetin was found by Roth-Bejerano and Lips[18] for the induction of nitrate reductase activity in summer-grown tobacco. The addition of both hormones to discs of dwarf french bean leaves resulted in a stimulation of expansion, but their action was additive rather than synergistic[19]. Kursanov et al.[20], however, elicited a synergistic response with 10 mg/l BAP + 1 mg/l GA_3 on the expansion of isolated pumpkin cotyledons.

The results reported above were obtained with leaves detached from 5-day-old seedlings, and these were the maximal responses elicited over a wider age range. It is possible that this maximal effect was related to the internal hormonal and substrate status of the leaves, which was determined, prior to detachment by the physiological interaction of leaves, cotyledons, hypocotyl and roots.

Resumé. La promotion synergétique et l'inhibition de la synthèse de la chlorophylle par la gibberelline (GA_3) et par la 6-benzylaminopurine (6-BAP) de concentrations diverses, fut observée. L'augmentation de la concentration de 6-BAP réduisit la concentration de GA_3 nécessaire pour obtenir la promotion maximum.

C. C. Hole and A. D. Dodge

School of Biological Sciences, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath (Somerset, England), 15 March 1972.

The effect of a gibberellin (GA_3) and a kinin (6-BAP) on chlorophyll formation in etiolated bean leaves

Gibberellic acid GA_3 (mg/l)	6-Benzyl-aminopurine (mg/l)						
	0	0.001	0.01	0.1	1.0	5.0	10.0
0	100	84	71	94	95	112	105
0.001	110	77	49	87	109	119	11
0.01	110	86	56	85	120	169	131
0.1	103	77	56	105	129	174	120
1.0	107	83	90	100	143	169	117
10	117	94	95	103	126	156	129

The chlorophyll content per leaf is expressed as a percentage of the control level.

[1] H. I. Virgin, A. Khan and D. Von Wettstein, Photochem. Photobiol *2*, 83 (1963).

[2] R. B. Withrow, J. B. Wolff and L. Price, Pl. Physiol. *31*, 13 (1956).

[3] H. I. Virgin, Physiologia plant. *14*, 439 (1961).

[4] L. Price and W. H. Klein, Pl. Physiol. *36*, 733 (1961).

[5] K. Mitrakos, Physiologia plant. *14*, 497 (1961)

[6] M. M. Margulies, Pl. Physiol. *37*, 473 (1962).

[7] J. T. O. Kirk and R. L. Allen, Biochem. biophys. Res. Commun. *14*, 393 (1965).

[8] L. Bogorad and A. B. Jacobson, Biochem. biophys Res. Commun. *14*, 113 (1964).

[9] R. J. Dowdell and A. D. Dodge, Planta *98*, 11 (1971).

[10] A. W. Wheeler and E. C. Humphries, J. exp. Bot. *14*, 132 (1963).

[11] M. Negbi and E. Rushkin, Israel J. Bot. *15*, 17 (1966).

[12] I. Szalai, Planta *83*, 161 (1968).

[13] I. Szalai, Physiologia plant. *22*, 587 (1969).

[14] D. Banerji and M. M. Laloraya, Pl. Cell Physiol. Tokyo. *8*, 263 (1967).

[15] M. Sugiura, Bot. Mag. Tokyo *76*, 309 (1963).

[16] A. Narain and M. M. Laloraya, Pl. Cell Physiol., Tokyo *11*, 173 (1970).

[17] D. I. Arnon, Pl. Physiol *24*, 1 (1949).

[18] N. Roth-Bejerano and H. S. Lips, Israel J. Bot. *19*, 30 (1970).

[19] E. C. Humphries and A. W. Wheeler, J. exp. Bot. *11*, 81 (1960).

[20] A. L. Kursanov, O. N. Kulaeva and T. P. Mikulovich, Am. J. Bot. *56*, 767 (1969).