J Antimicrob Chemother 2018; 73: 1692–1699 doi:10.1093/jac/dky075 Advance Access publication 13 March 2018

Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy

Duration of exposure to multiple antibiotics is associated with increased risk of VRE bacteraemia: a nested case-control study

Theodore Gouliouris \bullet^{1-3*} , Ben Warne 1,3 , Edward J. P. Cartwright 1 , Luke Bedford 2 , Chathika K. Weerasuriya 3 , Kathy E. Raven 1 , Nick M. Brown 2 , M. Estée Török $^{1-3}$, Direk Limmathurotsakul 4 and Sharon J. Peacock $^{1,3-5}$

 $^{\rm 1}$ Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK; ²Public Health England, Clinical Microbiology and Public Health Laboratory, Cambridge, UK; ³Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, UK; ⁴Mahidol-Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Unit, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand; ⁵London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, Keppel Street, London, UK

*Corresponding author. Department of Medicine, University of Cambridge, Level 5, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, UK. Tel: +44-(0)1223-336867; Fax: +44-(0)1223-336846; E-mail: theo.gouliouris@doctors.org.uk @ orcid.org/0000-0002-2011-1205

Received 19 November 2017; returned 14 December 2017; revised 6 February 2018; accepted 12 February 2018

Background: VRE bacteraemia has a high mortality and continues to defy control. Antibiotic risk factors for VRE bacteraemia have not been adequately defined. We aimed to determine the risk factors for VRE bacteraemia focusing on duration of antibiotic exposure.

Methods: A retrospective matched nested case-control study was conducted amongst hospitalized patients at Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (CUH) from 1 January 2006 to 31 December 2012. Cases who developed a first episode of VRE bacteraemia were matched 1:1 to controls by length of stay, year, specialty and ward type. Independent risk factors for VRE bacteraemia were evaluated using conditional logistic regression.

Results: Two hundred and thirty-five cases were compared with 220 controls. Duration of exposure to parenteral vancomycin, fluoroquinolones and meropenem was independently associated with VRE bacteraemia. Compared with patients with no exposure to vancomycin, those who received courses of 1–3 days, 4–7 days or .7 days had a stepwise increase in risk of VRE bacteraemia [conditional OR (cOR) 1.2 (95% CI 0.4–3.8), 3.8 (95% CI 1.2–11.7) and 6.6 (95% CI 1.9–22.8), respectively]. Other risk factors were: presence of a central venous catheter (CVC) [cOR 8.7 (95% CI 2.6–29.5)]; neutropenia [cOR 15.5 (95% CI 4.2–57.0)]; hypoalbuminaemia [cOR 8.5 (95% CI 2.4–29.5)]; malignancy [cOR 4.4 (95% CI 1.6–12.0)]; gastrointestinal disease [cOR 12.4 (95% CI 4.2–36.8)]; and hepatobiliary disease [cOR 7.9 (95% CI 2.1–29.9)].

Conclusions: Longer exposure to vancomycin, fluoroquinolones or meropenem was associated with VRE bacteraemia. Antimicrobial stewardship interventions targeting high-risk antibiotics are required to complement infection control procedures against VRE bacteraemia.

Introduction

Over the last 20 years, VRE have emerged as a major cause of healthcare-associated bacteraemia, disproportionally affecting immunocompromised and critically ill patients.¹ Enterococcus faecium has become responsible for most VRE infections following the global dissemination of a hospital-adapted lineage.² VRE bacteraemias are associated with increased costs of care, length of stay and mortality compared with bactaraemias caused by vancomycin-susceptible enterococci (VSE).^{[3](#page-6-0),[4](#page-6-0)} In contrast to other healthcareassociated infections, rates of VRE bacteraemia have failed to decline in response to a host of generic infection control interventions in different healthcare settings⁵⁻⁷ and are even increasing in some countries.⁸ Consequently, the identification of modifiable risk factors for VRE bacteraemia remains a priority.

Gut carriage of VRE is a major risk factor for VRE bacteraemia. Bloodstream infection may be preceded by high levels of VRE car-riage in the gut.^{[9](#page-6-0)} In recipients of allogeneic stem cell transplants, this is observed in conjunction with loss of microbiota diversity (particularly anaerobes), a state termed enterococcal dominance[.10](#page-6-0) Exposure to a range of antibiotics increases susceptibility to VRE intestinal colonization and progression to high-level carriage and bacteraemia, although the effect of individual antibiotics varies at each step of this sequence of events.^{[11](#page-6-0)} The rate of progression from carriage to invasive infection is also affected by the comorbidities of the patient population.¹² Length of stay,

© The Author(s) 2018. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Society for Antimicrobial Chemotherapy. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 1692

adherence to infection control procedures and proximity to VREcolonized patients or a contaminated environment are additional modifiable factors that affect the risk of VRE colonization.¹³

A number of studies have identified risk factors for VRE bacteraemia, including haematological malignancy, renal insufficiency, acute severity of illness, immunosuppression/neutropenia, gastrointestinal disease or procedures and modifiable factors such as antibiotic exposure. $14-24}$ Vancomycin is the antibiotic most commonly implicated, but not all studies agree on its role. Lastly, few studies have quantified the effect of cumulative exposure to individual antibiotics[.15,22,23](#page-7-0)

The aim of this study was to identify modifiable risk factors for VRE bacteraemia, in particular antibiotic exposure, using a nested case-control study design in a centre with high rates of VRE endemicity.

Methods

Study setting, design and participants

A retrospective matched nested case-control study was conducted amongst hospitalized patients at Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (CUH) in the UK from 1 January 2006 to 31 December 2012. This tertiary referral teaching hospital has 1170 beds, 340 000 occupied bed-days per year and a range of specialties including hepatology and hepatobiliary surgery, solid organ transplantation (kidney, liver, pancreas and small bowel/multivisceral), adult haematopoietic stem cell transplantation, paediatric haemato-oncology, and general and neurocritical ICUs. CUH has reported the highest number of VRE bacteraemias in England in the national mandatory surveillance scheme from 2003 to 2012 (426/6246 or 7% of national total out of 161 hospital Trusts). An active antimicrobial stewardship programme was in place throughout the duration of the study, including prescribing guidelines and regular antimicrobial rounds. Infection control practices targeting VRE did not change during the study period; however, a line-care bundle was implemented during 2006 and a deepclean programme in 2007. Vancomycin and teicoplanin susceptibility was determined by disc diffusion using BSAC breakpoints [\(http://www.bsac.org.](http://www.bsac.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2012/02/BSAC-Susceptibility-testing-version-143.pdf) [uk/wp-content/uploads/2012/02/BSAC-Susceptibility-testing-version-143.](http://www.bsac.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2012/02/BSAC-Susceptibility-testing-version-143.pdf) [pdf\)](http://www.bsac.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2012/02/BSAC-Susceptibility-testing-version-143.pdf). Cases and controls were identified using the diagnostic laboratory information system and the hospital electronic database, respectively. Cases were consecutive inpatients with their first episode of VRE bacteraemia during the study period. Patients with presumed contaminated blood cultures (single positive sets not necessitating the use of targeted antibiotic therapy for symptom and bacteraemia resolution at the clinicians' discretion) were excluded (Table [S1](https://academic.oup.com/jac/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jac/dky075#supplementary-data), available as [Supplementary data](https://academic.oup.com/jac/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jac/dky075#supplementary-data) at JAC Online). Controls were matched to cases in a 1:1 ratio for the following: (i) duration of stay (matched to cases based on time from admission to day that positive blood culture was taken); (ii) year of admission; (iii) specialty; and (iv) ward type defined as general adult, adult ICU or paediatric ward. Specialty and ward type were treated as time-varying variables and matched at the day of the bacteraemia. Matching for year of admission was chosen to minimize potential confounding that may arise owing to changes in antimicrobial prescribing or infection control practices during the study period. Matching for specialty and ward type was used to account for underlying comorbidities that predispose to VRE infections and for changes in local unit VRE prevalence. Cases could serve as controls before becoming a case and controls could serve as controls more than once.²⁵

Covariates

Demographic, epidemiological and clinical information were selected for inclusion based on a literature review of risk factors for VRE bacteraemia and extracted from paper and electronic patient records. These included

duration of hospital stay and prior ICU stay at CUH up to the point of matching, in-patient transfer from another hospital at the start of the current admission and cumulative length of stay in all wards and high-risk wards at CUH over the year prior to current admission. High-risk wards were those associated in the literature with increased risk of VRE colonization and invasive disease (adult and paediatric haemato-oncology, solid organ trans-plant, nephrology, hepatology and ICU).^{[26](#page-7-0)} Mortality at 30 days was determined from hospital records or from an online national database (NHS Spine). Usage data for all antibacterial and antifungal agents (including treatment and prophylactic doses) were collected for 30 days prior to matching from paper local and referring hospital records and drug charts. Cut-offs for duration of antimicrobial exposure were chosen at 3 days and 7 days in line with current antimicrobial stewardship recommendations in which indication for continuing antibiotics should be reviewed at 48–72 h and prolonged courses beyond one week are discouraged in the absence of α clear indication.²

Clinical parameters and comorbidities recorded at the time of matching included use of gastric acid-suppressing medication; presence of a central venous catheter (CVC); neutropenia (neutrophil count <500×10⁶/L); immunosuppression (other than neutropenia); hypoalbuminaemia (albumin <30 g/dL); solid organ or haematological malignancy; solid organ or haematopoietic stem cell transplantation; liver cirrhosis; gastrointestinal disease; and hepatobiliary disease. VRE carriage was defined as growth of VRE from any clinical culture in the 12 months prior to matching. An additional list of candidate variables and definitions is available as [Supplementary data.](https://academic.oup.com/jac/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jac/dky075#supplementary-data)

Statistical methods

Our primary analysis examined the association between exposure to antimicrobials and the subsequent development of VRE bacteraemia. We used univariable and multivariable logistic regression models conditioned on the matched variable to estimate conditional ORs (cORs) and 95% CIs for the association between independent factors and the development of VRE bacteraemia. Variables were evaluated in a multivariable model if differences between cases and controls on univariable analysis showed a P value <0.2. The final multivariable model was built using Hosmer and Lemeshow's purposeful selection.²⁸ Statistical analyses were performed using the Stata 12.1 software package (Stata Corp., USA).

Ethics

The study was approved by the local Research Ethics Committee (reference 13/EE/0044) and by the CUH Research and Development Department (reference A092807) and the need for informed consent was waived.

Results

We identified 295 patients with 331 episodes of VRE bacteraemia from 1 January 2006 to 31 December 2012 (average annual incidence of 12.8/100 000 occupied bed-days) (Figure [1\)](#page-2-0). These originated from a base of 218 223 patients that had 380 242 overnight admissions from 5 November 2005 to 31 December 2012. Twelve patients could not be assessed owing to missing paper records and 38 were excluded as their positive blood cultures were deemed contaminants by the treating doctors. Of the remaining 245 patients, 235 defined as cases were successfully matched to 220 controls. Eight cases also served as controls before becoming cases and seven controls were matched to cases more than once, resulting in 235 paired comparisons.

The demographic, clinical and microbiological characteristics of 235 cases and 220 controls are shown in Table [1](#page-3-0). Comparison between the two groups confirmed effective matching for age,

Gouliouris et al.

Figure 1. Selection of study population for nested case-control comparison of risk factors for VRE bacteraemia.

gender, speciality, ward type, year and length of stay. Thirty cases were younger than 16 years and, of the adult patients, 55 (27%) were located in an ICU at the onset of infection. VRE bacteraemia occurred in cases a median of 16 days following admission to CUH. E. faecium accounted for 91% and the VanA phenotype (resistance to both vancomycin and teicoplanin) for 87% of bacteraemias. The crude (all-cause) mortality at 30 days was higher in cases compared with controls (34% versus 13%). Only two deaths occurred in the paediatric population, both of which were cases.

A univariable analysis was performed to identify risk factors associated with VRE bacteraemia (Table [2](#page-4-0) and Table [S2\)](https://academic.oup.com/jac/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jac/dky075#supplementary-data). This demonstrated associations with the following: cumulative length of stay on high-risk wards at CUH during the year preceding the current admission; inpatient transfer from another hospital; gastric acid-suppression therapy; presence of a CVC; neutropenia; solid organ tumour; severe renal failure; gastrointestinal disease; hepatobiliary disease; diabetes with end-organ damage; and hypoalbuminaemia.

The univariable analysis also examined the association between VRE bacteraemia and antibiotic use (Table [3](#page-5-0) and Table [S2\)](https://academic.oup.com/jac/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jac/dky075#supplementary-data). Both groups had high rates of overall exposure to antibiotics in the preceding 30 days, but cases received antibiotics more often and for longer durations. The commonest antibiotics prescribed in both groups were intravenous vancomycin, meropenem, fluoroquinolones, piperacillin/tazobactam and metronidazole. We found an association with VRE bacteraemia for cumulative antibiotic duration over the prior 30 days and for exposure to intravenous vancomycin, meropenem, cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones,

aminoglycosides, penicillins and antifungals. The duration of exposure to intravenous vancomycin, meropenem, fluoroquinolones, cephalosporins and antifungals was also associated with VRE bacteraemia.

Factors that were significant in the univariable analysis were then used in a multivariable analysis to define independent risk factors for VRE bacteraemia (Table [4\)](#page-6-0). After adjustment for comorbidities, when compared with patients who did not receive any intravenous vancomycin, those exposed for 1–3 days, 4–7 days or more than 7 days had a stepwise increase in the risk of developing VRE bacteraemia [cOR of 1.2 (95% CI 0.4–3.8), 3.8 (95% CI 1.2–11.7) and 6.6 (95% CI 1.9–22.8), respectively]. Similar stepwise increases in cORs were observed for fluoroquinolones and meropenem. Additional risk factors independently associated with an increased risk of VRE bacteraemia were: presence of a CVC [cOR 8.7 (95% CI 2.6–29.5)]; neutropenia [cOR 15.5 (95% CI 4.2–57.0)]; hypoalbuminaemia [cOR 8.5 (95% CI 2.4–29.5)]; solid organ tumour [cOR 4.4 (95% CI 1.6–12.0)]; gastrointestinal disease [cOR 12.4 (95% CI 4.2–36.8)]; and hepatobiliary disease [cOR 7.9 (95% CI 2.1–29.9)].

Discussion

In this study, we found that receiving intravenous vancomycin, fluoroquinolones or meropenem was each associated with VRE bacteraemia. We also observed that the risk increased considerably with longer durations of antibiotic exposure (exceeding 3 days and 7 days) for each of these three agents and that the effect was

Table 1. Demographic, clinical and microbiological characteristics of cases and controls

NA, not applicable; these variables were used to match cases and controls.

Data are presented as number (%) unless indicated otherwise.

^aThe onset of bacteraemia for 27 of 235 cases was within 2 days post-hospital admission; for 25 of 27 of these cases there was healthcare contact in the preceding 3 months.

^bLead specialties included 18 different options used for matching, grouped under six categories in this table. A full list of specialties is shown in the [Supplementary data.](https://academic.oup.com/jac/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jac/dky075#supplementary-data)

^cOther species were Enterococcus raffinosus (1), mixed E. faecalis and E. faecium (1), mixed E. faecium and E. raffinosus (1) and one unspeciated. Both E. raffinosus isolates were phenotypically VanA.

^dFisher's exact test.

independent of other risk factors. To our knowledge, our study is the largest to investigate risk factors for VRE bacteraemia and the first to have been performed in the UK, in a setting with high levels of VRE endemicity similar to the situation in the USA. These results not only demonstrate an association for these high-risk antibiotics, but also provide a clinically important message, encouraging the discontinuation of these agents within 48–72 h of initiation, when appropriate, to minimize the risk of VRE bacteraemia.

The multivariable model identified previously reported markers of disease severity that predispose to VRE bacteraemia (hypoalbu-minaemia, neutropenia and gastrointestinal disease).^{[16](#page-7-0),[18,23](#page-7-0)} It also identified hepatobiliary disease as an independent risk factor, which has not previously been distinguished from gastrointestinal disease. These conditions are likely to predispose a colonized patient to invasive disease through gut or biliary translocation and suggest that patients who develop VRE bacteraemia represent a subgroup of patients with more significant comorbidities than matched controls in the same wards and specialties, irrespective of length of stay or nursing in ICU. The association between a CVC and VRE bacteraemia has been reported previously and could represent a marker of severity of illness or a potential portal for infection[.18](#page-7-0)

The role of vancomycin in promoting VRE acquisition is controversial and reported associations, or lack thereof, could be

Table 2. Risk factors for VRE bacteraemia

Data are presented as number (%) of patients.

a High-risk wards included adult and paediatric haemato-oncology, solid organ transplant, nephrology, hepatology and ICU.

explained by study design. A meta-analysis of early studies investigating the role of vancomycin in hospital-acquired VRE colonization or infection attributed strong associations to confounding by length of stay, control group selection and publication bias.²⁹ This goes against human experimental evidence in which administration of glycopeptides orally led to gastrointestinal selection of VRE.^{[30](#page-7-0)} Two studies of VRE bacteraemia using controls without enterococcal bacteraemia have implicated vancomycin exposure as an independent risk factor, $31,32$ $31,32$ $31,32$ but two further recent studies with adequate sample size failed to demonstrate this effect.^{18,23} Both of the latter studies were conducted in Australia where vancomycin resistance was predominantly mediated by the vanB operon.⁶ This contrasts with the CUH and UK epidemiology where vancomycin resistance in VRE bacteraemia is predominantly medi-ated by vanA.^{[33,34](#page-7-0)}

Carbapenem use has only been implicated as an independent risk factor for VRE compared with VSE bacteraemia in one published study.¹⁴ However, since VRE was predominantly caused by ampicillin-resistant vancomycin-resistant E. faecium and VSE by ampicillin-susceptible vancomycin-susceptible Enterococcus faecalis, the effect could have been previously overestimated.^{[14](#page-7-0)} This is

particularly the case as imipenem, which was the carbapenem used in this study, has higher efficacy against ampicillin-susceptible enterococci compared with other carbapenems. Our study supports the independent association of carbapenem (meropenem) use with VRE bacteraemia. Carbapenems have anaerobic activity, which could promote VRE colonization.³⁵ A number of investigators have reported that antibiotics with anaerobic activity predispose to VRE colonization, 9 but definitions of this group of antibiotics have not been applied consistently in the literature. Interestingly, piperacillin/tazobactam, an antibiotic with a similar spectrum of activity to meropenem, including anaerobic, was not associated with VRE bacteraemia here. This is consistent with murine experiments in which administration of piperacillin/tazobactam was protective against the establishment of high-level VRE colonization 36 and with some observational studies in which antimicrobial stewardship interventions involving replacement of cephalosporin use with piperacillin/ tazobactam resulted in reduction of VRE colonization.¹¹ However, this effect was not noted by other investigators.³⁷ It is possible that meropenem was preferentially used in sicker patients in our study or that the lack of observed association with piperacillin/tazobactam was owing to insufficient power. The impact of switching therapy

Table 3. Association between antimicrobial exposure and VRE bacteraemia

Only risk factors found to be statistically significant on multivariable analysis are shown.

from meropenem to piperacillin/tazobactam on the acquisition of VRE infection merits further investigation.

Fluoroquinolone use has often been identified as a risk factor for VRE bacteraemia on univariable analysis but not following adjustment for other factors.^{[14](#page-7-0),[18,21](#page-7-0),[23](#page-7-0)} In a meta-analysis of 10 studies reported by Harbarth et $al.$ ^{[38](#page-7-0)} fluoroquinolone use was associated with VRE colonization or infection (pooled OR 2.33, 95% CI 1.5–3.61). In a recent prospective observational study, Sánchez-Díaz et al.^{[39](#page-7-0)} showed that long-term prophylaxis with levofloxacin in neutropenic haemato-oncology patients led to intestinal overgrowth of hospital-adapted clones of E. faecium.

Placing our findings into the context of the published literature, longer courses of fluoroquinolones and meropenem may promote gut colonization with hospital-adapted strains of E. faecium (VSE or VRE depending on local epidemiology). Plausibly, in settings where VRE is endemic, intravenous vancomycin could shift the balance of the gut population and/or invasive isolates from VSE to VRE⁴⁰ thus increasing the risk of VRE bacteraemia in susceptible patients. Gastrointestinal or hepatobiliary insults or presence of a CVC could constitute portals of entry for the infection in heavily colonized patients, particularly those with neutropenia.

This study has a number of limitations. It was conducted in a single centre with high endemicity of VRE and so the findings may not apply to other settings, particularly those that utilize active screening programmes for VRE. However, the infection control practices in our hospital are typical for the UK and the study included patients from all high-risk groups including adult and paediatric populations. Thirty-eight patients with positive blood cultures for VRE, considered a contaminant based on contemporaneous clinical assessment, were excluded. These patients had a comparable 30 day mortality to the control population (8%) and repeat blood cultures performed in 33 patients (87%) were negative in the absence of treatment supporting their exclusion. Cases and controls differed in the duration of prior hospitalizations both at CUH and elsewhere and, despite adjusting for these in the model, there could be residual confounding. We did not adjust for the Charlson comorbidity index as this score is not applicable to children, but analysed its individual components instead. Also, we did not adjust for acute severity of illness using the Pitt bacteraemia or other scores, as we could not ascertain whether the observed score was a cause or an effect of the bacteraemia. We opted against using a case-case-control design which has been advocated for studies of antibiotic resistance to enable

distinction between risk factors predisposing to infection by a particular organism as opposed to those specific to its resistance marker[.41](#page-7-0) This decision was made because VRE bacteraemia tends to occur later in the course of hospitalization than VSE bacteraemia, $18,23$ so accounting for markers of hospital exposure related to length of stay would not have been possible. Consequently, some of the findings should be interpreted as potentially predisposing to both VSE and VRE bacteraemia rather than just VRE as explained above.

In conclusion, this study identified longer duration of exposure to vancomycin, fluoroquinolones or meropenem as independent risk factors for VRE bacteraemia. Antibiotic formulary interventions have not been proven to be effective in reducing VRE bacteraemia but are generally accepted as part of a response to curb resistant pathogens, in addition to infection control interventions such as handwashing and improved cleaning protocols.^{42,43} This study suggests that targeting the use of a single antibiotic in an endemic setting is unlikely to significantly affect rates of VRE bacteraemia. Instead, a combination of approaches including antimicrobial stewardship focusing on limiting the duration of high-risk antibiotics in addition to infection control interventions would be required to curb the rates of VRE bacteraemia.

Acknowledgements

We thank Lois Chaparadza for assistance with data retrieval and Carol Churcher for administrative support.

Funding

T. G. is a Wellcome Trust Research Training Fellow (grant number: 103387/ Z/13/Z) and has received support from Public Health England. B. W. is an Academic Clinical Fellow supported by the National Institute for Health Research. M. E. T. is a Clinician Scientist Fellow supported by the Academy of Medical Sciences and the Health Foundation and is also supported by the National Institute for Health Research Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre. This work was also supported by the Health Innovation Challenge Fund (WT098600, HICF-T5–342), a parallel funding partnership between the Department of Health and Wellcome Trust.

Transparency declarations

None to declare.

Disclaimer

The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Department of Health or Wellcome Trust.

Supplementary data

[Supplementary data](https://academic.oup.com/jac/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jac/dky075#supplementary-data), including Tables [S1](https://academic.oup.com/jac/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jac/dky075#supplementary-data) and [S2](https://academic.oup.com/jac/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/jac/dky075#supplementary-data), are available at JAC Online.

References

[1](#page-0-0) Werner G, Coque TM, Hammerum AM et al. Emergence and spread of vancomycin resistance among enterococci in Europe. Euro Surveill 2008; 13: $pi = 19046$.

[2](#page-0-0) Lebreton F, van Schaik W, McGuire AM et al. Emergence of epidemic multidrug-resistant Enterococcus faecium. mBio 2013; 4: e00534-13.

[3](#page-0-0) Butler AM, Olsen MA, Merz LR et al. Attributable costs of enterococcal bloodstream infections in a nonsurgical hospital cohort. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2010; 31: 28–35.

[4](#page-0-0) Prematunge C, MacDougall C, Johnstone J et al. VRE and VSE bacteremia outcomes in the era of effective VRE therapy: a systematic review and metaanalysis.Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2016; 37: 26–35.

5 Gouliouris T, Peacock SJ. The rise and fall of mandatory surveillance for glycopeptide-resistant enterococcal bacteraemia in England. J Infect 2014; 68: 401–3.

[6](#page-4-0) Howden BP, Holt KE, Lam MMC et al. Genomic insights to control the emergence of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. mBio 2013; 4: e00412–13.

7 Sievert DM, Ricks PP, Edwards JR et al. Antimicrobial-resistant pathogens associated with healthcare-associated infections: summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2009-2010. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2013; 34: 1-14.

[8](#page-0-0) Gastmeier P, Schröder C, Behnke M et al. Dramatic increase in vancomycinresistant enterococci in Germany. J Antimicrob Chemother 2014; 69: 1660–4.

[9](#page-0-0) Donskey CJ, Chowdhry TK, Hecker MT et al. Effect of antibiotic therapy in the density of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in the stool of colonized patients. N Engl J Med 2000; 343: 1925-32.

[10](#page-0-0) Taur Y, Xavier JB, Lipuma L et al. Intestinal domination and the risk of bacteremia in patients undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Clin Infect Dis 2012; 55: 905–14.

[11](#page-0-0) Patel R. Clinical impact of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003; 51: 13iii–21.

[12](#page-0-0) Salgado CD. The risk of developing a vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus bloodstream infection for colonized patients. Am J Infect Control 2008; 36: S175.e5–8.

[13](#page-1-0) Bonten MJM, Slaughter S, Ambergen AW et al. The role of "colonization pressure" in the spread of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Arch Intern Med 1998; 158: 1127–32.

[14](#page-4-0) Bhavnani SM, Drake JA, Forrest A et al. A nationwide, multicenter, casecontrol study comparing risk factors, treatment, and outcome for vancomycin-resistant and -susceptible enterococcal bacteremia. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 2000; 36: 145-58.

[15](#page-1-0) Haas EJ, Zaoutis TE, Prasad P et al. Risk factors and outcomes for vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus bloodstream infection in children. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2010; 31: 1038–42.

[16](#page-3-0) Kang Y, Vicente M, Parsad S et al. Evaluation of risk factors for vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus bacteremia among previously colonized hematopoietic stem cell transplant patients. Transpl Infect Dis 2013; 15: 466–73.

17 Lautenbach E, Bilker WB, Brennan PJ. Enterococcal bacteremia: risk factors for vancomycin resistance and predictors of mortality. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 1999; 20: 318–23.

[18](#page-3-0) Peel T, Cheng AC, Spelman T et al. Differing risk factors for vancomycinresistant and vancomycin-sensitive enterococcal bacteraemia. Clin Microbiol Infect 2012; 18: 388–94.

19 Peset V, Tallón P, Sola C et al. Epidemiological, microbiological, clinical, and prognostic factors of bacteremia caused by high-level vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus species. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2000; 19: 742–9.

20 Shay DK, Maloney SA, Montecalvo M et al. Epidemiology and mortality risk of vancomycin-resistant enterococcal bloodstream infections. J Infect Dis 1995; 172: 993–1000.

[21](#page-5-0) Vergis EN, Hayden MK, Chow JW et al. Determinants of vancomycin resistance and mortality rates in enterococcal bacteremia. Ann Intern Med 2001; 135: 484–92.

[22](#page-1-0) Zaas AK, Song X, Tucker P et al. Risk factors for development of vancomycin-resistant enterococcal bloodstream infection in patients with cancer who are colonized with vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Clin Infect Dis 2002; 35: 1139–46.

[23](#page-1-0) Cheah AL, Peel T, Howden BP et al. Case-case-control study on factors associated with vanB vancomycin-resistant and vancomycin-susceptible enterococcal bacteraemia. BMC Infect Dis 2014; 14: 353.

24 Montecalvo MA, Horowitz H, Gedris C et al. Outbreak of vancomycin-, ampicillin-, and aminoglycoside-resistant Enterococcus faecium bacteremia in an adult oncology unit. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1994; 38: 1363–7.

[25](#page-1-0) Essebag V, Genest J Jr, Suissa S et al. The nested case-control study in cardiology. Am Heart J 2003; 146: 581-90.

[26](#page-1-0) Cookson BD, Macrae MB, Barrett SP et al. Guidelines for the control of glycopeptide-resistant enterococci in hospitals. J Hosp Infect 2006; 62: 6–21.

[27](#page-1-0) Ashiru-Oredope D, Sharland M, Charani E et al. Improving the quality of antibiotic prescribing in the NHS by developing a new Antimicrobial Stewardship Programme: Start Smart—Then Focus. J Antimicrob Chemother 2012; 67 Suppl 1: i51–63.

[28](#page-1-0) Hosmer DW, Lemeshow S. Applied Logistic Regression. New York, NY, USA: Wiley, 2000.

[29](#page-4-0) Carmeli Y, Samore MH, Huskins C. The association between antecedent vancomycin treatment and hospital-acquired vancomycin-resistant enterococci: a meta-analysis. Arch Intern Med 1999; 159: 2461–8.

[30](#page-4-0) Van der Auwera P, Pensart N, Korten V et al. Influence of oral glycopeptides on the fecal flora of human volunteers: selection of highly glycopeptideresistant enterococci. J Infect Dis 1996; 173: 1129–36.

[31](#page-4-0) Furtado GHC, Mendes RE, Pignatari ACC et al. Risk factors for vancomycinresistant Enterococcus faecalis bacteremia in hospitalized patients: an analysis of two case-control studies. Am J Infect Control 2006; 34: 447–51.

[32](#page-4-0) Worth LJ, Thursky KA, Seymour JF et al. Vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium infection in patients with hematologic malignancy: patients with acute myeloid leukemia are at high-risk. Eur J Haematol 2007; 79: 226–33.

[33](#page-4-0) Raven KE, Reuter S, Gouliouris T et al. Genome-based characterization of hospital-adapted Enterococcus faecalis lineages. Nat Microbiol 2016; 1: 15033.

[34](#page-4-0) Raven KE, Gouliouris T, Brodrick H et al. Complex routes of nosocomial vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium transmission revealed by genome sequencing. Clin Infect Dis 2017; 64: 886–93.

[35](#page-4-0) Padiglione AA, Wolfe R, Grabsch E et al. Risk factors for new detection of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in acute-care hospitals that employ strict infection control procedures. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2003; 47: 2492.

[36](#page-4-0) Rice LB. The complex dynamics of antimicrobial activity in the human gastrointestinal tract. Trans Am Clin Climatol Assoc 2013; 124: 123–32.

[37](#page-4-0) Paterson DL, Muto CA, Ndirangu M et al. Acquisition of rectal colonization by vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus among intensive care unit patients treated with piperacillin-tazobactam versus those receiving cefepimecontaining antibiotic regimens. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2008; 52: 465–9.

[38](#page-5-0) Harbarth S, Cosgrove S, Carmeli Y. Effects of antibiotics on nosocomial epidemiology of vancomycin-resistant enterococci. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002; 46: 1619–28.

[39](#page-5-0) Sánchez-Díaz AM, Cuartero C, Rodríguez JD et al. The rise of ampicillinresistant Enterococcus faecium high-risk clones as a frequent intestinal colonizer in oncohaematologic neutropenic patients on levofloxacin prophylaxis: a risk for bacteraemia? Clin Microbiol Infect 2016; 22: 59.e1–8.

[40](#page-5-0) Moradigaravand D, Gouliouris T, Blane B et al. Within-host evolution of Enterococcus faecium during longitudinal carriage and transition to bloodstream infection in immunocompromised patients. Genome Med 2017; 9: 119.

[41](#page-6-0) Kaye KS, Harris AD, Samore M et al. The case-case-control study design: addressing the limitations of risk factor studies for antimicrobial resistance. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2005; 26: 346–51.

[42](#page-6-0) De Angelis G, Cataldo MA, De Waure C et al. Infection control and prevention measures to reduce the spread of vancomycin-resistant enterococci in hospitalized patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Antimicrob Chemother 2014; 69: 1185–92.

[43](#page-6-0) Anderson DJ, Chen LF, Weber DJ et al. Enhanced terminal room disinfection and acquisition and infection caused by multidrug-resistant organisms and Clostridium difficile (the Benefits of Enhanced Terminal Room Disinfection study): a cluster-randomised, multicentre, crossover study. Lancet 2017; 389: 805–14.