	1
1	Structure and mechanism of bacterial tripartite efflux pumps
2	
3	Arthur Neuberger, Dijun Du and Ben F. Luisi*
4 5 6 7	Department of Biochemistry, University of Cambridge, Tennis Court Road, Cambridge CB2 1GA, UK
8	To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: <u>bit20(a)cam.ac.uk</u>
9	Abstract
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Efflux pumps are membrane proteins which contribute to multi-drug resistance. In Gram-negative bacteria, some of these pumps form complex tripartite assemblies in association with an outer membrane channel and a periplasmic fusion protein. These tripartite machineries span both membranes and the periplasmic space, and they extrude from the bacterium chemically diverse toxic substrates. In this chapter, we summarise current understanding of the structural architecture, functionality, and regulation of tripartite multi-drug efflux assemblies.
17	
18 19	Keywords: multi-drug efflux pumps, membrane proteins, antibiotic resistance, tripartite assemblies
20	
21	Introduction
22	The tripartite efflux pumps of Gram-negative bacteria are complex molecular
23	assemblies that expel antibiotics and other toxic agents from the cell. The capacity of tripartite
24	systems to transport a variety of chemically diverse antibiotics and other bactericidal substrates
25	contributes to multi-drug resistance of Gram-negative bacteria and thus to the worldwide
26	emerging threat of untreatable infections (overview in [1]).
27	One well-studied representative of tripartite efflux pumps is the AcrA-AcrB-TolC
28	(hereafter AcrAB-TolC) assembly of Escherichia coli. The pump has become a paradigm
29	system to understand the structure and activities of homologous tripartite assemblies found in
30	phylogenetically diverse bacterial species, including those associated with clinical severity in

drug resistant infections [2-4]. Like numerous other tripartite systems, the AcrAB-TolC pump spans the two lipid bilayers and an interstitial peptidoglycan network that together comprise the cell envelope of the Gram-negative species. A nanomachine driven by proton-motive force, AcrAB-TolC has been shown to transport antibiotics and a range of chemically diverse bactericidal compounds [5, 6] [7] [8, 9]. Other tripartite systems drive efflux of more specific compounds; for example, the CusA-CusB-CusC pump is involved in the efflux of toxic copper and silver ions [10, 11].

The energy-transducing component of AcrAB-TolC system is the trimeric inner membrane protein AcrB, which is a member of the Resistance-Nodulation-Cell division (RND) superfamily. Tripartite assemblies can also form based on inner membrane transporters from the major facilitator superfamily (MFS) and ATP-binding cassette (ABC) groups. A schematic overview of different tripartite systems is displayed in Figure 1A-D.

43







49 TolC shares some features with the MacAB-TolC system, although the intracellular ATPase 50 domain is elaborated with additional domains. (D) The EmrAB-TolC tripartite system. EmrB 51 is a member of the major facilitator superfamily of membrane transporters and utilises proton-52 motive force for extrusion of toxic substrates. The structure of EmrB and subunit stoichiometry of the EmrAB-TolC tripartite system are unknown. EmrA was shown to form both dimers and 53 54 trimers [12] and electron microscopy data of reconstituted EmrAB suggests a putative 'dimer of dimers' assembly [13]. Arrows indicate energy-coupling (brown) and the proposed 55 56 schematic substrate transport pathways (black). LPS abbreviates lipopolysaccharide, and the 57 peptidoglycan is composed of repeating units of the disaccharide N-acetyl glucosamine-N-58 acetyl muramic acid.

59

Tripartite systems play numerous physiological roles beyond capacity for antibiotic efflux, as might be anticipated from their ancient evolutionary history. For example, some of the pumps can transport quorum signalling molecules [14-17] and virulence factors [18-27], or act as a "metabolic relief valve" to expel products that become hazardous due to imbalanced metabolism [28].

Atomistic structures of the individual components and the full assemblies have been elucidated for the RND and ATP-based pumps, and we describe here the key features of the quaternary organisation and the communication between subunits during the transport process.

00

69 The components of tripartite efflux pumps

Three protein components form the canonical tripartite assembly. The individual component structures of the AcrAB-TolC tripartite pump assembly, including the auxiliary factor AcrZ, are displayed in Figure 2. We present each of the components in turn. 73 The inner membrane protein component (IMP) of the pump assembly, i.e. the 74 transporter, transduces energy to drive the active efflux of substrates through the tripartite 75 assembly. The inner membrane ATP-binding cassette transporters such as MacB of the 76 MacAB-TolC tripartite system couple transport processes with the binding and hydrolysis of ATP. AcrB and other RND transporters harness the energy from the controlled flow of protons 77 78 to promote conformational changes that enable binding and movement of substrates into the 79 channel of tripartite assembly. Structures of AcrB [29] in apo and ligand-bound states have 80 been solved using X-ray crystallography and provide insight into the conformational changes 81 associated with substrate binding and the pathway for conducting protons. AcrB has a peptide 82 partner AcrZ that affects its transport activity for a subset of substrates. AcrZ is a small protein 83 of 49 amino acids that makes an extensive interface with the AcrB transmembrane domain 84 [30].

85 TolC is a representative of the outer membrane protein (OMP) component of tripartite pump (Figure 2A, B). Crystal structures of TolC from numerous species, including E. coli and 86 87 the homologue VceC from *Vibrio cholerae*, reveal an architecture of extended α -helices that bundle into coiled-coils and pinch together to form a semi-porous seal at the periplasmic end 88 89 of the trimer. At the time that the first crystal structure of the isolated TolC was elucidated, it 90 seemed clear that the closed periplasmic end must be dilated at some stage of the transport 91 process in order to accommodate even the smallest of the known transport substrates. The 92 process of TolC opening has now been visualised from the high-resolution structures of the 93 tripartite efflux pumps that will be described later in this chapter.

The periplasmic component of the tripartite architecture, the membrane fusion protein (MFP), bridges the IMP and the OMP. This protein class is characterised by defined domains identified by high resolution crystal structures, namely – the α -helical hairpin, lipoyl-like, β -

- 97 barrel and membrane proximal domains (Figure 2A, C). As we will describe below in detail,
- 98 the MFP allosterically transduces conformational change from IMP to OMP.
- 99
- 100







108

109 Architecture of the tripartite pumps

110 Combining the advances in electron cryo-microscopy (cryo-EM) with novel 111 engineering approaches to stabilise the tripartite efflux pump assemblies, high-resolution structures have been attained for AcrAB-TolC [31, 32] and MacAB-TolC [33]. The cryo-EM 112 113 structures of AcrAB-TolC reveal a trimer of TolC, a trimer of AcrB, and a hexamer of AcrA 114 that bridges the two membrane protein components [31]. Some biochemical and genetic 115 experiments indicate that only 3 molecules of AcrA (instead of 6) are sufficient for AcrAB-116 TolC activity [34, 35], but this would not allow formation of a complex that is sealed so that 117 substrates do not flow into the periplasm. The MacAB-TolC has a similar architecture to 118 AcrAB-TolC, with a trimer of TolC and a hexamer of the periplasmic partner MacA; however, 119 the inner membrane ATPase transporter MacB is a dimer [33, 36-39], in contrast to the trimeric AcrB transporter. For both systems, TolC interacts directly with the periplasmic partner (AcrA 120 or MacA) in similar manner. On the other hand, there are no apparent similarities in the 121 122 interactions of the trimeric AcrB with AcrA or the dimeric MacB with MacA. The atomic 123 models of the AcrAB-TolC and MacAB-TolC tripartite assemblies are shown in Figure 3.

124



Fig. 3. Models of two tripartite assemblies, with speculation of the position of the peptidoglycan layer. (A) Structure of the RND-based AcrABZ-TolC (adapted after [31]), with a TolC trimer, an AcrA hexamer and AcrBZ trimer. (B) Structure the ABC-based MacAB-TolC (adapted after [33]), with the TolC trimer, MacA hexamer and MacB dimer. TolC could potentially be anchored by an interaction between the equatorial domain and the peptidoglycan matrix in the periplasm. The speculation interaction of TolC with the peptidoglycan is based on the location of the layer based on tomographic reconstructions [40].

133

Although TolC and AcrB are both homo-trimers, the periplasmic partners MacA and
AcrA are hexamers, and consequently the interactions between these proteins must involve a

136 loss of symmetry. The latter was evident from crystallographic data of AcrB captured with 137 each of the three protomers in a different conformational state [41-43]. In fact, TolC and AcrB 138 both bear a structural repeat and are therefore 'honorary' hexamers. Thus, the six interaction 139 interfaces made between AcrA and either TolC or AcrB are approximately but not exactly 140 equivalent. The same is true for the six interaction interfaces made between MacA and TolC. 141 To distinguish these interfaces, the protomers in Figure 4A of AcrA are labelled as protomers 142 I and II. The helix-turn-helix (HTH) elements at the periplasmic end of TolC interact in a tip-143 to-tip fashion with the HTH units in the α -helical hairpin domains of AcrA [31] (i.e., six HTH 144 units of the AcrA hexamer interact with 3 x 2 HTH units of the TolC trimer) (Fig. 4(B)). In 145 detail, the AcrA protomer-I/TolC alignment involves residues K140 and S139 of AcrA and 146 G365 of TolC [32] (Fig. 4(C)). Furthermore, L132 of AcrA is in direct contact with N145 and T366 of TolC [31]. 147

In the AcrAB-TolC pump, each of the three AcrA protomers-I aligns with the DN and DC subdomains of one AcrB protomer via its β -barrel domain, whereas its MP domain interacts with the PC1 subdomain, the PC2-DC linker-region, and a DN subdomain loop of a neighbouring AcrB protomer [31, 44] (Fig. 4(A)). AcrA protomer-II interacts with a β -hairpin of one AcrB protomer and a short α -helix of the DC subdomain of an adjacent AcrB protomer [31, 44] (Fig. 4(A)). The β -hairpin motif in the DN subdomain of AcrB was shown to be crucial for correct assembly of an active pump complex [45].

Both apo- and ligand-bound states of the AcrAB-TolC pump have been elucidated by cryo-EM [35]. In the apo-form state, the TolC trimer resembles the closed state seen in the crystal structure of the isolated protein, which has a constriction point where the coiled coils pinch together. In this closed state, inter-protomer hydrogen bonds are made between residue R367 of one protomer and T152, D153 and Y362 of an adjacent protomer [46-48]. However, for the ligand-bound structures of the pump, TolC is in an open state. Comparing the open and closed forms suggests that TolC opens up in an iris-like dilation at its periplasmic end [31] through changes in the coiled coil geometry mediated through interaction with AcrA [49] (Fig. 4(D)). In the MacAB-TolC assembly, the TolC is also in an open state. Six glutamine residues in the loops of MacA lipoyl domains form a uni-directional valve, which probably prevents back leakage of substrate into the periplasm during transport [33]. A direct interaction of substrate with the MFP may also occur for type-1 secretion systems [50].







169 Fig. 4. Overview of interactions between the AcrAB-TolC tripartite pump components.

(A) Interaction of AcrA protomers I and II with subdomains PC1/2, PN1/2, and DC/DN of
AcrB. (B) Cryo-EM map with fitted model shows the tip-to-tip interactions between AcrA and
TolC in the resting state of the pump. (C) The tip-to-tip alignment of HTH motifs between

TolC and AcrA in the transport state. (D) The resting and transport state of TolC. Figure panels(A-D) adapted after [31].

175

176 Conformational states and allosteric coupling in the AcrAB-TolC tripartite assembly

Crystallographic data suggest that AcrB transitions through three distinct conformational states during the transport process, referred to as 'loose', 'tight', and 'open' (Fig 5) [31, 41-43]. In this mechanism, a substrate enters ('Access', or 'L' for loose) through a peripheral cleft and binds ('Binding', or 'T' for tight) to the drug-binding pocket of AcrB. The peripheral cleft closes up and a second pathway is opened ('Extrusion', or 'O' for open) towards the funnel in the docking domain of AcrB – through which the substrate eventually enters the AcrA-TolC 'pipeline' for extrusion to the cell's exterior (Fig 5 A, B) [31, 41, 51].



Fig. 5. Cartoon schematic of the transport mechanism for AcrB. (A) The proposed ordered steps in binding of transport substrates (adapted after [52]). The orange circle represents the transport substrate, and the H⁺ is associated with titratable residues in the protein. (B) Energy transmission and upward movement of TM2 towards the AcrB periplasmic pore domain in response to protonation events in the trans-membrane domain for drug translocation [53, 54].

192

193 Transport substrates enter the AcrB-protomers via the pore domain formed by the 194 PN1/PN2 and PC1/PC2 subdomains (Fig. 4A and Fig. 2D) [29, 41]. The PN1/PN2 subdomains 195 extend into the periplasm between TM1 and TM2, while PC1/PC2 are located between TM7 196 and TM8 [29, 41]. All four subdomains together form an access (or proximal) pocket and a 197 deep binding (or distal) pocket of an AcrB protomer [29, 41]. Differences between these two 198 pockets in aromatic, charged, and polar residues are suggested to affect substrate preferences [55]. Water molecules have been found to additionally stabilize substrate binding [51, 56] 199 200 inside the pocket and to contribute to drug binding and transport [57].

Substrates from the periplasm are thought to enter through a cleft formed by PC1/PC2 subdomains (Channel 1; Fig. 6) [51, 58]. Channel 1 seems to be present in both L and T protomers with the PC1/PC2 cleft being more closed in T. Substrates from the outer leaflet of the inner membrane are thought to gain access via two grooves formed between transmembrane helices 1 (TM1) and 2 (TM2) or TM7 and TM8, respectively (Channel 2; Fig. 6) [53]. High molecular weight macrolides (and maybe other substrates) are thought to enter via this channel.

A third channel for drug entry (Fig. 6), with preference for planar, cationic aromatic compounds of low molecular mass (such as ethidium), has been hypothesised to take up substrate from a vestibule of an inner cavity formed between the three AcrB protomers [59]. The third channel therefore by-passes the proximal binding pocket and the switch-loop that separates the two binding pockets (in red;) [59].

There might be additional channels in AcrB given that carboxylated drugs (e.g. βlactams) were found to bind to the groove between TM1/TM2 [53]. One difficulty with these suggested binding sites is that they are relatively far from both binding pockets. However, a recently solved crystal structure of AcrB with fusidic acid bound in a cavity formed between TM1 and TM2 suggests that an upward movement of TM2 towards the AcrB periplasmic pore domain in response to protonation events in the transmembrane domain might help to translocate the drugs further into the assembly towards the AcrA-TolC tunnel [53] (Fig. 5B).



221

Fig. 6. The three channels proposed for substrate entry in AcrB. Substrates can enter AcrB from the periplasm (Channel 1, purple) or from the outer leaflet of the inner membrane (Channel 2, green). Both lead via a proximal binding pocket (light blue) to a deep binding

pocket (pink). The third channel (Channel 3, yellow) is directly connected with the deep
binding pocket and has its entrance from a central vestibule in a central cavity formed between
the three AcrB protomers. It therefore by-passes the proximal binding pocket and switch-loop.
All substrates leave the AcrB protomer via an exit channel (dark red). The latter leads to the
funnel from which the substrate then passes through the AcrA-TolC tunnel with facilitation by
water molecules [57].

231

232 Large conformational changes are observed in AcrB's PN1/PC2 and PN2/PC1 modules 233 during cycling through the L, T, and O states [51, 54], and the PN2/PC1 module opens and 234 closes the deep binding pocket. The cycling through the three distinct conformational states 235 appears to progress in a defined pattern, and the mechanism has accordingly often been referred 236 to as "peristaltic" [42]. However, a recent investigation of crystal structures in combination 237 with functional studies of the trimeric CemB, a AcrB homologue from the Gram-negative pathogen Campylobacter jejuni, suggests that CemB's protomers perform conformational 238 239 cycling independently from each other during the efflux process [60]. In the absence of a proton 240 gradient, the protomers enter a symmetric resting state. It is not clear yet whether this model is 241 distinct for a certain class of AcrB homologues, or applies more broadly and challenges the 242 current model of ordered and coordinated transitions between distinct states.

The cryo-EM data of AcrAB-TolC in the presence of puromycin identify different conformational states of AcrB in the full pump assembly which are consistent with the findings described above from X-ray crystallography [41, 42]. In the apo-state (resting state) of the pump, all three AcrB sub-units are in 'loose' conformation and TolC is in a closed state in which the channel is occluded for substrate exit. In this state, the AcrA subunits pack in manner that leaves gaps between the α -helical hairpin, lipoyl, and β -barrel domains. However, with transition to the ligand-bound form (transport state), the AcrA subunits re-pack to seal the gaps. 250 The configuration of the AcrA hexamer in the ligand-bound form opens the periplasmic end of 251 TolC by tip-to-tip interactions of helical hairpins (Fig. 4(C, D)). The movement of AcrA that 252 occurs with the apo- to ligand transition is inferred to originate from an energy-derived 253 conformational switch in AcrB [31, 32]. A potential energy-conveying communication between the MFP and inner membrane components is also illustrated by the MacAB-TolC 254 255 system, for which it was shown that MacA stimulates the ATPase activity of MacB [61]. The 256 transition from resting to transport state of AcrAB-TolC is associated with a contraction of the pump along the long axis by ca. 10 Å. This contraction must result in a local compression of 257 258 the periplasm to accommodate the axial contraction of the pump as well as a change in 259 curvature of the outer and inner membrane near AcrB's portal [31].

260

261 Substrate interactions and substrate pathway

262 Whereas substrate binding and the internal translocation mechanism is well explored 263 for AcrB, for most other identified transporters it is not clear how the inner membrane 264 component of the tripartite assembly interacts with the substrate. Three different entry routes 265 of the substrate into the transporter are possible in principle: One from the inner or outer leaflet 266 of the inner membrane, another from the cytoplasm, and the last from the periplasm. A 267 substrate transported across the inner membrane into the periplasm by a 'stand-alone' 268 transporter like MsbA may be picked up by a tripartite system and expelled across the outer 269 membrane through the complex assembly. Indeed, there are dozens of different transport 270 systems typically expressed simultaneously in a single bacterial cell, of which some work in a 271 stand-alone manner as inner membrane transporters, and they can work in conjunction with 272 tripartite assemblies as part of an efflux super-system [62-64].

The tripartite AcrAB-TolC system has been shown to transport chemically diverse substrates, including antibiotics like chloramphenicol, tetracycline, novobiocin, fusidic, 275 nalidixic acid, fluoroquinolones, various members of the β-lactam and macrolide antibiotic 276 families [5, 6], as well as Triton X-100, bile salts, cationic dyes, disinfectants [7], nonpolar 277 solvents [8, 9] and the mammalian steroid hormones estradiol and progesterone [65]. In the 278 case of the *E. coli* MacAB-TolC system, transported substrates include macrolide [66], 279 glycolipid [67], and lipopeptide [68], as well as the heat-stable enterotoxin II [69] which forms 280 pores in mucosal cells of the intestinal wall.

281 It is yet to be fully understood how the enormous poly-specificity of multidrug efflux tripartite assemblies arises. In part, the poly-specificity may arise from multiple binding sites 282 283 residing within the same or different substrate binding pocket(s) [51, 58, 70, 71]. A puromycin-284 bound AcrB structure provides an illustrating example for the complexity behind the significant 285 poly-specificity observed in many transporters (Fig. 7). Some useful parallels may be drawn to 286 QacR, a drug-binding regulator (repressor) of *qacA* (a MFS multidrug pump encoding gene 287 from *Staphylococcus aureus*) [72, 73] for which multiple, linked drug-binding sites were 288 identified [74]. For the mammalian ABC transporter ABCB1, data suggest the existence of 289 multiple drug-binding pockets [75].

290

291



Fig. 7. Puromycin-bound AcrB structure. (A) The antibiotic is bound in the deep binding
pocket of AcrB. (B) Schematic overview of main contacts between puromycin and AcrB.
Figure adapted after [31] (PDB ID 5NC5).

296

297 MacB and Type I Secretion System ABC transporters

Tripartite efflux pump systems are closely related to the multi-component type I secretion machineries involved in the export of virulence proteins. For instance, the membrane fusion components share a similar binding motif for the outer membrane channel TolC [76]. The recently solved structures of the inner membrane ABC transporter component of a type I secretion system (*Aquifex aeolicus* AaPrtD, part of AaPrtDEF assembly) [77] and that of the ABC transporter MacB from the tripartite multi-drug efflux assembly MacAB-TolC [33, 37-304 39] invite a structural comparison of these two systems. Both structures are depicted in Fig. 8.



Fig. 8. Structural comparison between two ABC transporter components of tripartite
assemblies. (A) AaPrtD, the *Aquifex aeolicus* ABC transporter of the type 1 secretion system
with close overall resemblance to many other solved ABC transporters (adapted after [77]).
(B) MacB, the ABC transporter of the MacAB-TolC multi-drug efflux pump with its
characteristic periplasmic domains (unique to all so far solved ABC transporters). The
periplasmic domain forms extensive interactions with MacA in the tripartite assembly. The
figure was adapted from [33].

313

For most homo-dimeric ABC transporters, a transport model has been proposed according to which substrate engages a binding pocket in an inward-open state and is then expelled to the opposite site of the membrane when ATP-binding initiates a conformational change towards the outward-open state [78-85]. This alternating access model [80] is supported by observations from crystal structure of various ABC transporters that are captured in inwardfacing and outward-facing conformations [71, 79, 81, 82, 86-89]. The alternating access model is summarised in Fig. 9(A)), depicting two identical protomers performing a clothes peg-like movement in a two-state cycle [85, 90]. According to this model, substrate enters the binding pocket(s) (several binding sites have been suggested for ABCB1, see [75, 91]) of the transporter in its inward-facing conformation. Substrate can access the transporter from the cytoplasm or the directly from the inner leaflet of the inner membrane (likely to be the case for hydrophobic substrates).

The mechanism is depicted schematically in Fig. 9. A transporter with ATP bound to 326 327 both nucleotide-binding domains (NBDs) undergoes conformational sampling, which enables 328 NBD dimerization when a substrate binds [85]. An intermediate state occurs that has an 329 occluded ATP in one nucleotide-binding site (NBS1) and a loose ATP in NBS2. The occluded 330 ATP at NBS1 is hydrolysed to ADP-P_i whereas the loose ATP in NBS2 becomes occluded 331 [85]. The hydrolysis of the occluded ATP in NBS2 to ADP-P_i drives a conformational switch 332 to an outward-open conformation (like pressing the clothes pegs together); also, the inorganic 333 phosphate dissociates from the ADP in NBS1 and the occluded ATP in NBS2 is hydrolysed to 334 ADP-P_i[85]. In the outward-open conformation, substrate is released into the outer leaflet of 335 the membrane [85].

336 For hetero-dimeric ABC transporters, a constant-contact model (not displayed here) has 337 been suggested, in which the NBDs never fully detach from each other [90, 92]. Here, substrate 338 binds whilst one ATP molecule keeps the protomers together (i.e. catalytic asymmetry between 339 NBDs) [90, 93-95]. A second ATP then binds and is hydrolysed – as a result of which 340 protomers adapt another asymmetric state and substrate is released to the outside [90]. Following substrate release and the dissociation of phosphate, the protomers re-adapt the apo-341 state with one ATP molecule still holding both halves together [90]. The release of ADP 342 343 enables re-binding of substrate [90].

It is therefore plausible that homo-dimeric transporters also cycle through step-wise
ATPase stages, instead of both ATP molecules being hydrolysed simultaneously. Interestingly,
a constant-contact with catalytic asymmetry has also been suggested for the mammalian homodimeric ABC transporter P-glycoprotein [96-98].





358 Like MacB, the *Aquifex aeolicus* PrtD protein (aaPrtD) forms a homo-dimer (Figure 8). 359 aaPrtD is the energy transducing component of a tripartite Type I secretion system that includes 360 the MFP PrtE, and the OMP PrtF. Type I secretion complexes transport virulence factors, 361 including ligases, scavenging molecules, and proteases [99-102]. These transport substrates must be in an unfolded state in order to be transported [103, 104]. Interestingly, with some of 362 363 these substrates exceeding 8,000 amino acids [99, 101], these lengthy unfolded polypeptide 364 chains are assumed to move continuously through a pore formed by the transmembrane 365 domains [105, 106]. A well-studied Type I section system is the HlyDB-TolC complex that 366 transports hemolysin A (HlyA), for which experimental evidence indicates transport occurs 367 directly from the cytosol without a periplasmic intermediate [99, 107-109]. In the case of PrtD, 368 a basal ATPase activity may be repressed by substrate binding, which could prevent the closure 369 of nucleotide-binding domains. In this state, PrtD binds the other components PrtE and PrtF 370 [77, 110-112]. The full assembly is suggested to reactivate PrtD's ATPase activity and 371 therewith to enable the substrate transport [77, 113, 114]. A potentially related stimulation by 372 the MFP has previously been demonstrated for the interaction of MacA with MacB [61]. How 373 ABC transporters like PrtD transport peptides requires further characterization.

374 Although MacB was originally described as a macrolide efflux inner membrane 375 transporter as part of the MacAB-TolC tripartite system, it has been shown to also transport a 376 71 amino-acid long heat-stable enterotoxin II (STb-II) [69]. Unlike the Type I secretion system, 377 the peptide substrate appears to be taken up by the MacAB-TolC tripartite machinery from the 378 periplasm rather than the cytoplasm, since the STb-II is translocated via the Sec system into 379 the periplasm where it is processed [115-117]. This periplasmic entry could hint towards an 380 alternative transport mechanism to those observed for other ABC transporters so far. In this 381 model, substrate enters MacB via the periplasm and binds to a disclosed binding cavity in the 382 outward-open MacB-dimer, while ATP hydrolysis and release of inorganic phosphate might 383 then trigger the release of substrate with subsequent passage through MacA into the MacA-384 TolC part of the extrusion machinery. This resembles more closely the outward-only model as 385 distinct from the alternating access model.

386 In comparison to AaPrtD and all other structurally characterised ABC transporters, 387 MacB has extensive periplasmic domains. The MacB periplasmic domains form interactions 388 with MacA, and since these are not present in AaPrtD, the Type I secretion systems must have 389 a different organization for the interactions between the ABC and MFP components. MacB 390 reveals the characteristic 'anchoring' helices at the inner leaflet-cytosol interface [33, 37-39] 391 which so far have been observed in an ABCG5/8 type-II exporter structure [118]. MacB 392 furthermore shows closer proximity of nucleotide-binding domains to the inner leaflet, as 393 opposed to the larger extensions of trans-membrane (TM) helices into the cytosol in other ABC 394 transporters. MacB's 4 trans-membrane helices lack the characteristic kinks seen in AaPrtD's 395 TM3 and TM6 [77], and the 4 TMs are the smallest observed number amongst ABC 396 transporters with known structures [119]. AaPrtD utilises both pairs of its 6-bundled TMs to 397 form a continuous channel for unfolded substrate transport across the inner membrane, which 398 in the resolved ADP-bound occluded structure was closed at the cytosolic side via a conserved 399 Arginine on TM6 between TM6 and TM4, and on the periplasmic side via a pore ring 400 consisting of hydrophobic residues [77]. The latter is unique amongst known transporters and 401 may prevent leakage of protons along the gradient [77].

The location of the substrate binding site(s) is unknown for both transporters. For AaPrtD, substrate interaction at the concave surface and near the cytosolic window where TM4 separates from TM6 have been suggested [77] based on previous studies [105, 120-123]. For MacB, an unidentified density, occluding the region between the periplasmic extensions of TM1 and TM2, might hint towards a putative substrate interaction or binding site [33].

407

408 **Tripartite pump regulation**

409 Analyses of overexpressed tripartite pumps involved in the efflux of multiple antibiotic 410 compounds in clinical isolates [124, 125] demonstrate the importance of pump regulation for 411 drug design applications and clinical practice. Tripartite pump expression levels can be 412 regulated by two component systems comprised of a sensor histidine kinase and a response 413 regulator (the mechanisms of two component systems are reviewed in [126-128] inter alia). 414 Mutations in these systems have been identified in numerous clinical isolates [129] and were 415 shown to contribute to pump overexpression [129-131]. In brief, first a histidine kinase senses 416 environmental signals like the presence of toxic compounds (e.g. antibiotics). The 'sensing' 417 usually happens indirectly though cases of direct sensing are known: The Streptomyces 418 coelicolor VanS (VanSsc) histidine kinase was shown to directly bind vancomycin [132]. 419 Interestingly, in the case of bacitracin resistance in *Bacillus subtilis*, the bacitracin transporting 420 ABC transporter BceAB acts as a sensor itself by mediating information on its transport 421 activity, though protein-protein interaction, to the histidine kinase BceS [133]. Other examples 422 for two component systems that regulate efflux pump expression include AmgRS in 423 Pseudomonas aeruginosa, CpxAR in Enterobacteriaceae, and AdeSR in Acinetobacter 424 baumannii [134]. In the latter case, AdeR (the response regulator) activates the expression of 425 the *adeABC* tripartite system genes by binding to a direct-repeat motif in the intercistronic 426 spacer [135]. Interestingly, at least some two component systems seem to be capable of multi-427 system regulation: The BaeSR system in Salmonella typhimurium, for instance, has been 428 shown to regulate both acrD and mdtABC expression [136]. The same system in E. coli 429 activates both of the latter in response to the presence of indole [137].

Further along the signal transduction cascade, local and global regulators fine-tune tripartite system expression levels. For instance, transcription of *acrAB*, encoding AcrA and AcrB is controlled by local repressors like AcrR [138], global regulators like MarA, SoxS, 433 and Rob [139], as well as global expression activators like RamA [140, 141] (with its 434 repressor protein RamR [142], whose RamA-repressing activity in turn is downregulated 435 via drug binding [143]). Other local repressors like TetR/QacR family members (e.g. emrR, 436 acrR, mtrR) downregulate pump expression [144-147]. These control elements reveal a rather complex regulatory network for fine-tuned expression. Overexpression of AraC/XylS global 437 438 regulator family members (e.g. MarA, SoxS, and Rob) has been shown to result in pump 439 overexpression and consequently increased efflux activity [148]. These regulators can be 440 induced by substrates of the pump systems they are regulating. In *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, 441 for example, the *mexZ* gene encodes a putative repressor of the *mexYX* operon (part of the 442 MexXY-OprM tripartite system). In a *mexZ*-defective mutant, the *mexY* gene was found to be 443 induced by various ribosome inhibitors like macrolides and chloramphenicol, but not by 444 antibiotics acting on other cellular targets [149]. Such a complex regulatory pattern hints 445 towards an additional physiological role for the Mex system beyond antibiotic efflux, and 446 suggests that there are additional regulatory loci for mexYX[149]. Surprisingly, for the MexEF-447 OprN tripartite pump in *P. aeruginosa*, toxic electrophiles were recently identified to induce 448 expression through the transcriptional regulator CmrA [150].

Regulation of different pump systems was also shown to be cross-linked in some cases: Deactivation of *acr* genes leads to an up-regulation of other efflux pump systems [151]. Furthermore, when AcrAB pump activity is impaired, AcrEF has been found to be upregulated in the presence of quinolones [152] and overexpressed AcrD replaces AcrB to form a tripartite assembly with AcrA-TolC (however, this replacement is repressed by AcrBspecific substrates) [153]. These examples show how well the efflux pump part of the bacterial proteome is coordinated and adjustable to compensate for loss of any component.

456 Regulation can furthermore happen on the post-transcriptional level. Both transcription 457 and translation attenuation can be abrogated by direct interaction of antibiotics with the ribosome during translation upstream of resistance gene(s). The ribosome is temporarily stalled so that an RNA secondary structure can form which (1) exposes the ribosome-binding site for translation or (2) allows for the formation of an antiterminator structure, which enables the RNA-polymerase to continue with transcription beyond a terminator [154]. As a consequence, the resistance gene(s) can be translated or transcribed, respectively.

Other examples of post-transcriptional regulation involve sRNAs like SdsR. The latter
binds and thereby represses *tolC* mRNA in *E. coli* and *Salmonella* [155]. Other examples
include MdtEF regulation by DsrA in *E. coli* [156] and MtrF (part of MtrCDE) regulation by
NrrF in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* [157]. Furthermore, sRNAs can 're-wire' two component
cascades and therewith indirectly influence expression level [158].

468 It has widely been assumed that an overexpression of pumps would impact on the cell. 469 For instance, overexpression of AcrAB in Salmonella typhimurium was suggested to come at 470 the expense of fitness and virulence [159]. Overexpression of AcrB also resulted in a switch in 471 E. coli's carbon metabolism from a respiratory to fermentative mode [160]. In contrast to these 472 findings, overexpression of AcrAB in a RamR-deletion mutant of Klebsiella pneumoniae revealed increased virulence [161] and overexpression of MtrCDE in *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* 473 474 increased fitness [162]. Furthermore, studies in Pseudomonas aeruginosa have shown that the 475 overexpression of the MexEF-OprN tripartite efflux pump system does not necessarily 476 decrease fitness – perhaps due to increased expression of genes encoding the nitrate respiratory 477 chain that might boost metabolic energy [163]. The increased presence of pumps in the 478 membrane may disturb pH homeostasis, which might be compensated by upregulated aerobic 479 respiration. This might account for the finding that, in an anaerobic environment, an increased 480 intracellular pH was measured in the over-expressing cells.

481 In addition to pump overexpression, mutants can account for increased pump activity:
482 A G288D substitution in AcrB's binding pocket changed the substrate specificity of the pump,

483 conferring ciprofloxacin resistance [164]. Mutations in binding pockets have also been484 identified in clinical isolates [165].

Regulation can furthermore happen on the post-translational level. The activity of the AcrAB-TolC pump for instance can be modulated by allosteric ligands. This is evident in the increased resistance for some but not all of AcrB's substrates through the binding of a 49 amino-acid long alpha-helical protein described as AcrZ [166]. AcrZ expression is co-regulated with AcrB by MarA, Rob, and SoxS [166]. The small protein binds to a groove in the inner membrane-facing site of AcrB [166]. The exact mechanism by which AcrZ increases resistance to tetracycline, puromycin and chloramphenicol remains to be explored.

492 Little is known about factors and conditions affecting pump assembly and disassembly. 493 The fact that outer membrane channels like TolC are used by multiple systems suggests 494 however that pump complexes assemble and disassemble in a transient fashion. Corresponding 495 findings from literature so far hint towards a regulatory role of the proton-motive-force for 496 pump disassembly (but not assembly). In particular, for the Neisseria MtrCDE system it was 497 found that whereas opening of the outer-membrane channel (MtrE) via interaction with the 498 membrane-fusion protein (MtrC) is energy-independent, drug export and complex dissociation 499 are dependent on active proton transport [167]. Studies of influx/efflux patterns by single 500 transporters in de-energised cells have demonstrated drug uptake driven by the drug gradient 501 in exchange for the export of protons (e.g. utilised in [168]). In order to counteract drug uptake 502 by the same system, pump complex disassembly and associated closure of the outer membrane 503 channel are needed. This could be related to the recently revealed 'twist-to-open' mechanism 504 mediated by the tip-to-tip interaction of TolC and AcrA [31] as well as with the observations 505 of rapid MexAB-OprM disassembly upon reaching thermodynamic equilibrium [169]. 506 Interestingly however, experiments with a MexB mutant with a disrupted proton relay network indicate that the assembly is still stable despite its inability to transport substrate [169, 170]. In
ABC transporters, it remains completely unclear how pump (dis-)assembly is governed.

509 Two possible regulatory mechanism of pump assembly and disassembly have been 510 suggested for the AcrAB/TolC system[171]. In one scenario, a drop in the periplasmic drug 511 concentration triggers the AcrB-trimer to acquire its pure LLL state, which lowers AcrA's 512 affinity for the AcrB trimer and henceforth shifts the equilibrium towards pump disassembly. 513 In a second scenario, tripartite (dis-)assembly could be pH-dependent given that the AcrAB 514 complex formation itself is favoured at a periplasmic pH of 6.0 but not at pH 7.5 [172]. 515 Elevated periplasmic pH levels are indicative of a decreased proton-motive-force. A complex 516 disassembly at elevated pH/lowered pmf levels might therefore prevent the possibility of drug 517 uptake via the tripartite assembly itself. This might explain why the transport activity of the 518 AcrAB/TolC synchronises with fluctuation of pmf levels in the cell (high activity at high pmf 519 levels) [173-175].

520

521 Summary and perspective

The cell envelope of Gram-negative bacteria is a formidable barrier to the movement 522 523 of materials, and dedicated machinery is required to translocate substances including nutrients, 524 virulence factors and antibiotics through the cell envelope. The efflux of drugs and other 525 bactericidal compounds is achieved through tripartite nano-machines that transduce energy 526 derived from electrochemical transmembrane gradients or ATP hydrolysis to drive the efflux 527 process. The architecture of representative assemblies reveals common features for the RND and ABC powered systems, and the mechanism of action involves a high degree of cooperation 528 529 between the protomers of the assembly.

530 Current understanding of the transport process by tripartite assemblies envisages that 531 the inner membrane component, sourcing energy for transport from either ATP hydrolysis 532 (ABC transporter) or electro-chemical gradients across the inner membrane, recognises and 533 binds substrates and guides these into the tripartite assembly for extrusion outside of the cell. 534 Depending on the system, the transporters reveal different degrees of poly-specificity, with 535 some recognising hundreds of chemically unrelated molecules (small compounds to mediumsized peptides) whilst others are specialised in the transport of selected ions. Poly-specificity 536 537 is the result of multiple binding sites and of a variety of residues acting as potential binding 538 partners. The transport through the outer membrane is typically conducted via a channel protein 539 (e.g. TolC). The latter is 'opened' up for substrate-transport via the interaction with the 540 membrane fusion protein, which establishes the connection between the two separated 541 membrane components and transduces energy from the inner membrane component for 542 conformational changes in the entire tripartite system, including the opening of the outer 543 membrane channel.

544 One area of considerable interest with view to pump activity is the exploration of 545 specific locations of efflux pump assemblies within the membrane system. For the E. coli 546 AcrAB-TolC system, it has been shown that the older and more drug resistant mother cells 547 accumulate the pump assembly in clusters at the cell poles [176]. In relation with this 548 observation, lipid rafts might play a putative role in pump-activity and/or -specificity 549 regulation. Lipids can stabilise membrane protein oligomer formation [177] and also 550 facilitate large conformational changes within membrane proteins [178]. The role of lipids 551 for pump localization and regulation is an important topic for future investigations [179].

High-resolution structures have often been the starting point for functional exploration
towards a better and more detailed understanding of efflux mechanisms. Such understanding
can be the basis for inhibitor design (e.g. the pyranopyridine derivatives MBX2319, MBX2931,
MBX3132, and MBX3135 as AcrB inhibitors) [56, 180]. Pump inhibitors could be used as
novel antibiotic agents in the fight against multiple antibiotic resistance as well as for studies

towards a better structural and functional characterization of pumps in general. With the recent
emergence of high resolution structures of tripartite pumps, interesting functional findings can
be expected in the near future.

560 There are numerous important puzzle regarding efflux mechanisms. How much energy is required for efflux, and how efficient is the process? Could some of the energy used to 561 562 discriminate transport substrates in a process that is analogous to kinetic proofreading? Addressing these questions demands in vitro techniques with reconstituted purified protein in 563 564 liposomes, as established mostly for Gram-positive transporters in the past. These have been 565 challenging with tripartite system due to their assembly in double-membrane system [61]. A 566 successful functional reconstitution of a tripartite system has been achieved for the MexAB-567 OprM system in liposomes so far and was utilised for in vitro activity studies [169]. 568 Furthermore, the recently described reconstitution technique using lipid nanodiscs [181-183] 569 have opened new possibilities for both structural and functional analyses of membrane 570 proteins. Tripartite systems reveal a complex pattern of pre- and post-translational regulation 571 in response to the environment and physiological needs of the cell. An understanding of the 572 tripartite proteome-regulatory network as well as of the expression-induced effects on bacterial physiology are needed in order to design effective drugs against tripartite assemblies. There is 573 574 still much to learn about how these systems are regulated, their functions, and their highly 575 cooperative mechanisms.

576

577

578 Acknowledgements

- DD and BFL are supported by the Wellcome Trust, HFSP and ERC. AN is recipient of a
 Herchel Smith Scholarship. We would like to thank M. Zwama, S., Yamasaki, R. Nakashima,
 K. Sakurai, K. Nishino, and A. Yamaguchi for providing the AcrB depiction used in Fig. 6.
- 583 References
- 584 [1] Li X-Z, Elkins CA, Zgurskaya HI. Efflux-Mediated Antimicrobial Resistance in Bacteria:
 585 Mechanisms, Regulation and Clinical Implications. Springer, 2016.
- [2] Piddock LJ, White DG, Gensberg K, Pumbwe L, Griggs DJ. Evidence for an efflux pump
 mediating multiple antibiotic resistance in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium.
 Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2000;44:3118-21.
- [3] Piddock LJ, Johnson MM, Simjee S, Pumbwe L. Expression of efflux pump gene pmrA in
 fluoroquinolone-resistant and-susceptible clinical isolates of Streptococcus pneumoniae.
 Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2002;46:808-12.
- 592 [4] Piddock LJ. Clinically relevant chromosomally encoded multidrug resistance efflux pumps593 in bacteria. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 2006;19:382-402.
- [5] Nishino K, Yamaguchi A. Analysis of a complete library of putative drug transporter genes
 in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 2001;183:5803-12.
- [6] Nishino K, Nikaido E, Yamaguchi A. Regulation and physiological function of multidrug
 efflux pumps in Escherichia coli and Salmonella. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)Proteins and Proteomics 2009;1794:834-43.

599 [7] Poole K. Efflux-mediated multiresistance in Gram-negative bacteria. Clin. Microbiol.
600 Infect. 2004;10:12-26.

[8] Tsukagoshi N, Aono R. Entry into and Release of Solvents byEscherichia coli in an
Organic-Aqueous Two-Liquid-Phase System and Substrate Specificity of the AcrAB-TolC
Solvent-Extruding Pump. J. Bacteriol. 2000;182:4803-10.

[9] White DG, Goldman JD, Demple B, Levy SB. Role of the acrAB locus in organic solvent
tolerance mediated by expression of marA, soxS, or robA in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.
1997;179:6122-6.

607 [10] Franke S, Grass G, Rensing C, Nies DH. Molecular analysis of the copper-transporting
608 efflux system CusCFBA of Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 2003;185:3804-12.

609 [11] Franke S, Grass G, Nies DH. The product of the ybdE gene of the Escherichia coli
610 chromosome is involved in detoxification of silver ions. Microbiology 2001;147:965-72.

[12] Borges-Walmsley MI, Beauchamp J, Kelly SM, Jumel K, Candlish D, Harding SE, et al.
Identification of oligomerization and drug-binding domains of the membrane fusion protein
EmrA. J. Biol. Chem. 2003;278:12903-12.

[13] Tanabe M, Szakonyi G, Brown KA, Henderson PJ, Nield J, Byrne B. The multidrug
resistance efflux complex, EmrAB from Escherichia coli forms a dimer in vitro. Biochem.
Biophys. Res. Commun. 2009;380:338-42.

- 617 [14] Poole K, Srikumar R. Multidrug efflux in Pseudomonas aeruginosa components,
 618 mechanisms and clinical significance. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2001;1:59-71.
- 619 [15] Evans K, Passador L, Srikumar R, Tsang E, Nezezon J, Poole K. Influence of the MexAB-
- 620 OprM multidrug efflux system on quorum sensing in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J. Bacteriol.621 1998;180:5443-7.
- [16] Keller L, Surette MG. Communication in bacteria: an ecological and evolutionary
 perspective. Nature reviews. Microbiology 2006;4:249.
- 624 [17] Yang S, Lopez CR, Zechiedrich EL. Quorum sensing and multidrug transporters in
- 625 Escherichiacoli. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2006;103:2386-91.
- [18] Severi E, Randle G, Kivlin P, Whitfield K, Young R, Moxon R, et al. Sialic acid transport
 in Haemophilus influenzae is essential for lipopolysaccharide sialylation and serum resistance
 and is dependent on a novel tripartite ATP-independent periplasmic transporter. Mol.
 Microbiol. 2005;58:1173-85.
- [19] Hirakata Y, Srikumar R, Poole K, Gotoh N, Suematsu T, Kohno S, et al. Multidrug efflux
 systems play an important role in the invasiveness of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. J. Exp. Med.
 2002;196:109-18.
- [20] Koronakis V, Hughes C. Synthesis, maturation and export of the E. coli hemolysin. Med.
 Microbiol. Immunol. 1996;185:65-71.

- [21] Binet R, Létoffé S, Ghigo JM, Delepelaire P, Wandersman C. Protein secretion by Gramnegative bacterial ABC exporters–a review. Gene 1997;192:7-11.
- 637 [22] Gilson L, Mahanty HK, Kolter R. Genetic analysis of an MDR-like export system: the
 638 secretion of colicin V. The EMBO journal 1990;9:3875.
- 639 [23] Bina JE, Mekalanos JJ. Vibrio cholerae tolC is required for bile resistance and
 640 colonization. Infect. Immun. 2001;69:4681-5.
- 641 [24] Boardman BK, Satchell KJF. Vibrio cholerae strains with mutations in an atypical type I
- secretion system accumulate RTX toxin intracellularly. J. Bacteriol. 2004;186:8137-43.
- [25] Join-Lambert O, Michea-Hamzehpour M, Köhler T, Chau F, Faurisson F, Dautrey S, et
 al. Differential Selection of Multidrug Efflux Mutants by Trovafloxacin and Ciprofloxacin in
 an Experimental Model ofPseudomonas aeruginosa Acute Pneumonia in Rats. Antimicrob.
 Agents Chemother. 2001;45:571-6.
- [26] Lee VT, Schneewind O. Protein secretion and the pathogenesis of bacterial infections.
 Genes Dev. 2001;15:1725-52.
- 649 [27] Groisman EA, Mouslim C. Molecular mechanisms of Salmonella pathogenesis. Curr.
 650 Opin. Infect. Dis. 2000;13:519-22.
- [28] Van Dyk TK, Templeton LJ, Cantera KA, Sharpe PL, Sariaslani FS. Characterization of
 the Escherichia coli AaeAB efflux pump: a metabolic relief valve? J. Bacteriol.
 2004;186:7196-204.

- [29] Murakami S, Nakashima R, Yamashita E, Yamaguchi A. Crystal structure of bacterial
 multidrug efflux transporter AcrB. Nature 2002;419:587-93.
- [30] Du D, Wang Z, James NR, Voss JE, Klimont E, Ohene-Agyei T, et al. Structure of the
- 657 AcrAB-TolC multidrug efflux pump. Nature 2014;509:512-5.
- [31] Wang Z, Fan G, Hryc CF, Blaza JN, Serysheva II, Schmid MF, et al. An allosteric
 transport mechanism for the AcrAB-TolC multidrug efflux pump. eLife 2017;6:e24905.
- [32] Jeong H, Kim J-S, Song S, Shigematsu H, Yokoyama T, Hyun J, et al. Pseudoatomic
 structure of the tripartite multidrug efflux pump AcrAB-TolC reveals the intermeshing
 cogwheel-like interaction between AcrA and TolC. Structure 2016;24:272-6.
- [33] Fitzpatrick AW, Llabrés S, Neuberger A, Blaza JN, Bai X-C, Okada U, et al. Structure of
 the MacAB-TolC ABC-type tripartite multidrug efflux pump. Nature microbiology
 2017;2:17070.
- [34] Hayashi K, Nakashima R, Sakurai K, Kitagawa K, Yamasaki S, Nishino K, et al. AcrBAcrA fusion proteins that act as multidrug efflux transporters. J. Bacteriol. 2016;198:332-42.
- [35] Tamura N, Murakami S, Oyama Y, Ishiguro M, Yamaguchi A. Direct interaction of
 multidrug efflux transporter AcrB and outer membrane channel TolC detected via site-directed
 disulfide cross-linking. Biochemistry 2005;44:11115-21.

[36] Lin HT, Bavro VN, Barrera NP, Frankish HM, Velamakanni S, van Veen HW, et al. MacB
ABC transporter is a dimer whose ATPase activity and macrolide-binding capacity are
regulated by the membrane fusion protein MacA. J. Biol. Chem. 2009;284:1145-54.

[37] Okada U, Yamashita E, Neuberger A, Morimoto M, van Veen HW, Murakami S. Crystal
structure of tripartite-type ABC transporter MacB from Acinetobacter baumannii. Nature
Communications 2017;8:1336.

[38] Crow A, Greene NP, Kaplan E, Koronakis V. Structure and mechanotransmission
mechanism of the MacB ABC transporter superfamily. Proceedings of the National Academy
of Sciences 2017;114:12572-7.

[39] Yang H-B, Hou W-T, Cheng M-T, Jiang Y-L, Chen Y, Zhou C-Z. Structure of a MacABlike efflux pump from Streptococcus pneumoniae. Nature communications 2018;9:196.

682 [40] Zhao X, Zhang K, Boquoi T, Hu B, Motaleb M, Miller KA, et al. Cryoelectron tomography

reveals the sequential assembly of bacterial flagella in Borrelia burgdorferi. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences 2013;110:14390-5.

[41] Murakami S, Nakashima R, Yamashita E, Matsumoto T, Yamaguchi A. Crystal structures
of a multidrug transporter reveal a functionally rotating mechanism. Nature 2006;443:173-9.

687 [42] Seeger MA, Schiefner A, Eicher T, Verrey F, Diederichs K, Pos KM. Structural
688 asymmetry of AcrB trimer suggests a peristaltic pump mechanism. Science 2006;313:1295-8.

- [43] Sennhauser G, Amstutz P, Briand C, Storchenegger O, Grütter MG. Drug export pathway
 of multidrug exporter AcrB revealed by DARPin inhibitors. PLoS Biol. 2006;5:e7.
- [44] Ntreh AT, Weeks JW, Nickels LM, Zgurskaya HI. Opening the Channel: the two
 functional interfaces of Pseudomonas aeruginosa OpmH with the Triclosan Efflux pump
 TriABC. J. Bacteriol. 2016;198:3176-85.
- [45] Weeks JW, Bavro VN, Misra R. Genetic assessment of the role of AcrB β-hairpins in the
 assembly of the TolC–AcrAB multidrug efflux pump of Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol.
 2014;91:965-75.
- [46] Andersen C, Koronakis E, Bokma E, Eswaran J, Humphreys D, Hughes C, et al. Transition
 to the open state of the TolC periplasmic tunnel entrance. Proceedings of the National Academy
 of Sciences 2002;99:11103-8.
- [47] Augustus AM, Celaya T, Husain F, Humbard M, Misra R. Antibiotic-sensitive TolC
 mutants and their suppressors. J. Bacteriol. 2004;186:1851-60.
- [48] Bavro VN, Pietras Z, Furnham N, Pérez-Cano L, Fernández-Recio J, Pei XY, et al.
 Assembly and channel opening in a bacterial drug efflux machine. Mol. Cell 2008;30:114-21.
- [49] Koronakis V, Sharff A, Koronakis E, Luisi B, Hughes C. Crystal structure of the bacterial
 membrane protein TolC central to multidrug efflux and protein export. Nature 2000;405:914.

[50] Balakrishnan L, Hughes C, Koronakis V. Substrate-triggered recruitment of the TolC
channel-tunnel during type I export of hemolysin by Escherichia coli. J. Mol. Biol.
2001;313:501-10.

[51] Eicher T, Cha H-j, Seeger MA, Brandstätter L, El-Delik J, Bohnert JA, et al. Transport of
drugs by the multidrug transporter AcrB involves an access and a deep binding pocket that are
separated by a switch-loop. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2012;109:568792.

[52] Murakami S. Multidrug efflux transporter, AcrB—the pumping mechanism. Curr. Opin.
Struct. Biol. 2008;18:459-65.

[53] Oswald C, Tam H-K, Pos KM. Transport of lipophilic carboxylates is mediated by
transmembrane helix 2 in multidrug transporter AcrB. Nature communications 2016;7:13819.

[54] Eicher T, Seeger MA, Anselmi C, Zhou W, Brandstätter L, Verrey F, et al. Coupling of
remote alternating-access transport mechanisms for protons and substrates in the multidrug
efflux pump AcrB. Elife 2014;3:e03145.

[55] Du D, van Veen HW, Luisi BF. Assembly and operation of bacterial tripartite multidrug
efflux pumps. Trends Microbiol. 2015;23:311-9.

[56] Sjuts H, Vargiu AV, Kwasny SM, Nguyen ST, Kim H-S, Ding X, et al. Molecular basis
for inhibition of AcrB multidrug efflux pump by novel and powerful pyranopyridine
derivatives. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2016;113:3509-14.

[58] Nakashima R, Sakurai K, Yamasaki S, Nishino K, Yamaguchi A. Structures of the
multidrug exporter AcrB reveal a proximal multisite drug-binding pocket. Nature
2011;480:565-9.

[59] Zwama M, Yamasaki S, Nakashima R, Sakurai K, Nishino K, Yamaguchi A. Multiple
entry pathways within the efflux transporter AcrB contribute to multidrug recognition. Nature
communications 2018;9:124.

[60] Su C-C, Yin L, Kumar N, Dai L, Radhakrishnan A, Bolla JR, et al. Structures and transport
dynamics of a Campylobacter jejuni multidrug efflux pump. Nature Communications 2017;8.

[61] Tikhonova EB, Devroy VK, Lau SY, Zgurskaya HI. Reconstitution of the Escherichia coli
macrolide transporter: the periplasmic membrane fusion protein MacA stimulates the ATPase
activity of MacB. Mol. Microbiol. 2007;63:895-910.

[62] Lee A, Mao W, Warren MS, Mistry A, Hoshino K, Okumura R, et al. Interplay between
efflux pumps may provide either additive or multiplicative effects on drug resistance. J.
Bacteriol. 2000;182:3142-50.

[63] Li X-Z, Poole K, Nikaido H. Contributions of MexAB-OprM and an EmrE homolog to
intrinsic resistance of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to aminoglycosides and dyes. Antimicrob.
Agents Chemother. 2003;47:27-33.

[65] Elkins CA, Mullis LB. Mammalian steroid hormones are substrates for the major RNDand MFS-type tripartite multidrug efflux pumps of Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol.
2006;188:1191-5.

[66] Kobayashi N, Nishino K, Yamaguchi A. Novel Macrolide-Specific ABC-Type Efflux
Transporter inEscherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 2001;183:5639-44.

[67] Vallet-Gely I, Novikov A, Augusto L, Liehl P, Bolbach G, Péchy-Tarr M, et al.
Association of hemolytic activity of Pseudomonas entomophila, a versatile soil bacterium, with
cyclic lipopeptide production. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 2010;76:910-21.

- [68] Cho H, Kang H. The PseEF efflux system is a virulence factor of Pseudomonas syringae
 pv. syringae. The Journal of Microbiology 2012;50:79-90.
- [69] Yamanaka H, Kobayashi H, Takahashi E, Okamoto K. MacAB is involved in the secretion
 of Escherichia coli heat-stable enterotoxin II. J. Bacteriol. 2008;190:7693-8.
- 760 [70] Edward WY, McDermott G, Zgurskaya HI, Nikaido H, Koshland DE. Structural basis of
- multiple drug-binding capacity of the AcrB multidrug efflux pump. Science 2003;300:976-80.
- [71] Aller SG, Yu J, Ward A, Weng Y, Chittaboina S, Zhuo R, et al. Structure of P-glycoprotein
 reveals a molecular basis for poly-specific drug binding. Science 2009;323:1718-22.

[73] Grkovic S, Brown MH, Schumacher MA, Brennan RG, Skurray RA. The staphylococcal
QacR multidrug regulator binds a correctly spaced operator as a pair of dimers. J. Bacteriol.
2001;183:7102-9.

[74] Schumacher MA, Miller MC, Grkovic S, Brown MH, Skurray RA, Brennan RG.
Structural mechanisms of QacR induction and multidrug recognition. Science 2001;294:215863.

[75] Chufan EE, Kapoor K, Sim H-M, Singh S, Talele TT, Durell SR, et al. Multiple transportactive binding sites are available for a single substrate on human P-glycoprotein (ABCB1).
PLoS One 2013;8:e82463.

[76] Lee M, Jun S-Y, Yoon B-Y, Song S, Lee K, Ha N-C. Membrane fusion proteins of type I
secretion system and tripartite efflux pumps share a binding motif for TolC in gram-negative
bacteria. PLoS One 2012;7:e40460.

[77] Morgan JL, Acheson JF, Zimmer J. Structure of a Type-1 Secretion System ABC
Transporter. Structure 2017;25:522-9.

[78] Mehmood S, Domene C, Forest E, Jault J-M. Dynamics of a bacterial multidrug ABC
transporter in the inward-and outward-facing conformations. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences 2012;109:10832-6.

- 784 [79] Dawson RJ, Hollenstein K, Locher KP. Uptake or extrusion: crystal structures of full ABC
- transporters suggest a common mechanism. Mol. Microbiol. 2007;65:250-7.
- [80] Jardetzky O. Simple allosteric model for membrane pumps. Nature 1966;211:969-70.
- 787 [81] Locher KP, Lee AT, Rees DC. The E. coli BtuCD structure: a framework for ABC
- transporter architecture and mechanism. Science 2002;296:1091-8.
- [82] Mi W, Li Y, Yoon SH, Ernst RK, Walz T, Liao M. Structural basis of MsbA-mediated
 lipopolysaccharide transport. Nature 2017.
- [83] Oldham ML, Davidson AL, Chen J. Structural insights into ABC transporter mechanism.
 Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2008;18:726-33.
- [84] Hollenstein K, Dawson RJ, Locher KP. Structure and mechanism of ABC transporter
 proteins. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2007;17:412-8.
- [85] Verhalen B, Dastvan R, Thangapandian S, Peskova Y, Koteiche HA, Nakamoto RK, et
- al. Energy transduction and alternating access of the mammalian ABC transporter P-glycoprotein. Nature 2017;543:738-41.
- [86] Korkhov VM, Mireku SA, Locher KP. Structure of AMP-PNP-bound vitamin B[^] sub 12[^]
 transporter BtuCD-F. Nature 2012;490:367.
- 800 [87] Dawson RJ, Locher KP. Structure of a bacterial multidrug ABC transporter. Nature801 2006;443:180.

[88] Ward A, Reyes CL, Yu J, Roth CB, Chang G. Flexibility in the ABC transporter MsbA:
Alternating access with a twist. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
2007;104:19005-10.

[89] Jin MS, Oldham ML, Zhang Q, Chen J. Crystal structure of the multidrug transporter Pglycoprotein from C. elegans. Nature 2012;490:566.

[90] Mishra S, Verhalen B, Stein RA, Wen P-C, Tajkhorshid E, Mchaourab HS.
Conformational dynamics of the nucleotide binding domains and the power stroke of a
heterodimeric ABC transporter. Elife 2014;3:e02740.

- [91] McCormick JW, Vogel PD, Wise JG. Multiple drug transport pathways through human
 P-glycoprotein. Biochemistry 2015;54:4374-90.
- [92] Jones PM, George AM. Opening of the ADP-bound active site in the ABC transporter
- 813 ATPase dimer: Evidence for a constant contact, alternating sites model for the catalytic cycle.
- 814 Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics 2009;75:387-96.
- [93] Zutz A, Hoffmann J, Hellmich UA, Glaubitz C, Ludwig B, Brutschy B, et al. Asymmetric
 ATP hydrolysis cycle of the heterodimeric multidrug ABC transport complex TmrAB from
 Thermus thermophilus. J. Biol. Chem. 2011;286:7104-15.

[94] Lubelski J, van Merkerk R, Konings WN, Driessen AJ. Nucleotide-binding sites of the
heterodimeric LmrCD ABC-multidrug transporter of Lactococcus lactis are asymmetric.
Biochemistry 2006;45:648-56.

- transporter in its inward-facing conformation. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2012;19:395-402.
- [96] George AM, Jones PM. Perspectives on the structure–function of ABC transporters: the
 switch and constant contact models. Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 2012;109:95-107.
- [97] Tombline G, Senior AE. The occluded nucleotide conformation of P-glycoprotein. J.
 Bioenerg. Biomembr. 2005;37:497-500.
- [98] Siarheyeva A, Liu R, Sharom FJ. Characterization of an Asymmetric Occluded State of
- P-glycoprotein with Two Bound Nucleotides IMPLICATIONS FOR CATALYSIS. J. Biol.
 Chem. 2010;285:7575-86.
- [99] Linhartová I, Bumba L, Mašín J, Basler M, Osička R, Kamanová J, et al. RTX proteins: a
 highly diverse family secreted by a common mechanism. FEMS Microbiol. Rev.
 2010;34:1076-112.
- [100] Binet R, Wandersman C. Protein secretion by hybrid bacterial ABC-transporters: specific
 functions of the membrane ATPase and the membrane fusion protein. The EMBO journal
 1995;14:2298.
- [101] Delepelaire P. Type I secretion in gram-negative bacteria. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta
 (BBA)-Molecular Cell Research 2004;1694:149-61.
- [102] Fuche F, Vianney A, Andrea C, Doublet P, Gilbert C. Functional type 1 secretion system
 involved in Legionella pneumophila virulence. J. Bacteriol. 2015;197:563-71.

- [103] Debarbieux L, Wandersman C. Folded HasA inhibits its own secretion through its ABC
 exporter. The EMBO journal 2001;20:4657-63.
- [104] Bakkes PJ, Jenewein S, Smits SH, Holland IB, Schmitt L. The rate of folding dictates
 substrate secretion by the Escherichia coli hemolysin type 1 secretion system. J. Biol. Chem.
 2010;285:40573-80.
- [105] Kanonenberg K, Schwarz CK, Schmitt L. Type I secretion systems–a story of
 appendices. Res. Microbiol. 2013;164:596-604.
- [106] Thomas S, Holland IB, Schmitt L. The type 1 secretion pathway—the hemolysin system
 and beyond. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Molecular Cell Research 2014;1843:162941.
- [107] Koronakis V, Koronakis E, Hughes C. Isolation and analysis of the C-terminal signal
 directing export of Escherichia coli hemolysin protein across both bacterial membranes. The
 EMBO journal 1989;8:595.
- [108] Chervaux C, Sauvonnet N, Le Clainche A, Kenny B, Hunt AL, Broome-Smith JK, et al.
 Secretion of active β-lactamase to the medium mediated by the Escherichia coli haemolysin
 transport pathway. Molecular and General Genetics MGG 1995;249:237-45.
- [109] Gray L, Mackman N, Nicaud J-M, Holland I. The carboxy-terminal region of haemolysin
 2001 is required for secretion of the toxin fromEscherichia coli. Molecular and General
 Genetics MGG 1986;205:127-33.

[111] Oldham ML, Hite RK, Steffen AM, Damko E, Li Z, Walz T, et al. A mechanism of viral
immune evasion revealed by cryo-EM analysis of the TAP transporter. Nature 2016;529:537.

[112] Delepelaire P. PrtD, the integral membrane ATP-binding cassette component of the
Erwinia chrysanthemi metalloprotease secretion system, exhibits a secretion signal-regulated
ATPase activity. J. Biol. Chem. 1994;269:27952-7.

[113] Masi M, Wandersman C. Multiple signals direct the assembly and function of a type 1
secretion system. J. Bacteriol. 2010;192:3861-9.

- 869 [114] Thanabalu T, Koronakis E, Hughes C, Koronakis V. Substrate-induced assembly of a
- 870 contiguous channel for protein export from E. coli: reversible bridging of an inner-membrane
- translocase to an outer membrane exit pore. The EMBO Journal 1998;17:6487-96.

[115] Kupersztoch Y, Tachias K, Moomaw C, Dreyfus L, Urban R, Slaughter C, et al. Secretion
of methanol-insoluble heat-stable enterotoxin (STB): energy-and secA-dependent conversion
of pre-STB to an intermediate indistinguishable from the extracellular toxin. J. Bacteriol.
1990;172:2427-32.

[116] Okamoto K, Takahara M. Synthesis of Escherichia coli heat-stable enterotoxin STp as a
pre-pro form and role of the pro sequence in secretion. J. Bacteriol. 1990;172:5260-5.

- heat-stable enterotoxin I across the outer membrane. J. Bacteriol. 1997;179:3383-90.
- [118] Lee J-Y, Kinch LN, Borek DM, Wang J, Wang J, Urbatsch IL, et al. Crystal structure of
- the human sterol transporter ABCG5/ABCG8. Nature 2016;533:561-4.
- [119] Locher KP. Mechanistic diversity in ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters. Nat.
 Struct. Mol. Biol. 2016;23:487-93.
- [120] Reimann S, Poschmann G, Kanonenberg K, Stühler K, Smits SH, Schmitt L. Interdomain
- regulation of the ATPase activity of the ABC transporter haemolysin B from Escherichia coli.
 Biochem. J. 2016;473:2471-83.
- [121] Zhang F, Sheps J, Ling V. Complementation of transport-deficient mutants of
 Escherichia coli alpha-hemolysin by second-site mutations in the transporter hemolysin B. J.
 Biol. Chem. 1993;268:19889-95.
- [122] Sheps JA, Cheung I, Ling V. Hemolysin transport in Escherichia coli. Point mutants in
 HlyB compensate for a deletion in the predicted amphiphilic helix region of the HlyA signal.
 J. Biol. Chem. 1995;270:14829-34.
- [123] Delepelaire P, Wandersman C. Protein secretion in gram-negative bacteria. The
 extracellular metalloprotease B from Erwinia chrysanthemi contains a C-terminal secretion
 signal analogous to that of Escherichia coli alpha-hemolysin. J. Biol. Chem. 1990;265:1711825.

- 897 [124] Blair JM, Richmond GE, Piddock LJ. Multidrug efflux pumps in Gram-negative bacteria
 898 and their role in antibiotic resistance. Future Microbiol. 2014;9:1165-77.
- [125] Hernando-Amado S, Blanco P, Alcalde-Rico M, Corona F, Reales-Calderón JA, Sánchez
 MB, et al. Multidrug efflux pumps as main players in intrinsic and acquired resistance to
 antimicrobials. Drug Resistance Updates 2016;28:13-27.
- 902 [126] Stock AM, Robinson VL, Goudreau PN. Two-component signal transduction. Annu.
 903 Rev. Biochem. 2000;69:183-215.
- 904 [127] Hoch JA. Two-component and phosphorelay signal transduction. Curr. Opin. Microbiol.
 905 2000;3:165-70.
- 906 [128] Piepenbreier H, Fritz G, Gebhard S. Transporters as information processors in bacterial
 907 signalling pathways. Mol. Microbiol. 2017;104:1-15.
- 908 [129] Sun J-R, Jeng W-Y, Perng C-L, Yang Y-S, Soo P-C, Chiang Y-S, et al. Single amino
 909 acid substitution Gly186Val in AdeS restores tigecycline susceptibility of Acinetobacter
 910 baumannii. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2016;71:1488-92.
- 911 [130] Marchand I, Damier-Piolle L, Courvalin P, Lambert T. Expression of the RND-type
- 912 efflux pump AdeABC in Acinetobacter baumannii is regulated by the AdeRS two-component
- 913 system. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2004;48:3298-304.

[131] Nowak J, Schneiders T, Seifert H, Higgins PG. The Asp20-to-Asn substitution in the
response regulator AdeR leads to enhanced efflux activity of AdeB in Acinetobacter
baumannii. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2016;60:1085-90.

[132] Koteva K, Hong H-J, Wang XD, Nazi I, Hughes D, Naldrett MJ, et al. A vancomycin
photoprobe identifies the histidine kinase VanSsc as a vancomycin receptor. Nat. Chem. Biol.
2010;6:327-9.

[133] Fritz G, Dintner S, Treichel NS, Radeck J, Gerland U, Mascher T, et al. A new way of
sensing: need-based activation of antibiotic resistance by a flux-sensing mechanism. MBio
2015;6:e00975-15.

[134] Poole K, Gilmour C, Farha MA, Mullen E, Lau CH-F, Brown ED. Potentiation of
aminoglycoside activity in Pseudomonas aeruginosa by targeting the AmgRS envelope stressresponsive two-component system. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2016;60:3509-18.

[135] Chang T-Y, Huang B-J, Sun J-R, Perng C-L, Chan M-C, Yu C-P, et al. AdeR protein
regulates adeABC expression by binding to a direct-repeat motif in the intercistronic spacer.
Microbiol. Res. 2016;183:60-7.

[136] Nishino K, Nikaido E, Yamaguchi A. Regulation of multidrug efflux systems involved
in multidrug and metal resistance of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. J. Bacteriol.
2007;189:9066-75.

932 [137] Hirakawa H, Inazumi Y, Masaki T, Hirata T, Yamaguchi A. Indole induces the
933 expression of multidrug exporter genes in Escherichia coli. Mol. Microbiol. 2005;55:1113-26.

[138] Ma D, Alberti M, Lynch C, Nikaido H, Hearst JE. The local repressor AcrR plays a
modulating role in the regulation of acrAB genes of Escherichia coli by global stress signals.
Mol. Microbiol. 1996;19:101-12.

[139] Alekshun MN, Levy SB. Regulation of chromosomally mediated multiple antibiotic
resistance: the mar regulon. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1997;41:2067.

[140] Chollet R, Chevalier J, Bollet C, Pages J-M, Davin-Regli A. RamA is an alternate
activator of the multidrug resistance cascade in Enterobacter aerogenes. Antimicrob. Agents
Chemother. 2004;48:2518-23.

[141] Ruzin A, Visalli MA, Keeney D, Bradford PA. Influence of transcriptional activator
RamA on expression of multidrug efflux pump AcrAB and tigecycline susceptibility in
Klebsiella pneumoniae. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2005;49:1017-22.

[142] Abouzeed YM, Baucheron S, Cloeckaert A. ramR mutations involved in efflux-mediated
multidrug resistance in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. Antimicrob. Agents
Chemother. 2008;52:2428-34.

948 [143] Yamasaki S, Nikaido E, Nakashima R, Sakurai K, Fujiwara D, Fujii I, et al. The crystal
949 structure of multidrug-resistance regulator RamR with multiple drugs. Nature communications
950 2013;4.

[144] Chen H, Hu J, Chen PR, Lan L, Li Z, Hicks LM, et al. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa
multidrug efflux regulator MexR uses an oxidation-sensing mechanism. Proceedings of the
National Academy of Sciences 2008;105:13586-91.

[146] Wilke MS, Heller M, Creagh AL, Haynes CA, McIntosh LP, Poole K, et al. The crystal
structure of MexR from Pseudomonas aeruginosa in complex with its antirepressor ArmR.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2008;105:14832-7.

[147] Yamada J, Yamasaki S, Hirakawa H, Hayashi-Nishino M, Yamaguchi A, Nishino K.
Impact of the RNA chaperone Hfq on multidrug resistance in Escherichia coli. J. Antimicrob.
Chemother. 2010;65:853-8.

962 [148] Grkovic S, Brown MH, Skurray RA. Regulation of bacterial drug export systems.
963 Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 2002;66:671-701.

964 [149] Jeannot K, Sobel ML, El Garch F, Poole K, Plésiat P. Induction of the MexXY efflux
965 pump in Pseudomonas aeruginosa is dependent on drug-ribosome interaction. J. Bacteriol.
966 2005;187:5341-6.

967 [150] Juarez P, Jeannot K, Plésiat P, Llanes C. Toxic Electrophiles Induce Expression of the
968 Multi-Drug Efflux Pump MexEF-OprN in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Through a Novel
969 Transcriptional Regulator, CmrA. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2017;AAC. 00585-17.

[151] Blair JM, Smith HE, Ricci V, Lawler AJ, Thompson LJ, Piddock LJ. Expression of
homologous RND efflux pump genes is dependent upon AcrB expression: implications for
efflux and virulence inhibitor design. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 2014;70:424-31.

- 973 [152] Zhang C-Z, Chang M-X, Yang L, Liu Y-Y, Chen P-X, Jiang H-X. Upregulation of AcrEF
 974 in Quinolone Resistance Development in Escherichia coli When AcrAB-TolC Function Is
 975 Impaired. Microbial Drug Resistance 2017.
- 976 [153] Yamamoto K, Tamai R, Yamazaki M, Inaba T, Sowa Y, Kawagishi I. Substrate977 dependent dynamics of the multidrug efflux transporter AcrB of Escherichia coli. Sci. Rep.
 978 2016;6:21909.
- 979 [154] Dersch P, Khan MA, Mühlen S, Görke B. Roles of regulatory RNAs for antibiotic
- 980 resistance in bacteria and their potential value as novel drug targets. Front. Microbiol. 2017;8.
- [155] Parker A, Gottesman S. Small RNA regulation of TolC, the outer membrane component
 of bacterial multidrug transporters. J. Bacteriol. 2016;198:1101-13.
- [156] Nishino K, Yamasaki S, Hayashi-Nishino M, Yamaguchi A. Effect of overexpression of
 small non-coding DsrA RNA on multidrug efflux in Escherichia coli. J. Antimicrob.
 Chemother. 2010;66:291-6.
- [157] Jackson LA, Pan J-C, Day MW, Dyer DW. Control of RNA stability by NrrF, an ironregulated small RNA in Neisseria gonorrhoeae. J. Bacteriol. 2013;195:5166-73.
- [158] Göpel Y, Görke B. Rewiring two-component signal transduction with small RNAs. Curr.
 Opin. Microbiol. 2012;15:132-9.

993 [160] Zampieri M, Enke T, Chubukov V, Ricci V, Piddock L, Sauer U. Metabolic constraints
994 on the evolution of antibiotic resistance. Mol. Syst. Biol. 2017;13:917.

[161] De Majumdar S, Yu J, Fookes M, McAteer SP, Llobet E, Finn S, et al. Elucidation of the
RamA regulon in Klebsiella pneumoniae reveals a role in LPS regulation. PLoS Pathog.
2015;11:e1004627.

998 [162] Ohneck EA, Goytia M, Rouquette-Loughlin CE, Joseph SJ, Read TD, Jerse AE, et al.
999 Overproduction of the MtrCDE efflux pump in Neisseria gonorrhoeae produces unexpected
1000 changes in cellular transcription patterns. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2015;59:724-6.

[163] Pacheco JO, Alvarez-Ortega C, Rico MA, Martínez JL. Metabolic Compensation of
Fitness Costs Is a General Outcome for Antibiotic-Resistant Pseudomonas aeruginosa Mutants
Overexpressing Efflux Pumps. mBio 2017;8:e00500-17.

[164] Blair JM, Bavro VN, Ricci V, Modi N, Cacciotto P, Kleinekathöfer U, et al. AcrB drugbinding pocket substitution confers clinically relevant resistance and altered substrate
specificity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2015;112:3511-6.

[165] Yao H, Shen Z, Wang Y, Deng F, Liu D, Naren G, et al. Emergence of a potent multidrug
efflux pump variant that enhances Campylobacter resistance to multiple antibiotics. mBio
2016;7:e01543-16.

- 1013 [167] Janganan TK, Bavro VN, Zhang L, Borges-Walmsley MI, Walmsley AR. Tripartite
- 1014 efflux pumps: energy is required for dissociation, but not assembly or opening of the outer
- 1015 membrane channel of the pump. Mol. Microbiol. 2013;88:590-602.
- 1016 [168] Neuberger A, van Veen HW. Hoechst 33342 is a hidden "Janus" amongst substrates for
 1017 the multidrug efflux pump LmrP. PLoS One 2015;10:e0141991.
- 1018 [169] Verchère A, Dezi M, Adrien V, Broutin I, Picard M. In vitro transport activity of the
 1019 fully assembled MexAB-OprM efflux pump from Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Nature
 1020 communications 2015;6.
- [170] Enguéné VYN, Verchère A, Phan G, Broutin I, Picard M. Catch me if you can: a
 biotinylated proteoliposome affinity assay for the investigation of assembly of the MexAMexB-OprM efflux pump from Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Front. Microbiol. 2015;6.
- 1024 [171] Müller RT, Pos KM. The assembly and disassembly of the AcrAB-TolC three-1025 component multidrug efflux pump. Biol. Chem. 2015;396:1083-9.
- 1026 [172] Tikhonova EB, Yamada Y, Zgurskaya HI. Sequential mechanism of assembly of
 1027 multidrug efflux pump AcrAB-TolC. Chem. Biol. 2011;18:454-63.

[173] Cherepanov DA, Feniouk BA, Junge W, Mulkidjanian AY. Low dielectric permittivity
of water at the membrane interface: effect on the energy coupling mechanism in biological
membranes. Biophys. J. 2003;85:1307-16.

1031 [174] Cherepanov DA, Junge W, Mulkidjanian AY. Proton transfer dynamics at the 1032 membrane/water interface: dependence on the fixed and mobile pH buffers, on the size and 1033 form of membrane particles, and on the interfacial potential barrier. Biophys. J. 2004;86:665-1034 80.

[175] Kralj JM, Hochbaum DR, Douglass AD, Cohen AE. Electrical spiking in Escherichia
coli probed with a fluorescent voltage-indicating protein. Science 2011;333:345-8.

[176] Bergmiller T, Andersson AM, Tomasek K, Balleza E, Kiviet DJ, Hauschild R, et al.
Biased partitioning of the multidrug efflux pump AcrAB-TolC underlies long-lived phenotypic
heterogeneity. Science 2017;356:311-5.

1040 [177] Gupta K, Donlan JA, Hopper JT, Uzdavinys P, Landreh M, Struwe WB, et al. The role
1041 of interfacial lipids in stabilizing membrane protein oligomers. Nature 2017;1-4.

[178] Landreh M, Marklund EG, Uzdavinys P, Degiacomi MT, Coincon M, Gault J, et al.
Integrating mass spectrometry with MD simulations reveals the role of lipids in Na+/H+
antiporters. Nature communications 2017;8.

1045 [179] Neumann J, Rose-Sperling D, Hellmich UA. Diverse relations between ABC transporters
1046 and lipids: An overview. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Biomembranes
1047 2017;1859:605-18.

- 1048 [180] Vargiu AV, Ruggerone P, Opperman TJ, Nguyen ST, Nikaido H. Molecular mechanism
 1049 of MBX2319 inhibition of Escherichia coli AcrB multidrug efflux pump and comparison with
 1050 other inhibitors. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 2014;58:6224-34.
- [181] Denisov IG, Sligar SG. Nanodiscs for structural and functional studies of membraneproteins. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 2016;23:481-6.
- 1053 [182] Frauenfeld J, Löving R, Armache J-P, Sonnen AF, Guettou F, Moberg P, et al. A saposin-
- 1054 lipoprotein nanoparticle system for membrane proteins. Nature methods 2016;13:345-51.
- 1055 [183] Daury L, Orange F, Taveau J-C, Verchère A, Monlezun L, Gounou C, et al. Tripartite
- assembly of RND multidrug efflux pumps. Nature communications 2016;7:10731.

1057