

# A model for tetrapyrrole synthesis as the primary mechanism for plastid-to-nucleus signaling during chloroplast biogenesis

## Matthew J. Terry<sup>1,2</sup> \* and Alison G. Smith<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Centre for Biological Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

<sup>2</sup> Institute for Life Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

<sup>3</sup> Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

#### Edited by:

Dario Leister, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany

#### Reviewed by:

Tatjana Kleine, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany Paolo Pesaresi, Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy

#### \*Correspondence:

Matthew J. Terry, Centre for Biological Sciences, University of Southampton, Life Sciences Building 85, Highfield Campus, Southampton SO17 1BJ, UK. e-mail: mjt@soton.ac.uk Chloroplast biogenesis involves the co-ordinated expression of the chloroplast and nuclear genomes, requiring information to be sent from the developing chloroplasts to the nucleus. This is achieved through retrograde signaling pathways and can be demonstrated experimentally using the photobleaching herbicide, norflurazon, which in seedlings results in chloroplast damage and the reduced expression of many photosynthesis-related, nuclear genes. Genetic analysis of this pathway points to a major role for tetrapyrrole synthesis in retrograde signaling, as well as a strong interaction with light signaling pathways. Currently, the best model to explain the genetic data is that a specific heme pool generated by flux through ferrochelatase-1 functions as a positive signal to promote the expression of genes required for chloroplast development. We propose that this heme-related signal is the primary positive signal during chloroplast biogenesis, and that treatments and mutations affecting chloroplast transcription, RNA editing, translation, or protein import all impact on the synthesis and/or processing of this signal. A positive signal is consistent with the need to provide information on chloroplast status at all times. We further propose that GUN1 normally serves to restrict the production of the heme signal. In addition to

#### etadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

chlorophyll biosynthesis intermediates, such as Mg-porphyrins. Under these conditions a rapid shut-down of tetrapyrrole synthesis is required. We propose that accumulation of these intermediates results in a rapid light-dependent inhibition of nuclear gene expression that is most likely mediated via singlet oxygen generated by photo-excitation of Mg-porphyrins. Thus, the tetrapyrrole pathway may provide both positive and inhibitory signals to control expression of nuclear genes.

## Keywords: retrograde signaling, photomorphogenesis, heme, protochlorophyllide, chlorophyll, ferrochelatase, gun mutants, singlet oxygen

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Chloroplasts are essential organelles in plant cells, responsible for harvesting the majority of the Earth's energy obtained from the sun. Understanding chloroplast biogenesis is therefore both of great fundamental importance, and is essential in underpinning attempts to manipulate this process in the search for new sources of renewable energy. Chloroplasts evolved through the integration of free-living photosynthetic prokaryotic organisms into eukaryotic hosts, following an endosymbiotic relationship. However, the gene complement of these endosymbionts (encoding as many as 4500 proteins) has since been redistributed so that plant chloroplasts now encode genes for fewer than 100 proteins (Martin et al., 2002), with the remaining genes in the nucleus. As a consequence some 2000-3000 proteins are synthesized in the cytosol, and imported into the chloroplast (Gray et al., 2003; Zybailov et al., 2008; Jung and Chory, 2010). The regulation of chloroplast development and function therefore requires the co-ordination of both nuclear and chloroplast genomes.

There are two major groups of chloroplast-targeted proteins encoded by the nucleus: important components of the chloroplast genetic machinery, including one of the RNA polymerases and a large number of pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) proteins involved in RNA processing; and the enzymes and other nucleusencoded chloroplast proteins that comprise the components of the photosynthetic machinery. This latter group, referred to as "photosynthetic genes" (**Figure 1A**) are expressed in response to light via anterograde signaling pathways, which include those mediated by the phytochrome and cryptochrome families of photoreceptors (Waters and Langdale, 2009). Since many components of these signaling pathways are shared with other de-etiolation responses, considerable progress has been made recently in understanding light regulation of anterograde signaling (Leivar et al., 2009; Shin et al., 2009; Stephenson et al., 2009; Richter et al., 2010).

However, in any regulatory system information needs to travel in both directions, and chloroplasts are able to send information back to the nucleus to control expression of photosynthetic



FIGURE 1 | Models for communication between plastids and the nucleus. (A) During chloroplast biogenesis light promotes the synthesis of many nuclear-encoded proteins required for the development of etioplasts into functional chloroplasts. (B) Under normal conditions a positive plastid signal provides information on the developmental status of the chloroplast and promotes nuclear gene expression. Chloroplast damage or loss of function leads to a reduction in the positive signal (in most conditions) and an additional inhibitory signal. (C) The alternative model in which only an inhibitory plastid signal resulting from chloroplast damage or loss of function provides information, but there is no feedback from the chloroplast to the nucleus under normal conditions.

genes via plastid-to-nucleus signaling (hereafter termed plastid signaling). In mature plants, chloroplasts can provide the nucleus with information about the environment that serves to optimize photosynthesis and other aspects of plant function such as the response to a variety of stresses. This type of plastid signaling has been defined as *operational control* (Pogson et al., 2008). This article, however, is concerned with *biogenic control*, i.e., signals that provide information about the developmental status of the chloroplast during the process of chloroplast biogenesis. Just as importantly these signals will also provide information on the number of developing chloroplasts that need to be provided with new proteins. Biogenic control has been studied primarily in deetiolating seedlings, but may equally apply during the synthesis

of new chloroplasts in the apical meristem. However, in addition to these developmental signals a young seedling is exposed to the same environmental cues as mature plants and extremes of light and temperature are likely to have even more severe effects at this stage of development. Therefore models of plastid signaling during biogenic control need to include integration of environmental information. So what would be the nature of such a signal? If a signal is required to provide information on the progression of chloroplast development then it makes sense that this signal is present throughout the developmental process. Only a positive plastid signal, as illustrated in Figure 1B, can provide this continuous information. An inhibitory signal that provides information about chloroplast damage should not be continuous - the only information potentially provided by a negative signal produced under normal conditions is its absence (Figure 1C). There is evidence in the early literature for a continuous plastid signal that permits expression of light-regulated genes (Oelmüller et al., 1986; Burgess and Taylor, 1988), and as we shall see such a model is now supported genetically (Woodson et al., 2011).

It is over 30 years since Bradbeer et al. (1979) first inferred that plants with abnormal chloroplasts showed reduced nuclear gene expression and thus that signals from the chloroplast were required for the expression of certain nuclear genes. However, despite remaining a highly active research area, our understanding of how chloroplasts signal to the nucleus has remained opaque (Pogson et al., 2008; Jung and Chory, 2010; Kleine et al., 2009; Pfannschmidt, 2010; Inaba et al., 2011; Leister, 2012). There are a number of reasons for this. Research on plastid signaling has been limited by the fact we have only isolated data sets utilizing different experimental systems. The use of different species, plastid treatments, seedling age, gene outputs etc., has contributed to confusion in the field that has hampered the development of robust and testable models for plastid signaling. A further complication is that the severity of treatments used invariably elicits a strong stress response that may mask signaling responses under normal physiological conditions, including the adjustment to mild stress conditions. These problems are particularly pertinent when trying to separate the role of plastid signaling during chloroplast biogenesis from that of mature chloroplasts. In this article we propose a model for plastid signaling during chloroplast biogenesis in which there are positive and negative plastid signals regulating nuclear gene expression. In doing this we have synthesized the available data to examine the role of tetrapyrrole synthesis in mediating both of these plastid signals.

# JUST HOW MANY PLASTID SIGNALING MOLECULES ARE THERE?

Retrograde signaling was demonstrated in early experiments using plants with defective plastids, either as a result of mutation (Harpster et al., 1984) or the use of inhibitors of plastid translation or norflurazon (NF), a photobleaching herbicide that inhibits the carotenoid synthesis enzyme phytoene desaturase (Oelmüller et al., 1986). These experiments showed that plastid integrity was required for the expression of nuclear-encoded photosynthetic genes. Indeed, NF and the plastid translation inhibitor lincomycin (Lin) are still the standard experimental tools today for demonstrating the requirement for functional chloroplasts to maintain nuclear gene expression during chloroplast biogenesis. NF treatment results in photo-oxidative damage of chloroplasts under white light due to unquenched triplet chlorophyll formation and leads to a catastrophic reduction in the expression of nearly 1000 nuclear genes (Strand et al., 2003; Koussevitzky et al., 2007; Moulin et al., 2008; Aluru et al., 2009). Lin treatment results in an even stronger response, with twice as many genes downregulated (Cottage et al., 2008; Ruckle et al., 2012), provided that it is applied within 2–3 days of germination (Oelmüller et al., 1986; Gray et al., 2003).

Since the earliest observations the search has been on for the chloroplast-derived molecules that are affected by these treatments and thus could be signaling the nucleus to bring about changes in nuclear gene expression. There are now a range of candidate molecules in the literature (most recently reviewed by Leister, 2012), many of them coming to light quite recently. They can be categorized broadly into three separate classes of molecule:

#### PROTEINS

There are two proteins that have been shown recently to be translocated between plastids and the nucleus, suggesting they may be good candidates for mediating signaling between these compartments. Introduction of the gene for the transcriptional activator Whirly1 into tobacco chloroplast DNA resulted in synthesis of the protein in the chloroplast, but it was able to translocate to the nucleus to activate pathogen response genes (Isemer et al., 2012). In the second, the chloroplast envelope-bound plant homeodomain transcription factor (PTM) was shown to undergo proteolytic cleavage under conditions affecting plastid signaling, resulting in the accumulation of the amino terminal fragment in the nucleus (Sun et al., 2011). This PTM fragment targets the ABI4 gene, activating its expression and, since ABI4 itself is implicated in plastid-dependent regulation of nuclear gene expression (Koussevitzky et al., 2007), this signaling mechanism looks very promising.

#### **REACTIVE OXYGEN SPECIES**

Reactive oxygen species (ROS) can be generated in the chloroplast through the action of photosynthesis with the superoxide anion radical and hydrogen peroxide produced from the reduction of oxygen at photosystem I (PSI) and singlet oxygen produced at PSII (Apel and Hirt, 2004). Accumulation of all three species has been shown to result in major changes in nuclear gene expression and thus convey information about the status of the chloroplast (op den Camp et al., 2003; Gadjev et al., 2006; Galvez-Valdivieso and Mullineaux, 2010).

### METABOLITES

Several different classes of metabolites have the potential to be involved in chloroplast-to-nucleus communication. They are characterized by being synthesized in the chloroplast and then translocated into the cytosol or to other cellular compartments. Molecules such as amino acids, lipids, and reducing equivalents are exported from the chloroplast, and many hormones including the gibberellins, abscisic acid (ABA), jasmonate, and the strigolactones are at least partially synthesized in the chloroplast. One group of molecules that has been repeatedly implicated is the tetrapyrroles (discussed in more detail below). Heme, the phytochrome chromophore phytochromobilin, and chlorophyll breakdown products, are all known to leave the chloroplast and thus have the potential to modify cellular processes (Mochizuki et al., 2010). Other metabolites have also been shown recently to affect nuclear gene expression. The isoprenoid precursor methylerythritol cyclodiphosphate (MEcPP) was suggested as a plastid-derived signal regulating the nuclear gene HPL encoding the chloroplast-localized oxylipin biosynthesis enzyme hydroperoxide lyase (Xiao et al., 2012). Another example, is 3'-phosphoadenosine 5'-phosphate (PAP), which has been demonstrated to play a role as a chloroplast signal regulating a number of drought and high light-inducible genes, including APX2 (Estavillo et al., 2011).

Can any of these signals result in the dramatic reduction in nuclear gene expression seen after severe treatments, such as with NF or Lin? One hypothesis is that there is not a single signal, and with so many chloroplast-derived metabolites able to influence nuclear gene expression, it is the combination of their effects - as metabolite signatures - that we observe as a plastid signal (Pfannschmidt, 2010). While this is a useful concept in our understanding of signal integration, it cannot account for the precipitous reduction in expression of many nuclear genes when chloroplast development is blocked. Such a proposal is also inconsistent with transcriptomic meta-analyses (Richly et al., 2003; Biehl et al., 2005). In these studies an analysis of the regulation of an almost complete gene set of nucleus-encoded chloroplast genes indicated that there was one master regulatory switch leading to the up- or down-regulation of a conserved group of genes and that this was tightly linked to mutations affecting the chloroplast (Richly et al., 2003). A more exhaustive follow-up study supported this idea, but was able to sub-divide gene classes further by function (Biehl et al., 2005), suggesting differential interaction with other signals. Regulation through the combined signaling of many metabolites might be expected to show a more complex gene expression profile. Since these studies were conducted with mature plants it suggests that a limited number of specific signals are also likely to be important during operational plastid signaling. However, signals such as MEcPP or PAP may reflect the response to changes in environmental conditions not tested for in these meta-analyses and could still contribute to a broad spectrum of signals involved in operational control, interacting with other signals such as those related to redox state (Dietz and Pfannschmidt, 2011). To drive the formation and development of chloroplasts during biogenesis it is more likely that a limited number of specific signals are required. And to understand this biogenic control we need to consider fully the genetic evidence available.

#### THE GENETICS OF PLASTID SIGNALING DURING CHLOROPLAST BIOGENESIS (*GENOMES UNCOUPLED* MUTANTS)

There are very many mutations and treatments affecting chloroplast function that lead to a reduction in the expression of nuclear genes (discussed in more detail below). However, what we currently know about the pathways regulating chloroplast-to-nucleus communication during chloroplast biogenesis comes primarily from the isolation of genomes uncoupled (gun) mutants in which the expression of the nuclear gene Lhcb is maintained following chloroplast damage using NF treatment (Susek et al., 1993). To date, screening for this phenotype has generated mutants in two major categories: mutants affected in tetrapyrrole metabolism (Susek et al., 1993; Mochizuki et al., 2001; Larkin et al., 2003; Woodson et al., 2011) and mutants in light signaling components (Ruckle et al., 2007). Given the close association between light and plastid-signaling (Vinti et al., 2005; Larkin and Ruckle, 2008), and the primary role of light in regulating chloroplast development (Waters and Langdale, 2009), it is perhaps not surprising that a mutant lacking the blue-light photoreceptor cryptochrome 1 (CRY1) and the light signaling mutants hy5 and cop1 show altered response to chloroplast status (Ruckle et al., 2007). HY5 is a basic leucine zipper transcription factor that normally induces the expression of photosynthesis-related genes in response to phytochrome and cryptochrome signaling. However, it has been proposed that HY5 is converted to a negative regulator of photosynthetic genes after Lin treatment (Ruckle et al., 2007) when its function is dependent on the presence of another transcription factor ABI4 (Larkin and Ruckle, 2008). Consistent with this, abi4 mutants also show a gun phenotype (Koussevitzky et al., 2007). Integration of light and plastid signaling responses at the genetic level supports earlier observations that the cis-regulatory elements mediating these responses appear to be common to both processes (Bolle et al., 1994; Kusnetsov et al., 1996; Puente et al., 1996; McCormac et al., 2001).

The original gun mutant screen isolated five mutants that retained partial expression of Lhcb after NF treatment. GUN1 is a PPR protein that binds nucleic acids (Susek et al., 1993; Koussevitzky et al., 2007) and is discussed later. The other four gun mutants were found to be mutated in tetrapyrrole synthesis genes: GUN2, GUN3, and GUN5 encode heme oxygenase, phytochromobilin synthase, and the H subunit of Mg-chelatase (CHLH), respectively (Figure 2; Mochizuki et al., 2001), while GUN4 is a regulator of Mg-chelatase activity (Larkin et al., 2003). Subsequently it has been demonstrated that mutants lacking the D subunit (Strand et al., 2003) and both I subunits (Huang and Li, 2009) of Mg-chelatase also show a gun phenotype. Based on the characterization of these mutants and the apparent observation that the chlorophyll biosynthetic intermediate, Mg-protoporphyrin IX (Mg-proto), accumulated after NF treatment, it was proposed that Mg-proto acted as a mobile signal mediating chloroplast regulation of nuclear gene expression (Strand et al., 2003). However, this result has not been supported by further biochemical and genetic analysis in seedlings (Gadjieva et al., 2005; Mochizuki et al., 2008; Moulin et al., 2008; Voigt et al., 2010). In these experiments detailed measurements of Mg-proto and its methyl ester showed that there was no correlation between levels of these chlorophyll precursors and nuclear gene expression when Mg-proto levels were manipulated genetically (Mochizuki et al., 2008; Voigt et al., 2010) or using a range of growth conditions and treatments (Moulin et al., 2008). Moreover, a liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry approach was used to identify unambiguously the intermediates being measured, and no large accumulation of Mgproto was observed under any condition, further supporting this conclusion (Moulin et al., 2008). These results also explained a previous observation that the xantha-l mutant of barley that accumulates Mg-proto did not show a reduction in nuclear gene expression (Gadjieva et al., 2005). Instead the identification of a dominant gun mutation (gun6-1D) that results in the overexpression of ferrochelatase-1 (FC1) has led to a model in which flux through a specific heme pool mediates plastid signaling (Woodson et al., 2011). This model provides an explanation for the phenotype of all the tetrapyrrole-related gun mutants in the tetrapyrrole pathway. As can be seen from Figure 2, blocking Mgchelatase activity would be expected to direct protoporphyrin IX to heme synthesis (Cornah et al., 2003) thus increasing FC1 activity and heme levels. Similarly, inhibition of heme degradation in the gun2 and gun3 mutants would also be predicted to increase heme. Importantly, the simplest interpretation of the FC1dependent gun phenotype is that it corresponds to the production of a positive signal promoting expression of nuclear-encoded chloroplast genes (Figure 1B) - the first such direct genetic evidence.

# A HEME-MEDIATED POSITIVE PLASTID SIGNAL DURING CHLOROPLAST BIOGENESIS

The observation that the dominant *gun6* mutant had a *gun* phenotype was confirmed by overexpression of FC1 in wild-type plants. In contrast, overexpression of a second ferrochelatase isoform (FC2; **Figure 2**) did not result in this phenotype (Woodson et al., 2011). Moreover, a catalytically inactive FC1 was also unable to rescue nuclear gene expression, and the role of FC1 was dependent on flux through the pathway. These results indicate that it is the activity of FC1 that is crucial, and suggest that a specific FC1-derived pool of heme is functioning as a positive signal (or the precursor of such a signal) to promote expression of nuclear-encoded photosynthetic genes (Woodson et al., 2011). Furthermore, overexpression of FC1 can also rescue the loss of nuclear gene expression observed in a mutant lacking the SIG2 sigma factor involved in plastid-encoded RNA polymerase (PEP)-dependent plastid transcription (Woodson et al., 2013).

Does the model of a positive heme-related signal fit with all of the current data? Strand et al. (2003) originally reported that mutants in two trunk pathway enzymes, porphobilinogen deaminase (PBGD) and *lin2* lacking coproporphyrinogen oxidase (CPO; see Figure 2), showed a gun phenotype, as did treatment with the protoporphyrinogen oxidase (PPO) inhibitor S23142, results that would be inconsistent with heme as a positive regulator. In contrast, Woodson et al. (2011) reported that lin2 did not show a gun phenotype, and that neither did the *hema1hema2* double mutant, defective in glutamyl-tRNA reductase, a key enzyme in the synthesis of the tetrapyrrole precursor 5-aminolevulinic acid (ALA), nor treatment with gabaculine, which inhibits the second ALA synthesis enzyme, glutamate semialdehyde aminomutase. Voigt et al. (2010) also failed to detect a gun phenotype for a range of mutants in enzymes in the trunk tetrapyrrole pathway between ALA and protoporphyrin IX. Clearly a consensus needs to be reached on the characterization of these mutants. Similarly, it is difficult to reconcile the observation that ALA feeding can inhibit



gene expression in dark (D) and far-red light (FR) grown seedlings (Vinti et al., 2000). A more recent study confirmed this result, but showed that ALA can rescue nuclear gene expression when the heme signal appears to be limiting, such as in the *sig2* mutant (Woodson et al., 2013). The demonstration that overexpression of protochlorophyllide oxidoreductase A and B (PORA and PORB) also causes a *gun* phenotype (McCormac and Terry, 2002) can most probably be explained by the extraordinary photo-oxidative buffering capacity of these proteins, which could have reduced the impact of the NF treatment. Alternatively, as POR overexpression also inhibits a proposed inhibitory pathway in the light (see later), this may have contributed to the observed phenotype on NF.

Another area that requires further resolution is the measurement of the proposed heme pool. Heme is present in plant cells bound covalently to c-type cytochromes, and non-covalently to b-type cytochromes and the vast majority of other hemoproteins, which include cytochromes P450, nitrate reductase, NADPH oxidases, peroxidases, and catalases (Cornah et al., 2003; Mochizuki et al., 2010). It is generally assumed that there is a pool of "free" heme that is either in transit between compartments, or associated with the site of synthesis, although since heme is very lipophilic it is unlikely to be in solution. It is this free-heme pool that is proposed to be involved in signaling, and it is likely to be small compared with total cellular heme. However, there is currently no satisfactory way of measuring free heme, nor even confirming that it is present. Woodson et al. (2011) measured total non-covalently bound heme by acid-acetone extraction and a chemiluminescence-based detection method using reconstitution of horseradish peroxidase activity (Masuda and Takahashi, 2006), and found it was reduced after NF treatment, but not measurably increased in the gun mutants (with the exception of the heme oxygenase-deficient gun2). It is worth noting though, that gun1 and gun5 could both rescue heme levels in the sig2 mutant background, which also had reduced heme (Woodson et al., 2013). The reduction in total non-covalently bound heme after a NF treatment (Woodson et al., 2011) is in agreement with an earlier acid extraction-based measurement (Kumar et al., 1999), but measurement of heme using alkali-acetone extraction and the same chemiluminescence-based detection assay produced the opposite result (Voigt et al., 2010). A more thorough analysis of this problem using a combination of different extraction methodologies suggests that a neutral-acetone extraction may give the best approximation of an unbound heme pool (Espinas et al., 2012). In this study, although total heme was reduced after NF treatment, an increase in an unbound free heme pool was observed, indicating that the method used by Voigt et al. (2010) more closely approximated to the measurement of an unbound heme pool. Nonetheless, how this information relates to a putative heme signal remains unclear. Any signal could be transient and may not accumulate at all, being instantly metabolized to a bilin for example. Certainly, there is no requirement for heme to be a mobile signal. Indeed, although heme is significantly less photo-toxic than Mg-proto, it is still a reactive molecule that interacts with other cellular components and thus any heme functioning as a signaling molecule is likely to be carefully chaperoned.

One potentially important aspect of the data of Woodson et al. (2011) is that an FC1-generated heme pool is associated with a requirement for non-photosynthetic heme. Both FC1 and HEMA2 show regulatory patterns inconsistent with a major role in photosynthesis (Ujwal et al., 2002; Nagai et al., 2007), and in fact are induced under stress conditions (Nagai et al., 2007; Moulin et al., 2008; Avin-Wittenberg et al., 2012). Following NF treatment neither gene was repressed, in stark contrast to all other tetrapyrrole synthesis genes except the mitochondrial PPO2 (Moulin et al., 2008). Interestingly, nuclear gene expression on NF was rescued not only by overexpression of FCI, but also by HEMA2 (Woodson et al., 2011). It has long been speculated that there may be two spatially separated ALA pools providing tetrapyrroles for different purposes (Huang and Castelfranco, 1990), and recent data describing the discovery of a glutamyl-tRNA reductase binding protein (GBP) has put this suggestion back on the agenda (Czarnecki et al., 2011). In this case mutants lacking GBP showed differential inhibition of heme and chlorophyll synthesis, suggesting the possibility of a bifurcated pathway originating from ALA, although the model does not propose a simple separation of HEMA1- and HEMA2encoded glutamyl-tRNA reductases. A more sophisticated model of tetrapyrrole synthesis may be needed to account for all the observations reported.

The close association between chloroplast and mitochondrial function has led to the integration of mitochondria into models for chloroplast-to-nucleus communication (Leister, 2005; Pfannschmidt, 2010). For example, in adult plants inhibition of chloroplast and mitochondrial translation had a synergistic effect on the down-regulation of nuclear-encoded chloroplast genes (Pesaresi et al., 2006). In the context of the current discussion it is interesting that mitochondria are a major sink for non-photosynthetic heme for the respiratory complexes. Heme is either made in the chloroplast and then transported to mitochondria, or possibly an earlier precursor is translocated, with the final two steps in heme synthesis taking place in the mitochondria (discussed in Mochizuki et al., 2010). It is tempting to speculate that an FC1-dependent positive plastid signal may require, at least in part, processing in mitochondria. Such a result might account for the synergistic effect of damaging both compartments (Pesaresi et al., 2006), while explaining why chloroplast damage can lead to a loss of gene expression when mitochondrial damage alone does not. The observation that mitochondrialdependent up-regulation of ALTERNATIVE OXIDASE1a is mediated by ABI4 (Giraud et al., 2009), which is strongly implicated in plastid signaling (Koussevitzky et al., 2007), is also intriguing.

#### HEME AS A SIGNALING MOLECULE IN OTHER ORGANISMS

So how suitable is heme as a signaling molecule? In fact heme has been implicated in signaling in a wide range of systems and is well established as a signaling molecule in heterotrophic bacteria, fungi, and animals. In these systems, heme is either the only, or the major, tetrapyrrole molecule that is synthesized, and its role in regulating its own synthesis has been intensively investigated. Furthermore, it has also been shown that heme regulates cellular processes more generally, although the exact nature of its role as a regulator varies between organisms (Mense and Zhang, 2006; Furuyama et al., 2007). Nevertheless, evidence has emerged for conserved mechanisms of heme regulation that may be important in our understanding of heme signaling in plants.

In rhizobia and other α-proteobacteria, the iron response regulator (IRR) modulates the cellular response to available levels of iron including regulation of heme synthesis (Small et al., 2009). Under iron-deficiency IRR inhibits expression of the hemB gene encoding ALA dehydratase (ALAD; Figure 2), thus preventing accumulation of phototoxic heme biosynthesis intermediates. In Bradyrhizobium japonicum this is regulated by the conditional stability of IRR, which accumulates under iron-deficient conditions. In iron-replete conditions IRR is bound by ferrochelatase and is directly targeted for degradation by the heme product of this enzyme (Qi and O'Brian, 2002). Therefore in this system heme can act as a signaling molecule without accumulation as free heme. Under iron deficiency protoporphyrin IX accumulates, which promotes dissociation of ferrochelatase from IRR, thus preventing degradation. Deletion studies on IRR from Bradyrhizobium showed that it contained two heme binding sites: a heme-regulatory motif (HRM), which is characterized as containing a conserved Cys-Pro, and a second site with a His-xxx-His configuration that bind to ferric and ferrous heme, respectively (Yang et al., 2005a). Interestingly, other rhizobia only contain the His domain and in Rhizobium leguminosarum binding of heme to IRR does not affect stability, but instead prevents binding to regulatory promoter sequences (Singleton et al., 2010).

The HRM motif is critical for heme signaling in a wide variety of eukaryotic systems. The first recognition of the HRM came from the study of the mammalian enzyme 5-aminolevulinic acid synthase (ALAS), which in animals and yeast is responsible for the synthesis of ALA in a single step from succinyl coA and glycine (in contrast to the pathway from glutamate involving glutamyltRNA reductase and glutamate semialdehyde aminomutase found in plants, algae, and the majority of bacteria). In mammals there are two ALAS isoforms, both of which are targeted to the mitochondria via N-terminal targeting peptides that contain two copies of the HRM consensus sequence (Lathrop and Timko, 1993). Binding of heme to these two HRMs inhibits import of the ALAS precursors into mitochondria in vitro although additional factors were required to inhibit import of ALAS2 in vivo (Munakata et al., 2004). Another important example relevant to the current discussion comes from the yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae. In this case, the oxygen-dependent synthesis of heme, via the enzymes CPO and PPO (see Figure 2), initiates mitochondrial biogenesis as a result of heme-regulated expression of many nuclear genes (Zhang and Hach, 1999). This is achieved via heme activator protein 1 (HAP1), which contains seven HRMs, with heme binding affecting both the DNA binding and transcription-activation activities of HAP1 (Zhang and Guarente, 1995). Heme also affects nuclear gene transcription in mammals. An important mediator of this process is the basic leucine zipper protein Bach1, which contains six HRM motifs (Ogawa et al., 2001). Bach1 forms heterodimers with the Maf-related oncogene family to repress genes such as HO-1 encoding heme oxygenase 1. In the presence of heme, Bach1 is ubiquitinated and degraded, leading to increased HO-1 expression (Zenke-Kawasaki et al., 2007). As well as transcriptional effects, heme also modulates gene expression at the translational level. In rat reticulocytes, under heme-deficient conditions, a hemeregulated inhibitor, eIF2a kinase (HRI) phosphorylates eIF2a, preventing it from being recycled and thus protein synthesis is inhibited (Bauer et al., 2001). When heme is present, it can bind to HRI (which contains two HRMs) inactivating the kinase activity.

A more general role for heme as a signaling molecule has been established from the study of the circadian clock and how it interacts with metabolism. Heme biosynthesis is circadianregulated and several components of the mammalian clock bind heme including PER2, NPAS2 (Kaasik and Lee, 2004; Yang et al., 2008), and Rev-erba (Yin et al., 2007). This in turn regulates the ability of these factors to interact with nuclear transcription factors, thus influencing gene expression. In the case of PER2, heme-binding is via an HRM, but for NPAS2 and Rev $erb\alpha$ , although the axial ligand is still cysteine, it is not within a classic HRM (Shimizu, 2012). Rev-erba additionally utilizes a histidine as an axial ligand (Yin et al., 2007) and for NPAS2 the cysteine resides in a PAS domain (named after the proteins Per, ARNT, and Sim), a widely occurring domain that functions in binding a wide variety of small molecules (Henry and Crosson, 2011). Another broad role for heme regulation comes from the observation that a key miRNA processing enzyme in human cells, the RNA-binding protein DiGeorge critical region 8 (DGCR8) requires bound heme for activity (Faller et al., 2007). Since up to 30% of all human genes are regulated by miRNAs (Lewis et al., 2005), this indicates the scope for the influence of heme in these cells.

Are these mechanisms directly applicable to photosynthetic systems, where chlorophyll is the major tetrapyrrole synthesized? In addition to the evidence for a role for heme in plastid signaling in Arabidopsis (Woodson et al., 2011), heme has also been proposed (along with Mg-proto) as a signal in the green alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (von Gromoff et al., 2008). Analysis of the Chlamydomonas transcriptome showed that the expression of hundreds of genes was affected by heme, but only a few of these genes were associated with a photosynthetic function (Voss et al., 2011). The mechanism for this regulation is unknown and, although heme-binding proteins have been identified in plants (Takahashi et al., 2008; Mochizuki et al., 2010), classic HRM-containing regulators have not. In this context the recent identification of a heme regulatory protein in purple bacteria may be important (Yin et al., 2012). PpsR, which together with AppA mediates light and redox regulation of bacteriochlorophyll biosynthesis in Rhodobacter sphaeroides, shows modified DNA binding in response to heme, with heme binding mediated by an atypical HRM with a Cys-Ile motif (Yin et al., 2012). As PAS domains, which also function as heme-binding domains (Gilles-Gonzalez and Gonzalez, 2005), are widespread in plants, and with new regulatory heme-binding motifs being discovered, there is still plenty of potential for direct heme-regulatory mechanisms in plants.

# THE HEME-MEDIATED SIGNAL IS THE PRIMARY PLASTID SIGNAL DURING CHLOROPLAST BIOGENESIS

If we accept that a heme-related signal is the leading candidate as a positive plastid signal based on the genetic evidence, then is this the only signal during chloroplast biogenesis? From the earliest studies it was established that inhibition of chloroplast translation resulted in an inhibition of nuclear gene expression (Oelmüller et al., 1986; Sullivan and Gray, 1999) and the interaction of the translational inhibitor Lin and NF with the gun mutants has led to the suggestion that whilst the two treatments both result in disrupted chloroplasts, they affect separate signaling pathways. This was most obviously demonstrated by the observation that inhibition of *Lhcb* expression after Lin treatment is rescued in the gun1 mutant, but not in the gun2-gun5 mutants (Gray et al., 2003; McCormac and Terry, 2004; Koussevitzky et al., 2007). Indeed, initial genetic (Mochizuki et al., 2001) and gene expression studies (Strand et al., 2003; McCormac and Terry, 2004) also suggested separate signaling pathways. For example, it was shown that loss of GUN5 had a stronger effect on expression of Lhcb than on HEMA1, but that this sensitivity was reversed in gun1 (McCormac and Terry, 2004). However, recent experiments demonstrating that 89% of genes that are repressed by gun1 are also repressed by gun5, and that strong alleles of gun1 are epistatic to gun5 (Koussevitzky et al., 2007), support the proposal that they do in fact reside in the same pathway, and that GUN1 plays a central role in all plastid signals including those defined by GUN2-5 (Koussevitzky et al., 2007). If this is the case, then the observation that HEMA1 expression is fully rescued in a gun1gun5 double mutant (McCormac and Terry, 2004) supports the idea that the heme signal is the primary, if not the only, positive plastid signal during chloroplast biogenesis.

There are actually many different treatments and mutations that affect chloroplast function and development and have an impact on nuclear gene expression (see Inaba et al., 2011 for a comprehensive list), and the consequences of these impairments have often been interpreted as defining independent signaling pathways. The expression of nucleus-encoded photosynthesis genes is blocked by conditions that inhibit early chloroplast development such as chloroplast transcription inhibitors (Rapp and Mullet, 1991) or mutations affecting transcription (sig2; Woodson et al., 2013), plastid RNA editing (Kakizaki et al., 2012), chloroplast protein synthesis (Hess et al., 1994; Pesaresi et al., 2006) or import of nucleus-encoded chloroplast proteins (ppi2; Kakizaki et al., 2009). In addition, various mutants with impaired chloroplast development such as the cue mutants of Arabidopsis also fall into this category (López-Juez et al., 1998; Vinti et al., 2005). It seems unnecessarily complex and therefore rather unlikely that inhibition of these processes each leads to an independent plastid signal, and the observation that gun1 can rescue the plastid signaling response in ppi2 (Kakizaki et al., 2009) and sig2 (Woodson et al., 2013) as well as after Lin and NF treatments, supports the concept of a single primary pathway. We therefore propose that the simplest explanation for the effects of all of the treatments and mutations described above is that they compromise the production and/or processing of the positive heme-related signal.

### A Mg-PORPHYRIN-DEPENDENT INHIBITORY PATHWAY DURING DEFECTIVE CHLOROPLAST DEVELOPMENT

Does a regulatory system in which there is a single positive signal provide the flexibility of regulation required for modulating such a complex and important process as chloroplast biogenesis? Perhaps more specifically if the signal is based on the synthesis of a tetrapyrrole, what happens when tetrapyrrole synthesis is in excess? Overaccumulation of tetrapyrroles has damaging photooxidative consequences for a seedling. This is most dramatically demonstrated in the *flu* mutant of Arabidopsis (Meskauskiene et al., 2001). FLU is a repressor of glutamyl-tRNA reductase activity and *flu* mutants therefore accumulate high levels of protochlorophyllide in the dark. On transfer to white light there is rapid production of singlet oxygen (op den Camp et al., 2003) resulting in severe tissue damage and seedling death (Wagner et al., 2004). A similar situation is observed in the block-of-greening response induced by a FR treatment prior to transfer to white light (Barnes et al., 1996). During the FR treatment phyA-dependent photoreceptor signaling pathways are activated, but photoconversion of protochlorophyllide by POR (Figure 2) does not proceed, resulting in the accumulation of protochlorophyllide at the same time as there is depletion of POR (Barnes et al., 1996; McCormac and Terry, 2002). Such an FR treatment can be lethal, but overexpression of PORA or PORB is able to rescue this response (Sperling et al., 1997). The advantage of this system is that the severity of the treatment can easily be adjusted by the length or fluence rate of the FR period and we have demonstrated that under conditions in which seedlings survive there is severe reduction in nuclear gene expression for photosynthesis-related genes (McCormac and Terry, 2002). Thus, under conditions in which ALA synthesis, and therefore all tetrapyrrole synthesis including a positive, heme-related signal is increased, there is inhibition of nuclear gene expression. This is strongly suggestive of an additional repressive signal. The generation of this signal is inhibited by overexpression of POR in the plastids as well as by the *gun5* mutant in which Mgproto synthesis is impaired (McCormac and Terry, 2002, 2004). Consistent with it being a separate signal, down-regulation after a FR pre-treatment can be shown to be additive with inhibition of gene expression on NF (McCormac and Terry, 2002). Interestingly though, the FR-induced signal is exacerbated in a *gun1* mutant and inhibited by a simultaneous Lin treatment (McCormac and Terry, 2004).

A transcriptomic analysis of the effect of a FR pre-treatment on nuclear gene expression showed a strong overlap with a singlet oxygen-regulated gene set (McCormac and Terry, unpublished results). We therefore propose that the positive, inductive heme-related pathway is balanced by a repressive/inhibitory signaling pathway that is initiated by singlet oxygen generated via the accumulation of Mg-porphyrins and other chlorophyll precursors (Figure 3). This repressive signal may not have been observed in transcriptomic experiments using flu, which also accumulates Mg-porphyrins, as these studies used *flu* plants at the rosette stage (op den Camp et al., 2003). In contrast to the positive signal, which can be demonstrated to function in the dark (Sullivan and Gray, 1999; Woodson et al., 2013), the repressive signal is light-dependent, consistent with its role in protection from photo-oxidative damage. The primary aim of this repressive pathway is to shut-down tetrapyrrole synthesis (and chloroplast development) to prevent seedling lethality under conditions in which regulation of the tetrapyrrole pathway has been compromised. In this respect, the FR pre-treatment may be representative of seedling emergence under leaf litter, or by an extended dark period. Certainly mutants in light signaling pathways such as the *pif* mutants also show similar responses (Hug et al., 2004; Stephenson et al., 2009), and thus any perturbation of the light or developmental pathways regulating etioplast and early chloroplast development may need to be compensated for by a protective repressive signaling pathway. In this regard, it is interesting that the plant goes to great lengths to balance the synthesis of protochlorophyllide and POR through the combined action of light and hormone signaling pathways to optimize this de-etiolation response (Zhong et al., 2009; Cheminant et al., 2011).

#### **THE ROLE OF GUN1**

The development of a model in which two different tetrapyrrolerelated pathways regulate the expression of photosynthesis-related genes might explain some of the observations in the literature that are difficult to reconcile with existing models. This includes the role of GUN1. Because the *gun1* mutant rescues plastid signaling under a wide range of conditions that reduce nuclear gene expression, including treatment with NF and Lin (Koussevitzky et al., 2007), or the *sig2* (Woodson et al., 2013), and *ppi2* (Kakizaki et al., 2009) mutations, models of GUN1 function have invariably placed it in a central integrating role *downstream* of these supposed signals. However, we suggest an alternative model that fits the existing data in which GUN1 functions *upstream* of the heme-related signal, as either a general repressor of chloroplast



development or more specifically as a repressor of tetrapyrrole accumulation. Thus in a *gun1* mutant the positive heme-related signal would be enhanced. Indeed such an effect was observed on the reduced heme levels seen in *sig2* (Woodson et al., 2013). The extension of this argument is that *gun1* mutants would be expected to have an increased positive signal in the dark (as the repressive signal is light-dependent). This is observed in the *sig2* mutant (Woodson et al., 2013) and we have also reported that the expression of the plastid signal-responsive gene *HEMA1* is increased in

the dark in gun1 seedlings (McCormac and Terry, 2004). Finally, an increase in tetrapyrrole accumulation should also increase the strength of the inhibitory signal under appropriate conditions and gun1 seedlings are more susceptible to loss of gene expression following a FR treatment (McCormac and Terry, 2004). The role of GUN1 as a repressor of chloroplast development would appear at first to be in contradiction to earlier studies suggesting it is required for chloroplast development (Mochizuki et al., 1996; Ruckle et al., 2007). This interpretation rests on the observation that gun1 seedlings do not green normally in the light. However, we would argue that this inability to green is completely consistent with an elevated HEMA1 expression and increased tetrapyrrole synthesis which would lead to an increased susceptibility to photobleaching on transfer to white light (Mochizuki et al., 1996; McCormac and Terry, 2004). In this respect the situation is very similar to the interpretation of the *pif3* mutant phenotype. In this case the inability to green on transfer to light was originally interpreted as PIF3 having a positive role in chloroplast development (Monte et al., 2004), but it was subsequently demonstrated that PIF3 functions to repress photosynthetic gene expression (Stephenson et al., 2009).

What might be the function of GUN1 in repressing chloroplast development or tetrapyrrole synthesis? GUN1 encodes a PPR protein (Koussevitzky et al., 2007). PPR proteins bind RNA and are involved in RNA metabolism, resulting in changes in plastid gene expression (Schmitz-Linneweber and Small, 2008). One obvious target for GUN1 might therefore be the plastidtranscribed tRNA<sup>Glu</sup>, the substrate for glutamyl-tRNA reductase (Figure 2), the rate limiting step of tetrapyrrole synthesis. If the role of GUN1 was to control the availability of tRNA<sup>Glu</sup> for heme synthesis then its absence might lead to increased plastid signaling via increased substrate for FC1, and therefore a stronger heme signal under conditions when this is normally limited. The recent demonstration that the sigma factors SIG2 and SIG6 are both required for nuclear gene expression, tRNA<sup>Glu</sup> expression and heme synthesis, support this link (Woodson et al., 2013). However, although gun1 could rescue the gene expression phenotype of sig2 and sig6 it did not do this through an increase in steady-state levels of tRNA<sup>Glu</sup> transcript (Woodson et al., 2013). Instead it is possible to speculate about other mechanisms of GUN1 function such as restricting access of tRNA<sup>Glu</sup> to glutamyl-tRNA reductase, rather than protein synthesis, or even selection between the glutamyl-tRNA reductase isoforms encoded by the HEMA1 and HEMA2 genes. Alternatively GUN1 may have a broader role in plastid RNA metabolism. Kakizaki et al. (2012) observed that many conditions that affect plastid signaling also affect RNA editing in plastids, although no differences in editing were seen in gun1 for the two genes studied, accD and rps14. It has been noted that GUN1 shares considerable similarity with pTAC2 (Koussevitzky et al., 2007), which forms part of a transcriptionally active complex required for expression of PEP-transcribed genes (Pfalz et al., 2006). Interestingly, another component of this complex, pTAC12 (HEMERA), has dual chloroplast and nuclear localization (Chen et al., 2010), and plays an important role in light signaling (Galvão et al., 2012). Given the strong interaction between plastid and light signaling (Ruckle et al., 2007; Larkin and Ruckle, 2008), understanding the link between PEP-mediated plastid transcription and light regulation of nuclear gene expression may also provide further clues about the role of GUN1.

In contrast to gun1, the gun2-gun6 mutants cannot rescue conditions that block early chloroplast development. An explanation for this is that these mutations "protect" the loss of the heme signal by increasing heme synthesis at the expense of Mg-porphyrin or bilin production, but in treatments affecting the early stages of chloroplast development there is no signal to protect. Similarly, the model explains the rather unusual observation that, while Lin and NF treatments or FR treatment and NF are additive, Lin partially rescues a FR treatment response (McCormac and Terry, 2004). Our model would propose that because Lin treatment blocks chloroplast development it prevents Mg-porphyrin synthesis and the full expression of the inhibitory response. Moreover, it is possible that, since a singlet oxygen signal would be produced by excitation of tetrapyrroles including Mg-proto, the inhibitory pathway may account for some of the studies in which a correlation between Mg-proto accumulation and inhibition of nuclear gene expression has been observed (Strand et al., 2003; Pontier et al., 2007; Kindgren et al., 2011): the fact that both pathways are operating under some conditions and that individual genes show different sensitivities to treatments affecting them (McCormac and Terry, 2004) may also explain why this correlation is far from absolute (Mochizuki et al., 2008; Moulin et al., 2008; Voigt et al., 2010). The same argument could also account for some of the confusion about whether GUN1 and GUN2-GUN6 function in the same pathway (e.g., Vinti et al., 2000; Mochizuki et al., 2001; McCormac and Terry, 2004).

#### HOW DO TETRAPYRROLES SIGNAL TO THE NUCLEUS?

The most significant gap in our understanding of plastid signaling is the nature of the signal that moves from the plastid to influence nuclear gene expression, either by acting in the nucleus directly or via interaction with a cytoplasmic signaling pathway. It is possible that heme derived from FC1 can function as a mobile signal to interact directly with downstream components in the nucleus or cytoplasm. Heme is less photo-toxic than Mg-proto and thus is more suitable as a signaling molecule, but it would nevertheless need to be associated with one of the numerous heme-binding proteins recently identified, in order to be transported around the cell (Mochizuki et al., 2010). As shown in Figure 3, and discussed earlier, the mitochondria could also be a destination for at least some of this pool. However, there is no requirement for heme to leave the chloroplast: any signal could be passed on immediately. An obvious candidate would be a product of heme degradation such as a bilin (Terry et al., 2002), although in this case it might be expected that gun2 (hy1) and gun3 (hy2; Figure 2) would not have gun phenotypes. However, the fate of heme in plant cells is still poorly understood (Mochizuki et al., 2010) and a mobile heme signal cannot be ruled out. Alternatively, with many chloroplast and mitochondrial proteins requiring a heme cofactor to be functional there are many potential mechanisms by which a heme signal could be transduced. One interesting candidate that was identified recently is the chloroplast envelopebound PTM protein (Sun et al., 2011). Mutants in ptm are also gun mutants, and it was demonstrated that PTM functions in the same pathway as GUN1 (Sun et al., 2011). As PTM is proposed to undergo proteolytic cleavage to give an amino terminal fragment that directly regulates ABI4 expression, such a plastid-signaling pathway would be satisfyingly simple. ABI4 has already been identified as a downstream regulator of the GUN pathway (Koussevitzky et al., 2007), where it may function to integrate a number of additional signals regulating nucleus-encoded photosynthetic genes such as sucrose (Oswald et al., 2001), ABA (Koussevitzky et al., 2007), a mitochondrial signal (Giraud et al., 2009), and most recently a heat responsive retrograde signal (Yu et al., 2012). In addition, it has been proposed that ABI4 functions together with HY5 to mediate plastid signaling responses, thus integrating them into the light signaling network (Ruckle et al., 2007; Larkin and Ruckle, 2008). However, it is likely that other transcription factors are also involved in the positive or inhibitory pathways. Two important regulators of chloroplast development, GLK1 and GLK2, are certainly candidates for such a role (Kakizaki et al., 2009; Waters et al., 2009), as are the recently described END genes (Ruckle et al., 2012). Whether these targets serve as a convergence point between the positive and inhibitory pathways remains to be elucidated.

If the inhibitory signal is indeed singlet oxygen-mediated, as postulated above, it is possible that its signal transduction utilizes some of the same components identified for singlet oxygenmediated stress responses in the *flu* mutant. These include the chloroplast proteins EXECUTER1 and EXECUTER2 (EX1 and EX2; Wagner et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2007), as well as a requirement for CRY1 in a singlet oxygen-mediated programmed cell death response (Danon et al., 2006). Interestingly, CRY1 also has been proposed to play a role in response to high irradiance stress (Kleine et al., 2007), and cry1 mutants were identified as gun mutants (Ruckle et al., 2007). Singlet oxygen itself has a short half-life and any information contained in a singlet oxygen burst would need to be passed locally in the first instance. It has therefore been speculated that various lipid-related metabolites of singlet oxygen action may be involved in signaling. One particularly promising candidate is the  $\beta$ -carotene-derived oxidation product  $\beta$ -cyclocitral (Ramel et al., 2012). However, it should be emphasized that an inhibitory signal involved in regulation of chloroplast development may be quite distinct from that involved in the induction of stress signaling genes. For example, EX1- and EX2-dependent cell death responses require fully developed chloroplasts to be observed (Kim et al., 2012). In addition, given the observation that singlet oxygen and hydrogen peroxide signaling appear to be antagonistic (Laloi et al., 2007) it is tempting to speculate that the induction of nuclear gene expression by the positive hemerelated signal is mediated by a low level of hydrogen peroxide. In this way biogenic control might mirror the effects later in development (operational control) in which a balance of singlet oxygen and hydrogen peroxide signaling, resulting from excitation of PSII and PSI, respectively, may be important in communicating changes in relative excitation to the nucleus in mature plants. There is already considerable evidence that hydrogen peroxide functions as a plastid signaling molecule in high light responses, and so might also make a suitable candidate for retrograde signaling during chloroplast development (Galvez-Valdivieso and Mullineaux, 2010).

### CONCLUSION

In this article we have described a model for the role of chloroplastlocalized tetrapyrrole synthesis in regulating nuclear gene expression. The model builds on the seminal work of the Chory laboratory in demonstrating genetically that a heme-related signal acts as a positive signal for chloroplast biogenesis (Woodson et al., 2011), but has a number of significant additions. The key features of the model are that: (i) all of the chloroplast treatments and mutants that are characterized as reducing nuclear gene expression do so by inhibiting the capacity of the chloroplast to make or process a heme-related signal; (ii) GUN1 acts early in this pathway to promote signal production, rather than late in pathway integrating many different signals; and (iii) an inhibitory signal derived from light excitation of Mg-porphyrins and other chlorophyll precursors serves to reduce tetrapyrrole synthesis and restrict chloroplast development under conditions in which these molecules are in dangerous excess. The model focuses on a single biological system, namely early seedling development in Arabidopsis, and thus should be easily testable and improved upon in many laboratories - once the central features of a model are defined and broadly accepted in one system, its significance across different systems can be assessed. The model focuses on what happens during biogenic control; however, a key question will be to determine the overlap between biogenic and operational control. Clearly the environment will have a major impact on early seedling development, but do developing chloroplasts sense these changes in a fundamentally different way?

#### **REFERENCES**

- Apel, K., and Hirt, H. (2004). Reactive oxygen species: metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 55, 373–399.
- Aluru, M. R., Zola, J., Foudree, A., and Rodermel, S. R. (2009). Chloroplast photooxidation-induced transcriptome reprogramming in *Arabidopsis* immutans white leaf sectors. *Plant Physiol*, 150, 904–923.
- Avin-Wittenberg, T., Tzin, V., Angelovici, R., Less, H., and Galili, G. (2012). Deciphering energyassociated gene networks operating in the response of *Arabidopsis* plants to stress and nutritional cues. *Plant J.* 70, 954–966.
- Barnes, S. A., Nishizawa, N. K., Quaggio, R. B., Whitelam, G. C., and Chua, N.-H. (1996). Far-red light blocks greening of *Arabidopsis* seedlings via a phytochrome A-mediated change in plastid development. *Plant Cell* 8, 601–613.
- Bauer, B. N., Rafie-Kolpin, M., Lu, L., Han, A., and Chen, J. J. (2001). Multiple autophosphorylation is essential for the formation of the active and stable homodimer of hemeregulated eIF2α kinase. *Biochemistry* 40, 11543–11551.
- Biehl, A., Richly, E., Noutsos, C., Salamini, F., and Leister, D. (2005). Analysis of 101 nuclear

transcriptomes reveals 23 distinct regulons and their relationship to metabolism, chromosomal gene distribution and co-ordination of nuclear and plastid gene expression. *Gene* 344, 33–41.

- Bolle, C., Sopory, S., Lübberstedt, T., Klösgen, R. B., Herrmann, R. G., and Oelmüller, R. (1994). The role of plastids in the expression of nuclear genes for thylakoid proteins studied with chimeric β-glucuronidase gene fusions. *Plant Physiol.* 105, 1355– 1364.
- Bradbeer, J. W., Atkinson, Y. E., Börner, T., and Hagemann, R. (1979). Cytoplasmic synthesis of plastid polypeptides may be controlled by plastid-synthesized RNA. *Nature* 279, 816–817.
- Burch-Smith, T. M., Brunkard, J. O., Choi, Y. G., and Zambryski, P. C. (2011). Organelle–nucleus cross-talk regulates plant intercellular communication via plasmodesmata. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 108, 1451– 1460.
- Burgess, D. G., and Taylor, W. C. (1988). The chloroplast affects the transcription of a nuclear gene family. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 214, 89–96.
- Cheminant, S., Wild, M., Bouvier, F., Pelletier, S., Renou, J. P., Erhardt, M., et al. (2011). DELLAs regulate chlorophyll and carotenoid

There is increasing evidence that plastid signaling pathways are part of fundamental signaling networks that not only mediate the plant's response to stress, but also operate in a pre-stress environment to co-ordinate developmental and environmental cues. For example, mutations in EX1 and EX2 inhibit singlet oxygen signaling during embryogenesis, resulting in impaired chloroplast development in germinating seedlings. In this system, the stress hormone ABA promotes chloroplast development (Kim et al., 2009) perhaps because application of ABA results in a gun phenotype (Voigt et al., 2010). The developing theme is that mild stress responses mediated by chloroplasts function to protect plants from more severe stress later (Saini et al., 2011). Plastid signals are linked to both environmental stresses such as high light (Galvez-Valdivieso et al., 2009; Ramel et al., 2012), cold (Yoshida et al., 1998; Yang et al., 2005b), and drought (Miller et al., 2010; Estavillo et al., 2011) as well as a range of developmental responses (Yu et al., 2007; Ruckle and Larkin, 2009; Burch-Smith et al., 2011; Fleischmann et al., 2011). How these responses are integrated with the biogenic signals described here will be a critical next step in understanding how a seedling is able to become established in a potentially hostile environment.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Research on plastid signaling in the authors' laboratories is supported by Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council grants BB/J018139/1 and BB/J018694/1 to Matthew J. Terry and Alison G. Smith, respectively.

biosynthesis to prevent photooxidative damage during seedling deetiolation in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 23, 1849–1860.

- Chen, M., Galvao, R. M., Li, M., Burger, B., Bugea, J., Bolado, J., et al. (2010). *Arabidopsis* HEMERA/pTAC12 initiates photomorphogenesis by phytochromes. *Cell* 141, 1230–1240.
- Cornah, J. E., Terry, M. J., and Smith, A. G. (2003). Green or red: what stops the traffic in the tetrapyrrole pathway? *Trends Plant Sci.* 8, 224–230.
- Cottage, A. J., Mott, E. K., Wang, J.-H., Sullivan, J. A., MacLean, D., Tran, L., et al. (2008). "GUN1 (GENOMES UNCOUPLED1) encodes a pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) protein involved in plastid protein synthesisresponsive retrograde signaling to the nucleus," in *Photosynthesis: Energy* from the Sun. 14th International Congress on Photosynthesis, ed. J. F. Allen, E. Gnatt, J. H. Golbeck, and B. Osmond (Dordrecht: Springer), 1201–1205.
- Czarnecki, O., Hedtke, B., Melzer, M., Rothbart, M., Richter, A., Schroter, Y., et al. (2011). An *Arabidopsis* GluTR binding protein mediates spatial separation of 5-aminolevulinic acid synthesis in chloroplasts. *Plant Cell* 23, 4476–4491.
- Danon, A., Coll, N. S., and Apel, K. (2006). Cryptochrome-1-dependent

execution of programmed cell death induced by singlet oxygen in *Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 103, 17036–17041.

- Dietz, K. J., and Pfannschmidt, T. (2011). Novel regulators in photosynthetic redox control of plant metabolism and gene expression. *Plant Physiol.* 155, 1477–1485.
- Espinas, N. A., Kobayashi, K., Takahashi, S., Mochizuki, N., and Masuda, T. (2012). Evaluation of unbound free heme in plant cells by differential acetone extraction. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 53, 1344–1354.
- Estavillo, G. M., Crisp, P. A., Pornsiriwong, W., Wirtz, M., Collinge, D., Carrie, C., et al. (2011). Evidence for a SAL1-PAP chloroplast retrograde pathway that functions in drought and high light signaling in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 23, 3992– 4012.
- Faller, M., Matsunaga, M., Yin, S., Loo, J. A., and Guo, F. (2007). Heme is involved in microRNA processing. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 14, 23–29.
- Fleischmann, T. T., Scharff, L. B., Alkatib, S., Hasdorf, S., Schottler, M. A., and Bock, R. (2011). Nonessential plastid-encoded ribosomal proteins in tobacco: a developmental role for plastid translation and implications for reductive genome evolution. *Plant Cell* 23, 3137–3155.

- Furuyama, K., Kaneko, K., and Vargas, P. D. (2007). Heme as a magnificent molecule with multiple missions: heme determines its own fate and governs cellular homeostasis. *Tohoku J. Exp. Med.* 213, 1–16.
- Gadjev, I., Vanderauwera, S., Gechev, T. S., Laloi, C., Minkov, I., Shuaev, V., et al. (2006). Transcriptomic footprints disclose specificity of reactive oxygen species signaling in *Arabidop*sis. Plant Physiol. 141, 436–445.
- Gadjieva, R., Axelsson, E., Olsson, U., and Hansson, M. (2005). Analysis of gun phenotype in barley magnesium chelatase and Mg-protoporphyrin IX monomethyl ester cyclase mutants. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 43, 901–908.
- Galvão, R. M., Li, M., Kothadia, S. M., Haskel, J. D., Decker, P. V., Van Buskirk, E. K., et al. (2012). Photoactivated phytochromes interact with HEMERA and promote its accumulation to establish photomorphogenesis in *Arabidopsis. Genes Dev.* 26, 1851–1863.
- Galvez-Valdivieso, G., Fryer, M. J., Lawson, T., Slattery, K., Truman, W., Smirnoff, N., et al. (2009). The high light response in *Arabidopsis* involves ABA signaling between vascular and bundle sheath cells. *Plant Cell* 21, 2143–2162.
- Galvez-Valdivieso, G., and Mullineaux, P. M. (2010). The role of reactive oxygen species in signaling from chloroplasts to the nucleus. *Physiol. Plant.* 138, 430–439.
- Gilles-Gonzalez, M. A., and Gonzalez, G. (2005). Heme-based sensors: defining characteristics, recent developments, and regulatory hypotheses. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 99, 1–22.
- Giraud, E., Van Aken, O., Ho, L. H. M., and Whelan, J. (2009). The transcription factor ABI4 is a regulator of mitochondrial retrograde expression of ALTERNATIVE OXIDASE1a. *Plant Physiol.* 150, 1286–1296.
- Gray, J. C., Sullivan, J. A., Wang, J.-H., Jerome, C. A., and Maclean, D. (2003). Coordination of plastid and nuclear gene expression. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* 358, 135–145.
- Harpster, M. H., Mayfield, S. P., and Taylor, W. C. (1984). Effects of pigmentdeficient mutants on the accumulation of photosynthetic proteins in maize. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 3, 59–71.
- Henry, J. T., and Crosson, S. (2011). Ligand-binding PAS domains in a genomic, cellular, and structural context. Ann. Rev. Microbiol. 65, 261–286.
- Hess, W. R., Muller, A., Nagy, F., and Borner, T. (1994). Ribosome deficient plastids affect transcription of light-induced nuclear genes: genetic

evidence for a plastid-derived signal. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 242, 305–312.

- Huang, L., and Castelfranco, P. A. (1990). Regulation of 5-aminolevulinic acid (ALA) synthesis in developing chloroplasts: III. Evidence for functional heterogeneity of the ALA pool. *Plant Physiol.* 92, 172–178.
- Huang, Y.-S., and Li, H.-M. (2009). Arabidopsis CHLI2 can substitute for CHLI1. Plant Physiol. 150, 636–645.
- Huq, E., Al-Sady, B., Hudson, M., Kim, C., Apel, K., and Quail, P. H. (2004). Phytochrome-interacting factor 1 is a critical bHLH regulator of chlorophyll biosynthesis. *Science* 305, 1937–1941.
- Inaba, T., Yazu, F., Ito-Inaba, Y., Kakizaki, T., and Nakayama, K. (2011). Retrograde signaling pathway from plastid to nucleus. *Int. Rev. Cell Mol. Biol.* 290,167–204.
- Isemer, R., Mulisch, M., Schäfer, A., Kirchner, S., Koop, H. U., and Krupinska, K. (2012). Recombinant Whirly1 translocates from transplastomic chloroplasts to the nucleus. *FEBS Lett.* 586, 85–88.
- Jung, H. S., and Chory, J. (2010). Signaling between chloroplasts and the nucleus: can a systems biology approach bring clarity to a complex and highly regulated pathway? *Plant Physiol*. 152, 453–459.
- Kakizaki, T., Matsumura, H., Nakayama, K., Che, F. S., Terauchi, R., and Inaba, T. (2009). Coordination of plastid protein import and nuclear gene expression by plastid-to-nucleus retrograde signaling. *Plant Physiol.* 151, 1339–1353.
- Kakizaki, T., Yazu, F., Nakayama, K., Ito-Inaba, Y., and Inaba, T. (2012). Plastid signaling under multiple conditions is accompanied by a common defect in RNA editing in plastids. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 251–260.
- Kaasik, K., and Lee, C. C. (2004). Reciprocal regulation of haem biosynthesis and the circadian clock in mammals. *Nature* 430, 467–471.
- Kim, C., Lee, K. P., Baruah, A., Nater, M., Göbel, C., Feussner, I., et al. (2009). 1O2-mediated retrograde signaling during late embryogenesis predetermines plastid differentiation in seedlings by recruiting abscisic acid. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 9920–9924.
- Kim, C., Meskauskiene, R., Zhang, S. R., Lee, K. P., Ashok, M. L., Blajecka, K., et al. (2012). Chloroplasts of *Arabidopsis* are the source and a primary target of a plant-specific programmed cell death signaling pathway. *Plant Cell* 24, 3026– 3039.

- Kindgren, P., Eriksson, M. J., Benedict, C., Mohapatra, A., Gough, S. P., Hansson, M., et al. (2011). A novel proteomic approach reveals a role for Mg-protoporphyrin IX in response to oxidative stress. *Physiol. Plant.* 141, 310–320.
- Kleine, T., Kindgren, P., Benedict, C., Hendrickson, L., and Strand, A. (2007). Genome-wide gene expression analysis reveals a critical role for CRYPTOCHROME1 in the response of *Arabidopsis* to high irradiance. *Plant Physiol.* 144, 1391– 1406.
- Kleine, T., Voigt, C., and Leister, D. (2009). Plastid signaling to the nucleus: messengers still lost in the mists? *Trends Genet.* 25, 185–190.
- Koussevitzky, S., Nott, A., Mockler, T. C., Hong, F., Sachetto-Martins, G., Surpin, M., et al. (2007). Signals from chloroplasts converge to regulate nuclear gene expression. *Science* 316, 715–719.
- Kumar, M. A., Chaturvedia, S., and Söll, D. (1999). Selective inhibition of HEMA gene expression by photooxidation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Phytochemistry* 51, 847–851.
- Kusnetsov, V., Bolle, C., Lübberstedt, T., Sopory, S., Herrmann, R. G., and Oelmüller, R. (1996). Evidence that the plastid signal and light operate via the same cis-acting elements in the promoters of nuclear genes for plastid proteins. *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 252, 631–639.
- Laloi, C., Stachowiak, M., Pers-Kamczyc, E., Warzych, E., Murgia, I., and Apel, K. (2007). Crosstalk between singlet oxygen- and hydrogen peroxide-dependent signaling of stress responses in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 672–677.
- Larkin, R. M., Alonso, J. M., Ecker, J. R., and Chory, J. (2003). GUN4, a regulator of chlorophyll synthesis and intracellular signaling. *Science* 299, 902–906.
- Larkin, R. M., and Ruckle, M. E. (2008). Integration of light and plastid signals. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 11, 593–599.
- Lathrop, J. T., and Timko, M. P. (1993). Regulation by heme of mitochondrial protein transport through a conserved amino acid motif. *Science* 259, 522–525.
- Lee, K. P., Kim, C., Landgraf, F., and Apel, K. (2007). EXECUTER1- and EXECUTER2-dependent transfer of stress-related signals from the plastid to the nucleus of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 10270–10275.

- Leister, D. (2005). Genomics-based dissection of the cross-talk of chloroplasts with the nucleus and mitochondria in *Arabidopsis*. *Gene* 354, 110–116.
- Leister, D. (2012). Retrograde signaling in plants: from simple to complex scenarios. *Front. Plant Sci.* 3:135. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2012.00135
- Leivar, P., Tepperman, J. M., Monte, E., Calderon, R. H., Liu, T. L., and Quail, P. H. (2009). Definition of early transcriptional circuitry involved in light-induced reversal of PIF-imposed repression of photomorphogenesis in young *Arabidop*sis seedlings. *Plant Cell* 21, 3535– 3553.
- Lewis, B. P., Burge, C. B., and Bartel, D. P. (2005). Conserved seed pairing, often flanked by adenosines, indicates that thousands of human genes are microRNA targets. *Cell* 120, 15–20.
- López-Juez, E., Jarvis, R. P., Takeuchi, A., Page, A. M., and Chory, J. (1998). New Arabidopsis cue mutants suggest a close connection between plastid- and phytochrome regulation of nuclear gene expression. *Plant Physiol.* 118, 803–815.
- Martin, W., Rujan, T., Richly, E., Hansen, A., Cornelsen, S., Lins, T., et al. (2002). Evolutionary analysis of *Arabidopsis*, cyanobacterial, and chloroplast genomes reveals plastid phylogeny and thousands of cyanobacterial genes in the nucleus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 99, 12246–12251.
- Masuda, T., and Takahashi, S. (2006). Chemiluminescent-based method for heme determination by reconstitution with horseradish peroxidase apo-enzyme. *Anal. Biochem.* 355, 307–309.
- McCormac, A. C., Fischer, A., Kumar, A. M., Söll, D., and Terry, M. J. (2001). Regulation of HEMA1 expression by phytochrome and a plastid signal during de-etiolation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 25, 549–561.
- McCormac, A. C., and Terry, M. J. (2002). Loss of nuclear gene expression during the phytochrome Amediated far-red block of greening response. *Plant Physiol.* 130, 402– 414.
- McCormac, A. C., and Terry, M. J. (2004). The nuclear genes Lhcb and HEMA1 are differentially sensitive to plastid signals and suggest distinct roles for the GUN1 and GUN5 plastid-signaling pathways during de-etiolation. *Plant J.* 40, 672–685.
- Mense, S. M., and Zhang, L. (2006). Heme: a versatile signaling molecule controlling the activities of diverse

regulators ranging from transcription factors to MAP kinases. *Cell Res.* 16, 681–692.

- Meskauskiene, R., Nater, M., Goslings, D., Kessler, F., op den Camp, R., and Apel, K. (2001). FLU: a negative regulator of chlorophyll biosynthesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98, 12826–12831.
- Miller, G., Suzuki, N., Ciftci-Yilmaz, S., and Mittler, R. (2010). Reactive oxygen species homeostasis and signaling during drought and salinity stresses. *Plant Cell Environ.* 33, 453–467.
- Mochizuki, N., Brusslan, J. A., Larkin, R., Nagatani, A., and Chory, J. (2001). *Arabidopsis* genomes uncoupled 5 (GUN5) mutant reveals the involvement of Mg-chelatase H subunit in plastid-to-nucleus signal transduction. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98, 2053–2058.
- Mochizuki, N., Susek, R., and Chory, J. (1996). An intracellular signal transduction pathway between the chloroplast and nucleus is involved in de-etiolation. *Plant Physiol.* 112, 1465–1469.
- Mochizuki, N., Tanaka, R., Grimm, B., Masuda, T., Moulin, M., Smith, A. G., et al. (2010). The cell biology of tetrapyrroles: a life and death struggle. *Trends Plant Sci.* 15, 488–498.
- Mochizuki, N., Tanaka, R., Tanaka, A., Masuda, T., and Nagatani, A. (2008). The steady-state level of Mgprotoporphyrin IX is not a determinant of plastid-to-nucleus signaling in *Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 105, 15184–15189.
- Monte, E., Tepperman, J. M., Al-Sady, B., Kaczorowski, K. A., Alonso, J. M., Ecker, J. R., et al. (2004). The phytochrome-interacting transcription factor, PIF3, acts early, selectively, and positively in light-induced chloroplast development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 101, 16091–16098.
- Moulin, M., McCormac, A. C., Terry, M. J., and Smith, A. G. (2008). Tetrapyrrole profiling in *Arabidop-sis* seedlings reveals that retrograde plastid nuclear signaling is not due to Mg-protoporphyrin IX accumulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 105, 15178–15183.
- Munakata, H., Sun, J. Y., Yoshida, K., Nakatani, T., Honda, E., Hayakawa, S., et al. (2004). Role of the heme regulatory motif in the heme-mediated inhibition of mitochondrial import of 5-aminolevulinate synthase. J. Biochem. 136, 233–238.
- Nagai, S., Koide, M., Takahashi, S., Kikuta, A., Aono, M., Sasaki-Sekimoto, Y., et al. (2007). Induction of isoforms of tetrapyrrole biosynthetic enzymes, AtHEMA2

and AtFC1, under stress conditions and their physiological functions in *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol.* 144, 1039– 1051.

- Oelmüller, R., Levitan, I., Bergfeld, R., Rajasekhar, V., and Mohr, H. (1986). Expression of nuclear genes as affected by treatments acting on the plastids. *Planta* 168, 482–492
- Ogawa, K., Sun, J., Taketani, S., Nakajima, O., Nishitani, C., Sassa, S., et al. (2001). Heme mediates derepression of Maf recognition element through direct binding to transcription repressor Bach1. *EMBO J.* 20, 2835–2843.
- op den Camp, R. G. L., Przybyla, D., Ochsenbein, C., Laloi, C., Kim, C. H., Danon, A., et al. (2003). Rapid induction of distinct stress responses after the release of singlet oxygen in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 15, 2320–2332.
- Oswald, O., Martin, T., Dominy, P. J., and Graham, I. (2001). Plastid redox state and sugars: interactive regulators of nuclear-encoded photosynthetic gene expression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 98, 2047–2052.
- Pesaresi, P., Masiero, S., Eubel, H., Braun, H. P., Bhushan, S., Glaser, E., et al. (2006). Nuclear photosynthesis gene expression is synergistically modulated by rates of protein synthesis in chloroplasts and mitochondria. *Plant Cell* 18, 970–991
- Pfalz, J., Liere, K., Kandlbinder, A., Dietz, K.-J., and Oelmüller, R. (2006). pTAC2, -6, and -12 are components of the transcriptionally active plastid chromosome that are required for plastid gene expression. *Plant Cell* 18, 176–197.
- Pfannschmidt, T. (2010). Plastidial retrograde signaling – a true "plastid factor" or just metabolite signatures? *Trends Plant Sci.* 15, 427–435.
- Pogson, B. J., Woo, N. S., Förster, B., and Small, I. D. (2008). Plastid signaling to the nucleus and beyond. *Trends Plant Sci.* 13, 602–609.
- Pontier, D., Albrieux, C., Joyard, J., Lagrange, T., and Block, M. A. (2007). Knock-out of the magnesium protoporphyrin IX methyltransferase gene in *Arabidopsis*: effects on chloroplast development and on chloroplast-tonucleus signaling. *J. Biol. Chem.* 282, 2297–2304.
- Puente, P., Wei, N., and Deng, X.-W. (1996). Combinatorial interplay of promoter elements constitutes the minimal determinants for light and developmental control of gene expression in *Arabidopsis. EMBO J.* 15, 3732–3743.
- Qi, Z., and O'Brian, M. R. (2002). Interaction between the bacterial iron response regulator and ferrochelatase

mediates genetic control of heme biosynthesis. *Mol. Cell* 9, 155–162.

- Ramel, F., Birtic, S., Ginies, C., Soubigou-Taconnat, L., Triantaphylides, C., and Havaux, M. (2012). Carotenoid oxidation products are stress signals that mediate gene responses to singlet oxygen in plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 109, 5535–5540.
- Rapp, J. C., and Mullet, J. E. (1991). Chloroplast transcription is required to express the nuclear genes rbcS and cab. Plastid DNA copy number is regulated independently. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 17, 813–823.
- Richly, E., Dietzmann, A., Biehl, A., Kurth, J., Laloi, C., Apel, K., et al. (2003). Covariations in the nuclear chloroplast transcriptome reveal a regulatory masterswitch. *EMBO Rep.* 4, 491–498
- Richter, R., Behringer, C., Müller, I. K., and Schwechheimer, C. (2010). The GATA-type transcription factors GNC and GNL/CGA1 repress gibberellin signaling downstream from DELLA proteins and PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTORS. Genes Dev. 24, 2093-2104
- Ruckle, M. E., Burgoon, L. D., Lawrence, L. A., Sinkler, C. A., and Larkin, R. M. (2012). Plastids are major regulators of light signaling in *Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol.* 159, 366–390.
- Ruckle, M. E., DeMarco, S. M., and Larkin, R. M. (2007). Plastid signals remodel light signaling networks and are essential for efficient chloroplast biogenesis in *Arabidopsis. Plant Cell* 19, 3944–3960.
- Ruckle, M. E., and Larkin, R. M. (2009). Plastid signals that affect photomorphogenesis in *Arabidopsis thaliana* are dependent on GENOMES UNCOU-PLED 1 and cryptochrome 1. *New Phytol.* 182, 367–379.
- Saini, G., Meskauskiene, R., Pijacka, W., Roszak, P., Sjogren, L. L. E., Clarke, A. K., et al. (2011). 'Happy on norflurazon' (hon) mutations implicate perturbance of plastid homeostasis with activating stress acclimatization and changing nuclear gene expression in norflurazon- treated seedlings. *Plant J.* 65, 690–702.
- Schmitz-Linneweber, C., and Small, I. (2008). Pentatricopeptide repeat proteins: A socket set for organelle gene expression. *Trends Plant Sci.* 13, 663–670.
- Shimizu, T. (2012). Binding of cysteine thiolate to the Fe(III) heme complex is critical for the function of heme sensor proteins. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* 108, 171–177.
- Shin, J., Kim, K., Kang, H., Zulfugarov, I. S., Bae, G., Lee, C. H.,

et al. (2009). Phytochromes promote seedling light responses by inhibiting four negatively-acting phytochromeinteracting factors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 7660–7665.

- Singleton, C., White, G. F., Todd, J. D., Marritt, S. J., Cheesman, M. R., Johnston, A. W. B., et al. (2010). Heme-responsive DNA binding by the global iron regulator Irr from *Rhizobium leguminosarum. J. Biol. Chem.* 285, 16023–16031.
- Small, S. K., Puri, S., and O'Brian, M. R. (2009). Heme-dependent metalloregulation by the iron response regulator (Irr) protein in *Rhizobium* and other Alpha-proteobacteria. *Biometals* 22, 89–97.
- Sperling, U., van Cleve, B., Frick, G., Apel, K., and Armstrong, G. A. (1997). Overexpression of lightdependent PORA or PORB in plants depleted of endogenous POR by farred light enhances seedlings survival in white light and protects against photooxidative damage. *Plant J.* 12, 649–658.
- Stephenson, P. G., Fankhauser, C., and Terry, M. J. (2009). PIF3 is a repressor of chloroplast development. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 7654– 7659.
- Strand, A., Asami, T., Alonso, J., Ecker, J. R., and Chory, J. (2003). Chloroplast to nucleus communication triggered by accumulation of Mg-protoporphyrin IX. *Nature* 421, 79–83.
- Sullivan, J. A., and Gray, J. C. (1999). Plastid translation is required for the expression of nuclear photosynthesis genes in the dark and in roots of the pea lip 1 mutant. *Plant Cell* 11, 901–911.
- Sun, X., Feng, P., Xu, X., Guo, H., Ma, J., Chi, W., et al. (2011). A chloroplast envelope-bound PHD transcription factor mediates chloroplast signals to the nucleus. *Nat. Commun.* 2, 477.
- Susek, R. E., Ausubel, F. M., and Chory, J. (1993). Signal transduction mutants of *Arabidopsis* uncouple nuclear CAB and RBCS gene expression from chloroplast development. *Cell* 74, 787–799.
- Takahashi, S., Ogawa, T., Inoue, K., and Masuda, T. (2008). Characterization of cytosolic tetrapyrrole-binding proteins in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 7, 1216– 1224.
- Terry, M. J., Linley, P. J., and Kohchi, T. (2002). Making light of it: the role of plant haem oxygenases in phytochrome chromophore synthesis. *Biochem. Soc. Trans.* 30, 604–609.
- Ujwal, M. L., McCormac, A. C., Goulding, A., Kumar, A. M., Soll, D., and

Terry, M. J. (2002). Divergent regulation of the HEMA gene family encoding glutamyl-tRNA reductase in *Arabidopsis thaliana*: expression of HEMA2 is regulated by sugars, but is independent of light and plastid signaling. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 50, 83–91.

- Vinti, G., Fourrier, N., Bowyer, J. R., and López-Juez, E. (2005). *Arabidopsis* cue mutants with defective plastids are impaired primarily in the photocontrol of expression of photosynthesis-associated nuclear genes. *Plant Mol. Biol.* 57, 343–357.
- Vinti, G., Hills, A., Campbell, S., Bowyer, J. R., Mochizuki, N., Chory, J., et al. (2000). Interactions between hy1 and gun mutants of *Arabidop-sis*, and their implications for plastid/nuclear signaling. *Plant J.* 24, 883–894.
- Voigt, C., Oster, U., Börnke, F., Jahns, P., Dietz, K. J., Leister, D., et al. (2010). In-depth analysis of the distinctive effects of norflurazon implies that tetrapyrrole biosynthesis, organellar gene expression and ABA cooperate in the GUN-type of plastid signaling. *Physiol. Plant.* 138, 503–519.
- von Gromoff, E. D., Alawady, A., Meinecke, L., Grimm, B., and Beck, C. F. (2008). Heme, a plastid-derived regulator of nuclear gene expression in *Chlamydomonas. Plant Cell* 20, 552–567.
- Voss, B., Meinecke, L., Kurz, T., Al-Babili, S., Beck, C. F., and Hess, W. R. (2011). Hemin and Mg-Protoporphyrin IX induce global changes in gene expression in *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Plant Physiol.* 155, 892–905.
- Wagner, D., Przybyla, D., Op den Camp, R., Kim, C., Landgraf, F., Lee, K. P.,

et al. (2004). The genetic basis of singlet oxygen-induced stress responses of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Science* 306, 1183–1185.

- Waters, M. T., and Langdale, J. A. (2009). The making of a chloroplast. *EMBO J.* 28, 2861–2873.
- Waters, M. T., Wang, P., Korkaric, M., Capper, R. G., Saunders, N. J., and Langdale, J. A. (2009). GLK transcription factors coordinate expression of the photosynthetic apparatus in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 21, 1109– 1128.
- Woodson, J. D., Perez-Ruiz, J. M., and Chory, J. (2011). Heme synthesis by plastid ferrochelatase I regulates nuclear gene expression in plants. *Curr. Biol.* 21, 897–903.
- Woodson, J. D., Perez-Ruiz, J. M., Schmitz, R. J., Ecker, J. R., and Chory, J. (2013). Sigma factor mediated plastid retrograde signals control nuclear gene expression. *Plant J.* 70, 1–13.
- Xiao, Y., Savchenko, T., Baidoo, E. E. K., Chehab, W. E., Hayden, D. M., Tolstikov, V., et al. (2012). Retrograde signaling by the plastidial metabolite MEcPP regulates expression of nuclear stress-response genes. *Cell* 149, 1525–1535.
- Yang, J., Ishimori, K., and O'Brian, M. R. (2005a). Two heme binding sites are involved in the regulated degradation of the bacterial iron response regulator (Irr) protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 280, 7671–7676.
- Yang, M.-T., Chen, S.-L., Lin, C.-Y., and Chen, Y.-M. (2005b). Chilling stress suppresses chloroplast development and nuclear gene expression in leaves of mung bean seedlings. *Planta* 221, 374–385.
- Yang, J., Kim, K. D., Lucas, A., Drahos, K. E., Santos, C. S.,

Mury, S. P., et al. (2008). A novel heme-regulatory motif mediates heme-dependent degradation of the circadian factor period 2. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 28, 4697–4711.

- Yin, L., Dragnea, V., and Bauer, C. E. (2012). PpsR, a regulator of heme and bacteriochlorophyll biosynthesis, is a heme-sensing protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 13850–13858.
- Yin, L., Wu, N., Curtin, J. C., Qatanani, M., Szwergold, N. R., Reid, R. A., et al. (2007). Rev-erb(, a heme sensor that coordinates metabolic and circadian pathways. *Science* 318, 1786–1789.
- Yoshida, R., Sato, T., Kanno, A., and Kameya, T. (1998). Streptomycin mimics the cool temperature response in rice plants. *J. Exp. Bot.* 49, 221–227.
- Yu, F., Fu, A., Aluru, M., Park, S., Xu, Y., Liu, H., et al. (2007). Variegation mutants and mechanisms of chloroplast biogenesis. *Plant Cell Environ*. 30, 350–365.
- Yu, H.-D., Yang, X.-F., Chen, S.-T., Wang, Y.-T., Li, J.-K., Shen, Q., et al. (2012). Downregulation of chloroplast RPS1 negatively modulates nuclear heat-responsive expression of HsfA2 and its target genes in *Arabidopsis. PLoS Genet.* 8:e1002669. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1002669
- Zenke-Kawasaki, Y., Dohi, Y., Katoh, Y., Ikura, T., Ikura, M., Asahara, T., et al. (2007). Heme induces ubiquitination and degradation of the transcription factor Bach1. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 27, 6962–6971.
- Zhang, L., and Guarente, L. (1995). Heme binds to a short sequence that serves a regulatory function in diverse proteins. *EMBO J.* 14, 313–320.
- Zhang, L., and Hach, A. (1999). Molecular mechanism of heme signaling in yeast: the transcriptional activator

Hap1 serves as the key mediator. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* 56, 415–426.

- Zhong, S., Zhao, M., Shi, T., Shi, H., An, F., Zhao, Q., et al. (2009). EIN3/EIL1 cooperate with PIF1 to prevent photo-oxidation and to promote greening of *Arabidopsis* seedlings. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 21431–21436.
- Zybailov, B., Rutschow, H., Friso, G., Rudella, A., Emanuelsson, O., Sun, Q., et al. (2008). Sorting signals, N-terminal modifications and abundance of the chloroplast proteome. *PLoS ONE* 3:e1994. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0001994

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 26 December 2012; accepted: 20 January 2013; published online: 13 February 2013.

Citation: Terry MJ and Smith AG (2013) A model for tetrapyrrole synthesis as the primary mechanism for plastid-tonucleus signaling during chloroplast biogenesis. Front. Plant Sci. 4:14. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00014

This article was submitted to Frontiers in Plant Physiology, a specialty of Frontiers in Plant Science.

Copyright © 2013 Terry and Smith. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in other forums, provided the original authors and source are credited and subject to any copyright notices concerning any thirdparty graphics etc.