Translating *in vivo* metabolomic analysis of succinate dehydrogenase deficient tumours
 into clinical utility
 3

- 4 Ruth T Casey<sup>1, 2</sup>, Mary A McLean<sup>3</sup>, Basetti Madhu<sup>3</sup>, Benjamin. G Challis<sup>2</sup>, Rogier. ten
- 5 Hoopen<sup>4</sup>, Thomas. Roberts<sup>5</sup>, Graeme. R. Clark<sup>1</sup>, Deborah Pittfield<sup>2</sup>, Helen L Simpson<sup>6</sup>,
- 6 Venkata R Bulusu<sup>7</sup>, Kieren Allinson<sup>8</sup>, Lisa Happerfield<sup>9</sup>, Soo-Mi Park<sup>1</sup>, Alison Marker<sup>8</sup>,
- 7 Olivier Giger<sup>4</sup>, Eamonn R Maher <sup>\*1</sup>, Ferdia A Gallagher<sup>\*3, 10</sup>.
- 8 1. Department of Medical Genetics, University of Cambridge and NIHR Cambridge
- 9 Biomedical Research Centre and Cancer Research UK Cambridge Centre, CB2 OQQ, United
- 10 Kingdom.
- 11 2. Department of Endocrinology, Cambridge University NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge,
- 12 CB2 OQQ, United Kingdom.
- 13 3. Cancer Research UK Cambridge Institute, University of Cambridge, Li Ka Shing Centre,
- 14 Robinson Way, Cambridge CB2 0RE, UK
- 4. Department of Pathology, University of Cambridge, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge,
   CB2 0QQ, UK.
- 17 5. Haematology Oncology Diagnostic Service (HODS), Cambridge University NHS
- 18 Foundation Trust, Cambridge, CB2 OQQ, United Kingdom.
- 19 6. Department of Diabetes and Endocrinology, University College London Hospitals, NHS
- 20 Foundation Trust, London, NW1 2PG UK
- 21 7. Department of Medical Oncology, Cambridge University NHS Foundation Trust,
- 22 Cambridge, CB2 OQQ, United Kingdom.

23	8. Department of Histopathology Cambridge University NHS Foundation Trust and Cancer
24	Research UK Cambridge Centre Cambridge, CB2 0QQ, United Kingdom.
25	9. Department of Immunohistochemistry, Cambridge University NHS Foundation Trust,
26	Cambridge, CB2 OQQ, United Kingdom.
27	10. Department of Radiology, Cambridge University NHS Foundation Trust, CB2 OQQ,
28	United Kingdom.
29	*= joint last author
30	Corresponding author: Dr Ruth Casey, Department of Medical Genetics, University of
31	Cambridge and NIHR Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre and Cancer Research
32	UK Cambridge Centre, CB2 OQQ, United Kingdom.
33	Mobile: 07401 179473, Email: rc674@medschl.cam.ac.uk
34	Running title: In vivo metabolomic analysis of succinate dehydrogenase deficient
35	tumours
36	Key words: Translational, metabolic cancer, metabolomics, hereditary, imaging
37	technique
38	
39	Word count: 3000
40	References: 30
41	Figures: 5
42	Tables 1
43	Supplementary figures: 1

44	Supplementary tables: 2
45	The authors have nothing to declare and there are no conflict of interests to report.
46	
47	Disclosure: The authors have declared no conflicts of interest.
48	
49	To date this research was presented as an abstract at the European Congress of
50	Endocrinology in Lisbon in May 2017.
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	
62	
63	
	3

#### 64 Abstract

*Purpose:* Mutations in the mitochondrial enzyme succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) subunit genes are associated with a wide spectrum of tumours including phaeochromocytoma and paraganglioma (PPGL) <sup>1, 2</sup>, gastrointestinal stromal tumours (GIST) <sup>3</sup>, renal cell carcinoma (RCC) <sup>4</sup> and pituitary adenomas<sup>5</sup>. SDH-related tumorigenesis is believed to be secondary to accumulation of the oncometabolite succinate. Our aim was to investigate the potential clinical applications of MRI spectroscopy (<sup>1</sup>H-MRS) in a range of suspected SDH-related tumours.

*Patients and methods:* Fifteen patients were recruited to this study. Respiratory-gated single voxel <sup>1</sup>H-MRS was performed at 3T to quantify the content of succinate at 2.4 ppm and
 choline at 3.22 ppm.

*Results:* A succinate peak was seen in six patients, all of whom had a germline *SDHx* 75 mutation or loss of SDHB by immunohistochemistry. A succinate peak was also detected in 76 77 two patients with a metastatic wild-type GIST (wtGIST) and no detectable germline SDHx mutation but a somatic epimutation in *SDHC*. Three patients without a tumour succinate peak 78 79 retained SDHB expression, consistent with SDH functionality. In six cases with a borderline or absent peak, technical difficulties such as motion artefact rendered <sup>1</sup>H-MRS difficult to 80 interpret. Sequential imaging in a patient with a metastatic abdominal paraganglioma 81 demonstrated loss of the succinate peak after four cycles of [<sup>177</sup>Lu]-DOTATATE, with a 82 corresponding biochemical response in normetanephrine. 83

*Conclusions:* This study has demonstrated the translation into clinical practice of *in vivo* metabolomic analysis using <sup>1</sup>H-MRS in patients with SDH-deficient tumours. Potential
 applications include non-invasive diagnosis and disease stratification, as well as monitoring
 of tumour response to targeted treatments.

#### 88 Introduction

The succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) enzyme is composed of four subunits (A-D) and has a 89 key role in the Krebs cycle and oxidative phosphorylation<sup>6</sup>. In the past two decades germline 90 mutations in the genes encoding the four SDH subunits (SDHA/SDHB/SDHC/SDHD), 91 collectively known as SDHx have emerged as an important cause of human neoplasia and a 92 paradigm for the role of disordered cellular metabolism in oncogenesis <sup>1-5, 7</sup>. SDHx mutations 93 94 were described initially in association with head and neck paragangliomas (derived from 95 parasympathetic ganglia) and in phaeochromocytomas and paragangliomas (PPGL, derived 96 from sympathetic ganglia and often secreting catecholamines)<sup>1,2</sup>. It is now recognised that approximately 40% of PPGL patients harbour a germline mutation in an inherited PPGL gene 97 and SDHx mutations are the most common cause of PPGL predisposition<sup>9</sup>. In addition, 98 germline SDHB mutations are associated with a high risk of malignancy in PPGL<sup>9</sup>. Other 99 tumour types associated with *SDHx* mutations include gastrointestinal stromal tumours 100 (GISTs) and renal cell carcinomas (RCCs)<sup>10-13</sup>. GISTs are mesenchymal tumours of the 101 gastrointestinal tract and in adults usually associated with somatic activating mutations in the 102 KIT or PDGFRA genes<sup>3, 11</sup>. However GISTs without KIT and PDGFRA gene mutations<sup>3</sup>, 103 known as wild-type (wtGIST), account for 15% of adult and 85% of paediatric GIST tumours 104 and recent studies suggest that up to 88% of wtGIST are SDH-deficient<sup>11</sup>. wtGIST with 105 SDH-deficiency may harbour a germline SDHx mutation (75% of cases) or an SDHC gene 106 epimutation with hypermethylation of the promoter region<sup>11</sup>. Only about a third of patients 107 with SDH-deficient wtGIST achieve disease stabilisation with imatinib therapy<sup>12</sup> and the risk 108 of metastatic disease is higher for SDH-deficient GIST compared to conventional GIST<sup>11, 12</sup>. 109 SDHx-associated RCC may present in patients with a personal or family history of PPGL or 110 may present with an RCC-only phenotype<sup>13</sup>. Finally germline SDHx mutations have been 111 described in rare patients with pituitary adenomas<sup>10</sup>. Despite recent advances in the 112

understanding of the *SDHx* genes, there are many areas of unmet clinical need including a lack of robust biomarkers to predict aggressive biological behaviour and to inform on clinical surveillance and management<sup>14</sup>.

116 Succinate has been shown to be elevated by 100-fold in SDHx-mutated PPGL tumours ex*vivo* compared with non-*SDHx* mutated PPGL tumours<sup>15</sup>. Recently, *in vivo* detection of 117 succinate by MR spectroscopy was reported in two patient cohorts with SDH deficient 118 PPGL<sup>16, 17</sup>. Similarly, the non-invasive detection of 2-hydroxyglutarate with <sup>1</sup>H-MRS has 119 been demonstrated in glioma in patients with a gain of function mutation in another citric 120 acid cycle enzyme, isocitrate dehydrogenase 1 (IDH1)<sup>18</sup>. The ability to measure succinate in 121 *vivo* has a number of important potential clinical applications including early identification of 122 SDH deficiency, which can enable tailored patient surveillance and management. In vivo 123 124 detection of succinate accumulation could also serve to verify genetic variant pathogenicity in the era of next generation sequencing. The aim of this study was to investigate the role of <sup>1</sup>H-125 MRS in detecting abnormally elevated succinate in vivo in patients with suspected SDH 126 deficient tumours, expanding the applications of <sup>1</sup>H-MRS in SDH deficient tumorigenesis to 127 include GIST and pituitary adenoma for the first time and to explore the technique as a 128 potential non-invasive biomarker of treatment response. 129

130

#### 131 Methods

#### 132 *Patient selection*

133 This study was performed as a prospective case series and subjects were recruited from a

134 dedicated neuroendocrine tumour clinic and a national paediatric and adult wild-type

135 (PAWS) GIST clinic in Cambridge University NHS Foundation Trust. Suitable patients were

identified based on *SDHx* germline status, suspicious clinical phenotype (metastatic PPGL,

paraganglioma or wtGIST) and/or immunohistochemistry of tumour tissue showing absent
SDHB immunostaining. A minimum tumour size threshold of 1.5cm was applied for
inclusion into the study. All participants gave written informed consent and the study was
approved by Cambridge South Research Ethics Committee.

141

#### 142 <u>MRS Analysis</u>

Both SAGE (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI) and LCModel<sup>19</sup> spectroscopy analysis 143 programmes were used to reconstruct, analyze and display spectra. For each metabolite, 144 LCModel reports both peak area and the estimated uncertainty in fitting of the peak (%SD). 145 146 This uncertainty measure was used to stratify the results using the following algorithm: 1) if 147 % SD of choline was >15%, the spectrum was discarded as a technical failure, because it was assumed that choline should be detectable in a metabolically active tumour, such that 148 SD>15% would indicate probable data quality issues; 2) succinate detection was taken as 149 positive if its %SD was <50%, and negative if it was >50%. The succinate to choline ratio 150 was quantified (SCR), the full width at half maximum height (FWHM) of the water peak in 151 152 Hz was measured in SAGE and recorded as an additional data quality metric, and an expert spectroscopist was asked to rate whether detected succinate peaks were convincing or 153 unconvincing based on data displayed both in LCModel and in SAGE. 154

155

156 <u>Statistical methods</u>, <sup>1</sup>H-MRS data acquisition, Germline genetic analysis, SDHB

157 *Immunohistochemistry, SDHC hypermethylation analysis and measurement of succinate in ex* 

158 *vivo tissue samples* 

159 See supplementary data.

160

#### 161 **Results**

#### 162 *Patients and clinical phenotype*

Fifteen subjects (6 females, 9 males; mean age 40 years (range 21-80 years) were studied. 163 Seven wtGIST, three unilateral adrenal phaeochromocytomas, three abdominal PGL's, a 164 large left glomus PGL and a non-functioning pituitary macroadenoma were examined. Nine 165 patients (60%) had metastatic disease: six with wtGIST, two with an abdominal 166 paraganglioma and one with a unilateral phaeochromocytoma. The liver was the most 167 common site for metastases (7/9, 77.7%). Three patients had multicentric primary tumours, 168 including subject #5 who presented with a metastatic wtGIST and was subsequently 169 diagnosed with a 1.9 cm carotid body PGL (figure 2d, case 5), subject #9 with an abdominal 170 171 paraganglioma and a small left sided 1.5 cm carotid paraganglioma (figure 3b, case 9), and 172 subject #8 with a large left sided glomus paraganglioma and a 2 cm prolactin secreting pituitary adenoma (figure S1, case 8). Only two patients had a positive family history, (Table 173 174 1: case 2 and case 6).

### 175 *Genotype*

176 A germline mutation in a *SDHx* gene was identified in 9/15 (60%) of subjects: 5 in *SDHB* (4

177 missense variants and 1 truncating variant) and 4 in *SDHA* (1 missense and 3 truncating).

178 Two further patients were diagnosed with a somatic *SDHC* epimutation (Table 1).

179

## 180 <u><sup>1</sup>H-MRS succinate analysis</u>

The <sup>1</sup>H-MRS characteristics of the 15 patients are shown in Supplementary Table S1. The mean size of the tumour selected for spectra acquisition was 5.5 cm (median: 3.3 cm, range: 1.8-12 cm). The liver was the most common site to be assessed (n = 6), but good quality spectra were also obtained from the pituitary (n = 1), and PPGL tumours (n = 5). The subjects were divided into four groups according to whether a succinate tumour peak was: present, absent, a borderline peak was detected, or technical failure prevented interpretation of the spectra.

188

#### 189 <u>Succinate peak detected</u>

Succinate was detected at 2.4 ppm in 6 patients (50 %). The mean SCR in these patients was 1.3 (SD  $\pm$  0.71) and the mean tumour size in those six patients with reliable succinate peak detection was 4.8 cm (SD  $\pm$  2.94, range 2.3-9 cm). The *in vivo* detection of succinate on <sup>1</sup>H-MRS correlated with tumour SDH deficiency: 4 of the 6 cases had a germline *SDHx* mutation and loss of SDHB expression on immunohistochemistry and a somatic *SDHC* epimutation was detected in 2 of the 6 (Figure 1).

196

197

#### 198 *Borderline succinate peak detected*

A borderline succinate peak was detected in two subjects. Patient #8 with a germline SDHB 199 mutation (c.600G>T p.Trp200Cys) and a glomus paraganglioma, demonstrated an SCR of 200 201 1.19; however the linewidth (29 Hz) was so broad due to the proximity of metallic dental work that the peak assignments were not reliable (Figure S1). Patient #7 with a metastatic 202 203 phaeochromocytoma and no detectable germline SDHx mutation demonstrated an SCR of 0.18 but the LCModel detected a very small succinate peak at 2.4 ppm; this patient did not 204 undergo surgery or a diagnostic biopsy and therefore no tissue was available for further 205 206 analysis and therefore we have classified this case as borderline.

#### 207 <u>No succinate peak</u>

No succinate peak was detected in three subjects. Patient #4 had a metastatic wtGIST with no 208 detectable germline SDHx mutation and preserved SDHB protein expression in the tumour 209 210 tissue; choline was confidently fitted on LCModel but no succinate was seen. Patient #6 demonstrated a good quality spectrum from the remnant pituitary adenoma; choline was 211 detected on LCModel and SAGE processing but no succinate was detected and this finding 212 213 was consistent with the preservation of SDHB protein expression in the pituitary tumour by immunohistochemistry (Figure 4). Patient #10 had no detectable germline SDHx mutation 214 215 and preserved SDHB protein expression in the tumour tissue; choline was detected in the tumour on <sup>1</sup>H-MRS but succinate was not detected. 216

217

218

#### 219 <u>Technical failure</u>

Technical failure occurred in four patients (26%). Patient #12 demonstrated no reliable 220 221 detection of succinate or choline due to motion artefact and a low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), 222 which was probably due to inconsistent breathing as the voxel was at the edge of the liver. A 223 small rib metastasis was imaged in patient #13 but only a pure lipid spectrum was obtained from this challenging location. A metastasis on the edge of the liver was imaged in patient 224 225 #14, where again inconsistent respiration probably led to displacement of the voxel into adjacent adipose tissue. Finally, patient #15 had a unilateral phaeochromocytoma with a large 226 volume of blood, whose paramagnetic properties may have affected acquisition leading to 227 low SNR (Supplementary Table S1). 228

229

## 230 <u>Sequential <sup>1</sup>H-MRS succinate analysis</u>

231 Subject #2 with a metastatic paraganglioma to the lung, bone and lymph node and a germline *SDHB* mutation (c.268C>T p.Arg90\*) underwent <sup>1</sup>H-MRS on a large pelvic nodal metastasis 232 prior to treatment with four cycles of lutetium 177-labelled peptide receptor radionuclide 233 234 therapy. Succinate and choline peaks were detected with an SCR of 1.32 (Figures 5a, 5b). Following four cycles of treatment, a repeat <sup>1</sup>H-MRS examination on the same pelvic nodal 235 metastases revealed a choline peak but no succinate peak (Figure 5c). Though the MRI 236 237 imaging features of the metastatic lesions were unchanged pre- and post-treatment, the loss of a succinate peak was correlated with a reduction in plasma normetanephrine levels (from 238 1861 to 1193 pmol/L) and tumour avidity on <sup>18</sup>F-fluorodeoxyglucose Positron Emission 239 Tomography/Computed Tomography (FDG-PET/CT; standard uptake value of 16.1 pre-240 241 treatment and 9.3 post-treatment; Figure 5d-f). The detection of choline on the acquired 242 spectra both before and after treatment indicates that tumour necrosis is unlikely to account for the absent succinate peak post treatment. 243

A sequential <sup>1</sup>H-MRS study was performed on patient #5 due to evidence of progressive
disease on surveillance CT, despite treatment with a multi-kinase inhibitor, regorafenib.
Serial <sup>1</sup>H-MRS demonstrated a larger succinate peak compared to the first study (Figure 2d
and 2e) and this correlated with the FDG avidity on PET/CT pre-treatment and ten months
post-treatment, which demonstrated an increase in disease burden and avidity (SUV: 15.1 and
27.1 respectively, Figures 2f-g).

Repeatability of <sup>1</sup>H-MRS was evaluated in two patients by investigating different tumour deposits during the same study examination (case#5) and the same tumour deposit twice during the same study examination (case#1). The results for succinate: choline were almost identical in these two cases, suggesting good test reproducibility (Supplementary Table 2)

#### 255 Discussion

This proof-of-principle study has demonstrated that detection of a succinate peak and an 256 increased succinate to choline ratio were specific for a variety of SDH-deficient tumour 257 types. All six tumours with a positive succinate peak and elevated SCR were associated with 258 a germline SDHx mutation (n = 4) or an SDHC epimutation (n = 2). In addition, the three 259 subjects with absent succinate peaks but adequate <sup>1</sup>H-MRS, demonstrated preservation of 260 SDHB expression in the tumour analyzed. Our findings are complementary to a previous 261 study in which <sup>1</sup>H-MRS was applied to 9 patients with paraganglioma and a succinate peak 262 was detected in all 5 with an SDHx mutation but not in the 4 patients without a mutation<sup>16</sup>. 263 We have demonstrated for the first time that <sup>1</sup>H-MRS can also be used to determine the SDH 264 status of GISTs and pituitary adenomas and that a succinate peak can be detected in SDH-265 266 deficient tumours with epigenetic inactivation of SDHC. There are a wide variety of situations in which <sup>1</sup>H-MRS might have clinical utility. Potential diagnostic applications of 267 this new approach include: (a) assessing the pathogenicity of patients with a germline SDHx 268 variants of uncertain significance and a potentially SDH-related tumour; (b) investigating 269 possible metastatic lesions e.g. in the liver, in patients with a germline SDHx mutation and a 270 271 primary SDH-deficient tumour; (c) assessing patients with multiple primary tumours to determine if all are SDH-deficient; (d) identifying patients without a detectable germline 272 273 *SDHx* mutation who might benefit from specialist genetic investigations such as SDHC 274 promoter methylation status; and (e) assessing SDH tumour status pre-operatively particularly for patients with possible wtGIST as standard adjuvant treatment with imatinib 275 has proven to be less effective in patients with SDH-deficient disease<sup>12</sup>. 276 277 Notably, here we have used the presence of a choline signal as an internal control for viable 278 tissue to discriminate technical failures from a negative finding. To avoid issues of partial

voluming effects within smaller tumours, the voxel for MRS analysis was chosen to fully

include tumour where possible. We did not detect a statistically significant correlation
between tumour size and succinate/choline ratio although there was a trend towards
significance. This trend is the opposite of what would be expected if necrosis was artificially
lowering the overall succinate levels in large tumours, and therefore suggests that the method
is measuring real differences in succinate, which are independent of tumour size. However,
we recommend using a size threshold of greater than 2 cm where possible to improve the
sensitivity of the test.

287 There is increasing interest in understanding the metabolic adaptations that occur during 288 tumorigenesis and how these might be exploited for novel therapeutic interventions. Increased production of lactate during aerobic glycolysis in most cancers, or the Warburg 289 effect, is the best known example of this. SDH-related cancers provides a paradigm for 290 291 investigating tumour metabolism as succinate is thought to act as an oncometabolite and to drive tumorigenesis<sup>6</sup>. Succinate inhibits 2-oxoglutarate-dependent dioxygenases including 292 DNA and histone demethylases and hypoxic gene response regulators. As a consequence, 293 SDH-deficient tumours demonstrate epigenetic abnormalities, an activated hypoxic gene 294 295 response and more recently there is evidence that succinate may have a paracrine effect on stromal tissue<sup>20, 21, 22</sup>. Understanding the molecular mechanisms of SDH-related 296 297 tumorigenesis provides a rationale for novel therapeutic interventions such as reversing the 298 epigenetic abnormalities or exploiting metabolic vulnerabilities, similar to the recent 299 discovery that tumoral 2-hydroxyglutarate accumulation may increase responsiveness to olaparib, a poly-ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitor<sup>23</sup>. The availability of sensitive 300 non-invasive biomarkers would greatly facilitate precision medicine-based clinical trials. 301 302 Imaging with <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET to measure the uptake and phosphorylation of a glucose-303 analogue to probe the increased glucose utilisation that occurs in many metabolically-active cancers, is a useful form of *in vivo* metabolic imaging and has been employed for the 304

305 detection of primary and metastatic disease in many tumour types including PPGL and GIST<sup>24, 25</sup> and is in widespread clinical use. However, despite being a very sensitive imaging 306 tool, <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET lacks specificity and cannot differentiate individual metabolites. <sup>1</sup>H-MRS 307 308 is highly specific and allows *in vivo* detection of individual metabolites without the use of ionising radiation, however, <sup>1</sup>H-MRS is significantly less sensitive than PET, which could 309 limit the detection of low levels of succinate and it can be challenging to differentiate 310 intracellular from extracellular metabolites. In the future, <sup>1</sup>H-MRS may be complemented by 311 other techniques such as hyperpolarised <sup>13</sup>C-MR spectroscopic imaging, which can increase 312 313 MR signal-to-noise by several orders of magnitude allowing assessment of enzyme flux in  $vivo^{26}$ . 314

315

We have shown that <sup>1</sup>H-MRS could be a valuable tool for the assessment of tumour response 316 in the context of radionuclide and other therapies as alterations in succinate levels were 317 detected despite stable appearances of the tumour diameter. This important application of <sup>1</sup>H-318 MRS could be expanded to include other tumours with specific metabolic defects including 319 fumarate hydratase deficient tumours<sup>27</sup>, *IDH1* mutant tumours<sup>28</sup> and the recently identified 320 malate dehydrogenase 2 (MDH2) deficient tumours<sup>29</sup>. However, important limitations of *in* 321 *vivo* metabolomic analysis using <sup>1</sup>H-MRS were also revealed by our study: for example, 322 spectral quality was poor in close proximity to metal dental work, adjacent to air spaces 323 324 including the lung, in bone metastases, and was susceptible to motion artefact. In this study, the technical failure rate was 26%, which is similar to the failure rate reported in previous 325 studies using <sup>1</sup>H-MRS<sup>16</sup>. Importantly, no cases was excluded from this prospective study, 326 with the intention that this would inform on the translation of this imaging modality into 327 clinical practice. Based on the evidence from this exploratory study, we would recommend 328 that tumours were selected for <sup>1</sup>H-MRS analysis based on: (i) ideally the largest tumour 329

330 deposit but at least a size greater than 2 cm, (ii) tumours located close to bone or lung should be avoided, (iii) tumours with significant necrosis or hemorrhage should be avoided, (iv) 331 superficial tumour deposits should be selected preferentially, and (v) respiratory triggered 332 333 acquisition should be used for tumours in the upper abdomen, such as hepatic metastases. Although the use of <sup>1</sup>H-MRS as a diagnostic tool is likely to be limited to specialist centres, 334 the number of scan averages in our study during spectral acquisition was less than half those 335 reported in a previous study<sup>16</sup> (200 versus 512), without demonstrating a reduction in 336 sensitivity. Using fewer scan averages reduces the acquisition time, making it more cost 337 338 effective and convenient for the patient. This is a particularly important consideration if this imaging technique is to be considered for routine clinical practice or for sequential follow-up 339 340 as part of a clinical trial. Furthermore this imaging modality could be used to investigate 341 other metabolically-driven tumours.

342

In conclusion, this study is the largest to date to evaluate <sup>1</sup>H-MRS in patients with SDH
deficiency. It has revealed that <sup>1</sup>H-MRS has the potential to be used as a non-invasive
biomarker in the precision management of SDH-deficient disease and could have a role as a
biomarker of successful treatment response. Lessons learned from this study could be applied
to other similar metabolically-driven tumours.

348

349

350

351

352

#### 353 **References**

354	1. Astuti D, Latif F, Dallol A, et al. Gene mutations in the succinate dehydrogenase subunit
355	SDHB cause susceptibility to familial pheochromocytoma and to familial paraganglioma. Am
356	J Hum Genet 2001;69:49–54.
357	2. Baysal BE, Ferrell RE, Willett-Brozick JE, et al. Mutations in SDHD, a mitochondrial
358	complex II gene, in hereditary paraganglioma. Science. 2000 Feb 4;287(5454):848-51.
359	3. K.A. Janeway, S.Y. Kim, M. Lodish, et al. Defects in succinate dehydrogenase in
360	gastrointestinal stromal tumors lacking KIT and PDGFRA mutations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.
361	USA 2011;108, 314–318.
362	4. Vanharanta S, Buchta M, McWhinney SR, et al. Early-onset renal cell carcinoma as a
362 363	4. Vanharanta S, Buchta M, McWhinney SR, et al. Early-onset renal cell carcinoma as a novel extraparaganglial component of SDHB-associated heritable paraganglioma. Am J Hum
362 363 364	4. Vanharanta S, Buchta M, McWhinney SR, et al. Early-onset renal cell carcinoma as a novel extraparaganglial component of SDHB-associated heritable paraganglioma. Am J Hum Genet 2004;74:153–159.
362 363 364 365	<ul> <li>4. Vanharanta S, Buchta M, McWhinney SR, et al. Early-onset renal cell carcinoma as a novel extraparaganglial component of SDHB-associated heritable paraganglioma. Am J Hum Genet 2004;74:153–159.</li> <li>5. Xekouki P, Stratakis CA. Succinate dehydrogenase (SDHx) mutations in pituitary tumors:</li> </ul>
362 363 364 365 366	<ul> <li>4. Vanharanta S, Buchta M, McWhinney SR, et al. Early-onset renal cell carcinoma as a novel extraparaganglial component of SDHB-associated heritable paraganglioma. Am J Hum Genet 2004;74:153–159.</li> <li>5. Xekouki P, Stratakis CA. Succinate dehydrogenase (SDHx) mutations in pituitary tumors: could this be a new role for mitochondrial complex II and/or Krebs cycle defects? Endocr</li> </ul>
362 363 364 365 366 367	<ul> <li>4. Vanharanta S, Buchta M, McWhinney SR, et al. Early-onset renal cell carcinoma as a novel extraparaganglial component of SDHB-associated heritable paraganglioma. Am J Hum Genet 2004;74:153–159.</li> <li>5. Xekouki P, Stratakis CA. Succinate dehydrogenase (SDHx) mutations in pituitary tumors: could this be a new role for mitochondrial complex II and/or Krebs cycle defects? Endocr Relat Cancer 2012;19:C33–C40.</li> </ul>
362 363 364 365 366 367 368	<ul> <li>4. Vanharanta S, Buchta M, McWhinney SR, et al. Early-onset renal cell carcinoma as a novel extraparaganglial component of SDHB-associated heritable paraganglioma. Am J Hum Genet 2004;74:153–159.</li> <li>5. Xekouki P, Stratakis CA. Succinate dehydrogenase (SDHx) mutations in pituitary tumors: could this be a new role for mitochondrial complex II and/or Krebs cycle defects? Endocr Relat Cancer 2012;19:C33–C40.</li> <li>6. Cecchini, G. Respiratory complex II: Role in cellular physiology and disease. Biochim.</li> </ul>

- 370 7. Morin A, Letouzé E, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, et al. Oncometabolites-driven tumorigenesis:
- From genetics to targeted therapy. Int J Cancer. 2014 Nov 15;135(10):2237-48. doi:
- 372 10.1002/ijc.29080.
- 8. Pritchett JW. Familial occurrence of carotid body tumor and pheochromocytoma. Cancer
  1982 49 2578–2579.

- 9. Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, Favier J, Rustin P, et al. COMETE Network. Mutations in the
- SDHB gene are associated with extra-adrenal and/or malignant phaeochromocytomas. Cancer
  Res. 2003;63:5615–5621.
- 10. Evenepoel L, Papathomas TG, Krol N, et al. Toward an improved definition of the
- 379 genetic and tumor spectrum associated with SDH germ-line mutations. Genet Med. 2015
- 380 Aug;17(8):610-20. doi: 10.1038/gim.2014.162.
- 11. Boikos SA, Pappo AS, Killian JK, et al. Molecular Subtypes of KIT/PDGFRA Wild-
- 382 Type Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors: A Report from the National Institutes of Health
- 383 Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumor Clinic. JAMA Oncol. 2016 Jul 1;2(7):922-8. doi:
- 384 10.1001/jamaoncol.2016.0256.
- 12. Mason EF, Hornick JL. Conventional Risk Stratification Fails to Predict Progression of
- 386 Succinate Dehydrogenase-deficient Gastrointestinal Stromal Tumors: A Clinicopathologic
- 387 Study of 76 Cases. Am J Surg Pathol. 2016 Jun 23.
- 13. Ricketts C, Woodward ER, Killick P, et al. Germline SDHB mutations and familial renal
- cell carcinoma. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2008 Sep 3;100(17):1260-2. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djn254.
- 14. Amar L, Fassnacht M, Gimenez-Roqueplo AP, et al. Long-term postoperative follow-up
- in patients with apparently benign pheochromocytoma and paraganglio ma. Hormone and
- 392 Metabolic Research 2012 44 385–389.
- 393 15. Richter S, Peitzsch M, Rapizzi E, et al. Krebs cycle metabolite profiling for identification
- and stratification of pheochromocytomas/paragangliomas due to succinate dehydrogenase
- deficiency. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2014;99:3903–11.

- 16. Varoquaux A, le Fur Y, Imperiale A, et al. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy of
- 397 paragangliomas: new insights into in vivo metabolomics. Endocr Relat Cancer. 2015
- 398 Aug;22(4):M1-8. doi: 10.1530/ERC-15-0246. Epub 2015 Jun 26.
- 399 17. Lussey-Lepoutre C, Bellucci A, Morin A, et al. In Vivo Detection of Succinate by
- 400 Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy as a Hallmark of SDHx Mutations in Paraganglioma. Clin
- 401 Cancer Res. 2016 Mar 1;22(5):1120-9. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-15-1576. Epub 2015
  402 Oct 21.
- 18. Andronesi OC, Rapalino O, Gerstner E, at al. Detection of oncogenic IDH1 mutations
- 404 using magnetic resonance spectroscopy of 2-hydroxyglutarate. J Clin Invest. 2013
- 405 Sep;123(9):3659-63. doi: 10.1172/JCI67229.
- 406 19. Provencher SW. Estimation of metabolite concentrations from localized in vivo proton
  407 NMR spectra. Magn Reson Med. 1993 Dec;30(6):672-9.
- 408 20. Xu, W, Yang, H., Liu, Y., et al. (2011). Oncometabolite 2-hydroxyglutarate is a
- 409 competitive inhibitor of a-ketoglutarate-dependent dioxygenases. Cancer Cell 19,17–30.
- 410 21 Letouze, E, Martinelli C, Loriot C, et al. SDH mutations establish a hypermethylator
- 411 phenotype in paraganglioma. Cancer Cell 23, 739–752 (2013).
- 412 22. Garrigue P, Bodin-Hullin A, Balasse L, et al. The evolving role of succinate in tumor
- 413 metabolism: an <sup>18</sup>F-FDG-based study. J Nucl Med. 2017 Jun 15. pii: jnumed.117.192674.
- 414 doi: 10.2967/jnumed.117.192674.
- 415 23. Sulkowski PL, Corso CD, Robinson ND, et al. 2-Hydroxyglutarate produced by
- 416 neomorphic IDH mutations suppresses homologous recombination and induces PARP
- 417 inhibitor sensitivity. Sci Transl Med. 2017 Feb 1;9(375). pii: eaal2463. doi:
- 418 10.1126/scitranslmed.aal2463.

- 419 24. Chang CA, Pattison DA, Tothill RW, et al. <sup>68</sup>Ga-DOTATATE and <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT in
- 420 Paraganglioma and Pheochromocytoma: utility, patterns and heterogeneity.Cancer Imaging.
- 421 2016 Aug 17;16(1):22. doi: 10.1186/s40644-016-0084-2.
- 422 25. Holdsworth CH, Badawi RD, Manola JB, et al. CT and PET: early prognostic indicators
- 423 of response to imatinib mesylate in patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumor. AJR Am J
- 424 Roentgenol 2007;189:W324-30.
- 425 26. Day SE, Kettunen MI, Gallagher FA, et al. Detecting tumor response to treatment using
- 426 hyperpolarized 13C magnetic resonance imaging and spectroscopy. Nat Med. 2007
- 427 Nov;13(11):1382-7. Epub 2007 Oct 28. Erratum in: Nat Med. 2007 Dec;13(12):1521.
- 428 27. Clark GR, Sciacovelli M, Gaude E, et al. Germline FH mutations presenting with
- 429 pheochromocytoma. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2014 Oct;99(10):E2046-50.
- 430 28. Andronesi OC, Rapalino O, Gerstner E, et al. Detection of oncogenic IDH1 mutations
- 431 using magnetic resonance spectroscopy of 2-hydroxyglutarate. J Clin Invest. 2013
- 432 Sep;123(9):3659-63. doi: 10.1172/JCI67229.
- 433 29. Cascón A, Comino-Méndez I, Currás-Freixes M, et al. Whole-exome sequencing
- 434 identifies MDH2 as a new familial paraganglioma gene. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2015 Mar
- 435 11;107(5). pii: djv053. doi: 10.1093/jnci/djv053.
- 436 30. Madhu B, Shaw GL, Warren AY, et al. Response of Degarelix treatment in human
- 437 prostate cancer monitored by HR-MAS 1H NMR spectroscopy. Metabolomics. 2016;12:120
- 438 31. Andreasson A, Kiss NB, Caramuta S, et al. The VHL gene is epigenetically inactivated in
- 439 pheochromocytomas and abdominal paragangliomas. Epigenetics. 2013 Dec;8(12):1347-54.
- 440 doi: 10.4161/epi.26686. Epub 2013 Oct 22.
- 441

442 Funding:

	we thank the following funding organisations; GIST Support UK (KC), Cambridge
444	Experimental Cancer Medicine Centre, Addenbrooke's Charitable Trust, National
445	Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, Cancer
446	Research UK CRUK (FAG, MM), CRUK Cambridge Centre (MM, FAG, ERM), the
447	University of Cambridge, and Hutchison Whampoa Ltd (MM), NIHR Senior
448	Investigator Award (ERM), European Research Council Advanced Researcher Award
449	(ERM), the British Heart Foundation (ERM), CRUK and Engineering and Physical
450	Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) Imaging Centre in Cambridge and Manchester
451	(FAG). The University of Cambridge has received salary support in respect of EM from
452	the NHS in the East of England through the Clinical Academic Reserve.
453	
454	Acknowledgements:
454 455	Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Stephen Provencher for providing the simulated basis
454 455 456	Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Stephen Provencher for providing the simulated basis set used in spectral fitting, the radiographers and staff of the MRIS Unit at
454 455 456 457	Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Stephen Provencher for providing the simulated basis set used in spectral fitting, the radiographers and staff of the MRIS Unit at Addenbrooke's Hospital and the staff of the Tissue Bank at Addenbroke's hospital for
454 455 456 457 458	Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Stephen Provencher for providing the simulated basis set used in spectral fitting, the radiographers and staff of the MRIS Unit at Addenbrooke's Hospital and the staff of the Tissue Bank at Addenbroke's hospital for assistance, and all the patients who participated in this study.
454 455 456 457 458 459	Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Stephen Provencher for providing the simulated basis set used in spectral fitting, the radiographers and staff of the MRIS Unit at Addenbrooke's Hospital and the staff of the Tissue Bank at Addenbroke's hospital for assistance, and all the patients who participated in this study.

462

461

463

## **Tables:**

# **Table 1:** Clinical characteristics of the cohort. PA = pituitary adenoma, PC =

466 phaeochromocytoma.

Case	Genetic	Sex	Age	Primary	Metastatic	Site of	Family	Other
number	mutation			tumour	disease	metastatic	history	primary
						disease		tumour
1	SDHC	F	21	GIST	Yes	Liver,	No	No
	epimutation					lung		
2	SDHB	F	53	Abdomin	Yes	Lymph	Yes-	No
	c.268C>T			al PGL		nodes,	mother	
	p.(Arg90* )					bone	(GIST)	
3	SDHC	F	25	GIST	Yes	Liver	No	No
	epimutation							
4	No mutation	F	27	GIST	No	NA	No	No
	detected							
5	SDHB	М	38	GIST	Yes	Liver,	No	No
	c.137G>A					peritoneu		
	p.(Arg46Gln)					m		
6	SDHB	М	80	PA	No	NA	Yes	No
	c.380G>T						nephew	
	p.(Ille127Ser)						(PPGL)	
7	No mutation	М	70	PC	Yes	Liver,	No	No
	detected					bone		

8	SDHB	М	41	Glomus	No	NA	No	Yes, PA
	c.600G>T			PGL				
	p.(Trp200Cys)							
9.	SDHB	M	26	Abdomin	No	NA	No	Carotid
	c 302G>A			al PCI				PCI
	C.3020/A							TOL
	p.(Cys101Tyr)							
10.	No mutation	М	23	PC	No	NA	No	No
	detected							
11.	SDHA	F	21	GIST	Yes	Liver	No	No
	c.91C>T							
	p.(Arg31Ter)							
12	SDHA	F	37	GIST	Yes	Liver	No	No
12.	а 1765 Съ Т	1	51		100		110	110
	c.1/05C>1							
	p.(Arg589Trp)							
13	SDHA	М	46	PGL	Yes	Bone	No	No
	c.91C>T							
	p.(Arg31Ter)							
14	SDHA	М	24	GIST	Yes	Liver	No	No
	<i>c.91C&gt;T</i>							
	p.(Arg31Ter)							
15	No mutation	М	67	PC	No	NA	No	No

# 468 Figure legends

469 Figure 1. (A):  $T_2$ -weighted MR image from case 1 and (B)  $T_1$ -weighted image from case 3

470 demonstrating liver metastases from which spectra were acquired in the locations indicated

- 471 by the white arrows. (C-D) show the spectra from case 1 and case 3 demonstrating a
- 472 succinate peak at 2.4 ppm. (E-F) demonstrate hypermethylation of the promoter region of the
- 473 SDHC gene in tumour DNA from cases 1 and 3, confirming a somatic SDHC epimutation:
- 474 55% mean methylation in case 1 and 75% mean methylation in case 3.











- 487 Figure 3. (A) T<sub>2</sub>-weighted MRI showing a large non-secretory abdominal paraganglioma
- 488 from case 9 (arrow). (B) <sup>1</sup>H-MR spectra demonstrating a succinate peak at 2.4 ppm. (C) Axial
- 489 fused <sup>18</sup>F-FDG PET/CT image. The corresponding coronal maximum intensity projection
- 490 (MIP) PET image demonstrates a synchronous left sided carotid paraganglioma. (D) Spectra
- 491 acquired by High Resolution Magic Angle Spinning (HR-MAS) *in vitro* on the
- 492 paraganglioma tumour sample, again confirming a succinate peak at 2.4 ppm.





Figure 4: (A) Coronal T<sub>1</sub>-weighted MRI demonstrating a remnant pituitary adenoma in case
6 (white arrow). (B) Spectra acquired from the pituitary tumour at <sup>1</sup>H-MRS, with evidence of
choline detection but no succinate. (C) SDHB IHC demonstrating preservation of the SDHB
protein performed on a section of tumour tissue debulked from the pituitary tumour.







### 515 **Supplementary data:**

- 516 Figure S1: (A) Coronal MRI image of a large left sided glomus paraganglioma from case 8
- 517 demonstrated by the white arrow. (B) Spectra processed with LCModel from the same patient
- showing a broad unreliable peak at 2.4 ppm, which was not convincing for succinate.
- 519 Table S1: Characteristics of the 15 tumours analysed by <sup>1</sup>H-MRS. TF: technical failure,
- be defined as an estimated uncertainty (% SD) > 15% in automated peak fitting of choline using
- 521 LCModel. ND: not detected. NA: not applicable.
- 522 Table S2: Characteristics of the two patients in whom <sup>1</sup>H-MRS was repeated during the same
- 523 examination to evaluate test reproducibility.