

Modeled steric and mass-driven sea level change caused by Greenland Ice Sheet melting

S.-E. Brunnabend^a, J. Schröter^a, R. Timmermann^a, R. Rietbroek^b, J. Kusche^b

^a*Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany*

^b*Institute of Geodesy and Geo-information, Bonn University, Bonn, Germany*

Abstract

Meltwater from the Greenland Ice Sheet (GIS) has been a major contributor to sea level change in the recent past. Global and regional sea level variations caused by melting of the GIS are investigated with the finite element sea-ice ocean model (FESOM). We consider changes of local density (steric effects), mass inflow into the ocean, redistribution of mass, and gravitational effects. Five melting scenarios are simulated, where mass losses of 100, 200, 500, and 1000 Gt/yr are converted to a continuous volume flux that is homogeneously distributed along the coast of Greenland south of 75°N. In addition, a scenario of regional melt rates is calculated from daily ice melt characteristics. The global mean sea level modeled with FESOM increases by about 0.3 mm/yr if 100 Gt/yr of ice melts, which includes eustatic and steric sea level change. In the global mean the steric contribution is one order of magnitude smaller than the eustatic contribution. Regionally, especially in the North Atlantic, the steric contribution leads to strong deviations from the global mean sea level change. The modeled pattern mainly reflects the structure of temperature

Email address: Sandra-Esther.Brunnabend@awi.de (S.-E. Brunnabend)

Preprint submitted to Journal of Geodynamics

June 3, 2011

and salinity change in the upper ocean. Additionally, small steric variations occur due to local variability in the heat exchange between the atmosphere and the ocean. The mass loss has also affects on the gravitational attraction by the ice sheet, causing spatially varying sea level change mainly near the GIS, but also at greater distances. This effect is accounted for by using Green's functions.

Keywords: Sea level change, Greenland, ice sheet melting, gravitational attraction

1. Introduction

During the last decades, global mean sea level has risen due to climate change (Church et al., 2001). The increase in mean temperature results in a thermal expansion of the ocean, which causes about 60% of the observed sea level rise (Bindoff et al., 2007). Another significant contribution to sea level change arises from the ice mass loss in ice covered regions, especially Greenland and Antarctica. Recently, numerous studies have investigated mass variations of ice sheets using observations from the satellite mission GRACE (Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment, Tapley et al. (2004)). These studies motivate the melt rates that are used in the simulations of this study. For example, ice mass loss of 101 ± 16 Gt/yr in Greenland between 2003 and 2005 was derived from GRACE data by Luthcke et al. (2006). The observations indicated a mass loss of 155 Gt/yr below 2000 m and a gain of ice mass at higher elevations, with a strong seasonal cycle below 2000 m. Wouters et al. (2008) estimated an ice mass loss of 179 ± 26 Gt/yr in Greenland between 2003 and 2007, including a negative mass balance above

17 2000 m in 2007. The loss of Greenland and Antarctic ice mass was estimated
18 by Velicogna (2009) for the period between April 2002 and February 2009
19 again using GRACE measurements. For the GIS, a mass loss of 137 Gt/yr
20 was found between 2002 and 2003, and 286 Gt/yr between 2007 and 2009,
21 while an ice mass loss of 143 ± 73 Gt/yr was estimated for the Antarctic Ice
22 Sheet. Gunter et al. (2009) compared mass variations in Antarctica derived
23 from the GRACE and ICESat missions. Both datasets showed similar mass
24 losses of about 100 Gt/yr, mainly located at the West Antarctic Ice Sheet.
25 These findings agree with a study by Rignot et al. (2008), who estimated a
26 similar mass loss in the Antarctic in year 2000 using interferometric synthetic-
27 aperture radar data from various remote sensing satellite missions. During
28 the entire period of investigation (1996 to 2006) they found an increasing
29 rate of ice mass loss, from 78 Gt/yr in 1996 to 153 Gt/yr in 2006.

30 The fresh water inflow from the two major ice sheets causes sea level rise
31 and as a consequence strongly influences the state of the ocean. Density
32 variations change sea level locally due to the freshening of the ocean. Gerdes
33 et al. (2006) investigated this reaction of the ocean to fresh water anoma-
34 lies caused by the GIS melting under different boundary conditions. From
35 their simulations they inferred reduced overturning and gyre circulation in
36 the North Atlantic. Stammer (2008) investigated, along with salinity and
37 temperature variations, the response of the sea surface height (SSH) of the
38 ocean to melting in Greenland and Antarctica using a different ocean gen-
39 eral circulation model. They found a depression of SSH located in the center
40 of the sub-polar North Atlantic and the western subtropical North Atlantic
41 associated with a cold water mass. A reduced meridional overturning circu-

42 lation (MOC) in the North Atlantic was also found. In the Southern Ocean,
43 the fresh water inflow, mainly from the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, strength-
44 ens the MOC in the southern hemisphere after 30 years. Marsh et al. (2009)
45 forced an eddy-permitting ocean model with fresh water inflow at the Green-
46 land coast from 1991 to 2000. They found only a small impact on large
47 scale ocean circulation. The sea level, caused by density variations, changed
48 mostly in the Baffin Bay because the additional fresh water accumulated
49 west of Greenland.

50 When mass of a major ice sheet is lost the bedrock below the ice sheet
51 responds to reduced loading with a slow uplift, heavily affecting the sea level.
52 The ongoing Glacial Isostatic Adjustment (GIA) after the last glacial maxi-
53 mum, results in global mean sea level change of about -0.3 mm/yr (Peltier,
54 2004), which is of the same magnitude as the effect of the estimated mass
55 loss of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (100 Gt/yr). In addition, the reduced ice
56 mass has smaller gravitational attraction, causing the sea level to fall near the
57 source of changing ice masses and to slightly rise farther away. The result-
58 ing fingerprints are discussed by Mitrovica et al. (2001, 2009) for ice mass
59 loss in Greenland, West Antarctica, and of some small mountain glaciers.
60 For the last century they estimated an ice mass loss in Greenland equivalent
61 to about 0.6 mm/yr. Riva et al. (2010) computed fingerprints of relative
62 sea-level change due to ice mass change of the major glacial regions using
63 GRACE measurements, which are corrected for GIA (Peltier, 2004), and the
64 sea level equation of Farrell and Clark (1976). Globally, Riva et al. (2010)
65 found a eustatic sea-level rise of 1.0 ± 0.4 mm/yr including regional varia-
66 tions caused by decreased gravitational attraction of the reduced ice masses.

67 Sea level change caused by gravitational effects have also been investigated
68 in different studies (e.g. Clark and Lingle (1977), Mitrovica et al. (2001),
69 Milne et al. (2009) , Mitrovica et al. (2009), Riva et al. (2010)).

70 Here, the finite element sea-ice ocean model (FESOM, Timmermann et al.
71 (2009); Böning et al. (2008)) is used to investigate the influence of the melting
72 of the GIS on regional and global sea level. Theoretical melting scenarios are
73 introduced into the model. Four different rates of idealized fresh water inflow
74 have been applied (100, 200, 500, and 1000 Gt/yr), as well as a realistic melt
75 sequence to investigate the influence of time-varying melt rates on the sea
76 level. The gravitational effects are analyzed here, which account for the
77 reduced ice mass due to melting (Farrell, 1972; Francis and Mazzega, 1990).
78 These effects are taken into account by applying Green’s functions and maps
79 of melt rates, created from melt extent data (Abdalati and Steffen, 2001;
80 Abdalati, 2009). The present study does not account for effects caused by
81 GIA. Also the changes in Earth rotation caused by the mass redistribution,
82 as described by Mitrovica et al. (2001), are not considered here.

83 **2. Method and data**

84 *2.1. Finite element sea-ice ocean model*

85 Ocean circulation and sea level are simulated using the finite element sea-
86 ice ocean model (FESOM, Timmermann et al. (2009), Böning et al. (2008)).
87 The model solves the primitive equations including the Boussinesq approxi-
88 mation. In order to approximate mass conservation in the model, a correc-
89 tion after Greatbatch (1994) is applied to account for steric effects (Böning,
90 2009). The model is discretised on a global tetrahedral grid, with its surface

91 nodes being 1.5° apart. The nodes are aligned in the vertical at 26 unequally
92 spaced levels. The bottom nodes are allowed to deviate from the z-levels to
93 realistically approximate the ocean bottom topography. Modeled sea level is
94 computed relative to the equipotential surface (geoid) when the ocean is at
95 rest. Its change is affected by steric effects due to thermal and haline expan-
96 sion, flow divergence via the continuity equation, and water mass fluxes at
97 the ocean surface. The model is driven by atmospheric wind, pressure and
98 fresh water fluxes (precipitation - evaporation + river runoff).

99 *2.2. Gravitational effects*

100 In addition to the steric and mass-driven effects from melt water, a local
101 loss in ice mass also results in a loss of gravitational attraction. This effect
102 does not change the global mean sea level, but strongly affects regional sea
103 level. The direct effect of sea level change due to the deformation of the
104 ocean floor of the elastic Earth caused by loading is not resolved by the ocean
105 model, because modeled sea level is computed with respect to the deformed
106 geoid. Only the indirect effect, that is the gravity anomaly change in the
107 gravity field associated to the Earth's deformation response to load changes
108 leads to small changes in modeled regional sea level (as seen from altimetry
109 measurements). These effects are estimated using Green's functions of Farrell
110 (1972).

111 The sea level redistribution S due to the gravitational attraction in equiv-
112 alent water height for a location (ϕ, λ) is given by the convolution (Francis
113 and Mazzega (1990))

$$S(\phi, \lambda) = \rho_w \sum_{i=0}^N G_k(\alpha_i) F_i(\phi', \lambda') dA_i. \quad (1)$$

114 $F_i(\phi', \lambda')$ is the change of the water level at location (ϕ', λ') , where ϕ is
 115 latitude and λ is longitude. α is the spherical distance between ϕ, λ and
 116 ϕ', λ' , dA_i is the surface area and N is the number of oceanic elements in the
 117 model. In choosing the convolution accuracy is preferred over computational
 118 cost (Schrama, 2008). The distribution of the GIS melt is derived from the
 119 melt extent estimated by Abdalati and Steffen (2001) and Abdalati (2009),
 120 with the mass loss, $F_i(\phi', \lambda')$, converted to equivalent water height before the
 121 convolution. The Green's function G_k is defined as

$$G_k(\alpha) = \frac{a}{M_e} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1 + k'_n) P_n(\cos(\alpha)) \quad (2)$$

122 where the mean radius of the Earth is denoted as a , the total mass of the
 123 Earth is M_e , and P_n are the Legendre polynomials (Farrell, 1972). The load
 124 love number k'_n accounts for the indirect gravity effect due to the deformation
 125 of the elastic Earth.

126 2.3. Reference Simulation

127 The reference model simulation is forced with atmospheric fields of the
 128 NCAR/NCEP reanalysis (Kalnay et al., 1996). The parameters used are 10
 129 m wind, 2 m temperature, specific humidity, total cloud cover and sea level
 130 pressure. The fresh water budget includes precipitation and evaporation,
 131 which is computed from latent heat flux, also provided by the NCAR/NCEP
 132 reanalysis. River runoff is provided by the Land Surface Discharge Model
 133 (LSDM, Dill (2008)). The LSDM model uses a seasonally driven discharge

134 model for glaciered regions, which ensures that snow accumulation and melt-
135 ing are considered but it does not include estimates of long term ice mass loss
136 or transport of ice. The mass balance of the source terms is not in equilib-
137 rium. To avoid unrealistic trends, a two year high pass filter eliminates mass
138 trends in the ocean over longer time scales, following the method of Böning et
139 al. (2008). The simulation is initialized with temperature and salinity values
140 from the World Ocean Atlas (WOA01) and runs from 1958 to 2009 with a
141 time step of 2 hours.

142 *2.4. Melting scenarios*

143 Sea level change is calculated by computing the differences between the
144 following model experiments that include the additional runoff due to ice
145 sheet melting and the reference model simulation. All experiments convert
146 the mass flux to an additional fresh water flux at the Greenland coast result-
147 ing in an unbalanced long term trend.

148 *2.4.1. Constant melt rates*

149 Four simulations have been performed using different mass loss rates along
150 the Greenland coast of 100, 200, 500, and 1000 Gt/yr. The mass losses of 100
151 Gt/yr and 200 Gt/yr span the range of observational studies (e.g. Rignot et
152 al. (2008), Wouters et al. (2008), Velicogna (2009)). The two extreme cases
153 are intended to represent scenarios where the mass loss from the GIS has
154 drastically increased. The continuous fresh water flux which is added to the
155 model is evenly distributed along the Greenland coast south of 75°N (Figure
156 1a). The simulations run for 48 years, starting in 1960.

157 *2.4.2. Varying melt rates*

158 In an additional experiment, the influence of time-varying melt rates on
159 the sea level is investigated. Here, a time series of regional melt rates has
160 been created to investigate the influence of variations in the water inflow.
161 The distribution of the ice mass loss is approximated by using maps of daily
162 melt extent data, defined on a $25 \text{ km} \times 25 \text{ km}$ grid (Abdalati and Steffen,
163 2001; Abdalati, 2009). The melt extent data provides information about the
164 region and the days, when melting occurs. The total ice melt over five years
165 (805 Gt, Wu et al. (2010)) is then distributed over the melt extent of this
166 period. This results in melt rates of for example 133 Gt/yr in 2003 and 207
167 Gt/yr in 2007. Figure 1b shows the total melt of the year 2007 in equivalent
168 water height, with the corresponding water inflow in Figure 1c. Here, the
169 daily mass losses at the different locations are transformed into a fresh water
170 flux and are applied to the nearest coastal nodes. Weekly sea level variations
171 are analyzed from 2003 to 2007 after the daily fresh water inflow fields are
172 included into the model. These results are compared with those found from
173 a melt scenario, where, similar to the first set of experiments, a continuous
174 fresh water inflow of 161 Gt/yr is evenly distributed along the Greenland
175 coast south of 75°N latitude.

176 **3. Results**

177 *3.1. Global mean sea level change*

178 The global mean sea level rises when the GIS melts (Figure 2a). Its
179 amount is given by the amount of ice mass change and the geometry of the
180 model ocean as well as by steric effects. The global mean sea level rises by

181 about 0.3 mm/yr when 100 Gt/yr of land ice mass flow as additional fresh
182 water into the ocean, in general agreement with e.g. Hanna et al. (2005),
183 Luthcke et al. (2006), Broeke et al. (2009). In addition, steric effects due
184 to the additional fresh water change the global mean sea level by about one
185 order of magnitude less than the mass-driven contribution (Figure 2b).

186 Compared to a continuous melt rate, a clear seasonal variability in global
187 mean sea level is predicted in the case of daily varying fresh water inflow
188 (Figure 2c). Here, a strong increase in global mean sea level occurs during
189 the summer months, whereas in winter sea level stays nearly constant, when
190 there is no melting. In fact, during winter and spring, a slight steric decrease
191 in sea level can be observed, for example in the beginning of 2006, due to
192 dynamic effects, which change the heat flux exchange between atmosphere
193 and ocean and hence the sea surface temperature (Figure 2d).

194 *3.2. Regional sea level change*

195 *3.2.1. Constant melt rates*

196 The sea level change is not uniform. Figure 3 depicts the deviation of
197 global mean sea level change after 5, 15, 35, and 48 years of model integration
198 for the case of 200 Gt/yr of melt water being released into the ocean along
199 the Greenland coast. During the first years the sea level rise near the coast
200 of Greenland, mainly in the Baffin Bay and the Labrador Sea, is much higher
201 than the global mean sea level change. After about five years, this sea level
202 anomaly enters the North Atlantic near the east coast of Canada via the
203 Labrador Current. Then it slowly follows the North Atlantic Drift, and
204 reaches Europe after about one decade. From there, the anomaly follows
205 the subtropical gyre to the equatorial region of the Atlantic Ocean while

206 another branch enters the Arctic Ocean along the eastern coast. After 48
207 years, the sea level change anomaly has reached the whole North Atlantic,
208 but the centre of the subtropical gyre is not affected, as also suggested by
209 Gerdes et al. (2006). Different melting scenarios around Greenland lead
210 to a similar spatial and temporal evolution of regional sea level anomalies
211 (Figure 3d-f). Adding fresh water to the model changes the ocean circulation
212 slightly resulting in small variations in atmosphere-ocean fluxes. The changes
213 are small as compared to the direct meltwater response. Here, the pattern
214 of regional sea level change appears to be smoother for higher meltwater
215 source strength because the changes are higher above the noise level than
216 the patterns originating from lower melt rates.

217 The pattern of the spatial variability in sea level change mostly results
218 from salinity changes due to the fresh water input (Figure 4). The structure
219 of the variations in salinity and temperature in the North Atlantic Ocean
220 at 100 m depth is very similar to the modeled sea level change, as shown
221 in Figure 3. The negative surface salinity anomaly is a direct consequence
222 of the additional fresh water, which remains in the upper 200 m above the
223 saltier ocean water, and follows the ocean currents. The sea surface temper-
224 ature change does not show a specific structure, as it is dominated by the
225 unchanged atmospheric forcing.

226 In the Baffin Bay, the sea surface salinity is reduced by about 0.2 psu due
227 to the additional fresh water. The correspondingly reduced surface density
228 stabilizes the near-surface water column. This reduces vertical mixing in
229 the upper water layers and the heat exchange between the colder water at
230 the top and the warmer sub-surface water leads to a reduced erosion of the

231 temperature maximum at around 450 m depth. A slight warming between
232 100 and 1000 m thus occurs. Also, salinity exchange is decreased in the top
233 500 m, leading to an increased salinity at around 200 m depth.

234 No melt water is transported to the South Atlantic west of Namibia by
235 surface circulation. Hence, there is no significant change of surface water
236 properties. However, the reduced upwelling of cold, fresh water leads to a
237 warming and increased salinity of subsurface water at around the 200 m level.
238 In the North Atlantic, more fresh water is found at the surface, reducing sea
239 surface salinity by about 0.1 psu. The reduced surface density here again
240 increases the stabilization of the near-surface water column, reducing the
241 vertical mixing in the top water layers with less heat exchanged between
242 the warmer surface waters and the colder sub-surface water. The ocean thus
243 warms by 0.1 °C at 100 m depth, and cools by 0.01-0.05 °C at depths between
244 200 and 1200 m.

245 After 48 years, the global mean sea level rise is 28.6 mm with a local
246 maximum of 49.8 mm along the coast of Nova Scotia (Canada) due to steric
247 effects. The steric effects also lead to more sea level rise along at the European
248 and North American coasts (Figure 5). However, sea level around Greenland
249 falls by 0.14 m due to the reduced gravitational attraction, leading to a large
250 net decrease in sea level. Note, that the gravitational effect will also cause an
251 additional increase in sea level at distances greater than 70 degree. Hence,
252 sea level in the Southern Ocean will rise slightly faster than the eustatic
253 value.

254 *3.2.2. Time varying melt rates*

255 Ice sheet melting, however, is generally not continuous over time but
256 varies with the seasons. For Greenland, melting occurs mainly in the sum-
257 mer months between July and September. Introducing melt rates with a
258 seasonal cycle into the model allows the variability of melt water inflow to be
259 considered (Abdalati and Steffen, 2001; Abdalati, 2009). The structure of sea
260 level change after five years (Figure 6b) is similar to that of using continuous
261 melt rates of 161 Gt/yr (Figure 6a). The global mean sea level rises by 0.46
262 mm/yr. The regional sea level increases mainly west of Greenland, but in
263 this case, sea level rise is stronger in the Baffin Bay. In the Labrador Sea it
264 is similar to the case of continuous melting. Due to the gravitational effect,
265 ocean water is attracted less and sea level is falling near the Greenland coast
266 by about 6 mm and in large regions of the Arctic Ocean by about 0.8 mm
267 after five years. The sea level slightly rises up to 0.5 mm farther away with a
268 maximum in the Southern Ocean. Note that the regional pattern (Figure 6c)
269 does not account for the change in Earth rotation as discussed by Mitrovica
270 et al. (2001). Total sea level change including the gravitational effect is de-
271 picted in Figure 6d. There is only a slight sea level rise along the east coast of
272 Greenland and in the Labrador Sea. An increased sea level in the Baffin Bay
273 remains. In addition, sea level stays almost constant in the Norwegian and
274 Barents Seas. This is seen as a result of the reduced gravitational attraction
275 of the ice sheet balancing the added water volume.

276 4. Conclusions

277 Global mean sea level rises by about 0.3 mm/yr when the GIS melt at a
278 rate of 100 Gt/yr. Steric effects lead to small additional variations in global
279 mean sea level. These are about one order of magnitude smaller than the
280 direct effect due to the addition of water. Regionally, steric effects lead to
281 high deviations from the global mean sea level change.

282 The impact of fresh water inflow along the Greenland coast on the oceans
283 is not restricted regionally but distributed over the global ocean. The regional
284 initial sea level change anomalies follow the surface currents and mainly result
285 from changes in temperature and salinity in the upper 200 m. After 48
286 years the change in steric sea level is distributed through the North Atlantic
287 reaching equatorial regions. In addition, some fresh water enters the Arctic
288 Ocean. Note, that due to the coarse resolution, some weaknesses in the
289 estimated currents in the Norwegian Sea lead to slightly lower fresh water
290 flux into the Arctic Ocean than expected. This will be solved in the future
291 by modeling variations in sea level using a grid with higher spatial resolution.

292 The decrease in ice mass in Greenland also reduces its gravitational at-
293 traction, which leads to lower sea level near the Greenland coast, as well as
294 more sea level rise farther away. Variations in ice sheet melting in Greenland,
295 when compared to continuous melting, influence the sea level change in the
296 North Atlantic, mainly near the source of melting. After five years, the sea
297 level change is more restricted to Baffin Bay with a smaller influence in the
298 Labrador Sea compared to the case of continuous melting.

299 In future studies, the sea level equation (Farrell and Clark, 1976) will be
300 solved including effects due to GIA, modified Earth rotation and loading.

301 In addition, a new model setup having a higher spatial resolution will be
302 used to investigate small scale changes in ocean circulation. Then, new data
303 of Greenland mass loss will be included into the model and results will be
304 compared with different measurements, e.g., derived from tide gauges.

305 **Acknowledgments**

306 The authors acknowledge support provided by the German Research
307 Foundation (DFG) under grants KU 1207/9-1 and SCHR779/6-1 within the
308 Special Priority Program SPP 1257 Mass Transport and Mass Distribution
309 in the System Earth. We would like to thank the NOAA Climate Diagnos-
310 tics Center, Boulder, for providing the NCEP/NCAR reanalyzes online at
311 <http://www.cdc.noaa.gov>. In addition, we would like to thank Robert Dill
312 for providing river runoff from the LSDM model. We acknowledge Waleed
313 Abdalati, who provided the ice sheet melt characteristics of the Greenland
314 Ice Sheet acquired as part of NASA's Program for Arctic Regional Climate
315 Assessment (PARCA) program, available online via the National Snow and
316 Ice Data Center (NSIDC) at <http://nsidc.org/data/nsidc-0218.html>. The
317 authors wish to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments and
318 suggestions.

319 **References**

320 Abdalati, W. and K. Steffen (2001), Greenland Ice Sheet Melt Extent: 1979-
321 1999, *Journal of Geophysical Research (Atmospheres)*, 106 (D24), doi:
322 10.1029/2001JD900181.

- 323 Abdalati, W. (2009), Greenland Ice Sheet Melt Characteristics Derived from
324 Passive Microwave Data: 1979-2007, *Boulder, Colorado USA: National*
325 *Snow and Ice Data Center*, digital media.
- 326 Bindoff, N.L., J. Willebrand, V. Artale, A. Cazenave, J. Gregory, S. Gulev,
327 K. Hanawa, C. Le Qur, S. Levitus, Y. Nojiri, C.K. Shum, L.D. Talley
328 and A. Unnikrishnan (2007), Observations: Oceanic Climate Change and
329 Sea Level, in: Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis,
330 K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H.L. Miller (eds.), *Climate Change 2007, The*
331 *Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth*
332 *Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change .*
333 *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York,*
334 *NY, USA.*
- 335 Böning, C., R. Timmermann, A. Macrander, and J. Schröter (2008), A
336 pattern-filtering method for the determination of ocean bottom pres-
337 sure anomalies from GRACE solutions, *Geophysical Research Letters*, *35*,
338 doi:10.1029/2008GL034974.
- 339 Böning, C. (2009), Validation of ocean mass variability derived from the
340 Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment - Studies utilizing in-situ ob-
341 servations and results from a Finite Element Sea-ice Ocean Model, Disser-
342 tation, *University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany.*
- 343 Broeke, M. van den, J. Bamber, J. Ettema, E. Rignot, Ernst Schramma,
344 W. J. van de Berg, E. van Meijgaard, I. Velicogna and B. Wouters
345 (2009), Partitioning Recent Greenland Mass Loss, *Science*, *326 (984)*, doi:
346 10.1126/science.1178176.

- 347 Church, J.A., J.M. Gregory, P. Huybrechts, M. Kuhn, K. Lambeck, M.T.
348 Nhuan, D. Qin, and P.L. Woodworth (2001), Changes in Sea Level, in: J.T
349 Houghton, Y. Ding, D.J. Griggs, M. Noguer, P.J. Van der Linden, X. Dai,
350 K. Maskell, and C.A. Johnson (eds.), *Climate Change 2001 The Scientific*
351 *Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report*
352 *of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University*
353 *Press (Cambridge, New York), 639-694.*
- 354 Clark, J. A. and C. S. Lingle (1977), Future sea-level changes
355 due to West Antarctic ice sheet fluctuations, *Nature* 269, 206-209,
356 doi:10.1038/269206a0
- 357 Dill, R. (2008), Hydrological model LSDM for operational earth rota-
358 tion and gravity field variations, *Scientific Technical Report; 08/09,*
359 *Helmholtz-Zentrum Potsdam Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum, 37, doi:*
360 *10.2312/GFZ.b103-08095.*
- 361 Farrell, W. E. (1972), Deformation of the Earth by Surface Loads, *Reviews*
362 *of Geophysics and Space Physics, 10 (3), 761-797.*
- 363 Farrell, W. E. and J. A. Clark (1976), On postglacial sea level, *Geophysical*
364 *Journal. Royal Astronomical Society, 46, 647-667, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-*
365 *246X.1976.tb01252.x*
- 366 Francis, O. and P. Mazzega (1990), Global Charts of ocean tide loading
367 effects, *Journal of Geophysical Research, 95 (C7), 11,411-11,424.*
- 368 Gerdes, R., W. Hurlin and Stephen M. Griffies (2006), Sensitivity of a global

369 ocean model to increased run-off from Greenland, *Ocean Modelling*, 12,
370 doi: 10.1016/j.ocemod.2005.08.003.

371 Greatbatch, R. J. (1994), A note on the representation of steric sea level in
372 models 812 that conserve volume rather than mass, *Journal of Geophysical*
373 *Research*, 99, 12,767-12,771, doi:10.1029/94JC00847.

374 Gunter, B., T. Urban, R. Riva, M. Helsen, R. Harpold, S. Poole, P. Nagel,
375 B. Schutz and B. Tapley (2009), A comparison of coincident GRACE and
376 ICESat data over Antarctica, *Journal of Geodesy*, 34 (11), 1051-1060, doi:
377 10.1007/s00190-009-0323-4.

378 Hanna, E., P. Huybrechts, I. Janssens, J. Cappelen, K. Steffen and
379 A. Stephens (2005), Runoff and mass balance of the Greenland ice
380 sheet: 1958-2003, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 110, D13108, doi:
381 10.1029/2004JD005641.

382 Kalnay E., M. Kanamitsu, R. Kistler, W. Collins, D. Deaven, L. Gandin, M.
383 Iredell, S. Saha, G. White, J. Woollen, Y. Zhu, M. Chelliah, W. Ebisuzaki,
384 W. Higgins, J. Janowiak, K.C. Mo, C. Ropelewski, J. Wang, A. Leetmaa,
385 R. Reynolds, Roy Jenne, and D. Joseph (1996), The NCEP/NCAR 40-
386 year reanalyses project, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*,
387 77, 437-471.

388 Luthcke, S. B., H. J. Zwally, W. Abdalati, D. D. Rowlands, R. D. Ray, R.
389 S. Nerem, F. Lemoine, J. J. McCarthy, and D. S. Chinn (2006), Recent
390 Greenland Ice Mass Loss by Drainage System from Satellite Gravity Ob-
391 servations, *Science*, 314 (5803), 1286-1289, doi: 10.1126/science.1130776.

- 392 Milne, G. A., W. R. Gehrels, Ch. W. Hughes and M. E. Tamisiea
393 (2009), Identifying the causes of sea-level change, *Nature Geoscience*,
394 doi:10.1038/ngeo544
- 395 Mitrovica, J. X., M. E. Tamisiea, J. L. Davis, and G. A. Milne (2001), Recent
396 mass balance of polar ice sheets inferred from patterns of global sea-level
397 change, *Nature*, *409*, 1026-1029, doi: 10.1038/35059054
- 398 Mitrovica, J. X., N. Gomez, and P. U. Clark (2009), The Sea-Level Fin-
399 gerprint of West Antarctic Collapse, *Science*, *323*, 5915, p. 753,, doi:
400 10.1126/science.1166510
- 401 Marsh, R., D. Desbruyeres, J. L. Bamer, B. A. Cuevas, A. C. Coward, and
402 Y. Aksenov (2009), Short-term impacts of enhanced Greenland freshwater
403 fluxes in an eddy-permitting ocean model, *Ocean Science Discussions*, *6*,
404 2911-2937, www.ocean-sci-discuss.net/6/2911/2009/.
- 405 Peltier, W. R. (2004), Global Glacial Isostasy and the Surface of
406 the Ice-Age Earth: The ICE-G5 (VM2) Model and GRACE,
407 *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, *32*, 111-149,
408 doi:10.1146/annurev.earth.32.082503.144359
- 409 Rignot, E, J. L. Bamber, M. R. van den Broeke, C. Davis, Y. Li, W. J. van
410 de Berg, and E. Van Meijgaard (2008), Recent Antarctic ice mass loss from
411 radar interferometry and regional climate modelling, *Nature geoscience*, *1*,
412 doi: 10.1038/ngeo102.
- 413 Riva, R. E. M., J. L. Bamber, D. A. Lavallée and Bernt Wouters (2010), Sea-

- 414 level fingerprint of continental water and ice mass change from GRACE,
415 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37, L19605, doi:10.1029/2010GL44770
- 416 Schrama, E. J. O. (2008), Three algorithms for the computation of tidal
417 loading and their numerical accuracy, *Journal of Geodesy*, 78 (11-12),
418 707-714, doi: 10.1007/s00190-005-0436-3.
- 419 Stammer, D. (2008), Response of the global ocean to Greenland and
420 Antarctic ice melting, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 113, C06022, doi:
421 10.1029/2006JC004079.
- 422 Tapley, B. D., S. Bettadpur, M. Watkins, and C. Reigber (2004), The grav-
423 ity recovery and climate experiment: Mission overview and early results,
424 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31, L09607, doi:10.1029/2004GL019920.
- 425 Timmermann, R., S. Danilov, J. Schröter, C. Böning, D. Sidorenko, and
426 K. Rollenhagen (2009), Ocean circulation and sea ice distribution in a
427 finite element global sea ice – ocean model, *Ocean Modelling*, 27, 114-129,
428 doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2008.10.009.
- 429 Velicogna, I. (2009), Increasing rates of ice mass loss from the Greenland and
430 Antarctic ice sheets revealed by GRACE, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36,
431 L19503, doi: 10.1029/2009GL040222.
- 432 Wouters, B., D. Chambers and E. J. O. Schrama (2008), GRACE ob-
433 serves small-scale mass loss in Greenland, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35,
434 L20501, doi: 10.1029/2008GL034816.
- 435 Wu, X., M. B. Heflin, H. Schotman, B. L. A. Vermeersen, D. Dong, R. S.
436 Gross, E. R. Ivins, A. W. Moore and S. E. Owen (2010), Simultaneous

437 estimation of global present-day water transport and glacial isostatic ad-
438 justment, *Nature Geoscience*, 3, 642-646, doi: 10.1038/NGEO938.




Figure 1: (a) Continuous fresh water inflow (m/yr), due to the melting of the Greenland Ice Sheet (200 Gt/yr), (b) the total loss (in water equivalent) from the Greenland Ice Sheet in 2007 and (c) the corresponding water inflow in equivalent water height (in total 207 Gt) (Abdalati, 2009)




Figure 2: Response of global mean sea level to the melting of the Greenland Ice Sheet (mm), (a) for various continuous melt scenarios over 48 years including the steric contribution, which is shown in (b), as well as (c) the melting of 161 Gt/yr from 2003 to 2007 for continuous melt (blue line) and melt distributed over melting extent (red line) (d) including the steric contribution shown in (d).




Figure 3: (a-d) Regional sea level change as deviation from its global mean (mm) with respect to the reference model simulation if 200 Gt/yr of the Greenland Ice Sheet melts, after (a) 5 years, (b) 15 years, (c) 35 years, and (d) 48 years. Sea level change for higher melt rates of 500 and 1000 Gt/yr are shown in panels (e) and (f), respectively. Note the change in color scale which is scaled according to the source strength.




Figure 4: Difference in salinity (psu) and temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) after 48 years for the scenario of 200 Gt/yr of Greenland ice being released into the ocean with respect to the reference simulation without additional melt water input; (a) difference in sea surface salinity, and salinity difference at (b) 100 m (c) 200 m and (d) 500 m depth, as well as (e) difference in sea surface temperature, and temperature difference at (f) 100 m, (g) 200 m and (h) 500 m depth




Figure 5: Sea level change (mm) with respect to the reference model simulation resulting from the Greenland Ice Sheet melting at a rate of 200 Gt/yr after 48 years; (a) sea level change with respect to an undisturbed geoid including regional and global mean sea level change and (b) sea level change with respect to the adjusted geoid as seen from altimetry after adding the gravitational effect due to Greenland ice mass loss




Figure 6: Sea level change (mm) with respect to the reference model simulation resulting from Greenland Ice Sheet melting of 161 Gt/yr after 5 years (2003-2008), (a) with continuous melting equally distributed at coastal nodes south of 75°N, (b) distributed to the melt extent (Abdalati and Steffen, 2001; Abdalati, 2009), (c) sea level change due to the gravitational effect of Greenland ice sheet melting of 805 Gt, corresponding to 2.35 mm mean sea level equivalent, and (d) the total sea level change including regional and global mean sea level change and the gravitational effect related to Greenland Ice Sheet melting