

## Contribution of envelope periodicity to release from speech-on-speech masking - DTU Orbit (08/08/2016)

### Contribution of envelope periodicity to release from speech-on-speech masking

Masking release (MR) is the improvement in speech intelligibility for a fluctuating interferer compared to stationary noise. Reduction in MR due to vocoder processing is usually linked to distortions in the temporal fine structure of the stimuli and a corresponding reduction in the fundamental frequency (F0) cues. However, it is unclear if envelope periodicity related to F0, produced by the interaction between unresolved harmonics, contributes to MR. In the present study, MR was determined from speech reception thresholds measured in the presence of stationary speech-shaped noise and a competing talker. Two types of processing were applied to the stimuli: (1) An amplitude- and frequency-modulated vocoder attenuated the envelope periodicity and (2) high-pass (HP) filtering (cutoff 4500 Hz) reduced the influence of F0-related information from low-order resolved harmonics. When applied individually, MR was unaffected by HP filtering, but slightly reduced when envelope periodicity was attenuated. When both were applied, MR was strongly reduced. Thus, the results indicate that F0-related information is crucial for MR, but that it is less important whether the F0-related information is conveyed by low-order resolved harmonics or by envelope periodicity as a result of unresolved harmonics. Further, envelope periodicity contributes substantially to MR.

### General information

State: Published

Organisations: Department of Electrical Engineering, Hearing Systems, Technical University of Denmark

Authors: Christiansen, C. (Ekstern), MacDonald, E. (Intern), Dau, T. (Intern)

Pages: 2197-2204

Publication date: 2013

Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

### Publication information

Journal: Journal of the Acoustical Society of America

Volume: 134

Issue number: 3

ISSN (Print): 0001-4966

Ratings:

BFI (2015): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2015): 0.938 1.272

BFI (2014): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2014): 0.91 1.318

BFI (2013): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2013): 0.758 1.979

ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes

BFI (2012): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2012): 0.845 1.607

ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes

BFI (2011): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2011): 0.72 1.65

ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes

BFI (2010): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2010): 0.796 1.523

BFI (2009): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2009): 0.788 1.753

BFI (2008): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2008): 0.868 1.664

Scopus rating (2007): 0.882 1.652

Scopus rating (2006): 0.76 1.584

Scopus rating (2005): 0.978 1.821

Scopus rating (2004): 0.782 1.803

Scopus rating (2003): 0.903 1.678

Scopus rating (2002): 0.884 1.487

Scopus rating (2001): 0.727 1.5

Scopus rating (2000): 0.629 1.412

Scopus rating (1999): 0.618 1.351

Original language: English

DOIs:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1121/1.4816409>

Source: dtu

Source-ID: u::8487

Publication: Research - peer-review › Journal article – Annual report year: 2013