

Durham E-Theses

Artisans and guild life in the later Safavid period

Keyvani, Mehdi

How to cite:

Keyvani, Mehdi (1980) Artisans and guild life in the later Safavid period, Durham theses, Durham University. Available at Durham E-Theses Online: http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/7492/

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a link is made to the metadata record in Durham E-Theses
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the full Durham E-Theses policy for further details.



Stylized bird and tree from a Safavid brocade.

Artisans and Guild Life
in the later Safavid period

by

Mehdi Keyvani



Ph.D. Thesis submitted to the School of Oriental Studies, Durham University.

April, 1980.



14. MAY 1984

ABSTRACT

In the social and economic history of Iran, as of other Moslem countries, bazaars and guilds of craftsmen and tradesmen working in them have always played an important part.

After a discussion of the historical background, this thesis examines the functions of the guilds in the later Safavid period (c. 1597-1722 A.D.), when Iran was a large and generally stable empire administered on bureaucratic rather than feudal lines. Guild practices and traditions from the period endured into the 19th and 20th centuries.

Evidence is adduced to show that the guilds had a dual role as spontaneous associations for defence of their members' interests and as agencies used by the Safavid government for collection of taxes, control of prices, and procurement of goods and labour. Among the subjects which are examined are the functions of responsible officials and headmen, the taxes and the tax collection methods, the apprenticeship system, price supervision, judicial and penal matters, and guild restrictions.

Attention is also given to the Safavid government's intervention in economic life through royal industrial establishments and royal monopolies.

Although merchants were not organized in guilds, they influenced the life of the bazaars, and so too did the East India Companies which established "factories" in Iran during the period. Attention is therefore given to the activities of Muslim Iranian, Armenian, Jewish, and Hindu merchants and financiers, and of the English and Dutch East India Companies.

The stagnation of the Iranian economy in the later part of the period, the lack of technical innovation, and the non-appearance of large-scale forms of industrial and economical organization are considered in the light of the available evidence. The conclusion which is reached is that the Safavid regime's restrictive and monopolistic policy was one of the main causes of this decline.

The guilds played an important part in religious and social as well as commercial and industrial life. Religious and ethical traditions and social and ceremonial functions of the guilds are therefore discussed in this thesis.

Material for the thesis has been gathered from a comprehensive survey of Iranian and foreign sources; but for many topics, adequate evidence to support firm conclusions has not been obtainable. The thesis is therefore offered as a tentative contribution to understanding of a hitherto unstudied phase of Iranian history.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Preface	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Transliteration	vii
Indication of Sources	viii
Abreviations	ix
Chronology	x .
Dynastic Tables	хi
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	: :-
CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION	÷
1.1. Survey and assessment of the sources of data on the guilds in Safavid Iran	3
1.2. The historical background of the Iranian guild	a 22
1.2. The historical background of the Haniah guild.	<i>,</i>
CHAPTER 2: DESCRIPTION AND NUMBER OF THE GUILDS	• .
2.1. Description and classification of the guilds	41
2.2. Number of the guilds	53
CHAPTER 3: STRUCTURE OF THE GUILDS	
3.1. The governmental administration of the guilds	
a) The guilds as administrative intermediarie	s 7 1
b) <u>Kalantar</u>	75
c) Naqib	77
d) Muhtasib	80
e) Darugha	82
f) <u>Malik ut-Tujjar</u>	83
3.2. The internal organisation of the guilds	
	94
a) <u>Bashi</u>	
b) <u>Kadkhuda</u>	101
c) Apprenticeship and mastership	1 04
CHAPTER 4: METHODS OF GOVERNMENT CONTROL	
4.1. The guilds and taxation	120
4.2. Price control	137
4.3. Restrictions and monopolies	147
4.4. Judicial and penal institutions of the guilds	1 59

	Page
CHAPTER 5: SOCIAL MOBILIZATION	. •
5.1. Social functions of the guilds	167
5.2. Political activities of the guilds	178
CHAPTER 6: SPECIAL GUILDS	
6.1. The royal guilds (aṣṇaf-i shāhi)	195
6.2. The non-Muslim artisans	209
CHAPTER 7: CULTURAL AND RELIGIOUS FUNCTIONS	•
7.1. Public ceremonies	224
7.2. Cultural and religious aspects	235
7.3. The guilds and the darvish orders	244
CHAPTER 8: RELATIONS BETWEEN THE MERCHANTS AND THE GUILDS: IMPEDIMENTS TO ECONOMIC GROWTH IN ŞAFAVID IRAN	256
CONCLUSION	299
APPENDIX 1: Celebration of 'Id-i Qurban	310
APPENDIX 2: Selected shahr-ashub verses from the <u>Divan-i Rizvan</u> of Mirza Tahir Vaḥīd	315
BTBLTOGRAPHY	342

PREFACE

The importance of the Safavid period in Iran's historical evolution is acknowledged by modern scholars. Although the stability and the unity, more or less within the traditional frontiers, which the Iranian people enjoyed under the Safavid regime came to an abrupt end with its fall, nevertheless many cultural and social institutions of the period endured into the 19th and 20th centuries, and some have survived through the modernizing processes of the Pahlavi period right up to the present day. The beliefs and ideals, and also the customs and folklore, of Twelver Shī'ism have remained an integral part of the national heritage ever since Shī'ism was officially established by the Safavid Shāhs and popularly accepted by most Iranians in the course of the 16th and 17th centuries.

Study of Safavid Iran has been focussed on international relations and wars and on religion, art, and literature.

Economic and social matters have hitherto received less attention. The research which has been done into such matters has generally been based on European records and travel diaries and primarily concerned with the history of European economic expansion overseas. Neither the foreign nor the indigenous sources throw adequate light on the internal economic and social history of Iran in the period. The scarcity of data may well be the main reason for the relative neglect of this field. The importance of the field nevertheless becomes apparent when relevant considerations are taken into account. As already mentioned, social institutions of the Safavid period endured for another two centuries

or more. During the period, the Iranians first entered into regular relations with the Europeans and acquired some awareness of the European economic and military strength which was to overshadow them in the 19th and early 20th centuries. In spite of the advantages of political unity and relatively long lasting stability, the Iranians under Safavid rule were unable to develop new techniques of production and forms of organization at a time when the Europeans were laying the foundations of their future industrial revolution.

Research into aspects of this important field can be pursued through investigation of all possible sources and assessment of all retrievable data. The present thesis is the outcome of an effort to find and interpret all available information on one of the chief social institutions of Iran in the Safavid period, namely the guilds of the craftsmen and tradesmen of the bazaars. Since these people were engaged not only in the production and sale of goods but also in religious and cultural activities, the thesis goes beyond the socio-economic field to touch on such matters. As far as the author knows this is the first detailed study of the subject which has been undertaken and put into writing. aware of the incompleteness of the data and consequent questionability of the conclusions, the author hopes that the thesis will contribute something of value to future multidimensional study of the social and economic history of Şafavid Iran.

This work could not have been undertaken without the encouragement of the University of Isfahan and the Iranian Ministry of Science and Higher Education, for whose grant of leave of absence and financial support for four years the author will always be grateful. The author is also grateful to his supervisor, Mr. F. R. C. Bagley, for encouragement to enter the University of Durham and for valuable help in regard to research problems and the arrangement and English composition of the material. Others to whom thanks are due are Dr. J. D. Gurney of the Persian Department of Oxford University, for valuable suggestions and comments; Dr. W. M. Floor of The Hague, a scholar of Iranian history and author of articles on the Iranian guilds, who kindly made useful comments and provided some copies of documents; to Mrs. Barbara Nestor of Mansfield, England, who served as a Librarian in Tehran and compiled & bibliography of European sources on Safavid history which she kindly made available; to Professor J. D. Harris, Director of the School of Oriental Studies of the University of Durham, for many kinds of practical help; to Mrs. J.Butterworth, Librarian in charge of the Persian, Arabic and Turkish collections of the Oriental Section of Durham University Library, for valuable help in bibliographical and library matters and in the English composition; to Mrs. K. Glavanis and Mr. M. Ferguson of the same Library; and to members of the staffs of the British Library and India Office Library in London, the Bodleian Library at Oxford, the John Rylands Library at Manchester, the Bibliothèque Nationale in Paris, and the Contral Library and Documentation Centre of the University of Tehran. For the careful typing of

V

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

the thesis, gratitude is due to Mrs. C. Bates. Last but not least, the author is deeply indebted to his wife Nāhīd for very useful help as well as constant encouragement and patience.

Mehdi Keyvani

TRANSLITERATION

Consonants

4	١	c p	s
	b	ۻٛ	Ş Ż
<u></u>	p	b	t •
<u> </u>	t	ظ	ż
ٺ	<u>s</u>	ع	•
) : ; ; こ : ; 、 ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	j	ن ع ع ظ ط مُ	gh
3	ch		f
7	h •	ق ٠	' q
خ	kh	\int_{y}^{y}	. k
>	d	Ĵ	g
;	<u>z</u>	J	1
, j	r	^	m
	z	ن ن	n
<u>ز</u>	zh	9	v or w
س ش	s	` &	h
ش	sh	S	y .

Diphthongs

ار	' 9	aw
1	,	
હીં	3	ay

Short vowels

ĺ	/	a
í 1	9 .	u
1	1.	i

INDICATION OF SOURCES

Written primary and secondary sources which were used in the work are generally cited in footnotes and listed under the author's name in alphabetical order in the Bibliography; but mosque inscriptions and certain manuscripts are only cited in footnotes and are not included in the Bibliography.

In addition to written sources, oral information was used. Since Isfahan was the administrative and commercial centre of Safavid Iran, most of the thesis concerns the bazaar and guilds of Isfahan; and since members of the present bazaar of Isfahan conserve traditions which they trace back to Safavid times, the author has made cautious use of statements given by them in reply to inquiries.

ABBREVIATIONS

D.M. M. Danishpazhuh, ed., Dastur ul-Muluk-i Mīrzā

Rafī'ā va Tazkirat ul-Mulūk-i Mīrzā Samī'ā.

ECON.H.R. Economic History Review.

E.I.(1) Encyclopaedia of Islam, 1st ed., Leiden, 1913-38.

E.I.(2) Encyclopaedia of Islam, 2nd ed., Leiden, 1954-

English H.R. English History Review.

Int.J.M.E.Stud. International Journal of Middle East Studies.

J.E.S.H.O. Journal of the Economic and Social History of the Orient.

L.R. W. Foster, Letters received by the East India Company from its servants in the East.

M.D.A.T. Majalla-yi Danishkada-yi Adabiyat va 'Ulum-i Insani-yi Danishgah-i Tehran.

Qamari (lunar), specifying the lunar hijri calendar.

Sh. Shamsi (solar) specifying the solar hijri calendar.

T.M. V. Minorsky, ed., <u>Tazkirat ul-Muluk</u>.

Z.D.M.G. Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenländischen Gesellschaft.

CHRONOLOGY

In the text of the thesis, years according to both the lunar hijri (Islamic) and the solar Gregorian (Christian) calendars are given without specification, the <a href="https://hijri date being put first and separated by a stroke from the Gregorian date. In the Bibliography, years according to the solar <a href="https://hijri calendar in use in Iran since 1925 are specified with the abbreviation <a href="https://sh.and.com

Dates of reigns of Iranian rulers from the Timurids to the Pahlavis are normally omitted in the text, but are given in the dynastic tables which appear below.

DYNASTIC TABLES

(Based on C. E. Bosworth, The Islamic Dynasties, Edinburgh 1967).

Timurids (772/1370-912/1506)

771/1370 Timur

807/1405 Khalil

807/1405 Shah Rukh

850/1447-853/1449 Ulugh Beg

Timurid rulers in Samarqand

 853/1449
 'Abdul-Latif

 854/1450
 'Abdullāh Mīrzā

 855/1451
 Abū Sa'īd

 873/1469
 Aḥmad

 899/1494-906/1500
 Maḥmud b. Abū Sa'īd

Tīmurid rulers in Khurasan

853/1449

861/1457

Mahmud b. Bābur

863/1459

Abū Sa'īd

873/1469

Yadgār Muḥammad

875/1470

Husayn Bayqarā

912/1506-913/1507

Badī' uz-Zamān

Qara Qüyünlü (782/1380-873/1468) in Azarbayjan and Iraq

782/1380	Qara Muhammad Turmush
791/1389	Qara Yūsuf
802/1400	Timurid invasion
809/1406	Qara Yūsuf (reinstated)
823/1420	Iskandar
841/1438	Jahan Shah

Aq Quyunlu (780/1378-914/1508) in Diyarbakr, Azarbayjan, and western Iran

780/1378	Qara Yuluk 'Uthman
839/1435	Hamza, in dispute with his brother 'Ali till 842/1438
848/1444	Jahangir
857/1453	Uzun Ḥasan
882/1478	Khalī1
883/1478	Ya'qub
896/1490	Baysunqur
898/1493	Rustam
902/1497	Ahmad
903/1497	Murād (in Qum)
903/1498	Alwand
903/1498	Nuhammad Nīrzā
907/1502-914/1508	Murad (latterly sole ruler)

Safavids (907/1501-1145/1732)

907/1501	Ismā'īl I	
930/1524	Tahmasb I	
984/1576	Ismā'īl II	
985/1578	Muḥammad Khudabanda	
996/1588	'Abbas I	
1038/1629	Ṣafī I	
1052/1642	'Abbas II	
1077/1666	Sulayman I	
1105/1694	Husayn I	
1135/1722	Tahmasb II	
1145/1732	'Abbas III)	
1163/1749	Sulayman II	

1163/1750	Ismā'īl III		
1166/1753	Ḥusayn II	nominal rulers	
1200/1786	Muḥammad		
Afsharids	(1148/1736-1210)	<u>/1795)</u>	
1148/1736	Nādir		
1160/1747	'Alī Qulī ('Ādi	Shah)	
1161/1748	Ibrāhīm		
1161/1748-1210/1795	Shah Rukh (in Kl	nurāsān)	
Zands (1163/1750-1209/17	794)	
1163/1750	Karīm Khān		
1193/1779	Abū'l Fath		
1193/5-1779-81	Şadiq Khan (in S	Shīrāz)	
1193-9/1779-85	'Alī Murād (in I	sfahan)	
1199/1585	Ja'far		
1203/1789-1209/1794	Lutf 'Alī		
Qajars (1133/1779-1343/19	25)	
1133/1721	Fath 'Alī Khān)	
1163/1750	Muḥammad Ḥasan R	han tribal chiefs in Mazandaran	
1184/1770	Husayn Qulī Khār		
1193/1779	Aqa Muhammad Kha	n (crowned in 1211/1796	
1212/1797	Fath 'Alī		
1250/1834	Muhammad		
1264/1848	Nāṣir ud-D ī n	•	
1313/1896	Muzaffar ud-Dīn	•	
1324/1907	Muhammad 'Alī		
1327/1909-1343Q(1304Sh.)	/1925 Ahmad		
Pahlavis (1304	Sh/1925-1357 Sh/1	<u>979)</u>	
1304/1925-1320/1941	Riżā		
1320/1941-1357/1979	Muhammad Riza		

Dedicated to the artisans and craftsmen of Iran.



A peacock from a Qalankari design.

I. INTRODUCTION

- I.1. Survey and Assessment of the Sources of Data on the Guilds in Safavid Iran.
- I.2. The Historical Background of the Iranian Guilds.

I.1. Survey and Assessment of the Sources of Data on the Guilds in Safavid Iran.

Study of the Safavid period is in an early phase. Scholars have shown interest in the dynasty's rise and fall. in the establishment and popularization of Shi'ism in Iran, and in international aspects such as the Ottoman-Iranian wars and the start of European diplomatic and commercial relations with Iran. The development of the Iranian fine arts during the period has also received attention. yet, however, very little research has been done into the internal economic and social history of Iran under the Safavids. One reason for this is the scarcity and inaccessibility of data from contemporary Iranian sources, which to some extent is compensated by the existence of pertinent information from contemporary European sources. Another reason is the influence still exerted on Iranian historical study by the content and periodization of the traditional chronicles, which seldom record social and economic events or changes, and when they do so, treat them as episodes in the career of a king or a dynasty. These factors can give rise to questionable judgements, such as that of the modern historian Abu'l-Qasim Tahiri who has written, in a popular work on the 10th/15th and 11th/16th centuries, that "the security and peace which the bulk of the Iranian population enjoyed in Shah Abbas the Great's reign gradually made them the most tranquil and prosperous of the Eastern countries. The increase in commercial activity, the intercourse with various nationalities, and the Shah's regard for the artistic professions, all gave solidity to the Iranian



social institution." Research since Minorsky's edition and translation of the <u>Tazkirat ul-Mulūk</u>, a manual of <u>Safavid administration</u>, in 1943 has not yet invalidated his remark in the foreword that "studies of political events, chronology, literature, religion, and art all have their importance, but the picture is likely to remain incomplete and to lack unity until there has been a more thorough examination of the ethnological factors, reflecting as they do the respective positions of the social groups." The present study is an attempt to gather and analyse data from Iranian and European sources on one of the social groups of Safavid Iran, namely the artisans and tradesmen of the bazaars, and on their guild institutions. The sources and the relevant works of modern scholars are discussed in this chapter.

Among the works of modern scholars, the explanation and comments on the Tazkirat ul-Mulūk by V. Minorsky, who also had a close acquaintance with the European sources, are uniquely valuable and have laid the foundation for subsequent research. Also important are L. Lockhart's The fall of the Safavid dynasty (Cambridge 1958), which includes studies of social and economic factors; J. Emerson's unpublished thesis on Some European sources on the economic structure of Persia between 1630 and 1690 A.D. (Cambridge 1971), and S. Schuster-Walser's Das Safawidische Persien im Spiegel Europäisher Reiseberichte 1502-1711 (Baden-Baden 1970), which are pioneering essays in economic historiography and the investigations of M. Roelofz, R. W. Ferrier and W. C. Palmer respectively into the archives of the Dutch and English East

India companies, which in addition to the reports on the businesses of the companies give some information about the economic and social conditions of Iranians. A. K. S. Lambton's Landlord and peasant in Persia (London 1955) is the most important work yet written on the social and economic history of the rural and tribal populations, but is not concerned with the urban population. A History of Iran written by Russian scholars, 5 who had a close acquaintance with the Armenian, Georgian and Russian sources, in addition to the other sources, is also important; its section on the guilds in Safavid Iran is a useful analysis and explanation of the social and economic role of the working people as the main part of the urban population. Among the works by modern Iranian scholars, the most valuable are N. Falsafi's 5 volume Zindagani-yi Shah Abbas-i Avval (Life of Shah Abbas I), 6 which contains a large amount of scattered data from Iranian and European sources on economic and social matters, and M. I. Bastani Parizi's Siyasat va iqtisad-i 'aşr-i Şafavi, 7 a political and economic history of the period, which is based on solid research even though it is written in a fluent and popular style with many touches of the author's keen sense of humour. A. Tahiri's Tarikh-i siyasi va ijtima'i-yi Iran, 8 which is a relatively short popular general history, pays attention to religious and cultural changes but is weak on economic and social matters. Murtaża Ravandi, in the section on the Safavid period in his Tarikh-i ijtima'i-yi Iran (Social history of Iran), has only a rather brief discussion on the urban workers derived mainly from

Minorsky, Chardin, and Tavernier, but gives an interesting and original interpretation of their position, which he considers to have deteriorated as the result of governmental exploitation.

The scarcity of documentary evidence concerning the urban craftsmen and trader and their guilds in the Safavīd period may perhaps explain why this subject has received little attention from modern scholars with the exception of J. Emerson. The reason for this scarcity is that documents such as account books and transaction records of shopkeepers and artisans, tax papers, price lists, endowment deeds (vaqfnama), records of appointments of guild officials, judgements of guild courts, etc., were destroyed in the internal conflicts which followed the fall of the Safavids. The late 18th century historian Aşaf mentions that in the last days of the Safavid rule, all the account books and documents of the Safavid and pre-Safavid kings which had been kept in a palace belonging to the Shah called the Sarā-yi Chār Hawż were burnt on the order of 'Abdullāh Khan, the governor of Isfahan. 10 The 19th century historian Jābirī Anṣārī states that on orders of Maḥmūd, the first Ghalzay Afghan ruler of Isfahan, all the account books and important papers of the tradesmen and artisans of the bazaar were cast into the Zayanda Rud and that as the result of this barbaric action the records (dafātir) disappeared. 11

Nevertheless some relevant Safavid documents have survived and are accessible, being now in the possession of public libraries, especially the British Library in

London and the Central Library of Tehrān University, and of scholarly private collectors. For the most part they are royal decrees, and in some cases they throw light on matters such as guild appointments and the duties of the kalāntar, naqīb, muhtasib, bāshī etc. In the British Library there is a uniquely informative scroll (B.M., Sloane 4094), undated but probably from Shāh Sulaymān's reign.

The sanctity of the mosque saved a number of Safavid royal decress (farmāns) and vaqfnāmas which had been inscribed on the wall of the principal mosque in certain towns, notably Isfahān, Kāshān, Ardistān, Yazd, Tabrīz, and Astarābād (now Gurgān). Some of these inscriptions are valuable and unique sources of data on matters such as the crafts and trades of the town, the rates of the taxes and other impositions, and the role of the guilds. Inscribed tombstones from the Safavid period are another useful source, because some of them give information about the deceased person's craft or trade and pictures of his tools.

The source materials used in the present study may be classified in eight main categories. Particulars of the various printed books and manuscripts are given in the Bibliography. The categories are as follows:

1. Documents

1a. The <u>Tazkirat ul-Mulūk</u> (T.M.) and <u>Dastūr ul-Mulūk</u> (D.M.). These two manuals of Safavid administration, written to instruct Ashraf, the second (kalzāy ruler, and the Afghān conquerors in the arts of court protocol

and imperial government as practi ed during the reign of the last Safavid monarch, are not strictly contemporary. Tazkirat ul-Muluk of Myza Samia and has been edited with a commentary and a translation by the late Vladimir Minorsky. This work is a concise but detailed and purely factual compendium of the machinery of the government at the end of the Safavid period by an unknown official. It contains reliable data on guild organization and related matters, and has been used extensively in the present study. Dastur ul-Mulük of Mīrzā Rafī'ā, very similar in design and wording, appears in general to be better organized and more accurate; a printed edition, with an excellent introduction by Muhammad Taqi Danishpazhuh, has appeared in consecutive issues of the journal of the Faculty of Literature and Social Sciences of Tehran University. Both are listed in the bibliography under the name of the respective editors.

- 2b. Manuscript documents (mainly royal decrees).
- 3c. Mosque inscriptions.

2. Historical works

2a. General histories. These are royal chronicles written in traditional form and ornate language. Accessions, power struggles, wars, revolts, appointments, etc. are recounted in chronological order and generally without explanation, and (as already mentioned) economic and social matters are seldom brought into the picture; but scattered reports of political incidents, tax changes, etc. involving the guilds are to be found in these works. The most important of these works, which were written by official secretaries

(munshīs), are listed below. (1) The Ahsan ut-Tavarikh, written in 980/1573 except for a portion at the end which was added in 985/1578. The Author, Hasan Rūmlū, gives a history of Iranian affairs from 900/1464 to 985/1578. He appears to have been a conscientious inquirer, though he usually fails to explain the real causes of the expeditions and historical events which he describes. (2) The Tārīkh-i 'Ālamārā-yi 'Abbāsī, written in 1025/1616 by Iskandar Munshī, a history of the life and reign of 'Ābbās I with an introductory section on his Safavid predecessors. (3) The Zayl (appendix) of the 'Ālamārā-yi 'Abbāsī, written around 1043/1634 by Iskandar Munshī and Muḥammad Yūsuf Vālih-i Muvarrikh. (4) The 'Abbāsnāma, a history of the reign of 'Abbās II by Muḥammad Ṭāhir Vaḥīd, a secretary (munshī) employed by the grand vazīrs Mīrzā Ṭaqī ud-Dīn Muhammad and Khalīfa Sultān.

Much more valuable as a source of information on social matters is the Rustam ul-Tavarikh of Muhammad Hashim Asaf, who held the title Rustam ul-Hukama'. He states in his foreword that his father's cousin and his grandfather Amir Shams ul-Dīn Muhammad both served the Safavids, the latter as master of the royal manufactories (karkhana-bashi) to Shah Sultan Husayn. His father was in the service of the Vakīl (Karīm Khan Zand) and later, after an imprisonment, of Āqā Muhammad Khan Qājar. The work consists of a collection of historical and socio-biographical anecdotes culled from his father's and grandfather's reminiscences, extending from the start of the reign of Shah Sultan Husayn to the end of that of 'Alī Murād Khan Zand in 1199/1785 and continuing to the time of Fath 'Alī Shah, written in a simple and pithy

style which his father recommended to him. 12 This work contains very valuable information on the social and economic history of 18th century Iran.

All the above mentioned works have now been printed.

2b. Local histories and geographies. The works of this type which were written in the Safavid period contain information about bazaars and guilds. The Thya ul-Muluk is a history of Sistan in 'Abbas I's reign written in the early 17th century by Malik Shah Husayn, who held high official positions and carefully observed what went on. The Tarikh-i Gilan, a history of Gilan, written around 1039/1630 by 'Abd til-Fattah Fumani, recounts political and economic events from 923/1517 to 1038/1629, and includes a very clear account of the Gilani resistance against the silk monopoly established by 'Abbas I and afterwards revoked by Shah Safi. The Jami'-i Mufidi (only part of which has published) is a history of Yazd written in 1090/1679 by Muhammad Mufid Mustawfi of Yazd, who was appointed collector (mustawfi) of the vaqf (religious endowment) revenue of Yazd in 1077/1666. The Tazkira-yi Shushtar, a compilation by Sayyid 'Abdullah ibn-i Nur ud-Din Shushtari, is an account of Shushtar from the earliest times to A.H.1169/1756, with notices of its celebrated men and memoirs of the author's life; the author notes changes in the town's economic fortunes, and enumerates more than seventy professional organizations which existed in it in the 12th/18th century. 13

Later histories and geographies written in the 13th/19th century containing information from contemporary sources are useful for the light which they throw on the evolution of the

14

Iranian guilds. They also give details, which are not recorded elsewhere, about local traditions and about families, many of whom were members of craft and trade guilds. The Farsnama-yi Nasiri of Mirza Hasan Fasa! I, which was first printed (lithographed) at Tehran in 1312/1895 and has been partially translated into English by H. Busse, 14 contains data on the rise of powerful merchants and the relative decline of small traders and the consequent increased dependence of craftsmen on merchants. 15 The Tarikh-i Kashan of 'Abd ul-Rahim Zarrab 16 and the Ruznama-yi Kalantar 17 both give much useful information about different aspects of the craft and trade guilds. The Tarikh-i Isfahan va Ray, written around 1359/1941 by Hasan Jabir Ansari, contains valuable scattered data on the guilds from Safavid to Qājār times. 'Abd' ul-Wahhāb Shahshahānī's Baḥr ul-Javāhır, 19 written in 1261/1851, gives a complete list of the guilds of Isfahan and the social status of each. The Jughrafiya-yi Isfahan of Mirza Husayn Khan Tahvildar, written in 1308/1891, is unique in the detailed data which it gives about more than one hundred guilds still active at Isfahan in his time.

3. Literary works.

Certain works in the genre of the literary <u>tazkira</u> (collection of biographies and selected writings of poets and scholars) include sections on craftsmen who were also poets. The most important is the <u>Tazkira-yi Naṣrābādī</u> of Mīrzā Ṭāhir Naṣrābādī, who as he states in his preface commenced the work in 1083/1672 and dedicated it to Shāh Sulaymān whose patronage he evidently enjoyed. He presents a large number of poets who were craftsmen and guild members. A small number appear

in the <u>Tuhfa-yi Sāmī</u>, a literary <u>tazkira</u> compiled in 957/1550 by a Safavid prince, Sām Mīrzā, who was one of the sons of Ismā'īl I.

Certain poets of the Safavid period, who knew something about the techniques of the crafts, tools, raw materials, and manufactured products of various guilds, composed verse in a special genre called shahr-\bar{a}sh\bar{u}b, ostensibly about youths whose beauty disturbed the city. These are of interest, because they mention professional traditions, tools, materials, products, etc. The best known shahr-\bar{a}sh\bar{u}b poet is M\bar{i}rz\bar{a} \bar{t}\bar{a}h\bar{i}r Vah\bar{i}d who wrote in 'Abbas II's reign. His divan (collection of poems) survives in a manuscript in the Central Library of Tehr\bar{a}n University. \(^{20}\)
A selection of shahr-\bar{a}sh\bar{u}b poems from various manuscripts with a valuable introduction and notes has been published by the modern scholar Ahmad Gulch\bar{i}n-Ma'\bar{a}n\bar{i} of Mashhad. \(^{21}\)

4. European official records.

During the Safavid period, diplomatic conducts between Iran and the states of Europe, through temporary embassies because permanent embassies were not then customary, became more frequent than ever before, while commercial relations acquired considerable importance and were to a large extent conducted by the European East India companies which obtained permanent establishment rights in Iran. Certain Catholic Christian missionary orders also obtained permanent establishment rights. The East India companies were privately owned but had been constituted through special state privileges and in principle enjoyed the support of their governments; they may therefore be regarded as semi-official

bodies. Among the European visitors to Iran who wrote travel accounts, some came as official envoys or semi-official representatives, others came in pursuit of private business, and others came as tourists. The agents of the East India companies reported on general political and economic conditions as well as on their own commercial activities in their correspondence with their head offices, and some of the travel diarists and missionaries were percipient observers of the general political, economic, and social scene. various European writings differ in quality: some are commonplace and superficial, some are fanciful, and others are of great importance for the history of Şafavid Iran. 22 They can be classified into official reports, travel accounts, and missionary reports, but it will be convenient to include the accounts of both the official and unofficial travellers in the second of these three categories and to limit the first category to the records of the English and Dutch East India companies.

The English East India Company's Records and the State

Papers (SP) which are of inestimable value, are preserved in

Archives of the East India Company at the India Office Library
in London. Further material on Iran has been printed and
explained in the works of W. Foster, E. B. Sainsbury, and

J. Bruce high East India Company at the India Office Library
in London. I have been printed and explained in the works of W. Foster, and E. B. Sainsbury, and I have been printed and I have

The Dutch East India Company. The records of the Oost Indishe Compagnie in the Colonial Archives Department of the Rijksarchief at The Hague are hardly less valuable for the period of the Dutch company's activity in Iran, i.e. 1623-1769. Some of the material on Iran has likewise been printed

2,

and explained in an important work by H. Dunlop.²⁷ More recently a valuable study of this material by M. Roelofz has been published.²⁸

The English Muscovy and Levant companies were also interested in trade with Iran in the 16th and 17th centuries. The reports of the journeys of Sir A. Jenkinson, A. Edwards, M. Salbancke, and other agents of the Muscovy Company are listed in the bibliography.²⁹

5. European travel accounts.

A list of the accounts of European travelers, with brief indications of their contents, is included in J. Emerson's work Some European sources on the economic structure of Persia between 1630 and 1690, and in Sibylla Schuster Walser's work. 30 The most useful for the purposes of this study were the accounts (listed in the Bibliography) by the following ten travellers.

- I. Pietro della Valle. A wealthy Roman nobleman who travelled for pleasure and recorded his impressions in letters to his friend Mario Schipano. He arrived in Isfahān in 1617 and stayed nearly five years. He vividly describes 'Abbās I's Maydān and bazaar and certain aspects of the city's commercial life (listed under Valle).
- II. Fedor Kotov. A Muscovite merchant who was sent to Iran to sell goods from the Russian imperial treasury and arrived at Isfahān around 1624. His enumeration of the guilds and report of the locations of different trades in the bazaar and the Maydān-i Shāh is comparable with Chardin's account.
- III. Thomas Herbert. A member of the first English embassy to the Safavid court in 1627, led by Sir Dodmore Cotton and accompanied by Sir Robert Sherley, which after a journey

through Bandar 'Abbas and Isfahan was received by 'Abbas I at Ashraf (now Bihshahr) in Mazandaran. Herbert has not only recorded the fortunes of this mission (on which Cotton and Sherley died), but has also left valuable observations on social and economic conditions.

- IV. Jean Baptiste Tavernier. An expert jeweler of Walloon (Belgian) origin, who made six journeys to Turkey, Iran, and India between 1631 and 1665 in pursuit of his craft and met 'Abbās I and 'Abbās II at Iṣfahān. His observations of many aspects of the economic and social scene throw light on the position of Iranian craftsmen and their guilds.
- V. Adam Olearius. A learned German who was the secretary of a mission sent by Duke Frederick III of Holstein-Gottorp to investigate the possibility of silk importation through Russia and the Baltic Sea. The mission, under the leadership of Otto Brüggemann, a merchant of Hamburg (who hanged) was unsuccessful, but Olearius's account of its experiences in Iran in 1637-38 justifies J. Emerson's description of him as "the first modern scholarly observer of Iran". The carefully describes the royal bazaar and the caravansarais and also the coffee houses of Isfahān.
- VI. Jean (Sir John) Chardin (1643-1713). An expert jeweler of French origin and Protestant Christian faith who settled in England in 1681. He first arrived in Iran at the end of 'Abbās II's reign, and altogether spent ten years at Işfahān in two stays (1665-1669 and 1671-1677), doing business and practising his craft. In his voluminous account he attempted to describe and assess whatever was important, including the religion, the system of government, and the types of education

as well as the trades, manufactures, arts, crafts, and professional organizations such as guilds. Volumes 2, 5, 6, 8 and 10 of his "Voyages" provide a huge mass of reliable data on social and economic conditions in 17th century Iran. VII. John Fryer. An English surgeon in the service of the East India Company of London who came to Isfahān in the summer of 1676 and stayed until 1678. His account contains interesting observations of market matters such as price controls, interest rates, and usury, but his comments are superficial. Emerson rightly judges that his "lack of knowledge of the language and somewhat contemptuous attitude towards Muslims did not allow him to penetrate very deeply into Iranian civilization". 32

VIII. Engelbert Kaempfer. A German doctor of medicine who accompanied a Swedish embassy to Isfahān, where he joined the service of the Dutch East India Company. He was at Isfahān in 1683 and afterwards at Bandar 'Abbās and Hurmuz. In his carefully written discussion of social and economic conditions, he gives a list of the existing guilds and original data on matters such as price control, guild officials, and royal guilds.

IX. Giovanni Francesco Gemelli Carreri. An Italian traveler who sojourned at Isfahān in 1694 during a journey around the world. His account of his stay in Iran contains scattered but reliable information about the craft guilds.

X. Cornelius Le Brun (De Bruin). A Dutch scholar and artist who twice stayed at Isfahan, in 1704-1705 and 1706-1707. His drawings of Isfahan and his descriptions of Iranian society at that time are valuable. He notes the role of the guilds and of

the responsible officials such as the muhtasib and the kalantar.

6. European missionary reports.

These are valuable in spite of their Christian bias because the authors spent large parts of their lives in Iran and became very well acquainted with the Persian language and with local conditions. The works which have been most used in the present study are those of:

- i. Raphael Du Mans. A French Catholic monk who lived at Isfahān from 1644 until his death in 1696 and during most of that time was head of the mission of the Capuchin order (which had been established with 'Abbās I's permission in 1628). He was also an expert mathematician. He was highly respected at the Safavid royal court, where he acted as French interpreter, and he helped many of the French and other foreign visitors. The report on the state of Persia in 1660, which he wrote for King Louis XIV's finance minister Colbert, deals with economic, social, governmental, and cultural aspects and contains valuable data on the number and the nature of the guilds and the systems and techniques of certain craft industries.
- ii. Martin Sanson. A French Catholic priest of the Jesuit order who was sent to learn the language and work as a missionary and spent the years 1683-1692 in Iran. His report deals mainly with the Safavid administrative system and gives information on governmental control of the guilds.
- iii. The Carmelite Chronicle. The monks of the different Catholic Christian orders, such as Dominicans, Jesuits, Capuchins, Augustinians, and Carmelites, which maintained missionaries in several Iranian cities from the time of

'Abbas I onward, learned much about various features of
Safavid Iran. Interesting reports on political and social
as well as religious matters can be found in <u>A chronicle</u>
of the Carmelites in Persia and the Papal mission of the

XVIIth and XVIIIth centuries, edited by H. Chick. This
valuable work is a collection of letters from the archives
of the order's Casa Generalizia in Rome.

(Listed under Carmelites).

iii. Tadeusz Krusinski. A Jesuit Catholic priest of Polish nationality who stayed in Iran from 1707 to 1725 and was put in charge of the Jesuit mission in the country in 1720. He negotiated with the government of Shāh Sultān Husayn and the first Ghalzāy Afghān ruler Maḥmud, and was in Iṣfahān and Julfā during and after the Afghān siege. His memoirs, which were published in somewhat modified and divergent French and Latin versions by different editors, provided a valuable eye witness's account of the Ṣafavid collapse and its disastrous social consequences.

7. Armenian sources.

Petros Di Sarkis Gilanentz. Author of a journal of the years 1722-1723 in Armenian consisting partly of material on the battle of Gulnābād (12 miles east of Iṣfahān) and the Afghān victory and siege of Iṣfahān given to him by a fellow-Armenian, Joseph Apisalaimian, who was an eyewitness, and partly of material on events in Georgia and the Caucasian provinces; completed in August/September 1723 for the Russian commander in Gīlān, General Levashov. An English translation by Dr. C. O. Minasian of Iṣfahān was published

in Lisbon in 1959. The journal gives information on matters such as food prices at Isfahan and the position and wealth of merchants.

Notes I

- Abu'l-Qāsim Tāhirī, Tārīkh-i Sīyāsi va Ijtimā'ī-yi 1. Iran az marg-i Timur tā marg-i Shāh 'Abbas I, p.324.
- T.M., p.I. 2.
- Roelofz, Persica, vol.6 (1974) pp. 1-50. 3.
- Palmer, The Activities of the English East India 4. Company in Persia and the Persian Gulf, 1616-1657; Ferrier, British-Persian Relations in the 17th century; Ferrier, The Armenians and the East India Company in Persia in the 17th and early 18th centuries, Econ. H. R., vol.26 (1973) pp. 38-62.
- Petrushevski, Tārīkh-i Iran, vol.2, pp. 519-526, 5. 570-581.
- 6. Falsafī, 5 vols., Tehran, 1353/1973.
- Bastani Parīzi, Siyasat va Iqtisad-i 'aşr-i Şafavi. 7.
- Ţāhirī, Tārikh-i Siyasi va Ijtimā'ī-yi Iran. 8.
- Rāvandī, vol.3, pp. 390-399. 9.
- 10. \bar{A} saf, pp. 59, 210.
- Jābirī Ansari, pp. 33-34. 11.
- 12. By Iraj Afskar in Fathang-i Iran-zamin, 11. Tehran, 1342/1963, PP. 193-228. \overline{A} saf, pp. 62-63.
- 122. Shushtari (Faqir), Tazkira-yi Shushtar. 13.
- H. Busse, History of Persia under Qājār rule, trans-14. lated from the Persian of the Fasa'I's Farsnama, New York, 1972.
- Fasā'ī, Fārsnāma-yi Nāşirī, 2 vols. 15.
- 16. Žarrābi, Tārīkh-i Kāshān.
- M. Kalantar, Ruznama-yi Mirza Muhammad Kalantar az 17. 1142 tā 1199.
- Jābirī Ansāri, pp. 378-381. See Biblingra Phy, 18.
- Shahshahani, Bahr ul-Javahir fi 'ilmud-Dafatir, 19. pp. 95-100.
- Vahid Qazvīnī, Divan-i Rižvan, ms. Kitābkhana-yi 20. Markazi Danishgah-1 Tehran.

- 21. Gulchin Ma'ani, Shahr-ashub.
- 22. R. Stevens, European Visitors to the Safavid Court,

 Iranian Studies, vol.7, (1974) pp. 421-457;

 Osborne's Collection (printed by Thomas Osborne),

 2 vols., London 1745; S. Purchas, Purchas his

 Pilgrims, vols 3, 4, 10, 12, Glasgow 1905;

 Churchill's Collection, vols 1, 4, London 1744;

 R. Hakluyt, The Principal Navigations and Voyages,

 12 vols., Glasgow, 1903-5, vols. 3, 5, 6;

 J. Pinkerton, Pinkerton's Collection, vols. 5, 9

 London 1811.
- 23. W. Foster, A guide to the India Office Records
 1600-1858, London 1919; W. C. Palmer, The Activities
 of the English East India Company (Ph.D. unpublished),
 London University, 1932; J. Emerson, Some European
 Sources on the economic structure of Persia between
 1630-1690; R. W. Ferrier, An English view of Persian
 Trade, in J.E.S.H.O. Vol.19 (1976) pp. 182-214;
 L. Lockhart, The Fall of the Safavid dynasty,
 Cambridge 1958.
- 24. W. Foster, Letters received by the East India Company from its servants in the East, 6 vols., London 1896-1902; W. Foster, A Supplementary Calendar (1600-1640), London 1928.
- 25. E. B. Sainsbury, A. Calendar of the Court Minutes of the East India Company (1635-1639), Oxford 1907.
- 26. J. Bruce, East India Company, 3 vols., London 1968.
- 27. H. Dunlop, Bronnen tot de geschiederis der Oostindische Compagnie in Perzië (Sources for the History of the East India Company in Persia) 1611-1638, R.G.P., Grote Serie LXXII, The Haque 1930.
- 28. Roelofz, The earliest relations between Persia and the Netherlands (see Bibliography).
- 29. A. Jenkinson, The voyage of Anthony Jenkinson in Purchas his pilgrimes, vol.12, pp. 1-32; A. Edwards, The letters of Edwards from Persia, in Principal Navigations, vol.3, pp. 46-72; J. Salbancke, The voyage of Salbanck through India, Persia, part of Turkey, in Purchas, vol.3; A. Wood, A history of the Levant Company, London, 1964; T. S. Willan, The early history of the Russia Company, Manchester, 1956.
- 30. S. Schuster Walser, <u>Das Safawidishe Persien im Spiegel</u> <u>Europäischer Reiseberichte</u>, Baden-Baden 1970.
- 31. Emerson, pp. 34ff.
- 32. Ibid. pp. 58-60, 165.

I.2. The Historical Background of the Iranian Guilds.

Study of the social and economic history of Iran, particularly in the earlier centuries, is hampered by scarcity of data. For much of the post-Islamic period, the only substantial sources are court chronicles, which mainly record the wars and victories or defeats of Kings but seldom touch upon matters of social, economic, municipal, tribal, or even military organization. Although study of the history of the guilds has hardly begun, it will probably never be able to find wholly satisfactory answers to the questions when and how the guild organization of urban craftsmen and tradesmen first came into being. The only certain fact is that their structure and behaviour were strongly influenced by Islam.

One of the recent investigators of Iranian guild history is the Russian scholar N. K. Kuznetsova. Her two articles on the guilds in the 18th and 19th centuries are based on Persian, European, and also Georgian and Armenian source materials from those centuries and also from the 17th century, and are concerned with the guilds in Iran and also in the Russian-ruled Caucasian territories formerly belonging to Iran. The Dutch scholar W.M.Floor attempted in a first article to sketch the whole history of the Iranian guilds from the advent of Islam up to 1972, but in fact wrote mainly on the guilds in the 20th century; his summary of the early periods is conjectural and not well supported by documentary evidence. In a

dissertation..., entitled The guilds in Qajar Iran, which is a fully documented analysis of all aspects of 19th century guild life, he has made a very valuable contribution. The subject has also been studied by an Iranian researcher, Kazim Ruhani, in his doctoral thesis XVI-XVII Yüzyillarda Osmanli ve Safevi Esnaf Teşkilati (Ottoman and Şafavid guild organization in the 16th and 17th centuries) presented to Istanbul University in 1976-77; most of the thesis is devoted to the guilds in Ottoman Turkey, while the passages concerning the guilds in Iran are based only on the Tazkirat ul-Mulūk and the statements of Chardin, Tavernier, and Kaempfer. These first steps leave the field of Iranian guild history wide open for further research.

tence of guilds in Iranian cities in pre-Islamic times, and the supposition of their existence in those times by scholars such as Petrushevsky⁶ is purely conjectural, even though it may seem inherently probable. The Macedonian conquest probably stimulated trade and urban growth,⁷ and the Sāsānid Shāhs founded several cities which became centres of handicraft industry and commercial exchange.⁸ Although archaeological excavations have not revealed the pattern of urban layouts, it is probable that there was a commercial section in every city (as at Bīshāpūr) and possible that the commercial section had something of the shape and character of the later Islamic bazaar. If so, it is possible that the craftsmen and tradesmen of pre-

Islamic times had already began to form collective organizations prefiguring the later Islamic guilds. This hypothesis would accord with Sjoberg's observation that pre-industrial societies, despite their cultural differences, display essentially similar basic structures. 10

Whether or not the guilds of post-Islamic Iran had pre-Islamic antecedents, it is clear from the available evidence that they were basically similar to those of other Islamic countries. Their essential characteristics, like those of many other institutions (e.g. mosques, madrasas, bazaars) and offices (e.g. those of the qazi and the muhtasib), were determined by the common Islamic ideology. The terms sinf (guild) and bunicha (guild tax), and the titles nagib, kadkhuda, bashi, ustad, khalifa, and shagird were used in other Muslim countries besides Iran. 11 Bernard Lewis, in a brief article on the Islamic Guilds, thinks that they had a "material framework or organization inherited or imitated from the Greco-Roman world and a system of ideas coming essentially from the Syro-Persian civilization, giving as a result a movement at once Islamic, Hellenistic, interconfessional, philosophical and corporatist."12

Under the 'Abbasid caliphate, the capital Baghdad and a few other cities became important centres of handicraft industries and commerce. Although Baghdad's founder, the caliph Mansur, did not include a commercial district with specialized bazaars in his plan for the city, not many years passed before factors such as population growth and rises in monetary circulation, purchasing power, and living

standards caused an upsurge of demand for the services of artisans, traders, and labourers. The influx of members of these classes into Baghdad transformed the city's original shape. New fixed and specialized markets and craft workshop quarters grew up around the original military settlement. 14 At the same time the opulence derived from provincial tribute payments to the caliphal government and from private commercial profits brought into being a type of urban society which did not conform with Islamic principles and ideals of justice. It appears that little of the new wealth reached the artisans and labouring classes. 15 and that their discontent with the ruling bodies was one of the main reasons for Baghdad's notorious turbulence. 16 The appointment of a muhtasib did not apparently deter the "market people" (ahl_al-suq) from causing trouble for the caliph, 17 who decided in 157/774 to move them to al-Karkh, south west of the original fortified city. Al-Karkh became the main business centre, and was gradually subdivided into many bazaars, each specializing in one kind of trade. 18 Neither this subdivision nor the surveillance by the muhtasib prevented the further growth of opposition from the side of the artisan class. Opponents of the régime could enter the city in the guise of traders and pass on information about activities. The continuing unrest among the artisans and traders, as well as the turbulence of the garrison troops, prompted the Caliph Mu'tasim to move the capital in 221/836 from Baghdad to Samarra, where it remained until 278/892. In later times

the presence of many Shī'ite believers at al-Karkh gave rise to frequent religious disturbances. 19 Sufi movements with anti-caliphate and anti-governmental tendencies also won followings among the artisans and tradesmen. It appears, however, that the common interests of all the members of this class generally kept them united in spite of the differences between the various Shi'ite and Şufi sects. A tendency to synthesize the beliefs of these sects can be observed from the 'Abbasid period right up to the Safavid period. At different times, groups of urban workers had links with Isma'ili sects, with Sufi sects and orders, with movements combining Sufi beliefs and veneration for the Imam 'Ali such as the 'ayyaran and and later futuvat movement of the 6th/12th-8th/14th centuries, 20 and finally with the Shī'ite Ni'matī and Haydarī darvīsh orders in Şafavid Iran. 21

The question of the origin of the Islamic guilds is linked with the question why independent municipal institutions did not arise in the Muslim countries. Max Weber wrongly attributes the non-existence of such institutions to the tribal tradition of the Arabs. 22 S. D. Goitein, in an otherwise valuable study of Islamic institutions, holds that the Islamic guilds were not real guilds because they were not independent; if real guilds had existed, there would have been a specific term for them (whereas the term sinf, plural asnaf, which is used in Arabic and Persian, means only category, i.e. of workers). Basically Goitein's argument rests on a comparison of the Islamic

with the European guilds. He defines guilds as "medieval unions of craftsmen or tradesmen which supervised the general affairs and technical standards of the members and occupations, and which by certain rules controlled the education of apprentices and their admission into the union."

This fits the guilds of the Western European countries Goitein's finding that the Islamic guilds differed from this European model is certainly correct; since they were authentically Islamic, it would be surprising if they had not differed. These two premises, however, do not justify his conclusion that the medieval Islamic realm had no real guilds at all.

C. Cahen in his article "Did professional guilds exist in the classical Muslim world?" has perceived that the problem is not so much one of definition as one of degree. "Nobody," he writes, can challenge that the fact the Muslim world, since the time which we are considering (i.e. the 'Abbasid period), has had some form of professional organization. The problem is to find out whether and to what extent it was a corporate organization consisting of spontaneous associations... or whether we are discussing organisms which emanated from the administrative system and were under state control."24 Cahen finally reaches the conclusion that institutions free from state control did not exist in the classical Islamic world. This is true in the sense that medieval Muslim governments, and likewise the Safavid government, were distrustful or jealous of all holders of any independent political

or economic power and therefore attempted either to eliminate or to control them. On the other hand, the ideology of Islam, particularly in its Shī'ite but also in its Sunnite form, strongly emphasizes the independence of the faith and the sacred law (shari'at) from any sort of governmental control. Not only must the state authorities enforce the shari'at; they themselves, like all Muslims, are bound by it. Logically the shari'at is prior to both the community and the state. 25 In theory, a legitimate and properly constituted Islamic government is entitled to intervene in all the community's affairs. In historical fact, however, such a government only existed in the Prophet Muhammad's lifetime and for a short time in the period after his death. Ever since then, devout Muslims have generally been inclined to oppose or at least to disapprove of the ruling government. governments, for their part, have generally sought justification for their rule and for their interventions in the people's affairs by claiming to act as defenders of Islam and maintainers of law and order. It can be taken for certain that the Islamic states did not create the Islamic guilds, but attempted to control the activities of the guilds, and of the urban populations generally, through the appointment of supervisory officials. 26

In the opinion of L. Massignon, labour organization and worker incorporation in the Muslim cities date from the 3rd/9th sentury and were "closely connected with a movement half religious and half socialistic in origin,

that of the Karmatians." This opinion is supported by B. Lewis, who holds that the development of handicraft industries and urban agglomerations in the 4th/10th and 5th/11th centuries led to a rapid growth of large-scale capitalism with social effects which gave rise to widespread discontent and were not compatible with Islamic principles. The Qarmati movement attracted many discontented people and disseminated its rather revolutionary principles among all classes, particularly the artisans. 28 The name Qarmati was given to the whole Isma'ili or Sevener Shī'ite movement in its earliest phase, but afterward only to certain Isma'ili groups who did not recognize the claims of 'Ubaydullah al-Mahdi and his successors of the Fatimid dynasty. 29 The greatest successes of the Qarmati and Ismā'īli da'vā (propaganda) were in fact the conversion of Arab and Berber tribes. On the other hand, it is known that their propagandists were active in Baghdad, Ray, Nishapur, and Sīstan; 30 and the composition of the Rasa'il Ikhwan al-Ṣafa (Letters of the Brethren of Purity) by Qarmați intellectuals in the middle of the 4th/10th century shows that the movement had a following among the literate classes in the cities. 31 In general, however, the rulers of Iraq and Iran regarded Qarmatism and Isma'ilism as a dangerous revolutionary heresy or at least as a threat to the 'Abbasid caliphate which they recognized. 32 Contemporary governments, if they were strong enough, would therefore have prevented the artisans and shopkeepers from forming associations or guilds overtly linked with Qarmati or Ismā'īlī sects. 33 Nevertheless it seems quite likely

that the idealogy of these sects had some influence on the idealogy of the Islamic guilds. Besides calling for social justice, the Qarmatīs and Ismā'īlīs, being Shi'ites, greatly venerated the Imām 'Alī, whom the guilds have always taken as their model of justice, honesty, courage, and true faith. Since the Imām 'Alī is venerated not only by Shī'ites but also by Şūfīs, it seems equally likely that the idealogy of the Islamic guilds was influenced from the start by the idealogy of Ṣūfī saints and preachers, many of whom stemmed from the artisan class, e.g. the martyred Ḥusayn ibn Manṣūr al-Ḥallāj (244/857-309/922) who was the son of a cotton carder, 34 or Shaykh Abu Sa'īd ibn Abī'l-Khayr (357/967-440/1049) who was the son of a druggist. 35

The emergence of 'ayyaran, groups of urban youths who in times of anarchy or oppression acted as an unofficial police in defence of the common people, is an interesting feature of the social history of the early post-Islamic centuries. The most notable 'ayyaran leader was the coppersmith Ya'qūb Lays, who became the ruler of Sīstān (247/861-265/879) and later of all southern and eastern Iran.

S. Nafīsī, a 20th century Iranian scholar, defines the movement of Ya'qūb Lays as insurrection of Sīstān's coppersmiths' guild and other artisans against the oppression of 'Abbāsīd caliphate. 36 In general the 'ayyārān groups were ephemeral, because the local governments were sooner or later able to establish regular police (called shurta before the Saljūq Turkish conquest). The guilds, on the other hand, proved to be durable. For the 'ayyārān, as well as for the guilds,

the Imam 'Ali was the model of courage and good conduct. The moral and religious ideas of both the 'ayyaran groups and the guilds were summed up in the Arabic word <u>futuwa</u> (<u>futuvat</u>) and the Persian word <u>javanmardi</u>, both meaning literally youthfulness and by implication chivalry on the model of the Imam 'Ali. 37

The Russian historian I. P. Petrushevsky also places the rise of the Islamic guilds in the 3rd/9th century and notes they had become well organized by the 5th/11th century. 38 Their progress was probably connected with the continuance of industrial and urban growth, which went on until the Mongol invasion. Under the relatively stable regime of the Samanids (263/875-395/1005), their capital Bukhara prospered and large numbers of craftsmen and tradesmen settled in its rabaz, i.e. suburbs outside the walled city. There is some evidence of the guilds into which they were grouped. One of these was the guild of scribes and copyists, whose membership was confined to experts in calligraphy (khattati) holding a certificate of proficiency in this highly esteemed art from an established master. Other craftsmen such as shoemakers, silversmiths, etc. had their own professional organizations. 39 Under the Buyid or Daylamite regime (320/932-447/1055), Isfahan acquired a well built bazaar consisting of several lanes, each occupied by a particular sinf of artisans and tradesmen, while Shiraz was endowed by 'Ażud ud-Dawla (338/949-372/983) with the Suq ul-Amir, a once famous but now vanished bazaar in which different sections were occupied

by different guilds (aşnāf).40 A story told by Nizam ul-Mulk Tusi (408/1017-485/1092) in his Siyasatnama suggests that the bakers' guild of Ghazni was a well organized and effective body. In protest against the hoarding of wheat and flour by the baker of the royal court, they closed their shops, i.e. went on strike, and the city's inhabitants suffered from a bread shortage. The Sultan Ibrahim (451/1059-492/1099), after hearing the people's complaints, summoned the bakers and heard their testimony against the court baker. Finally Sultan Ibrahim sentenced the offender to be trampled under the feet of an elephant. 41 Under the rule of the Turkish Saljuq Sultans, the political stability and road security in the reigns of Alp Arsalan (455/1063-465/1072) and Malik Shah (465/1072-485/1092) gave a further stimulus to urban industry and trade, particularly at their capital Isfahan. 42 Nașir-i Khusraw (394/1004-470/1077), the Isma'ili philosopher and poet, mentions in his Safarnama (Travel Diary) that the main bazaar at Isfahan was occupied by many different guilds and that there were also fifty caravansarais occupied by specific categories of traders; the number of the money changers in the bazaar was 200. 43 Ray was also a prosperous city, divided into many quarters such as those of the camel-drivers (sarbanan), hatters (kulahduzan), and broom-makers (jarub-bandan). 44

The Nizari Isma'ili movement of the so-called "assassins", whose chief Iranian propagandist (da'i), Hasan Sabbah, captured the fortress of Alamut in the Alburz mountains in 483/1090 and set up an independent anti-Saljuq state, made a great effort to win converts among

the bazaar people and other city dwellers. Ahmad ibn Abd ul-Malik ibn 'Attash, their propagandist in Isfahan, who seized the nearby mountain fortress of Shahdiz and held it for six or seven years before he surrendered and was put to death in 500/1107, apparently had many secret followers in the city. 45

The massacres and destruction which accompanied the Mongol invasion did immense damage to Iran's urban and industrial development. Among the formerly great cities, some never again became important, others slowly revived with smaller populations, but only Tabriz and Shiraz suffered no damage. Nevertheless the Islamic guild system remained intact and sometimes played an important role in social and political matters. Sayfi Haravi, the author of the Tarikhnama-yi Harat, a reliable source of information on Harat in the 7th/13th and 8th/14th centuries, relates that when Ghingiz Khan threatened Harat in 618/1221, the 1000 strong guild of the weavers (bafandagan) decided to seek an agreement with the Mongol commanders in the hope that the city might be saved from massacre and destruction or looting. The guild's head (muqaddam), !Izz ud-Din, was chosen to be the delegate of all the citizens. He offered lavish gifts to the Mongol generals, but they rejected any peaceful compromise. The Mongols then plundered Harat and killed many of the people who had not fled from the city, but in accordance with Chingiz Khan's regulations, they sent the remaining weavers as captives to Mongolia. weavers and other citizens who had escaped into the

countryside kept up a guerrilla resistance for several years and smuggled food into the city for the starving people whom they had left behind; they were led by guild heads and elders such as Fakhr-i Haddad (blacksmith), Shams-i Dabbaj (brocade weaver), Majd-i 'Assar (oil seed crusher), and 'Alamshah-i Biryani (liver-griller). Their action enabled the city's remaining inhabitants to survive for four years until life returned to normal. Since the economy of Harat depended on the handweaving industry, the Mongol authorities eventually sent back the weavers whom they had deported to Mongolia. 46

In the first four decades of the Ilkhanid dynasty (654/1256-736/1336), the weapons which the Mongol troops in Iran required were supplied by governmental armouries. This policy was changed by the first Muslim Ilkhan, Ghazan Khan (694/1295-703/1304). On his order, the officers in charge throughout the country assembled the swordmakers, bowmakers, arrowsmiths, and quiver-makers (qurban-sazan), and organized them into groups each headed by an amin (i.e. a responsible representative). The various craftsmen were in fact wage-paid workers, because they possessed no personal capital and relied on raw material supplied by their amin to execute the army's orders. The arrangement did not work satisfactorily and was soon ended. 47 general, the urban working and trading classes suffered from severe exploitation under the Ilkhanid régime, because they were subjected to heavy new taxes payable in cash or in kind; 48 the fiscal reform attempted by the

great minister and historian Rashīd ud-Dīn Fażlullāh, who held office from 697/1298 until 717/1317, only improved their position partially and temporarily. 49

The Moroccan traveller Ibn Battuta, who stopped at Shīrāz and Isfahān in 727/1328 and again in 743/1347, gives an interesting description of the craft guilds. In the latter year the two cities were ruled independently by Shah Abu Ishaq Inju (d.758/1357), the patron of Hafiz, and in the former year they had been ruled by Abu Ishaq's father, Sharaf ud-Din Mahmud, who was the Ilkhanid régime's governor general. According to the Ibn Battuta, Abu Ishaq had decided to build a palace at Shiraz matching the Taq-i Kisra (palace of Khusraw Anushiravan) at Ctesiphon and had ordered the people of Shiraz to dig the foundations. When the work began, artisans of the rival guilds used to come to the site to display their wares, and Shah Abu Ishaq used to watch from a balcony. 50 At Isfahan, Ibn Battuta found the guilds to be well organized. Each had a chief who was elected at a general meeting and was called the kilu, by which Ibn Battuta probably meant quli (Turkish for "its slave", i.e. its loyal servant). The different guilds used to invite each other's members to their special banquets, at which each would give proof of its dignity by displaying valuable chattels, such as dishes, which belonged to it. He was told that the different guilds used to rival each other in the lavishness of their ceremonial banquets. 51

Timur, through his repeated raids and many massacres, caused further damage to the cities of Iran; but his

successors, particularly Shāhrukh, Ulugh Bēg, Abū Sa'īd, and Ḥusayn Bāyqarā, through their maintenance of order for quite long periods and through their patronage of Iranian architecture and arts, stimulated a revival of urban industries and trade. 52 Their capital Harāt attracted large numbers of the best craftsmen and artisans, and its many well organized guilds played an important part. A document from Sultān Ḥusayn Bāyqarā's reign, which is preserved in the Central Library of Tehran University, gives some particulars of important guilds and of the guild regulations which the members were required to observe. The heads (muqaddamān or pishvāyān) of the city's guilds were charged with the supervision of the similar guilds throughout the Sultān's domains (Harāt va mamālik-i maḥrūsa). 53

This sketch of Iranian guild history before the Safavid period is very rudimentary, but sufficient to show that the artisans and tradesmen had already acquired a long experience of professional organization and a capacity for the exertion of influence in municipal and political affairs. It is to be hoped that, in spite of the scarcity of source material, future researchers will be able to portray and interpret this important aspect of Iranian history more adequately.

Notes (I.2)

- 1. N. A. Kuznetsova, <u>Guild Organization</u>, in <u>The Economic History of Iran</u>, pp.285-292; also N. A. Kuznetsova, <u>Urban Industry</u>, in <u>C.A.R.</u> vol.2, pp.308-321.
- 2. W. M. Floor, <u>The Guilds in Iran</u>, in <u>Z.D.M.G.</u>, Band 125, Heft 1, pp.99-116.
- 3. Floor, The Guilds in Qajar Persia, Leiden, 1971.
- 4. Ruhani, pp.141-181.
- 5. Lambton, Islamic Society in Persia, pp.10-14.
- 6. Petrushevsky et al, vol.I, pp.141-144.
- 7. M. RavandI, vol.I, pp.545-550.
- 8. Ibid., vol.I, pp.668-673.
- 9. Abu Ishaq Istakhri, <u>Masalik va Mamalik</u>, pp.91-113; Ibn ul-Balkhi, <u>Fārsnāma</u>, <u>passim</u>; H. Mustawfi, <u>Nuzhat ul-Qulūb</u>, pp.137 ff.
- 10. Sjoberg, p.5. Sjoberg (pp.190-194) defines guilds in pre-industrial society as having the following functions, irrespective of the time and place. (1) Every guild had a monopoly of a particular trade or craft. (2) The guild members were selected under special regulations. (3) Personnel in the particular occupation were trained through the sole agency of the guild. (4) The members had to maintain a certain standard of workshop. (5) The guild's machinery was used as a channel for the political demands of its members. (6) The guild members might help each other in economic life by pooling resources. (7) The guild members tried to avoid mutual strife through conciliation. (8) The guilds had their own traditions, which were expressed in religious and ceremonial functions.
- 11. Baer, Egyptian Guilds in Modern Times, passim; Ruhani, passim.
- 12. Lewis, The Islamic Guilds, in Eng. H.R., vol. 8, p. 26.
- 13. Ibn al-Ukhuwwa, pp.29-58.
- 14. Lapidus, The Evaluation of Muslim Urban Society, in Comparative Studies, vol.15, pp.21-50; Saleh Ahmad el-'Alī, The Foundation of Baghdad, pp.87-102; J. Lassner, The Caliph's Personal Domain, pp.103-118.
- 15. Gibb, The Heritage of Islam, in I.J.M.E.S., vol.2, pp.141-142.

- 16. Lewis, An Epistle on Manual Crafts, in Islamic Culture, vol.17, pp.142-152.
- 17. Ibn al-Ukhuwwa, p.45.
- 18. S. A. el-Ali, p.101.
- 19. Lassner, p.117.
- 20. E. I. (2nd ed.) vol.2, pp.961-969; Gibb, The Heritage of Islam, in I.J.M.E.S. vol.I, pp.225-226.
- 21. Z. Safa, vol.I, pp.246-260.
- 22. Weber, The City, tr. D. Martindale and G. Newirth (Glencoe, III., 1958), p.100, quoted in The Islamic City (ed. by A. Hourani), p.30.
- 23. Goitein, p.267; Stern, The Constitution of the Islamic City, pp.26-43. There is a short history of the European guilds in medieval times in H. Pirenne, Economic and Social History of Medieval Europe, pp.185ff.
- 24. Cahen, Ya-t-il eu des corporations professionnelles dans le monde musulman classique?, p.52.
- 25. Gibb, <u>I.J.M.E.S.</u> Vol.I, pp.10ff.
- 26. Baer, Studies in the Economic History of the Middle East, pp.11-13.
- 27. Massignon, E. J. (1st ed.), vol.4, pp.436-437.
- 28. Lewis, An Epistle on Manual Crafts, pp.142-152.
- 29. Bosworth, The Medieval History of Iran, chap.XI, p.59.
- 30. Safa, vol.2, pp.163ff.; Bosworth, The Medieval History of Iran, chap.XI, pp.60ff.
- 31. Nizām ul-Mulk Tūsī, pp.262-267; Safā, vol.2, pp.163-180.
- 32. M. G. S. Hodgson, in <u>The Cambridge History of Iran</u>, vol.5, pp.423ff.
- 33. Kishavarz, Hasan Şabbah, pp.13-14.
- 34. Mir Futrus, Hallaj, passim.
- 35. Abu Sa'id Abi'l-Khayr, p.15.
- 36. S. Nafīsī, Tarīkh-i Khandan-i Ţahirī, pp.324-325; <u>Tarīkh-i Sīstān</u>, pp.193, 198.
- 37. Ravandi, vol.3, pp.576-582.

- 38. Petrushevsky, Tarikh-i Iran, vol.2, p.570.
- 39. Narshakhi, Tarikh-i Bukhara, p.28.
- 40. Māfarrukhī, pp.17-18.
- 41. Nizām ul-Mulk Tūsī, p.58.
- 42. Zahīr ud-Dīn Nishāpurī, pp.31-32.
- 43. Nasir-i Khusraw, p.138; Mafarrukhi, pp.53-58.
- 44. Karīmiyan, Tarīkh-i Ray-i Bastan, vol.I, pp.167ff.
- 45. Kishavarz, Hasan-i Sabbah, pp.13ff.
- 46. Sayfī-yi Haravī, pp.91-109.
- 47. Rashid ud-Din Fazlullah, vol.3, pp.542-545.
- 48. <u>Ibid.</u>, vol.3, p.533.
- 49. Petrushevsky, in <u>The Cambridge History of Iran</u>, vol.5, pp.494-500.
- 50. Ibn Battuta, vol.2, p.310.
- 51. Op.cit., vol.2, pp.295-296, 309-310.
- 52. Petrushevsky, <u>Tarīkh-i Iran</u>, vol.2, pp.465, 486-490; Tāhirī, pp.20-27.
- 53. Munsha'āt-i Sultan Husayn-i Bayqara, (Central Library of Tehran University), pp.3ff; Ravandi, vol.3, p.385.

Chapter 2.

Description and Number of the Guilds

- 1. Description and Classification of the Guilds.
- 2. Number of the Guilds.

2.1. Description and Classification of the Guilds.

There is no precise Persian equivalent of the word "guild" as it is used in European languages, although European writers generally agree in so translating the word sinf. This practice has been followed in the present study. It should, however, be noted that in Persian literature the word sinf (plural asnaf) has a very wide and often ambigious application, being used to designate any category or association of people in society who are distinguished or brought together by common activity or interests. 2 For example, the historian Iskandar Munshi, writing in Shah 'Abbas I's reign remarks that the expression aşnaf-i ra'aya (groups of the subjects) usually means the different occupations including 'ulama, poets, army, urban working people, government officials, agricultural workers, etc. 3 According to a decree of Karim Khan Zand, the founder of the Zand dynasty, the asnaf-i khalq or asnaf-i nas (groups of the people) are classified as four sinfs, namely officials, agricultural workers, traders, and artisans. Karīm Khan's classification was intended to comprise the entire working population of Iran, including the higher ranks of the administration and excluding only the non-employed.

Beside the term <u>sinf</u>, literature relating to the guilds in the pre-Safavid and Safavid periods contains various synonyms which are frequently used by writers.

In the Timurid period, one of these terms was <u>jama'at</u>; a

member of a guild was ahl-i jama'at, and the chiefs were called pishvayan or muqaddaman-i jama'at.5 The word ahl appears in the organizational terminology of the futuvat and Şufi orders and in Şufi tradition and literature; while retaining its Sufi significance, it was also applied to artisans and traders holding membership in the futurat. (The religious aspects and functions of the guilds are discussed in chapter 7 of this work). In the Şafavid period, the designations ahl-i kasb, (traders), ahl-i muhtarafa (craftsmen) and ahl-i şana'at (artisan) were widely used: 7 but in the course of the 17th and 18th centuries, they were relegated to literary or formal usage. 9 and gradually lost their specific meanings, while the word sinf became the normally used term for "guild" and retained this meaning until later times. 10

The meaning of sinf in the 17th and 18th centuries may be defined as a group of city-dwellers engaged in the same occupation, working in the same bazaar, headed by their own chiefs, and paying a regular guild tax to the local authorities. This strict and limited definition must be used if the activities of organized industrial and commercial groups in the cities of Safavid Iran are to be discussed with any precision. On the other hand, this definition may perhaps in itself be a source of confusion, since contemporary sources apply the word "sinf" to groups of people who were not guild members in the above sence. For example, there is no evidence that the guild system was fully developed in small towns and

villages, and there is some evidence that not all workers in cities were included in it. Nevertheless, in general descriptions of society, these looser groupings were referred to as asnaf. There were also certain guilds which did not possess an independent chief (bashi), having been placed together with other guilds under a single joint supervisor. According to a decree of Shah Tahmasb I, Mulla Hasan Muzahhib was appointed the chief (bashi) of a number of book-related but technically distinct guilds in Tabrīz, namely illuminators, copyists, binders (<u>mujallidan</u>), painters, gilders, and paper sellers. 11 Similarly, the goldsmiths, workers in gold leaf, and gold wire-drawers were under supervision of a single chief called the zargarbashi (chief of goldsmiths). 12 Some guilds had no independent chief of their own because they came under the control of a single government official. Thus at Isfahan, the different groups of public entertainers were headed by the mash'aldar-bashi, who was the sahib-jam' (chief book-keeper) of the torch-bearers. 13 Some occupations were not liable for the regular guild taxes (bunicha), e.g. the barbers, public entertainers, bath attendants, 14 and ambulatory craftsmen at Isfahan, 15 who did not have shops in the main bazaars but operated in the Maydan-i Shah (Royal Square). 16 Finally, in considering the scope of the term sinf, it must be remembered that there were also the many craftsmen and tradesmen of the outlying villages who had no connection with the urban guilds but held a considerable share in the

production of manufactured goods and articles. Olearius, who accompanied a Holsteinian ambassador to Iran in 1636-38, states that the inhabitants of the villages near Işfahān were employed in the making of textiles and tapestries of wool, cotton, and silk. The inhabitants of the villages surrounding Kāshān and small towns along the caravan route between Yazd and Mashhad were similarly engaged in the making of textiles and carpets and also of pottery. 18

It is difficult to determine the relative social ranking of the different guilds in Safavid Iran, since in the Islamic doctrine there is no nobility except the nobility of devotion to God (Qur'an, 49,13: "the noblest among you in God's sight are the most pious"). More o ver, the available sources do not clearly state what criteria of social worth were acknowledged at that time. Safavid Iran, where almost all the people were believing Muslims, the Islamic doctrine was of course accepted. Class distinctions were not recognised in law, and were not considered right in practice. Thus the social structure of Safavid Iran differed from the social structures of the European countries and cannot be analysed by comparison with them. A definition of the word class which appears to be applicable to the social groups of Safavid society has been suggested by G. Sjoberg. According to him, a social class is "a large body of persons who occupy a position in a social hierarchy by reason of their manifesting similarly valued objective criteria including

kinship affiliation, power and authority, possessions and material evidences of wealth, moral attributes including religious and ethical beliefs and actions, and personal attributes involving speech and personal mannerism." 19

The very scattered available evidence concerning the social and economic life of 17th and 18th century Iran shows that the population of the large cities consisted of: (a) an elite or upper class which manifested the characteristics of Sjoberg's definition and which comprised feudal magnates (khans), high-ranking officials, military commanders, religious leaders, and wealthy merchants, in short the ruling class. (b) The ruled class, which differed markedly in composition from the upper class and comprised small-scale traders (kasaba), artisans, unskilled manual labourers, simple soldiers, and other humble groups. 20 According to the text of the already mentioned decree of Karim Khan Zand which is reported in the Rustam ut-Tavarikh, the four categories into which this ruler divided the people at the beginning of his reign were (a) ahl-i mulazamat (governors and civil servants), (b) ahl-i hirfa va kasb (artisans and tradesmen), (c) ahl-i bay' va shara (merchants), (d) ahl-i zira'at (farmers). 21 Tavernier, writing in Shah 'Abbas I's reign, mentions that the craftsmen and tradesmen were considered to occupy the third place in the social classification of Safavid Iran. 22 Although neither Asaf nor Tavernier specifically states that the artisans and tradesmen had an inferior social status, their accounts indicate that the

military commanders, senior bureaucrats, and leading 'ulama constituted the élite, and that the artisans and tradesmen, who were the largest elements of the urban population, served this élite and did not belong to it. However, this lower social status paradoxically did not deprive the craftsmen (pishavaran) of dignity and esteem, or deprive individuals from amongst them of social mobility and opportunities to raise their status.

Islamic doctrine and law (shari'at) hold craftsmen in high respect. The theologian Muhammad Ghazzali (d.505/1111) declared that God loves craftsmen (kasib habib-i Khuda'st) because they not only earn their livelihood in a good way but also supply the needs of their community. 23 It is interesting to note that knowledge of a craft was regarded as a merit and excellence for members of the élite, even for Shahs. Simon, a Carmelite priest who was in Iran in 1608, states that "Shah 'Abbas I was proud of his knowledge of several crafts; he enjoyed making scimitars, arquebuses, and bridles and saddles for horses, weaving cloth, and distilling salts, oranges, flower water, and medicaments; and in short, with all mechanical crafts, if not perfect, he is at least somewhat conversant". "It is not a matter for surprise to learn of Shah 'Abbas I's pleasure in mechanical arts, because in the Levant and especially Persia a man is considered ignoble and is not esteemed, even when of high rank, if he does not know some arts or crafts; and often the Fathers have been asked what crafts they practised". 24 Karim Khan Zand boasted of his proficiency in bricklaying

(<u>banna'i</u>), carpet-making, and stocking-weaving, while Aqa Muhammad Bidabadi, an eminent contemporary religious scholar, gained his livelihood from button-making (<u>dugma-chini</u>).²⁵

Birth and upbringing did not prevent intelligent artisans or their sons from receiving high governmental appointments, and thereby rising into the ruling class. For instance, Mīrzā Shāh Ḥusayn, a high-ranking bureaucratin Shāh Ismā'īl I's army who became the Shāh's vakīl (deputy and designated regent), had originally been a stone-mason, and Mīrzā Najm, another important official in Shāh Ismā'īl's reign, had at first probably been a goldsmith at Rasht (in Gilān province) and had been promoted solely on account of his capacity. Tavernier notes that Mīrzā Muḥammad 'Alī Bey, the prime minister (ṣadr-i a'zam) of Shāh 'Abbās II, was the son of a tailor and had been appointed successively chief (bāshī) of the goldsmith's guild and head of the royal mint (mu'ayyir-bāshī) before he reached the highest official position in the Ṣafavid state. 27

Many craftsmen and traders gained reputations for their scholarly activities, mainly in the field of religious sciences, Arabic language, Persian poetry and prose, and calligraphy. For instance, in Shah Isma'īl I's reign, Mawlana Hasan Shah Baqqal (a grocer) of Shiraz left his occupation to become a teacher and established an excellent college (madrasa) in that city. 28 Lutf 'Alī Āzar (d.1195/1781) states that in his time Siraja-yi Ḥakkak (an engraver) was by far the best calligrapher, 29 and that Khavaja Ghīyas ud-Dīn Naqshband, the bāshī of the weavers of Yazd, was an

eminent artist, 30 while Naṣrabadī states that Zamanī-yi Naqqāsh, a painter, had been a well known poet in Shah 'Abbas II's reign. 31

Sources from the Şafavid period mention poor artisans who left their ill-rewarded occupations and successfully went in for large-scale commerce. For instance, Āqā Nūr-i Jūlā, a weaver, who abandoned weaving for the lucrative Indian trade, became after a few years a rich merchant and built a mosque in the Isfahān bazaar known as the Masjid-i Āqā Nūr-i Jūlā (which still exists). 32 Khávāja Bāqir-i 'Aṣṣār, one of the wealthiest merchants in Shāh 'Abbās II's reign, had at first been an oil crusher ('aṣṣār). 33 In general, such wealthy businessmen (saudāgarān), while enjoying an honourable place in society, lived very simply. They usually did not display their wealth, because by so doing they would lose their good name with their fellow businessmen. 34

The available evidence indicates that a guild's social status in the 17th and 18th centuries depended to a great extent on the religious and moral qualities which Islamic laws (sharī'at) and tradition ascribed to its trade or occupation. This religion - rooted distinction between high and low occupations had persisted in all the Islamic nations throughout their history.

Occupations which are sanctioned by shar'ī rules were regarded as superior to occupations which are reprehended by the sharī'at. The latter were not only considered inferior, but also, in general, were ill-rewarded. The

individuals who pursued the inferior occupations generally came from poor families, and these families consequently remained poor. 36

Another criterion of the social status of artisans and tradesmen depended on the degree of skill and length of experience which the various occupations or professions In general, the professions requiring hard required. skills and yielding high returns occupied the position at the top of the social scale, while those yielding low returns and employing unskilled workers lay at the bottom of the scale. In the first place, the artisans working in the royal workshops (karkhana-ha-yi saltanati) had higher skills and qualifications than the self-employed artisans and therefore enjoyed a better social as well as economic status. 37 For this reason, artisans were glad to enter the royal workshops when opportunities arose. Amongst the ordinary guilds, a few stood particularly high in esteem, for example physicians and druggists, to whom patients entrusted their life and health, and money-changers with whom people deposited their money. Certain crafts such as glass-making, gilding, and calligraphy 38 were esteemed for the skill and art which they required. Wealth and honesty were the main sources of the prestige of guilds such as the goldsmiths and jewellers.

Information about the earnings of different occupations and guilds is scarce. The European travellers indicated that goldsmiths, gold wire drawers (zarkashan),

goldbeaters (tala-kuban), jewellers, and money-changers (sarrafan) enjoyed the highest earnings and social status. 39 Brocade weavers (zar-bafan) earned better incomes than most other workers. 40 The craftsmen and traders who had their shops in a royal bazaar and particularly in a qayşariya enjoyed better business opportunities than those who had shops in other quarters (mahallat) or in the suburbs. The artisans in the royal bazaar were fully qualified members of the respective guilds, whereas the craftsmen who carried on their business elsewhere were by tradition only loosely attached to the organized guilds. 41 The wealthier artisans and traders tried to exhibit their economic standing through adornment of their shops. Kotov, the Russian traveller who sojourned in Iran in 1624, states that in the royal bazaar of Isfahan there were shops well roofed over with stone domes and with fronts and interiors painted in gold and many colours. 42 Mīrzā Ḥusayn Taḥvīldar, writing in the middle years of Qajar period, remarks that while the size and equipment of most of the cotton cloth dyers' shops at Isfahan were roughly the same, the heads and chiefs of the dyers' guild spent 1500 tumans more than the others did on equipping their shops.43

Notes (2.1)

- 1. Lewis, The Islamic Guilds, in Eco. H.R. vol.8, pp.20-37; Floor, The Guilds in Iran, in Z.D.M.G. pp.99-116; Lambton, Islamic Society in Persia, passim.
- 2. Murvarid, Sharaf-nama, p.356.
- 3. Iskandar Munshi and Valih, Zavl-i 'Alamara, pp.13-15.
- 4. Aşaf, p.13.
- 5. Munsha'at-i Sultan Husayn Bayqara, pp.3ff.
- 6. Va'iz Kashifi, Futuvat-nama, 110ff.
- 7. Iskandar Munshi, I, p.861; Muḥammad Tahir Vaḥid, 'Ābbās-nāma, p.198.
- 8. Iskandar Munshi. I. p.861.
- 9. Farman of Shah Tahmasb I, dated 979/1571 in the Masjid-i Mir 'Imad at Kashan.
- 10. <u>T.M.</u> pp.80a-81b; Khākī, <u>Dīvān-i Khākī</u>, p.48; Du Mans, p.31.
- 11. Farman of Shah Tahmasb I dated 983/1575 in the Majlis Library, Tehran, MS.No.606, pp.133-136.
- 12. Du Mans, p.195.
- 13. T.M. 136-137.
- 14. <u>Farman</u> of Shah Safi dated 1042/1633 in the Masjid-i Jami' at Yazd.
- 15. Chardin, vol.7, pp.341-342; Kaempfer, p.159.
- 16. Herbert, p.127.
- 17. Olearius, p.303; Chardin, vol.7, p.389.
- 18. Muhammad Riža Vasifi Bidguli, <u>Chiraghan</u> (a manuscript from the early Qājār period reproduced in the <u>Tārīkh-i Kāshān</u> (ed. Kalāntar Žarābī), pp.492-494.
- 19. Sjoberg, p.109.
- 20. Rumlu, pp.455-457.
- 21. Āṣaf, p.309
- 22. Tavernier, p.216.
- 23. Ghazzālī, p.117; Lewis, Sources for the Economic

 History of the Middle East, in Studies in the Economic

 History of the Middle East (ed. M.D.Cook), pp.86-87.

- 24. Carmelites, vol.I, p.386.
- 25. Āsaf, p.309.
- 26. Rumlu, pp.107-108, 177, 264.
- 27. Tavernier, pp.187-188, 195-196.
- 28. Rumlu, p.71.
- 29. Āzar, Ātashkada, vol.3, p.946.
- 30. Amin Ahmad Razi, vol.I, p.159.
- 31. Naṣrābādī, p.294.
- 32. Chardin, vol.8, p.14.
- 33. Naṣrābadī, p.294.
- 34. Herbert, p.244; Chardin, vol.4, p.161; J. Polak, (Persien, das Land und seine Bewohner (Leipzig, 1865), 2, 165-90), quoted in Issawi, p.277.
- 35. Ghazzālī, pp.117ff.
- 36. Lisani Shirazi, <u>Majma'ul-Aşnaf</u>, quoted in Ahmad Gulchin Ma'ani, <u>Shahr-ashub</u>, pp.151ff.; Falsafi, vol.2, pp.21-216.
- 37. Chardin, vol.2, pp.109-110; <u>ibid</u>, vol.7, pp.330-333; Iskandar Munshī, <u>Zayl-i 'Ālam-ārā</u>, p.12.
- 38. Du Mans, 199; Chardin, vol.4, p.155.
- 39. Du Mans, p.194; Le Brun, p.323.
- 40. Chardin, vol.4, p.153.
- 41. Kotov, p.18; also personal investigation in the royal bazaar of Isfahan.
- 42. Kotov, p.18.
- 43. Tahvildar, Jughrafiya-yi Isfahan, p.93.

2.2. Number of the Guilds

On the number of officially recognised guilds with which the government had dealings, precise information is lacking. Generally in Safavid Iran, as in other preindustrial societies, work specialization was based on the product and not, as in modern industrial society, on the process; but the developments in the 17th century, particularly the growth of commerce resulting from the presence of the European East India Companies, probably led to greater diversification and division of labour, though on the other hand it weakened indigenous industries such as silk and wool manufacturing. 1 The Safavid administrative and fiscal systems also promoted specialization, which enabled the bureaucracy to control the guilds more closely, to buy goods more cheaply, to sell their own imported goods more conveniently through royal agents and Armenian brokers. 2 and to collect the guild tax (bunicha) more easily. In general, however, a craftsman in Safavid Iran made and assembled all the parts of an object himself, and often also offered his product directly to the buyers.

Three reliable contemporary sources give the number of guilds at Isfahan in the 17th and 18th centuries as thirty-three. The <u>Tazkirat ul-Mulūk</u> states that there were thirty-three royal workshops (<u>kārkhāna-hā-yi</u> buyūtāt-i saltanatī, and that the technical affairs of each were managed by a chief called the <u>bāshī</u>. Each chief of a royal workshop had a dual function, being responsible not only for the royal

workshop but also for the corresponding guild in the town. Both Jean Chardin, who resided in Iran from 1664 to 1670 and from 1671 to 1675, and Khākī-yi Khurāsānī, a poet in Shāh 'Abbās II's reign, state that the number of the main guilds in Işfahān came to thirty three. 5

Each guild, however, comprised subdivisions which could be classified as distinct professional organizations. For instance the armourers' guild was composed of the arrow-makers (tirgaran), bow-makers (kamangaran), makers of rifle stocks (qundaq - sazan) or (qundaq - tarashan), (percussion) needle makers (suzan-sazan), 6 rifle makers (tufang-sazan) and gunpower makers (barut-sazan). Scattered information on the number of the guilds appears in other European and Iranian sources. Pere Rafael Du Mans, the French priest and scholar, who died in 1696 after spending fifty one of his eighty three years in Iran, counted forty guilds at Isfahan (including some which were more properly subdivisions), while Engelbert Kaempfer. the German physician, who was at Isfahan from March to November 1685, noted about fifty, including entertainers and others who did not have shops in the main bazaar; 10 their figures and details closely correspond. Mirza Tahir Vahid, living in the reign of Shah 'Abbas II, noted the names of a hundred and two guilds, but does not state from which city or cities he obtained this number. 11 'Abdullah Jaza'iri (d.1173/1762) gives the names of seventy six occupations, all at Shushtar 12 (the capital of Khuzistan in the Safavid period). These lists include certain occupations whose identities can hardly be distinguished from

others of a similar nature. 13 For instance there were three guilds at Shūshtar all engaged in tailoring, the bazaar-tailors (khayyāt-i bāzārī), who made clothes to measure in the bazaar and sewed them there, the bazaar-sewers (bāzārī-dūzān), who sewed clothes for the readymade tailors (dūkhta-furūshān), and the sewers of landara cloth (landara-dūzān), 14 who sewed broadcloth (māhūt) and barak (camel-hair cloth). 15 The principal reports on the number of the guilds relate to Isfahān, which was of course not the only city in Iran where guilds existed. Scattered references in local histories and geographies 16 prove that guilds existed elsewhere but do not give enough information to permit estimates of the number of guilds flourishing in a particular city or town at any time.

During the Safavid period, there was a tendency towards concentration of certain crafts in particular provincial cities and districts, due partly to the increase of regular trade with foreign countries and partly to the expansion of internal demand for the products. The towns of Gilan specialized in silk production; ¹⁷ Kashan in spinning and weaving, especially of expensive fabrics such as brocade and velvet; ¹⁸ Kirman became the centre of production of lambskin coats and men's long robes (qaba) ¹⁹ and pottery, ²⁰ Qum of swords and blades, ²¹ Yazd of silkweaving (sha'r-bafi), ²² and Shīraz of glass-making. ²³ In these cities and the adjoining districts, large proportions of the inhabitants were engaged in producing the local

speciality. Thus the relative growth of external and internal demand appears to have promoted both functional and regional specialization and division of labour.

As mentioned above, the main guilds of Isfahan, according to reliable sources, numbered thirty three. Each of these guilds pursued its occupation in a particular lane (rasta) in the bazaar leading to the crossroads (char-suq) of a certain quarter. Each had its own chief (bashi) and each paid the guild tax. The members of these guilds were respected by the government as well as by the people. Since they served the state by paying the bunicha and supplying the needs of the court and the royal establishments (buyutat-i saltanati), the officials in charge of their affairs were ready to help them by providing facilities such as the building of bazaars and the maintenance of security. 24

It has been possible to compile from the various sources three lists of the guilds or professional groupings at Isfahan in the 17th and 18th centuries. The first list, showing the thirty three main guilds and the professionally distinct subdivisions which many of them contained, is as follows:

- 1. Book-binders (sahhāfān), comprising booksellers, gilders, copyists, binders (mujalladān), block printers (bāsmachīyān), inlayers, paper makers, lapis lazuli washers (lājvard-shūyān), 25 ink sellers (murakkab-furūshān), and inkstand sellers. 26
- 2. Goldsmiths, comprising silver wire drawers (<u>sīm-kashān</u>),
 gold wire drawers (<u>zar-kashān</u>), jewellers, gold beaters

- (tala-kuban), goldsmiths (zargaran), silver and gold medal makers, silver engravers (qalam-zanan), and engravers (hakkakan).²⁸
- 3. Money-changers (sarrafan). 29
- 4. Physicians, including druggists and perfume-sellers ('attaran). 30
- 5. Glass makers (<u>shīsha-garān</u>), including mirror makers (<u>ā'ina-sāzān</u>).³¹
- 6. Gold brocade weavers (<u>zarī-bāfān</u>), including gold lace makers (<u>gulābatun-dūzān</u>) and silver brocade makers (<u>naqda-dūzān</u>).³²
- 7. Brocade weavers (sha'r-bafan), 33 including (chadur-shab-bafan) 34 weavers of black dark silkcloths for women's veils (mishki-bafan) 35 and men's cloak makers ('aba-bafan).
- 8. Drapers (<u>bazzāzān</u>) including sellers of shawls, canvas for tents, woollens, and certain sort of brocades.
- 9. Textile printers (chit-sazan), comprising calico and silk cloth printers. 36
- 10. Armourers, comprising arrow makers, bow makers, makers of rifle stocks, needle makers, rifle makers, and gunpowder makers. 37
- 11. Tailors, including tailors (<u>darzīyān</u>), hat makers, suqurlāt makers, ³⁸ sewers of <u>landara</u>, trouser makers (<u>chāqshūr-dūzān</u>), stocking makers (<u>jūrāb-dūzān</u>), furriers (<u>pūstīn-dūzān</u>), and ironers (<u>utū-kashān</u>). ³⁹
- 12. Haberdashers (kharrazi-furushan). 40 (This occupation became extremely flourishing at Isfahan after the influx

- of European goods such as glass beads, spectacles, coral, buttons, handkerchief, handbags, etc.) 41
- 13. Weavers (<u>nassājān</u>), including cotton beaters (<u>naddāfān</u>), loincloth weavers (<u>lung-bāfān</u>), and weavers of '<u>abā</u> (material for men's cloaks). 42
- 14. Carpenters (<u>najjaran</u>), including turners (<u>kharratan</u>), wooden comb makers (<u>shāna-sazān</u>), wood carvers (<u>qālib-sazān</u>), makers of shoe-heels (<u>pāshna-sazān</u>), makers of saddle pommels (<u>qārh-tarāshān</u>), chest makers (<u>sandūq-sazān</u>), jewellery box makers (<u>mijrī-sazān</u>), and tin box makers (<u>quṭi-sazān</u>).
- 15. Confectioners (<u>qannadan</u>), including sugar refiners (<u>shikar-bizan</u>) and sellers of sweetmeats (<u>halva-furushan</u>).
- 16. Swordmakers (shamshir-sazan), knife makers (kard va chaqu-sazan), and steel workers (fulad-garan) who made steel helmets, Qur'an cases, shields, and steel parts such as the upper parts of galyans (water-pipes), saucers for coffee cups etc., scissor makers, and chainmail makers (zira-sazan).
- 17. Dyers (sabbaghan), including dyers of cotton cloths, dyers of silk (sabbaghan-i abrisham), and dyers of yarn (sabbaghan-i risman) who dyed cotton for the weavers and tailors. 46
- 18. Saddlers (<u>zīn-sāzān</u>), including leather bucket makers (<u>dalv-dūzān</u>) and makers of leather instruments (<u>garīk-yarāqān</u>). 47
- 19. Blacksmiths (<u>ahangaran</u>), including locksmiths (<u>qufl-sazan</u>), makers of horse-shoes (<u>na'l-bandan</u>), farriers

- (na'licha-garan), and foundrymen (rikhta-garan). 48
- 20. Coppersmiths (<u>misgaran</u>), including braziers (<u>ruy-garan</u>) and brass vessel makers (<u>davat-garan</u>). 49
- 21. Shoemakers (<u>kafsh-duzan</u>), including makers of a sort of shoe called <u>gurji-duzan</u>, shagreen makers (<u>saghari-duzan</u>) who made shoes from the hides of pack and riding animals, and <u>giva</u> makers (<u>raṣṣāfān</u>) who made light cotton summer shoes. 50
- 22. Builders (<u>bannayan</u>), including architects (<u>mi'maran</u>), stone cutters, plasterers, panellers in stone and marble, and house painters. 51
- 23. 0il-pressers (<u>'assārān</u>), including oil millers

 (<u>'assārān-i rūghan</u>) and pressers of sesame (<u>'assārān-i arda</u>).
- 24. Grocers (<u>baqqalan</u>), including greengrocers (<u>sabzi-furūshān</u>), sellers of roasted chick peas (<u>hammaṣan</u>), sellers of dried fruits (<u>khushka-barfurūshān</u>), sellers of rice (birinj-furūshān or <u>razzāzān</u>). 53
- 25. Potters (<u>fakhkhārān</u>), comprising brick makers (<u>kūra-pazān</u>), makers of pottery (<u>kūzagarān</u>), and makers of glazed tiles (<u>kāshī-pazān</u>). 54
- 26. Bakers (<u>khabbazan</u>).⁵⁵
- 27. Tanners (dabbaghan). 56
- 28. Secondhand dealers (simsaran) and scrap sellers (khurda-furushan). 57
- 29. Corn chandlers (<u>'allafan</u>), including seed merchants. 58
- 30. Cooks (tabbakhan). 59
- 31. Tent material makers (lavvafan), saddlebag makers

- (<u>khurjīn-sāzān</u>), tent makers (<u>khayyām īyān</u>) and packsaddle makers (<u>akkāfān</u>).
- 32. Braid sellers ('allaqa-bandan).61
- 33. Makers of prayer mats (<u>ja-namaz</u> or <u>iḥrami</u>), and straw mat makers (<u>būrīyā-bāfān</u>). 62

The second list is of occupations which were regarded as low in status. Workers in these occupations required little skill and consequently little or no professional training. They did not have shops in the bazaar, and their groups were not headed by a <u>bashi</u>. In short, these occupations comprised the jobs of the poorer people and the jobs which were considered dirty, immoral, inferior, or religiously reprehensible. The list, which is based on data from the 17th and 18th centuries, is as follows:

- 1. Washermen (gazuran or siakaran).
- 2. Camel-drivers (sarbanan).
- 3. Candle makers (sham'-sazan).
- 4. Water carriers (saqqayan).
- 5. Wood cutters (hīzum-shikanan).
- 6. Gluers (<u>kalva-bandan</u>).
- 7. 'Ata'iyan (junk-dealers, buyers of old household cloths (jul), old sacking (palas), old clothes, broken china).
- 8. Rope-makers and hair-coilers (<u>mu-taban</u>).
- 9. Gut-twisters (zih-taban).
- 10. Bath-keepers (hammamiyan).
- 11. Pudding makers (ab-bandan or paluda-sazan).
- 12. Sheep's head friers (kalla-pazan).
- 13. Felt makers (namad-malan).

- 14. Porters (hammalan).
- 15. Mud brick makers (khisht-malan).
- 16. Flexible pipe makers (naypich-sazan).
- 17. Fortune tellers ('arrafan).
- 18. Washers of the dead (ghassalan).
- 19. Gravediggers (qabr-kanan).
- 20. Millers (asīyab-banan).
- 21. Hunters (sayyadan), e.g. bird-netters and fishermen.
- 22. Meat-porters (<u>lash-kashan</u>), who carried carcases from the slaughterers to the butchers.
- 23. Runners (shātirān).
- 24. Barbers (salmaniyan).
- 25. Donkey drivers (khar-kashan).
- 26. Brokers (dallalan), i.e. non-commercial brokers, including marriage-brokeresses who were often Jewish.
- 27. Coffeehouse proprietors (qahvachiyan).63

The third list, which includes several of the occupations shown on the second, is of professional organizations or groups which were not subject to the normal tax (bunicha), and which had no head (bashi) of their own, but were supervised by a senior government official. Each of these groups had to pay customary fees (rusum) to the official in charge. The arrangement served two purposes, firstly to ensure direct state control of the persons engaged in these professions, most of which were considerable harmful to the morals and discipline of the community, and secondly to provide for the immediate expenses of the supervising official and his staff. Although some of these occupations

might have sufficient earning power to offer a considerable source of tax revenue, the fact that most of them were not respectable by the canons of Iranian tradition or were not strictly legal in Islamic law made it unbecoming for the state to receive revenue from them. Their taxes were therefore used to meet the immediate cost of supervision instead of being credited to the royal treasury. The senior official charged with the supervision of such groups was normally the <u>naqīb</u> (see chapter 3).

The list of the mainly low-status guilds under the immediate supervision of the naqib of Isfahan is as follows: Showmen (ma'raka-giran). (The word probably then as now, meant reciters of the battles and martyrdoms of the Imams 'Ali and Husayn, who illustrate their recitations with colourful unrolled portable pictures of these battles). Qalandaran. (In earlier times, qalandar had meant "wandering darvish"). According to Kotov, the Russian traveller who was in Iran in 1624, the galandaran or abdal lived in mosques and looked after them. They frequented the Maydan-i Shah and bazaar of Isfahan, and told stories about the lives of Islamic saints, i.e. the Imams. went barefoot and naked, wearing only a sheepskin with the fur outwards flung over their shoulders. On their heads they put hideous caps, in their hands they carried sticks and spears and axes, and in their ears they stuck big crystal stones. Their appearance was terrible, as though mad and evil. By day they would walk around the Maydan-i Shah and bazaar, and would eat and drink little, at night

they would drink wine and fornicate. 64

- 3. Wrestlers (kushti-giran).
- 4. Wolf-catchers (gurg-giran).
- 5. Washers of the dead (ghassalan).
- 6. Gravediggers (qabr-kanan).
- 7. Jugglers (huqqa-bazan).
- 8. Storytellers (qissa-guyan).
- 9. <u>Shahnama-khwanan</u> (reciters of Firdawsi's <u>Shahnama</u> or parts thereof).
- 10. Fortunetellers (fal-giran).
- 11. Beggars (gadayan).
- 12. Mu'azzinan (utterers of the call to prayer).
- 13. Acrobats and rope dancers (band-bazan).
- 14. Puppet show operators (khayma-shab-bazan).65

At Isfahan certain groups having the nature of guilds were under direct supervision of the chief book-keeper (sāḥib-jam') of the royal torch-bearer's department (mash'al-dar-khāna), who was also in charge of the royal band (naqqāra-khāna). The mash'al-dar-bāshī collected various customary fees from the guilds under his authority. Since these funds were not considered quite pure enough for the use of the Shāh and the government, they were usually left at the disposal of the mash'al-dar-bāshī for the purchase of his requirements such as sheep's tail fat (dunba), tallow, lamp oil, melted fat (jizghāla), large and small kettledrums (kūs and naqqāra), and accessories for the band. The guilds under the control of the mash'al-dar-bāshī were the following:

1. Dancers and singers (ahl-i ṭarb).

- 2. Drummers (tabbalan).
- 3. Gambling house keepers (qumar-bazan).
- 4. Wineshop keepers (sharab-khana-daran).
- 5. Pigeon trainers (kabutar-bazan).
- 6. <u>Bang-khāna</u> keepers (places where <u>bang</u>, i.e. <u>hashīsh</u> or cannabis, was consumed).
- 7. <u>Buza-khana</u> keepers (places where <u>buza</u>, a sort of beer made from millet or rice, was consumed).
- 8. <u>Kuknar-khana</u> keepers (places where a sherbet made from poppies was consumed).
- 9. Keepers of brothels (bayt ul-lutf). 68 Chardin in 1666 noted that there were 14,000 whores in Isfahān. They were registered in an office responsible for the supervision of this trade and received licences from it. 69 Their abodes were in the "laneoftle naked" (kūy-i birahnagān) and in several caravanserais adjoining the Maydān-i Shāh. According to John Struys, who was in Iran in 1671, at Ardabīl "the whores, who have their own residence (i.e. quarter) and ply all over the town and in the caravanserais, are reckoned as a corporation or guild". 70

Another category consisted of professional organizations under the supervision of the Shah's private barber (khassa tarash), as follows:

- 1. Masseurs (<u>dallakan</u>).
- 2. Razor blade makers (tigh-sazan).
- 3. Bloodletters (fassadan).
- 4. Bath stokers (tun-taban).
- 5. Dyers of white beards, e.g. with henna (<u>rang-bandan</u>).

- 6. Knife grinders (charkh-garan).
- 7. Wardrobe keepers (jama-daran).
- 8. Circumcisers (khatna-karan). 71

Data on the membership numbers of the guilds in Isfahan or elsewhere is even scarcer than on the number of the guilds. No direct information appears in the sources, and the available indirect information is scattered and incomplete. Uruj Beg Bayat, the Secretary of Shah 'Abbas I's embassy to Spain, who stayed in that country and embraced Catholicism, wrote that in 1601 there were ten thousand craftsmen's shops in Isfahan, in addition to six hundred caravansarais occupied by tradesmen and artisans. 72 According to Kotov, in the main bazaar of Isfahan alone there were two hundred money-changers, ninety saddle makers, four hundred coppersmiths and cloth printers, two hundred haberdashers, and over two hundred swordsmiths and slipper makers, 73 while a very large caravansarai in the Gulbar quarter was occupied by straw mat makers, 74 and thousands of weavers were employed in cloth manufactories. 75 According to Tahvildar, writing in the Qajar period, the guild of architects and builders in Isfahan had numbered twelve thousand in Safavid times. 76 As regards other cities very little is known. Tabrīz in Shah Şafi's reign had fifteen thousand shops, three hundred caravansarais, 77 seventy khans (commercial buildings) for merchants, and a hundred and ten khans for craftsmen. 78 Although these figures are interesting in themselves, they do not provide an adequate basis for any estimates of the numbers enrolled in the guilds at Isfahan or other Iranian cities during the Safavid period.

Notes (2.2)

- 1. Arthur Edwards, The letter of Arthur Edwards from Persia (1565-1566) in Hakluyt, 3, pp.146-147;
 J. Bruce, e, p.198; Tavernier, p.244.
- 2. W. Foster, L.R., 5, p.288 June 1617 from Isfahan to London.
- 3. <u>T.M.</u>, p.19a.
- 4. Du Mans, pp.24-25.
- 5. Chardin, vol.5, p.499; Khaki Khurasani, p.48.
- 6. H. Lippomano, Lettera a sua Cattolica Real Maestá (Eng.tr.)
- 7. Jaza'iri, p.105.
- 8. <u>D.M.</u>, <u>Majalla-yi Danshkada-yi Adabiyat-i Tehran</u>, year 16, no.4.
- 9. Du Mans, pp.194-206.
- 10. Kaempfer, pp.121-122, 159-160.
- 11. Mīrzā Tāhir Vaḥīd, Masnavī-yi 'Āshiq va Ma'shuq (1110/ 1699), quoted in Gulchin Ma'ānī, Shahr-ashub, pp.64-65.
- 12. Jazā'īrī, pp.103-106.
- 13. Evliya Efendi, the Turkish traveller, counted the guilds of Constantinople as 1001, which he classified into 57 sections. Many of the Constantinopolitan guilds had no important role in the economic life of the city. See Evliya Efendi, vol.1, pp.104ff.
- 14. Londra (Landara) was the word used by Italian merchants at the beginning of the 17th century as a general name for the broadcloths from England which had a good market in Iran; for further information, see D.W.Davis, A Primer of Dutch Seventeenth Century Overseas Trade, pp.101-10. When Arthur Edwards, the English agent of the Muscovy Company, met Tahmasb I at Qazvin, the Shah and his administration had no knowledge of England at all. Edwards introduced himself as follows: "He named it Inghilterra as the Italians call England. Then one of the nobles said Londra, meaning thereby London, which name is better known in far countries out of Christendom than is the name of England." See A. Edwards, in Hakluyt, vol.3, p.143.
- 15. Tavernier, p.48; Tahvildar, pp.92-122.

- 16. e.g. Malik Shah Husayn ibn Ghiyas ud-Din Sistani, Iḥya' ul-Mulūk; Jazā'īrī, <u>Tazkira-yi Shūshtar</u>.
- 17. Olearius, pp.388-391.
- 18. Amin Ahmad Razi, vol.2, pp.445-449.
- 19. Thevenot, p.93.
- 20. Du Mans, pp.196-197; Tavernier, p.320.
- 21. Olearius, p.261.
- 22. <u>Farman</u> of Shah Sultan Husayn dated 1113/1702) in the Masjid-i Jāmi'-i Kabīr at Yazd.
- 23. Fryer, vol.1, p.215.
- 24. Tavernier, p.43.
- 25. T.M., p.106a; Chardin, vol.7, p.364ff.; Du Mans, p.200.
- 26. Nasrabadi, p.418; Kotov, p.18.
- 27. The silver wire drawers produce silver thread for weaving silver brocade (naqda-duzi).
- 28. Chardin, vol.7, p.364; Du Mans, p.195; Tavernier, p.42.
- 29. Khaki Khurasani, p.48.
- 30. Du Mans, p.176; Tavernier, p.44.
- 31. Du Mans, p.198.
- 32. Kaempfer, p.159; Du Mans, p.195.
- 33. Du Mans, p.195.
- 34. The chadur-shab-bafan produce different kinds of light cloth for use as face veils for women and also as sheets.
- 35. The mishkī-bāfān made the black or dark grey silk cloths (mishkī) used by women as cloaks (chādur).
- 36. Nasrabadi, p.360; Sloane, B.M. ms. Or.4094.
- 37. Lippomano, in <u>English. H.R.</u>, vol.7, p.319; Du Mans, pp.197-198. D.M. in op.cit., year 16, no.4.
- 38. The cap (taj) of the Qizilbash (the Safavid army formed by Shāh Ismā'īl I). For further information, see R.M.Savory, The principal officers of the Safavid state during the reign of Shāh Ismā'īl I, in Bulletin of the Oriental and African Studies of the University of London, vol.23, pp.91-105.

- 39. T.M., p.48b; cf. Du Mans, p.205, and Naṣrabadī, pp.143, 168.
- 40. Tavernier, p.45; Kotov, p.19.
- 41. R. Davis, Aleppo and Devonshire Square, pp.124-146; A. Edwards, in Hakluyt, vol.3, pp.57-78.
- 42. Olearius, p.324; Khākī, p.48.
- 43. Tavernier, pp.39, 47; Kotov, pp.18-19.
- 44. A letter from the agent of the Dutch East India Company in Isfahan containing information on the Safavid guilds. This letter can be found in the Dutch State Archive (Algemeen Rijks Archives). Koloniaal Archief (KA), nr.1754, folio 2567, verso 2568.
- 45. Nasrābādī, p.172; Tavernier, p.47; Du Mans, pp.204-205.
- 46. Olearius, p.324; Kotov, p.18; Tavernier, p.45.
- 47. Firuza Mansuri, Gark-yaraqan, in Majalla-yi Hunar va Mardum, year 16, no.189-190, pp.55-58; Kotov, p.19.
- 48. Tavernier, p.47; Du Mans, pp.200-203.
- 49. Tavernier, 45; Du Mans, pp.200-202.
- 50. Du Mans, p.200.
- 51. Tahvildar, p.112.
- 52. Taḥvildar, pp.95-96; Nasrabadi, p.160.
- 53. Khaki, p.48; Nasrabadi, p.382.
- 54. Du Mans, pp.196-197; Nasrabadī, p.382.
- 55. Khaki, p.48; Du Mans, p.202.
- 56. Khākī, p.48; Du Mans, p.203.
- 57. Kaempfer, pp.158-159; Tahvildar, pp.111, 114.
- 58. Nasrabadī, p.424; Khākī, p.48.
- 59. Khakī, p.43; Nasrabadī, p.143.
- 60. 'Abd ul-Wahhab Shahshahani, Bahr ul-Javahir fī 'ilm ud-Dafatir, p.95.
- 61. Tavernier, p.47.

- 62. Sloane, B.M. ms. Or. 4094.
- 63. Kotov, p.20; Du Mans, pp.194-202; Kaempfer, pp.37, 158-159; Falsafī, vol.2, pp.368, 312-316; Farmān of Shāh 'Abbās II dated 1064/1653 in the Masjīd-i Jāmi' at Yazd; Naṣrābādī, pp.143, 431; Sām Mīrzā Ṣafavi, pp.22ff; Chardin, vol.4, p.130.
- 64. Kotov, p.65.
- 65. Falsafī 2, pp.312ff.; Kotov, pp.14, 20; <u>T.M.</u> p.81a; Du Mans, pp.163, 213, 210-200.
- 66. T.M., pp.51b, 52a.
- 67. Sanson, p.100; T.M., pp.51b, 52a; Du Mans, p.24.
- 68. Farman of Shah Tahmasb I dated 941/1534 in the Masjid-i Imad at Kashan; Kaempfer, p.87; Falsafi, 2, pp.312-316.
- 69. Chardin, Vol.2, p.211; <u>Ibid.</u>, vol.5, p.371; Fryer (1684 ed.), p.395.
- 70. Struys, p.288.
- 71. Farman of Shah 'Abbas I dated 1038/1628 in the Masjid-i Shah at Isfahan; Khakī, p.48; Jazā'īrī, p.107.
- 72. Don Juan, p.93.
- 73. Kotov, pp.18-19.
- 74. Sloane, B.M. ms. 0r.4094.
- 75. Struys, pp.310-311.
- 76. Tahvildar, p.112.
- 77. Chardin, 2, pp.321, 327.
- 78. Evliya Efendi, 2, p.136.

Chapter 3.

Structure of the Guilds.

- 3.1. The Governmental administration of the Guilds
 - a) The guilds as administrative intermediaries
 - b) Kalantar
 - c) Naqib
 - d) Muhtasib
 - e) <u>Darugha</u>
 - f) Malik ut-Tujjar
- 3.2. The Internal Organization of the Guilds
 - a) <u>Bashī</u>
 - b) Kadkhuda
 - c) Apprenticeship and Mastership.

3.1. The Governmental Administration of the Guilds.

a) The Guilds as Administrative Intermediaries.

The Safavid Shahs reunited most of the Iranian lands, and from the time of Shah 'Abbas I maintained internal security, which is an essential prerequisite for the prosperity of cities; but they sought to centralize authority in their own hands and therefore did not permit any effective development of the spontaneous local institutions which are necessary for the lasting vitality of large urban agglomerations. Indeed, under the absolutist system of government which existed in Iran in the 16th and 17th centuries and again in the early 19th century. the idea that any political or economic organization might be independent of the palace was virtually unthinkable. 1 The nature of urban life was determined by the dominance of the palace and the governing classes.2 The hierarchical structure of 16th and 17th century Iranian society may be conceived as a pyramid having the Shah at the top followed by large number of governors, military commanders, vazīrs and other high-ranging officials, 'ulama, and chiefs of the tribes (khans), who dominated social and economic life at every level. 3 government, however, in attempting to keep the urban populations under control, generally used their traditional organizations as intermediaries, while the various social groups in the large cities managed to keep some measure of autonomy and to continue handling their internal affairs in accordance with their own traditional

rules and codes. Nevertheless the social structure of Safavid Iran was characterized by the dominance of the state over many aspects of the life of the producing classes, such as farmers, craftsmen, shopkeepers, and even merchants. For this purpose an elaborate bureaucracy was created, and direct and indirect economic and political or ideological pressures were applied. Government-appointed officials as well as elected elders supervised the activities of the trade guilds, and it seems that the guild members often came to believe that the bureaucratic apparatus was an essential part of their own organization.

The methods of state control were of several kinds. Firstly, the Shahs and provincial governors built complete central markets in the important cities, or at least built qayşarīyas (monumental bazaar entrances with public buildings, warehouses, and superior shops). As owner and lessor of these premises, the government could determine and supervise the commercial and industrial activities of a city. 5 Concentration of particular traders in particular streets or quarters was, of course, a characteristic of all Islamic cities, but as Chardin remarked, the location of each guild in the new royal bazaar of Isfahan had been ordained by Shah 'Abbas I. This particular bazaar was not only a centre of artisans and tradesmen, but also the focus of the city's social life. It was a symbol of the authority of the state, 7 and at the same time a very lucrative source of income for the state because many shops and carvansarais in it were owned by the Shahs.

Royal officials closely watched all that went on in this Shah 'Abbas I used to visit it and personally inspect everything. While such supervision helped to maintain order and security and thus to promote the prosperity of the city dwellers, the government's main concern was fiscal. The incorporation of the tradesmen and artisans into guilds made it easier to collect taxes from them and to control prices of goods supplied by them. Secondly, the Safavid government exercised its supervision through the guilds. During the reign of Shah 'Abbas I, the various officers (kalantar, naqib, muhtasib, darugha, bashi, kadkhuda) had more or less become tools in the hands of the government. Many instances of government interference in the affairs of guilds are related by Chardin, Tavernier, and Du Mans, 10 and it is clear that in comparison with the Timurid period, the degree of state control had been greatly increased. 11 The main specific aims of the Safavid régime may be summarized as follows:

- a) Through the guilds as well as through its own officials, the government controlled the quality of staple products required by the general public, kept down their prices, and ensured the use of correct weights and measures. 12
- b) Through use of the guilds to assess and collect the taxes payable by artisans and tradesmen, the government relieved itself of a complex administrative burden.
- c) Through the channel of the guilds, the government obtained its own requirements of goods (e.g. for the royal workshops) at low prices, and sold surplus agricultural

products from the royal estates. 13

- Through the channel of the guilds, the government obtained workers for its establishments and corvees in peacetime and wartime. Their bashis supplied whatever labour and services the government might demand. 14 wartime, artisans and traders were conscripted to provide a mobile bazaar (urdu-bazar) which supplied the needs of The Venetian envoy, Barbaro, found tailors, blacksmiths, saddlers, arrowsmiths, and druggists accompanying the army of Uzun Hasan Aq-Quyunlu (872/1467-882/1478) on his campaigns. 15 Muhammad Muhsin, writing after the Afghan rebellion, states that in the time of Shah Tahmasb II, the garrison commander of Isfahan, Tahmasb-Quli Khan Jala'ir, before setting out with reinforcements for the army which was to fight the Turks in 'Iraq, ordered the artisans and traders to set up a mobile bazaar for the benefit of his troops (char-bazar = char-suq va dakakin sakhtand).16
- e) Through the work of guild officers in regulating the bazaars, and in arbitrating commercial disputes, the government was relieved of a wide range of duties, and fewer cases were brought before the state courts ¹⁷ (i.e. the courts under the <u>divan-begi</u> in which matters of customary law were decided).
- f) Finally, through the heads of the guilds, the government compelled artisans and traders to participate in state ceremonies. The author of Iḥya' ul-Muluk, writing in the reign of Shah 'Abbas I, states that for the reception

of Muhammad Khan, the ruler of Turkistan, on his arrival at Isfahan in 1022/1613, each guild under the supervision of its elders illuminated the Maydan-i Shah and the bazaar of Isfahan. 18

The rest of this chapter consists of short descriptions of the functions of the officers who dealt with guild affairs, namely the kalantar, naqib, muhtasib, darugha, malik ut-tujjar, kadkhuda, and also the functions of craft masters and apprentices. Although the insurrections and wars after the fall of the Safavids upset the governmental and bureaucratic structure from time to time, there is substantial evidence that the administrative and municipal organization at the lower levels remained more or less intact. In particular, the guild administration system of Safavid Iran was largely maintained under the Zands and Qajars. 19 In the course of the second half of the 19th century, however, the offices now to be discussed gradually declined in importance. After the constitutional revolution and the subsequent introduction of new administrative methods, most of these old offices disappeared, though in the traditional bazaars some of them still exist.

b) Kalantar

under the Safavids and Afshars, has examined its various functions in detail. Noting that the kalantar was an urban official belonging to the "civil" hierarchy who appeared in the post-Ilkhanid period, she makes the following

R

76.

comment: "The fact that there is no mention in the Tazkirat ul-Muluk of the kalantar's salary supports the view that, notwithstanding his association with the government, he was not its servant in the same way as was, for example, the vazīr . This view is contradicted by other evidence, which indicates that the office of kalantar was then included in the governmental hierarchy. The kalantars of Isfahan and other cities held titles, granted by the Safavid Shahs, such as 'Ali-jah21 or 'Ali-hazrat22 (His Eminence). In 1135/1722, during the siege of Isfahan by Mahmud the Afghan, Shah Sultan Husayn dismissed Mirza Rafi'a, the kalantar of Isfahan, in the same way that he had earlier in 1133/1720 dismissed the grand vazīr Fath 'Alī Khan Daghistanī, and ordered the confiscation of this kalantar's property. 23 More specific evidence, but from a later period, is reported by Muhammad Hashim Asaf: Karim Khan Zand, after his accession at Isfahan in 1163/1750, appointed a governor (hakim) for every city, and every governor had seven officers, ('ummal-i sab'), namely the vazīr, muhtasib, mustawfī, vakīl ur-ra'āyā, muḥaṣṣiṣ, kalantar, and naqīb, to each of whom a fixed salary was paid. 24 The kalantar in Safavid times had considerable authority over the affairs of the artisans and traders. He appointed the kadkhudas, took part in the apportionment of the taxes among guild members, and protected them against oppression by government officials. 25 John Fryer, writing in the reign of Shah Sulayman, states that the kalantar was the clerk of the bazaar, who fixed prices and punished cheaters. 26 Although

the kalantar intervened in decisions on price-fixing, he was not directly responsible for this function. At Isfahan there was some overlap between the duties of the kalantar and the muhtasib. Sanson, also writing in Shah Sulayman's time, states that the Shah appointed a kalantar in every city who was made responsible for ensuring the correct conduct of the traders and who furthermore arbitrated their disputes and contentions. 27 According to Mirza Rafi'a's Dastur ul-Muluk, the members of each guild nominated their kadkhuda, and the kalantar issued a certificate (ta'liqa) and gave a robe of honour (khil'at) to the kadkhuda. The kalantar presided over the bunicha committee and apportioned the bunicha among the various guilds. His signature on a demand for bunicha was in some measure a confirmation of the guild's consent to the assessment. 28 The kalantar settled disputes over guild affairs and between guild members. 29 The appointment or dismissal of masters (<u>ustadan</u>) required his approval. 30 According to Du Mans, the kalantar was close to the Shah, and collected the Shah's shop rents, which he delivered to the royal treasury. 31 From every guild with which he dealt, the kalantar received a customary annual fee. 32

c) NaqIb

The <u>naqīb</u> was another high-ranking officer who was directly involved in guild affairs during the Safavid period. The word, which means "verifier", was in Iranian usage given to the head of the <u>sayyids</u> (descendants of the Imam 'Alī) in a town and at first meant "verifier of the

genealogies of sayyid-ship". 33 G. Baer thinks that the office of <u>naqib</u> derived from the <u>futuvat</u> tradition, 34 in which the Imam 'Ali is revered as the model of integrity in work and conduct (ikhlas-i 'amal). 35 It has not been possible to find a clear description of the function of the naqib before the Timurid period. In documents from that period the office of naqib is mentioned as an honourable rank. A diploma for the appointment of 'Abdullah Murvarid as the naqib of Harat, the capital (Dar us-Saltana) of the Timurid Sultan Husayn Bayqara, which has survived from the last decade of the 9th century (1484-1494 A.D.), shows that his function as naqib of Harat was to scrutinize the authenticity of the genealogies of the sayyids. 36 Khwandamir, in his history Habib us-Siyar which goes up to 930/1524, indicates that the sayyids had an organization in every city under the supervision of a naqīb. 37 There was probably a close link between the functions of the naqib pertaining to religion and those pertaining to the guilds. Husayn Va'iz Kashifi (d.910/ 1504) states that the naqib supervised the relationship between masters of crafts (ustadan) and apprentices (shagirdan), and girded every craftsman with a ceremonial belt (miyan bastan) on his promotion to the rank of mastership. 38 In the Safavid period, such religious functions were still performed by the naqib, but his principal role was the supervision of certain categories of guild affairs. The naqib was appointed by the Shah and ranked as a high official. Evliya Efendi, the Turkish

visitor who was in Iran in the mid-17th century, states that the <u>naqib</u> of Tabriz was a government official and was the head of the sayyids. 39 The naqib of Isfahan held the title 'Ali-hazrat, which was granted only to officials of the highest rank. 40 It may be assumed that the office of naqib was hereditary and that a naqib handed over his post to his son, who was confirmed in it by a royal Minorsky states that the naqib was the deputy or assistant of the kalantar. 42 According to the Tazkirat ul-Muluk, the main duty of the naqib was to fix the bunicha of the various guilds. Furthermore, when a guild approved a craftsman as master, it was the nagib who certified the candidate's qualifications. 43 N. Kuznetsova attaches importance to the role of the naqib in ritual ceremonies, and summarizes his functions in relation to the guilds as follows: supervision of custom and ritual, surveillance of the moral behaviour of members, enforcement of the rules governing the induction of novices and their apprenticeship to masters, guardianship of traditions concerning a craft's original patron saints, assessment of the bunicha, apportionment of orders for goods between guild members, restraint of price fluctuation in the bazaar, and determination of prices for goods. 44 The Tazkirat ul-Muluk mentions that the naqib had great authority in certain guilds. He appointed the elders of the darvishes, religious reciters (rawża-khwanan) and religious entertainers (ahl-i ma'arik), etc. 45 Although the naqib was a government official, his relationship with the guilds

was close, and he generally enjoyed the respect of their members, not only for his ancestry but more importantly for his own dignity and honour.

d) Muhtasib

The rise of the office of muhtasib in the early Islamic period was a measure of the growth in the mumber of cities throughout the Islamic Empire. 46 The hisba, i.e. the muhtasib's function as overseer of the economic and social life of the Islamic city, is discussed in a valuable book by Ibn al-Ukhuwa (d.729/1329).47 The development of the hisba in the 'Abbasid period (132/749-656/1238) resulted from the effort of the Caliphs to islamize all the institutions of government and to make them as far as possible uniform. Although the actual role of the muhtasib differed in the various cities, his basic duties were the regulation of every day economic affairs such as payment of debts, fulfilment of contracts, supply of essential commodities, accuracy of weights and measures, quality of craft manufactures, purchase prices of raw materials, and sale prices of finished goods. 48 The muhtasib carried out his tasks in accordance with Islamic law (shari'at) and the Qur'anic command (sura III, 104, 110, 113) to enjoin what is good and prohibit what is evil, and at the same time he represented the government's authority in the bazaar. 49 Khwaja Nizam ul-Mulk Tusi (d.485/1092), the vazīr of the Saljuqid Sultans, states in his Siyasatnama that a muhtasib must be appointed in every city to supervise the bazaar, to check weights and measures for accuracy, to inspect the prices at which raw materials and finished goods are bought and sold, and to prevent any kind of cheating, fraud, or deception. On the reign of Sultan Mahmud Ghazan (694/1295-703/1304), the first Muslim ruler of the Mongol Ilkhanid dynasty, who attempted to carry out important reforms, the muhtasib checked the weights in use among the tradesmen and was appointed by the government. From the Timurid period, royal diplomas for the appointment of individuals to the office have been preserved in the Sharafnama of 'Abdullah Murvarid. In Karīm Khān Zand's time, the muhtasib was one of the seven principal government officials in each city.

Under the Safavid régime, the office of chief muhtasib of the empire (Muhtasib ul-Mamālik) carried great prestige and the title 'Ālī-jāh ("His Eminence"). He supervised the prices of goods in Isfahān, affixed his seal on the official lists of prices, and punished any of the artisans (ahl-i hirfa) or tradesmen who violated his regulations; he also appointed a deputy (nā'īb) in every provincial city. Le Brun reports that the muhtasib was the inspector general of the bazaar and used to prepare detailed lists of the various goods and their prices. First the muhtasib and guild's representatives fixed the prices, then he displayed the prices every month on the gate of the palace (i.e. the 'Alī-Qāpū in Iṣfahān); he also punished or fined cheaters who were found using false weights and measures.

any rise in the cost of the staple necessities. No one could overrule the muhtasib's decisions. ⁵⁸ According to a diploma dated 1072/1662, the muhtasib was responsible for maintaining public morality, and in addition to this task, he was to supervise certain groups and guilds, such as the mullas (minor clergy), mu'azzins, darvishes and ghassals. ⁵⁹ The Muhtasib ul-Mamalik received 50 tumans as his salary and 253 tumans and 300 dinars in local fees (rusum-i mahalli). ⁶⁰ Although the office of muhtasib endured after the fall of the Safavids until the early Qajar period, like many other traditional offices it afterwards gradually lost importance and finally disappeared. ⁶¹

e) <u>Darugha</u>

The word darugha is derived from the Mongol daru "to press, to seal" and was used in the Mongol feudal hierarchy. 62 In Iran, the office of darugha rose to importance when, under the Timurids, the name darugha was given to the state-appointed governors of the major towns; 63 but under the Safavids the function of the darugha was different. His basic task was to protect the town from disorder and from any threats to its security. In the Safavid period, the darugha administered the market police, usually under the juisdiction of the divan-begi (ministry of justice). 64 Krusinski, the Polish priest who was in Isfahan during the Afghan siege, states that one of the chief duties of the darugha was to protect the town against robbers and criminals; 65 he was directly responsible for the security of the bazaar at all hours of day and night. 66 He stopped at the qayṣariya of

Işfahan for an hour every night and then patrolled the bazaar. His men, who did the patrolling, were called ahdas (a word originally meaning "young men"); 67 if they discovered any stolen property, the darugha would take from one tenth to one fifth of it as a reward. The tradesmen and artisans paid a regular fee to the darugha to protect their shops from burglary. Fryer mentions that the artisans and craftsmen of each bazaar collectively provided the amount of the fee. 70

The darugha had power to fine and physically punish individual artisans and traders who violated the regulations of the bazaar. According to Du Mans, he might also fine the members of a guild collectively. 71 If butchers and bakers overcharged or gave short weight, he had them flogged, or had their ears and noses cut off, or their tendons ripped. 72 In the capital Isfahan, he was responsible for keeping special registers of crafts which were liable to labour service for the royal court. 72 The post of darugha was a lucrative one. As salary alone, the darugha of Isfahan received 400 tumans, and he gained large amounts more from both customary fees (rusum) and unlawful takings. The Tazkirat ul-Muluk does not indicate who paid the rusum to the darugha of Isfahan, but probably means the fees contributed by the different guilds.

f) Malik ut-Tujjar

The merchants (tujjar) did not form a homogeneous class, but in every city were divided into several groups based on line of business, religious affiliation, place of

origin, nationality, and other criteria. At Isfahān and at commercially important cities such as Kāshān, Tabrīz, and Yazd, 75 a distinction was made between merchants from different parts of the country. Also mentioned is a division of merchants by special location in the cities where they did business. In the main bazaar of Isfahān, there were more than thirty well-established caravansarais, each belonging to a particular group of merchants engaged in a certain line of business or having a certain place of origin or nationality, e.g. the caravansarais of the Gīlānīs, Khurāsānīs, Turks, Farangīs (European), and Indians. 76

As a result of these divisions, the Iranian merchants in the Safavid period never had any permanent professional organization similar to the contemporary craftsmen and tradesmen's guilds. 77 The merchants were exempted from the bunicha (guild tax), the only tax which they normally had to pay being the tamgha. 78 On special occasions, however, such as the arrival of a royal guest or the occurrence of a royal wedding, the merchants were made to contribute to the cost of officially sponsored public jubilations. 79 Lippomano, a Spanish ambassador who was in Iran in 1585-1586, remarks that Shah Muhammad Khudabanda's financial straits compelled him to obtain the garments and cloths for dressing his court on credit, which the merchants granted most unwillingly.

A merchant's son normally took over his father's business. After completing a few years' apprenticeship, the son would become his father's trusted assistant, and

would often marry the daughter of a fellow merchant. Through such marriages, a merchant gained more respect and facilities for his business, and improved his social status. 81

The concentration of the wealthiest merchants in Isfahan and certain provincial capitals was due mainly to the narrowness of the markets in other cities, but partly also to governmental action. The economic policy of the Safavids in general and Shah 'Abbas I in particular was not helpful to indigenous merchants. The Shahs were more concerned to develop their own commercial enterprises, and they did this through the instrumentality of royal agents and merchants from favoured ethnic groups, namely the Tabariza (Tabrizi merchants) of Isfahan, 82 and the Armenians whom Shah 'Abbas I had forcibly removed from Julfa in Azarbaijan in 1604 to enable them to start business as merchants in his capital. 83 Nevertheless, in several cities such as Isfahan, 84 Kashan, 85 Tabrīz, 86 Yazd, and Shīrāz, 87 there were communities of indigenous merchants, who had a loose mutual relationship and organization and were supervised by a government-appointed functionary having the title malik ut-tujjar (chief merchant).

The malik ut-tujjar of Isfahan was appointed by the Shah from amongst the city's leading merchants. 88 According to Le Brun, he had to possess not only a reputation for probity and good behaviour, but also a qualification to arbitrate commercial disputes which might be brought before him. 89 He had a hybrid role, being charged on the one hand with the supervision of his fellow merchants and the

settlement of disputes between merchants and between them and their clients, 90 and on the other hand with the protection of the government's interests and communication of its demands to his fellow merchants. In the former capacity, he was the responsible spokesman of a professional group which enjoyed a certain autonomy in its internal affairs, and served as intermediary between it and the government. The French priest Sanson, who was at Isfahan in 1683, states that the malik ut-tujjar represented the interests of the merchants and craftsmen and stood up for them against every kind of injustice or vexation. 91 Nevertheless it seems that the main function of the malik uttujjar was to act on behalf of the Shah and government. According to Chardin, he was the Shah's commercial advisor, 92 and according to Kaempfer, he was responsible for the purchase of garments for the royal family and the army. 93 Le Brun states that the "melikuziaer" or chief of the merchants bore that title by virtue of his final jurisdic tion in commercial proceedings which were brought before him, but was at the same time in charge of the tailors working for the royal court. Although he acted under the orders of the nazir-i buyutat (superintendent of the royal establishments), "the provision of clothing and all similar articles for His Majesty's personal use was entrusted to his care. He was also the supervisor or inspector general of all persons authorized to dispose of silk and other manufactures belonging to His Majesty in foreign parts". 94 As a royal adviser, the malik ut-tujjar,

which were offered to the Shāh. 95 The fact that the malik ut-tujjār was appointed by the Shāh and sat in the same rank as senior officials at ceremonies did not mean that he was considered to be a member of the official hierarchy. 6 The Tazkirat ul-Mulūk in its enumeration of the offices (manṣābs) of the Ṣafavid régime does not mention the malik ut-tujjār among them. The seat of the malik ut-tujjār at Iṣfahān was the Shāh Caravansarai in the royal bazaar, where several wealthy merchants carried on their business. 97

It is known from the scarce available evidence that a similar functionary existed in certain other cities, and it may be surmised that there was one in every important commercial centre. Sanson states that a provincial malik ut-tujjar was called Le Kalenter ou Prévost des marchands. According to Chardin, a provincial chief merchant had the title ra'is ut-tujjar (which he translates as roi des marchands). The functions of provincial chief merchants resembled those of the malik ut-tujjar of the capital. They upheld the general interests of the merchant community, settled disputes, defended businessmen and craftsmen against every kind of vexation, and ascertained the causes of bankruptcies.

In some of the sources, another functionary, apparently different from the <u>malik ut-tujjar</u> is mentioned, namely the <u>tājir-bāshī</u> (head merchant). Kaempfer's account indicates that the two offices were not separate in Shāh Sulaymān's reign, 102 but it seems that there were two different functionaries with these titles in Shāh Sultān Ḥusayn's reign.

The precise role of the <u>tājir-bāshī</u> at that time is not clear. According to the later historian Āṣaf, the <u>tājir-bāshī</u> was responsible for the commercial businesses of the royal establishments (<u>buyūtāt-i saltanatī</u>). In that case, the <u>tājir-bāshī</u> must have been a government official, whereas the <u>malik ut-tujjār</u> was in principle a representative of a class.

During the Qajar period, the merchants of every city elected from among themselves a trustworthy and experienced fellow merchant as the head of their guild (sinf) and called him ra'is ut-tujjar. He had no legal authority, but his judgement in mercantile disputes was traditionally acceptable to the merchants concerned. 104

Notes 3.1

- 1. C. Cahen, Ya-t-il eu des corporations professionelles dans le monde musulman classique? pp.51-64.
- 2. Ahmad Ashraf, Vizhagi-ha-yi tarikhi-yi shahr-ha-yi Iran (Historical features of the Iranian cities), in Majalla-yi 'Ulum-i Ijtima'i, No.4, 1974.
- 3. Hafiz Farmanfarma'iyan, The beginnings of Modernization in Iran: the political and reforms of Shah 'Abbas I, passim.
- 4. Chardin, vol.7, pp.361-362.
- 5. Struys, p.311.
- 6. Chardin, vol.7, pp.360-361.
- 7. Tavernier, pp.35ff.
- 8. Muhammad Muhsin, <u>Zubdat ut-tavarikh</u>, folio, 199b; Shamlū, Qissas ul-Khaqaniyya.
- 9. Carmelites, vol.1, p.169; Sanson, p.10.
- 10. Tavernier, p.239; Chardin, vol.4, p.95.
- 11. Munsha'āt-i Sultān Ḥusayn Bayqara, pp. 3ff.
- 12. T.M. p.80a; Chardin, vol.4, pp.94-95; Du Mans, pp.36-37.
- 13. Jazā'īrī, p.102.
- 14. Tavernier, p.239.
- 15. Barbaro, p.67.
- 16. Muḥammad Muḥsin, folio 217.
- 17. Evliya Efendi, vol.2, pp.1-8; Sanson, pp.189-190.
- 18. Malīk Shah Husayn Sīstanī, pp.414-415, 496-497; Abu'l-Fazl ibn Mubārak Shah, Akbar-nama (Tārīkh-i Akbar-Shahi, dated 1034/1625), folio 64a.
- 19. Asaf, pp.306-310.
- 20. Lambton, The office of Kalantar under the Safavids and Afshars, p.2ff.
- 21. Nasrābādī, pp.101, 115.
- 22. T.M. p.76a; Farman of Shah Sultan Husayn dated 1130/ 1717, B.M. ms. No.4935, folio 14.

- 23. Muhammad Muhsin, folio 206b.
- 24. Āṣaf, p.307; Evliya Efendi, vol.2, p.134.
- 25. Tavernier, p.250; Thévenot, p.103.
- 26. Fryer, vol.3, p.24.
- 27. Sanson, p.46; Kaempfer, pp.131-132.
- 28. D.M., in op.cit., year 16, no.4; Le Brun, pp.290-291.
- 29. T.M. p.77a.
- 30. D.M. in op.cit., year 16, no.4.
- 31. Du Mans, p.36; Fryer, 3, p.24.
- 32. According to two diplomas of Karim Khan Zand appointing kalantars at Tabriz dated 1764 and 1773, one of the duties of a kalantar was oversight of the affairs of the craft guilds. Texts in Lisan ul-Muluk Sipihr, Tarikh-i Dar us-Sultana-yi Tabriz, Tehran, 1208/1876, pp.291-298.
- 33. Muhammad 'Alī Rawzatī, Jami' ul-Ansab, vol.1, p.38.
- 34. Baer, "The organization of labour", in Islamischer Zeit, Handbuch der Orientalistik, Band 6, pp.31-52.
- 35. Tuhfat ul-Ikhvan, a work concerned with the principles of futurat written in the 9th/15th century, ed. by Muhammad Damadi, Tehran 1351/1972.
- 36. Murvarid, pp.10-11.
- 37. Khwandamir, 4, pp.603ff.
- 38. Husayn Vā'iz-i Kāshifī, pp.37a-43a.
- 39. Evliya Efendi, 2, p.134.
- 40. T.M., p.80a.
- 41. Farman-i Niqabat-i Razavi, dated 1017 hijri in Majalla-yi Barrasi-hā-yi Tārīkhi, No.4, year 4, Tehran, pp.225-230; Tahvīldār, p.86; Azar, 3, p.943.
- 42. T.M., p.148.
- 43. Ibid., p.83.
- 44. N. Kuznetsova, in C.A.R. No.2, pp. 314-315.
- 45. T.M., pp.68, 83, 149.
- 46. Nīrumand Rahīmī, <u>Historical development of the central</u> Iranian cities during the Islamic period, passim.

Ĭį.

- 47. Ibn Ukhuwa, pp.4-5.
- 48. B. Foster, Agoronomos and Muhtasib, in J.E.S.H.O. vol.13, 1970, pp.128-149.
- 49. <u>Ibid.</u>, p.140; Scanlon, pp.179-195; Floor, <u>The market police in Qajar Persia</u>, in <u>Die Welt Des Islam</u>, 13, 1971, pp.212-229.
- 50. Nizām ul-Mulk Tūsī, p.56.
- 51. Rashid ul-Din Fazlullah, pp.496-497.
- 52. Murvarid, pp.2-3.
- 53. Asaf, pp.307-308.
- 54. T.M., pp.79a-80a.
- 55. Le Brun, p.292.
- 56. Kaempfer, p.86.
- 57. D.M., op.cit., year 16, no.4; Le Brun, p.292.
- 58. Tavernier, pp.258-259; Asaf, pp.307-308.
- 59. Diploma in the possession of Hajji Muhammad Nakhjavani of Tabriz, in <u>Islamic society</u> in <u>Persia</u>, Lambton, p.13.
- 60. T.M., pp.90a.b.
- 61. Lambton, The office of kalantar under Safavids and Afshars, p.13.
- 62. E.I., 1st ed., 1, pp.162-163.
- 63. Katib, passim.
- 64. Tavernier, pp.220-221; Kaempfer, pp.84, 131.
- 65. Krusinski, vol.1, p.3; Evliya Efendi, 2, pp.141-142.
- 66. Muḥammad Muḥsin, folio 224a.
- 67. T.M., p.149.
- 68. Thévenot, pp.91, 103.
- 69. Gemelli, p.133.
- 70. Fryer, 3, p.24.
- 71. Du Mans, p.39.
- 72. Sanson, p.189; Thevenot, p.103.
- 73. E.I., 1st ed., 1, pp.162-163.

- 74. Kaempfer, p.40; T.M., p.90b.
- 75. Iskandar Munshi, vol.2, p.308; Kaempfer, p.159.
- 76. Sloane, B.L. ms. Or., No. 4094.
- 77. Suhayl Azar, pp.236-237.
- 78. See chapter 4, The guilds and taxation.
- 79. Du Mans, p.33; Iskandar Munshī, 2, p.1001.
- 80. Lippomano, in Ens. H. R. 7, 1892, pp. 317-318.
- 81. Asaf. pp.94-95.
- 82. Nasrabadi, p.306.
- 83. Le Brun, p.323; Herbert, p.122.
- 84. L.R. 5 (June 1617), p.287; Herbert, p.129.
- 85. Carmelites, vol.1, p.733.
- 86. Teixeira, 2, p.245.
- 87. Gemelli, pp.116-117.
- 88. Sanson, p.148; Du Mans, pp.191-192.
- 89. Le Brun, p.292.
- 90. Chardin, (English ed.) vol.1, p.370; Kaempfer, p.86.
- 91. Sanson, pp.147-148.
- 92. Kaempfer, p.86.
- 93. Tavernier, p.222; <u>D.M.</u>, op.cit., year 16, no.1 and 2, p.85; <u>Zayl-i 'Ālamārā</u>, pp.281-282.
- 94. Le Brun, p.291.
- 95. Chardin, 3, p.198.
- 96. della Valle, 1, p.539.
- 97, Sloane, ms. 4094.
- 98. Iskandar Munshi, 1, p.308.
- 99. Sanson, p.148.
- 100. Chardin, 5, p.262.
- 101. Sanson, pp.147-148.

- 102. Kaempfer, p.86.
- 103. Asaf, p.100.
- 104. Adamiyat and Natiq, pp.310-312.

3.2. The Internal Organization of the Guilds

a) <u>Bāshī</u>

In general it appears that the internal affairs of the guilds in the Safavid period were administered by officers or elders of their own choice known variously as rish-safid "white beard", kadkhuda "headman", or bashi "chief". The representatives of the guilds were initially chosen by the members, but the choice of a bashi generally had to be confirmed by a diploma (farman) of the Shah, or in a provincial city of the governor. This provided further opportunities for governmental control and exploitation of the guilds through the instrumentality of the bashi. In the Mongol period, the terms pishva and muqaddam appear to have been used with the same meaning as bashi in the Safavid period. Sayfi Haravi, whose history of Harat goes up to 721/1321, mentions that Amīr 'Izz ud-Dīn was the chief (ra'is) and muqaddam of the weavers of Harat and presided over their guild. 1 Ibn Battuta states in Isfahan the members of each craft appointed one of their own members as their headman, whom they called kilu (i.e. quli).2 A very interesting and reliable description of the function of the bashi of certain guilds in Timurid Harat has been preserved in a unique document from the early 15th century consisting of diplomas (farmans) of appointment of the chiefs of the guilds (jama'at) of the oculists and surgeons (kahhalan va jarrahan), furriers (pustin-duzan), carpet-weavers, shoemakers, and barbers. These farmans show that the chiefs (pīshvayan va kalantaran) were appointed by the ruler and were charged with the supervision of their respective

guilds throughout the territory (mamalik-i mahrusa). chief was elected from among the guild members, and to be eligible, he was required to possess a working knowledge of his craft and a reputation for piety and good morals and behaviour. The professional certificate of anyone who wished to be considered for the position of chief had to be authenticated by the master-craftsmen (ustadan) of his guild. The Timurid documents quoted in the Sharafnama of 'Abdullah Murvarid show that certain occupations were grouped together (asnaf-i mushtarak) and placed under the supervision of single chief; for example Ustad Shams ud-Din Muhammad was appointed chief of the drummers (naggarachiyan), washers of the dead (ghassalan), street vendors (tavvafan), bloodletters (fassadan), sieve-makers (gharbal-sazan), bathkeepers (hammamiyan), bath-attendants who shaved heads (sar-tarashan), masseurs (dallakan), millers (asiya-banan), and dancers (lutiyan). The pishvayan, who were similar to the later bashis, controlled the artisans in every professional aspect. They were required to prevent any kind of fraud or cheating by members, and they also punished any violations of the guild's regulations. For instance, Ustad Danishmand Samarqandi, the chief of the furriers of Harat, was required to supervise the cutting, sewing, and purchase and sale of fur by all members of his guild. 5

There is clear evidence that in the Safavid period the bashis of the guilds were appointed by the Shah in Isfahan and by the governors in the provincial cities. The bashis were the link between the guilds and the government, but with the increased centralization during and after the reign

of Shah 'Abbas I, they tended to become more closely connected with the government. Kaempfer states that in the reign of Shah Sulayman, the prime minister frequently consulted the bashis of the guilds. 7 It may be surmised that at this stage the bashis began to encounter opposition from the guild members. At Isfahan the bashis of the workshops of buyutat-i saltanati were often charged with the supervision of the bazaar guilds of the same trades. 8 Normally the bashi of a guild was chosen from among its members on the basis of superior accomplishment in its craft, but was appointed by royal diploma on the recommendation of nazir-i buyutat (superintendent of the royal establishments). Amin Ahmad Razi, writing in the time of Shah 'Abbas I, mentions that Khwaja Ghiyas ud-Din Naqshbandi, who was perfect and unrivalled in his profession, was chosen to be the chief of the weavers of Yazd. 11 A surviving farman of Shah Tahmasb I dated 983/1575 assigns to Mulla Hasan Muzahhib (manuscript illuminator) a number of responsibilities which are specified in detail: he was to supervise the guilds of the manuscript illuminators, scribes, binders, illustrators, and paper sellers, licence persons who were qualified to practise the said crafts, constantly inspect the raw materials which the said artisans used in their work, and take action against any artisan guilty of professional misconduct. 12

The internal administrative organization of every guild was controlled by its <u>bashi</u>. The <u>bashi</u>s of Isfahan were made responsible for the respective guilds throughout the country. 13 A qualified artisan or tradesman who wished to set up an independent business had to apply to the <u>bashi</u> of the guild,

who registered the name of the applicant in his office and gave him the necessary licence to set up a shop. 14 Of all the guild officers, the bashis were the closest to government. 15 One of their functions was to provide labour for the royal corvées, and they were able to extract considerable sums from artisans anxious to escape from them. Tavernier remarks that "tous les chefs de ces métiers-là sont officiers payés du Roi, qui ne travaillent point s'ils ne veulent, et qui ont droit de commander à tous ceux qui sont sous eux". 16 Certain bashis had specially close ties with the court, for instance, the zargar-bashi (chief of the goldsmiths! guild). Through the zargar-bashi, the government endeavoured to keep a particularly careful watch on the goldsmiths! guild, which was exceptionally wealthy and made a larger contribution to the state's revenue than any other. It was in order that the goldsmiths might be strictly controlled that they were always housed in the qaysariya. Not surprisingly, the zargar-bashi of Isfahan enjoyed valuable privileges. For example he was entitled to two per cent of the value of all jewelry etc. that the goldsmiths and silversmiths supplied to the royal court, and to one per cent of the value of what they sold in the city. 17

The office of <u>bashi</u> might only be held by a Muslim.

Tavernier relates that Jacob John, an Armenian artisan,
brought a printing press from Europe to Isfahan
and that Shah 'Abbas I afterwards appointed him the <u>bashi</u>
of the carpenter's guild and demanded that he should profess
Islam; in spite of Jacob John's refusal, the Shah temporarily
retained him as <u>bashi</u> of the carpenter's guild.

In the provincial cities, every guild had its own <u>bashi</u>, who similarly presided over its affairs. The appointments were made by the governors; for instance, in Shah 'Abbas I's reign, Ustad Jamal Mi'mar was appointed the <u>bashi</u> of the architects and masons of Shushtar which was then the provincial capital of Khuzistan, and Nawruz 'Ali Beg Shamlu was confirmed as the <u>bashi</u> of the goldsmiths' guild in Harat by the governor 'Abbas-Quli Khan.²⁰

The Tazkirat ul-Muluk does not state whether the salaries of the bashis were paid by the Safavid government or by the guild members. It appears from the Timurid documents that the chiefs of the guilds of Harat recieved remuneration from the guild members: for instance Ustad Danishmand Samarqandi, the chief of the furriers, received "kind services" (i.e. emoluments) from the members regularly every year (murattab sal bi-sal u-ra khidmat-ha-yi niku kardand).21 of a bashi in the Safavid period depended on the importance of the craft. Du Mans states that the chiefs of the artisans who worked for the court were paid by the government; they were "ser viteurs du roy" and "ainsi des autres qui ont gage du Roy". 22 According to the Dastur ul-Muluk, the hakim-bashi (chief physician at the royal court) was paid 341 tumans, and the tushmal-bashi (chief of the cooks and kitchens of the royal court) received ninety tumans. 23 Kaempfer states that the salaries of other bashis varied between 20 and 40 tumans per annum, 24 but does not mention who paid them . Whatever may have been the source of a bashi's remuneration in Safavid times, he held office by virtue of a royal diploma and was in fact a representative

of the state rather than of his guild. Through this institution, the government obtained the services of reliable and influential guild members for the protection or pursuit of its own interests.

A list of the <u>bāshī</u>s who assembled for a ceremony at Shāh Sulṭān Ḥusayn's court has been left by Muḥammad Hāshim Āṣaf. He describes them all as having pleasant features and elegant appearances with intricately patterned "Khalīl Khānī" silk turbans, fine leather shoes, Kurdish trousers, and gold brocade sashes. Each had his own karnā'ī, a sort of water pipe. (The karnā'ī bowl for water pipes is the best quality). They came mounted on costly steeds, and many of their trappings and accourrements were of gold or silver. Each was a master in his own craft with a delight in it and no inclination to any other trade, and for this reason was perfectly accomplished. 26

From the References in the sources, it has been possible to compile the following list of <u>bashis</u> in the later <u>Safavid</u> period.

1.	Chief	of	the	goldsmiths	zargar-bashī.
2.	11	17	#1	jewellers	javāhir-bāshī.
3.	11	17.	***	tailors	khayyat-bashi.
4.	11	11	11	water-carriers	saqqa-bashi.
5.	11	**	11	architects	mi'mār-bāshī.
6.	j n	11	19	s'tone-masons	hajjār-bāshī.
7.	11	**	**	cloth ironers	uţū-kash-bashi.
8.		11	11	watchmakers	sa'at-saz-bashī.
9.	11	11	11	house painters	naqqash-bashi.
10	,	11	11	carpenters	najjār-bāshī.
11	. #	11	n	merchants	tājir-bāshī.
12		11	11	horse-trapping	makers garīk-yaraq-bashī.
13		11	11	bakers	churchi-bashi.

				``	
14.	Chief	of	the	grocers	baqqal-bashi.
15.	11	**	Ħ	druggists	'attar-bashī.
16.	11	11	11	rice-sellers	razzāz-bāshī.
17.	11	11	**	butchers	qaşşab-bashi.
18.	, # ,	11	**	forage sellers	'allaf-bashi.
19.	n	**	11	millers	unchi-bashi.
20.	11	11	**	fruit sellers	yamīsh-bashī.
21.	. 11	11	11	cooks	tabbakh-bashi.
22.	#	11	**	oil seed crushers	'aşşar-bashi.
23.	. 11	11	11	moneychangers	sarrāf-bāshī.
24.	11	11	**	chainmail makers	zira-saz-bashi.
25.	11	11	11	swordsmiths	sayyaf-bashi.
26.	**	**	71	bow-makers	kamangar-bashi.
27.	Ħ	11:	H.	arrow-makers	tirgar-bashi.
28.	H ·	**	17	locksmiths	chilangar-bashi.
29.	11	Ħ	11	makers of braid, trimmings etc.	'allaqaband-bashi.
30.	17	11	tt	candlemakers	shamma'i-bashi.
31.	, 11	"	tt	coppersmiths	misgar-bashī.
32.	11	11	11	braziers	ruygar-bashi.
33.	17	. 11	11	saddlers	sarraj-bashi.
34.	77	11	11	enam'ellers	mina-saz-bashi.
35•	tt	11	**	bootmakers	chakma-saz-bashi.
36.	Ħ÷,	17	11	confectioners	qannad-bashi.
37•	11	. 11	17,	bookbinders	şahhaf-bashi.
38.	ff	11	11	cameldrivers	sarban-bashi.
39•	11	17	17	cotton carders	hallaj-bashi.
40.	. #	11	11	drapers	bazzaz-bashi.
41.	11	111	**	brocade weavers	sha'r-baf-bashi.
42.	11	11	n	tent equipment makers	lavvaf-bashi.
43.	11	11	51	packsaddle makers	akkaf-bashi.
44.	. 11	17	***	shoemakers	kaffash-bashi.
45.	, . 	**	11 .	hatmakers	kulah-duz-bashi.
46.		11	11	turners	kharrat-bashi.
47.	11	**	. 11	mirror makers	a'ina-saz-bashi.
48.	11	11	ir	tentmakers	khayyam-bashi.
49.	· tt	**	Ħ	court.barbers	khassa-tarash-bashi.

50.	Chief	of	the	weavers of gold lace and brocade	zar-duz-bashī.
51.	· 11 .	11	11	smiths	haddad-bashi.
52.	is	11:	11	brick carvers	ajur-tarash-bashi.
53.	11	11	11	runners	shatir-bashi.
54.	11	Ħ	11	wrestlers	pahlavan-bashi.
55.	11	11	97	bath keepers	hammami-bashi.
56.	11	11	11	inlayers	munabbat-kar-bashi.
57.	11	tt	11	engravers	hakkak-bashi.
58.	11	**	11	washers of the dead	ghassal-bashī.
59.	17	17	11		na'l-band-bashī.
60.	11	11	Ħ	welldiggers and	muqanni-bashi.
61.	11	17	11	tunnelers	
61.	**	11	11	singers	mughanni-bashi.
62.	Ħ.	11	11	minstrels	muţrib-bashī.
63.	n	17	11	buffoons	luțī-bashī. 27

To sum up, the <u>bashis</u> were chosen by their guilds, but their appointments had to be confirmed by the government. They held undisputed authority over the guild members, and while they appear to have been anxious to retain the good will of the members, they generally had to exercise their authority in compliance with the government's wishes.

b) <u>Kadkhuda</u>

The word kadkhuda had the general meaning of chief or notable and was used as the title of headmen of villages and small towns (qaşabas). Its use with reference to guilds dates from pre-Safavid times. While this title denoted a definite rank in the Iranian guild system, there were no specific rules to determine how a guild member might attain it. All that is certain is that skill, merit, and high standing were required for the office of kadkhuda. The masters of each guild nominated from among themselves a



person whom they regarded as outstandingly proficient, and agreed on a salary for him and recommended him to the kalantar. On the strength of this recommendation, the kalantar introduced the prospective kadkhuda to the naqib, and after obtaining the naqib's endorsement, issued the certificate of his appointment as kadkhuda and at the same time bestowed a robe of honour on him. Thereafter the kadkhuda administered his guild's affairs and endeavoured to advance its interests in all matters of concern to it. 29 In fact the approval of the kalantar was a mere formality, and the choice of the candidate lay in the hands of the guild members.

The kadkhudas were evidently the real leaders of the guilds and spokesmen of the interests of the guild members, who paid their salaries. Their functions comprised: a) determination of the amount of the bunicha payable by the guild under the supervision of the naqib, 30 assessment of the quotas payable by the individual guild members, and collection of the bunicha from them in accordance with these assessments. 31 b) Arbitration of disputed claims and professional differences within the guild. c) Supervision of the training of apprentices by masters, and admission of qualified members to mastership.

The seat of the <u>kadkhuda</u> was at the central cross-roads (<u>chār-sūq</u>) of his guild's bazaar or at a special guild house. Bihishtīyān, a contemporary writer on architectural monuments, has ascertained that in the Safavid period the <u>kadkhuda</u> of the dyers' guild in Isfahān officiated at the <u>chār-sūq</u> of the dyers' bazaar (<u>bāzār-i</u>

rang-razan) and there resolved the guild's disputes. 32
Naṣrabadī in his Tazkira states that the gannad-khana
(house of the confectioners) in the Iṣfahan bazaar was the seat of the confectioners' kadkhudas. 33

It is significant that the kadkhuda's jurisdiction was not derived from any governmental decree, but from his personal standing and relationship with the guild mambers. 34 The kadkhuda discussed the qualifications of candidates for mastership with the nagib and had an important role in their promotion and initiation. 35 At Isfahan, the kadkhudas of the various guilds were summoned by the naqīb to regular meetings at which prices were discussed and fixed. 36 The kadkhudas kept themselves informed about the deliberations which the members of the guild held among themselves on their various affairs, and they maintained close consultation with the kalantar on these matters. 37 Kuznetsova has found that in the early 19th century the elders of the craftsmen (i.e. the kadkhudas) acted more or less as intermediaries between the craftsmen and the merchants.38

The <u>kadkhuda</u>'s function, however, was hybrid. On the one hand he was appointed by his fellow guild members to promote their interests before the government; on the other hand he served as a link between the high-ranking supervisory officials and his guild. The authorities expected him to enforce regulations and maintain order among his members. In fulfilling this function the <u>kadkhuda</u> had to deal mainly with the <u>bashi</u>, who generally supported the interests of the government.

No documentary evidence has been found from the Safavid period on the question whether the kadkhudas of different guilds met regularly to discuss matters other than price fixing and to coordinate their actions; but the reported existence of a chief kadkhuda (kadkhuda-bashi) of the guilds of Isfahan in the reign of 'Ali Murad Khan Zand suggests that there were some such links between the guilds. kadkhuda-bashi, named 'Ali Aqa Dalv-duz (leather bucket maker), was ordered by 'Ali Murad Zand to gather 200 tumans in cash from the guilds of Isfahan and deliver it to the royal treasury. He refrained from imposing such a heavy burden in view of the poverty of the city's artisans and shopkeepers, and managed to get the required sum from the vazīr of Isfahan and the local governor of Khurasgan (an eastern suburb of Isfahan), thereby sparing the craftsmen of the Isfahan bazaar from this unjust imposition. 39

The office of kadkhuda was sometimes hereditary.

Nasrabadī states that Mulla Mushfiqī, the kadkhuda of the bazzaz-khana (guild house of the drapers), and Ustad Hajjī Sharīf, the kadkhuda of the gannad-khana, both took over the office of kadkhuda from their father. Mīrza Hasan Fasa'ī states that the office of kadkhuda-bāshī at Shīraz remained in the Hakīm Salman family from the time of Shāh 'Abbās I up to the reign of Muḥammad Shāh Qājār. 41

c) Apprenticeship and Craft Masters

The system of apprenticeship in Safavid times is one of the least documented of the subjects under study. The lack of specific documents suggests that it was a rigid system deeply rooted in traditional custom.

In view of the continuity of the Iranian socio-economic structure throughout the 17th, 18th and 19th centuries and into the early part of the 20th century, it seems probable that a high proportion of the apprentices in every guild were sons of masters in the same guild. To a large extent the exercise of crafts and trades remained hereditary within families. A master's son began to learn the family's craft in childhood, then became an apprentice either to his father or one of his relatives, and eventually often succeeded to his father's business. Tavernier states that throughout Iran, sons learnt crafts from their fathers at an early age. 42 Kinship and family affiliation were important factors in guild membership. 43 Among the practitioners of crafts and to a less extent professions, it was customary to keep technical secrets exclusively within the family. 44 Naturally this custom maintained traditional attachments of particular vocations to particular families. For instance, medicine was a hereditary occupation among the descendants of Mirza Muhammad 'Ali Tabib in Kashan 45 and Mirza Hakima in Isfahan, and calligraphy among the descendants of Qudsi Khush-nivis from the Safavid period onward. 46

Although the hereditary practice of professions and trades in certain families was usual, it was not automatic. There are mentions of individuals who moved into other occupations. For instance, Mawlana Hasan-shah Baqqal, who had been a grocer, afterwards became a teacher at a school in Shīrāz; 47 Mīrzā Taqī 'Itimād ud-dawla, the grand vazīr of Shāh Şafi and Shāh 'Abbās II, was the son of a baker; 48 and Hājjī Sharaf Bēg, a weaver's son who had relinquished

his father's craft, became a rich merchant through the Indian trade. 49

Without work in an organized trade or craft or profession, it was difficult or impossible to secure a livelihood. Cartwright, who was in Iran in Shah 'Abbas I's reign, reported that idle persons were not permitted to live among the people. According to the regulations, persons who were out of work must refer to the magistrate and register themselves, declaring their circumstances and the previous jobs which they had done. The magistrate then found work for them. When anyone was proved to have lied, he was either bastinoed or forced to work in the "public slavery". 50

When a craftsman's son preferred not to pursue his father's profession, the father would entrust him as an apprentice (shagird) to a master (ustad) of another craft at an early age. According to the available evidence, the age of entry into a craft or trade was not the same in all cases. Thomas Banister, the agent of the Muscovy Company who was in Iran in 1568-69, gives it as five years. 51 John Cartwright gives it as six, and Chardin states that apprentices were accepted at anything between twelve and fifteen years of age. 52 In the light of Tavernier's statement that commenced their apprenticeship at some of the masters their father's shop when they had completed their school education and were between twelve and fifteen years old, all these reports may be accepted as correct. Only sons of masters were sent to a primary school (maktab); other boys were engaged as apprentices at the age of around six.

When a father entrusted his son to a master, no written contract with fixed provisions was signed. 53 Usually the master came to an oral agreement with the apprentice's father or guardian, and sometimes they held discussions on the matter before the guild's kadkhuda. No doubt the illiteracy of the great majority of the artisans and traders necessitated the oral form. An unnamed European traveller who was in Iran in the reign of Shah 'Abbas II states that the master only registered the apprentice's name and made no further inquiries. 54 Nevertheless the family background of an applicant and the moral conduct of an apprentice were matters of great concern for a master. When an apprentice was admitted, he was instructed in his duties, both as to the craft and as to moral conduct. In some skilled trades, the social background and good behaviour of a candidate were particularly important. This was because the chosen candidate would have to be carefully trained and supervised by his master and would be quite likely to become a member of his master's family through marriage.

The lengths of apprenticeships were not specified, and in principle apprenticeship agreements might be ended by either the master or the apprentice at any time. 55 According to Chardin, every agreement included an understanding on the rate of wage. A new apprentice began work at his master's shop on very simple tasks, but received pay almost from the start. The rate of the wage varied according to age and experience. In the first year an apprentice received something between two liards and one sou, 56 and as he became more skilled, his wage was raised. 57 Contrary to Chardin,

the writer of <u>Disposition and temper of the Persians</u> reported that there was no agreement between the master and apprentice on a definite wage in the early years; but he also observed that it was normal for the master to allow the apprentice a wage from the first day. 58

The actual lengths of apprenticeships depended on the Kuznetsova reckons that the period of training might be anything from three to ten years. 59 During this time the apprentice showed his ability and talent in the craft and attempted to acquire its techniques under the direction of his master until eventually he acquired full skill. Chardin alleges that masters were reluctant to teach apprentices all the techniques of the craft in a short time, being anxious about new competition when fully qualified apprentices could set up their own shops; while an apprentice's training remained incomplete, a master could take advantage of his labour without fear of his competition. 60 In practice the traditional morality did not allow an apprentice to leave Furthermore the former master might have claims his master. against the apprentice and thus be able to restrain him. Other masters were therefore generally unwilling to employ a "rebellious" apprentice.

The final stage through which a shagird passed before becoming an ustad was that of a khalifa (literally a "deputy"). A khalifa was a fully trained man who had acquired all the arts and techniques of his craft or profession. There is no direct evidence concerning the status and functions of a khalifa in the Safavid period; but the rank of khalifa still exists today among various craftsmen such as

confectioners, goldsmiths, and silversmiths. The $\underline{khalifa}$ is qualified to act as his master's deputy when the latter is absent. 61

In the European guild system, there was a numerous class of journeymen (literally "day labourers") who had completed their apprenticeship but had not attained the rank of master, and were employed by masters on a short-term, often weekly, basis. 62 The Western scholars who have investigated oriental guilds in connection with the study of Islamic cities have come to the conclusion that the rank of journeyman did not exist in the Islamic countries. 63 Kuznetsova thinks that if the rank of journeyman had existed in Safavid Iran, Chardin would have mentioned it, whereas he only mentions masters and apprentices. She concludes that in the Iranian guilds there was no need for journeymen, because anyone who had learned his craft could rise freely to mastership. 64 It can certainly be accepted that there was no rank in the Iranian guilds directly comparable to that of the journeyman in the medieval European guilds. 17th and 18th century Iran, however, there was a large category of workmen who had no permanent shops, but were occupants of temporarily rented shops or booths, or were itinerant day labourers going from town to town or town to village or house to house. Workers in this category were called <u>muzdvar</u> (hired man) or <u>kargar</u> (daily paid labourer). Some were artisans and traders who had valuable skills but did not possess fixed establishments. The existence of this group must not be overlooked in studies of the evolution of Iranian society. Even after the wave of industrial development in the period 1963-1978, daily hired workers and

itinerant artisans are still numerous in Iran, e.g. silversmiths, carpenters, and tailors. 65 The socio-economic system of the Safavid period promoted a multiplication of street artisans (muzdvaran), whose services were used mainly by the lower income groups in the cities and suburbs and by peasant families, because they could supply basic needs much more cheaply than their shop-owning counterparts in the bazaars. 66 Chardin states that the artisans and vendors of this type who carried on their trades in the Royal Square (Maydan-i Shah) of Isfahan rented spaces in the Maydan, or if more fortunate, rented small shops surrounding the Maydan at three tumans per shop per week. Most of them spread their tools and wares on the ground in the square and were charged a rent of one tuman per space per week, which they paid day by day or week by week to the Shah's agents. 67 Shah 'Abbas I had designated a space for each trade. Among them were blacksmiths, armourers, saddlers, hat makers, coppersmiths, patchers, money changers, thread makers, locksmiths, second hand dealers, carpenters, fruit sellers, sellers of nuts and dried fruits, street vendors, butchers, greengrocers, cooks, wood sellers, dealers in pack animals, felt makers, fur sellers, confectioners, and cotton-carders. 68 In the evening the members of these groups placed their tools and wares in chests which were left in the corners of the Royal Square, where they were guarded by watchmen throughout the night. 69

There were also groups of artisans, known as khana-karan, who had no fixed shop and worked for hire at customer's houses. According to Chardin, there were large numbers of these artisans in Isfahan, such as goldsmiths, coppersmiths, carpenters,

men who worked at the houses and establishments of wealthy employers. To employ several such craftsmen was considered a noble act and a token of aristocracy. No doubt other khana-karan artisans performed various tasks for employers with modest means, though no evidence is available. Du Mans remarks that in Isfahan there existed a group of artisans ("ouvriers") who lacked sufficient capital and other means to offer their products directly to consumers and therefore sold them to established traders who had shops in the bazaar. 71

To become a master craftsman in the bazaar required a long period of apprenticeship which had to be completed satisfactorily, and the acquisition of a certificate of mastership which was subject to the approval of the guild's present masters and the endorsement of the naqib and the kalantar. According to the Tazkirat ul-Muluk, before a guild could appoint anyone a master, its masters were required to attest the candidate's qualification and eligibility for mastership (<u>ustadi</u>) to the <u>naqib</u>. There is no evidence to show whether a candidate for mastership had to present a fine piece of his own work for examination and judgement by the masters of the guild and the naqib; but it is known that a craftsman who wished to be employed in the royal workshops had to produce a piece of work for presentation to the bashi.72 The good will of the masters was absolutely essential for an apprentice's promotion. The candidate's eligibility for mastership was then notified to the kalantar, from whom a certificate (ta'liqa) was

obtained. 73 Mīrzā Rafī'ā remarks that the <u>kalāntar</u> only issued his certificate if at least two thirds of the masters agreed on the candidate's eligibility. 74

Another uncertain question is whether a former apprentice automatically became a full member of the guild upon his induction as a master, or whether full membership required further inquiries by the guild's elders and officials and also consent by the new master himself. There is no evidence from the Safavid period on this question; but Polak, the German doctor of medicine who was in Iran in the early part of Nasir ud-Dīn Shāh Qājār's reign, states that membership of a guild was optional. 75

The transition from apprenticeship to mastership was marked by a ceremony. Although none of the sources for the Safavid period explicitly mention this ceremony, certain sources imply its existence. According to Husayn Va'iz Kashifi (d.910/1504), the craftsmen and tradesmen who were adherents of the futuvat orders were girded with a sash in a special ceremony. The Tazkirat ul-Muluk states that the masters of the guilds expressed their approval (rizamandI) of an apprentice's application for mastership at a meeting (probably a ceremony) in the presence of the naqib. 77 It must be borne in mind that the <u>naqīb</u> had a spiritual standing among the artisans and tradesmen. Kuznetsova states that on the day of the initiation, a formal ceremony took place in which the new entrant was girded with a belt. 78 A similar ceremony is still customary in the zurkhana (gymnasium) when a craftsman or trader joins a traditional

athletic fraternity. R. Ārāsta, a contemporary scholar, describes the girding ceremony in the zurkhana as having all the force of a religious rite. In it the successful candidate for the rank of athlete (pahlavani) is crowned with a cap (taj) or girded with a sash (kamar-band). According to Arasta, this ceremony goes back to Safavid times. 79 G. H. Musahib, another contemporary writer, states that a banquet was part of the ceremony of conferment of mastership. 80 From the reports of European travellers in the Safavid period, it is possible to piece together an account of the ceremony of initiation of a shatir, i.e. a runner or footman, who escorted or ran before the Shah or a royal caravan (qafila). Only after a long period of apprenticeship and after passing a very vigorous examination was a shatir admitted to the rank of mastership. Usually a shatir apprenticed his son to a master when the son was six or seven years old. apprentice's long training under the supervision of his master took place in three stages. During the first year, the shatir was trained in walking and running, and had to run a league's distance without stopping. The distance was increased year by year until the apprentice reached the age of eighteen. At this point, he entered the second stage in which the training was stricter and tougher. He had to run long distances carrying a heavy parcel with a jar of water, a griddle (baking plate), and other objects on his back. In the third stage, he was obliged to prove his speed over a total distance of thirty six leagues by running twelve times from the 'Ali-Qapu in Isfahan to the Kuh-i Sufa (the

mountain on the south of the city). The passage of this test completed the apprenticeship and was celebrated with a ceremony called jashn-i shatiran (the footmen's feast). When the candidate for the rank of shatir was in the service of the Shah or of a high officer or official, the ceremony was more elaborate. In such cases, the shops in the Maydan-i Shah and along the runner's route were illuminated, and entertainers were brought to the Maydan-i Shah to amuse the people. The test took place under the supervision of ten or twenty masters of the shatirs' guild. candidate wore thin trousers and was belted with a sash onto which three hawk-bells were sewn. After the test, the candidate, if successful, was presented with prizes and robes. 81 Gemelli, whose caravan bound for Bandar Kung lost its way until a shatir appeared and guided them, noticed that the shatir had bells around his waist to warn horses and pack animals of his approach. 82

Before a qualified master could set up a shop, he had to obtain permission from the <u>bashi</u> of his guild. According to Chardin, the <u>bashi</u> took a sum of money from the applicant, and after registering him, gave him the permission. 83

In every guild the masters were the supporting arm and the guiding hand. They nominated the <u>kadkhuda</u> and recommended him to the <u>naqīb</u>. One of the criteria for the determination of a guild's <u>bunīcha</u> was the number of its masters. They set its tone and raised or lowered its social standing.

Notes 3.2.

- 1. Sayfi Haravi, p.106.
- 2. Ibn Battuta, 2, p.295.
- 3. Munsha'at-i Timuri, pp.2ff; Ravandi, 3, p.385.
- 4. Murvarid, pp.21b, 22a.
- 5. Munsha'at-i Bayqara, pp.2ff.
- 6. Tavernier, p.239.
- 7. Kaempfer, pp.87-88.
- 8. <u>Ibid.</u>, p.119; <u>T.M.</u>, p.36a; Chardin, 7, pp.328-329.
- 9. Āṣaf, pp.101-102.
- 10. Chardin, 5, pp. 345-346; <u>D.M.</u>, <u>op. cit.</u>, year 16, no. 4, p. 314.
- 11. Razi, Haft Iqlim, 1, p.159.
- 12. <u>Farman</u> of Shah Tahmasb I dated 983/1575, ms. Kitab-khana-yi Majlis-i Shawra-yi Milli, Tehran, no.606.
- 13. Chardin, 5, pp.554-555; <u>D.M.</u>, <u>op.cit.</u>, year 16, no.4, pp.314ff.
- 14. Du Mans, p.25; Chardin, 4, pp.92-93.
- 15. Tavernier, p.198.
- 16. Ibid, p.239.
- 17. Chardin, 5, pp.355-356; Tavernier, pp.95-96.
- 18. Tavernier, pp.224-225.
- 19. Shushtari, p.44.
- 20. Nasrabadi, p.391.
- 21. Munsha'āt-i Bayqara, pp.3ff.
- 22. Du Mans, pp.24-25.
- 23. D.M., op.cit., 16, pp.300, 303, 319.
- 24. Kaempfer, pp.125-126.
- 25. Asaf, pp.100-101.
- 26. <u>Ibid.</u>, p.101-102.

- 27. <u>Ibid.</u>, pp.100-105.
- 28. Naṣrābādī, pp.414-415.
- 29. T.M., p.76a,b.
- 30. Ibid., p.80a.
- 31. Le Brun, p.291.
- 32. Bihishtiyan, p.29.
- 33. Nasrabadī, p.414.
- 34. Mafarrukhi, p.55. He notes that the kadkhudas of the different guilds settled their trading disputes at the different char-suqs in the bazaar.
- 35. T.M., p.80a,b; Kuznetsova, in C. Issawi, ed., The Economic History of Iran, p.288.
- 36. D.M., op.cit., 16, no.4, pp.70ff.
- 37. T.M., p.82.
- 38. Kuznetsova, in Issawi, op.cit., p.289.
- 39. Āsaf, pp.437-438.
- 40. Nasrabadi, p.414.
- 41. Easa'i, 2, pp.112-113.
- 42. Tavernier, p.34.
- 43. Iranshahr (A survey of land, people, culture in Persia), 2, 183.
- 44. Wills, p.224.
- 45. Žarrabī, pp.401-402.
- 46. There are some documents in evidence of this in the private archives of the Buqrāṭiyān and Qudsī families.
- 47. Rumlu, p.71.
- 48. Chardin, 7, p.303.
- 49. Shushtari, p.54.
- 50. Cartwright, 1, p.733.
- 51. T. Banister, in Hakluyt, 3, p.154.
- 52. Chardin, 7, p.333.
- 53. Tavernier, p.34.

- 54. Disposition and Temper of the Persians, in Pinkerton's Collection, 9, p.205.
- 55. Pinkerton, loc.sit.
- 56. According to dictionaries, sou = a half penny, liard = a half farthing.
- 57. Chardin, 4, pp.94-95.
- 58. Pinkerton, loc.sit.
- 59. Kuznetsova, in C.A.R., 2, p.316.
- 60. Chardin, 4, pp.94-95.
- 61. Personal investigation in the bazaars of Isfahan and Yazd.
- H. Pirenne states that "the members of each guild in the 62. medieval European system were divided into categories subordinated to one another: masters, apprentices, and journeymen. The masters were the dominating class upon whom the others depended. They were the proprietors of small workshops, owning their raw material and tools. The apprentices were initiated into the trade under their direction, for no one was admitted to the craft unless thoroughly proficient. Finally, the journeymen were paid workmen who had completed their apprenticeship, but had not yet risen to the rank of master. While the masters enjoyed relatively good conditions, the journeymen (and those who were employed by the master were for the most part journeymen) lived in alleys in rooms rented by the week and owned nothing but the clothes they wore. They went from town to town hiring themselves out to employers. On Monday mornings they were to be met with in the squares and in front of the churches, anxiously waiting for a master to engage them for the week. The working day began at dawn and ended at nightfall. were paid on Saturday evening, and although the municipal regulations ordered that they should be in cash, the abuses of the system were numerous." Pirenne, pp. 186-189.
- 63. Stern, in The Islamic City, pp.25-51; Floor, The Guilds in Qājār Iran, pp.29ff.
- 64. Kuznetsova, in Issawi, op.cit., p.288ff.
- 65. Most of the silver-engravers (qalam-zanan) in Isfahan are hired by wealthy silversmiths. The hired workers (muzdvaran or kargaran) work in small shops in the streets or in their own houses.
- 66. Kaempfer, p.159.
- 67. Chardin, 7, pp.339-340.
- 68. Ibid., 7, pp.339-340.

- 69. Ibid., 7, p.339.
- 70. Ibid., 4, pp.91-93.
- 71. Du Mans, pp.194-195.
- 72. Chardin, 5, pp.499-500.
- 73. T.M., p.81a.
- 74. D.M., 16, no.4.
- 75. Quoted from Jakob Polak, in Issawi, op.cit., p.277.
- 76. Va'īz Kashifī, folio 110ff.
- 77. T.M., p.81a.
- 78. Kuznetsova, in C.A.R., 2, p.317.
- 79. R. Arasta, in Der Islam, 37, p.257.
- 80. Musahib, 1, p.165.
- 81. Tavernier, pp.34-36; Chardin, 3, pp.453ff; Thevenot, pp.104-05; Curzon, 1, pp.332ff.
- 82. Gemelli, p.168.
- 83. Chardin, 4, pp.92-93.
- 84. See Chapter 4, section on The Guilds and Taxation.

Chapter 4.

Methods of Government Control.

- 4.1. The Guilds and Taxation
- 4.2. Price Control
- 4.3. Restrictions and Monopolies
- 4.4. Judicial and Penal Institutions of the Guilds

4.1. The Guilds and Taxation

One of the most distinctive features of the Iranian guilds was the fact that they were used as instruments for the collection of taxes and imposts from tradesmen and craftsmen. The government levied the taxes through the guilds and dealt with the members of each guild collectively. W. M. Floor, in his dissertation on the Guilds in Qajar Iran, states the payment of taxes was the most obvious and noticeable function of the Iranian professional organizations in the 19th century, and that this in itself demonstrates that they were treated as guilds. Furthermore the criteria on which taxes were calculated preclude any doubt as to which groups belonged to guilds and which did not. The state imposed a tax on a guild as a corporate entity, and the authorities of the guild apportioned the tax among its members. There is no precise information, however, about principles on which the tax liabilities were assessed and fixed, either for guilds by the state authorities or for individual tradesmen and craftsmen by the guild authorities.

It seems probable, in the light of the scanty available evidence, that the nature and the amount of rate of the taxes payable by a guild were determined by several factors, such as custom and tradition, estimation of the guild's normal income, and also a certain amount of bargaining. Consequently there was always a danger that the assessment or apportionment might be unjust in failing to reflect the relative level of prosperity of a particular guild or its

individual members. Thevenot, who was in Iran in 1654, reports that the assessment of a guild's dues was based on the incomes of its members.²

In Isfahan, the imposition of the guild taxes, which had the generic name bunicha, was legalized through the approval of a tax committee consisting of the kadkhudas of the various guilds, the naqib, and the kalantar. This committee's authority did not extend over all guilds, since some of them were taxed by state officials directly. The tax committee, under the chairmanship of the naqib, determined the quota of tax payable by each guild, and the kadkhudas fixed the liabilities of the members of their guild by apportionment of these quotas. The Shah's decree (farman), being absolute, could override decisions of the tax committee. The Shah might impose new taxes or remit established taxes. A farman concerning imposition or remission of a tax was broadcast by public criers (jarchis) or displayed on inscribed tablets inside or outside mosques. One such tablet granting certain exemptions can still be seen in the Masjid-i Jami' of Ardistan in the province of Isfahan.

The <u>Dastur ul-Muluk</u> states that the responsibility for the collection of the taxes from the Iranian provinces (<u>sarhaddat va vilayat</u>) and cities rested ultimately with the grand <u>vazīr</u> (I'timad ud-Dawla), who performed his task in accordance with statute law (<u>qanun</u>), reason, and the rights of the realm (<u>huquq-i mamlakat</u>), which means customary rights. The <u>Tazkirat ul-Muluk</u> states that the <u>sāhib-jam'</u> (chief receiver) of the royal treasury received

on the Shah's behalf the sums due from the guilds in Isfahan and other cities. He delegated to subordinates called muhassils (collectors) the tasks of collecting the said sums and of dispatching them to the royal treasury. Lippomano, the Venetian ambassador to Spain, who was in Isfahan in 1585, noted that one of the Shah's permanent sources of revenue was taxation of guilds, and that all the guilds, such as silk workers, cotton weavers, armourers, sugar refiners, etc. paid prescribed sums. 5

The taxes on the guilds were both regular and temporary. The heaviest regular tax was the already mentioned bunicha or more precisely haqq-i bunicha, which may be translated "guild tax". It was a due (haqq) levied by the tax committee on a guild's members for the special privilege (bunicha) of keeping a shop in the bazaar or elsewhere. When the individual artisan or trader had paid his due, and as long as he observed the guild's regulations, the guild would support and protect him. 6 According to the Tazkirat ul-Muluk, the amount of the bunicha to be levied on each guild was assessed during the first three months of the year. 7 Du Mans states that the fixing of the guild tax had to be completed within a month in the spring. 8 At Isfahan the fixing of the bunicha was accomplished by three processes. First the naqib of Isfahan suggested the amount of each guild's bunicha, then the elders of the guilds had to consent to these amounts, and lastly the kalantar had to confirm each amount with his seal. The nagib, after appointing someone to gather the elders (kadkhudas and rish-safidan) of

each guild and bring them to him, fixed the <u>bunicha</u> of each guild with consent of its elders and according to the law of the realm. The liability of each guild was then formally notified. At Isfahan the collection of the <u>bunicha</u> was the responsibility of the local <u>vazir</u> and <u>darugha</u>. The <u>kadkhuda</u>s, however, probably did the actual collection of the <u>bunicha</u> from the artisans and traders.

There are no precise documents to tell us on what principles and by what procedures the amount of a guild's bunicha and the proportionate liability of each member were determined. It was the task of kadkhuda to apportion the quota of tax among the guild members. In all probability this role called for real leadership and good personal relations, because it seems clear beyond doubt that the kadkhuda had no power of coercion. Fasa'i states that apportionment of the bunicha quota among the members of the guilds of Fars was determined by the kalantar and the official, called by Fasa'i the bunicha-dar, who collected the bunicha of the individual members. Fasa'i adds that the system of apportionment and collection of the bunicha derived from the Safavid period. 10 Undoubtedly the relative income of different guilds was a major consideration in the determination of this tax. 11 For instance, the goldsmiths and jewellers were taxed heavily because they made higher profits than the other artisans and tradesmen. 12 According to Chardin, the liability of each member of a guild was equal. He states that guild members had to pay a fixed sum of guild tax equally and regularly, and that for a tradesman's shop (boutique de revendeur) the

sum was 20 sous and for an artisan's shop (boutique d'artisan) 10 sous. 13 Possibly Chardin may have confused the haqq-i bunicha with the divan tax (taklif-i divan or mal-i muhtarafa) which is discussed later. 14 Similarly, the compiler of "the Government and Constitution of Persia" in Pinkerton's collection states that every shop of the handicraft trades had to pay 10 pence and that the rest (i.e. shops selling unprocessed commodities) payed 20 pence. 15 Contrary to Chardin's statement that the bunicha was paid equally, Du Mans mentions that the inequality and diversity of the assessments of the bunicha were a cause of dissatisfaction and grievance. 16

The haqq-i bunicha was collected in monthly instalments. Payment of this tax gave the shopkeeper or artisan the legal right to occupy a shop together with certain privileges which were endorsed by the customary law and the internal guild regulations. The bunicha tax Was Aevied until 1926, when by the law of 20th $\overline{A}z$ ar 1305 taxes on guilds were abolished. 17 The phrase haqq-i bunicha meant not only liability to the tax but also the resultant privileges. A payer of the tax could cede his rights to a qualified member of the same guild. 18 Tahvildar, the author of a Jughrafiya-yi Işfahan written at the end of the 19th century, states that in the Qajar period, if any member of a particular guild closed down his shop or workshop in the bazaar, the fellow members were obliged to make good any deficit in the total bunicha payable to the government. When a large number of members of the guild of oil millers (jama'at-i 'assaran-i rughan) closed down their shops because business was stagnant,

those who continued in business had to pay the <u>bunicha</u> on behalf of their colleagues.

The increasing size of the salaried regular army (qullar or ghulaman) and of the palace staff strained the finances of the Safavid state. The central government and the provincial governors, in order to meet rising costs and casual expenses (havadis), sought additional revenue in the form of extraordinary imposts ('avariz'), which for the most part fell onto the shoulders of the artisans and shopkeepers. One such burden was the contribution which the artisans and tradesmen had to make towards the upkeep of foreign ambassadors and guests of the Shah. This charge was known as havadis or kharj-i padshahi (royal expenses) or madad-i ma'ash (royal extras).21 It was calculated that the contribution of the Isfahan guilds to the cost of government hospitality (madad-i kharj-i mihmanan) came to an annual total of 3000 tumans, which was collected by officials of the royal treasury. 22 The shoemakers, leather makers, and hatters were exempted from corvées but had to pay lump sums toward the royal hospitality expenses. 22

These contributions and the <u>bunicha</u> were not the only taxes which artisans and tradesmen had to pay. According to Iskandar Munshi, they were also liable for <u>takalif-i</u> divan and <u>mal-i</u> muhtarafa. The nature of the <u>takalif-i</u> divan, which means dues for government expenses, is not clear; perhaps Iskandar Munshi meant the above-mentioned imposts for royal hospitality. As regards the <u>mal-i</u> muhtarafa, which may be translated artisan tax, he states that artisans and tradesmen were compelled to pay it, and

reward to the guilds of Tabrīz. 25 It appears to have been the same tax which Chardin noted under "havarez" = ('avariz'). 6 According to N. Falsafī, the avariz were sums paid by the artisans and tradesmen for city maintenance. 7 The mal-i muhtarafa was probably also the same as a tax mentioned by the anonymous Venetian merchant who was in Iran in 931/1514 and reported that the tradesmen and artisans who owned shops in the bazaar were compelled to pay a heavy tax, fixed for tradesmen at as much as two or six aspers or even a ducat per day and for craftsmen with regard to the circumstances of their business. 28

According to a farman of Shah 'Abbas I dated Zul-Hijja 1038/1628, which is preserved in the Masjid-i Shah of Isfahan, the 'avariz of the barber's guild, payable by hairdressers (salmaniyan), masseurs (dallakan), cloakroom keepers (jama-daran) and other bath attendants, and makers of razor blades and grinding wheels, were remitted by the Shah; but it is not stated for how long. Members of the entertainer's guild had to pay an occupation tax to the mash'al-dar-bashi. (This name, meaning torchbearer, was given to a sahib-jam', i.e. head receiver, who was also in charge of the lighting and heating of the royal palaces and of the dawlat-khana, and at the same time supervised the royal band (naqqara-chiyan) and headed the torch bearers at night when he carried a large torch in front of the Shah. 29 in addition to this, the mash'al-dar-bashī supervised all the different groups who worked as entertainers). 30 The author of the Rustam ut-Tavarikh states that in the

early years of the reign of Karīm Khan Zand, the experts in fiscal affairs assessed a dīvān tax (māliyāt-i dīvān) on the guilds on the model of account books (dafātir) which had been compiled in the reign of Shāh Tahmāsb II. 31 According to Fasā'ī, the governor of Fārs in 1159/1746 imposed a heavy tax called tawjīh on the traders of Shīrāz, and the tax collectors then seized one thousand tumāns from the Shīrāzī artisans and shopkeepers. 32

In addition to the bunicha and divan taxes, some of the guilds were liable for the corvee (bigari) (i.e. for the supply of labour or goods without payment). Unpaid labour by guilds was exacted by the Shah and officials of the central government and also by provincial rulers In provincial towns where certain traditional $(\underline{\mathtt{khans}}).^{33}$ crafts flourished, the guilds concerned had to execute orders from the royal court without payment. For instance, the skilled tailors of Kashan and Isfahan had to provide, free of charge, the robes of high officials such as vazīrs, kalantars, min-bashiyan (regimental commanders, i.e. of 1000 men), yuz-bashiyan (company commanders, i.e. of 100 men), and others below the rank of amir (general). 34 Some of the guilds had to fulfil their corvee through unpaid labour. In such cases, the chief of the guild provided a certain number of labourers, thereby saving the treasury a great deal of money. 35 The corvée was used to obtain labour for the repair or construction of royal or other governmental buildings, and for work in the royal workshops. Tavernier states that guilds which were exempted from the divan tax had to fulfil a corvee equal to it in value.

chiefs of the artisans and labourers, after consultation with the guild's kadkhudas, decided which individuals should perform the required corvee, with the result that there were many opportunities for favouritism and fraud. 37 The Shah had a overriding authority to decide which guilds should be liable for corvee. The carpenters and masons of Isfahan were permanently liable for it. 38 At the beginning of every autumn, the vazīr-i buyutat, who was the deputy of the nazir-i buyutat (controller of the royal establishments) summoned the chief of the masons (mi'mar-bashi), who was responsible for the repair of buildings belonging to the Shah, 39 and ordered him to supply the necessary labour. The mason's guild had also to make a large unpaid contribution to the construction of palaces and bridges. 40 According to Kaempfer, a palace of Shah Sulayman called Takht-i Sulayman, which was situated high up on the Kuh-i Sufa of Isfahan, had been erected by the unpaid labourers of the corvee, 41 and according to Le Brun, Shah Sultan Husayn similarly constructed several palaces at no cost A farman of Shah Safi dated 1041/1631 made to himself. 42 his grand vazīr, Mīrzā Taqī I'timād ud-Dawla, responsible for the repair of the mausoleum of the Imam 'Alī at Najaf in Iraq which the Ottoman Turks had conquered in 1638.43 The repair took two years and was probably carried out by Iranian workers sent to Iraq under the corvee. noted that the unpaid workers, whose services were commandeered either for the royal building operations or for the royal workshops, suffered from very harsh and distressing conditions.44

Chiefs of guilds were authorized in certain circumstances to take commissions on the sales of their guild. The <u>bashi</u> of the goldsmiths and jewellers demanded two percent of all sales in the town. The payment of such a sum by the members of an important guild could be construed as a reward or bribe to ensure that their privileges were preserved. Similarly certain guilds paid an extra charge described as brokerage (<u>kharj-i dallālī</u>). The brokerage of a particular bazaar was granted by the Shāh to certain individuals. For instance, Shāh 'Abbās II granted the <u>kharj-i dallālī</u> of the wood and charcoal bazaar first to Imām-Qulī Khān Vārasta and then to the poet

It seems that fines must have become another heavy burden. They were the commonest penalty inflicted on artisans and tradesmen who had been found guilty of breaking laws and regulations. Shah Muhammad Khudabanda abolished the payment of cash fines by the artisans and traders of Tabrīz, because he was anxious to improve their economic circumstances. 47

Customary dues (<u>rusum</u>) were levied on certain guilds, though not all guilds were liable. The Shah usually granted the <u>rusum</u> due from a particular guild to a high-ranking official of his choice. The <u>amīr shikār</u> (master of the hunt) received <u>rusum</u> from the guilds of fishermen, butchers, sheep's brain friers, ⁴⁸ pigeon keepers, poulterers, and falconry glove makers in Astarabad and Yazd (inscriptions dated 1047-1637 in the Masjid-i Jāmi' of Ardistān and dated Jamādī ul-Avval 1047/1637 in the Masjid-i Jāmi' of Yazd).

According to another inscription, dated 1038/1628 in the Masjid-i Shah of Isfahan, the barber's guild (jama'at-i salmaniyan) had to pay rusum to the private barber of the Shah (khassa-tarash). The rusum received by the darugha of Isfahan, amounting to 95 tumans per annum, came from a levy on the painters and tailors. The weavers' guild of Yazd paid rusum to the min-bashi and yuz-bashi. The head of the royal library at Isfahan (sahib-jam'-i kitab-khana-yi saltanati) received rusum from the basmachiyan (block-engravers), zar-kūban (gold leaf makers), kaghaz-garan (paper-makers), miqraż-garan (scissor makers), and muzahhiban (illuminators).

Finally, the Safavid bureaucracy gained a considerable revenue by taxing prostitutes. Although the placing of the prostitutes' organization in the same category as those of the craftsmen and tradesmen may seem astonishing, especially under a regime which professed to defend religion, nevertheless the prostitutes were classified as a guild because they had their own peculiar rules and paid a professional tax. B. Lewis regards the existence of immoral guilds in the Muslim lands as a strange phenomenon. 52 It is possible, however, that the official recognition of the immoral professions in the Muslim cities was intended to give the government some means of controlling them and protecting the public. 53 Chardin noted that in 1666 there were 14,000 whores in Isfahan who were registered in a special office. 54 All of them had to have a licence. Fryer states that "courtezans, therefore, are displayed by the king, giving him so much for their licences when they first set up". 55

According to the Venetian merchant who sojourned in Iran in 930/1514, the rate of the tax taken from the prostitutes was fixed with regard to their beauty. The total amount of the whores' professional tax in Isfahan was estimated by Chardin at around 200,000 écus in 1666, and by Muḥammad Yūsuf Vālih at 4000 tūmāns per annum. This huge amount, being unclean money, was not paid into the royal treasury but was spent by the mash'al-dār-bāshī on the heating and lighting of royal palaces and on fireworks for various festivals. 58

Guilds with low social status were sometimes the beneficiaries of tax exemptions. Surviving inscriptions in mosques at Isfahan, Yazd, Astarabad, Kashan, and Ardistan record decrees (farmans) of the Safavid Shahs for the grant of such exemptions. According to decrees of Shah 'Abbas I 59 and Shah 'Abbas II, the exempted guilds comprised bath attendants, wardrobe keepers in public baths, masseurs, razor blade makers, knife-grinders, old clothedealers, dyers of white beards (rang-bandan), i.e. with henna in public baths, midwives, hired mourners (nuhagaran), ladies' hairdressers, bath stokers, millers, hunters and fishermen (sayyadan), blood-letters (fassadan) and meat porters. 60 In 1022/1613, Shah 'Abbas I remitted the divan tax on candle makers throughout Iran in the month of Ramażan. A. K. S. Lambton, without quoting any source, states that in the Safavid period there were seventgen guilds exempt from tax, including doctors of medicine, washers of the dead, grave diggers, singers, midwives, rawża-khwans (reciters of religious elegies), bath keepers, blood-letters, ma'raka-giran (tellers of religious legends or showmen), and poets. 62

The big businessmen of Şafavid Iran, who were the tujjar (merchants), sawdagaran (entrepreneurs), and bunakdaran (wholesale dealers), were not members of guilds and did not pay the guild tax (bunicha), but were subject to a tax called the tamgha. This Mongol word (which originally meant signature or seal) was first used to designate a tax under the Ilkhanid dynasty (654/1256-736/1336). The tamgha tax (mal-i tamgha) was a cash levy imposed at varying rates on imported goods in the custom houses or in certain caravansarais. The tamgha assessors (tamghachiyan) in the Ilkhanid period fixed the amount of the tamgha according to the weight and quality of the goods. 63 In the Safavid period, the collection of this tax in the capital and in every provincial city was farmed out to a senior official, who arbitarily decided the amount due on the taxable goods. After the payment of the tax, the goods were stamped with a seal (muhr-i tamgha), the stamp for imported cloth being called tamgha-yi qimash. 64

Under the Ilkhanids, the revenue from the tamgha was allocated to the expenses of emissaries and ambassadors. 65

In Shah Tahmash I's reign, the imposition of higher tamgha taxes without regard to the solvency of the businessmen provoked revolts of merchants and wholesalers at Harat and Tabrīz, which were then the main commercial centres, and Shah Tahmash I was consequently obliged to abolish the tamgha tax throughout the country. 66

By another farman, dated 971/1563 in the Masjid-i Jāmi' of Isfahan, Shah Tahmash I

payable to the royal mint (dar uż-żarb). The money changers had previously had to pay a sum of tamgha when they brought gold and silver specie to be recoined at the state mints. 67 These concessions did not last long, because according to Iskandar Munshi, the merchants and wholesalers of Tabriz again revolted and refused to pay the tamgha tax in the reign of Shah Muhanmad Khudabanda, who was similarly forced to remit the tamgha. 8 Shah 'Abbas I, however, must have ignored his father's decree and arbitrarily reimposed the tamgha, because it is reported to have been levied in his reign and also in those of his successors. 9 Du Mans mentions that in 1660 the businessmen of Isfahan had to pay a taxe sur les marchés on their imported goods. 70

The sources do not indicate the rates of tamgha in Isfahan or in the provincial cities; but the English traveller Lockyer, who stopped at Bandar 'Abbas for a short time in 1705, states that a 14 per cent tax was levied on imported goods at the harbour custom house. The Dutch and English East India Companies, however, had been exempted from custom duties by Shah 'Abbas I when he authorized their establishment at Bandar 'Abbas. Lockyer adds that the English Company's factors were in secret collusion with the Iranian merchants engaged in the Indian trade, who had their goods shipped by the Company and labelled as its goods so that they might avoid declaring them at the custom house. They then pretended to buy their own goods from the Company, and paid the factors a two per cent reward for the service rendered. 71 The tamgha tax on imported goods continued to be legally imposed until the early 19th century. 72

Notes 4.1.

- 1. Floor, The Guilds in Qajar Persia, p.17; and The Guilds in Iran, in Z.D.M.G., p.99-116.
- 2. Thevenot, p.87.
- 3. D.M., in op.cit., 16, no.1, pp.75-76.
- 4. T.M., p.65; also the Persian text, facsimile, pp.45b, 46a,b.
- 5. Lippomano, English H.R., 7, pp. 317-318.
- 6. Du Mans, p.31.
- 7. T.M., p.80b.
- 8. Du Mans, p.31.
- 9. T.M., p.83, also Persian text, pp.80a,b; D.M., in op.cit., year 16, no.1, pp.57-76.
- 10. Fasa'i, 2, p.97.
- 11. Thevenot, p.78.
- 12. Du Mans, p.31; The Venetian Merchants, in <u>Travels to Tana and Persia</u>, p.177.
- 13. Chardin, 5, p.399.
- 14. Iskandar Munshi, 1, p.308.
- 15. Pinkerton's Collection, 9, p.222.
- 16. Du Mans, p.31.
- 17. Lambton, Islamic Society in Persia, p.24.
- 18. Musahib, 1, p.165.
- 19. Taḥvildar, pp.95-96.
- 20. Du Mans, p.30.
- 21. Chardin, 5, p.405.
- 22. <u>T.M.</u>, p.46a.
- 23. Chardin, 4, p.95.
- 24. Farman of Shah Tahmasb I dated Ramazan 979/1571 in the MasjidiMīr 'Imād of Kāshān.
- 25. Iskandar Munshī, 1, p.308.
- 26. Chardin, 5, p.95.

- 27. Falsafi, 3, p.265.
- The Venetian Merchants, p.177. The asper was an Ottoman silver coin called in Turkish akçe (aqcha); the ducat was a Venetian gold coin which circulated in the Ottoman empire. The ducat corresponded to the value of a silver pound (Pirenne, p.117). The value of a ducat in 1569 was 165 shahis. (A. Edwards, 3, p.63.
- 29. Tavernier, p.221.
- 30. <u>Ibid.</u>, p.221; T.M., p.158; Falsafi, 2, p.415.
- 31. Āṣaf, p.320.
- 32. Fasa'i, 1, p.196.
- 33. M. R. Arunova and K. Z. Ashrafiyan, p.90.
- 34. T.M., 20, 48b, 49a.
- 35. Disposition and Temper of the Persians, in Pinkerton's Collection, 9, p.222.
- 36. Kaempfer, p.177.
- 37. Tavernier, p.239.
- 38. Chardin, 4, p.95; <u>ibid</u>., 5, p.405; Tavernier, p.239.
- 39. T.M., p.186.
- 40. Chardin, 5, p.120.
- 41. Kaempfer, p.177.
- 42. Le Brun, p.297.
- 43. Valih, Khuld-i Barin, B.L. ms. Or.4132, folio 50a,b.
- 44. Kaempfer, p.177.
- 45. Chardin, 5, pp.554-555; Tavernier, pp.95-96.
- 46. Naṣrabadī, pp.335, 424.
- 47. Iskandar Munshi, 1, p.308.
- 48. Kalla-pazan. This cheap dish is still popular as a snack.
- 49. T.M., p.91a, b.
- 50. Inscription in the Masjid Jami' of Yazd dated Rabi'ul-avval 1115/1703.
- 51. <u>T.M.</u>, p.106a.
- 52. Lewis, The Islamic Guilds, in Econ. H.R., 8, p.35; Gibb and Bowen, Islamic Society and the West, 1, part 1, p.290.

- 53. Struys, p.288.
- 54. Chardin, 2, pp.211-212; ibid., 5, p.215.
- 55. Fryer (1684 edition), p.395; Vahid, 'Abbas-nama, pp.71-72.
- 56. The travels of Venetian Merchants in Persia, p.177.
- 57. Valih, folio 38ff.
- 58. Sanson, p.100.
- 59. Inscription of Shah 'Abbas I dated 1038/1629 in the Masjid-i Shah at Isfahan.
- 60. Inscriptions of Shah Tahmash I dated 941/1535 in the Masjid-i Mīr 'Imād at Kāshān and of Shah 'Abbās II dated 1064/1653 in the Masjid-i Jāmi'-i Kabīr at Yazd; Rūmlū, p.246.
- 61. Valih, Khuld-i Barin. folio 50ff.
- 62. Lambton, Islamic Society in Persia, p.24.
- 63. Rashīd ud-Dīn Fażl'ullah, pp.414-415, 455.
- 64. Lisani Shirazi, <u>Majma' ul-Aşnaf</u>, in Gulchin Ma'ani ed., Shahr-āshūb.
- 65. Rashīd ud-Dīn Fažl'lullāh, pp.474, 482-492.
- 66. Farman of Shah Tahmasb I dated 971/1563 in the Masjid-i Shah at Isfahan; 'Abd ul-Uusayn Khatunabadi, Vaqayi' us-sinin va'i-a'vamm, p.483; Petrushevsky, Tarikh-i Iran, 2, p.526.
- 67. Farman of Shah Tahmasb I dated 971/1564, in Nava'ī, ed. Farāmīn-i Shāh Tahmāsb I, pp.22-23.
- 68. Iskandar Munshī, 1, p.308.
- 69. Qarī Yazdī, p.140.
- 70. Du Mans, p.33.
- 71. Lockyer, pp.219-220; Tavernier, p.334.
- 72. Kalantar, Ruznama-yi Kalantar, pp.22-29.

4.2. Price Control

The dual nature of the craftsmen's and tradesmen's guilds in Safavid Iran has already been mentioned in Chapter 3. While the basic purpose of a guild was to serve the individual and collective needs of its members, these needs were not the only factors which kept it in existence or determined its structure and functions.

In the name of the public interest, various authorities intervened in the affairs of the bazaar. Police authorities such as the <u>muhtasib</u> and <u>darugha</u> attempted to protect the public against fraud, adulteration, and other malpractices. At the same time officially sponsored committees attempted to regulate weights, measures, coins, and market conditions. From the point of view of the government, the safest and surest way to control the craftsmen and tradesmen was through the intermediary of the guilds in which they were already or might in the future be grouped. With the help of the <u>kadkhuda</u>s and the <u>muhtasib</u>, the authorities could fix and enforce maximum prices for essential goods with a view to preventing social unrest in the big cities.

Apart from governmental control, competition in the markets tended to stabilize prices. The customary concentration of all sellers of a particular commodity in a special section of a city's bazaar made it easy for a buyer to compare prices and difficult for a seller to overcharge. On the other hand, in the predominantly agricultural and pastoral society of 17th and 18th century Iran, fluctuations in the yields of crops and animal

products caused a tendency toward price instability. Natural disasters such as droughts and floods and earthquakes, or human disruptions such as tribal raids and revolts, could suddenly cut off supplies to the cities and change abundance into scarcity. Abdullah Shushtari, writing in the early years of the reign of Nadir Shah Afshar, mentions that at Shushtar commerce had flourished for a time as a result of good rains, adequate harvests, and security on the caravan routes, and that consequently the local merchants had not hoarded commodities but had increased their transactions with other cities. The prices of essential foodstuffs and other goods such as sugar, pepper, coffee, silk, textiles, sheep and cattle, and beasts of burden had fallen and the artisans and traders (ahl-i makasib) had profited from the situation. This abundance and prosperity did not last, however, because after three years a sudden drought came, and goods fell into short supply and prices rose sharply.2

In the Safavid period, prices in the large cities were in principle controlled by the <u>muhtasib</u>, but were in fact mainly determined by the guilds. Price committees met regularly, and the guilds were represented on them by their <u>kadkhudas</u>. The <u>kadkhudas</u> were summoned for consultation not only about price levels, but also about supply fluctuations and general market prospects. According to the <u>Tazkirat ul-Muluk</u>, the chief <u>muhtasib</u> ('Ālī-ḥażrat-i Muḥtasib ul-Māmalik) obtained a list of suggested prices and guarantees of the observance of these prices from the <u>kadkhudas</u>, and then affixed his seal to the lists and sent

them to the <u>nazir-i</u> buyutat. The <u>nazir-i</u> buyutat studied the lists and, if he approved, affixed his seal and sent them to the heads of the different royal establishments. The prices, having thus been determined, were advertised on notice-boards which were put up near the royal palace of the 'Ālī Qāpū. The traders and artisans were then obliged to offer their goods for sale at the listed prices. The goods subject to price control appear to have been for the most part foodstuffs, and the prices were fixed by weight, not by size or volume. Evliya states that in Tabrīz every shopkeeper hung up a yellow brass scale at the front of his shop and never took it down.

Asaf records that in Karim Khan Zand's reign, the chief muhtasib kept registers (dafatir) of price changes and that prices were fixed at the levels recorded in these registers. According to Asaf, this price fixing system was copied from a price register which had been compiled in the reign of Shah Tahmasb II.6 The muhtasib usually advertised the fixed prices every week or every month, depending on the nature of the commodity. Prices of bread, meat, poultry, and fruits were fixed weekly, and varied according to the season and the scarcity or abundance of the supply. 7 Du Mans states that the prices of foodstuffs were settled by consultation between the kadkhudas and four assistants of the muntasib. 8 Chardin likewise reports that every Saturday either the muhtasib or his assistants, after brief discussions with the kadkhudas of the respective guilds, advertised the crucial prices of provisions for the following week. T.M also gives the

deputies of the <u>muhtasib</u> together with the <u>kadkhudas</u> examined the fluctuations of the bazaar in order to determine which commodities should be increased or decreased in price.

Although main categories of goods for which maximum prices were fixed consisted of basic foods and other necessities, and the main purpose of the system was to prevent social unrest, at the same time governmentabodies such as the royal establishments, in so far as they bought from the bazaar, used the system to obtain their requirements more cheaply. No tradesman or craftsman dared to exceed the set prices, because the sale of the essential commodities was closely supervised through more than one channel. observed that the muhtasib and his deputies controlled the prices of the prime necessities as closely as they could. If a tradesman deceived a customer by overcharging or falsifying scales and weights or in any other way, the aggrieved buyer could take back his purchase and have his money repaid. 10 During the reign of Shah Safi, the representative of the bakers' guild of Tabriz complained to the government that the local muntasib had fixed the price of bread so low that the bakers could not make any profit from its sale. The result of the complaint, however, was that the Tabrīzī bakers, instead of getting a price increase, were ordered to sell their bread even more cheaply. 11 Fryer states that in the fixing of grain prices, the kalantar had some authority together with the muhtasib and his deputies and the kadkhudas. 12 At any time the Shah might intervene personally to keep down the prices of goods.

Kotov, the Russian traveller who was in Iran in the reign of Shāh 'Abbās I, states that the Shāh used to make casual visits to the bazaar and ask about the price and quality of items offered for sale. Shāh Sulaymān similarly investigated the prices and the quality and durability of essential goods. 14

The price control was tightened in times of famine and war or after a riot or other emergency. For instance in 1072/1661, when a severe famine occurred and food prices in Isfahan consequently rose and the poor people of the city suffered from a dearth of essential provisions, Shah 'Abbas II ordered the nazir-i buyutat, Şafi-Quli Beg, to find a remedy. Şafi-Quli summoned the tradesmen who had hoarded the available supplies and compelled them to offer these supplies at the prices which had been current before the famine. 15 At the time of Shah Sulayman's coronation in 1079/1668, which took place after a bad harvest, so many people gathered in Isfahan for the event that a dearth of bread arose. Shah Sulayman made the army commander, 'Ali-Quli Khan, responsible for the procurement of supplies. When 'Ali-Quli Khan brought grain to the city and distributed it among the bakers, the bakers were required to sell the bread at special prices. 16 To sum up, in abnormal circumstances particular guilds were subjected to still stricter control.

Prices of goods for sale to royal and governmental bodies were in some cases fixed monthly. The suggested prices were notified by the respective kadkhudas to the sahib-jam' (collector, i.e. chief accountant), of the royal

establishments. The latter passed the prices on to the muhtasib ul-mamālik, who prepared a list of the prices and gave it to the nazir-i buyutāt. The nazir-i buyutāt had discretion to reduce the prices. 17

In the provincial cities, the price fixing procedures were similar to those at Isfahan. The <u>Dastur ul-Muluk</u> states that the deputy (<u>na'ib</u>) of the <u>muhtasib ul-mamalik</u> in every provincial city maintained a continuous control over the local prices, and that the craftsmen sold their goods in accordance with price lists which were advertised monthly after their confirmation by his deputy. 18

There is some evidence that the sale of foreign goods also was regulated by the <u>muhtasib</u> and the price commissions. Fryer states that along the routes, the European merchants sold their goods at free prices mutually agreed after bargaining, but in the towns they had to observe the official published prices. 19

In the absence of sufficient documentary evidence, and in view of the fluctuations of Iranian and foreign currency values, it is impossible to form an accurate and comprehensive picture of price trends in Iran in the Safavid period; but on the basis of the scattered and fragmentary information from reports of European visitors which is set out below, it appears that the general trend was upwards and that the rise in prices became sharper as the Safavid régime approached its end. The equivalent values of certain Iranian and English coins in 1627-28, according to Thomas Herbert, were as follows:

One <u>'abbasi</u> (a silver coin not above a quarter of an ounce in weight, which was the most important and widely used

currency at that time) = 16 pence; one $\underline{\text{shahi}}$ = 4 pence; one $\underline{\text{bisti}}$ = 2 pence; two $\underline{\text{qazbigi}}$ = one penny, one $\underline{\text{qazbigi}}$ = $\frac{1}{2}$ penny.²⁰

Prices of essential provisions at different times between 1581 and 1722.

At Isfahan in 1581:

rice	1	man (6 kilograms) ²¹	7 <u>t</u>	īst	ī
bread	1	. 0	3	n	
wood	1	u	,1	11	22

At Isfahan in 'Abbas I's reign:

wheat	100 pecks	(1 bushel)	7 shillings
harlev	100 "		$\frac{1}{2}$ ducat ²³

At Sultaniya in 'Abbas I's reign:

hen	per head	•		5 pence
eggs	30		•	2 pence ²⁴

At Isfahan in Olearius's time (1636-38):

sheep	per head	10 <u>'abbasi</u> s
wood	1 man	1 pence
charcoal	1 l i	1 or 2 pence ²⁵

At Isfahan in 'Abbas II's reign:

bread	1 pound	2 liards (1 liard = 3 <u>dīnār</u> s)
meat	н и	18 <u>dīnār</u> s ²⁶

At Isfahan at the time of Shah Sulayman's accession (1668) bread 1 man one 'abbasi²⁷

At Isfahan in Shah Sulayman's reign:

hen	per head	6 pence
rice	1 pound	2 pence

wood 12 pounds 4-5 pence charcoal 12 " 4-5 pence ? 28

At Işfahan in 1716:

wood

7 pounds

3 pence²⁹

At Isfahan between March and August 1722 during the siege by the Ghalzay rebels:

bread	1 <u>man</u>	600 dinars (1 penny = $12\frac{1}{2}$ dinars)
rice	1	1200 dinars
chicken	per head	700 "
sugar	1 <u>man</u>	6000 ⁿ
ghee (cook	ing oil) 1 <u>man</u>	4000 " 30

While the above price figures are too obscure to permit definite conclusions, the traveller's reports clearly indicate a gradual rise of prices until Shah Sulayman's reign, and a rapid rise thereafter. Teixeira, who was in Iran in Shah 'Abbas's reign, found the price of excellent provisions and necessaries very moderate. 31 Struys in 1672 found the prices very dear. 32 Sanson (1683) 33 and Bell (1715-1718) 34 both noted increasing dearness. The price rise in the last decades of the Safavid period passed out of the control of the muntasibs and the guild authorities.

The unexpected collapse of the Safavid régime is certainly attributable in a large part to its autocratic nature and to the incapacity of Shah Sultan Husayn, but might nevertheless not have occurred if the Iranian economy had not been suffering from inflation and general deterioration under the exploitative economic policy which the régime had long pursued.

Notes 4.2.

- 1. Lockyer, pp.214-215; Fryer, 3, p.139.
- 2. Shushtari, pp.102-103.
- 3. Du Mans, pp.36-37.
- 4. <u>D.M.</u> in <u>M.D.A.T.</u>, 16, no.4; <u>T.M.</u>, p.79a-80b; \bar{A} saf, p.308.

- Herbert, p.150; Evliya, 2, p.141.
- 6. Āsaf, p.308.
- 7. Kaempfer, p.131; Evliya, 2, p.14.
- 8. Du Mans, p.37; Gemelli, p.150.
- 9. T.M. pp. 796-80b.
- 10. Tavernier, p.257.
- 11. <u>Ibid.</u>, pp.258-259.
- 12. Fryer, 3, p.24.
- 13. Kotov, p.21.
- 14. Sanson, p.10.
- 15. Muḥammad Ṭāhir Vaḥid, p.307.
- 16. Chardin, 1, pp.88-89.
- 17. T.M., p.17a.
- 18. D.M. in M.D.A.T., 16, no.4; T.M., p.88.
- 19. Fryer, 2, p.264.
- 20. Herbert, pp.264-265. See also Fryer, 3, p.152;
 Thévenot, p.89; Lockyer, p.241; Hamilton, A new account
 of the East India, in Pinkerton's Collection, 8, pp.519-520.
 Rabino, Coins, Medals, and Seals of the Shahs of Iran, p.38.
 Schuster Walser, pp.45-46.
- Man (English "maund") is a word derived from Greek.meaning a measure of weight. In Iran both liquids and solids are generally sold by weight, not volume. Before the adoption of the metric system in 1925, the man had been used all over Iran, but its weight had varied not only at different times but also in different parts of the country. The man-i Tabrīz was equal to 6 lbs and 9 oz., the man-i Shāh was double that weight, and the man-i Ray, used only in Ray and surrounding districts, was about 30 lbs. In Bandar 'Abbās and Kung, the Bāzār man and Copra man (perhaps from the Arabic kubrā = great), equivalent to 7½ and 7½ lbs, were used. See Lockyer, p.242.

- 22. Newbery, in Purchas his Pilgrims, 8, p.467.
- 23. Don Juan of Persia, p.50.
- 24. Cartwright, in Osborne's Collection, 1, p.729.
- 25. Olearius, p.292.
- 26. Chardin, 2, p.329.
- 27. Ibid., 10, pp.1-8.
- 28. Le Brun, p.319.
- 29. J. Bell, 1, p.122.
- 30. Gilanentz, pp.42-43. One <u>tuman</u> = 1000 <u>dinars</u>, 50 '<u>abbasi</u> = 1 tuman.
- 31. Teixeira, p.251. For the prices of more than 40 items in September 1705 at Bandar 'Abbas, see Lockyer, pp.43-44.
- 32. Struys, p.324.
- 33. Bell, 1, pp.121-122.
- 34. Sanson, p.159; Le Brun, pp.312-313.

4.3. Restrictions and Monopolies

A certain degree of restriction and monopoly is inherent in the nature of guilds in any community. Although the Islamic beliefs and the ideals of <u>futuvat</u> cherished by the Iranian guilds included concepts of the good quality and honest description of the product or service and of the just price and the fair reward or wage, naturally the elders of the guilds viewed such matters in the light of their member's interests. In general they were anxious to prevent competition by outsiders, which might have been beneficial to consumers but would have been harmful to established craftsmen and tradesmen.

It is difficult to ascertain the exact nature of the guild restrictions and monopolies which existed in Safavid Information on the subject from the 17th and 18th centuries is sparse, but from the 19th century is more definite. Nevertheless it can be taken for certain that various kinds of restrictions and monopolies existed during the Safavid period. The structure of the bazaar in all Islamic countries stemmed from restrictions which were maintained by the guilds. 1 In Iranian cities, as elsewhere, separate sections of the bazaar were reserved for particular trades. Each section was occupied by the members of a certain guild, and under no circumstances were craftsmen and artisans from other guilds allowed to practise their business in it. When Shah 'Abbas I built the royal bazaar of Isfahan, each guild established its business in a lane of the bazaar which was specially designated and allocated to it by royal decree. 3 This strict demarcation was not

only thought to be advantageous for the government, but was also supported by the guild elders. Moreover, in each alley of the bazaar, the number of shops was limited, and there was consequently a restriction of the number of craftsmen and tradesmen in any guild who could found their own businesses.

Professor A. K. S. Lambton states that there is no surviving document which records any debarment of nonmembers of guilds from trading in bazaars; but it is known that the total number of shops in the royal bazaar of / Isfahan was limited and that each guild had a prescribed number of shops in a certain alley (\underline{rasta}) . A newcomer had to buy the right of occupation $(\underline{\mathtt{sar-qufl}})$ of a shop and therewith the right to do business. This right was called haqq-i bunicha, which also meant the guild tax.5 The Tazkirat ul-Muluk states that every master craftsman had to pay the haqq-i bunicha. From this statement it can be inferred that, as in later times, payment of the haqq-i bunicha was necessary for the privilege of occupying a shop in the main bazaar. Scattered references also show that the occupant of a shop in the royal bazaar had to be a full member of the appropriate guild. The bashi of each guild was responsible for the administration of the shops in his rasta, and he registered the name and location of every shopkeeper in it. Permission for the opening of a new shop or for a change of the occupancy of an existing shop had to be sought from the bashi, who in turn had to report the name of the applicant and the condition of the shop to the governmental authorities. According to the tradition of

the Iranian guilds, the eldest son of a deceased master inherited the rights and privileges of his father, including the haqq-i bunicha. When a deceased master craftsman left no immediate heir or qualified successor, the haqq-i bunicha was bought by another craftsman of the same guild. 8

The concentration or dispersal of a craft or trade within a city depended on two factors: firstly its nature, and secondly its relationship with the government. nature of a craft or trade was messy or untidy, its pursuit was only allowed in a prescribed location. For instance, in Tavernier's time, the wholesale fruiterers and purveyors of foodstuffs were confined to the old bazaar of Isfahan.9 Chardin noted that the old bazaar was occupied by unimportant guilds such as lemon and pomegranate juice makers, vinegar distillers, and charcoal and firewood sellers. 10 Le Brun, who stayed in Iran from 1702 to 1704, states that at that time the old bazaar of Isfahan was used mainly as a stable and only as a place of business by some poor and insignificant guilds. He also mentions that a particular area, which had formerly been inhabited by unskilled and wretched workers, was reserved for the fullers and dyers ("shkaran", i.e. siyahkaran). 11 Another poor quarter of Isfahan had once been inhabited by the stone cutters who had pursued their crafts there. 12 At both Isfahan and Tabrīz, 13 certain alleys specially were allocated to camel drivers and cart drivers. 14

Such localization of craftsmen and tradesmen had an influence on the residential pattern. The close relationship between home and workshop necessitated the assignment of residential quarters adjoining the bazaar or other place of work to particular occupational group. 15 The merchants (tujjar) of Georgian and black slaves, who came from Qarabagh district (today in Soviet Armenia), and who had a monopoly of the slave trade at Isfahan, resided in the Qarabagh caravansarai in the bazaar and were not allowed to pursue their business anywhere else. 16

The most profitable and prestigious arts and crafts of Isfahan were concentrated in the royal bazaar. money changers (sarrafan), goldsmiths, jewellers, and other sellers of valuable and luxurious goods all had shops at the entrance to the royal bazaar in the qaysariya. was also the case in other Iranian cities in the Safavid period (and still is today). The shops in the qaysariyas were owned by the Shah. 17 The rents from them were a valuable source of revenue, and the royal ownership of them facilitated state control of occupations which were important in the economic life of the cities. Gemelli Carreri, who was in Iran in 1693-1694, mentions that gold and silver wares and luxurious goods were on sale in qayşariyas of Ardabil and Tabriz and that the qayşariya of Isfahan, being at the heart of the country's economic life, was well stocked with valuable goods from both Iran and abroad, such as gold and silver brocades, and all sorts of velvets, jewels, and pearls. 19

It has already been mentioned in Chapter 2 that according to both Chardin and the <u>Tazkirat ul-Muluk</u>, no master craftsmen or artisans were allowed to practise a craft or trade unless they had received a credential or

certificate 21 from the bashi of their guild. On the other hand, Chardin states that there was no restriction of the range of a guild's production, and he mentions as an example that a coppersmith was allowed to make a silver tray even though such trays were usually made by the silversmiths. 22 Similarly an unknown European traveller, who was in Iran at a later time, probably near the end of the 17th century, states that although certain artisans were subject to restrictions and were not allowed to engage in other crafts, there was no general system of monopolistic control of the types of manufacture which the different guilds might undertake. 23 The statements of Chardin and of this unknown European traveller are open to question. While it can be accepted that a coppersmith might make a silver tray in an exceptional circumstance or in response to a special request, it seems most unlikely, for both financial and technical reasons, that coppersmiths would have undertaken silver tray making as a permanent occupation. Restriction of the number of persons exercising a particular trade was an essential guild function, and there is evidence of its practice in the 19th century and even later. For instance, in Nasir ud-Din Shah Qajar's reign, according to the file (daftar) and rule (dastur) which were lodged in the kalantar's office at Isfahan, a group of cooks (ash-pazan) who specialized in a certain sector of the culinary profession (pukht-u-paz) were not allowed to sell their meat warm, but were required to keep it on ice on trays and to sell it chilled, whereas another group had to sell their meat warm. These two groups, namely the cold meat sellers (sardfurushan) and hot meat sellers (biryaniyan), were officially regarded as mutually exclusive. 24 Such a practice is unlikely to have been first introduced in the 19th century, and may be assumed to have already existed in the 17th and 18th centuries.

Kuznetsova has remarked on the restrictive character of another customary practice. Every master had his own mark (<u>nishān</u> or <u>tamghā</u>) which was stamped or engraved on his handiwork. This mark was confirmed by the guild's elders or in a general meeting of the members. When a craft master died without a successor, his <u>nishān</u> could be transferred to another qualified member of the same guild if the elders or a full meeting of the members approved. 25

Monopolies of crafts and professions were evidently very rigid in small and distant towns. Tavernier noted the existence of a strict monopoly at Lar. The guild of the camel drivers of Lar monopolized the transportation from the town to Bandar 'Abbas and the ports of the province of Fars on the Persian Gulf. Thus the camel drivers of Shīrāz had to unload their camels at the caravansarai of Lar, whence the camel drivers of Lar carried the bales to the destinations. 26

In addition to the guild restrictions, there were governmental restrictions or monopolies which affected certain trades. A number of decrees of the Safavid Shahs record their attempts to make soap manufacture a state monopoly. A decree of Tahmasb I had required the slaughterers and butchers of Isfahan to sell all their tallow (pih) to certain officials and to deliver it to the government's soap factory (sabun-khana). Since the common

soap makers had thereby been deprived of their livelihood, Shah Tahmasb I, in a <u>farman</u> dated Muharram 981/1573, ordered dissolution of the government's soap making establishments and prohibited any resumption of them. The soap makers then started to make soap on their own account. At some later date, however, Shah Tahmasb's prohibition was disregarded, and soap making was again monopolized by the government, until Shah Şafī issued a <u>farman</u> reiterating his ancestor's prohibition of state monopolization of this manufacture.

Another type of governmental restriction was imposed on street vendors. As was mentioned in Chapter 2, Chardin has left a description of the street vendors who occupied stands in the Maydan-i Shah of Isfahan. They offered for sale many kinds of goods and wares, which they displayed on mats. At sunset they put their goods into chests, which they left in the corners of the Maydan-i Shah. Each group of the vendors and artisans in the Maydan was restricted to a certain pitch. An individual might only set up his stand in the proximity of stands where similar wares were sold.²⁹

From time to time various occupations were prohibited in the public interest or to protect the people's morals.

A farman of Tahmasb I dated Rabi' 1 941/1534 ordered the closure of all taverns, gambling houses, shops selling bang (cannabis) and buza (a sort of rice beer), and music halls (qavval-khanas), and the imposition of severe penalties on persons thereafter engaging in these businesses.

For the benefit of public morality, 'Abbas II decreed the prohibition of prostitution, and thereby caused all the brothels (bayt ul-lutf) to be closed down, 31 at least for a time.

Another type of restriction was imposed on the non-Muslim craftsmen and artisans. The Turkish traveller Evliya Efendi (d.1093/1682) states that in Iran, non-Muslim traders were not allowed to sell foodstuffs to Muslims. 32 According to a farman of 'Abbas I, the Jewish merchants of Isfahan were only allowed to do their business in the Jarchi caravansarai in the royal bazaar. Tavernier states that the Armenian furriers of Isfahan were confined to the Armenian bazaar, which adjoined the 'Ali-Qapu. 34 Although they had dominated and indeed monopolized the fur trade, a farman suddenly issued by 'Abbas II in 1053/1645 forbade the Armenian furriers to pursue this business, and as a result the royal treasury lost a considerable amount of revenue from the professional tax formerly paid by the Armenian furriers. 35 It is not known when this prohibition was rescinded, but according to Asaf, an Armenian furrier named Manuk Samurduz (sable trimmer) was ordered to make a fur cloak (bala-push) for 'Ali Mardan Khan Bakhtiyari, the regent of Shah Isma'il III. 36 A non-Muslim craftsman or artisan was not allowed to be the bashi of a guild. Tavernier relates that an accomplished carpenter named Jacob Jan was appointed the bashi of the carpenters' guild, but was soon dismissed because he refused to be converted to Islam.³⁷

In spite of all the road making and caravansarai building in the Safavid period, the inadequacy and high cost of transportation in the 17th and 18th centuries necessitated a large measure of provincial economic self-sufficiency and generally prevented any concentration or

monopolization of national industries in particular cities or districts. Certain places, however, specialized in the production of expensive and easily transportable goods, and through their reputations for good quality won virtual monopolies. Most of the production of silk cocoons and filaments was concentrated, for climatic reasons, in the province of Gilan. 38 The city of Qum was the main centre of the manufacture of swords and blades, which were exported to other cities. 39 The best lambskin coats, 40 pottery vessels, 41 and carpets were made in Kirman 2 and sent long distances for sale. Shiraz was principal centre of glass manufacture, 43 and in other cities there was a big demand for the best Shīrāzī bottles and panes. 44 At Yazd silk weavers (sha'r-bafan) formed the main part of the population. The shoemakers of Tabrīz were unequalled, and they produced so many excellent shoes that large quantities were exported

Another restriction of economic liberty in Safavid Iran arose from the official regulation of weights and measures. The tradesmen and shopkeepers apparently had to comply with the systems of weights and measures which the governmental authorities (particularly the muhtasib) 47 prescribed. No attempt was made to impose uniformity, however, and different measurement systems were used in different cities and provinces. This continuing diversity can be explained by the fact that the economy of Safavid Iran was always basically decentralized. Tavernier states that liquids and most other goods were sold by weight. Lockyer, who was in Bandar 'Abbas in 1705, relates that sugar, drugs, and copper were measured by man-i Tabrīz (maund of Tabrīz = 6½lbs.),

and that fruits of all kinds, raisins and rice were sold by the <u>man-i "copra"</u> (= <u>kubra</u>) of $7\frac{3}{4}$ lbs, precious stones, metals, and spices by <u>misqal</u> (1/6 of ounce), 49 carpets and cloths by the <u>gaz</u> ($32\frac{1}{2}$ inches). 50

Discrepancy of the weights and measures in use in different provinces and for different categories of goods endured through the Qajar period until the introduction of the metric system by the fifth parliament of Iran in 1925.

Notes 4.3.

- 1. Baer, Guilds in Middle Eastern History, pp.11-30.
- 2. Mafarrukhi, p.53.
- 3. Tavernier, p.45; Kotov, p.19.
- 4. It is still Preserved in the Royal Bazar of Isthan.
- 5. Lambton, Islamic Society in Persia, p.24.
- 6. T.M., pp.80b, 81a; Musahab, 1, p.165.
- 7. Chardin, 4, pp.93-94.
- 8. Chardin, 7, pp.364-368. This tradition remains in force today.
- 9. Tavernier, p.36; Bihishtiyan, pp.22-23.
- 10. Chardin, 7, pp.380-381; Le Brun, p.323.
- 11. Le Brun, pp.271, 323ff; Ja'fari, writing in the 9th/15th century states that the shu-malan (starch-workers) resided in the Kucha-yi Faḥḥādān of Yazd and practised their profession at the same place. Ja'farī, Tārīkh-i Yazd, pp.116-117.
- 12. Chardin, 8, p.19.
- 13. Rumlu, p.455.
- 14. Chardin, 8, pp.62-63.
- 15. Chardin, 2, pp.215-216.
- 16. Sloane, B. L. Or., ms. 4094.
- 17. Muḥammad Sharaf ud-Dīn Husaynī Kāshānī (d.12040)

 <u>Tazkira-yi Khulāşat ul-ash'ār va Zubdat ul-afkār</u>, ms.,

 Library of the Majlis-i Shawrā-yi Millī; Sepantā, p.55.
- 18. Gemelli, p.223.
- 19. Covert, in Osborne's Collection, 2, pp.226-228; Tavernier, pp.42-47.
- 20. Chardin, 4, pp.93-94.
- 21. T.M., p.94.
- 22. Chardin, 4, p.94.
- 23. Disposition and Temper of the Persians, in Pinkerton's Collection, 9, p.205.
- 24. Tahvildar, p.119.

- 25. V. A. Gordlevskii, <u>Dervishi Akhi Evrana i Tesekhi v</u>

 <u>Turtsii</u>, in <u>Izvestia AN SSSR</u>, series 6, 1927, no.15-17,
 p.1187, in <u>Issawi</u>, p.290.
- 26. Tavernier, p.318.
- 27. Farman of Shah Tahmasb I, in Nava'i, Majmu'a-yi Faramin Shah Tahmasb, pp.511-512, and in Farhang-i Iran-Zamin, 1347/1968, 12, pp.319-320; Farman of Shah Tahmasb I dated 981/1574 in the Masjid-i Jami'-i Şaghir of Nayriz.
- 28. Farman of Shah Safi in the Masjid-i Jami' of Kashan.
- 29. Chardin, 7, pp.339-343, 264-266; Tavernier, pp.37-38.
- 30. Farman of Shah Tahmasb I, dated 941/1534, in Nava'i, op.cit., pp.513-514.
- 31. Muhammad Tahir Vahid, pp.70-72.
- 32. Evliya, 2, p.126.
- 33. Sloane, B.L. ms., 4094.
- 34. Tavernier, p.43.
- 35. Valih, folio 132; Vaḥīd, p.72.
- 36. Āsaf, pp.250-251.
- 37. Tavernier, pp.224-225.
- 38. Olearius, pp.388-391.
- 39. Ogilby, p.20; Bell, in Pinkerton's Collection, 7, p.298.
- 40. Thevenot, p.93.
- 41. Gemelli, p.153; Thevenot, pp.92-93.
- 42. Ogilby, p.20.
- 43. Du Mans, pp.198-199.
- 44. Fryer, 2, p.215.
- 45. Inscription in the Masjid-i Jami' of Yazd dated 1013/1602.
- 46. Gemelli, p.113.
- 47. See Chapter 2.
- 48. Tavernier, p.257.
- 49. Lockyer, pp.229-230; Ferrier, British-Persian relations in the 17th century (unpublished thesis), p.452.
- 50. Fryer, 3, p.139.

4.4. Judicial and Penal Institutions of the Guilds

In the sources from the Safavid period concerning the conduct of internal guild affairs, there is nothing to suggest that guild courts rivalled or replaced the normal Islamic $(\underline{\mathtt{shar'}}\overline{\mathtt{i}})$ courts. A. K. S. Lambton contends that the guilds were to some extent forced to assume judicial functions on account of the incompleteness of the Islamic law (shari'at), which made it difficult for the shar'i courts to take cognisance of many types of commercial disputes. 2 The shari'at, however, contains general provisions governing transactions, contracts, property rights, etc. Muhammad Ghazzali (450/1059-505/1111), who devoted one chapter of his celebrated work Kimiya-yi Sa'adat to commercial matters, relates that the second caliph 'Umar, when passing through the bazaar of Madina, said that "he who is engaged in trading must learn the shari'at". 3 While it is true that the Shī'ite and Sunnite law treatises do not give detailed guidance on all commercial matters, Muslim lawyers and judges would have been able to find solutions to detailed problems on the basis of analogy and precedent. It may, therefore, be concluded that inadequacies in the shari'at were not the reason why the guilds were allowed to keep a large degree of self-regulation in the field of commercial disputes between their members. Partial autonomy of social institutions was inherent in pre-industrial communities, and it was one of the characteristics of Safavid Iran, where even after Shah 'Abbas I's successful efforts to strengthen the government's control, the structure of the state was

still partly decentralized.

The judicial autonomy of the guilds was limited, because the referees who settled the internal disputes between the members derived their power from the governmental authorities in their city. Through the grant of these powers, the authorities made sure that the tradesmen and artisans would maintain professional standards and comply with official policies, and that they would not disturb the city's security. Each guild had a particular court consisting of its bashi and its elders (rish-safidan). Although there is no precise information, it may be assumed that the procedures and judgements of these courts were based on the principles of arbitration (kadkhuda-manishi), according to which oath-taking was only permitted when decisive evidence was lacking. Mafarrukhi, writing in the 8th/14th century, states that the kadkhudas settled the disputes between members of guilds, and that cases were heard in guild courts which set up at the char-suq of the guild's section of the bazaar. 4 The Tazkirat ul-Muluk states that one of the functions of the kalantar was to judge important cases pertaining to the business (kasb-u-kar) of artisans and craftsmen. 5 Kaempfer mentions that the malik ut-tujjar ("chief merchant", whose role has been discussed in Chapter 3) used to settle commercial disputes between big merchants at his own house. As regards disputes between individual craftsmen of the same guild, Chardin states that the bashi of every guild dealt with such matters summarily and investigated all serious complaints. A farman of Shah Safi dated Zu'l -Hijja 1038/1629 exempting the barbers of Isfahan from certain

customary dues (rusum) advises any barber from whom further rusum are demanded to apply to the kadkhuda and the bashi for redress. 8 Mirza Hashim Asaf records that in 1173/1759 Karim Khan Zand ordered that each guild (sinf) should set up a court consisting of several well informed and accomplished persons to settle its disputes. 6 Kuznetsova has found evidence which suggests that in the 18th century there was a high court for important guild cases, which formed part of the shar' judiciary and was presided over by the naqib, who also arbitrated in minor cases between tradesmen. She states that this high court heard complaints free of charge, and could seize and reassign a shop occupied by a master who had violated his guild's regulations or honour by making shoddy goods or cheating, etc. 10 There can be no doubt, however, that each guild still kept its own special court. 'Abbas Bihishtiyan, a modern specialist on Safavid monuments, has found evidence that the char-suq of the dyer's bazaar in Isfahan was the seat of the guild's kadkhuda, who heard the complaints and pleas of the dyers and arbitrated their disputes. 11 Naṣrābadī in his Tazkira mentions that the leading craftsmen of each guild had shops in their guild's section of the bazaar, which was called its khana (abode): for instance, Hajji Sharif, the kadkhuda of the confectioners (qannadan), had his shop in the qannadkhana, and Mulla Mushfiqi, one of the kadkhudas of the drapers (bazzazan) of Qum, had his shop in the bazzaz-khana. From Naṣrabadi's account, it appears that in cases involving guild regulations, the kadkhuda of the guild concerned would hear the complaints and pass summary judgement. 12 There is no documentary evidence about the kinds of punishment which were considered suitable for guild members who were found guilty; but it is still customary in the ancient bazaars of Isfahan and Yazd that a violator of guild regulations may make amends for his first offence by giving a $\overline{\sup}$ (i.e. a reconciliation feast) to his fellow members. For repeated violations a tradesman might be subjected to a severe penalty such as closure of his shop or a ban on his continuance in business in the bazaar. 13 General accusations against guild members were heard in the customary law courts (maḥākim-i 'urfī), which came under the jurisdiction of the divan-begi (minister of justice) and were administered by the kalantar or the muhtasib. 14 The responsibility for execution of sentences on offending artisans and traders lay with the darugha. 15 Tavernier relates that in the reign of Shah 'Abbas II, when one of the gold bowls in the royal kitchen was lost, the darugha of Isfahan, Mir Qasim Beg, arrested some goldsmiths and baselessly accused them of stealing it. He then told them that they would not be freed unless they gave him a large sum of money. Mirza Muhammad Beg, the bashi of the goldsmiths' guild, appealed for mercy, but the darugha paid no attention and gave a harsh and abusive reply because Mirza Muhammad Beg's plea was not accompanied by any money. 16

From time to time the <u>muhtasib</u> and the <u>darugha</u> separately examined the weights and measures in use in the bazaar to make sure that shopkeepers were not overcharging or falsifying the government-approved scales. If any

shopkeepers were found guilty, they were sentenced to appropriate penalties, such as fines, floggings, imprisonment, nailing by the ears to their own shop doors, immurement in their own red-hot furnaces (a punishment inflicted on bakers), and even the death penalty. Normally the sentences of punishment were decided by the muhtasib or some other official, and the punishments were carried out by the darugha. 17 A farman of Shah Muhammad Khudabanda ordered that cash fines (jarīma-hā-yi naqdī) due by offending artisans and craftsmen should be remitted, and that complaints against them, like complaints against non-craftsmen citizens, should be tried and sentenced in accordance with the shari'at. It seems that this farman was not enforced, or not for long, because there is evidence that shopkeepers throughout the country continued to be sentenced to pay fines. According to Du Mans, the fines were collected by the muntasib 19 and delivered to the royal treasury. 20

The Safavid government, which was always anxious to control retail prices, treated offending shopkeepers very harshly. An offending baker was liable either to be thrown and left inside his own red-hot furnace, or to be nailed to his shop door. Eraudulent craftsmen were often publicly flogged in an open space. Chardin reports that in the reign of Shāh Sulaymān, 'Alī-Qulī Khān was made responsible for carrying out the punishment of bakers, and that he set up a great oven which was kept red-hot for a month. No baker then dared to sell bread dearer than the officially designated price. A more prevalent punishment of convicted craftsmen was the so-called takhta-kulāh (wooden hat)

which is described by Kaempfer and other contemporary observers. 26 Kaempfer relates that the guilty shopkeeper was capped with a heavy wooden bowl, which was decorated with several hawk-bells and a fox's tail, and that he was then paraded around the city with a band of trumpeters marching ahead of him and crowds of jeering children running behind him. 27 In the event of continuance or repetition of fraud or overcharging, the offender could be sentenced to death, 28 but the sentence could only be executed after authorization by the governor. 29

The discipline and punishments which were imposed on guild members were of course intended to ensure quality and cheapness of manufactured goods and other supplies. It was the duty of the muhtasib and darugha to protect the consumers rather than to help the producers. By inflicting spectacular punishments on shopkeepers, the authorities of a city sought to avert popular complaints and discontent.

Notes 4.4.

- 1. Sanson, pp.189, 190.
- 2. Lambton, Islamic Society in Persia, p.21.
- 3. Ghazzali, Kimiya-yi Sa'adat, pp.118-124.
- 4. Mafarrukhi, p.55.
- 5. T.M., p.77a.
- 6. Kaempfer, p.86.
- 7. Chardin, 6, pp.119-120.
- 8. Inscription in the Masjid-iShah of Isfahan dated 1038/1629.
- 9. Āṣaf, p.310.
- 10. Kuznetsova, Urban industry in Persia, pp.289-290.
- 11. Bihishtiyan, p.29.
- 12. Nasrabadī, pp.366, 414.
- 13. Fryer, 3, p.24.
- 14. Kaempfer, p.86.
- 15. Evliya Efendi, 2, pp.141-142.
- 16. Tavernier, pp.195-196.
- 17. Kaempfer, p.86; Sanson, pp.188-189.
- 18. Iskandar Munshī, 1, p.308.
- 19. Du Mans, pp.36-37; Chardin, 6, p.129.
- 20. <u>Disposition and Temper of the Persians</u>, in Pinkerton's <u>Collection</u>, 9, p.216.
- 21. Fryer, 3, p.24.
- 22. Gemelli, p.150.
- 23. Chardin, 4, pp.94-95.
- 24. Tavernier, p.257; Sanson, pp.189-190.
- 25. Chardin, 10, p.18; Gemelli, p.150.
- 26. Chardin, 2, p.129; T.M., pp.149-150.
- 27. Kaempfer, pp.132-133.
- 28. Kaempfer, p.86.
- 29. Sanson, pp.189-190.

Chapter 5.

Social and Political
Functions of the Guilds

- 5.1. Social Functions of the Guilds
- 5.2. Political Activities of the Guilds

5.1. Social Functions of the Guilds

"Whatever craft you have, try to be quick and honest!

Be content with a modest gain! Do not turn away customers by over-insistence! You will earn more profits from your occupation, and more people will do business with you... if you show humility. Be honest both in buying and in selling and avoid avarice! Always keep true weights and measures...! Never have two hearts or two purses (i.e. never be distrustful or mean) with your family (i.e. wife)! Never deal dishonestly with partners! In whatever craft you have, do nothing fraudulent, and do work of the same quality for the informed and the uninformed customer!"

These words of advice given by Kay Kavus (441/1049-476/1083), the Ziyarid ruler, express ethical concepts which Iranian traders and artisans have cherished since ancient times. Unfortunately the available contemporary sources do not give detailed information about the ideology of the Iranian guilds, and at the present time, when the pressure of modern conditions has destroyed or weakened guild life, only a small number of these traditions have been conserved by Iranian craftsmen. Nevertheless. from the traditions which are still practised, it is apparent that the social, cultural, and ethical-religious functions of the guilds were very important. The guilds constituted one of the main social orders into which the urban populations were grouped. Since the government did not provide social welfare services, the craftsmen themselves. collectively or individually, provided such services, and they did so on a scale which was significant in comparison

with other social or religious groups and town quarter groups.

An attempt must be made to describe the social functions of the Iranian guilds in the 17th and 18th centuries in so far as this is possible on the basis of the scarce documentary evidence and of oral evidence which has been transmitted from generation to generation down to the present time.

In the Iranian cities, the bazaar as the site of the artisans and traders was not merely the centre of trades and manufactures, but also the scene of many different activities. 2 It was equipped with all kinds of facilities and amenities, such as mosques, schools, public baths, coffee houses, inns, and caravansarais. 3 Struys, the Dutch visitor who was in Isfahan in 1671, noted that in the daytime the bazaar was exceedingly populous, so much so that a person wishing to walk through the bazaar and the Maydan-i Shah often had to wait a long time before he could pass.4 The most popular places where artisans and tradesmen gathered to discuss professional and municipal affairs were the coffee houses (qahva-khanas) and char-suqs (roofed crossroads in the bazaar). 5 Fryer states that the coffee house was the hub of every bazaar or bazarcha (small bazaar), and that people frequented it not only for the purpose of getting news and exchanging rumours, but also for the pleasure of hearing poets and reciters (naqqalan), who attended regularly to declaim their poems and fables. Generally in every lane (rasta) of the bazaar there was a char-suq, which was in fact a complex of public buildings

usually comprising a mosque, public bath (hammam), theological college (madrasa), gymnasium (zur-khana), and drinking fountain (saqqa-khana). The char-suq was considered to be the headquarters of the guild which occupied the particular lane, and the public business of the guild was conducted there. 8 It also contained the residence of the head of the night-watchmen of the residence of the guild's kadkhuda. The emblem pole ('alamat) of each guild was kept in its char-suq in the same way as a town quarter's emblem pole was kept in the local <u>bazarcha</u>. 10 Capital punishments were executed at the char-suq, as shown for example by Rumlu's report that Khwaja Kalan Ghuriyani, a Sunnite Islamic scholar, was executed at a char-suq of Harat in 944/1538. 11 Besides the main bazaar, there were little bazaars (bazarchas) which were established in every quarter (mahalla) of a city and were provided with the same amenities as the char-suqs in the main bazaar. The amenities of a bazarcha were usually constituted as endowments (awqaf) of the quarter's mosque. At Isfahan, the bazarcha of Saru-Taqi (the grand vazir of Shah Şafi) was particularly well equipped with public facilities (which still exist). 12

Certain aspects of the relationship of the guilds with religion, and in particular with tariques, are discussed in Chapter 7. The relationship was all-pervasive, embracing everything from the sale of merchandise to the construction of mosques, schools, etc. Gibb and Bowen remark that this was a common characteristic of Islamic guilds in every country, and add that "the moral effect of this religious personality... was incalculable". "It

encouraged the qualities of honesty and sobriety which all observers agree in attributing to Moslem artisans. No account of religion in Safavid Iran would be complete without a study of the role of the guilds and the deeply religious nature of their activities. According to the traditional concept of the guilds, every artisan and trader should be a good Muslim. To a limited extent, however, non-Muslims were admitted; their role in Safavid Iran is discussed in Chapter 6.

One aspect of the general role of the guilds in the Safavid period was their contribution to the building of public edifices and amenities. Although the governmental authorities in the towns shared in such tasks, their contributions were insufficient to meet all needs and were primarily intended to display the government's benevolence or piety to the people. The wealthier artisans and traders often made good the deficiencies. For instance in Shah 'Abbas I's reign, Maqsud Beg, a simple coppersmith who had become rich and acquired a great amount of property, built many amenities such as bazarcha, a char-suq and a caravansarai, 14 all of which still exist to the north east of the Maydan-i Shah in Isfahan and are still called by his name. Hajj Sayyid Husayn Sabbagh (dyer) built a cistern in the dyer's bazaar for use by the craftsmen and tradesmen at all times of the year. 15 A similar cistern was built at Kashan by Hajj Baqir Sha'rbaf (brocade weaver) in the reign of Shah 'Abbas II. Mosques and schools built by craftsmen and traders were numerous, e.g. the Masjid-i Hammalan (porters' mosque), the Masjid-i Shīra-pazān (grape juice makers' mosque), and the Madrasa-yi Kāsa-garān (potters' college) at Isfahān, all of

which were built in the Safavid period and still exist. 17

There is little or no evidence concerning the relations between guilds of the same trade or profession in different towns. It would appear that they did not cooperate in joint charitable undertakings or in joint approaches to the central government on behalf of their common interests throughout the country. More probably there was a certain amount of rivalry between them. Chardin mentions that there was a healthy competition between the potters of Yazd and Isfahan. The potters of Yazd, in order to prove their skill, once manufactured a very fine glazed pot with a capacity of 12 livres and a weight of just one gros (1/16 of a livre), and presented it to their colleagues in Isfahan. In retort, the potters of Isfahan manufactured a beautiful glazed pot with a capacity of 12 livres and a weight of just one gros, and presented it to their colleaques in Yazd. 18

Within each guild, and to some extent between different guilds in a town, mutual help and cooperation were important moral and social functions about which there is also little documentary evidence. The Yazdī poet Maḥmūd Qārī (d.993/1585) mentions that the tailors (ahl-i libās) held regular professional meetings to discuss their problems. 19 'Alī Bakhtīyār, a present-day architect who has made a thorough study of the Royal Bazaar of Isfahān, states that the Masjid-i Khayyāṭ-hā (tailors' mosque), which according to Jābirī Anṣārī was built in the early Qājār period, 20 served two purposes, a part of the mosque being set apart for religious ceremonies and daily prayers, while another

part, accessible through a secret door, contained rooms used by the administration of the guild for storage of documents relating to the tailoring trade. ²¹ In the Qajar period, members of the guild of the <u>biryani-furushan</u> (mincemeat rissole sellers) at Isfahan cooperated to ensure the supply of <u>biryanis</u> to the public. All the <u>biryanis</u> for sale in the <u>biryani</u> shops of the city were prepared and cooked in one factory (<u>kar-khana</u>), where the cooking process required a very close cooperation among the <u>biryani</u> sellers. ²²

Although the guilds had no organized systems of mutual and internal consultation, there is some evidence that members helped one another and that guilds cooperated with other guilds of the same city. 23 For instance 'Alī Aqā Dalv-duz (leather bucket-maker), who represented all the guilds and had close connections with each, was appointed the kadkhuda-bashi of the various guilds of Isfahan by 'Ali Murad Khan Zand. 24 According to Malik Shah Husayn, who wrote in Shah 'Abbas I's reign, the different guilds of Rashalak, which he describes as a large and populous city in Sistan, held thronged joint meetings in the premises of each, at which they also enjoyed talking to each other and listening to poetry reciters (shahnama-khwanan) and story tellers. 25 Chardin mentions an example of cooperation within a guild, namely the guild of the bookbinders (saḥḥāfān) at Isfahān. The binders held a special meeting under the presidency of one of their mumber for the purpose of choosing a fellow binder who would be required to open his shop on Friday. This meeting was usually held on Thursday evening every week. The bookbinders' bazaar was

not far from the Masjid-i Shah and Masjid-i Shaykh Lutfullah, which people frequented for daily and Friday prayers. The binder in question was appointed by lottery. He not only supplied the demands of the worshippers, but also made a good profit on Fridays, when he alone had his shop open. 26 The emblem poles (alamat or tawq) of the various guilds and town quarters (mahallat) were made and embellished on a cooperative basis. These poles had a religious significance and were carried in parades during the Muharram mourning (as they still are today). The possession and display of an emblem pole was regarded as a sign of dignity for a guild or quarter and its inhabitants. Different parts of an emblem pole would be made by at least ten guilds, such as ironsmiths, coppersmiths, braziers, steel fret workers (shabaka-sazan), sword makers, locksmiths, engravers (hakkakan), metal embossers (qalam-zanan), and gold beaters. A few fine emblem poles from the 18th and 19th centuries still survive, having been preserved by certain families of craftsmen and elders of town quarters at Isfahan. 27 Another example of cooperation was the payment of the wages of the night watchmen of a rasta of the bazaar, which is mentioned by Fryer as having been customary in the Safavid period. 28 Until about 1950 it continued to be a recognised collective obligation to which all members of the particular guild or guilds contributed. Kotzebue, the Russian traveller who sojourned in Iran in 1816-1817, states that at Tabrīz, if a retailer did not have available the articles which a customer requested, he would borrow them from his colleagues in order to satisfy the customer. 29

In the bazaars of present day Iran, wealthier members of a trade help poorer members who fall into distress; they regard such charity as a moral or religious duty and as a continuance of the custom of the old guilds. Although none of the sources from the 17th and 18th centuries say anything about the existence of guild funds for mutual help, there is some evidence that in those days the wealthier tradesmen and artisans similarly helped the families of colleagues stricken by illness or death. Probably such charity was not considered to be a collective obligation of the guild, but was traditionally acknowledged to be a human and Islamic duty incumbent on those who could afford. For instance, Nasrabadi mentions that Hajj Baqir Sha'rbaf (brocade weaver) allotted a part of his income for relief of distressed and needy persons, and if any member of the guild died indigent, his funeral expenses would be defrayed from this fund. 30 Kuznetsova, without mentioning her source, states that in the early Safavid period, whenever a member of a guild in a town of eastern Iran was kidnapped by Uzbek, Turkman, or Afghan raiders, the other members would ransom their colleague. 31 It is customary today that on the death of an artisan, mourning ceremonies are held by his colleagues as a corporate body, and that after three days, the deceased man's son is escorted by them from his house to the bazaar and installed in his shop; this custom is believed to go back to the Safavid period. In 17th and 18th century Iran, neither the central government nor the provincial governors acknowledged any responsibility to provide social services for individuals in distress.

guilds, however, or at least their wealthier members, gave help to distressed artisans and tradesmen. Sometimes this help was given in fulfilment of personal obligations to professional colleagues, but generally it was given for the sake of maintenance of the guild's dignity and above all from respect for the religious and moral duty of charity.

Notes 5.1

- 1. Amir Kay Kavus, Qabus-nama, pp.138, 139; Eng. tr. by Reuben Levy, A mirror for princes, London 1951, pp.237-238.
- 2. Ashraf, Vizhgi-ha-yi tarikhi-yi shahr-ha-yi Iran, in Majalla-yi 'Ulum-i Ijtima'i, no.4, 1353/1974, pp.5ff.
- 3. Carmelites, 1, p.120; Chardin, 7, p.293.
- 4. Struys, pp.310ff.
- 5. Chardin, 4, pp.66-68.
- 6. Fryer (1684 edition), pp.244, 346.
- 7. Du Mans, pp.126, 214-215.
- 8. della Valle, 1, p.563.
- 9. Fryer, 3, p.24; Du Mans, p.41; Bihishtiyan, p.29.
- 10. Personal investigation in the royal bazaar of Isfahan.
- 11. Rūmlū, p.279.
- 12. Chardin, 3, p.431; Emerson, p.272.
- 13. Gibb and Bowen, Islamic Society and the West, 1, p.277.
- 14. Jabiri Ansari, p.237.
- 15. Žarrābī, p.103.
- 16. Naṣrābādī, p.138.
- 17. Jabiri Ansari, pp.290ff.
- 18. Chardin, 4, pp.128-130.
- 19. Mahmud Qari Yazdi, pp.8-9.
- 20. Jabirī Ansarī, p.288.
- 21. Bakhtiyar, The royal bazaar of Isfahan, in <u>Iranian Studies</u>, 7, part 1, p.329.
- 22. Taḥvīldar, pp.119-120.
- 23. Ruḥanī states that the various trade guilds in Safavid Iran regularly held assemblies under the supervision of the mullā-bāshī of the city. Only qualified guildsmen attended these meetings, at which they selected a clerk and a treasurer and discussed common issues and professional matters. Ruḥānī does not give any documentary evidence for this statement. Ruḥānī, unpublished Fh.D. Thesis, pp.152-153, 157.

- 24. Āşaf, pp.437-438.
- 25. Malik Shah Husayn, pp.252-254.
- 26. Chardin, 7, pp.361-362.
- Personal investigation in the royal bazaar of Isfahan; 27. see also Rizā Ārāsta, The character, organization, and social role of the Lutis, in J.E.S.H.O., 4, pp. 54-52 Kotov, the Russian traveller who was in Isfahan in 1624, gives a useful description of the atvaq (singular tawq) which were maintained in mosques or takiyas. He state that "in the mosques lie poles with flags, which like He states our banners are not used for anything except to be carried on festivals, and among them (i.e. the Persians), these poles are carried on their festivals and in front of the dead. These poles are vine rods, long and thin, about six sazhen (six feet) in length, and when they lift them, the poles bend; and tied to the tops of them, long and narrow streamers about 5 sazhen long hang half way down the poles, and on the tops of the poles there are iron things like scissors or like a stork's beak, and on other poles there are wicker crosses and spikes." Kotov, pp.24-25.
- 28. Fryer, 3, p.24.
- 29. Kotzebue, p.178.
- 30. Naṣrābādī, pp.138-139.
- 31. Kuznetsova, <u>Urban industry in Persia during the 18th and</u> early 19th century, in C.A.R., 2, pp.318-319.

5.2. Political Activities of the Guilds

Louis Massignon, in an article on Islamic Guilds in the Encyclopedia of Social Sciences, states that the craftsmen and artisans in the Islamic realm were organized in professional groups called sinf, which except for the absence of any political significance had similar features and characteristics to the European guilds in the medieval period. Massignon's opinion that the Islamic guilds never had a political character is shared by those Western European orientalist scholars who deny the existence of free political institutions and parties in the Islamic countries. The opinion rests on two premises, (a) that the Islamic guilds were not democratically organized, 2 (b) that the Islamic guilds, unlike the European guilds, performed governmental functions in certain fields such as tax collection and municipal administration besides their basic economic functions. While there is some truth in both these premises, it cannot be inferred from them that Islamic guilds did not freely engage in political activities. The involvement of religion with politics has generally been closer in Muslim societies than in European societies, 3 and as B. Lewis has pointed out in an article on Islamic guilds in the Economic History Review, the religious links of the Islamic guilds necessarily drew them into political activities and movements. In the Iranian environment, certain factors which determined the form of guild activities in the European environment were absent, while other factors were present. On the whole, in Iran the guilds limited their political

activities to peaceful demonstrations and seldom engaged in violence. Although the sources are insufficient for a clear perception of their political role, scattered references show that they were capable of vigorous political initiative in defence of their economic and municipal interests.

In addition to power-seizures by tribal and foreign military groups, revolts or protests by city dwellers recur in the pages of post-Islamic Iranian history. These were revolts of the workmen and tradesmen, for the most part guild members, who formed the majority of the urban populations. The leaders, however, were usually members of the Islamic clergy ('ulama) who were not members of the ruling group, and who for religious or socio-economic reasons were dissatisfied with the government.

Demonstrations by a single guild are mentioned, but appear to have been rare. When the conduct of a governor, darugha, or tax-collector was felt to have become intolerably oppressive, all the guilds normally acted in concert, even if some of them had not directly suffered.

In Safavid Iran, such oppression most often afflicted all the guilds in common. Their recurrent grievances referred mainly to (a) excessive tax demands (for bunicha, tamgha, and occasional imposts), (b) unreasonable price control orders by the muhtasib or his deputies, 10 and (c) occasional abuses by bashis. 11

The social links of the different guilds were very close. To a large extent they pursued their occupations in different alleys (<u>rasta</u>) of the same quarter of a city, and their members met each other daily at places such as

the entrance gates of the quarter (e.g. in the Isfahan bazaar). They also met each other at coffee houses, at national celebrations, and above all at religious gatherings in the quarter, such as Friday prayer in the mosques and commemoration of the martyrdoms (rawża-khwani) in the takiyas (meeting places for this purpose which craft guilds traditionally maintained). Thus an injustice done to an individual or to a single guild quickly became known throughout the entire bazaar.

The traditional close links between the people of the Iranian bazaars and the Islamic clergy has a long history and would present an interesting subject for special study. In the present work a detailed discussion could be out of place, and the following observations must suffice. Traditionally the bazaris, whether artisans or tradesmen, are more attentive to Islam than other classes of the Iranian urban communities. Their religious attitude, which combines piety with honesty and insistence on justice, was to some extent connected with their economic need for protection against unjust treatment by the ruling military and official classes. The strong influence of the 'ulama in the bazaar similarly had economic aspects. In the first place, many 'ulama needed to work for their living, and preferred to work in the bazaar rather than in the service of the government. Twelver Shi'ite 'ulama, whose inward loyalty is to the Hidden Imam, have always feared loss of their religious integrity and independence through any acceptance of obligation to the worldly ruler, and even under the Shī'ite Safavid monarchy many of them held this

view. Secondly, literate 'ulama constituted a large proportion of the literate element in the urban populations, and were therefore employed by bazaris as accountants and clerks. Thirdly, the 'ulama received a major part of the religious taxes and contributions (khums, sahm-i Imam, etc.), on which they were to some extent dependent, from the wealthier bazaar traders and craftsmen. Fourthly, the bazaars were centres not only of commerce and industry, but also of cultural and social life. Side by side with the shops, workshops, and warehouses stood mosques, madrasas, and takiyas which members of the clergy directed. Finally, those 'ulama who served as shar'i lawyers and notaries had their offices (mahżars) in the bazaars. They held a monopoly of the business of notarial registration, and advised the guilds on their legal procedures which were much influenced by Islamic law. Since governmental oppression of guild members was usually accompanied with disregard for the law and for the legal prerogatives of the 'ulama, both classes usually began to feel grievances at the same time. the grievances were not redressed, eloquent 'ulama naturally took the lead in preaching resistance and organizing protests. It was a protest of bazaris led by 'ulama which brought about the Iranian constitutional revolution of 1906. 12

Reports of bazaar demonstrations or revolts from the Safavid period are few. The course of such events appears to have been much the same as in the Qajar period. First the aggrieved bazaris, being unable to meet the tax demands of the central or provincial government, would send guild elders (rish-safidan) to present their petitions to a high

official such as the divan-begi (minister of justice), or to the provincial governor, or even to the Shah. agreement could not be reached in this way, the guilds would then passively demonstrate their discontent by deciding on a closure of the bazaar (ta'til-i bazar), which may be compared with a modern strike. Such strike action was considered illegal by the government, but acquired a measure of legal validity when it was sanctioned by influential 'ulama. A bazaar closure caused inconvenience to the city's other inhabitants, and also alarm, because when a demonstration occurred they might be dragged by striking craftsmen and shopkeepers into the mob. angry demonstrators poured into the streets when the Shah was passing. If they were threatened with punitive action, they took refuge in mosques and important government buildings. Often 'ulama played the role of mediators and persuaded the governmental authorities to modify their unjust demands or ill-treatment of the guilds; sometimes they made compromises which enabled the authorities to continue their exploitation or misconduct. 13 Such collusion, possibly involving hypocritical and self-interested 'ulama, appears to have been more prevalent in the Safavid period, particularly under the sanctimonious Shahs Tahmasb I and Sultan Husayn, than in the Qajar period. The Safavid monarchs claimed religious authority as spiritual guides (pir or murshid or mu'allim) and as descendants of the Imams, and the assumed grandiose titles such as Shah-i Din-panah (refuge of the religion), Nusrat-i Qur'an (supporter of Qur'an) and Banda-yi Shah-i Vilayat (slave

of the first Imam 'Ali). These religious pretensions were used to justify the Safavid autocracy, and although it is not known to what extent they were taken seriously by the bazaris and the clergy, it is clear that the religious and social conditions of the time were exceptionally unfavourable to any political manifestation of independent organized groups such as guilds. 15 In Kuznetsova's opinion, the guilds under the despotic monarchy of the Safavids were more strictly regulated that at any other time in order that they might serve the financial and administrative purposes of the state. 16 In spite of this situation, the movement grew during the period through increase of the number of guilds and the number of members, and won some successes in achieving reductions of financial impositions and dismissals of oppressive officials.

A point of some interest is that the founder of the Safavid darvish order and ancestor of the Safavid royal dynasty, Shaykh Safī ud-Dīn Ardabīlī (d.736/1334), had many craftsmen among his disciples, who supported him financially and politically besides following him as their spiritual guide. The Safvat us-safā, a biography of Shaykh Safī written in the 8th/14th century by Ibn-i Bazzāz, states that among those who followed him as their spiritual guide were numerous craftsmen and artisans such as shawl weavers, blacksmiths, jewellers, saddlers, shoemakers, barbers, dyers, carpenters, cotton-weavers, drapers, and soap-boilers. After the seizure of political power under Shāh Ismā'īl I, the Safāvī order (ţarīqat) lost its

former character of a Sufi religious and radical social movement, and thereafter its membership was limited to the Qizilbash troops who had brought the régime to political power. Finally Shah 'Abbas I curbed the power of the Qizilbash and let the Safavi tarique fade away. In so doing, he consolidated his own autocratic power but discarded the last vestige of the Safavid régime's democratic origin and connections. 18

The first important bazaar uprising against oppression by the Safavid government occurred in the reign of Shah Tahmasb I. Although this Shah never adopted monopolistic policies like those of his grandson 'Abbas I, he made the tax burdens more severe and found ways to deprive individuals who were growing rich of their wealth. He was so avaricious that it was said that he would send his own clothes for sale in the bazaars in order to obtain money. 19 Arthur Edwards, the Muscovy Company's commercial agent, reported to London in 1569 that "at my coming to Casbin (Qasvin), I found no manner of any commodities made, but all lying there whole, and news given out that ye Shaugh (Shah) would buy all such commodities as he had, and give him silk and spices for the same; but by report the Shaugh never tooke cloth into his treasure..."20 historian Hasan Rumlu states that in 979/1571-981/1573 the people of Tabriz revolted under leaders whom he describes as ruffians and rogues (awbash va ajlaf). The governor, Allah-Quli Beg Ustajlu, who had been appointed by Shah Tahmasb I earlier in the year 979/1571, antagonized the people of Darjuya, one of the quarters of Tabriz, by his

brutal behaviour. Among these people were many artisans and shopkeepers. The infuriated people drew their swords and slew the magnates and nobles (ayan va ashraf). Allah Ouli-Beg Ustailu was then dismissed from his post, and Yusuf Beg Shamlu was appointed governor at the people's request. Yusuf Beg Shamlu conciliated the malcontents through their elders (kadkhudayan); but the accord was violated, and the struggle broke out again. Finally the army of the Shah's central government marchedon Tabriz and recaptured the city. The leaders of the revolt were then massacred. It is noteworthy that, according to Hasan Rumlu, craftsmen and tradesmen played the chief parts, the most prominent rebel leaders being Husayn, a greengrocer, Hasan, a shoe-maker, Nashmi, a fuller, and Shanji, the son of a shawl-maker. All of them were executed 979/1571-981/1573.21 Although Rumlu says nothing about the consequences of this uprising, it is known that soon after the end of the two years of contention between the government and the people of Tabriz, Shah Tahmasb I gradually remitted the professional taxes on the guilds at Tabrīz and other cities. 22 In view of the importance of Tabrīz as a centre of craft industries and commerce, and of the high proportion of artisans and shopkeepers in its population, Rumlu's statement gains deeper significance. After the surrender of Tabriz to the Ottoman Turks in 920/1514, Sultan Salim I had carried away 3000 families from the city to Istanbul, including the best artisans, particularly those who were skilled in weapon manufacture. 23 Iskandar Munshi states that the majority of the population of Tabrīz consisted of artisans. 24 According to Chardin, who wrote in the

reign of Shah Sulayman, 15,000 shops were open in Tabrīz, more than 6,000 bales of raw silk were manufactured annually, 25 and thousands of artisans were employed in 110 kar-khanas (workshops). 26

The next significant political action by the Iranian guilds against oppression by government officials is reported from Isfahan in the reign of Shah 'Abbas II. The importance of this event was so great that it is mentioned in several Iranian chronicles. In 1066/1657 a converted Georgian named Parsimadan or Parsidan was appointed to the office of darugha of Isfahan. He treated the people, and in particular the guilds and craftsmen (asnaf va mubtarafa), very harshly. The craftsmen and and artisans complained about the darugha's oppressive conduct to the divan-begi (i.e. the minister of justice, who supervised the administration of customary law ('urf)). The divan-begi, by name Ughur Beg, failed to investigate the causes of the people's discontent. A movement of protest then began in the Royal Bazaar and spread to other quarters of Isfahan. The chroniclers, who were eyewitnesses, do not mention which guilds took the lead in organizing the demonstrations of protest, but state that the bazaar gradually came to an absolute standstill. Through wide-ranging deliberations, the craft guilds coordinated their peaceful political protest with fellow citizens who were not employed in the bazaar. The peaceful demonstrations, however, proved to be fruitless. protesters then congregated along a route to be taken by Shah 'Abbas II. The Shah ordered the prime minister

(I'timad ud-Dawla), Mirza Muhammad Beg to investigate and find an appropriate and acceptable solution. The prime minister urgently summoned the guild representatives and the concerned ministers and high officials to a meeting, which was ineffective because free discussion of all the problems was not allowed. The protesters, who were mainly craftsmen, then took sanctuary (bast) inside the dawlat-khana (assembly hall of the ministers), where their representatives frankly asserted their complaints. They also sent a scroll containing their demands from the sanctuary to the Shah. Finally they turned to Mawlana Muhsin, an eminent and learned clergyman (mujtahid uzzaman), who had a close relationship with the bazaar. Thanks to Mawlana Muhsin's mediation, Shah 'Abbas II dismissed the darugha, and at the request of the demonstrators, he later also dismissed the divan-begi. 27

when the high proportion of craftsmen and shopkeepers in the population of Isfahan at that time is taken into account. Although it can hardly be maintained that a single focus of economic activities existed in 17th century Iran, because in the predominantly rural society, agriculture and stock breeding remained essentially dispersed activities, nevertheless it is evident that Isfahan was then a uniquely important business centre. Its long established community of artisans and traders had been enlarged by the immigration of businessmen from Tabrīz (Tabariza)²⁹ and of Armenians from their native Julfa in Azarbaijan to a similarly named new suburb, and the skills and energies

of these two groups had further stimulated commercial and industrial activities. As the capital and biggest city, Isfahān was the obvious residence for ambitious entrepreneurs. Don Juan, whose account goes up to around 1600, states that Isfahān had 10,000 shops; 31 Francesco Gemelli, who was in Isfahān in 1693-94, describes Isfahān as the centre of metal working and leather working; 32 and John Bell reports that at the end of Shāh Sultān Husayn's reign a considerable proportion of the population of Isfahān was employed in the manufacture of cloths and carpets. 33 A bazaar closure, equivalent to a general strike, at Isfahān was thus a very powerful weapon.

Another example of political action by a guild at Isfahan is reported in the Dutch archives. Some confectioners (gannadan), who had delivered goods to a value of 1200 tumans to the royal sharbat-khana 34 (soft drink-making establishment), were pressed to continue these deliveries The confectioners took sanctuary in the mosque on credit. of Imamzada Isma'il, and wrote to the Shah and nazir-i buyutat-Isaltanati (superintendent of the royal establishments) that this situation could not continue any longer. They would come out of their sanduary if Shah Sultan Husayn would promise only to order more sugar after payment of the outstanding 1200 tumans. They received no reply. The nazir-i buyutat then fell into trouble at the royal court. Next the mustawfi-yi khassa (collector of the royal estates), at the order of the prime minister (I'timad ud-Dawla), tried to obtain sugar through the merchants of Khurasan. Fearing that they likewise would have to deliver on credit, they

replied that they had no sugar. The mustawfi-yi khassa then demanded an undertaking from the caravansarai keepers that they would not allow the merchants to sell a single pound of sugar and would accept liability to a heavy fine in the event of non-compliance. The Khurasani merchants were in a difficult position, because without giving some presents to the royal court they could not expect to sell their sugar. The mustawfi-yi khassa next tried to obtain sugar from the Dutch East Company, who refused. He then ordered the harbour master (shah-bandar) of Bandar 'Abbas to obtain sugar as soon as possible from the merchants there. 35 How this conflict ended is not reported in the Dutch Company's letters. It would seem probable that in the end the court had to pay in cash. The incident, although it arose over a purely commercial dispute, shows that an Iranian guild in the Safavid period occupied a position of sufficient strenght to defend its members' rights against an absolute monarchy.

Probably the most powerful of the guilds in the Safavid period were those of the weavers. They existed in large cities such as Isfahān, Tabrīz, Yazd, and Kāshān. Although this guild rarely intervened in the general affairs of a city, its influence was always taken into account by the authorities. Yazd was the biggest single centre of weaving, and so remained until early Qājār times when there were more than 1800 looms with 9000 weavers in the city. According to Steel and Crowther, the English East India Company's agents in 1615-1616, along the trade route from Yazd to Mashhad there were many small towns and villages which produced

high quality cloth and as much as 3000 maunds of raw silk yearly for delivery to the weavers of Yazd. 37 A royal decree (farman) dated Rabi'ul-Avval 1015/1603, which is preserved in an inscription in the Masjid-i Jami' at Yazd, ordered that customary dues (rusum) should be levied on the weavers' guild of Yazd and paid to the officers of the local military garrison. The weavers had recourse to the vazīr of Yazd, as they were unable to pay such sums. vazīr conveyed their representations to Shah 'Abbas I and appealed against the levy, emphasizing that the Yazdi weavers had always obeyed every royal command. Shah 'Abbas I consequently abolished the rusum on the weavers' guild of Yazd and forbade the military officers of Yazd to interfere in its affairs. This incident is not reported in the contemporary chronicles, but in view of the strict and comprehensive control which Shah 'Abbas I's government exercised over the guilds, it may be inferred that the abolition of a royal farman and the issue of a new one in favour of a particular guild would not have ocurred unless the resistance of the concerned craftsmen had been strong and potentially damaging to the government.

The uprising of the people of Rasht and Lahijan in Shah Safi's reign against the low prices paid by the royal silk monopoly is mentioned in chapter 8.

On critical occasions, the craft guilds and <u>bazaris</u> played a decisive part in the military defence of a city's security. During the Ghalzay Afghan insurrection, Amanullah Khan, an Afghan general, besieged Qazvin in 1136/1723. The <u>bazaris</u> of Qazvin, i.e. the artisans and shopkeepers, defended the city with the backing of the masses and brought

the siege to an end after killing 4000 Afghan soldiers. 38

The evidence which has been cited in this section shows that the Iranian guilds had a considerable political influence in the Safavid period, though not so great as in the Qājār period. Despite the autocratic nature of the regime, they were able on several occasions to prevent the imposition of unfair taxes or prices, to obtain the dismissal of unjust officials, and even to defend a city against invaders.

Notes 5.2.

- 1. Louis Massignon, Guilds, Muhammadan, in Encyclopedia of the Social Science, vol.6, pp.184-187.
- 2. Cahen, in The Islamic City, pp.51-53; Stern, pp.25-51.
- 3. A.R. Hamilton, in <u>Int. J. M.E. Stud.</u>, vol.1, p.226.
- 4. B. Lewis, in Econ. H. R., vol.8, pp.23-30.
- 5. See Chapter 1, Historical background.
- 6. Such as the Saljuqids (see C.E.Bosworth in <u>Cambridge</u>
 <u>History of Iran</u>, vol.5) and The Zands, (see H. Hidayati,
 <u>Tārīkh-i Zandīya</u>).
- 7. e.g. the Ilkhanids (see J.A.Bovle in <u>Cambridge History of Iran</u>, vol.5), and The Tīmūrids (see R.M.Savory, <u>The struggle for supremacy in Persia after the death of Tīmūr, in Der Islam, 15, pp.35-65).</u>
- 8. e.g. The Sar-bi-daran (739/1338-783/1381) (see <u>Tarikh-i</u> <u>Iran</u> by Petrushevsky, 2, pp.443-455, and A. Bausani in the Cambridge History of Iran, vol.5, pp.545-549.
- 9. See Chapter 4, section 1.
- 10. See Chapter 3, muhtasib.
- 11. See Chapter 3, bashi.
- 12. Nazim ul-Islam Kirmani, 1, pp.91ff.; Ahmad Kasravi, 2, pp.339ff.; E. Abrahamian, The causes of the constitutional revolution in Iran, in Int. J. M.E. Stud., 10, pp.362-414.
- 13. Floor, The Guilds in Qajar Persia, pp.28-29.
- 14. Rumlu, passim; Iskandar Munshi, passim; Asaf, pp.68-85ff.
- 15. A.H. Zarīnkub, p.210.
- 16. Kuznetsova, Urban Industry, in C.A.R., 2, p.318.
- 17. Ibn-i Bazzaz, Safvat us-safa, B.L. Ms. 11745.
- 18. L. Lockhart, The fall of the Şafavid dynasty, p.12.
- 19. Carmelites, 1, p.54.
- 20. A. Edwards, in The principal navigation, 3, p.140;
 A. Jenkinson, in Purchas his pilgrims, 12, pp.29ff.
- 21. Rumlu, pp.455-457; Petrushevsky, <u>Tarikh-i Iran</u>, 2, pp.522-529.

- 22. Farman of Shah Tahmasb J (no date), in the Majlis Library, Tehran no.606; Farman of Shah Tahmasb I in the Masjid-Mīr 'Imād at Kāshan, 981/1574.
- 23. Cartwright, in Osborne's Collection, 1, pp.227-228.
- 24. Iskandar Munshī, 1, p.308.
- 25. Chardin, 2, pp.321, 327-328.
- 26. Evliya, 2, p.136.
- 27. M. T. Vahid, 'Abbas-nama, pp.219-222; M. Y. Valih, folios 253a, b-254a.
- 28. Herbert, p.120; L.R., 5, Edward Pettus (1617), p.287.
- 29. Nasrabadi, p.120ff.
- 30. Carmelites, 1, p.207.
- 31. Don Juan, p.39.
- 32. Gemelli, p.153.
- 33. J. Bell, 1, p.122.
- 34. The sharbat-khana under the control of the sharbat-darbashi made all kinds of sharbats. (Kaempfer, pp.118-119).
- 35. Dutch State Archives (Algemeen Rijksarchief), in Koloniaal Archief (K.A.), nr.1754, fol.2567 Verso and 2568.
- 36. M.A. Jamalzada, p.79.
- 37. Steel and Crowther, in <u>Purchas</u>, 4, pp.275ff.; J. Salbancke, in Purchas, 3, pp.85-86.
- 38. M. A. Hazīn, Tarikh-i Hazīn, p.62.

Chapter 6.

Special Guilds

- 6.1. The Royal Guilds (Aṣnaf-i Shahi)
- 6.2. The Non-Muslim Artisans

6.1. The Royal Guilds (Aşnaf-i Shahi)

In pre-industrial societies, the independent artisans and traders working with their own resources could only handle small scale business. The demands of the royal courts and ruling groups were too large, either in volume or, given their luxurious tastes, in cost, to be met by persons lacking security of patronage and time to acquire special skills. For this reason, royal courts and similar establishments engaged their own artisans from among the best skilled craftsmen. Governments in those days did not normally concern themselves with the needs of ordinary townspeople (except sometimes in cases of dearth or famine), but were always mindful of their own requirements. 1

In medieval and Safavid Iran, the ordinary artisans and tradesmen were not equipped to meet royal and official demands, particularly for expensive goods and services. Consequently the court and also high dignitaries such as provincial governors and men of importance such as wealthy landlords and khāns set up their own workshops and employed highly skilled craftsmen in them. These establishments supplied the craftsmen's requirements such as tools and raw materials and usually provided good working conditions and remuneration.

The earliest documentary evidence concerning royal workshops appears in the Safar-nama of Nasir Khusraw (394/1004-470/1077). He mentions that the 'Abbasid Caliphs maintained workshops, known as bayt ut-tiraz and controlled

by a <u>sahib ut-tiraz</u>, in which highly skilled weavers produced costly cotton, silk, and woollen cloths for the 'Abbasid court, and that if any surplus was left over, the <u>sahib ut-tiraz</u> sold these cloths to private individuals.²

The invasion of the Mongol Chingiz Khan in 616/1219 destroyed most of the important and populous Iranian cities, and forced many cultivators to abandon their villages and turn to nomadic pastoralism. This devastating blow to the traditional order of society was followed by a decline of urban life, which in some places was prolonged. Apart from a few regional centres such as Tabrīz, Harat, 3 and Shīraz, and some smaller cities such as Yazd and Kashan, where commerce and craftsmanship survived, most of the long established urban centres of Iran were reduced to the rank of rural market towns. the Mongol Ilkhanid dynasty and the Turkish Timurid and Black and White Sheep Turkman dynasties (789/1387-911/1506), the rulers obtained most of their needs through two institutions, namely camp bazaars (urdu-bazar) and royal workshops (kar-khana). The camp bazaar was developed to meet the economic needs of the nomadic armies which sustained these dynasties. Artisan labour was diverted to the camp bazaar, which followed the royal camp on military expeditions and duplicated and often competed with the city In addition to the camp bazaar, the Mongol and Turkish rulers set up royal workshops to produce important requisites. For instance a farman of the Ilkhan Abaqa Qa'an dated 663/1265 made the governor of Harat,

Malik Shams ul-Hagg, responsible for the construction of certain workshops in that city. Since Chingiz Khan (616/ 1219-624/1225), Ugatai (627/1229-639/1241), and Hulagu (654/1256-663/1265) had forbidden governors to start any developments, whatever their nature, within the limits of the existing cities, Malik Shams ul-Haqq erected extensive royal workshops outside the city wall of Harat. Besides the workshops, he also set up a large bazaar. These new developments were inaugurated by Abaqa Qa'an in the following year. Under a farman of the Ilkhan Ghazan, several workshops were built throughout the realm. skilled artisans, arrow makers, bow makers, sword makers, chain mail makers, and others who were employed in these royal workshops worked exclusively on the execution of the government's orders. Each group of artisans of a particular craft formed a distinct unit and was supervised by an overseer (amin). The establishment of these workshops enabled the government to equip 10,000 soldiers with full armour.

In the Safavid period, workshops (karkhana-ha) belonging to the royal establishments (buyutat-i saltanati) were developed to a greater extent than ever before or after.

Minorsky remarks that "In the absence of capitalistic industry, the Safavid kings, similarly to their predecessors and contemporaries, had to secure the production of certain necessaries and objets de luxe at the workshops of their own households. Many of these workshops were simply domestic departments, such as the kitchen, scullery, various stores, stables, kennels, etc. There were also, however, some buyutat which were run like real state-owned manufactories."

Kaempfer thought that the grandeur of the Safavid court could be appreciated from the fact that there were as many as 50 royal workshops, whose expenses amounted to 5,000,000 écus (approximately 350,000 tumans).9 The dynasty's founder, Shah Isma'il I, set up a number of silk and cotton cloth factories at his own expense. 10 Under Shah 'Abbas I, a great expansion of the royal court and ruling class took place, and their demands exceeded the capacity of the bazaar and the independent artisans. 11 In establishing royal workshops, the Safavid government had two aims: to escape from dependence on the individual craftsmen, and to make profits. Krusinski, who was in Isfahan from 1704 to 1729, noted that the royal workshops made large profits for the Safavid Shahs; they not only produced goods such as textiles and rugs for the court, but also sent expensive silks and textiles to Europe and India, and paid the profits made in this way to the Shah. 12 Besides providing the needs of the Shahs and the governors at their residential courts, royal artisans accompanied the authorities on their tours and military expeditions. 13 In addition to skilled craftsmen from all over Iran, 14 qualified artisans from abroad were employed in the Safavid royal establishments. 15

The administrative organization of the <u>buyutat-i</u> saltanatīwasan elaborate apparatus within the bureaucratic system of the Safavid régime. Officials competed for appointments in the royal <u>kar-khanas</u>, which gave an opportunity for profit as well as a respected position in the government. 16

Each workshop was administered by several high-ranking

officials. The highest position was that of the 'alihażrat-inazir-i buyutat, who headed the thirty three royal workshops. 17 The nazir-i buyutat was appointed by the Shah, and through his subordinates kept a strict control over all pertinent matters. 18 He was responsible for the attendance, leave of absence, wages, and promotion of the craftsmen in the royal workshops, and for the investigation and judgement of offences relating to their professional conduct. Once a year the nazir summoned the artisans and approved their salaries and set their leave. 19 Kaempfer noted that although the bashis and master craftsmen in the royal workshops were nominally chosen by the Shah, the nazir had a decisive influence over their appointment and dismissal. 20 As a result of the growth of the <u>nazir</u>'s responsibilities from 'Abbas I's reign onward, a colleague with the title 'ali-hażrat-i vazir-i buyutat was employed to serve with him and assist him. 21 In addition to the nazir and vazīr, there were administrative officials in two other high-ranking grades called sahib jam' (chief collector, meaning roughly workshop master) and mushrif (overseer), who carried out their duties under the supervision of the nazir-i buyutat. The sahib-jam' and the mushrif of each workshop estimated its needs and obtained its supplies of raw materials and fixed its internal arrangements. The technical affairs of each workshop were managed by its bashi and master craftsmen (ustadan). The bashis and ustads of the various royal workshops received their raw materials from the responsible mushrif or from a government agent holding the title malik ut-tujjar (whose role is discussed in Chapter 3). The number of

the masters in each workshop depended on its nature and capacity. For instance, in the fireworks (atish-karan) and gun powder (barut-sazan) workshops there were several master craftsmen. 23 Appointment to the rank of ustad in a royal workshop depended on seniority and past record. The malik ut-tujjar was in charge of the supply of raw materials for the royal textile workshops and also acted as a supervisor. He inspected the workshops of the shawl makers, tailors, stocking makers, cotton carders, cloak makers, and shoemakers, and chose the patterns to be used in cloth weaving. 25 According to Mirza Rafi'a, the number of artisans varied in different workshops. Chardin noted that the average number of the artisans in thirty two royal workshops was 150. The Tazkirat ul-Muluk counts 400 artisans who worked in the nine workshops of the royal mint. 26 seventy two painters (artisans) in the painter's workshops, and one hundred and eighty tailors in the tailors; workshop.27

The total number of the Safavid royal workshops is variously recorded. The Tazkirat ul-Mulūk counts thirty three workshops, 28 while Chardin counts thirty two. 29 Minorsky gives a list of royal workshops totalling thirty four, but including subdivisions which were attached to other departments. 30 According to Kaempfer, there were fifty workshops. Some of them, despite their designation kār-khāna, were not in fact workshops but only storehouses for raw materials. The following list of the royal workshops which were actually operated by artisans has been compiled mainly from the data given by Kaempfer: 31

	•			
a) CL	OTHING			
1.	shawl-making workshop	shal-baf-khana		
2.	tailoring "	khayyat-khana		
3.	stocking-making "	jurab-baf-khana		
4.	furriery "	pustin-duz-khana		
5•	gold brocade weaving workshop	tala-duz-khana		
6.	shoemaking workshop	kafsh-duz-khana		
7• .	cotton carding "	hallaj-khana		
b) METAL WARES				
1.	goldsmiths' workshop	zargar-khana		
2.	coppersmiths' "	mesgar-khana		
3.	blacksmiths' "	ahangarī		
. 4.	tinsmiths' "	ruygari		
5.	tin platers' "	ḥalabī-sāzī		
c) TREASURY				
1.	mint	żarrab-khana		
2.	treasury	khazana		
3.	money changing house	şarraf-khana		
d) DR	UGS AND FOOD			
1.	druggist's and perfumer's workshop	'aţţar-khana		
2.	kitchen	ashpaz-khana		
3.	butchery	qaşşab-khana		
4.	fruit juice factory	sharbat-khana		
5•	syrup factory	shīra-khāna		
e) LIBRARY AND ARTS				
1.	Library	kitab-khana		
	a. book-bindery	şaḥḥāfī		
	b. paper factory	kāgha <u>z</u> -sāzī		
	c. book illumination	tazhib-khana		

2. painters' workshop (i.e.artists) naqqash-khana

f) WOODWORK

1. carpenters workshop <u>najjar-khana</u>

2. turnery " kharrat-khana

g) ARSENAL

1. locksmiths' " chilangaran

2. cutlers' " <u>kard-garan</u>

3. arrow (head) makers' workshop paykan-garan

4. gunpowder makers' " barut-sazan

5. firework makers " atash-bazan

h) MUSICIANS <u>navazandagan</u>

i) PANNIER MAKERS <u>kajava-sazan</u>

j) BARBERS salmani-khana

Entry into the royal workshops was not easy, even for well qualified artisans. Employment in them was governed by various conditions, and the employees fell into four main categories.

1) Those who acquired their skill through apprenticeship at the royal workshops. Some of them were unpaid artisans, who in general were prisoners of war employed as the Shāh's slaves (ghulāmān). Many of these men served in the armed forces and were called gullar or gullariyān, while others were employed in the royal workshops. The ghulāms in the royal workshops received training and acquired professional qualifications in them before entering into permanent service. Simon, a Carmelite priest who was in Isfahān in 1608, states that Shāh 'Abbās I had a very great number

of slaves including artisans of all kinds, and in order not to feed them himself, he put them to work in crafts, so that not only they should earn their victuals, but he also should make money out of it. 33

- 2. Sons of master craftsmen in the royal workshops naturally enjoyed priority over other applicants. According to Chardin, a master's son who obtained the requisite qualification often took over his father's position. 34
- 3. Artisans were sometimes engaged from outside the royal establishments, but only after introduction by a person of rank and proof of complete mastery of the craft. 35
- 4. Non-Iranian artisans were also employed, but only if they possessed skills not found among the Iranian craftsmen.

Apprentices entered the royal workshops at twelve to fifteen years of age. 37 Appointments of artisans were confirmed by the Shah and the nazir-i buyutat. 38

The artisans in the royal workshops were organized in royal guilds (aṣnāf-i shāhī) which had no connection with the independent bazaar guilds. They enjoyed numerous privileges, such as free board, lodging, clothing, and relatively good wages and work conditions. They possessed a form of unemployment and sickness insurance from which all members of royal guilds could benefit. 39 Once a year the nāzir-i buyūtāt negotiated the wages of the royal artisans. They were paid annually, with the exception of cameldrivers who were paid every six months. 40 The wages of royal artisans differed according to their crafts. Kaempfer noted that an ordinary master craftsman was paid anything between ten and fifty tūmāns per annum. 41 According to Chardin, some artisans received an annual salary of

800 écus besides free food. 42 In addition to the agreed regular wages, rewards were given by the Shah to meritorious artisans in recognition of their special skills. 43 The wages and rewards of the royal artisans were paid in two ways, either with coins delivered at the royal workshops or with bills drawn on private traders or partnerships all over the country. 44 Kuznetsova has found that the royal artisans were called "darbasta" meaning "tied", i.e. that they worked exclusively for the court. 45 Chardin noted that the royal weavers seldom worked privately for their own profit. 46

An interesting point, attested in certain sources, is that despite the expansion of the royal workshops, the Safavid court obtained part of its needs from the Isfahan bazaar. The Sometimes finished goods were selected and purchased for the court, sometimes raw materials were supplied and commissions were paid for piece-work done in the process of manufacture. According to Chardin, at some date, possibly during Shah Sulayman's reign, certain royal workshops such as the dye works and silk factories were closed and thereafter, under new arrangements which were made, linen to be dyed for the royal court was sent into the town, and silk, gold thread, and woollen and cotton yarns for the royal weaving and carpet making workshops were purchased from private tradesmen at fixed prices.

Not all the industrial enterprises of the Safavid court were concentrated in Isfahan. The Safavid Shahs possessed many other factories throughout their realm. According to della Valle, the Shah built factories in Isfahan and also

in the provincial cities, which not only made all kinds of textiles and carpets needed by the court, but also produced a surplus from the sale of which the Shah obtained a very good profit. Another point which della Valle notes is that sometimes the management of royal factories in provincial cities was entrusted to men of standing in the government. 50 Paul Simon, who visited Kashan in 1608, describes it as a rich city in which all sorts of cloths and carpets were made on looms owned by the Shah. 51 The royal workshops in Mashhad and Qum were administered by the custodian of the holy shrines in those cities, namely the 'ali-jah mutawalli-yi Āstan-i Ražavi and the mutawalli-yi Āstana-i Qum. 52 A plea addressed in 1013/1604 by Mirza Muhammad Hasan, the vazir of Yazd, to Shah 'Abbas I for compassion towards the silk weavers of Yazd, shows that most of the looms in that city belonged to the buyutat-i saltanati. 53 A large proportion of the carpets in use at the royal court were made in villages. The rural carpet weavers worked for the Shah with raw materials which were usually provided by the court; they did not receive wages, but were given tenure of crown lands for which they paid the rent in kind with their carpets. 54 Steel and Crowther, two factors of the East India Company who sojourned in Isfahan in 1615-1616, mention that the main occupations of the villages and towns on the desert road between Birjand and Yazd were carpet making and production of raw silk. 55 Although the road which Shah 'Abbas I constructed through this arid district on the edge of the salt desert was useful, the inhabitants can scarcely have profited from their labour

if all that they got in return was rent-free tenure of such unproductive land.

Establishments similar to the royal workshops were maintained by the provincial governors. Malik Shāh Ḥusayn, writing in Shāh 'Abbās I's time, states that the governor of Sīstān set up various workshops such as an arsenal, a tailoring department, and a goldsmiths' workshop, and appointed Amīr Ḥaydar to be the chief of the tailoring department (qaychājī-khāna). Naṣrābādī mentions that a tailor named Yaghmā, who was unequalled in his craft, worked in the tailoring department of Imām-Qulī Khān, the governor of Fārs, and that Nawrūz 'Alī Bēg Shāmlū, a skilful goldsmith, was appointed the bāshī of the goldsmiths' workshop by 'Abbās-Qulī Khān, the governor of Harāt. Mar'ashī, writing in the reign of Shāh Sultān Ḥusayn, mentions that Gurgīn Khān, the governor of Harāt, had several workshops of his own. 58

The highly skilled artisans of the royal workshops had good reason to be pleased with their privileges, but probably were also worried about the risk of dismissal.

In regard to the general economic and industrial development of Iran, the removal of a large proportion of the skilled artisans from the relatively free market of the bazaar to the privileged confines of the royal workshops probably had long-term harmful effects; it certainly restricted the opportunities of the ordinary craft guilds.

Notes 6.1.

- 1. G. Sjoberg, p.198.
- 2. A. Y. Bertels, p.50.
- 3. Sayfī Haravī, Tarīkh-nama-yi Harat, pp.81ff.
- 4. Jean Aubin, in The Islamic City, pp.65-75.
- 5. Sayfī Haravī, pp.285-286.
- 6. Rashid ud-Din Fażlullah, pp.542-544.
- 7. T.M., p.29.
- 8. Kaempfer, p.117.
- 9. Chardin, 7, p.330.
- 10. Rahimzada-yi Şafavi, p.64.
- 11. Thevenot, p.81.
- 12. Mankowski, <u>Documents from Polish sources</u>, in <u>Survey of Persian art</u>, by A. U. Pope, 3, pp.2431-2434.
- 13. Mar'ashi Safavi, pp.310-311; Chardin, 7, p.333.
- 14. Nasrabadī, p.388; Chardin, 2, pp.109-110.
- 15. Struys, pp.310-311.
- 16. Thomas Ricks, Politics and trade in Southern Iran and the Gulf, p.29.
- 17. T.M., p.19b.
- 18. D.M., in M.D.A.T., 16, no.2, pp.85-86.
- 19. T.M., pp.48-49.
- 20. Kaempfer, pp.79-80.
- 21. T.M., pp. 45a, b, 54b-56a, 140.
- 22. Kaempfer, p.122.
- 23. Iskandar Munshī, 2, p.838.
- 24. Le Brun, p.291.
- 25. Kaempfer, pp.121-122.
- 26. T.M., pp.38b-39a.
- 27. Chardin, 7, pp.329-330.
- 28. T.M., p.19b.

- 29. Chardin, 5, p.499, and 7, p.329.
- 30. T.M., pp.134-125.
- 31. Kaempfer, pp.118-125.
- 32. Ibid., p.125.
- 33. Carmelites, 1, pp.285-286.
- 34. Chardin, 7, p.329.
- 35. Nasrābādī, p.144.
- 36. Sanson, pp.73-74; Tavernier, p.223.
- 37. Chardin, 7, p.330.
- 38. B.L. ms., Or.4935, p.12.
- 39. Chardin, 2, pp.109-110 and 7, pp.330-333.
- 40. D.M., in M.D.A.T. 6, no.1-2, pp.85-86.
- 41. Kaempfer, p.125.
- 42. Chardin, 7, pp.331-332.
- 43. Tavernier, pp.172-173; Kaempfer, p.126.
- 44. Chardin, 5, pp.423-424, 500.
- 45. Kuznetsova, in C.A.R. 2, p.313; Chardin, 7, p.329.
- 46. Chardin, 6, p.95.
- 47. Kaempfer, p.125.
- 48. T.M., p.48a.
- 49. Chardin, 4, pp.330ff.
- 50. della Valle, 1, p.584; Sanson, p.161; Falsafi, 5, p.237.
- 51. Mankowski, in <u>Survey of Persian art</u>, by A.U.Pope, 3, 2431-2432.
- 52. D.M., in M.D.A.T., 16, 1-2, pp.66-68.
- 53. Inscription in the Masjid-i Jami' of Yazd dated 1013/1601.
- 54. Chardin, 7, pp.330-333.
- 55. Steel and Crowther, in Purchas, 4, pp.274-275.
- 56. Malik Shah Husayn Sistani, Ihya'ul-Muluk, p.434.
- 57. Naṣrābādī, pp.294, 341.
- 58. Mar'ashī Şafavī, p.7.

6.2. The non-Muslim Artisans

The occupations of the non-Muslim minorities in the Islamic countries were to a large extent determined by religious ordinances. The Qur'an and the shari'at (Islamic law) prohibit consumption of alcoholic drinks and certain foods by Muslims, regulate dress, and forbid or condemn certain practices such as lending money for interest, production of gold and silver eating and drinking vessels, and wearing of silk garments by men. When the sale or purchase of a commodity or service was subject to a religious restriction, such business, if conducted at all, was normally left to individuals from the various non-Muslim commodities. 1 It was in the professions which the Muslims were reluctant to enter that the minorities prospered. 2 Their opportunities in these fields were only limited by the religious requirement that they should remain politically and socially inferior to the Muslims.

Under Shah 'Abbas I, the position of the religious minorities in Iran was improved both in the field of commercial opportunity and as regards political and social status. Although the Jews and Christians normally resided in specific quarters, they did not carry on their occupations in professional ghettos. Their shops were scattered in the main markets, and their ambulatory vendors did business in all parts of the towns. The indigenous and foreign minorities played important parts in the commercial economy of Iran in the later Safavid period. Contemporary sources give information about trades and professions pursued by members of non-Muslim socio-religious groups

and foreign nationalities, mainly Armenians, Jews, Georgians, Italians, Indian "Banyans", Greeks, English, Dutch, French, and Chinese. Although the identification of non-Muslim groups with specific activities is not always precise, the evidence shows that besides the professions which were strictly confined to the non-Muslims there were certain branches of other professions which were typically associated with particular minorities. 5 For instance, furriery was not confined to the Armenians but to a large extent was in their hands. 6 Armenian furriers were specially expert in making the best fur coats. 7 From the available sources it can be reckoned that more than fifty professions were pursued by the various minorities. This high figure confirms the existence of a large measure of occupational freedom in Safavid Iran. The relevant contemporary sources indicate that the percentages of the Christian and Jewish craftsmen and traders in the total populations of the principal cities were large enough to be significant.

The Iranian guilds in the Safavid period were in principle not interconfessional. At that time non-Muslim craftsmen did not formally participate in such professional bodies. They paid their taxes separately from the Muslim craftsmen.

Among the non-Muslim city-dwellers the most numerous were the Jews and the Armenians; others were of less importance. The Jews during the whole of the Safavid period, particularly in and after Shah 'Abbas I's reign, were active in both crafts and trades. Contemporary documents mention about twenty occupations in which Jews were

engaged. Large numbers of them were silk weavers, dyers, goldsmiths, jewellers, druggists, wine makers and wine sellers, brokers, second hand dealers, ambulatory vendors, musicians, dancers, and singers. A definite preponderance of Jews was observed in midwifery and in certain highly remunerated female occupations, e.g. brokeresses (dallalaha) who carried messages and negotiated between Muslim harim ladies, suppliers of recipes for love potions and magic concoctions, and story-tellers. In the light of estimates of the Jewish population of Iran in the Safavid period, the significance of the Jewish economic activities becomes apparent. Pedro Teixeira, who was in Iran in and after the year 1587, estimated the total number of the Iranian Jews at 8,000 to 10,000 families, 10 and later Chardin reckoned that there were about 30,000 to 35,000 Jews throughout Iran. 11 There is no record of any special professional or commercial tax levied on Jews, apart from the poll tax (jizya) to which all Jewish citizens were liable. It is clear, however, that in each town all taxes due by Jews were gathered and paid through the Jewish community as a collective body and sole agency. At the head of the community in Isfahan stood a layman called the nasi, who cooperated with the religious chief called the rabbi-mulla or dayyan (i.e. religious judge). The nasi was responsible for payment of the taxes to the local authorities. If he could not deliver the due taxes within the time limit, he might be dismissed by the government. Usually the collection of the taxes of the Jews was farmed out to a high ranking government official,

who promised to pay the treasury a certain sum; at one time during the reign of Shah 'Abbas I, it was assigned to a Jewish renegade who succeeded in squeezing fifty percent more tax out of the Jewish community than in the previous year. Within the community at Isfahan a committee headed by the nasī and the dayyan not only assessed and gathered the tax due by the individuals, but apparently also settled all internal disputes between the Jewish artisans and traders. 13

Certain crafts and trades were particularly popular within the Jewish community, and in some of them the Jews obtained an important and considerable role. 14 The silk industry in the 17th century was an example. According to Tavernier, a great part of the population of Lar consisted of Jews engaged in silk manufacture; in particular they produced fine shawls. 15 Trading in both raw silk and finished silk products was another lucrative occupation of many Jews. The Jewish activity in the silk business lasted into the Qajar period. Tahvildar wrote that in Isfahan there was a group of Jews who selected raw silk and distributed it to the guilds of the brocade weavers (sha'rbafan), weavers of mishki (black silk cloth), lace-makers (<u>'allaqa-bandan</u>), and others. 16 The preparation of drugs and processing of medicinal herbs was to a large extent a Jewish speciality. 17 Fryer mentions that he found some Jewish druggists in the main bazaar of Isfahan who retailed all sorts of drugs and spices. 18 The distribution of these commodities in local commerce was largely performed by Jews; at Isfahan they carried on this business at the Jarchi

caravansarai in the royal bazaar. 19 Other Jewish specialists were cutting precious stones, engraving on metal, and carving wooden stamps and seals. Wine making, a forbidden business for the Muslims, was almost exclusively carried on by the Jews. Tavernier asserts that the Jews of Shiraz alone annually produced more than 100,000 to 110,000 maunds (\underline{man}) of wine. 21 Finally, in Iran as in other countries at that time, brokerage, money lending at interest, and dealing in second hand articles (simsari) were Jewish pursuits. 22 Abbé Carré, who was at Bandar Kung in 1672-1674, mentions that the brokers in that part were all Jews, and were very cunning in their business. 24 (Bandar Kung, now overshadowed by nearby Bandar Linga, was a pearling centre and the site of the "factory" which 'Abbas I allowed the Portuguese to establish after their loss of Hurmuz in 1622).

The Armenian traders and craftsmen played a very important part in the economic life and internal and external trade of Iran in the Safavid period. They had had a long experience of commercial activities in the towns of the Caucasian provinces. A considerable number (5000 families) were transplanted by 'Abbas I in 1604 from their home town Julfa in Azarbaijan and settled at New Julfa, a suburb in the southern outskirts of Isfahan, and at villages in the province of Isfahan. The Armenians were most prominent as merchants, but many of them worked in highly qualified crafts. Tavernier states that the Armenian artisans brought some hitherto unknown industries to Iran; in particular Jacob John (Jan), an Armenian craftsman from New

Julfa who had learnt printing during a stay of a few years in Europe, set up a printing press at Isfahan after his return. 26 Carpentry and furriery were crafts in which the Armenian craftsmen excelled. A skilled Armenian carpenter was appointed the chief (bashi) of the carpenters' guild by Shah 'Abbas I, 27 but was not finally able to keep the post. The Armenian furriers produced the best fur caps and cloaks. 28 Armenians also worked in other crafts such as the sewing of leather buckets, 29 pharmacy, 30 and winemaking. Abraham Gurginiyan, a modern Armenian researcher, and A. Haniyaniyan, a learned Armenian scholar who died in 1960, have through a patient study of the grave-stones of the Armenian cemeteries in Julfa and Firidan (a small district west of Isfahan) been able to enumerate about 43 crafts and professions which were pursued by the Armenians of Isfahan from Shah 'Abbas I's reign onwards, as follows:

1.	goldsmith	zargar
2,.	tailor	khayyaţ
3.	founder	rīkhta-gar
4.	plaster-carver	gach-bur
5.	brick-maker	kura-paz
6.	watch-maker	sa'at-saz
7.	merchant	bazargan
8.	woollen weaver	parcha-baf
9.	cotton weaver	jula
10.	cotton carder	<u> ḥallaj</u>
11.	packsaddle maker	palan-duz
12.	carpet maker	qali-baf
13.	gardener	bagh-ban
14.	boot maker	chakma-dūz

15.	mud wall constructor	chīna-kash
16.	horse trainer	tarbīat-kunanda-yi asb
17.	printer	basmachi
18.	tanner	dabbagh
19.	musical instrument player	navazanda
20.	sable furrier	samur-duz
21.	butcher	qaṣṣāb
22.	camel driver	sarban
23.	mirror maker	ay'ina-saz
24.	enamel maker	mina-kar
25.	sieve maker	gharbal-saz
26.	candle maker	sham'-saz
27.	barber	salmani
28.	baker	khabbaz
29.	carpenter	najjar
30.	shoemaker	kaffash
31.	house painter	naqqash
32.	stone carver	sang-tarash ³¹
33.	glass maker	shīsha-gar
34.	blacksmith	ahangar
35•	arms maker	asliha-saz and shamshir-gar
36.	coppersmith	misgar
37.	builder	banna .
38.	hat maker	kulah-duz
39•	medical practicioner	<u>pizishk</u>
40.	surgeon	jarraḥ
41.	ship's captain	nakhuda
	headman of the Armenian villages in New Julfa and Firidan	kadkhud <u>a</u>
43.	historian	mu'arrikh ³²

The settlement of commercial disputes and other affairs of the Armenian artisans and tradesmen was recognized as an internal task of the community and was therefore entrusted to its representatives. 33 At Isfahan, the kalantar of New Julfa was made responsible for this task. He assessed and collected the taxes of the individual artisans and tradesmen with proper regard to their incomes. 34 Thevenot states that in return for the payment of a collective tax of 500 tumans, which went straight to the Shah's treasury, the Armenians had the right to elect their kalantar. 35 Muhammad Yusuf Valih states that the tax of the Armenian furriers was delivered to the head of the royal lands department (sarkar-i khassa). 36 This was probably one of the customary dues (rusum) to which, as a decree of Shah Sulayman shows, the Armenian craftsmen were liable.37

There were two communities of Hindu Indians in Safavid Iran, namely the Banyans and the Multanis (Hindus from Multan in the Southern Panjab and from Sind). They were numerous in the southern Iranian ports and other commercial cities. The former were lenders of money at high rates of interest, the latter were traders in cloths and spices.

None of the Hindus were artisans. Their role is described in chapter 8 and will not be discussed here.

A small, but significant, number of European and Asian artisans worked in Iran in the Safavid period, mainly at the capital Isfahan. European goldsmiths, silversmiths, watch-repairers, gunsmiths, and artisans were welcomed by successive Shahs. A letter in the London East India

Company's archives records that Shah Şafi requested the Company to send out artisans, particularly clock makers and limners (artists), for the royal court, and that the English king, Charles I, recommended that they should be The East India Company sent a goldsmith named Clement Evans and a very competent and skilful limner named Benjamin Webb. 38 Chardin noted that the European artisans did not have special workshops but were counted as employees of the arsenal department (jaba-khana or asliha-khana). 39 The European artisans enjoyed good conditions, at least until the economic decline in the later decades of the period. Kaempfer states that in the reign of Shah 'Abbas II the French goldsmiths were pleased with their comfortable life and received a salary of thirty to forty tumans annually, which was as much as the salary of a bashi, 40 in addition to free meals. 41 The European artisans dwelt in New Julfa. Manucci, during the reign of Shah 'Abbas I, noted the presence of six French goldsmiths at Isfahan. They were highly regarded by the Shah, and each received an annual salary of 150 patacas (dollars or Pieces of Eight), besides free meals. Shah 'Abbas I also gave them presents and even deigned to chat and drink with them. 43 In the reign of Shah Sultan Husayn, however, the position of the European artisans deteriorated. 44 contemporary European sources show that about ten professions were pursued by European artisans in Safavid Iran. Olearius, in his report on the Holstein ambassador's mission to Shah ! Safi 's court, mentioned that he met an English watchmaker named Festy who had made a striking

clock which was hung over the entrance of the qaysariya. The two English adventurers, Anthony and Robert Sherley, who were received by Shah 'Abbas I at Qazvin in 1007/1598, brought with them a number of artillery experts and gun founders, some of whom entered the Shah's employment. 40 William Hedges, who was in Isfahan in 1685, noted the presence of several French jewellers and watchmakers working at Shah Sulayman's court, 47 and Pietro della Valle found a Greek weaver working in a royal workshop. 48 Tavernier enumerated the European artisans in the royal workshops as follows: two Dutch diamond-cutters, a Swiss watchmaker named Rodolphe Stadler, two French watchmakers named Varin de Lyon and Lagis, two gunsmiths (argebusier) named Marais and Bernard, and four French goldsmiths. 49 Stadler was later condemned for killing a Muslim burglar and put to death when he refused the offer of a pardon in return for his conversion to Islam.

Shāh 'Abbās I was a patron of the art of pottery. His collection, which still exists, was formerly kept in the shrine of Shaykh Safī ud-Dīn Ardabīlī atardabīl and is now in the Ancient Iran (Irān-i Bāstān) Museum at Tehran. He invited a number of Chinese potters to Iran, who not only worked in the royal workshops but also instructed Iranian craftsmen in the art of porcelain making. Sanson noted that in Shāh Sulaymān's time there were Chinese artisans in Isfahān. H. E. Wulff, the eminent German authority on Iranian arts and crafts, states that the chief of the Chinese potters was probably a person mentioned in the Iranian annals as Man-oo-har, but he does not name the annals.

One of the factors which strengthened the European and Chinese influences on the Tranian painting, ceramic, and carpet designs of the later Şafavid period must have been the presence of the foreign artisans. These are complex questions, outside the scope of this thesis.

Notes 6.2.

- 1. Ghazzali, pp.130ff.
- 2. Goitein, pp.260-262.
- 3. Gibb, The Heritage of Islam in the Modern World, in Int. J. M.E. Stud., 1, p.222.
- 4. Tavernier, p.32.
- 5. Evliya, 2, p.126; Sloane, B.L. ms. 4094.
- 6. Tavernier, p.45.
- 7. Chardin, 3, p.276; Emerson, p.193.
- 8. A.K.S. Lambton notes that "In modern times in Kirmanshah, the guilds accepted both Sunnis and Shi'is. In Qazvin the cloth merchants (bazzāzān) had among their members Muslims and Jews and Zoroastrians. In Tabrīz Armenians and Muslims belonged to the guild of the goldsmiths, and in 1936 an Armenian was made the head of the guild. In Kāshān, so far as Jews belonged to the guilds, they were under the Muslim ra'is (chief), but had their quarters in a separate bazaar". Lambton, Islamic Society in Persia, p.23.
- 9. W.C. Fischel, in <u>Joshua Starr Memorial Volume</u>, 173, p.118; Ogilby, p.20; Du Mans, p.193.
- 10. P. Teixeira, p.252.
- 11. Chardin, 2, pp.55-56.
- 12. Fischel, 173, p.119; Thevenot, p.110.
- 13. Fischel, 173, pp.119-120.
- 14. Tavernier, p.319.
- 15. Ibid., pp.319-320.
- 16. Tahvildar, p.107.
- 17. Fryer, 2, pp.216, 247-248.
- 18. Sloane, 4094; Fryer, 2, pp.216-217; R. Coverte, The voyage and travels of Captain Coverte (1607-1611), in Osborne's Collection, 2, p.260.
- 19. Sloane, 4094.
- 20. Du Mans, p.194.
- 21. Tavernier, p.304; Mandelslo, p.6.
- 22. Du Mans, p.194.

- 23. Carré, 3, p.825.
- 24. Fryer, 2, pp.247-248; Du Mans, pp.193-194.
- 25. Farman of Shah 'Abbas I dated 1028/1619, in the Vank Church of Isfahan; Carmelites, 1, p.207.
- 26. Tavernier, p.225.
- 27. Ibid., p.225.
- 28. Emerson, p.264.
- 29. Tavernier, pp.44-45.
- 30. Manucci, 1, p.46.
- 31. Shāh 'Abbās I in the later part of his reign brought to Isfahān a second group of Armenians from the Azarbāijānī town of Julfā. Among the newcomers were many stone carvers. They were housed in a quarter which soon became known as the Mahalla-yi Sangtarāshān (quarter of the stone carvers). Šee Ismā'īl Rā'īn, p.32.
- 32. L. Hunarfar, Mashaghil-i Aramina, in Majalla-yi Vahid, 6.
- 33. Falsafī, 3, p.209; Ra'in, pp.32ff.
- 34. Chardin, 8, pp.113-115.
- 35. Thevenot, p.3.
- 36. Muhammad Yusuf Valih, folio 182a; Vahid, p.72.
- 37. Farman of Shah Sulayman dated 1094/1682, B.L., ms. no. 4932 f.2.
- 38. Sainsbury, letters dated January-March, 1639, pp.147, 161.
- 39. Chardin, 7, pp.333-334.
- 40. Kaempfer, pp.121-122.
- 41. Sanson, pp.73-74.
- 42. Fryer (1684 ed.), p.265.
- 43. Manucci, 1, p.41.
- 44. Lockhart, The fall of the Safavid Dynasty, pp.70-79.
- 45. Olearius, p.299.
- 46. Tahiri, p.308.
- 47. Hedges, 1, pp.210-212.

- 48. della Valle, 1, p.562.
- 49. Tavernier, pp.112, 182, 222; Du Mans, p.207.
- 50. Sanson, pp.73-74; Tavernier, p.244.
- 51. Wulff, p.149.

Chapter 7.

- 7.1 Public Ceremonies
- 7.2 Cultural and Religious Aspects
- 7.3 The Guilds and the Darvish Orders

7.1. Public Ceremonies

Participation in public processions and ceremonies on the occasion of national and religious festivals was an important function of the guilds in Safavid Iran. Private ceremonies also were a feature of the life of certain guilds. This subject is well documented, because the festivities on such occasions were the most striking aspect of guild activity and were therefore noted by local historians and European travellers who were eye-witnesses. Moreover, in spite of the modern changes in the character of the guilds, their participation in public ceremonies still continues at the present day.

The most prominent of the annual public ceremonies were the 'Id-i nawruz, the Iranian spring festival at the vernal equinox, and the 'Id-i sipand at the beginning of the solar month of Ispand² or Isfand, (i.e. one month before the first day of the nawruz festival), when all the guilds took part in a public gathering at Isfahan in the Qaysariya and the Maydan-i Shah. According to Iskandar Munshi, during the twelve days of the nawruz festival season, the ahl-i hirfa and san'at-garan (artisans) and tujjar (traders) illuminated their shops throughout the bazaar. At the qaysariyas and char-sugs, lavish festivities were held and visitors were entertained with fruits and sherbets and sweets. 3 Kotov, the Russian traveller who was in Isfahan in Shah 'Abbas I's reign, relates that during public festivals, the traders and craftsmen of Isfahan decorated their shops with beautiful flowers and coloured lights, and that candles and tapers were lit on every night of a festive season. The shopkeepers

did no trading on such nights, but entertained the people, for whom they provided all kinds of sports and amusements. Le Brun, who was in Iran in 1703-04, gives the following description of a public festival (possibly 'Id-i nawruz). Their bazaar strikes the eye in a very agreeable manner by candle-light, since all the shops in general are prettily decorated, but those more especially of the fruiterers and confectioners, which have a charming effect. There is plenty of all sorts of provisions. Likewise in the cookshops which are sent about all the town over, which is an uncommon custom and what I never saw practised in any other country. However, notwithstanding such a profusion, the shops are soon stript of all their stocks through the vast concourse of strangers who resort from all parts to Isfahan on this solemn occasion."

For the guilds of the capital and the other cities of Safavid Iran, 'Id-i Nawruz and the 'Id-i sipand were occasions when they could present themselves to the public and make closer contact with society. Asaf states that the royal family used to visit as many bazaars as possible in the course of 'Id-i nawruz festivities. During the reign of Shah Sultan Husayn, the royal family would visit the central bazaar of Isfahan on three successive days every year. These days were announced in advance, because during them no man was permitted to go out of his house. An interesting point which Asaf notes is that on these three days the craftsmen's wives and daughters served in their husbands' and fathers' shops and offered the goods demanded by the royal family. Asaf adds that the royal family comprised 500 wives and daughters of Shah Sultan Husayn, who

were accompanied by 4500 slave girls (kanīz) and cunuchs (khawāja) and 100 black eunuchs. The royal family not only enjoyed seeing the bazaars and the Qayṣarīya and the caravansarais, but also would buy more than 100,000 tumāns worth of various luxury articles. Don Garcia de Silvay Figueroa, the Spanish ambassador at Isfahān in 1617-1620, mentions similar visits of the royal family to the bazaar in Shāh 'Abbās I's reign. 7

In addition to the annual national festivals, there were royal and official ceremonies to which the guilds contributed. These were intended to glorify the state or to make propaganda for the government, and brought no advantage to the guilds, but on the contrary imposed heavy burdens on them. On occasions such as a coronation, a royal wedding, or the arrival of a foreign guest of the Shah, orders for the holding of celebrations were issued from the royal court and strictly enforced by officials such as the vazīr, the darugha, and the kalantar. 8 If a ceremony was to be held in a public place such as the Maydan-i Shah, the Qaysariya, or one of the bridges of Isfahan, the expenses of the illuminations, decorations, etc. were levied on the craftsmen, artisans, and merchants. Sometimes the expenses were so heavy that the craftsmen were unable to pay them and had to complain. 10 Pietro della Valle, the Italian traveller, mentions that in 1028/1619, when Shah 'Abbas I returned to Isfahan from Mazandaran, the Maydan-i Shah and the royal bazaar were by official command attractively decorated at the collective expense of the various guilds, and that craftsmen and shopkeepers who could not

immediately afford their contributions had to borrow the required ready cash from the royal treasury. 11 On the arrival of Nadar Khan, the "ruler of Turkistan" in 1056/ 1646, the artisans and tradesmen of Isfahan illuminated and decorated the Qaysariya and the Maydan-i Shah alternately in honour of Shah 'Abbas II's guest. 12 For the wedding ceremony of the son of Mirza Mahdi Sadr ul-Mamalik with the daughter of Khalifa Sultan, the grand vazir of Shah 'Abbas II, the splendid Allahvirdi Khan bridge at Isfahan (built in the reign of Shah 'Abbas I) was decorated with candles, lamps, and different coloured objects, and a large part of the expense was contributed by the merchants and craftsmen. 13. Gemelli, who was in Isfahan at the time of Shah Sultan Husayn's coronation, vividly describes the illumination and decoration of the Qaysariya and the Maydan-i Shah and of the different bazaars and char-suqs of Isfahan which the different guilds provided. The shopkeepers had to stay at their shops until midnight. 14 Mar'ashī relates how the coronation of Shāh Sulayman II, a Safavid pretender, in 1163/1749 was celebrated by the craftsmen and traders of Mashhad. The different guilds of that city decorated the bazaars and squares under the supervision of their headmen (kadkhudayan) and "grey beards", i.e. elders (rīsh-safīdan).15

The above-described ceremonies were national festivals or royal and governmental occasions in which the guilds together with other social groups took part. There were also ceremonies which were conducted solely by the guilds. For instance, the annual Islamic festival of the <u>Td-i qurban</u> (feast of sacrifices) was celebrated with a special ceremony

by the guilds in Safavid' times and up to the end of the 19th century, when changes in social conditions and attitudes led to its abolition. The camel slaughter ceremony on the Id-i qurban at Isfahan has been described by Chardin, 16 Kotov 17 and Kaempfer, 18 by the Iranian chroniclers of the Safavid period, and in great detail by Tahvildar, who was an eyewitness of the event in the Qajar period. Tahvildar remarks that the origin of the ceremony was not definitely known. but appeared to go back to Safavid times. 19 H. Brugsch, who was in Iran in 1858, states that the slaughtered camel was divided according to ancient custom among the guilds, the smiths receiving the legs, the tailors the head, and the other guilds all the remaining parts. 20 Tahvildar states that the votive offerings (nuzur) which were collected during the ceremony were allotted to the various groups in traditional proportions which corresponded with their shares of the aggregate tax liability. 21 Floor thinks that the holding of the 'Id-i qurban ceremony was first promoted by the Haydari and Ni'mati factions and only later taken over by the guilds. 22 (See Appendix 1).

The sources from the Safavid period give few examples of ceremonies peculiar to single guilds. One ceremony which survived into the 19th century was the annual coppersmiths' celebration on the 13th day of the solar month of Tīr (4 July). This celebration was called the <u>Jashn-i abrīzān</u>, 23 jashn-i abpāshān, 24 also jashn-i misgarān and litū-yi misgarān 25 (litū means "watering"). Shāh 'Abbās I was fond of this celebration and sometimes attended in person. It was held throughout Iran, 26 but with the greatest

enthusiasm in the cities with the driest climates. At Kashan, where the climate is extremely dry and the coppersmiths! guild played an important part in economic and social life, the abrīzan celebration proceeded as follows. Early in the morning of the 13th day of Tir, when the craftsmen opened their shops, the coppersmiths began to throw water onto each other and into the shops of their guild, and then closed their shops and formed a procession. Singing songs, and followed by a large and cheerful crowd, they walked to the dawlat-khana (governor's house), where they gathered around the pool in the garden and again joyously sprinkled each other. The governor thanked them and gave them presents, and they then departed and passed through the city, singing special songs with words taken from guild folklore. When night fell, the crowd again left the city and walked to Fin (a beautiful village in the suburbs), where the merrymakers stayed for three days, enjoying various pleasant and amusing games. 27 According to Kotov, the celebration at Isfahan was held in the presence of Shah 'Abbas I: "On the 15th day of that August, the Shah had an entertainment, not a festival. All the people gathered in the Maydan opposite the Shah's great gate (i.e. the 'Ali Qapu), and all had large pitchers and bowls and drinking vessels and cups, and the Shah himself was on the balcony which is over the great gate, and with him were ambassadors and merchants, and in front of the gate they themselves blew great trumpets like bulls bellowing, and played on pipes and beat drums and kettledrums, and all the people in the Maydan danced and clapped their hands and leapt in the air. And when the Shah himself from the balcony

poured water onto the gound and onto the people, then all the people in front of the gate and all over Maydan began to pour water onto each other and to push one another into water and to plaster each other with mud, no matter who they might be; they plastered everybody, even the Shah's relatives. And the Shah had ordered everybody to come in their best clothes, (and this went on) for about two hours, while the Shah watched the sport from the balcony." 28 Another festive occasion was a special ceremony held by the sword-makers of Isfahan from the time of Shah 'Abbas I until the end of the 19th century in commemoration of Asad-i Shamshir-saz, a skilled swordsmith in Shah 'Abbas I's reign. According to Chardin, whose statement is confirmed by present-day artisans in the Isfahan bazaar to whom the story has come down orally through the generations, Shah 'Abbas I received a gift of a strong helmet from the Ottoman Sultan, who challenged anyone to break it with a sword. It was a symbol of Ottoman military strength. Shah 'Abbas I offered a reward to any man who could make a sword which would break this helmet. The swordsmith's guild was informed of the Shah's offer, and a swordsmith (shamshir-saz) named Asad made a sword which spilt the strong helmet. The reward given by 'Abbas I was a remission of the bunicha of the swordsmiths' guild of Isfahan. Asad was honoured by his fellow artisans as long as he lived, and after his death burial in the cemetery of Sichan (a southern suburb), his death was annually commmemorated by visitation of his tomb and presentation of votive offerings (nuzur). 29 other instances of a ceremony of a particular guild are

recorded in the sources from the Safavid period. If, as seems probable, such ceremonies existed, they must have been abandoned and forgotten in later times.

The craftsmen and shopkcepers of the bazaar had specially close links with religious institutions. Their relationship with Sufi orders (tariqats) is discussed later in this chapter. The strength of religious feeling and traditionalism in the bazaar is still a noteworthy feature of present-day Iranian society. For instance, the most impressive of the mourning assemblies (majlis-i rawża-khwani) for the commemoration of the martyrdom of the Imam Husayn in the first ten days of the lunar month of Muharram are those which are held by bazaar artisans and shopkeepers. One such assembly is held annually in the Takya-yi Gul-bandan at Isfahan, which was built in the Safavid period and is situated southeast of the Maydan-i Shah. (A takya is a large walled enclosure with many small rooms built into the surrounding walls used mainly for Muharram mourning ceremonies). According to a long existing tradition, the Isfahani guilds collectively hold a mourning assembly in the Takya-yi Gul-bandan. Every small room in this takya is decorated by a particular guild, whose identity is shown through the use of its signs and implements in the decoration. Moreover every guild has its own cups, teapots, samovars, etc., and receives mourners from its own membership separately. According to the general belief of the older craftsmen, the collective mourning assembly in the Takya-yi Gul-bandan is a practice which dates from Safavid times. 30 At Tehran in Qajar times, a similar collective mourning assembly of the guilds was held in the

Takya-yi Pātūq. 31 'Abdullāh Mustawfī, a modern historian, states that 'Alī Qulī-Khān Kāshānī, a merchant of Tehrān, held an annual assembly in the month of Muharram in his house at which recitations of the martyrdom were given for the benefit of members of important guilds, and that every guild attended the assembly on a particular day. 32

Although the contemporary reports are scarce, they suffice to show that participation in festivals and ceremonies was an important feature of guild life in the 17th and 18th centuries, as it is still in some degree in Iran today.

Notes 7.1.

- 1. Iskandar Munshi, 2, p.861.
- 2. Muhammad Tahir Vahid, p.198.
- 3. Iskandar Munshī, 2, p.861.
- 4. Kotov, pp.26-27.
- 5. Le Brun, p.265.
- 6. Asaf, pp.107-108.
- 7. Gulrīz, pp.183-184.
- 8. Zayl-i 'Alamara, p.195.
- 9. Muhammad Yusuf Valih, Khuld-i Barin, folio 242a.b.
- 10. Nasrabadī, p.431.
- 11. della Valle, <u>Voyages dans la Turquie</u>, <u>la Palestine</u>, <u>la Perse, les Indes Orientales et autres lieux</u>, 8 vols. Rouen 1745, vol.4, pp.330-333; also Falsafī, 2, pp.288-290.
- 12. Muhammad Tahir Vahid, p.77.
- 13. Mulla Kamal Munajjim, pp.114-115; Shamlu, Qişas ul-Khaqaniya, folio 42.
- 14. Gemelli, p.141.
- 15. Mar'ashī, p.119.
- 16. Chardin, 2, p.235.
- 17. Kotov, pp.28-31.
- 18. Kaempfer, p.149.
- 19. Tahvīlar, pp.88-90.
- 20. H. Brugsch, Reise der Königlichen Preussischen Gesandschaft nach Persien (1860-1861), 2 vols., Leipzig 1862, p.250, in Floor "The Guilds in Qajar Persia".
- 21. Taḥvīldar, p.88.
- 22. Floor, The Guilds in Qajar Persia, Passim.
- 23. Dihkhuda notes that the jashn-i abrīzan (water sprinkling festival) is traditionally supposed to date from the reign of the Sāsānid Shāh Fīrūz (459-485). In the early years of this reign, Iran was smitten by a terrible drought and famine. The Shāh and the people prayed for rain, and when at last rain came, the Shāh and the people rejoiced and threw water onto each other. The celebration was held on the 13th of Tīr. (Alī Akbar Dihkhudā, Lughat-nāma, vol.1, p.25).

- 24. Iskandar Munshī, 2, p.853.
- 25. Naraqi, p.273.
- 26. Falsafī, 2, pp.308-311.
- 27. Naraqi, pp.273-274.
- 28. Kotov, p.28.
- 29. Personal investigation in the royal bazaar of Isfahan and the Sichan cemetary; Lambton, <u>Islamic Society in Persia</u>, pp.25-26.
- 30. Personal investigation in Takya-yi Gul-bandan and the royal bazaar of Isfahan.
- 31. Mustawfi, vol.1, p.378.
- 32. <u>Ibid.</u>, p.381.

7.2. Cultural and Religious Aspects

There was a special branch of literature, described as guild literature, of which a considerable amount has survived. The relationships of these writings with guild life have been investigated by certain present-day scholars, particularly Ahmad Gulchin Ma'ani and Muhammad Ja'far Mahjub. 2 The guild literature is influenced by Sufi literature, and is full of Sufi terms and concepts, such as pir, which means both patron saint of a guild and spiritual guide of a Sufi order. 3 Nevertheless it is a unique branch of Persian literature. Its typical genre is the so called shahr-ashub poem or prose piece. Shahr-ashub, which literally means "city-disturbing", is an epithet used by Hafiz and other poets to denote a beautiful singer or other person whose presence fascinates and disturbs a city. 4 Gulchin Ma'ani defines the shahr-ashub poem as a kind of poem which includes a description of the artisans and traders and their professions. 5 For knowledge of the technical and social affairs of crafts and trades in the Timurid and Safavid periods, the shahr-ashub literature is a valuable source, because it mentions the tools and technical terms used in different crafts and the traditions and characteristic customs of particular guilds. It is composed in correct metrical and rhymed verse and usually in the ruba'i (quatrain) or ghazal (sonnet) form. The following examples are two ruba'is by Lisani Shirazi:

(1) Bazzaz pisar bar an du rukhsar chu mah
Sar saya-yi zulfat dil u jan rast panah
Tamgha-yi qumash-i husn ruz-afzun ast
bar atlas-i 'arizat buvad khal-i siyah

O young draper with those two moon-like cheeks,

the shadow of your curls is truly the refuge of hearts
and souls!

The tamgha-stamp on the cloth of ever-growing beauty
is the beauty-spot on the satin of your cheek.

- (2) Ahangar-i man dast-i man u daman-i tu'st
 khūn-i dil-i man chū tawq dar gardan-i tu'st
 Ah-i man-i mubtala ki zanjīr-i balast
 dar gardan-i man k'az dil-i chūn āhan-i tu'st
 - O blacksmith, it is I who beg from you (literally "it is my hand and your skirt")!

 my anguish is like the necklace on your neck.

 The sigh of my afflicted self, which is a chain of affliction,

 is on my neck because of your iron-like heart.

The best known early writers of shahr-ashub verse are Sayfi of Bukhara (d.910/1504), the author of Sanayi' ul-Badayi', who has left 120 ghazals, each about an artisan of a different craft; Lisani of Shiraz (d.940/1534), who lived at Tabriz and used the ruba'i form; and the already mentioned Qari of Yazd (d.993/1583), the poet of clothes, whose full name was Nizam ud-Din Maḥmud Gari, and whose Divan-i Albisa, consisting mainly of ghazals, shows a thorough knowledge of tailoring. In Shah 'Abbas I's time, the principal shahr-ashub poets were Mirza Qasim Beg Tehrani and Mirza Tahir Vaḥid Qazvini (d.1110/1699). The latter, who wrote a work in the masnavī (rhymed couplet) form named Masnavī-yi 'ashiq va ma'shuq as well as ghazals, mentions 98 guilds in his writings. (See Appendix 2).

Besides the <u>shahr-ashub</u> poets, there were many artisans and tradesmen who wrote conventional poetry in the Safavid period. Among those whose quoted poems have been preserved are: Mawlana Kisvatī-yi Sha'r-baf (brocade weaver), 13

Sirājā Hakkāk (engraver), 14 Amīr Ilāhī Astarābādī-yi Qaṣṣāb¹⁵ (butcher), Sayyid Ya'qūb-i Khayyāt¹⁶ (tailor), Mīrzā Shūkrī-yi Ṣaḥḥāf¹⁷ (bookbinder), Khawāja Khalīl-i Zargar¹⁸ (goldsmith), Darvīsh Dihakī-yi Julā ¹⁹ (weaver), Mānī Mashhadī-yi Kāsa-gar²⁰ (potter), Gulshanī Tabrīzī-yi Pustīn-dūz²¹ (furrier), Bazmī-yi Kafsh-dūz²² (shoemaker), Mawlānā Dāmī-yi Āhangar²³ (blacksmith), Fayzī-yi 'Aṣṣār²⁴ (oil crusher), Tazrīqī-yi Kolāh-dūz²⁵ (hatter), Hijrī-yi Shamshīr-gar²⁶ (swordsmith), Shawqī-yi Tīr-gar²⁷ (arrowsmith), Zayn ul-Ābidīn-i Murakkab-furūsh²⁸ (ink seller), and others.

The shahr-ashub poetry and other literary sources give information about another feature of guild life which has persisted until the present time, namely, the use of a special language or jargon which each guild created for itself. These jargons were and still are used when customers are in the shop. Each guild differed from the other in the vocabulary, grammar, and sound, pitch and stress of its special language. The most noteworthy guild jargons were zargarī (goldsmiths), misgarī (coppersmiths') and gushtī (butchers'). Lisanī Shīrazī alludes to the goldsmiths' jargon (zabān zargarī) in the following rubā'ī:

Zargar ki samand-i dilbari mi-ranad, az bas ki tariq-i dilbari mi-danad, mi-ranadam az kirishma ruzi sad bar bāzam bi zabān-i zargari mi-khanad.

The goldsmith who rides the bay horse of charm, who knows the path of charm so well, drives me away with his glances a hundred times every day, (and) calls me back in the language of the goldsmith's 29 trade.

The main features of the goldsmiths' jargon are as follows:

- a) It is based on correct Persian grammar and vocabulary.
- b) A z followed by an intrusive vowel is inserted after every vowel sound of the correct language. Thus the word pirahan (shirt) becomes pizirazahazan. c) The vowel following the z is determined by the vowel which precedes it. Thus sag (dog) becomes sazag. d) Two-consonant clusters are left unchanged, no z being inserted between or after the consonant. Thus chashm (eye) became chazashm. 30

For the keeping of accounts, the notation called siyaq, i.e. symbols derived from Arabic letter-combinations and having numerical values, was commonly used in the bazaars of Safavid Iran and is still quite widely used in the bazaars today. In the Safavid period, siyaq was also used in the government offices concerned with revenue and expenditure and in the royal establishments. Special tax collectors called bunicha-daran registered the guild tax (bunicha) liabilities and payments of every guild in siyaq notation. Every guild member kept books in siyaq in which he entered his cash and credit transactions, as many shopkeepers still do today. Mirza Abū Turab Mustawfī, Mirza Sulayman, specialists in siyaq, were all in the service of the royal guilds.

According to the modern historian 'Abdullah Mustawfi, the accountants who kept the books of the Arab governors in the time of the Umayyad Caliphate (41/661-132/749) were Iranians, and the Arab governors, being unable to understand the old Persian system of numerical notation, compelled them. to devise a system in Arabic. 37 Gradually the old Persian

system fell into disuse, and the system based on modified forms of Arabic letter_combinations which the Iranian accountants had devised came into general use. ³⁸ It is remarkable that the Indian numbers, which were introduced by Muḥammad ibn Mūsā al-Khwārazmī (169/780-125/850) and which provided a much better system, were not used in book-keeping until modern times, though they were used in mathematics, astronomy, etc. In book-keeping, sīyāq figures are taken to mean dīnārs, of which ten thousand equal a tūmān. Sīyāq is read from right to left. A table of sīyāq with English equivalents appears on the following page. ³⁹

Another aspect of the traditional life of the Iranian guilds is their use of symbols. The existence of this practice in the 17th and 18th centuries is attested by the graves of craftsmen of that period in the old cemeteries of Isfahān. For instance, the tombstone of Asad-i Shamshīr-sāz (swordsmith), who died in Shāh 'Abbās I's reign, is engraved with a sword. A coffee house owner's tombstone bears a carving of a coffee pot, cups and saucers, a wrestler's a carving of wooden weights (mīl), etc.

Another practice of shopkeepers in the bazaars was the illumination (chiraghani) of their shops with lighted candles and lamps. Illumination was customary as an expression of joy on festive occasions, but it also served the purpose of advertisement. Floor states that in the Qajar period, whenever meat became cheap, the butchers would announce this cheapness by burning tallow in the fronts of their shops.

Although there is no documentary evidence of this practice in the Safavid period, it probably goes back to that time or

10,000 100,000 . 300,000 . 3 000,000 . 300,000 . 300,000 - 300,000 . 300,000 . 300,000 . 500,000 . 5,000,000 . 50,000,000 . 510,000,000 . 5.000,000 . 5.000,000 . 100,000 Toog 000 - 700,000 - 500,000 - 500,000 - 700,000 4000 40000 400,000 4,000,000 400,000 400,000 400,000 400,000 400,000 400,000 400,000 400,000 400,000 5 500,000 200,000 . 200,000 . 20,000,000 . 200,000,000 . 200,000 . 20,000 湖 373 900,000 . 9,000,000 . 90,000,000 . 900,000 . 900,000 . 457,60,000 12 20 V 7/4 30 157 012 12. - July 77 P p p J. 7 R 23te 70-1/2 1 7// 2 11/2 3 J Ŭ 200,000 <u>J</u> Ú J j 0 000000 30,000 20000 7 00009 20,000 20,000 3 7 80000 4 F dinan or 1000 3000 2000 Œ 0009 À, 5000 3 8000 7000 8000 ? 2 Ļ i 400 500 300 IJ 009 800 F g g L Lo 50 60 2 26 $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}}$ 3

January,

SIAK NOTATION.

even earlier. The traditions of the Iranian guilds were transmitted from generation to generation, and have in many cases endured until the present day. Evliya Efendi relates that all the cookshops of Tabrīz were adorned with china plates bearing inscribed phrases such as "there is no nobility above Islam", "the nobility of a place depends upon who occupies it", "the nobility of a house depends upon its inhabitants". 41 This old custom is still observed in the cookshops of the main bazaar of Tehran.

The common patron saints whom particular guilds venerated everywhere, and the local patron whom they also venerated, were figures from the (ur'an and the early days of Islam, or from the folk-memories of the particular city or guild. According to the traditions of the guilds, a chain (silsila) of blessings (barakat) from God passed through Jibril, Adam, Nuh, Ibrahim, and Muhammad to 'Ali and Salman Farsi, the two great patron saints of all guilds, and from Salman Farsi to each guild's particular pir ("elder", i.e. guardian and guide, a term also used by the Sufi orders).42 According to Khaki Khurasani, every guild had its own special pir, 43 while 'Alī was the common patron and Salman Farsī was venerated by all. The barbers' guild regarded Salman Farsi as their private patron, because he had shaved Muhammad's head after the conquest of Mecca. 44 A legendary friend of 'Ali described as Javanmard-i Qassab (the valiant young butcher) was the patron of the guild of the butchers, who hung up (and still hang up) an imaginary portrait of him in their shops. In this connection, the question arises to what extent the guilds in the Safavid period had local saints

also. There is no documentary evidence from the period which answers this question. Ja'farī, writing in the 9th/15th century, mentions that Shaykh Aḥmad and his brother Shaykh Muḥanımad, whose profession had been the starching of cloth (shū-mālī), were the local saints of the starchers' guild of Yazd, where their tombs were a revered shrine at which the shū-mālīvān made votive offerings (nuzūr). 45 Similarly Asad-i Shamshīr-sāz, whose tomb was in the takya (which in this context means cemetery) of the swordsmiths at Isfahān, was the local saint of the swordsmiths' guild. 46

Finally, the family relationship and intermarriage traditions among the members of each guild in a town were very important. Traditionally all the members of a single guild resided in a separate alley of quarter. It has been mentioned in Chapter 5 that an apprentice usually married the names of the daughter or niece of his master. Rumlu mentions several such quarters at Tabrīz, e.g. those of the paper-makers (kaghaz-kunān), hatters (kulāh-dūzān), and potters (kūzagarān).

Notes 7.2.

- 1. Gulchin Ma'ani, Shahr-ashub dar shi'r-i Farsi.
- 2. M.J. Mahjub, Shahr-ashub in Kitab-i Hafta, no.90, pp.86-104.
- Qarī Yazdī, Dīvan-i Albisa; Khaki Khurasanī, p.48;
 Vā'iz Kāshifī, folios 144ff.
- 4. Ānandrāj, Encyclopedia, Lucknow 1893, vol.2, p.595.
- 5. Gulchin Ma'ani, pp.4ff.; Mahjub, op.cit., pp.86-104.
- 6. Mawlana Lisani, Majma' ul-Aşnaf, in Shahr-ashub by Gulchin Ma'ani; Mirza J'jaz Harati, Shahr-ashub-i Manşur, in Shahr-ashub by Gulchin Ma'ani, p.94.
- 7. Qarī Yazdī, passim.
- 8. Lisani Shirazi, in Shahr-ashub by Gulchin Ma'ani, p.114.
- 9. Sayfī Bukhārī, Central Library of Tehran University, mss. no.4585.
- 10. Qarī Yazdī, passim.
- 11. Gulchin Ma'ani, p.44.
- 12. Mīrzā Ṭāhir Vaḥīd, Masnavi-yi 'āshiq va ma'shuq, in the private library of Ahmad Gulchīn Ma'ānī.
- 13. Amīn Aḥmad Razī, 1, p.156.
- 14. Lutf-'Alī Beg Āzar, vol.3, p.946.
- 15. Sām Mīrzā, p.37.
- 16. Sām Mīrzā, p.40.
- 17. <u>Ibid.</u>, p.45.
- 18. <u>Ibid</u>., p.63.
- 19. <u>Ibid</u>., p.107.
- 20. <u>Ibid.</u>, 114.
- 21. <u>Ibid.</u>, 142.
- 22. <u>Ibid</u>., 149.
- 23. <u>Ibid</u>., 150.
- 24. <u>Ibid</u>., 160.
- 25. <u>Ibid.</u>, 168.
- 26. <u>Ibid</u>., 172.
- 27. <u>Ibid.</u>, 171.

- 28. Nasrabadī, p.418.
- 29. Lisani Shirazi, in Shahr-ashub by Gulchin Ma'ani, 'p.119.
- 30. Personal investigation; Tahvildar, p.128.
- 31. Muhammad Muhsin, folio 1986.
- 32. Munsha'at, Kitab-khana-yi Milli-yi Malik, ms. no.6293, folio 301a; Amin Ahmad Razi, 2, pp.401-402.
- 33. Muṣāḥib, 1, p.1390.
- 34. Muhammad Muhsin, folio 1986.
- 35. Amin Ahmad Razi, 2, 401-402.
- 36. Munsha'at, ms. no.6293, folio 301a.
- 37. Mustawfi, 2, pp.469-476.
- 38. Rabino, <u>Banking in Persia</u>, in <u>J. Institute of Bankers</u>, 13, 1892, pp.52ff.
- 39. 'Abdul-Vahab ibn Muḥammad Amīn Ḥusaynī Shahshahanī, pp.7-11.
- 40. Floor, The Guilds in Qajar Persia, p.41.
- 41. Evliya, 2, p.141.
- 42. Lewis, An Epistle on manual crafts, in Islamic Culture, 7, p.151.
- 43. Khaki Khurasani, p.48, verses 770-778.
- 44. Va'iz Kashifi, Futuvat-nama-yi Sultani, folio 144a.
- 45. Ja'farī, Tārīkh-i Yazd, pp.116-117, 218.
- 46. The Takya-yi Shamshir-sazan at Isfahan collapsed about 30 years ago.
- 47. Rumlu, p.246.

7.3. The Guilds and Darvish Orders

Many of the politico-religious movements which have appeared in the history of Iran drew their memberships from the urban working people. Their aims were expressed in religious terms, but their motives were basically or at least partly social. Although the diversity of these movements and the obscurity of the writings about them makes study of the subject difficult, the available evidence shows that they had certain common features and in many cases some kind of connection with guilds or other urban organizations. They strove to disseminate their beliefs and ideas among the city dwellers, especially among the artisans and tradesmen, and at the same time tried to voice the aspirations of these classes. Scholars have noted the importance of Sufi (mystic religious) elements in the ideology of the guilds in the Islamic countries. Beliefs, and also practices such as ritual initiation, similar to those of Sufi orders permeated the ceremonies and celebrations which were a permanent fixture of guild life. 2 For instance, the sirval (ceremonial trousers), after being an outward symbol of the early futurat movement, passed into the usage of the guilds; until quite recently the ceremony of initiation into a guild took the form of putting on certain garments, the sirval, the shadd (girdle), and the pish-daman (apron).3

It has been mentioned in Chapter 1 that during the centuries before the Mongol invasion, various branches of the Ismā'īlī Shī'ite movement took the lead in revolutionary propaganda and won converts in Fars and among artisans in the cities of Ray, Nīshāpūr, and Marv. Although Massignon's

hypothesis that it was the Qarmati movement which created the Islamic guilds and gave them the distinctive character which they retained until modern times is not supported by adequate documentary evidence, nevertheless it seems likely that the Qarmatīs, the later Ismā'ilīs, and the various Sūfī tarīgats, who all venerated the Imam 'Ali, had connections with the urban craftsmen at different times. A reference has also been made in Chapter 1 to the role of 'ayyaran (urban militia). The word, which means "rascals", was a disparaging name given to them by their opponents. The first movements of 'ayyaran were organized to resist exploitation and misgovernment by the 'Abbasids and other rulers. They had links with early Sufi preachers, and also showed a Shi'ite inclination in their choice of the Imam 'Ali as their model of probity (futuvat or javan-mardi). Although the first 'ayyaran came from the lower classes, afterwards higher ranking people also joined. 8 The role of Ya'qub-i Lays the coppersmith (or rather brazier), who began his career as an 'ayyar leader in Sistan, and the resistance of the artisan ayyaran of Harat against the Mongols, have been mentioned in Chapter 1. 10

Gradually the artisans and their guilds took over the concept of <u>futuvat</u>, probably from the <u>'ayyaran</u>, and the veneration of 'Alī, probably from the Qarmaţīs and other Shī'ites. They also formed links with the increasingly well organized Sūfī <u>tarīqats</u> (<u>darvīsh</u> orders). The 'Abbāsid Caliph Nāṣir (515/1180-623/1226), who wished to reconcile the Sunnites and Shī'ites in support of the caliphate, chose <u>futuvat</u> as the motto of a pro-caliphate movement which he sponsored. The guilds were probably drawn into this

movement. 11 In Turkey, where the Mongols caused widespread anarchy, a movement of craftsmen and tradesmen called akhī (brethren), 12 with some similarities to the earlier 'ayyaran of Iran and Iraq, sometimes played an important role. The rule-books of the Turkish guilds were called futuvat-nama. 13

In the post-Mongol period, the 'ayyaran finally disappeared from the scene and the darvish orders (tariqats) gained widespread influence. They showed a special eagerness to recruit members among the urban artisans and craftsmen. The bazaar was always a centre of political as well as economic life in every city, and the leaders of orders which won followings in it often acquired strong political positions. From the contents of Sufi literary works such as the Tazkirat ul-Awliya of Farid ud-Din 'Attar (d.617/1220), it may be inferred that the Sufi orders enjoyed wide support among the artisans and shopkeeping classes. Moreover the artisans and shopkeepers provided useful financial help for the tariqats which they joined. Both the guilds and the tariqats gained from this mutual support.

The similarity of the ceremonies and the ranks and titles used by the Iranian guilds to those of the <u>futuvat</u> brotherhoods also points to the existence of close links between them. 17 Authors of the 7th/13th, 8th/14th and 9th/15th centuries, when they mention <u>ahl-i futuvat</u>, appear to mean groups of seekers of this ideal who were organized in either <u>tariqats</u> or guilds or both. A work by the well-known author of the Timurid period, Husayn Va'iz Kashifi (d.910/1504-5), entitled <u>Futuvat-nama-yi Sultani</u>, shows that at Harat in his time the artisans and craftsmen cherished the ideal of <u>futuvat</u>, 18 and

that many of them were what he calls ahl-i futuvat (i.e. adherents of the futurat movement). He classifies the artisans and craftsmen in two groups: a) ahl-i ma'raka (performers, apparently meaning providers of services), and b) ahl-i qabża (hand-tool users). The ahl-i ma'raka comprised the following professions: maddahan (eulogists, perhaps meaning popular eulogists of the Prophet Muhammad and the Imam 'Ali rather than court poets), saggayan (water-carriers), khavaşş-guyan (perhaps meaning orators and poets employed by the court and the aristocracy), bisat-andazan (itinerant showmen), kushti-giran (wrestlers), ḥammalan (porters), rasan-bazan (rope-acrobats), tas-bazan (providers of a gambling game played with sheep's knuckle bones, today called qap-bazi), huqqa-bazan (jugglers), navakishan (hodmen), etc. The ahl-i qabza comprised sipahiyan or ahl-i qabza-yi shamshir (cavalry men, or soldiers generally), ahl-i qabža-yi mala (trowel users, i.e. plasterers), ahl-i qabża-yi khaysak (hammer users, i.e. blacksmiths, coppersmiths, braziers, goldsmiths, and silversmiths), ahl-i qabżayi kaman (carding bow users and felt-makers), ahl-i qabża-yi kuda (awl users, i.e. shoemakers, shoe repairers, pack saddle makers), ahl-i qabza-yi utu va taqiya (ironers, tailors, and hatters), ahl-i qabża-yi kafcha (ladle users, i.e. confectioners and halva makers), ahl-i qabza-yi kulang va das (pick wielders and sickle users, i.e. diggers, ganat tunnelers (muqannis) and agricultural labourers), ahl-i qab2a-yi Kard va satur (knife wielders, i.e. slaughterers, butchers, and cooks), and ahl-i qabza-yi sikh (skewer users, probably meaning kababgrillers). 19 Although Kashifi does not use the word sinf

(guild), it seems likely that he based his classifications on the existing guilds.

The craftsmen and artisans had a significant role in the Sarbidaran movement. The Sarbidaran, who rebelled against injustice of the local rulers and set up an independent state at Sabzavar in Western Khūrasan which lasted from 738/1337 to 781/1379, are important because they were a militant Sūfī order with definitely Shī'ite beliefs, inspired by the preaching of Shaykh Ḥasan Jūrī (d.739/1338), and supported both by the townsfolk, particularly the artisans, and by the villagers. They acquired the name because they said that they would rather have their heads on the gallows (sar bi-dar) than endure further oppression.

Although the Safavid order's historical importance lay in its indoctrination and military organization of nomadic Turkish tribes, the biography of Shaykh Safi (d.735/1334) entitled Safvat us-safa, written by Ibn Bazzaz in the middle of 8th/14th century and rewritten by Abu'l-Fath Husayni in the reign of Shah Tahmasb I, indicates that the founder also won a following among urban craftsmen and shopkeepers. 21 Under Shah Isma'il I and Shah Tahmasb I, however, membership of Şafavī tarīqat appears to have been limited to the Qizilbash tribal troops, and not to have been extended to other classes such as artisans. These troops did not always respect the Shah's claim to their obedience as the head (pir or murshid) of the order, and often split into factions supporting rival claimants to the throne. 22 Shah 'Abbas I therefore abolished the Safavi tariquat and deflected other Sufi orders away from their proper spiritual functions.

Hostile pressure from formalistic (qishri) 'ulama and interference by the government greatly restricted all true spiritual activity by Iranian tariques in the period. 23 W. Ivanow, who has studied the poems of Khaki Khurasani (probably written in Shah 'Abbas II's reign), has noted that one of Khaki's verses clearly indicates and regrets a weakening of the traditional connection of the guilds with Sufi orders at that time:

Hadīs az zāhir ū 'unvān-i bātin na-shud bī-pīr u bī-ustād-i aṣnāf

"Discussion of outward observance and declaration of inward belief became impossible without pirs (i.e. of tariqats) and master craftsmen of guilds."

By the time of Shah Sultan Husayn, the majority of the Twelver Shi'ite 'ulama were hostile to Sufism in any form, and the government, under the influence of the mulla-bashi, Muhammad Baqir Majlisi, began to persecute Sufi-minded Shi'ites as well as non-Shī'ite social-religious groups. 25 The collapse of the tariques deprived the craftsmen and artisans, and other classes also, of the moral and cultural stimulus which they had formerly drawn from these independent spiritual centres. According to the interpretation of 'Abdul-Husayn Zarinkub, a present-day scholar, Shah 'Abbas destroyed the real strongholds of futuvat (langar-ha-yi fityan), and replaced them with socio-religious associations or factions of a deformed kind which the Safavid state thereafter encouraged and supported with material benefits. 26 The most prominent of these factions were the Ni' matis, Haydaris, 27 Lutis, 28 and Mashhadis. Engelbert Kaempfer, who was in Isfahan in Shah Sulayman's reign, noted that the Haydaris enjoyed that Shah's

support. The only tariques then existing were the Ni'mati and Haydari, who had been active in the bazaar of Isfahan and other Iranian cities throughout the Safavid period. They were allowed to exist by the Safavid state, but had lost their spiritual vitality and acquired the character of factions. The government's motive for tolerating or supporting them appears to have been a desire to exploit their rivalry in order to deprive the bazaars of political unity and strength. 29

J. T. P. de Bruijn, in his article on <u>Iran (Religion)</u> in the second edition of the <u>Encyclopaedia of Islam</u>, notes that the <u>qalandarān</u> or wandering <u>darvīshes</u>, who never were well organized, regarded Jamāl ud-Dīn Sāvī (d.630/1223) as their first founder, but in Iran generally gave allegiance to the successors of Qutb ud-Dīn Ḥaydar (d.690/1291), who was buried at Zāva (subsequently known as Turbat-i Ḥaydarī). Under the Ṣafavids, they became Shī'ite, and won considerable followings in the bazaars under the name Ḥaydarīya. The Ni'matullāhīya order was founded by the Ṣūfī poet Shāh Ni'matullāh Valī (d.834/1431), who is buried in the <u>khānqāh</u> which he founded at Nāhān³⁰ in the province of Kirmān.

In the Safavid period, the Haydarī and Ni'matī factions were wholly urban phenomenon. 31 According to Chardin, the members of each faction had special ties with particular wards of a city and gave their loyalties first to their faction, then to their ward. 32 Jābirī Anṣārī, in his history of Iṣfahān and Ray written in the Qājār period, mentions the Haydarī-Ni'matī strife and adds that such enmity between fellow citizens had antecedents in pre-Safavid times; in the

Saljuqid period, there had been hostility between the Sunnite and Isma'ilite sects and between followers of the Shafi'i and Maliki schools (mazahib) of Sunnism. After the conquest of Iran by Shah Isma'il I and establishment of Twelver Shi'ism as the official religion of the Iranians, the old enmities were replaced by a new hostility between the Ni'mati and Haydari factions. 33 The two factions recruited a large proportion of their members from among the artisans and craftsmen. Fasa'i, writing late; in the reign of Nasir ud-Din Shah Qajar, mentions that the Haydari and Ni'mati factions amongst the craftsmen of Shiraz. 34 had strong followings At Isfahan under Shah Sulayman and Shah Sultan Husayn, seventeen of the wards were occupied by Ni'matīs (Ni'matīkhana) and the rest by Haydaris (Haydari-khana).35 Tabriz had nine wards, some Haydari and some Ni'mati. 36 Each ward was headed by a kadkhuda or baba who belonged to the locally dominant faction and was called the kadkhuda-bashi or baba of the Haydari-khana or of the Ni mati-khana. 37

Kaempfer, after noting that the inhabitants of the cities of Iran were divided into Haydarī and Ni!matī factions who dwelt in separate wards, commented that the most striking point about their rivalry was that hostilities between them broke out during religious ceremonies. For instance, at the 'Īd-i Qurbān ceremony and in the annual commemorative mourning for the martyrdom of the Imām Husayn on the ninth and tenth days of the month of Muharram, violent clashes between the two factions used to occur. ³⁸ Kaempfer gives some vivid pictures of the Haydarīs and Ni'matīs in the years 1683-1685, when he was in Iran. The Haydarī darvīshes were turbans and

covered their backs with a lamb skin, and also carried a stick and a trumpet (buq). The dress of the Ni'matīs was not so remarkable. Between the two factions, games and matches were often held, and sometimes passed off peacefully, but sometimes led to bloody clashes like those which took place after their participation in religious ceremonies. The darugha, with the armed forces at his disposal, was the only authority who could quell such violent disturbances. 39

Notes 7.3.

- 1. Lewis, The Islamic Guilds, in E.H.R., 8, pp.23-24.
- 2. Bonné, p.236.
- 3. L. Massignon, article on Shadd in E.I. (shorter E.I., 1953 ed.), pp.508-509.
- 4. After the death of the Imam Ja'far Sadiq's son Isma'il in 148/765, a group of the Shi'ites followed Isma'il as the seventh Imam. Some of them maintained that Isma'il never died and would reappear as the Mahdī-yi Maw'ūd (promised restorer). See W. Madelung, article Isma'iliyya in E.I., 2nd ed. 4, pp.198-206; also Rashīd ud-Dīn Fażlullah, pp.30-40.
- Petrushevski, <u>Islam dar Iran</u>, pp.293-319; Lewis, <u>An</u>
 <u>Epistle on manual crafts</u>, in <u>Islamic Culture</u>, 17,
 pp.142-152.
- 6. L. Massignon, in E.I.,1st ed., article Sinf, pp.436-437.
- 7. Lewis, An Epistle on manual crafts, PP.142ff.
- 8. <u>Tarikh-i Sistan</u>, pp.192ff.
- 9. Bastani Parizi, Ya'qub-i Lays, passim.
- 10. Sayfī Haravī, pp.81-90.
- 11. F. Taeschner in E.I., 2nd ed., article Futuwwa, pp.961-969.
- Breebaart,D,The Fütüvvet-nama-yi Kabir, a manual on Turkish Guilds, in J.S.E.H.O. 15, pp.253-215; Halil Inalcik, The Ottoman economic mind and aspects of the Ottoman economy, in M. A. Cook, ed., Studies in the Economic History of the Middle East, p.215. Inalcik states that "The Ottoman guild system was a continuation of the akhi organization with the difference that the independent and powerful position of the guilds in the 13th and 14th centuries weakened under the centralization of the Ottoman régime".
- 13. Ruhanī, pp.6-7.
- 14. Abū Sa'īd ibn Abī'l-Khayr, pp.51, 104-198.
- 15. Farīd ud-Dīn 'Attār, pp.46, 56, 68, 102, 112, part two, pp.55, 119, 200.
- 16. Bertel's, Nașir-i Khusrau va Ismā'īlīya, p.52; Petrushevski, <u>Tārīkh-i Irān</u>, 2, p.442.
- 17. Muhammad Riyaz Khan, in Majalla-yi Danishkada-yi Adabiyat Mashhad, 3, 4, 1973, pp.35-36.
- 18. Vā'īz Kāshifī, Futūvat-nāma, folio, 110; Zarinkub, pp.81,210.

- 19. Vā'īz Kāshifī, folios, 119a, 147a; 'Abbās Iqbāl, in Majalla-yi Sharq, 6, 1310/1931, p.348.
- 20. Petrushevski, Tarikh-i Iran, 2, pp.443ff.; Ruhani, pp.129-131.
- 21. Ibn Bazzaz, Şafvat us-şafa, B.L. ms. 11745.
- 22. Savory, Some reflections on totalitarian tendencies in the Safavid state, in Der Islām, Band 53, pp.226-242;

 Tāhirī, pp.138-140.
- 23. Tahiri, pp.335-338.
- 24. Khaki Khurasani, p.12.
- 25. L. Lockhart, The Fall of the Safavid Dynasty, pp.16-18,
- 26. Zarīnkūb, pp.210-212; Bastanī Parīzī, Siyasat va iqtişad-i
 'aṣr-i Safavī, pp.34-57; Farmanfarmā'iyan, The beginning of
 modernization in Iran, the policies and reforms of Shāh
 'Abbās I, 1969.
- 27. Naraqi, Tarikh-i ijtima'i-yi Kashan, pp.103-105.
- 28. Floor has found evidence that in the early Qajar period the term lūtī was given to members of the class of entertainers, who consisted of two recognized groups and were entirely separate from the hooligans, who were also called lūtī or darvīsh. The skilled entertainers of the lūtī-khāna were supervised by a lūtī-bāshī, who was appointed by the Qajar Shah. Floor, The lūtīs, in Die Welt des Islams, 13, pp.103-119.
- 29. Kaempfer, p.111.
- 30. Tahsin Yazici, article <u>Kalandarīyya</u>, in <u>E.I.</u>, 2nd ed., 4, p.473; Rūmlū, pp.422-423.
- 31. Amin Ahmad Razi, 2, pp.188-189.
- 32. Chardin, 2, pp.291-292, 321.
- 33. Jabiri Anşari, p.384.
- 34. Fasa'i, 2, pp.198-199; Petrushevski, <u>Tarikh-i Iran</u>, 2, p.571.
- 35. Le Brun, p.248; Tavernier, p.38; Jabiri Ansari, pp.97-98.
- 36. Chardin, 2, p.321.
- 37. Nasrabadī, pp.140-141; Fasa'ī,2, pp.75-98; Āsaf, p.440.
- 38. Kaempfer, pp.110-112. Jabiri Ansari, p.384.
- 39. Kaempfer, pp.110-111.

Chapter 8

Relations between the Merchants and the Guilds, and

Impediments to Economic Growth in Safavid Iran

Relations between the Merchants and the Guilds and Impediments to Economic Growth in Safavid Iran

In Safavid Iranian society, merchants held a relatively privileged position. On account of the importance of their contribution to the state's revenue, they generally enjoyed better governmental protection than other socio-economic groups, and they were not hampered in their activities by guild regulations and restrictions. On the other hand, they were subject not only to the tamgha (internal customs) and other heavy taxes (see Chapter 3, Malik ut-tujjar), but also to frequent casual imposts, e.g. on the occasion of royal weddings and receptions of guests (see Chapter 4, Fiscal and financial and tax aspects of the guilds); and above all they were weakened by the spread of state monopolies. The Safavid state sought to manipulate Iranian trade with a view to its own immediate financial and political advantage, and therefore pursued policies such as the use of governmental trading agents, the grant of privileges to individuals, and the encouragement of foreign enterprises. 1 These short-sighted policies led to a concentration of external trade in the hands of Armenian merchants. Indian moneychangers, and the Dutch and English East India Companies, and made it difficult or impossible for the Iranian merchants who carried on the internal trade to benefit fully from the security and the facilities such as roads and caravansarais which the Safavid Shahs provided.2 Moreover it is probably true to say that the popular notion of the Safavid period, particularly 'Abbas I's reign, being a period of economic and commercial prosperity is incorrect or exaggerated, and that the volume of the external and

internal trade of Iran was less than that of many other countries at the time (i.g. India or Ottoman Turkey). The French traveller Thévenot was probably correct when he wrote that scarcity of money was the cause of slight trade in Iran, and that the only considerable sources of money-making were silk and carpets. Thus it is not surprising that the Iranian merchants failed to evolve into an enterprising and influential capitalist class or "bourgoisie", and that social relations in 17th and 18th century Iran remained very largely feudal.

The important and complex subjects of Safavid Iran's external trade, and of the role of the East India Companies and the Armenians and Indians in it, lie beyond the scope of this study; but an attempt must be made here to examine the effects of the changing situations of Iranian and other merchants on the fortunes of the craftsmen's and shopkeepers' guilds in the bazaars.

European writers to denote many different categories of traders. In the Persian language of the Safavid and early Qajar period, the word tajir (plural tujjar) meant a wealthy wholesale trader engaged in interprovincial and/or international transactions. The word sawdagar, though sometimes used as a synonym for tajir, generally meant a trader of similar type but less wealthy. The word bunakdar meant a local wholesaler and no more. In this chapter, "merchant" is used solely as the equivalent of tajir or sawdagar, and "wholesaler" as the equivalent of bunakdar.

Although there is evidence that foreign trade had grown in importance before the reign of Shāh 'Abbās I and the

establishment of the capital at Isfahan in 1006/1597, and although European merchants had already begun to appear on the scene, Iranian merchants still handled the great bulk of the business in the early Safavid period. Arthur Edwards, the English agent of the Nuscovy Company who was in Iran in 1568-69, states that Iranian merchants carried on an extensive foreign trade; they travelled to Venice, bartered their goods, and brought back all kinds of European goods. 5 Aleppo was another centre which Iranian merchants frequented. the early part of the 16th century, Iranian merchants had organized a considerable trade with Russia, to which they exported Iranian goods such as silk and linen cloths, and from which they imported mainly sheep skins, wooden vessels, bridles, saddles, etc. 7 Lippomano, the Spanish ambassador at Shah Muhammad Khudabanda's court, mentions that among the Iranian traders there were merchants of considerable wealth and standing; they supplied cloth to the government (reluctantly and under compulsion) for the clothing of its troops, and dealt in many kinds of goods but mainly in textiles, because weaving was the occupation of a very large number of Iranian workers; and in addition, some of them had sidelines in other fields and exported a wide range of goods including carpets, books, turquoise, lapis lazuli, precious stones, manna, and archino fiori (perhaps a kind of arrow and bow).8

Before Shah 'Abbas I's reign, importation of Western European goods into Iran through Turkey, Russia, and Hurmuz (under Portuguese control 1515-1622), though probably growing, was not sufficiently voluminous or regular to upset the traditional economic and social equilibrium. The large-scale and

medium-scale trade remained in the hands of Muslim Iranian merchants, who supplied both manufactured goods and raw materials to the neighbouring Muslim countries. Only in the Azarbaijani and Caucasian cities and in Hurmuz and its dependencies were Armenian and Indian merchants of any significance.

During and after 'Abbas I's reign, this equilibrium was upset by two main factors, both of which weakened the position of the Iranian merchants and thus adversely affected the guild craftsmen with whom they dealt. One factor was the greatly increased importation of European goods, particularly woollen textiles, and exportation of Iranian raw materials, particularly silk and wool, through non-Iranian channels. The other was the bureaucratic interference and stranglehold of the Safavid state.

the introduction of mechanically operated processes and factories, did not occur until later, namely in the 18th and 19th centuries, and production was still generally manual and house-based, the Western Europeans achieved big improvements in technique and organization during the 16th and 17th centuries. Merchant entrepreneurs of the Western European bourgeois class promoted the output of larger quantities of better quality goods and sought new markets for the growing output, and at the same time they required larger supplies of raw materials. In 17th century Iran, they were represented principally by the English and Dutch East India Companies. Both companies were at first interested above all in the purchase of Iranian silk and wool at a price somewhat lower than the price at Aleppo, which had previously been the main

market place of this trade.9

Shah 'Abbas I, through his establishment of a royal silk monopoly, supplied their requirements of silk at prices which they found profitable, and achieved his purposes of raising revenue for his government and diverting trade from the territory of his Ottoman enemies; but in so doing he took away the business of the Iranian merchants who had previously exported the silk to Aleppo. The companies were also interested in the purchase of Iranian wool, which was in demand for the industries of Western Europe. To compensate for the silk and wool purchases, and to avoid the necessity of payment in scarce silver or gold coin, the companies offered Indian and Indonesian goods such as spices and sugar, copper, tin, lead, ivory, chintz, bath-towels, etc. and European goods such as woollen cloth, quicksilver, iron articles, Russian leather, etc. 10 The English company successfully promoted the scale of English woollen "broadcloths", which were unsuitable for India but suitable for Iran with its cold winter climate. 11 Since silk production and cloth weaving were the two most important sources of cash income in Safavid Iran, the penetration of the East India Companies into these fields must have had far-reaching economic and social repercussions. Contemporary sources, which do not provide any statistics or detailed evidence, indicate that the importation of European textiles and luxury goods adversely affected the Iranian merchants and guilds. 12 The loss of trade to the European East India Companies and later also to European "interlopers" was probably one of the reasons why Iranian merchants in the Safavid period could not accumulate sufficient capital, and therefore could

not provide finance for the expansion and improvement of the output of handicraft industries such as textiles, in the way that contemporary merchant-entrepreneurs did in England and other Western European countries. Thus the Iranian handicraft industries were already in a weak and retarded state, due at least in part to foreign competition, when they had to face the shattering impact of the European industrial revolution in the 19th century. 13

In V. Minorsky's opinion, considering the known wealth of the Safavid state and the reported size of private hoards, the overall trade of Iran in the early 18th century "could not be considered vast". Probably the main reason for this was the Safavid state's rapacity. Shah 'Abbas I needed large sums to pay for the Ottoman wars, for the reorganized bureaucracy and splendid court, and for the treasure-hoard 15 which he, like other 17th and 18th century rulers, wished to His preferred method of raising funds was through monopolies, and his basic motive for granting privileges to the European East India Companies was probably the consideration that the royal monopolies or governmental trading agencies could control the activities of foreign companies more easily than the activities of individual Iranian merchants. 16 A second motive, as already mentioned, was to divert trade from Ottoman territory. 17 The European companies, for their part, at first accepted the limitation of their business to dealing with the royal monopolies or agents, because it gave them a privileged position and obviated difficulties which might have arisen in dealing with individual Iranian merchants, for instance in cases

when an Iranian merchant might not possess sufficient capital. 18

After Shah 'Abbas I's death, his monopolistic policy, which had caused great discontent, was to a large extent discarded. In 1036/1629 his successor Shah Safi proclaimed the abolition of the state monopoly of the purchase and sale of silk. 19 From then onward, two important developments can be observed. Firstly, Iranian merchants, if they could show that they possessed sufficient capital, were allowed to deal directly with the Dutch and English companies. 20 Secondly, the number of individual European traders, and the volume of their transactions, began to increase. From the viewpoint of the companies, many of these men were "interlopers", i.e. contraband traders. There is some evidence that the individual European traders dealt mainly with "native" merchants. 21 The presence of growing numbers of European and also Indian, Tatar, and Bukharian traders in the Isfahan bazaars is mentioned in several sources. Fryer states that merchants from many different countries did business in the royal bazaar, supplying the native wholesalers and retailers with various goods such as cloth, tin, copper, etc. 22 European traders were to be found in many cities of Iran, but the largest number resided in three caravansarais at Isfahan, namely the Karvansara-yi Jadda (Grandmother's Caravansarai, built by the grandmother of Shah Sulayman and still surviving), the Caravansarai of New Julfa, and the Farangi (European) Caravansarai. 23

The activities of the East India Companies and private

European traders directly affected some of the Iranian guilds.

As already mentioned, weavers were hit hard by the competition of imported woollen cloths of better quality and perhaps lower price than their own products. Fryer saw large quantities of English cloth in the royal bazaar of Isfahan, and paid four shillings per yard for some of a quality which would have cost twenty shillings in England; he does not say how it could be brought all the way to Iran and sold at such a greatly lower price. 24 The trade grew so much that a new guild came into being in the Isfahan bazaar, called the landara-duzan or landara-furushan ("London" cloth tailors or sellers); they dealt exclusively in English woollen cloth and garments made from it. 25 Since cloth weaving was the most important of the industries pursued by Iranian craftsmen, the harmful effect of the English competition can readily be surmised. For instance, a tablet in the Masjid-i Jami' of Yazd surviving from Shah 'Abbas I's reign mentions that the majority of the population of Yazd were weavers, and Evliya Efendi, who passed through the northwest of Iran in the late 17th century, reports that the whole population of Maragha was employed in cloth weaving. 26 Another guild which flourished from trading in European manufactures was the guild of the haberdashers (kharrazi-furushan). Among other things they sold European luxury goods such as spectacles and handbags. 27

Nevertheless, the competition from the European traders and European imported goods was probably not the main reason why the Iranian merchants and traders remained weak and could not accumulate the capital which would have been required for an expansion of the indigenous industries. A much more

important factor was the rapacity of the Safavid state. belief that commerce prospered, particularly under Shah 'Abbas I, is clearly an exaggeration if "commerce" is taken to mean "private commerce". The belief is based on the historical fact that the Safavid kings, particularly 'Abbas I and 'Abbas II, sponsored the construction of numerous bazaars, caravansarais, water-cisterns (ab-anbars), and bridges, the improvement of thousands of miles of roads, and the provision of road guards (rahdaran) to ensure the safety of the commercial routes, etc. 28 Although it is probable that these developments were intended primarily to serve royal and official needs, it is possible that they were also meant to help private commerce. Nonetheless, the help given to Iranian private commerce through the Safavid state's public works and services was greatly outweighed by the harm done to it through the Safavid state's monopolies, restrictions, and exactions. Through appointed agents, such as the malik ut-tujjar or tajir-bashi, the government kept all important trading activities under close supervision. In addition to the regular taxes such as the tamgha and the casual imposts, the government exacted a large customs revenue from the Iranian merchants. At every port and frontier post the collection of the customs was normally farmed out to a contractor, called the shah-bandar (see below), and the holders of this office were usually close associates of the royal court. 29 The government also conferred many commercial privileges on the Dutch and English East India Companies, and on Armenian and Tabrīzī merchants, and in return obtained a large income from these sources. 30 The Armenian merchants

and the Tabrīzī merchants, who owed their position to Shāh 'Abbās I and were very dependent on him, shared their profits with the government, which through this direct involvement in commerce acquired a distinctly capitalistic character. One interpretation, which — John Emerson has suggested, is that "Shāh 'Abbās I endeavoured, in a manner somewhat similar to contemporary mercantilistic practice, to promote commerce and industry inside Iran so as to prevent money leaving the country". 31

The silk trade was a very important source of national wealth and state revenue, and the provinces of Gilan and Shirvan, which were the main centre of silk production, were exposed to Ottoman attacks. 32 Although the earlier Safavid Shahs repulsed the Ottomans, it was only after the establishment of firm control over these provinces by 'Abbas I that the government was in a position to launch the policy of monopolizing the silk trade. At the same time the arrival of the English and Dutch East India Companies offered secure outlets for the sale of the monopolized silk. Edward Connock states that "the king was desirous to pass all his silks into Christendom by sea, to the weakening of his enemy the Turk."33 These arrangements were highly profitable to the Safavid government, but according to contemporary sources did great harm to the Iranian silk merchants and silk weavers. English East India Company's factor who was in Isfahan in 1614 reported that by order of the Shah "all silks made throughout his dominions shall be brought into his treasuries."34 If any silk producer sold to private merchants and the sale happened to be discovered, the silk would be confiscated. 35

Thus the Iranian silk merchants were deprived of their livelihoods. 36 while the sale of raw silk to the East India Companies for export abroad took work out of the hands of the Iranian silk weavers. Certain reports suggest that the exportation threatened to weaken the indisenous silk weaving industiy. Olearius reported that the total silk production of Iran was estimated at around 20,000 bales (each of 215 pounds), and that only 1000 bales were processed and woven in the country. 38 A few decades later, an unknown European traveller stated that the Iranian silk growers produced 22,000 bales of raw silk annually, but only 2000 bales were processed locally in the form of cloth and carpets. 39 From Ottoman sources there is evidence of a decline in the commerce traditionally undertaken by Iranian merchants through Ottoman territory, due partly to the diversion of the silk route from Turkey to the Persian Gulf ports, and partly to the hostile behaviour of the Ottoman administration towards Iranian merchants. 40

Another important source of employment and national wealth, namely the Kirman wool trade, also fell gradually into the hands of the Safavid administration and its governors of Kirman, and thereby into the hands of officially supported Armenian merchants and to some extent of the European companies and private traders. This process reached its peak in the reign of Shah Sultan Husayn, when the poverty of the Kirman wool weavers, who formed the majority of the working class of that city, was noted by foreign observers.

The mercantilist belief that a government ought to accumulate a financial reserve in the form of a hoard of treasures appears to have been held independently by Muslim

and Christian statesmen alike during the 16th, 17th, and 18th centuries. Contemporary reports mention huge amounts of gold and silver coins, bullion, and vessels which the Safavid Shahs hoarded in the castle of Tabarak and elsewhere in Isfahan. 42 If these treasures, or reserves, had been spent in time of crisis, e.g. on defence against the Ottoman invasions or the Ghalzay Afghan rebels, the policy of hoarding might have served a useful purpose. In the event, the policy did nothing but harm to the Iranian economy, because it withdrew productive capital from circulation and unnecessarily aggravated the burdens on entrepreneurs and producers. biggest single source of the royal wealth was the profit from the royal commercial and industrial establishments (buyutat). The combined policies of state trading and hoarding had the effect of transferring capital from the hands of the Iranian merchants and artisans, who might have invested it productively, into the royal treasure stores, where it lay idle. Contemporary reports also mention the great wealth of the Armenian merchants and the Indian moneychangers (sarrafan) In so far as the royal monopolies or trading establishments gave part of the business which they had taken away from the Iranian merchants to the Armenian merchants, they transferred capital accumulation opportunities from the former to the latter. The exportation of precious metals, which brought wealth to the Indian moneychangers, perhaps arose because the overburdened Iranian craftsmen and merchants could not produce and export sufficient quantities of low enough priced goods to counterbalance the importation of goods from India or elsewhere. In any case, the non-appearance of a "bourgeois"

class of capitalist merchants and entrepreneurs, and the stagnation of the Iranian craft industries, were clearly due above all to the rapacity and eppressiveness of the Safavid régime. V. Minorsky, discussing this régime in his preface to the Tazkirat ul-Mulūk, writes: "The Shahs are now the capitalists. They amass goods in their buyutat, they attract the European merchants, they use their Armenian subjects as their trading agents for disposing of the chief exportable commodity, namely the silk."

Far from encouraging free enterprise and the growth of a national middle class, the Safavid policies crippled both.

Contemporary European observers noted how difficult it was for Iranian merchants to find sufficient resources of capital. 45 and the English East India Company's factor in Isfahan reported to his court (i.e. board of directors) in 1617-18 that "this King is a marchant, here cammeth not any commodities to Towne but hee by his Ministers hath the refusall; any profitt thereby, the Merchant shall have none of it. Hee knows his Merchants, for forceinge his Subjects to take it again at his price." It was partly for this reason that Shah 'Abbas I in 1598 selected Isfahan as his capital "beinge the Chiefe Marte Towne and Intended staple of the Kinge of all merchants, every day beiutifienge and enlarginge it with newe and stately Carravanserais, and places of conveyency for Marchants." It was accepted that "Marchants muste hazard that will trade", but one fact was "most consequentiall for your honour's knowledge and consideration, if God should call this Kinge it would bee a question whoe should suckceed." 46 One instance of 'Abbas I's mercantile

aspirations was his dispatch of royal agents to Venice,
London, and Russia to procure manufactured goods and luxuries
for the royal stores - a practice which was continued under
his successors. The Safavid Shahs sometimes made profitable
deals in such goods with private buyers. According to the
chronicle of the Carmelites, the King often sold jewels and
dealt in other merchandise, buying and bartering "with that
subtlety a passable trader might use". 48

The extent of the interference by the central and provincial governments was so great that the Iranian merchants lost heart and restricted their activities to relatively small-scale trading. 49 Even if they were sometimes able to do direct transactions with the English Company's factors, the restrictive attitude of the authorities towards wealthy Iranians outside the ruling group made it very difficult for a capable Iranian merchant to do business with the Company. The English factor who exhibited the Company's goods at Isfahan in 1617 reported that "since our coming, as yet we have not made any sale. The reason is that it was desired by Lala Beg, the King's Treasurer, to have the first sight of our cloth, promising he would give us ½ cash and ¾ silk."50 It seems clear that as time passed, the restrictions on native merchants became more severe. The levying of import and export duties at ports and frontier posts, and the appointment of a shah-bandar (harbour master, but in fact a holder of a farmed-out customs office), at all these places brought foreign trade under increasingly firm official control. Iranian merchants who took goods to the frontier posts suffered such harassment from the shah-bandars that they did

not dare to deal directly with foreign merchants, but instead were forced to engage in contraband dealings. Many of the contraband dealers were Georgians, and according to Herbert many of these were actually royal agents, who smuggled goods such as china, silk, precious stones, and spices in and out of the country. ⁵¹ In order that the trading profits of the royal establishments might be maintained, Iranian merchants were liable to prosecution if they sold goods at prices cheaper than the royal prices. ⁵²

The abolition of the silk monopoly and other restrictions on freedom of trade by Shah Şafi in 1629 ought in principle to have improved the position of the native merchants, but for reasons which are discussed later in this chapter, the improvement was slight and impermanent. In the case of silk, the English and Dutch Companies were told, during their contract renewal negotiations, that they might henceforth buy silk direct from private merchants if they found the conditions satisfactory. In practice both companies found that they could not buy silk on a large scale except from the royal court or its agents. Their freedom to bargain with native merchants and obtain goods from them in exchange for ready cash was counterbalanced by the risk of official obstruction. For instance, at Isfahan when the Dutch company acquired a cargo of silk in the free market, the governor raised the question of the liability of goods bought from private merchants for export duty, and at Gombroon likewise the customs officials began to call for duty payments on silk which private merchants had sold to the Company. 54 Emerson thinks that the Iranians in the Safavid period seldom

used money productively; they generally either hoarded it, spent it on presents or on "conspicious consumption", or donated it for religious and charitable purposes such as the construction of mosques, madrasas, bridges, caravansarais, Those who put money to productive use, particularly the merchants, usually invested it in buildings to let or lent it to Indian moneychangers. 55 It is true that throughout history Iranian merchants and other wealthy men have given generously to religious and charitable causes, partly with sincere motives of piety or benevolence and partly also with the consideration that religious endowments (vaqfs) were legally protected against governmental depredations. In fact the number of vaqfs instituted by unofficial persons such as merchants in the Safavid period was not very large. 56 preference for rent-producing or interest-yielding investment in buildings and loans can be attributed to the Safavid restrictions on mercantile and industrial enterprise. Chardin states that the priorities of a successful merchant in those days were firstly acquisition of a house to dwell in, secondly acquisition or contribution to the cost of a bazaar or bath or caravansarai to rent out, and thirdly, but only for the richest, endowment of a college, caravansarai, bridge, or mosque, provision of income for "priests" (i.e. 'ulama), and distribution of charity to the poor. 57

The privileges conferred by Shah 'Abbas I on the Armenians whom he forcibly transplanted to Isfahan in 1604 were another source of harm to the Iranian merchants. Although Armenians had long been active in the trade of the Azarbaijani and Caucasian provinces, they had never previously played an

important part in national trade. The transplantation of the Armenians was part of the Shah 'Abbas I's long-term plan for enrichment of the monarchy through direct royal participation in trade. 58 While the native Isfahani merchants suffered from legal and illegal harassment, 'Abbas I granted a loan of 4000 tumans, repayable within three years, to the merchants of the recently established suburb of New Julfa. Depending on the position of their families, the loan was made partly in silk, partly in coin. In the event they were not required to repay, and they took the Shah's silence as a sign that he had excused them and waived their debt. 59 In reply to some of his ministers who asked by he had been so generous, the Shah said that the Armenians were more competent than the Iranians in commercial business, and that it would be better to train the Iranians for fighting and not let them be spotled by commerce. 60 The Armenian merchants of New Julfa played a double role, as royal merchants or agents of the Shah, and as traders on their own account. In this position they were soon able to accumulate considerable amounts of capital. The abolition of the royal monopolies and restrictions by Shah Şafi opened new opportunities for the 'Armenians, who strengthened their grip to such a degree that the Dutch and English Companies and private traders found that they could not buy their requirements or sell their goods without the consent of the Armenian merchants. 62 According to Le Brun, there were two thousand Armenian merchants at New Julfa and some of them were described as immensely rich. 63 They did their business in the so-called Julfa Caravansarai, which was an Armenian

bazaar adjacent to the 'Alī Qāpū palace, and the bazaar and caravansarai of New Julfā. They had numerous links with the Iranian craftsmen's guilds; e.g. they were the exclusive suppliers of Russian furs, sables, hides, and leathers to the guild of the furriers (pustīn-furushān) and fur sellers (khaz-furushān), and of seeds, coral, spectacles, and miscellaneous luxury items to the guild of the haberdashers (kharrāzī-furushān).

Lastly, the native Iranian merchants had to contend with the Indian (i.e. Hindu) moneychangers (sarrafan), whose presence and proliferation in the markets of several important cities of Iran in the Safavid period is an astonishing and unexplained episode of Iranian economic history. It was suggested above that their success may perhaps be attributed to a growing imbalance in the trade between Iran and India which necessitated payment in silver and gold for the excess of imports over exports. Later the issuing of debased coins by Shah Sulayman enabled them to make big profits from the export of good Iranian coins to India. To a large extent the Indian-Iranian trade was organized by the Indian moneychangers resident in Iran. For this reason they were particularly numerous at Bandar 'Abbas. Herbert found a large number of Indian residents there, 65 and Chardin reckoned the town's population to be two thirds Indian. 66 At Shiraz one of the finest bazaars belonged to the Indians. 67 They did not normally keep stocks of goods in the way that the merchants did, but acted only as commodity brokers (dallalan) and currency dealers. They charged usuriously high rates of interest. 68 and exported the best coins as well as silver and

gold bullion to India. 69 Chardin states that they often doubled their capital in eighteen or twenty months. 70 There were two main communities of Indians, the Banyans, who specialized in moneychanging and brokerage, and the Multanis, who specialized in the importation of Indian textiles. Their presence must certainly have helped to weaken the development of Iranian private enterprise. The trade imbalance which appears to have initially made their activities possible must have been at least partly caused by the restrictions which impeded growth of the Iranian national product in the Safavid period. 71

The extent to which credit was used instead of cash, and the types of loan and rates of interest which were current, in Iranian commercial life during the Safavid period cannot be fully ascertained on account of the scarcity of evidence. While the Qur'an strictly prohibits usury (riba) (Qur'an, 2, 275; 3.130; 4.161) and the Islamic laws of sale generally require prompt delivery and payment, 72 the acceptability of certain kinds of legal device (kila) in Islamic jurisprudence gives some latitude in matters such as forward sale, deferred payment, premiums, discounts, etc. In periods of stability, merchants (tujjār) and moneychangers (sarrāfān) had long been able to make credit transfer arrangements which may be regarded as early forms of banking. 73 Nevertheless, in all Muslim countries, including Iran, the uncertainties of the shar'i and customary laws, together with religious scruples, inhibited progress by Muslims in the provision of what would now be called commercial banking services. One result was that investment in this field was generally inadequate and that

rates of interest (under whatever name was used) were generally high. Another result was that banking business tended to fall into the hands of non-Muslim minorities.

The sources show that in Safavid Iran much of this business fell into the hands of Indian (Banyan) and Iranian Jewish moneylenders. 74 Thevenot states that in Isfahan there were 15,000 Banyans, who did not engage in commerce or crafts but lived solely from usurious moneylending. 75 Chardin gives their number as 10,000 and states that they milked the people's wealth and cleverly smuggled it in the form of pure silver coins out of the country. 76 The offices of the Banyan moneylenders and moneychangers in Isfahan were situated in the 'Ali-Quli Khan caravansarai in the royal bazaar. 77 The money which the Banyans lent consisted not only of their own capital but also of funds which they borrowed from "men of substance" at 8 to 10 per cent annual interest and lent at 30 per cent annual interest. 78 They would only lend at extremely high interest rates. The rate, which depended on various factors such as the amount of the loan and its duration, rose even higher than 30 per cent when money was tight. 79

Two classes of financiers in Safavid Iran can be identified: those who lent large sums, and those who lent medium-size and small sums. According to Tavernier, the large-scale Banyan moneylenders had head offices at Isfahan, Lar, and Shiraz, and branch offices or agencies at the ports of Bandar 'Abbas and Kung. For transactions which they approved, they made loans to merchants which were secured on the merchandise and were repayable in three months at

6 to 12 per cent interest (for the three months). 80 conditions which they imposed placed heavy burdens on the shoulders of the merchants. The class of small moneylenders, which also included Banyans, is described in some detail by Fryer. They resided in all the main cities. Their business was partly commercial and partly pawnbroking, i.e. lending to individuals on the security of pledged private possessions. They never made any sort of loan without security. On a oneyear loan of 10 tumans, they normally made a profit of 13 tumans per year, which included a deposit of a month's interest at one tuman that every borrower had to pay before a loan was granted. A lender could obtain legal certification of a loan contract, even though it involved a payment of a high rate of annual interest, by registering it with a qazi (Islamic judge). He would bring the agreed sum of money, e.g. 100 tumans, and declare before the qazi that he gave it to the borrower, and when the borrower consented by saying "yes", the contract would become binding; but at the same time, the lender would bring some article, e.g. a knife or a book, and declare that he would sell it at this price. Another common form of lending resembled the modern mortgage loan. The borrower pledged his house to the lender in return for a loan, and then rented the house from the lender, at a rent which the lender fixed, until the loan was repayed. 81 Repayment of loans, including the disguised interest, usually had to be made in monthly instalments. Defaulters were liable to severe punishment, such as flogging. Tavernier mentions an incident in 1662, when a street draper, who had borrowed six or seven tumans at $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent per month interest, was unable to pay the

next instalment in time. Fearing punishment, the draper led other borrowers who could not afford their due payments in a murderous conspiracy against the Banyans. 82

Maḥmud, the Afghan victor of the siege of Isfahan in 1722, despoiled the Banyans and other Hindu Indians of their properties. They were so afflicted that some took poison or died of grief, and others fled. Maḥmud seized all the contents of the closed shops belonging to the Indian shop-keepers who had fled. 83 They never again played an important part in the economic life of Iran.

In addition to the political and institutional restrictions which have been discussed, certain geographical and social factors impeded the formation of capital and development of large-scale commercial and industrial enterprises in Safavid Iran. Like all other countries at that time, with a few exceptions such as Venice and Holland, Iran was a primarily agricultural country; but the geographical conditions of Iran imparted some peculiar characteristics to Iranian society. The extensive deserts and mountains gave rise to a topographical dispersion of the urban centres, and made communication between them difficult and expensive. general, an Iranian town was surrounded by a detached and relatively small irrigated area, whose village-dwelling inhabitants supplied to the town-dwellers raw materials such as cereals, wool and silk, and also manufactures produced by village artisans such as carpets, cloths, earthenware, etc. On account of the small scale of the output and the short distance to the town, it was often possible for the villagers personally to transport their

own or the landowner's products or wares to the town's markets and to deal with the town's shopkeepers or craftsmen. It was also possible for peddlers to play a considerable part in the trade between a town and its surrounding villages. 84 Olearius states that much of the rural produce, such as silk, wool, and fresh and dried fruits, was brought to the markets by the villagers who produced it. 85 At Isfahan special daily and weekly bazaars for the peasants of the surrounding villages were set up in the Maydan-i Kuhna (Old Square) and the Maydan-i Shah. As a result of the prevalence of this simple method of collection and distribution, there was not so great a need in Iran as in other countries for capital and organization in the commerce between town and country. in trade between the urban centres of distant provinces were more elaborate methods necessary. The interprovincial trade was the main sphere of activity of the Iranian merchants.

While the Iranian merchants as a class were injured by the policies of the Safavid government, one group of them enjoyed favour and financial aid. This was the group of Tabrīzī merchants resident at Isfahān. The merchants of Tabrīz had for a long time played a big part in the development of the import and export trade through Turkey, and they had acquired valuable commercial experience and knowledge. The decline in the importance of the traditional trade route from Tabrīz to Turkey, resulting from the wars and from 'Abbās I's economic policies and the transfer of the capital to Isfahān, impelled a number of the more able Tabrīzī merchants to migrate to Isfahān in 1015/1607. They were housed in the 'Abbāsābād quarter, which was (and still is)

one of the pleasantest quarters of Isfahan, ⁸⁶ and were helped by the government in various ways, including loans or credits and an annual allocation of wheat amounting to 20 man (120 kilograms) per head from the royal granaries. ⁸⁷ Thanks to this aid, their community acquired a firm foundation, and subsequently remained a cohesive group with considerable influence in economic and social life.

The Iranian merchants held a respected place in society, and were not subject to the jurisdiction of the muntasib which regulated and restricted the activities of the craftsmen's guilds. 88 On the other hand, they worked individually, and with the possible exception of the Tabrīzī merchants of Isfahan, they did not organize any strong or lasting collective associations. After Shah Safi's decree of 1629, while most of them still only did inter-provincial business, some began to venture into new fields of external trade and in the course of time acquired an increasing, though probably still relatively minor, share. They revived the trade with the Ottoman Turkish territories to a considerable extent, and set up communities abroad, particularly in India and Russia, as well as at Baghdad, Aleppo, Bukhara, etc. Nașrabadi names a number of these enterprising individuals, e.g. Mīrzā Amīn, Mīrzā Ma'ṣūm, and Ḥājjī Ismā'īl Khan in the Indian trade, and Muḥammad Qasim Lahiji, Sa'id Lahiji, and Muḥammad Shafi' Rashti in the Russian trade. 89 A handful of Iranian adventurers even travelled to the Western European countries in search of business. According to the East India Company's correspondence, four Iranian merchants, named Aqa Husayn, Pīr 'Alī, Mustafā, and Āqā Kamāl, arrived in London

in 1636 to buy English goods and stayed until 1637. 90 It must be emphasized, however, that royal trading still continued after the abolition of the royal monopolies, and that the Armenian merchants managed to retain the dominant position in the silk and wool trades which they had acquired in Shah 'Abbas I's reign, with the result that the Iranian merchants could not effectively compete with them. 91

The more favourable conditions existing after 1629 for the growth of the business of the Iranian merchants, and for their emergence as an independent social force, were brought to an end by the deterioration of the Iranian economy in the reigns of Shah Sulayman and Shah Sultan Husayn, which contributed to the collapse of the Safavid regime. 92 The causes of this deterioration have not yet been fully analysed and assessed by scholars. One generally recognized cause was the wasteful extravagance of the royal court, and another was the hoarding of silver and gold bars and vessels by the royal court and also by wealthy members of the ruling class. main external cause was a continually sharpening decline in the foreign demand for Iranian silk, and another was the constant outflow of good coins which the Indian moneychangers exported to India. 94 This outflow was greatly aggravated by the issue of debased coins under Shah Sulayman. 95 The insecurity of the trade routes due to brigandage, piracy, and insubordination of tribal chiefs under Shah Sultan Husayn severely injured the merchants of all nationalities. Polish priest Krusinski states that at that time merchants found the roads so unsafe that when they complained about the brigandage to a governor, he would only reply "show me

the brigands, I will oblige them to make restitution to you".96

Business between the merchants or wholesalers and the guild craftsmen of Safavid Iran appears to have been conducted mainly through a system of special markets for interprovincial trade. These markets were located not only in the capital and the provincial cities, but also at points along the trade routes. They were set up weekly or in some cases monthly. In them the craftsmen bargained and dealt directly with the buyers, who might be either wealthy merchants or their agents, or local wholesalers, or peddlers. It has already been mentioned that the requirements of villagers were to a large extent supplied by peddlers. The reason why bargaining prevailed was probably that the goods on offer were too varied in quality and too small in volume to permit the establishment of recognized market prices.

A striking feature of the Safavid period was the rise of certain markets in small towns situated on the caravan routes. One of these was Khūr, at the edge of the Kavīr (salt desert) on a route then in use between Yazd or Isfahān and Mashhad. Its market grew around a caravansarai and water-storage cistern (ab-anbar) reputedly built by order of Shāh 'Abbās I. The caravansarai became the market for the scattered small villages of the area, whose main occupations were silk weaving and carpet making, 97 and merchants stopped at Khūr to buy these goods and to sell requisites to the producers. Although Khūr does not lie on the present main road, it still has a market withthe Same functions.

In the principal cities, the central bazaar was the normal market place where the merchants and wholesalers met

the craftsmen and shopkeepers, and the caravansarais and the khāns or tīmchas (vaulted or open-air enclosures adjoining the bazaar) were the places where the merchants and wholesalers negotiated their deals, stored and packed their goods, and kept their books. The Ottoman Turkish traveller Evliya Efendi states that he found seventy caravansarais at Tabrīz in the occupation of merchants and wholesalers. The tīmchas resembled caravansarais, but were smaller and better equipped; some of those which survive in Isfahān from the Ṣafavid period and in Tabrīz from the early Qājār period are fine specimens of Iranian architecture. Rich merchants, however, sometimes did business in their private mansions to show off their wealth and gain prestige.

Every craftsmen's guild had connections with one or more of the caravansarais or timchas, where their representatives negotiated sales of their products or purchases of their raw materials with individual merchants or wholesalers.

The British Library in London possesses a magnificent scroll, undated but from Shah Sulayman's reigh, which gives particulars of the main caravansarais in Isfahan at that time and of the categories of merchants (tujjar va sawdagaran) and wholesalers (bunakdaran) who occupied rooms in them. Since this is the only known document giving details of the relationships between merchants and craftsmen in Safavid Iran, its contents are summarized below:

1. Karvansara-yi Anar-furushan (pomegranate sellers):
occupied by the wholesalers (<u>bunakdaran</u>) who supplied fresh
and dried fruits and different kinds of juices to the confectioners, fresh fruit sellers, dried fruit sellers (<u>khushkbar</u>
furushan), and juice sellers (<u>shīra-pazān</u>).

- 2. Karvansara-yi Shah: occupied by merchants from Tabrīz and Ardabīl, who supplied the craftsmen and shopkeepers with Tabrīzī goods such as cloths, shawls, and mats.
- 3. Karvansara-yi Lala Beg: occupied by the pearl merchants who supplied unbored pearls to the pearl-stringers (murwarid-kashan). This is a surviving and particularly well built timeha.
- 4. Karvansara-yi 'Araban: occupied by travelling merchants from Baghdad and Mesopotamia (Bayn al-Nahrayn) who during their stays sold many articles to several craft guilds, e.g. pearls, coral, carnelian ('aqīq), amber, prayer mats (jā-namāz). 103
- 5. Karvansara-yi Muhammad Beg: occupied by merchants and traders from Kirman, Mashhad, and Samarqand. The Kirmani traders (sawdagaran) supplied china vessels such as bowls, plates, coffee pots, saucers etc. to the pottery sellers and jug sellers (kuza-furūshān). The merchants from Mashhad and Samarqand offered paper, perfumes, lamb-skin cloaks, and other goods. In addition, some of the rice merchants from Gilan sold their rice in this caravansarai.
- 6. Karvansara-yi Gilaniyan. This caravansarai had formerly been occupied by the fried sheep's head sellers and had been called the Karvansara-yi Kalla-pazan, but had later been transferred to Gilani merchants who sold products of their province, not only foodstuffs such as smoked fish and rice, but also raw spun silk and thick rough silk cloth.
- 7. Karvansara-yi Qazviniyan: occupied by merchants from from Qazvin who provided the drapers with ordinary linen cloth (katan) and fine linen cloth (qasab).

- 8. Karvansara-yi Jarchi: occupied by Jewish merchants who sold to the druggists and perfumers ('attaran) different kinds of drugs and spices such as herbs, ginger, pepper, cardamom, cloves, cinnamon, coffee, and many other imported varieties.
- 9. Karvansara-yi Ardistaniyan: occupied by merchants from the small town of Ardistan east of Isfahan, who provided the tentmakers and drapers with canvas and cloths for linings and women's veils, etc.
- 10. Karvansara-yi Kashi-ha: occupied by traders and whole-salers from Qum and Kashan. The traders from Qum supplied the tentmakers and soap retailers with their goods. Some of the Kashani merchants supplied copper implements to the retail coppersmiths, others sold carpets and cloths.
- 11. Karvansara-yi Sagharakchiyan: occupied by leather merchants (possibly from Hamadan) who supplied leather and hide for the shoe-makers, saddlers, and saghari-duzan (shagreen shoemakers).
- 12. Karvansara-yi Bavanatiyan: occupied by traders (sawda-garan) from Bavanat (a long established market town in Fars) and from Shiraz, who supplied juices, flower essence and rose water, fresh and dried dates, and also bottles and vessels of various sizes and shapes, for use by confectioners and juice-makers.
- 13. Karvansara-yi Jadda. This well equipped and extremely large caravansarai was built in Shah Sulayman's reign and named in honour of his grandmother (jadda). It was managed directly by government officials. European merchants, wealthy Armenian merchants, and some of the more affluent

It was there that merchants of the <u>landara-duzan</u> and <u>landara-furushan</u> and other drapers obtained their supplies of English cloth. Part of it has survived, but is somewhat dilapidated.

14. Karvansara-yi Khurasaniyan: occupied by merchants and traders from Khurasan and Harat who offered carpets, mats (<u>gilīm</u>), Haratī hats, lambskin cloaks, and other goods from Khurasan. The hatters and cloak-makers (<u>pustīn-duzan</u>) obtained either raw materials or ready-made articles at this carayansarai.

- 15. Karvansara-yi Maqsud Beg: this well-built caravansarai was erected at the expense of Maqsud Beg, a rich self-made coppersmith, at the request of Shah 'Abbas I. In it were lodged the merchants from Lar, Hurmuz, and Bihbahan, who supplied coffee, tobacco, and different kinds of spices. It survives in good condition.
- 16. Karvansara-yi Khwansariyan: occupied by wholesalers from Khwansar (a small and beautiful town in Isfahan province) who supplied the retailers with fresh and dried fruits.
- 17. Karvansara-yi Lariyan: occupied by wealthy merchants from Lar who sold different sorts of medicines, perfumes, herbs, and spices.
- 18. Karvansara-yi Yazdiyan: occupied by cloth merchants from Yazd who sold expensive Yazdi cloths such as zarbaf (gold brocade), and fabrics for shawls (shal), kerchiefs (mindil), etc.
- 19. Karvansara-yi Kashaniyan: the rooms of this caravansarai were occupied exclusively by Kashani merchants engaged in selling velvets and other fine textiles from Kashan and carpets from the Kashan district.

- 20. Karvansara-yi Abarqu'iyan: occupied by wholesalers of pottery made in Abarqu (a town on the road from Shiraz to Yazd) who supplied the pottery sellers with many kinds of earthenware.
- 21. Karvansara-yi Nakhjavaniyan. In spite of its name (Nakhjavan being a town north of the Aras river, now in Soviet Russian territory), this caravansarai was occupied by merchants and <u>sawdagaran</u> of Mazandaran, who sold products of their province such as rice, charcoal, and wooden planks. 105

The wealthy merchants who transacted business in their own mansions had to adapt them for this purpose. The usual layout was a compound containing a family house (andaruni), a group of so-called private rooms (khalvat), and a warehouse (anbar or bar-andaz). 106 Negotiations were conducted in the khalvat. The historian Muhammad Hashim Asaf has described the grand style of business of a leading Iranian merchant in Shah Sultan Husayn's reign, Muhammad Taqi 'Abbasabadi, who held the title of tajir-bashi (chief merchant) of Isfahan. Whenever a large consignment of his goods was about to reach the city, he employed a band of musicians (nagqara-chiyan) to make the news known to the craftsmen, drapers, and shopkeepers, and in the early morning of the next day they would rush to his mansion to get the best choice because he served his customers on the basis of the order of their arrival. The craftsmen and shopkeepers of the bazaar did not always buy directly from the big merchants; they more often obtained goods from intermediary wholesalers or even peddlers. This practice probably arose from problems of distribution and of financial capacity. The quantities which shopkeepers

required or could afford to buy were usually small. A draper (bazzāz) requiring 250 yeards of cloth in ten or fifteen yard lengths would want the lengths to be in different colours so that his customers might have a choice. The transactions of craftsmen likewise were generally small, because their volume of output was limited. The wholesalers probably performed a useful function in buying large lots from the merchants and splitting them into smaller lots for sale to craftsmen, shopkeepers, and peddlers. The peddlers supplied goods to craftsmen and small shopkeepers as well as to urban and rural consumers. It is difficult, however, to form an accurate and complete picture of the relations between the merchants, wholesalers, retailers, and producers, because documentary evidence is scarce.

Josaphat Barbaro, a Venetian merchant who was in Iran during the reign of Uzun Hasan Aq Quyunlu, states that cloth merchants from different parts of Iran used to visit Yazd, where the finest fabrics were woven. They stayed in the a large caravansarai, and weavers bearing rooms lining their goods appeared in the caravansarai one hour after sunrise. The prices of the goods were then determined, and each merchant picked items of the quality and price of his choice. The seller, if satisfied, left the item in the merchant's possession without demanding immediate payment, and reappeared later in the same day when he called on the merchant and received payment. Buying and selling in this way is still practised in certain trades in Iran today, e.g. between wholesalers and retailers of charcoal, fruits, vegetables, dairy products, and flour, but with variations

in the customary terms; in some cases payment falls due on the following Thursday, in the case of the butchers of Isfahan in the evening of the same day.

Du Mans states that the craftsmen who worked in their own houses or in workshops in remote alleys and did not have retail shops in the royal bazaar generally sold their products either to wholesalers or to the members of the same craft who possessed sufficient capital to maintain stocks of goods. This arrangement was clearly necessitated by the financial weakness of most craftsmen, who needed immediate payment and could not afford to store for later sale at a better price. Sometimes a craftsman who was forced by bankruptcy or illhealth to give up his work could earn a subsistence by acting as a broker of the products of his guild. Brokers played an important part in arranging meetings and conveying information between merchants or wholesalers and craftsmen or shopkeepers. They still play such a part in the craft industries and markets of present-day Iran. The brokers (dallalan) of the Safavid period fell into distinct categories: brokers of large-scale transactions in all sorts of goods, and local specialist brokers. The large-scale brokers generally resided in the ports such as Bandar 'Abbas and Kung, and the overwhelming majority of them were Hindu Indians and Jews. They obtained information about the likely times of arrival of ships, about the ships' cargoes, and about the estimated prices and the states of supply and demand in the provincial markets. As soon as a ship arrived in the port, they transmitted the news to the merchants. Whenever a merchant lacked sufficient cash or credit, they would arrange the necessary

facilities (presumably loans) from the Indian moneylenders or other sarrafs . They made sure that they earned good profits in the form of commission for these services. Taḥvildar, writing in the Qajar period, states that at Isfahan in his time there were four kinds of brokers: 1: The cattle market brokers (dallal-i suq ud-davabb) who acted as intermediaries between buyers and sellers of cattle. 2. The fruit-market brokers. 3. The trade brokers, who arranged transactions in all kinds of goods and merchandise. 4. The opium brokers, who had come into the market recently. It is not precisely known how large-scale trading operations were carried out, but according to an unknown European traveller, all such business was arranged by brokers, who were very cunning, after they had agreed on the matter at the seller's house. 114 The local brokers were highly specialized in particular branches of business. Every guild in a particular alley (rasta) of a city's bazaar had its own broker. 115 Although there is no documentary evidence that they had any professional organization, they were subject to many regulations (as they still are today), which they probably on the whole respected. The brokers in each branch had close ties with the guild concerned and were often retired or bankrupted artisans of the same craft. In general, business depended to a great extent on personal acquaintance and trust. 116 For large and important transactions, however, documents were signed in the presence of a qazi. 117 case of ordinary transactions, if commercial documents were used, they were not necessarily signed, but the names of the parties were included in the text and affixed by seals at the foot of the page. 118

The reports which have been cited in this Chapter are fragmentary but sufficient to permit certain general conclusions. It might by supposed that the peace and stability which Iran enjoyed in the century before 1722 would have stimulated commercial and industrial growth. evidence, however, indicates that in this period, Iranian commerce underwent a change of character and direction but did not expand, and indeed began to contract, in total volume, and that the guild industries did not increase their output and did not introduce new products and techniques. This stagnation was certainly to some extent caused by the restrictiveness of the guilds and by the heavy burden of the taxes and imposts on them. Other, and probably more important, causes were inadequacy of capital investment and of enterprise and organization. A class of bourgeois entrepreneurs did not arise from the ranks of the merchants or of the shopkeepers and craftsmen during this period when such a class was arising in Western Europe. Although it does not necessarily follow that such a class would have arisen in Iran if the Safavid state had pursued different policies, it is evident that Iranian private investment and enterprise were impeded by the Safavid policies, which are recapitulated below:

- 1) The royal monopolization or semi-monopolization of important contemporary sources of national wealth such as silk.
- 2) The grant of discriminatory commercial privileges, particularly to the English and Dutch East India Companies and to the Armenian merchants of New Julfa.
- 3) The maintenance of royal workshops and commercial agencies, which supported a dependent royal trading community but deprived the Iranian private sector of good sale outlets

and also of good artisans.

- 4) The use of the profits of the royal monopolies and establishments for unproductive hoarding of gold and silver.
- 5) The failure to issue adequate quantities of coins of good standard, and the issue of debased coins, in the last half-century of the period.
- 6) The imposition of regular taxes which were high in relation to the monetary circulation and of occasional imposts which were harmful to business confidence.
- 7) The unawareness of the need for better laws and practices in the field of commercial credit, which consequently fell into the hands of usurers such as the Banyans.
- 8) The generally unhelpful or hostile official attitude towards native merchants, which caused potentially successful entrepreneurs to conceal their wealth or invest it in real estate rather than in commerce and industry. The Fryer was probably right when he wrote that the growth of the King's treasuries diverted wealth from circulation and made the people uninterested in commerce.

Notes 8.

- 1. Iskandar Beg Munshi, 2, p.1104.
- 2. Olearius, pp.229-230; Tavernier, p.43; A. Sherley, pp.118-119.
- 3. Thevenot, p.77.
- 4. Iskandar Beg Munshi, 2, p.1104; Āṣaf, p.94; Naṣrābadi, passim.
- 5. Mu'in, 2, p.1947; Floor, The merchants (tujiar) in Qajar Iran, in Z.D.M.G., Band 126, pp.101-135.
- 6. A. Edwards, pp.136-137.
- 7. A. Jenkinson, in <u>Purchas</u>, 12, pp.8, 24-25.
- 8. Lippomano, in English H.R., 7, pp.316-319.
- 9. Mun, pp.10-11; R. Davis, English imports from the Middle East, in M.A. Cook ed., Studies in the economic history of the Middle East, pp.193-206. For English trade in the 16th century J. Bent, The English in Levant. English H.R., 5, 1890, pp.654-664. For the trade of Bursa, Halil Inalcik, Bursa and the commerce of the Levant, in J.E.S.H.O. 3, pp.131-147. For the trade of the Dutch and the privileges granted to them by Safavid royal decrees, M.A.P. Roelofz, The earliest relations between Persia and the Netherlands, in Persica 6, pp.1-50; K.U. Chaudhuri, pp.303-306.
- 10. L.R., 3, 177-178; Bruce, 2, p.579; Le Brun, p.313 (Le Brun states that Dutch annual imports into Iran in 1706-1707 included no less than 1200 chests of sugar, each of 150 pounds weight); Du Mans, p.181; Ogilby, p.64.
- 11. Chardin, 7, pp.36ff; L.R. 5, p.281; M.J. Salbancke, in Purchas, 3, p.86; R. Davis, Aleppo and Devonshire Square, pp.100-101; I.O., G/29/14, folio 32-33; Phillips from Isfahan to the East India Company (1721), in R.W. Ferrier, An English view of Persian trade, in J.E.S.H.O., 19, p.197; R. Fisher, The Russian trade, pp.214-217.
- 12. Lockyer, pp.244-245; Fryer, 2, pp.163-164; A. Raynal, 1, pp.183-184; Glamann, pp.78ff; W.C. Palmer, pp.421ff. Edward Connock, the English agent who arrived in Isfahan in March 1617, asked for the admission of 1000 pieces broadcloth and 200 kerseys annually together with 600 tons of tin (L.R., 5, p.281). In 1705, the English East India Company was only able to sell 4800 pieces of cloth annually in Isfahān (Bruce, 3, p.600). In 1695-1696, the Dutch obtained new privileges to import goods into Isfahān, duty free, to the amount of 20,000 tūmāns per annum (Bruce, 3, p.198).
 - 13. Palmer, pp.42 ff.
 - 14. T.M., p.26.

- 15. L.R., 5 (1617), pp.283-290.
- Falsafi, 3, p.157: Roelofz states that "Shah 'Abbas I, in order to promote his silk monopolistic policy, in 1007/1599 declared Gīlān province to be crown land (khāṣṣa). The farmers in the silk producing areas were compelled to deliver their silk to the representatives of the Shah. The price was fixed before the harvest. This was the source of the Shah's silk. Sometimes the silk producers sold their production at a better price to the private merchants, whose prices were lower than the cost of Shāh's silk, but it was done secretly and rarely. If transactions of this sort were discovered, the silk would be confiscated. The silk was weighed in the presence of a qazt. According to the contracts, the silk was transported in the company of an Armenian who knew about the silk business." Roelofz, Visnich to directors, 17 August 1629, in Persica, 6, pp.39-40; Tavernier, pp.58-59; Farman of Shah 'Abbas I dated Jumadī al-Avval 1033/1624, B.L., Harkian's Collection, no.109.
- 17. Iskandar Munshi, 1, p.747; Chardin (English edition) p.348; R. W. Olson, The sixteen century "Price Revolution" and its effects on the Ottoman-Safavid relations, in Acta Orientalia, 37, pp.53-55.
- 18. Salbancke, 3, p.8; <u>L.R.</u>, 3 (1615) pp.177-178; Mandelslo, pp.10-11.
- 19. Fumani, pp.264-265; Zayl-i 'Ālam-ārā, p.13; Roelofz, in Persica, 6, p.39.
- 20. Steensgaard, p. 389; D. W. Davis, A primer of Dutch seventeenth century overseas trade, p. 121.
- 21. Hedges, 1, p.210.
- 22, Fryer, 2, p.242; Bruce, 2 (dated 1682-1683), p.479; R. H. Fisher, pp.214-217; Gemelli, pp.114-117; Salbancke, op.cit., p.86, states that the royal bazaar of Isfahan was regarded as an exhibition for all kinds of European goods; Olearius, p.299, states that "there is nothing, though even so rare in the world, which is not here in Isfahan at a very reasonable rate."
- B.L., no.4096; Hedges, 1, p.210; Tavernier, pp.43-44; Gemelli, p.133.
- 24. Fryer (1684 edition), p.264.
- 25. Chardin, 3, p.376, and 7, pp.364-368; T.M., p.486.
- 26. Evliya, 2, p.144; Ogilby, p.16.

- 27. Tavernier, pp.42-43 states that the haberdashers' shops of the Maydan-i Shah were crammed with goods and luxuries from Venice and Nuremberg.
- 28. Iskandar Munshi, 2, p.1104; Kayani, The Iranian caravansarais during the Safavid period, passim; Emerson, pp.211-221.
- 29. Kaempfer, pp.92-93; Bruce, 2, (dated 1669-1670), p.267; A. Carre, 3, p.834; Tavernier, p.334, states that the merchants not only paid 16 per cent custom duty to the Shāh's treasury, but also had to pay 2% to the shāh-bandars if they wanted to get away from the hot weather of Bandar 'Abbās.
- 30. Iskandar Munshī, 2, p.1104; D. W. Davis, op.cit., p.98; Roelofz, in Persica, 6, pp.18-19.
- 31. Emerson, p.273.
- 132. L.R., 5 (March 1616) p.150 H. Inalcik in his article Harīr in E.I., 2nd ed., vol.3, pp.212-213, states that from the Ilkhānid period onward the silk production of Shīrvān and Gīlān was collected at Sultānīya and sent via Erzurūm into Ottoman territory. In the reign of Uzun Ḥasan, the silk was collected at Tabrīz, which was his capital, and transported into Ottoman territory by way of either Erzurūm or Bidlīs and Diyārbakr. An important part of the Ottoman silk manufacture was based on the imported Persian silk, which brought a large revenue to the Ottoman treasury. See also Olson, Price Revolution in Acta Orientalia, 37, pp.52-53; Clavijo, p.93; Sharaf Khān Bidlīsī, 1, pp.257-258.
- 33. L.R., 5 (Edward Connock, May 1617), p.244.
- 34. <u>I.O.</u>, E/317/815 (Factor in Isfahan, 16th Oct. 1619) in R.W.Ferrier, in <u>J.E.S.H.O.</u>, 19, p.265.
- 35. H. Dunlop, Bronnen tot de Geschiedenis der Oostindische Compagnie in Perzie (Sources for the history of the East India Company in Persia), in Roelofz, Persica, 6, pp.39-40.
- 36. Apart from the silk trade, this route had a wider importance for the Iranian merchants. They attempted to revive it, not only for silk, but also for other Iranian agricultural and industrial products such as musk, rhubarb, broadcloths, velvets, and especially gold and silver coins. See A.E.Lybyer, The Ottoman Turks and the routes of Orient trade in English H.R., 30, pp.577-588.
- 37. Tavernier, p. 244;

- W.C. Palmer, pp.298-
- 38. Olearius, p.324; Foster, The commencement of the East India's Company's trade with Persia, p.16.

- 39. Pinkerton's Collection, 9, p.210; Chardin, 4, p.158.
- 40. Inalcik, in M. A. Cook ed., Studies in the economic history of the Middle East, pp.207-219; Inalcik, Bursa and the commerce of Levant in J.E.S.H.O. 3, pp.131-147.
- 41. Bruce, 2 (dated 1707), p.600 and 3, pp.168-169, 243;
 Raynal, 1, p.864;
 Glamann, p.22.
- 42. Tavernier, p.97; Gilanentz, pp.17-18.
- 43. Thevenot, p.111; Chardin, 7, p.364.
- 44. T.M., p.14; Steensgaard, pp.377-379.
- 45. Olearius, p.366; Carmelites, 1, p.159.
- 46. R. W. Ferrier, An English view of Persian trade, in J.E.S.H.O., 19, p.194.
- 47. Fumani, pp.264-265; E. B. Sainsbury, pp.214,225-226, 234-237; Falsafi, 4, p.176.
- 48. Carmelites, 1, p.48.
- 49. Fryer, 3, p.25.
- 50. L.R., 5 (June 1617, from Isfahan to London), p.288.
- 51. Herbert, pp.225-229.
- 52. I.O., Original Correspondents, in W. Palmer, p.78.
- 53. Zayl-i 'Alam-ara, pp.13-15.
- 54. Steensgaard, p.272.
- 55. Emerson, p.272.
- 56. For further information, see 'Abd ul-Ḥusayn Sipanta, passim; Zarrābi, Khulāṣa-yi vaqf-nāma-yi Masjid-iMIr 'Imad, pp. 508 ff.
- 57. Chardin, 3, pp.430-432.
- 58. Tavernier, p.66; <u>Farman</u> of Shah 'Abbas I dated 1028/1618 in the Vank Armenian Church at Julfa, Işfahan.
- 59. Carmelites, 1, p.207.
- 60. Krusinski, 2, pp.34-40. Krusinski heard this report from some people in Isfahān.
- 61. L.R., 5 (June 1617), p.216.
- 62. Bruce, 2, p.618; Lockyer, p.216.
- 63. Le Brun, p.323; Krusinski, 2, pp.41-42; Āṣaf, p.97.

- 64. Du Mans, p.181; Herbert, p.48; Chardin, 3, p.276; Le Brun, p.253; Glamann, pp.158-160, 173.
- 65. Herbert, p.48.
- 66. Chardin, 9, p.508.
- 67. Chardin, 7, p.418.
- 68. Thevenot, p.3.
- 69. Du Mans, pp.192-193.
- 70. Chardin, 4, p.64; Thevenot, p.111.
- 71. Tavernier, pp.331ff.
- 72. J. Schacht, article <u>Bay'</u>, in <u>E.I.</u> 2nd ed., 1, pp.1111-1113; Ghazzālī, pp.125- 160.
- 73. Tavernier, p.339.
- 74. Tavernier, pp.159-160.
- 75. Thevenot, p.111.
- 76. Chardin, 4, p.64; T.M., pr19.
- 77. Chardin, 7, p.364.
- 78. Tavernier, pp.159-160.
- 79. Cartwright, The preacher's travels, in Osborne's Collection, 1, p.730.
- 80. Tavernier, p. 338.
- 81. Fryer, 3, pp.109-110.
- 82. Tavernier, pp.159-160.
- 83. Gilanentz, p.36.
- 84. A. K. S. Lambton, Aspects of agricultural organization and agrarian history in Persia, pp.160-188.
- 85. Olearius, p.303.
- 86. Vali-Quli Shamlu, p.25.
- 87. della Valle, 1, p.567.

 The poet Mullā 'Asrī, a Tabrīzī gold thread drawer (zar-kash) who had his shop in the 'Abbasabad quarter, expressed in these verses his grievance when the deputy minister of finance (nā'Ib uṣ-ṣadāra, the deputy of the ṣadr-i khāṣṣa) cut off the pension of the Tabrīzī merchants:

Az dawlat-i Shah Abu Turab-i Qazi, an baḥr-i 'ulum, mustaqbal-i mā rashk barad bar mazī, az ṭāl'at-i shum.

Har sal sarī u bīst man gandum būd, az dawlat-i Shah Şad sar shuda imsal bi yik man razī, an ham ma'dum.

Thanks to the judge Shāh Abū Turāb, that great man of learning, our future envies the past, because an evil omen has appeared.

Twenty maunds of wheat every year used to be our allotment per head, thanks to the Shāh.

This year a hundred heads had to be content with one

This year a hundred heads had to be content with on maund, and (now) that has disappeared too.

- 88. See chapter 3, Muhtasib and Malik ut-tujjar, and Chapter 4,
 The guild tax.
- 89. Naṣrābadī, pp.132, 135, 137, 149, 376-377.
- 90. Salisbury, pp.232-234.
- 91. Bruce, 2, p.618.
- 92. T.M., pp.23-24.
- 93. Khan Shaf'at, p.251.
- 94. Sanson, pp.12-13.
- 95. T.M., p.130.
- 96. Krusinski, 1, pp.116-119.
- 97. R. Steel and J. Crowther, in Purchas, 4, pp.270ff.
- 98. Chardin, 2, pp.146-147; J. Hanway, 1, p.248.
- 99. Evliya, 2, pp.136.
- 100. Kayani, The Iranian caravansarai.
- 101. Āsaf, p.96. In Isfahān there is a surviving merchant's house and compound of this type belonging to a very old family of haberdashers called the Khāna-yi Kharrāzī (haberdashery house).
- 102. Scroll, B.L., 4096.
- 103. Tavernier, p.336, mentions that when he was traveling towards Baghdad, he was accompanied by some Arab merchants.
- 104. B.L., 4096.
- 105. Chardin, 7, p.326.
- 106. Aşaf, p.96.
- 107. Hanway, 1, p.248; Pinkerton's Collection, 9, p.206.

- 108. D. W. Davis, p.121.
 - 109. Barbaro, pp.73-74.
 - 110. Du Mans, pp.180-181.
 - 111. Du Mans, pp.194-195.
 - 112. A. Carré, 3, pp.835-836.
 - 113. Tahvildar, pp.116-117.
 - 114. Pinkerton's Collection, 9, pp.206-207.
 - 115. Inscription dated 1035/1626 in the Masjid-i Shah at Isfahan.
 - 116. Du Mans, pp.194-195.
 - 117. Chardin, 4, pp.146-148.
 - 118. Tavernier, p.103; Chardin, 4, 170.
 - 119. Olearius, p.366; Carmelites, 1, P. 159.
 - 120° Fryer, 3, P. 25ff.

CONCLUSION

Under the Safavid régime in the 17th and 18th centuries, the people of Iran enjoyed the benefits of unprecedented political unity and stability. Shāh Ismā'īl I acquired political control of nearly all the provinces which traditionally constituted Iran, and his successors were generally able to defend the territory against external aggression and to maintain internal order. The spread and popularity of Shī'ism in most of the territory after its official establishment by Ismā'īl I also led, on the ideological plane, to greater national unity.

As in the other great empires of those centuries, the régime in Iran pursued policies which were intended to increase the power and wealth of the central government and to eliminate or suppress dissent. These policies in fact had the long-term effect of weakening the economy and provoking opposition, and were among the factors which contributed to the Safavid régime's sudden fall.

To a large extent the Safavid régime was able to eliminate local or tribal potentates in the provinces and to replace them with its own bureaucracy. The establishment of security in the provinces and along the roads created a favourable environment for trade, which was further promoted by 'Abbas I's encouragement of the building of costly bridges, caravansarais, bazaars, etc. Factors such as currency stability and favourable price conjunctures probably also contributed to the relative prosperity of his reign. It was a period of expansion in internal trade, in the craft industries, and also in external trade. This expansion stimulated urban growth and led to increases

in the populations of the chief cities.

On the other hand, no significant improvements in the technique and organization of agricultural and industrial production were achieved. The peasants and nomads continued to practise traditional methods and to live hard lives under the domination of landowners and khans. The artisans continued to use slow manual processes, and the merchants and traders neither attempted nor were able to develop new corporate systems appropriate for business on a large scale. It is clear that the prosperity of Iran in the reigns of Shan 'Abbas I, Shan Safī, and Shah 'Abbas II was limited to the cities and did not extend either to the countryside or to the mass of the urban workers. This prosperity disappeared in the course of the reigns of Shah Sulayman and Shah Sultan Husayn, when the Iranian economy went into a progressive decline.

In the present study, an attempt has been made to collect and analyse data on the position of one of the main component groups of Iranian society in the 17th and 18th centuries, namely the artisans' and traders' guilds of the urban bazaars. In spite of much searching, the subject remains ill documented. Interpretation of the scanty data can therefore only be tentative.

The method of comparison with European guilds has not been considered appropriate, because the Iranian and European guilds did not operate under similar conditions. Not only the religious and cultural heritages, but also the social and economic environments, were fundamentally different. The rise of the European guilds to powerful positions in municipal

life coincided with the growth of the importance of merchant capital in European national and international life. In Iran merchant capital did not begin to acquire comparable importance until the second half of the 19th century; it played no part in the country's socio-political evolution in the 17th and 18th centuries.

The policies of the Safavid regime gave rise to significant, but not basic, changes in the traditional class structure of Iranian society. This consisted of the ruling class or elite (khavass), comprising the Shah and his courtiers and relatives, the top ranks of the armed forces, of the bureaucracy, and of the Shi'ite Islamic clergy, and the more powerful landowners and khans and surviving semi-autonomous local governors. The remainder or "generality" ('avamm) of the people, who were the ruled class, worked in various activities and obtained different levels of income, but had no share of political power. The Safavid regime strengthened and enlarged both the bureaucracy and the clergy, with the result that officials and 'ulama came to form a bigger proportion of the ruling class. This made it easier for individuals from the ruled class, especially literate sons of bazaar artisans or traders, to rise through the ranks of the bureaucracy or the clergy into the ruling class. The Armenians of New Julfa may be said to have become part of the ruling class in so far as they served the government as managers of its monopolies. With few exceptions, members of the ruling class owed their position to the Shah's favour rather than to their own strength. They could not always be certain of the Shah's favour, and they were often divided by factional or personal rivalries which made their positions unstable. Even so, the

ruling class kept its hand on the strings of political and economic power.

The ruled class (ra'aya) consisted of two main categories, which in the terminology of today may be called middle class and lower class. The middle class category comprised the possessors of some measure of financial independence and the possessors of literacy, numeracy, and other superior skills: i.e. the majority of the officials or officers and clerks in the service of the central and provincial governments (ahl-i dīvan), the majority of the clergy and clerical teachers and lawyers (ahl-i 'ilm), the indigenous merchants, and the artisans and shopkeepers who were members of guilds and masters of their own businesses in the bazaars (ahl-i bazar). The lower class category comprised peasants working on estates owned by landlords, tribal nomads, and urban workers possessing no capital and no skills or only inferior skills.

The distinction between the classes and categories became somewhat blurred in the Safavid period, probably because the demand for literate and numerate officials and 'ulama was increasing. There were overlaps between the ahl-i divan, ahl-i 'ilm, and ahl-i bazar. Skilled artisans or their sons were frequently given employment in the royal workshops or in government departments. Traditionally the ahl-i divan served the ruling class, while the ahl-i 'ilm had economic and family ties as well as spiritual links with the ahl-i bazar. Among the ahl-i bazar, merchants who traded directly with India and other foreign countries were wealthier than those engaged in internal trade, while the money-changers, goldsmiths and jewellers at bazaar entrances (qayṣariyas) were

wealthier than other shopkeepers and artisans. The difference of wealth and interest between the various groups in the bazaars, and their links with the bureaucracy and clergy, may be among the reasons why the ahl-i bazar did not develop into a national bourgeoisie and why they seldom acted in concert. From time to time, however, they joined in common protests against governmental restrictions and impositions, and against oppressive conduct by officials. After the fall of the Safavids, the ahl-i bazar gained greater independence, until in the late Qajar period the bazaars became centres of political opposition and upheaval.

The lower ranks of the urban working people either belonged to loosely organized associations or guilds which were generally controlled by government officials, or else pursued their activities without the protection of any collective body. Many poor city dwellers retained links with villages and with agriculture. All considered, the social and political significance of this class in Safavid Iran was remarkably small.

The fiscal policies of the Safavid state, like those of other contemporary states, were not intended to promote economic growth or social welfare, but to provide for the government's financial needs. Apart from the extravagance of the royal court, it seems probable that these needs increased from the time of 'Abbas I onward because the process of centralization and bureaucratization was expensive. Moreover, in Iran as elsewhere at that time, it was thought desirable that the state's accounts should show a surplus and that the surplus should be accumulated in treasure hoards.

The reasons for the decay of the Iranian economy under Shah Sulayman and Shah Sultan Husayn are fairly clear, although the relative importance of the various factors cannot be assessed. In such an autocratic régime, the ineptitude of the reigning monarchs was of course a major factor. Among the other factors were falls in the relative value of silk and other Iranian exports, foreign competition and rising imports, technical and organizational stagnancy, renewed insecurity on roads and sea routes, burdensome taxation, and governmental restrictions and monopolies. The lastmentioned factors stemmed from the fiscal policies initiated by 'Abbas I and maintained without significant change by his successors. It seems justifiable to conclude that, even if all the other factors had been favourable, the restrictions imposed by the Safavid regime would have prevented the evolution of the indigenous merchants and the ahl-i bazar into an economically enterprising and politically influential national bourgeoisie.

V. Minorsky (T.M.p.19), in his observations on the fall of the Safavids, has written: "Neither is much known about the town bourgeoisie. The Shah was the largest capitalist. The merchants traded exclusively for cash, but money was short." While the purpose of 'Abbas I's intervention in the silk trade was to raise money for the government and to switch the export trade away from Ottoman Turkey, this action at the same time deprived the indigenous merchants of important business by concentrating the trade in the hands of the foreign East India companies and the government's Armenian agents. Later the Kirman wool trade also fell into the hands

of the foreign companies. Indigenous merchants consequently lost opportunities to accumulate capital and marketing experience. The exportation of silk and wool in the raw state had the further effect of depressing the indigenous handweaving industries. The government's transactions were not always settled for cash, as probably more than half were barter deals in which silk and wool were exchanged for European manufactured goods. The privileged importation of European luxuries and broadcloths and Indian cotton textiles similarly curbed or set back the indigenous handicraft industries. Contemporary European observers likened Iran to a caravansarai in which the wealth entered through one door and left through the other. It can be concluded from the available evidence that the balance of the trade with Europe and India became increasingly unfavourable for Iran and that the profits of the trade were not invested in Iranian industry and commerce, but went to European companies, Armenian and other middlemen, and Indian coin exporters, and into royal treasure hoards and official pockets.

The evolution of the guilds under the Safavid regime, in so far as it can be traced, shows trends typical of the evolution of contemporary Iranian society as a whole. Their numbers and memberships grew when the economy expanded, and their internal arrangements probably became more institutionalized, but their basic aims and functions underwent no change. At the same time they were subjected to increasingly strict governmental supervision. The government used the guilds as agencies for tax collection, price fixing, and performance of municipal functions, and supervised them

through the appointment of guild officers (<u>bashis</u> and <u>kalantars</u>) responsible to itself rather than to the members. The construction of royal bazaars to accommodate the more important guilds was another instrument of state control.

Nevertheless, the guilds in the Safavid period retained the essential character which Iranian guilds had possessed throughout their history, namely their spontaneity. They were formed from below by the members, not from above by the government. In regard to the assessment of guild tax (bunicha) and fixing of prices, they were to some extent able to negotiate and bargain, and in cases of official injustice and oppression they were able to make effective protests, usually with the support of independently minded 'ulama. In extreme cases, they could use the weapon of a bazaar closure, equivalent to a strike, though in the Safavid period they did this very seldom.

The internal affairs of the guilds were managed by bashis (chiefs) and kadkhudas (headmen). The bashi was chosen from among the most highly skilled members of a guild, but was appointed by the government and was therefore considered by the members to be on the side of the government. The kadkhuda, whom the members regarded as their own representative, enjoyed much greater respect and made every effort to defend his guild's interests.

The importance of a guild depended on the nature of its trade or craft and on the number of its master craftsmen (ustadan). The masters formed the backbone of every guild. The number of the masters in a guild was one of the main criteria in the assessment of its guild tax.

It seems that the internal regulations of the guilds in the Safavid period were not recorded in writing; in any case, none have survived. It can be taken for certain that such regulations existed and were strictly observed. tionally they have always been held in high respect. questionable feature of the guilds in this period was their practice of limiting the number of shops and therefore the number of master craftsmen allowed to do business in the particular guild's rasta of the bazaar. This was made possible by the guild's collective responsibility for the apportionment of the bunicha, which was not only a liability for payment of a tax (or in the case of a royal bazaar, tax and rent), but also a privilege to operate a shop and a trade. Through payment of the bunicha, a shopkeeper not only secured his own position, but was usually able to transmit his shop and his business to his heir. Although there is some evidence that when trade was expanding, guilds were willing to increase the number of their shops and masters, the general effect of this practice was to restrict guild membership and make it hereditary.

A characteristic of the Iranian guilds which was noted in the Safavid period and continued to be prominent in the Qajar period was their role in social and religious life. According to the long established tradition of the guilds, a craftsman or shopkeeper must first and foremost be a faithful Muslim. His behaviour should be modelled on that of 'Alī ibn Abī Tālib, whose virtues of honesty, generosity, and courage are summarized in the word javanmardī (Arabic futuvat; literally youthfulness). For this and other reasons,

the guilds maintained close links with the Islamic clergy and held their own private religious ceremonies. They also played prominent parts in public celebrations and festivities, generally of a religious or semi-religious nature. The bazaars were not only centres of business and handicrafts, but also centres of social intercourse. They contained mosques, takyas, schools, caravansarais, guild guesthouses, char-sugs, and coffeehouses as well as shops and warehouses. The char-sugs (cross-roads) and coffeehouses were favorite meeting places. On the anniversaries of the Imam Husayn's martyrdom, large crowds came to the commemoration ceremonies in the takyas (special assembly halls). The customary illumination of the bazaar on religious and national festive occasions also attracted many visitors. The bazaar was the place where people exchanged gossip and obtained news. did not have political repercussions in the Safavid period, but was to do so in the Qajar period.

In the decades of war and disorder which followed the Safavid collapse, the economy of Iran suffered immense damage, but the restrictive and monopolistic policies of the Safavids ended with them. When the economy began to revive in the Qajar period, the people of the bazaars enjoyed greater freedom and acquired greater social and political influence. In the protest against the tobacco monopoly in 1891-1892 and in the struggle for constitutional government in 1906, they played the decisive role. Under the two Pahlavi Shahs, the importance of the bazaars and the guilds was reduced by various factors such as the growth of modern factory industries which replaced handicraft industries, the spread of

modern shops in the boulevards and suburbs, and the imposition of governmental controls. In the years 1975-1978 the government unsuccessfully attempted to check inflation by fixing prices and to use the surviving guilds as price control agencies. The people of the bazaars generally supported the Islamic revolution of 1979, and in spite of their reduced economic and social importance, their support saems to have contributed a good deal to its victory.

Appendix 1.

Celebration of the 'Id-i Qurban

Hereunder is a literal translation of Taḥvīldār's description of the ceremonial slaughter of a camel on the 'Id-i Qurbān as it was performed at Isfahān in Qājār times. In this ceremony the craft guilds played an important part.

Taḥvīldār states that it followed exactly the same procedure as in Şafavid times.

"(As for) the categories of workers having a share in the sacrificial camel, it is not known whether there was a procedure for this in earlier times. The rules and regulations which have survived up to now have a Safavid basis. According to the ancient decree, all the workers and participants get a share in the proceeds, having their status and function in regard to the said camel by right and entitlement inherited from generation to generation.

"At Isfahan this ceremony has peculiar features. From the first day of Zul-Hijja to the eve of the 'Id-i Azha, the participants march in procession with the camel around the houses of the quarters. (They consist of) two knife bearers, each with three ancient, precious, and strangely shaped steel knives, together with the hone and other tools and gear; two axe-bearers, each with an embossed steel axe; one lance-bearer; two persons bearing cleavers, two bearing iron staffs (baydaq); one bearing a basin with a large lid, embossed inside and out with Qur'anic verses and charms, in which the proceeds of the appeal for charity and alms are collected every day (on the tenth day the proceeds are

divided according to the traditional apportionment of the bunicha among all the members); an orator who goes to every house delivering a sermon in the name of padishah-i zaman and a fatiha for the householder's deceased relatives; a bearer of the camel's halter, to whom the trappings of the sacrificial beast are entrusted for ten days and who leads the camel around; a band leader together with dancers, drummers, trumpeters, and blowers of (other) wind instruments, each (present) in (large) numbers. It is a strange but widely known fact that all of these workers swear oaths of honesty on this occasion, because differences have repeatedly arisen between the professions inheriting the foregoing tasks. Some who really lacked any title fraudulently and deceitfully seized the tools of the knife-bearers and others who had a good claim to possession by law or custom. They did not see the year out, and their families were ruined, as the title of the rightful owners was again confirmed. The sharers in the camel, in detail, are those who according to the ancient decree are entitled to parts of the body of the sacrificial Each piece is the right of the leader of a group, and the possessor of such a piece is called a muchadar. Every muchadar has a group and (performs certain) ceremonial functions. Half of the muchadars are of the Haydari faction (Haydari-khana) and the other half are Ni'mati. These two factions are always in dispute and rivalry with each other. Extraordinary turmoil and remarkable uproar occur when the . groups march in the procession. The parts of the camel are divided into six, and likewise the groups of the muchadars, who are, in detail, two groups from the Haydari faction, two

from the Ni mati, and two from two large villages close to the city, each affiliated to one of the two factions. group, as it sets out, challenges the other by assembling a great abundance of trappings and a large retinue in rank and order. Each numbers more than a thousand. In the van are the ruffians of the mob. Then come the riders, accoutred and armed, on camelback. They are followed by the lancebearers of the opposing army and the actors of the religious tragedy (shabih-khwani) in black garments representing the captives of Karbala with golden camel litters and scenes of the bazaar of Sham, i.e. Damascus. After these, the Mir Hajj, splendidly and gloriously equipped, rides at the head of many bands of horns and trumpets, cymbals and brass instruments, all on camelback with their retinues of stave-bearers on foot and armed riders bearing flags. Then come files of camels ridden by bareheaded, shawled pilgrims in white (ihram), and spare mounts and pack animals with their drivers in front of them. After these come the possessors of the muchas, each in special dress with his servant and butler $(\underline{\overline{a}bdar})$ and a group of mob ruffians with shields and daggers on foot, marching on the right and the left. The groups from the villages also, each consisting of three or four thousand people, with musical instruments, horns, trumpets and cymbals and with huge banners and lofty emblems swarm (through the city) and join forces with the Haydari and Ni'mati factions. On the day of 'Id-i Qurban, following the same pattern every year, each faction sets out from its own place passing through the quarters and bazaars until it enters the Maydan-i Naqsh-i Jahan. At the gate of 'Ali Qapu, a khatib delivers an oration.

Group by group, passing before the governor of the province who is enthroned above on the balcony of the 'Ali Qapu, they proceed by way of the Char Bagh of Shah 'Abbas. From the deputy governor, seated on a marble bench before the porch of the Madrasa of the (Mother of the) Shah, they receive confectionery and bounty. Then by the Char Bagh and the Pul-i Sī u Sih Chashma, they cross the river and proceed down the river bank until they reach the Musalla and the slaughtering place of the camel. All those concerned with the camel, such as the knife bearers and others, each with a different ceremonial befitting the circumstances, approaches the camel in the presence of the muchadaran. The spectators from Isfahan, who in these years are fewer, but in former years were more than fifty thousand souls, follow the camel along the Char Bagh-i Sadr and into the Musalla. After the camel's meat is divided, they return in groups and parties by the Hasanabad-i Khwaju bridge and the Char Bagh-i Sadr and so back into the Maydan-Naqsh-i Jahan, whence they disperse to their own quarters. Every year the 'Id-i Qurban involves great turmoil through the assembly of these louts and followers of Dajjal. On the one hand the dignitaries and authorities protect and accompany the factions; on the other hand the darugha of Isfahan guards the vulnerable points of the city with three hundred infantrymen, and the governor's cavalry and soldiers protect the quarters of the city. When the factions come and go (through the streets), when they encounter each other at intersections, and when the meat is divided, disturbances generally occur and ruffians make themselves conspicuous. For some years (now), by order of

the government, the commotion and disturbance have on the whole been reduced and their excessive uproar has been abated. Before this, there was never a year in which forty or fifty persons did not receive injuries and at least three or four persons were not killed."

APPENDIX 2

Selected <u>shahr-ashub</u> verses from the <u>Divan-i Rizvan</u> of Mirza Tahir Vahid (Central Library of the University of Tehran, ms. no.4344 (Catalogue, vol.13, p.3300).

Şifat-i simsar

Na-danam zi simsar yak kas fuzun, ki uftada dar fann-i khud zu-funun.

Chu jushan 'asal bashadash kar-u-bar, zi yak chashma jushida sad chishma kar.

The Second-hand Dealer

I have met nobody more skilled than the second-hand dealer, nobody so well versed in the arts of his business.

His business bubbles like springs of honey; each spring is the source of hundreds of deals.

Şifat-i khayyat

Chi guyam zi khayyat chasban-qaba? Mara dukht bar khak chun naqsh-i pa.

Buvad dar dilam az nigahash rusum, kashidand khayyat guya bi-mum.

Zi hammam darad dukanash nishan, ki bashand Gabr u Musalman dar an.

The Tailor

What shall I say about the tailor who makes such closefitting robes? I am stamped by the garment he sewed, just as the ground is stamped by a foot-step.

His glance leaves imprints on my heart, in the same way that tailors draw threads through wax.

His shop has the semblance of a public bath, since there are Zoroastrian as well as Muslim customers in it.

(The word Gabr [Zoroastrian] was also used to mean lax, e.g. wine-drinking, Muslims).

Şifat-i baqqal

Chi guyam zi baqqal-i sahib jamal, az an khatt-i sabz u az an rang-i al?

Asiran bar atrafash az shahr u dih, namad-push az gard-i kulfat chu bih.

The Grocer

What shall I say about the handsome grocer with that youthful down on his cheek and that plum-like complexion?

He is surrounded by captives from the city and the villages, whose felt-like figures resemble quinces, since they have a thick nap of dust from the fatigue (of their journeys to his shop).

Sifat-i qannad

Chi guyam zi qannad u an nush-khand ki shud maghzam az yad-i u kalla-qand?

Az an shikkarin khanda bar ru-yi man chu halva-yi pashmak buvad mu-yi man.

The Confectioner

What shall I say about the confectioner and his sweet smile, which turns my brain into a sugar loaf when I think of it?

His sugary smile, when he looks me in the face, turns my hair into candy floss.

Şifat-i pustin-duz

Shud az pustīn-duz dardam davā chi gham az zimistān-i pīrī marā.

Gar uftad bi-sangam dar an kuy rah, kunam narmash az puf-nam-i ashk u ah.

The Furrier

My illness was cured by the furrier, and the sorrow was removed from the winter of my old age.

If my way to that street is stony,
I shall smooth it with the moisture of my tears and sighs
(as the furrier smoothes his furs).

Sifat-i javahirī

Chi guyad kasi az javahir-furush, ki yari na-mi-ayad az 'aql u hush?

Tanash sīm u lab la'l u dandan guhar, bi īn ganj az jān ghanī shud bashar.

The Jeweller

What is to be said about the jeweller, when reason and wit give me no help?

His figure, handsome as the silvery moon, his ruby lips, and his jewel-like teeth, are treasures which enrich the souls of human beings.

Şifat-i 'attar

Zi 'attar girand mardum dava, valīkan mara hast dardī juda.

Shifa yabad az khu-yi tundash 'alīl, ki parvarda dar shahdash īn angabīn.

The Druggist

The people get medicine from the druggist, but my affliction is different.

His sharp temper cures the patients, because he sweetens the pill with this honey.

Şifat-i tirgar

Dilam-ra hadaf kard ta tirgar, nafas hamchu tiram khurad bar jigar,

Labash hamchu tir ast khandan mudam, vali ghayr khun-rizi-ash nist kar.

The Arrowsmith

As soon as the arrowsmith aims at my heart, a gasp strikes my bosom like an arrow.

His constant smile resembles an arrow notch, but his work only sheds blood and causes tears.

Sifat-i kamangar

Kamangar ki janam shud u-ra nishan, sitam mi-kashad dil az u chun kaman.

Mara chun kaman sakht az ham du ta, na-danam sar-i khwishtan-ra zi pa.

The Bowmaker

The bowmaker has made my soul his target, like a bowstring, my heart suffers the strain of his tyranny.

He has bent me like a bow; I cannot tell my head from my foot.

Şifat-i kafshgar

Magu kafsh-duzam bi kar-i farang, kaz u khana bar man buvad kafsh-i tang.

Na-ranjam zi u gar buridast dust, ki burridan u dukhtan kar-i ust.

The Shoemaker

Do not mention the European-style shoemaker, who makes my home uncomfortable by making such uncomfortable shoes!

I shall not be distressed if he cuts off his friendship with me, because cutting and sewing are his profession.

Sifat-i zargar

Buvad shikva-yi man zi zargar bi-ja, ki bugdakht an buta-yi gil ma-ra.

Tavan khwand kaz kist tab u labam, chu angushtar az saj' muhr-i labam.

The Goldsmith

My complaint about the goldsmith is well founded, for that fire-clay crucible has melted me.

You can infer who has caused my passion, from the seal, like a signet-ring, on my lips.

Şifat-i hakkak

Zi hakkak bashad dil-i man ghamin, buvad chun nigin sar-nivishtam hamin.

The Engraver

My heart is saddened by the engraver; my fate resembles the fate of a signet-ring.

Şifat-i khurda-furush

Zi khurda-furusham dil-i zar sukht, zi gham khurda chun shud bi hichash furukht.

Muzayyan shuda hamchu husn-i butan, zi ayina u shana u vasma-dan.

The Peddler

My heart was saddened and pained by a peddler; when it was smitten with grief, he sold it for nothing.

His (stand) is adorned like a beauty's (dressing-table), with mirror, combs, and cosmetic pots.

Şifat-i sarraj

Savar ast sarraj bar kar-i khwish, ki az khub-ruyan fitad'st pish.

Namayad agar ru-yi siḥr-afarīn, chu mur-ī savarī shavad zinda-pīl.

The Saddler

The saddler rides his trade, and gallops ahead of (other) good lookers.

Whenever he shows his fascinating face, warriors, mighty as elephants, swarm around him like ants.

Şifat-i sanduq-saz

Dilam-ra zi sanduq-saz ast gham, ki sanduq-i sirrash buvad sina-am.

Mu'allaq namudast chun qufl-i zar, zi sanduq-i u mah-i naw dar nazar.

The Chest Maker

My heart is saddened by the chest maker, whose secrets lie in the chest of my bosom.

He has the appearance of the new moon, like the gold lock which he attaches to his chests.

Şifat-i miqrazgar

Chi guyim az husn-i miqrażgar, kaz u shud mara riz riza jigar?

The Scissors-maker

What shall we say about the scissors-maker, who has cut my heart to pieces?

Sifat-i zahgir-saz

Chu didam rukh-i yar-i zihgir-saz, bi khun gashtam aghushta az tir-i yar.

The Maker of archer's thumb-stalls

When I saw the face of my friend the maker of archer's thumb-stalls, his arrow made me blush.

Sifat-i sahhaf

Mara yar-i sahhaf ta karda sayd, na-yarad birun chun kitabam zi qayd.

Shudam pust az gham dar an ru-yi yar, 'ayanast chun kaghaz-i muhra-dar.

The Bookbinder

My bookbinder friend, since he trapped me, has been taking books out of his paper-press, but has not released me.

I have been reduced by my grief to skin on which his face is stamped as clearly as the stamp on fine paper.

Sifat-i na'lband

Bi-in hal uftada az na'lband, mah-i naw ki gardun zi chishmash fakand.

Nazad putk yak bar bar na'l-i sard, ki u-ra dam-i garm chun kura kard.

The Blacksmith

I have fallen into this state because of the blacksmith, who resembles the new moon and sheds stars from his eyes.

He never hammers a cold horse-shoe, He speaks warmly, for he has heated his breath in a furnace.

Sifat-i najjār

Zi najjar yabad dilat gar futuh, kunad dida-at kar-i tufan-i Nuh.

Bi-taḥrīk-i in randa, an tīz chang zi rukhsar -i 'ashiq tarashīd zang.

The Carpenter

If the carpenter shows you favour, your eye will shake like the storm which brought Noah's flood.

With a stroke of the plane, his sharp hand, will remove the wrinkles from his admirer's face.

Sifat-i tabbakh

Zi tabbakh bayad sukhan pukhta guft, ki natvan bi-atash zadan dast muft.

Zi tabbakhi-yi u shudam ghussa-khur, dili daram az ghussa chun chamcha pur.

The Cook

A statement about the cook must be well done, and not just fit to be thrown into the fire.

His cooking grieved me so much, that my heart is as full as his ladle of grief.

Sifat-i khabbaz

Zi khabbaz bishnaw hadisi zi man, ki afrukht fikram tanur-i sukhan.

Buvad sangakash anchunan dilshikar, ki dil-ha khurad ab az an chashma-sar.

The Baker

Listen to what I have to say about the baker, for my thoughts have kindled the oven of eloquence!

His punctured crispbread is so delicious, that hearts are refreshed by those springs.

Şifat-i khayyam

Chi guyam zi khayyam-i khurshid-vash, ki gardan chu gardun buvad khana-yash.

The Tentmaker

What shall I say about the sun-like tentmaker, whose house, like the sky's vault, is mobile?

Şifat-i shamma'i

Zi ashki ki rizad zi sham'-i qalam, furuzan namayam chiragh-i raqam.

Pay-i khud-namayish angikhtand ki az mum ayina-ash rikhtand.

The Candlemaker

With the tears dripped by the pen-shaped candle, I kindle the lamp of my pen.

It was moved to self-display when its mirror-like form was moulded from wax.

Şifat-i taryak-furushan

Chi guyam man az husn afyun-furush, ki chun pinaki az saram burda hush?

Muzha darad az zahr chashmash nishan, chu chubi ki taryak sayi bi-dan.

The Opium-seller

How shall I describe the fair opium-seller, who makes me drowsy and takes away my sense?

His eyelashes show the poison of his eyes like the stick with which you grind opium.

Şifat-i gazur

Zi gazur chi guyim yadash bi-khayr, ki u shust az lawh-i ma naqsh-i ghayr.

The Bleacher

What shall we say about the bleacher? We have a happy recollection of him, Because he washed the imprint of the alien off the slate of our memory.

Sifat-i saqqa

Na_guyam zi saqqa nadaram gila, kaz u dil chu khik ast pur abila.

Dukanash zi naranj u gul khurram ast, bi-ham suhbat-i ab u atash kam ast.

The Water-carrier

I do not say that I have no complaint against the water-carrier, because he leaves blisters on my heart like those on a water-skin.

His shop is freshened with oranges and flowers, and water is seldom accompanied by fire.

Sifat-i qassab

Chi guyam zi qaşşab u an rang-i al, ki bada bar u khun-i 'ashiq halal?

Qanar'st dandan u dukkan dahan, buvad kunda-ash hamchu misvak az an.

The Butcher

How can I describe the butcher with his plum-like complexion?
May a lover's tears be light wine for him!

His hook resembles a tooth, his mouth a shop, and his block a toothpick.

Şifat-i 'allaqa-band

Chi guyam zi qazzaz u an khu-yi narm, ki abri-st ruyash chu dukhan zi sharm.

Dukanash zi abrīsham i rang rang, namūda chū tasvīrī az kār-i farang.

The Braid-maker

What shall I say about the gentle silk cloth dealer, whose cloud-like silky face puts his shop to shame?

His multicoloured silk cloths make his shop as bright as a European picture.

Şifat-i 'aşşar

Zi 'aşşar charbi'st pahlu-yi man, chiraghi'st az suz-i dil ru-yi man.

Bi-dukkan-i u az guzasht-i shutur, zi rughan falak gashta chun dabba pur.

The Oilseed Presser

The oilseed presser fattens my ribs and makes my face glow like a lamp with emotion.

Thanks to the camel which turns (his press), his shop makes the universe as full of oil as a cruet.

Şifat-i jarrah

Chi guyam as an yar-i payman-gusil, ki kahhal-i chashm ast u jarrah-i dil.

The Surgeon

What shall I say about that unfaithful friend who is a healer of eyes and a surgeon (i.e. wounder) of hearts?

Sifat-i alu-furush

Chi guyam man az husn-i alu-furush, ki darad mara 'ajz-i vasfash khamush?

The Plum Soller

What shall I say about the fair plum-seller? My inability to describe him keeps me silent.

Sifat-i nukhud-paz

Nukhud-paz bi-an ru-yi hamchun bihisht, dil-i khasta-am-ra barayash birisht.

The Boiler of Peas

The boiler of peas has such a heavenly face, that he has roasted my sick heart.

Şifat-i hallaj

Chu hallaj amad darun az daram, shud ashufta chun maghz-i panba saram.

The Cotton Carder

When the carder came in through my door, my brain was battered like a cotton seed.

Şifat-i kharrat

Chi guyam zi kharrat u an ruy u muy, ki sar-gashta darad mara hamchu guy?

Gahī kāsa zāyad az ū gah qadaḥ, gahī ranj zāyad az ū gah faraḥ.

The Turner

How shall I describe the turner, whose face and hair, made my heart spin like a ball.

Sometimes he produces a (wooden) bowl, sometimes a goblet, sometimes pain, sometimes joy.

Şifat-i lavvaf

Man-i 'ashiq-i khasta-yi mustmand, zi lavvaf uftada am dar kamand.

The Seller of Camping Equipment

Sick and helpless with love, I fell into the noose (rope) of the seller of camping equipment.

Sifat-i naqqash

Chi guyam zi naqqash-i Mani-nizhad, ki naqshi chu u kilk-i Mani nazad.

The Painter

How can I describe the painter, the heir of Mani, when even Mani's brush could not produce such paintings as he does?

Sifat-i kuza-gar

Dil-i man khūrad ab az kūza-gar, buvad nā'īb-i kūza īn chashm-i tar.

The Potter

The potter has filled my heart with water, My tearful eyes act as the jug.

Şifat-i kardgar

Kasi gar sukhan guyad az kardgar, khurad kard bar dil ma-ra zin khabar.

The Cutler

If anyone speaks about the cutler, my heart is wounded as if with a knife.

Şifat-i shamshirgar

Shahidam az an shukh-i shamshirgar, dil-i man zi u karda qat'i nazar.

The Swordsmith

I am a martyr to that impudent swordsmith, whose disregard has humbled my heart.

Şifat-i bakhya-duz

Chi guyad kas az shukhi-yi bakhya-duz, kaz u bakhya-yi chashm-i man gasht ruz?

The Stitcher

What can be said about the impudence of the stitcher, who let daylight into my eyes by removing their stitches?

Şifat-i suqurlat-duz

Chi quyad kasi az suqurlat-duz, vaz an sham'-ru-yi shabistan-furuz.

Zi mulk-i Farang in tarf kard ru, chu sawghat avard iman bi u.

The Scarlet Robe maker

How can anyone describe the scarlet robe maker, whose radiant face illuminates the shabistan (night prayer room) of the mosque like a candle?

Scarlet cloth came straight to this country from Europe, and the robe maker pinned his faith on it as if it was a traveler's gift.

Şifat-i shishagar

Dilam ab gardid az shīshagar, bi-dih may ki bugzasht abam zi sar.

The Glass-maker

The glass-maker has melted my heart, give me wine, for I am gasping.

Şifat-i dallak

Chi guyam zi dallak u tarz-i nigah, ki dil-ra nigahash kunad kharj-i ah?

The Masseur

What shall I say about the masseur and the nature of his glance?
A glance at him makes the heart let out a sigh.

Şifat-i chaqshur-duz

Guzaram bi chaqshur-duzan fitad, mara band chaqshur bar pa fitad.

The Trouser Maker

When I passed through the trouser maker's bazaar, my trouser belt fell to my feet (with wonder).

Sifat-i misgar

Shud az misgar u an rukh-i ba-şafa, chu dukkan-i misgar saram pur-şida.

Az an kafcha u chamcha ta zada-and, hama dast-i bay'at bi-u dada-and.

The Coppersmith

The coppersmith and his cheerful face echoed in my head like the noise of a copper workshop.

Even before he had beaten out those ladles and scoops, all (the customers) gave him allegiance.

Sifat-i rangraz

Sirishkam zi gham surkh rukh zard kard, mara rangraz in chunin rang kard.

The Dyer

If my tearful eyes have become bloodshot and my face
 has become pale,
It is the dyer who has dyed me these colours.

Şifat-i ahangar

Chi guyam zi ahangar u ru-yi u ki chun kura-yi u buvad khu-yi u?

The Smith

What shall I say about the appearance of the smith, when his temper is as hot as his forge?

Şifat-i daqqaq

Zi daqqaq ruzam chunin tira shud, bi-daqqaqi-yi sina 'umram guzasht.

The Miller

My days have been darkened by the miller, I have passed my life being thumped on the chest (i.e. like grain in a mill).

Sifat-i tala-kub

Dilam shīva-yi yār-rā pīsha kard, ki gashtam talā-kūb-i īn rū-yi zard.

Bi-tadbīr dar jild-i ahu khazīd, bīyābān-i dil-ra chu majnun burīd.

The Goldbeater

I adopted the style of my friend; my pale face has been stamped with gold (leaf).

Like Majnun he has stamped his imprint on the desert of my heart, in the same way that he skilfully stamps (the title in gold) on the gazelle-leather binding (of a book).

Sifat-i suzangar

Zi suzangaran kar gardid zar, zi fulad dar rah-i man rikht khar,

Chu zulfam parishan u afsana kard, mara kaghaz-i suzanash shana kard.

The Needlemaker

My life was made miserable by the needlemaker, who cast steel thorns on my path.

After ruffling my hair in a fabulous way, he combed me with his needle paper.

Şifat-i basmachī

Dilam mand az basmachi dar shigift, az u dida-am naqsh-i hayrat girift.

The Block Printer

My heart was astonished by the block printer, who has left an imprint of wonder on my eyes.

Şifat-i zarkash

Shavad yar-i zarkash gul-i atashi, chu az rang-i zardam kunad zar-kashi.

Nigaram chunan kard san'atgarī kaz in mū tavan baft dam-i parī.

The Gold Wire Drawer

My friend, the gold wire drawer becames a fiery red rose, when he draws gold from my pale (face).

My idol performs his craft so skilfully, that he could trap a fairy with these hairs (of gold wire).

Şifat-i rikhtagar

Zi ham rīkhta rīkhtagar marā, buvad rangam az rukh tan az jan judā.

Garat sakht mangal agar jam ab, shumari yaki an du-ra dar hisab.

The Foundryman

The foundryman has upset me; he has removed the colour from my face and soul from my body.

Whether he makes you a chafing dish or a water jug, you will find both equally (good).

Şifat-i chilangar

Zi chilangaran shu'la dar jan girift, dil-am atash az ab-i hayvan girift.

Juz anbur kasī shākh-i khushkī nadīd, ki şad rang gardad samar zū padīd.

The Forge Master

The forge master has set my soul aflame; The water of life has set my heart on fire.

Nobody has seen a dry branch comparable with his tongs, which pick hundreds of varieties of fruit.

(The word chilangar is often translated locksmith, but has a wider meaning; he makes tongs, chains, and skewers as well as locks).

Şifat-i naqqar

Chi guyam zi naqqar-i niku-liqa, ki khurd ustukhan-i mara chun huma.

The (Bone) Carver

What shall I say about the fair faced (bone) carver, who has eaten my bones like an osprey (i.e. carved a favourable impression).

Şifat-i rufugar

Rufugar jahan-ra dar-avard bi-band, gusasta'st ba anki u-ra kamand.

The Darner

The darner stitches up the world, even though his lassoo is broken.

Şifat-i şarraf

Bi-sarraf shud sarf-i 'umram tamam, az u gasht chun nuqra-am kar-i kham.

Dil-i khalq-ra halqa-yi ust dam, ki girand mardum bi-in kar vam.

The Moneychanger

My whole life has been devoted to the moneychanger, who has turned my crude work into silver.

His curls trap people's hearts, when they get loans from him.

Şifat-i paluda-saz

Biblin nard-i fikri ki in tifl bakht, ki az dana-'i gandum an dam sakht.

The Paluda Maker

See what a clever game of backgammon this boy has played, by making this trap from grains of wheat!

(Paluda is a concoction of jelly-like threads or hairs made from wheat starch and sweetened with sugar).

Şifat-i kalla-paz

Mara kalla-paz karda bī dast u pā, khabar nīst az pā u az sar marā.

The Sheep's Head Fryer

The sheep's head fryer has disconcerted me (taken away my head and feet),
I do not know where my head and feet are.

(The sheep's head fryer also fries sheep's trotters).

Şifat-i kababi

Kababi az an rū-yi pur-ab u tab, mara karda bar atash-i dil kabab.

The Kabab Griller

The kabab griller with his bright, glistening face, has grilled me in the fire of emotion.

Şifat-i zarnishangar

Bi-janam magu zarnishangar chi kard, mara zar-nishan kard az rang-i zard.

The Gold Inlayer

Don't ask what the gold inlayer has done to my heart! He has put an inlay of golden paleness onto my complexion.

Şifat-i zira-saz

Agar az zira-saz pursī sukhan, barad chashm bar chashm-i dil-ra zi man.

Zira-saz-ra barg-i gul kun qiyas, ki u-ra buvad chasm-i bulbul libas.

The Chain-Mail Maker

If you ask me to speak about the chain-mail maker, he captivates my heart with every glance of his eye (meaning also every link of his chain mail).

You may compare him with a rose petal, because his clothes are made of nightingale's eyes (i.e. the chain mail which he makes has links as small as nightingale's eyes).

Sifat-i utu-kash

Zi bidad-i yar-i utu-kash magu, ki afkanda dar atasham chun utu.

The Ironer

Don't ask me about the wrong doings of my friend the ironer!
He has put me, like his iron, onto the fire.

Şifat-i miva-furush

Furushanda-yi miva an shakh-i zar, ki sad rang darad chu jannat samar.

The Fruiterer

The fruiterer resembles a golden bough, which like (the boughs of the trees in) the garden of paradise bears hundreds of sorts of fruits.

Sifat-i sha'r-baf

Dilam dar bar-i sha'r-baf ast band ki har tar bashad bi dastash kamand.

Chu zarbaft anja chu shud maskanam, shavad pur zi gul khud bi-khud damanam.

The Brocade Weaver

My heart is captive to the brocade weaver, in whose hand every thread is a noose.

Like a piece of brocade, I made his shop my abode, and since that time my skirt is of course being filled with roses.

(i.e. flower patterns used in brocade weaving).

Şifat-i tukhma-furush

Chi guyam zi bidad-i tukhma-furush, ki dar sina-am sukht dilra zi jush?

The Seller of Roasted Water Melon Seeds

What shall I say about the wrongdoings of the seller of roasted water melon seeds?

He has roasted the heart in my bosom with the fervour (of the emotion which he caused).

Şifat-i jadval-kash

Zi jadval-kasham bagh-i dil khurram ast, az an juy dayim bi-chashmam nam ast.

The Measuring Ruler Maker

The garden of my heart is freshened by the measuring ruler maker;
like an irrigation ditch, he constantly makes my eyes damp.

Sifat-i maftul-kash

Zi maftul-kash ruz-i man shud siyah, shudam hamchu maftul-i u madd-i ah.

Chū az dast-i ustād zaḥmat kashīd, bi-dan pāya kārash zi diqqat rasīd.

The Wire Rope Maker

My days have been darkened by the wire rope maker; I have been stretched, like his wire rope, by my sighs.

His work has attained this degree of precision, because he had to put up with so much pestering by the master (who trained him).

Sifat-i ghalyan-furush

Chi guyam zi ghalyan u ghalyan-furush kaz u hamchu ghalyan dilam dasht jush.

The Hookah Seller

What am I to say about the hookah and the hookah seller? He has made my heart bubble like a hookah (water pipe).

Şifat-i namad-mal

Buvad az namad-mal nalidanam, rukh az hijr bar khak malidanam.

Kunam chun buzurgi bi-gardun rasad, bi-pa u bi-ghaltanadam chun namad.

The Felt Maker

My grievance against the felt maker makes me rub my face in the dust out of (grief for my) separation (from him).

When my neck proudly reaches to the sky (i.e. when I feel extremely proud), he rolls me, like felt, with his feet.

Sifat-i sabzī-furush

Mara shukh-i sabzī-furushī-st yar, ki sabzī furushad bi-bagh u bahar.

The Greengrocer

I have a greengrocer friend who is an impudent fellow; he does his vegetable business with gardens and with springtime.

Şifat-i kaghazgar

Zi kaghazgaran bashad an iztirab, ki sharhash nagunjad bi chandin kitab.

Zi ab-i tanur ast karash rava, kaz in ab migardadash asyab.

The Papermaker

To describe the trouble which the papermaker causes (in my heart), several books would be needed.

His business depends on the water of his tank, which makes his business flourish.

Şifat-i jurab-duz

Zi jurab-duzan dil-i zar sukht, ki chashm-i mara bar rukh-i khwish dukht

Kamanash buvad halqa u chilla dar, bi-pa mikunad chun rasad vaqt-i kar.

The Stocking Knitter

My heart was pained and saddened by stocking knitter, who fastened my eyes on his face.

His lasso is the rope and his bow the gallow, when the time to use it comes, he erects it in his shop. (In Persian the frame on which stockings are knitted is called a "bow").

Şifat-i mushk va 'atr-furush

Dilam az shamim-i buti shud fagar, ki bu mifurushad bi-bagh u bahar.

The Seller of Musk and Perfume

My heart was wounded by the scent of the idol, who sells fragrance to the garden and springtime.

Sifat-i muhr va tasbīh-saz

Chi guyam man az muhr u tasbīh-sāz ki ruyam buvad sū-yi ū dar nīyāz.

The Maker of Prayer Tablets and Rosaries

What can I say about the maker of prayer tablets and rosaries, to whom my face is turned in supplication.

(Prayer tablets, onto which the head is lowered in prayer prostration, are made with dust from Karbala where the Imam Husayn is buried).

Sifat-i hana-saz

Hana-saz zad bar dil az ghussa nish, az an kard rangin rukh-i kar-i khwish.

The Henna Manufacturer

The henna manufacturer stamped my heart with his glance; the same glance with which he has dyed (i.e. adorned) the face of his business.

Şifat-i 'allaf

Dilam-ra chu 'allaf az gham fishurd, rag-i man bi-ham tab chun burma khurd.

The Hay Dealer

My heart was weigh ed down with grief about the hay dealer, my veins were twisted like a coil of hay.

Şifat-i davat-gar

Davatī ki janan bi-bazīcha sakht, dilam-ra bara-yi masalih gudakht.

The Inkwell Maker

The inkwell which my dear friend made to tease me, has melted my heart into (ink) ingredients.

Sifat-i atash-baz

But-i atash-afshan-i mushak-davan, ki bashad nishanash dil-i asman.

The Pyrotechnician

My flame-scattering idol, who fires off rockets, makes the sky's heart his target.

Şifat-i qumarbazan

Chi quyam man az vaṣf-i ahl-i qumar, ki in qawm-ra pak bazist kar.

Hama shusta az khwishtan chirk-i pul, bi-sabun-i yak ustukhan-i bajul.

The Gamblers

What can I say to describe the gamblers? Risking all is the job of these people.

They wash their hands clean of filthy lucre with ankle-bone soap.

(Sheep's ankle-bones are used in Iranian gambling games (qap-bazi). Bars of hand-made soap used to be shaped like sheep's ankle-bones).

Sifat-i ahl-i ma'arik

Chu tiflan digar tabii bazichagar, zi ahl-i ma'arik sukhan kard sar.

The Entertainers

I have a cheerful temperament, like a child's; so I will again start to talk about the entertainers.

Şifat-i kushtigir

Zi kushtiyi ba dil sukhan sar kunam, khiyalatishan-ra musavvar kunam.

The Wrestler

I will start by talking about wrestling with my heart, before I depict my notion of wrestlers.

Şifat-i tas-baz Shud az tas atraf-i u lala-zar, bi-rang-i nihali ki rizad bahar.

The Dicer

The dice have surrounded him with a tulip bed, coloured like the fresh blossom which spring sheds.

Şifat-i huqqa-baz

Chi guyad kas az shukhi-yi huqqa-baz, ki payda-yi u hast pinhan chu raz?

The Juggler

What is to be said about the impudence of the juggler, whose visible show is as hidden as a secret?

Şifat-i burya-baf

Man az būryā-bāf dīdam jafā, bi-haddī-ki shud pūstam būryā.

The Rush Mat Weaver

The rush mat weaver has treated me so harshly that my skin has become (yellow and shrivelled) like a rush mat.

Şifat-i sang-tarashan

As in yar-i shirin-i Farhad-fann, dilam chun zi yak sang shud dar badan.

The Stonemason

This charming (shīrīn) friend, skilful as Farhād, has turned the heart in my body into a piece of stone.

(Farhād is the ill-fated stonemason who loves Queen Shīrīn in Nizāmī's Khusraw va Shīrīn).

Şifat-i kura-paz

Na-shud pukhta az kura-paz nan-i man, az u sukht har chand iman-i man.

The Brick Maker

The brick maker has not baked my bread, but he has burnt my (religious) faith (i.e. I have become so fond of him that I have forgotten my religion).

Sifat-i Khisht-mal

Chu qalib bi-yak musht gil khisht-mal, dahan-i mara bast az qil u qal.

The Mud Brick Maker

The mud brick maker silenced my mouth with his loud argument, as he might have done with a handful of brick-moulding mud.

Sifat-i qiss-khwan

Chi guyad kas az khubi-yi qişşa-khwan, ki dar mulk-i khubi-st sahibqiran.

The Story Teller

How can the kindness of the story teller be described? His horoscope has made him the king of the empire of kindness.

Şifat-i taj-duz

But-i tāj-dūz ast tāj-i saram, chū sham' ast az an tāj bal u param.

Kasī-rā ki nabvad zi jang iḥtirāz, zabānash chū tāj ast dāyim dirāz.

The Taj Maker

The handsome <u>taj</u> maker is my crown;
my wings and feathers (i.e. arms and hand) are drawn
(like those of a butterfly) towards the candle-like
(beauty of) his <u>taj</u>.

Those who do not shun war constantly stick their tongues out like the (frontward bulge of a) taj.

(<u>Taj</u> means crown, and was also the name of the red-coloured hat, made by sewing together twelve gussets each representing one of the twelve Imams, which were worn by the Qizilbāsh troops of Safavid army. This hat had a frontward bulge comparable with a tongue.

Şifat-i palan-düz

Khirad gar chi bashad falatun-shi'ar, kashid ast palan-garash zir-i kar.

The Packsaddle Maker

Wisdom, even when equal to Plato's, is brought under the control by the pack-saddle maker.

Şifat-i qashuq-tarash

Chi guyam az an yar-i qashuq-tarash, nadidam ki qashuq bi-suzad chu ash.

The Wooden \mathcal{S} poon Carver

What shall I say about my friend the wooden spoon carver?
I have never felt a spoons burning (my mouth) as hot soup does.

The carving of wooden spoons (mostly small ladles for serving sherbet) is still an Iranian craft. Its: centre is the small town

Abada in the north of Fars, which has pear orchards. The spoon is chiselled out with a fretwork handle, all from a single piece of pear tree wood).

Sifat-i sa'lab-furush

Chi guyam man az yar-i sa'lab-furush, ki chun dig darad mara garm jush?

Tamasha-yi rukhsar-i an dil-ruba, buvad sa'lab-i garm khurdan mara.

The Seller of sa'lab

What shall I say about the fair <u>sa'lab-seller</u> whose good looks keep my feelings as hot as a pot of boiling <u>sa'lab?</u>

Seeing his charming appearance, gives me the same pleasure as drinking his warm sa'lab sherbet.

(The seeds of a wild plant named <u>sa'lab</u> are used to make a sherbet).

Şifat-i shana-i tarash

Bi-man ta but-i shana-gar shud duchar, mara ruz u shab shana bini'st kar.

The Comb Maker

Ever since I came across the adorable comb maker, you can see that my nights and days have resembled the teeth of a comb.

Şifat-i qalandar

Mara an qalandar, qalandar namud, zi man sabr u iman u taqat rubud.

Malūl az dil u jān mukaddar shudam, guzashtam zi dunyā qalandar shudam.

The Qalandar (Wandering Darvish)

That <u>qalandar</u> has made me a homeless wanderer (<u>qalandar</u>); he has snatched away my patience, my faith and my endurance.

I am now annoyed with my heart and soul, because I have become a homoless wanderer (galandar) and have renounced the world.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

PRIMARY WORKS

Unpublished

Abūl-Fažl ibn Mubārak, Akbar-nāma, John Rylands Library, ms. no.404.

Abul-Hasan ibn Ibrahim Qazwini, Fava'id us-Safaviya, India.
Office Library, ms. Or. (567) 2191.

Anonymous, <u>Inshā-yi Munshī-yi Shāh 'Abbās</u>, John Rylands Library, ms.494.

Anonymous manuscript about the caravansarais of Isfahan (a paper scroll), British Library, ms. Sloane 4094.

Anonymous, Munsha'at-i Sultan Husayn Bayqara, Central Library of Tehran University, ms. 494.

Faramīn-i Shahan-i Ṣafavī, Library of the Majlis-i Shawra-yi Millī, no. 606.

Faramin (Decrees) of the Safavid Kings, Museum of the Vank Church, Isfahan.

Faramin (Decrees), British Library, ms. Or. 4935.

Ghīyas ud-Dīn Kirmanī (d.951 Q./1544), Risala dar 'ilm-i Sīyaq, Library of the Āstān-i Quds-i Rażavī, Mashhad, ms. 7148.

Husayn ibn Murtaża ul-Husayni, <u>Tarikh-i Sultani</u> (composed for Shah Sultan Husayn in 115 Q./1704) Cambridge University Library, E. G. Browne Collection, ms. H 16(15).

Mīrzā I'jāz Harātī, Shahr-ashūb-i Mansūr, Central Library of the University of Tehran, ms. no. 3034.

Muhammad Muhsin Mustawfi, <u>Zubdat ut-tavarikh</u>, Cambridge University Library, E. G. Browne Collection, ms. G15(13).

Shāmlū, Valī Qulī, Qiśas ul-Khāqānīya, Central Library of the University of Isfahān, ms. 23144.

Vaḥīd, Muḥammad Ṭāhir, Dīvan-i Rizvan, Central Library of the University of Tehran, ms. no.4344 (Catalogue, vol.13, p.3300).

Valih, Muhammad Yusuf, Khuld-i barīn, British Library, ms. Or. 4132; partly ed. by Suhaylī Khwansarī as an appendix to the Zayl-i Tarīkh-i 'ālam-ārā-yi Abbāsi, Tehran 1317 Sh./1938.

Va'iz Kashifi, Husayn, <u>Futuvat-nama-yi Sultani</u>, British Library ms. Or. 22,705.

Published

a. Persian, Arabic

'Abdullah ibn Muḥammad ibn Kīya al-Mazandarani (865/1461), Risala-yi falakī dar 'ilm-i siyaqat, ed. by Walter Hinz, Baden-Baden 1331 Sh./1952.

'Abdullah ibn Nur ud-Din ibn Ni'matullah ul-Ḥusayni-yi Shushtari (pen-name Faqir), <u>Tazkira-yi Shushtar</u>, ed. by Bahadur Mawla Bakhsh and M. Hidayat Husayn, Calcutta 1924.

Abu Sa'id ibn Abi'l-Khayr, Asrar ut-Tawhid, ed. by Z. Safa, Tehran, 1332 Sh./1953.

Anonymous, <u>Tarikh-i Sistan</u>, ed. by M. T. Bahar, Tehran, 1314 Sh./1935.

Anonymous, <u>Tuḥfat ul-Ikhvan</u>, ed. by M. Damadi, Tehran 1351 Sh./1972.

Āṣaf, Muḥammad Hashim, Rustam ut-Tavarikh, ed. by M. Mushiri, 2nd ed., Tehran 1352 Sh./1973.

Astarabadi, Mirza Mahdi, <u>Tarikh-i Jahangusha-yi Nadiri</u>, ed. by 'A. Anvar, Tehran 1341 Sh./1962.

'Attar, Farid ud-Din, <u>Tazkirat ul-Awliya</u>, ed. by Nicholson, London 1905.

Azar, Lutf 'Alī Beg, Atashkada, 3 vols, ed. by H. Sadat Naşirī, Tehran 1348 Sh./1969.

Bidlīsī, Sharaf Khān, <u>Sharaf-nāma</u>, ed. by v. Zernof, 2 vols, St. Petersburg 1861.

Fumani, Abu'l Fath, <u>Tarikh-i Gilan, dar vaqay'-i salha-yi</u> 923-1038(Q.), ed. M. Sutuda, Tehran 1349/1970.

Ghaffari Qazvini, A., Tarikh-i Jahan-ara, Tehran, 1330 Sh./1950.

Ghazzālī, Muḥammad, <u>Kīmīyā-yi Sa'ādat</u>, ed. Qāżī 'Abd ul-Karīm, 1st ed., Bombay, no date.

Hazīn, Muhammad Alī, <u>Tārīkh-i Ḥazīn</u>, ed. by B. A. T., Iṣfahān, 1332 Sh./1953.

Ibn al-Ukhuwa, Ma'alim al-qurba fi aḥkam al-hisba, ed. by R. Levy, London 1938.

Ibn Balkhi, <u>Farsnama</u>, ed. by Jalal ud-Din Tehrani, Tehran 1313 Sh./1935.

Iskandar Beg Munshi, <u>Tarikh-i 'Alam-ara-yi 'Abbasi</u>, ed. by Īraj Afshar, 2 vols, Tehran 1350 Sh./1970.

Iskandar Beg Munshi and Muḥammad Yusuf, Zayl-i tarīkh-i 'alamārā-yi 'Abbāsī, ed. by Suhaylī Khwansarī, Tehran 1317 Sh./1938.

Istakhrī, Abū Isḥāq, <u>Masālik va'l-Mamālik</u>, ed. by I. Afshār, Tehran 1340 Sh./1961. Ja'farī, J. M., <u>Tārīkh-i Yazd</u>, ed. by I. Afshār, Tehran 1338 Sh./1959.

Katib, Ahmad ibn Husayn, <u>Tarikh-i Jadid-i Yazd</u>, composed in 862 Q./1457, ed. by I. Afshar, Tehran 1345 Sh./1966.

Kay Kā'ūs ibn Vushmgīr (441-1049/476-1083), Qābūs-nāma, ed. by R. Levy, London 1951; Eng. tr. by R. Levy, A mirror for princes, London 1951.

Khaki Khurasani, Divan-i Khaki, Persian text, ed. with introd. by W. Ivanow, Bombay 1933.

Khatunabadi, 'Abdul Ḥusayn, <u>Vaqayi' us-Sinin val-'Avamm</u>, ed. by M. B. Bihbudi, Tehran 1352 Sh./1973.

Khwandamir, Muhammad, <u>Habib us-Siyar</u>, ed. by J. Huma'i, 4 vols, Tehran 1333 Sh./1954.

Māfarrūkhī, Mifžal ibn Sa'd, <u>Tarjama-yi maḥāsin-i Işfahān</u>, tr. in 729 Q./1329, ed. by A. Iqbāl, Tehran 1328 Sh./1949.

Malik Shah Husayn ibn Ghiyas ud-Din Sistani, <u>Ihya' ul-muluk</u>, ed. by M. Sutuda, Tehran 1344 Sh./1965.

Mar'ashi-yi Şafavi, Muḥammad Khalil, Majma' ut-tavarikh dar inqiraz-i Şafavi, ed. by 'Abbas Iqbal, Tehran 1328 Sh./1949.

Matenadaran Farmans: A collection of Faramin of Safavid Shahs issued for Armenians, Erevan 1959.

Muḥammad Mufid Mustawfi, Jami'-i Mufidi, ya Tarikh-i Yazd ta ibtida-yi salṭanat-i Shah Isma'il I, ed. by I. Afshar, vols 1, 3, Tehran 1342 Sh./1963.

Murvarid, 'Abdullah, Sharaf-nama, Persian text in facsimile (Istanbul University Library, ms.87), tr. by Hans Robert Roemer, Wiesbaden 1952.

Narshakhī, Abū Ja'far, <u>Tārīkh-i Bukhārā</u>, ed. by Mudarris Rażavī, Tehran 1319 Sh./1938; Eng. tr. by R. N. Frye, <u>The history of Bukhārā</u>, Cambridge 1954.

Nasir Khusraw, <u>Safar-nama</u>, ed. with introd. by M. Ghanizada, Tehran 1341 Sh./1963.

Naṣrābadī, Muḥammad Ṭāhir, <u>Tazkira-yi Naṣrābadī</u>, ed. by Vaḥīd Dastgirdī, Tehran, 1317 Sh./1938.

Nava'i, 'Abd ul-Ḥusayn, ed., <u>Asnad va mukatabat-i tarīkhi-yi</u> Shah Tahmasb Ṣafavī, Tehran 1350 Sh./1971.

Nava'ī, 'Abd ul-Ḥusayn, ed., <u>Asnād va mukātabāt-i tārīkhī-yi</u> <u>Shāh 'Abbās-i Avval</u>, 2 vols, Tehran 1352 Sh./1973.

Nizām ul-Mulk, Khwāja Abū 'Alī Ḥasan, Sīyāsat-nāma, ed. by H. Darke, Tehran 1340 Sh./1961; Eng. tr. by H. Darke, The book of government, London 1960.

Pīrzāda Zāhidī, Shaykh Ḥusayn, Silsilat un-nasab-i Şafavī, ed. by Kāzimzāda-yi Irānshahr, Berlin 1924.

Qari Yazdi, Maḥmud, <u>Divan-i Albisa</u>, ed. by Mirza Ḥabib Iṣfahani, Constantimople 1303 Q./1885.

Rafī'ā, Mīrzā, and Samī'ā, Mīrzā, <u>Dastūr ul-Mulūk-i Mīrzā Rafī'ā</u> va Tazkirat ul-Mulūk-i Mīrzā Samī'ā, ed. by M. T. Dānishpazhūh, in <u>MDAT</u>, no.15, 1347 Sh./1968, pp.504-75; no.16, 1347-1348 Sh./1968-1969, pp.62-93, 198-322, 416-40, 540-64 (<u>D.M.</u>)

Rashīd ud-Dīn Fażlullāh, <u>Jāmi' ut-tavārīkh</u>, 3 vols, ed. by Abd ul-Karīm 'Alī-oghlī 'Alīzāda, Bakū 1957.

Rāzī, Amīn Aḥmad, <u>Haft Iqlīm</u> (1010 Q./1601), 3 vols, ed. Javād Fāžil, Tehran 1340 Sh./1961.

Rumlu, Hasan, Ahsan ut-tavarikh, ed. by C. N. Seddon, Tehran 1347 Sh./1963.

Sam Mīrza Ṣafavī, Tuḥfa-yi Samī (957 Q./1549), ed. by Vaḥīd Dastgirdī, Tehran 1314 Sh./1935.

Sayf ibn Muhammad ibn Ya'qub ul-Haravī, <u>Tārīkh-nāma-yi Harāt</u>, (721 Q./1321-729 Q./1329), ed. by M. Zubayr us-Ṣiddīqī, Calcutta 1944.

Tavakkul ibn Bazzaz, Safvat us-Şafa, Bombay 1329 Q./1912.

Vaḥid, Muḥammad Ṭāhir, 'Abbas-nama, ed. by I. Dihqan, Ārāk 1329 Sh./1950.

Va'iz Kashifi, Husayn, Akhlaq-i Muhsini (900 Q./1495), ed. by Lieut.Col. G. Ouseley, London 1867.

Zahīr ul-Dīn Nīshābūrī, <u>Saljūq-nāma</u> (585 Q./1189), ed. by Isma'il Afshār, Tehran 1332 Sh./1953.

Zarkub Shīrāzī, Abu'l-'Abbās, <u>Shīrāz-nāma</u> (734 Q./1334), ed. by B. Karīmī, Tehran 1310 Sh./1931.

b. European

Angiolello, Giovan Maria, <u>Travels to Tana and Persia</u>, in <u>A narrative of Italian travels in Persia</u>, tr. and ed. by Charles Grey, New York 1878, pp.69-138.

Anonymous, An account of the monies, weights and measures in general use in Persia, Arabia, East India..., London 1799.

Avril, Pere Philippe, <u>Travels into divers parts of Europe and</u> Asia, London 1693.

Barbaro, Josaphat, <u>Travels to Tana and Persia</u>, tr. by Charles Grey, New York, 1873.

Bell, John, <u>Travels from St.Petersburg to diverse parts of Asia</u>, 2 vols, Glasgow 1763, pp.1-154, 325-369.

Bell, John, <u>Travels from St.Petersburg to diverse parts of Asia</u>, in <u>Pinkerton's Collection</u>, Vol.7, London 1811.

Bruce, John, East India Company 1600-1708, 3 vols, London 1810, reprinted 1968.

Carmelites, A chronicle of the Carmelites in Persia and the Papal mission of the 17th and 18th centuries, ed. and tr. by Sir H. Chick, 2 vols, London 1939, vol.1.

Chardin, Jean (later Sir John Chardin), <u>The travels of Sir John Chardin into in Persia and the East Indies... to which is added the coronation of Solyman</u>, London 1686, 1691. The first only of four projected volumes.

Chardin, Jean, Voyages de Chardin, ed. by L. Langles, Paris 1811.

Carre, Abbe, The travels of the Abbe Carre in India and the Near East (1672-74) tr. by Lady Fawcett, 3 vols, London 1947.

Cartwright, John, <u>The Precher's Travels</u>, in <u>Osborne's Collection</u>, 2 vols, London 1745, pp.711-752.

Churchill's Collection, <u>Collection of Voyages and Travels</u>, 6 vols, London 1744, vols 1 and 4.

Clavijo, Ruy Gonzalez, Embassy to the Court of Timour at Samarcand 1403-1406, tr. by Sir C. R. Markham, London 1859.

Contarini, Ambrosio, <u>The travels of Contarini, ambassador of Venice, to the King of Persia</u>, in <u>The travels to Tana and Persia</u>, tr. by C. Grey, New York 1873.

Coverte, Robert, <u>The Voyage and travels of Robert Coverte</u>

1607-1611, in <u>Osborne's Collection</u>, vol.2, London 1745,
pp.238-266.

D'Alessandri, Vincentio, <u>Narrative of Vincentio D'Alessandri</u>, <u>Ambassador to the King of Persia</u>, tr. by C. Grey, in <u>Travels</u> to Tana and <u>Persia</u>, New York 1873, pp.211-229.

Don Juan of Persia, A Shi'a Catholic 1560-1604, tr. by G. Le Strange, London 1926.

Du Mans, Raphael, <u>Estat de la Perse en 1660</u>, ed. by C. Schefer, Paris 1890, reprinted 1969.

Edwards, Arthur, The Letters of Arthur Edwards from Persia 1565-1566, in The principal navigations and voyages, compiled by R. Hakluyt, 12 vols, London 1903, vol.3, pp.46-72.

Evlia Efendi, <u>Narrative of travels in Europe</u>, <u>Asia and Africa in the 17th century</u>, tr. from the Turkish by R. J. von Hammer, 2 vols, reprinted New York 1963.

Foster, William, A supplementary calendar of documents in the India Office Records 1600-1640, London 1928.

Foster, William, <u>Letters received by the East India Company</u>
<u>from its servants in the East</u>, (<u>L.R.</u>) 6 vols, London 1896-1902.

Fryer, John, <u>A new account of East India and Persia, being</u> nine years travels 1672-1681, 3 vols, London 1909-1915.

Fryer, John, <u>A new account of East India and Persia, begun</u> 1672 and finished 1681, London 1684.

Gemelli Carreri, Francis (Giovanni Francesco), A voyage around the world, tr. from the Italian, in Churchill's Collection, vol.4, London 1745, pp.107-186.

Gilanentz, S., The Chronicle of Gilanentz concerning the Afghan invasion of Persia in 1727 and the siege of Isfahan, tr. from the Armenian by Caro Minasian, Lisbon 1959.

Hamilton, Alexander, A new account of the East Indies (1688-1723), in Pinkerton's Collection, vol.8, London 1811.

Hanway, Jonas, An historical account of the British trade over the Caspian Sea, 4 vols, London 1753.

Hedges, William, The diary of William Hedges 1681-168, 3 vols, New York 1967.

Herbert, Thomas, <u>Some years travels</u>, abridged ed. by Sir W. Foster, London 1928.

Ibn Baţţūta, Riḥla, Arabic text and French tr., ed. and tr. by E. Defremery and B. R. Sanguinetti, 4 vols, Paris 1853-59, reprinted 1962; Eng. tr. by Sir H. A. R. Gibb, The Travels of Ibn Baţţūta, 4 vols, Cambridge 1958-62.

Jenkinson, Anthony, <u>The voyage of Anthony Jenkinson in the year 1558</u>, in <u>Purchas His Pilgrimes</u>, 20 vols, Glasgow, 1905-1907, vol. 12, pp.1-32.

Kaempfer, E., Am Hofe des Persischen Grosskonigs (1684-85), ed. by W. Hinz, Leipzig 1940.

Kotov, F. A., A journey to the Kingdom of Persia (circa 1624), in Russian travellers to India and Persia, tr. by P. M. Kemp, New Delhi 1959.

Kotzebue, Moritz von, <u>Narrative of a journey into Persia in</u> the suite of the Imperial Russian embassy in the year 1817, London 1819.

Krusinski, Pere Tadeusz Juda, <u>The history of the revolutions</u> of Persia, tr. anonymously into English, London 1728.

Le Brun, C. (De Bruyn, Cornelis), <u>A new and more correct</u> translation of Le Brun's travels in Moscow, Persia and diversparts of the East Indies, London 1759.

Lippomano, Hieronimo, <u>Lettera a Sua Cattolica Real Maesta:</u>
<u>Letter to His Catholic Royal Majesty</u> (King of Spain), in
Eng.H.R., no.7, 1892, pp.314-321.

Lockyer, Charles, An account of the trade in India, London 1711.

Mandelslo, J. A., The voyages and travels of Mandelslo, London 1661.

Manucci, N., Mogul India (1653-1708, 4 vols, tr. by W. Irvine, London 1907-1908.

Mun, Thomas, A discourse on trade from England into the East Indies (1621), New York 1971.

Newbery, John, <u>The voyages of Master John Newbery</u> (1578-1582), in <u>Purchas His Pilgrimes</u>, 20 vols, Glasgow, 1905-1907, vol.8, pp.449-481.

Ogilby, John, An accurate description of the kingdom of Persia and the empire of the Great Mogol, collected and translated from authentic authors, London 1673.

Olearius, Adam, The voyages and travels of the ambassador from the Duke of Holstein to ... Moscovy, Tartary, Persia ..., tr. by J. Davies, London 1669.

Olson, R. W., The sixteenth century "price revolution" and its effects on the Ottoman empire and on Ottoman-Safavid relations, in Acta Orientalia, no.37, Copenhagen 1976, pp.45-55.

Osborne, Thomas, A collection of voyages and travels, compiled from the curious and valuable library of the late Earl of Oxford, 2 vols, London 1745.

Otter, Jean, Voyage en Turquie et en Perse, 2 vols, Paris 1748.

Ovington, J., A voyage to Surat in the year 1689, ed. by H. G. Rawlinson, London 1929.

Pinkerton, J., ed., <u>Disposition and temper of the Persians</u>, collected as well from private memories as from accounts that <u>have been published</u>, in <u>Pinkerton's Collection</u>, 17 vols, London 1808-1814, vol.9, pp.199-218.

Purchas, Samuel, <u>Purchas His pilgrimes</u> (12 vols, Glasgow 1905-1907), vols 3, 4, 8, 10, 12.

Sainsbury, E. B., A calendar of the Court minutes of the East India Company 1635-1639, Oxford 1907.

Salbancke, M. J., The voyage of Salbancke through India, Persia, and a part of Turkey (1609), in Purchas His Pilgrimes (20 vols, Glasgow, 1905), vol.3, pp.82-90.

Sanson, P. N., <u>Voyages ou relation de l'état présent du</u> royaume de Perse, Paris, 1695.

Sherley, Antony, <u>Sir Antony Sherley: his relation of his</u> travels into Persia, London 1613, reprinted 1972.

Steel, R., and Crowther, J., <u>A journal of the journey of</u>
Richard Steel and John Crowther from Azmere in India to Spahan
(1615-1616), in <u>Purchas His Pilgrimes</u>, vol.4, pp.266-280.

Stodart, Robert, <u>The journal of Robert Stodart from 1626 to 1629 to the East Indies and Persia</u>, ed. by E. D. Ross, London, 1935.

Struys, John, <u>Voyages and travels of John Struys through Italy</u>, <u>Greece, Muscovy, Tartary, Media, Persia</u>... (1671), tr. by J. Morrison, London 1684.

Tavernier, J. B., The six voyages of Jean Baptiste Tavernier, English translation, London 1678, reprinted 1930.

Tavernier, J. B., <u>Voyages en Perse et description de ce</u> royaume, Paris 1930.

Teixeira, Pedro, <u>The travels of Pedro Teixeira</u>... 1604-5: with his <u>Kings of Hormuz</u> and extracts from his <u>Kings of Persia</u>, tr. and annotated by W. F. Sinclair, London 1902.

Valle, Pietro Della, <u>Viaggi di Pietro Della Valle il pellegrino</u> descritti da lui Medesimo in lettere familiari, 3 vols, Rome 1658.

Thevenot, J., <u>Travels of Monsieur de Thevenot into the Levant</u>. London 1686, part 2.

<u>Venetian Merchants</u>, The travels of Venetian merchants in Persia, tr. from the Italian and ed. by C. Grey, in <u>Travels to Tana and Persia</u>, New York 1893, pp.141-207.

Zeno, Caterino, <u>Travels in Persia</u>, in <u>A narrative of Italian</u> travels in <u>Persia</u>, tr. by C. Grey, New York 1873, pp.1-63.

SECONDARY WORKS

a. Persian, Arabic

Ādamīyat, Firīdūn, and Humā, Nāṭiqi, Afkār-i Ijtimā'ī va Sīyāsī va Iqtiṣādī dar Dawrān-i Qājār, Tehran 1356 Sh./1977.

Ashrafiyan, K. Z., and Arunova, M. R., <u>Dawlat-i Nadir Shah-i</u> <u>Afshar</u>, tr. by H. Mū'minī, Tehran 1352 Sh./1973.

Bayani, Khanbaba, <u>Sazman-i Kishvari-yi Iran dar Zaman-i Şafaviya</u>, in <u>Majalla-yi Āmuzish va Parvarish</u>, Tehran, vol.11, parts 5-10, 1320/1941, pp.11-21, 9-23, 9-21; vol.12, parts 1, 2, 1321/1942, pp.11-24.

Bertel's, A. Ye., <u>Naşir Khusraw va Ismā'ilīya</u>, tr. by Y. Āryanpur, Tehran 1346 Sh./1967.

Bihishtiyan, 'Abbas, Ganjina-yi Asar-i Milli, Isfahan 1343 Sh./1964.

Danishpazhuh, Muḥammad Taqī, ed., <u>Dar bara-yi nuskha-ha-yi khatti</u>, vol.4, Tehran 1344 Sh./1965.

Darakhshan, Mehdi, <u>Payramun-i kalama-ha-yi shaykh va sayyid</u> dar bara-yi siyadat-i khandan-i Şafaviya, in <u>MDAT</u>, no.4, 1353 Sh./1974, pp.154-162.

Dihkhuda, 'Alī Akbar, <u>Lughat-nama</u>, 79 vols to date, Tehran 1322 Sh./1963.

Falsafi, Nasrullah, Zindagani-yi Shah 'Abbas-i Avval, 5 vols, Tehran 1353 Sh./1974.

Fasari, H., <u>Fars-nama</u>, 2 vols, Tehran 1313 Q; partial English translation by H. Busse, New York, 1972.

Ghani, Qasim, Tarikh-i Tasavwuf dar Iran, Tehran 1330 Sh./1950.

Gulchin Ma'ani, Ahmad, Shahr-ashub dar shi'r-i Farsi, Tehran, 1346 Sh./1967.

Gulrīz, Muḥammad 'Alī, Mīnu-dar ya Bab ul-Jannat-i Qazvīn, Tehran, 1337 Sh./1958.

Hamadani, Mir Sayyid 'Ali, <u>Futuvat-nama</u>, ed. by M. Riyaż Khan, in <u>Nashriya-yi Ma'arif-i Islami</u>, year 10, Tehran 1348 Sh./1955.

Hidayati, H., Tarikh-i Zandiya, Tehran, 1334 Sh./1955.

Hunarfar, Luţfullah, <u>Ganjina-yi Asar-i Tarikhi-yi Işfahan</u>, Işfahan, 1344 Sh./1965.

Hunarfar, Lutfullah, Mashaghil va Manasib-i Aramana-yi Julfa dar dawran-i Safavī va Qajar, in Majalla-yi Vahīd, year 8,
Tehran 1343 Sh./1964.

Husaynī Shahshahanī, A. W., Baḥr ul-javahir fī 'ilm ud-dafatir, Iṣfahan, 1316 Q./1898.

Jābirī Anṣārī, Hasan, Tārīkh-i Isfahān va Ray, Isfahān,
1322 Sh./1938. This Is the author's most important work. His
Other Work, listed below, contains no material relevant
to this thesis.

Jabiri Anşari, Hasan, <u>Tarikh-i Nisfi-i Jahan va hama-yi Jahan</u>, Işfahan, 1333 Q./1915.

Kalantar, M. M., Ruznama-yi Mirza Muhammad Kalantar, ed. by A. Iqbal, Tehran, 1325 Sh./1946.

Karīmian, Hasan, <u>Tārīkh-i Ray-i Bāstān</u>, Tehran 1345 Sh./1966.

Kasravī, Ahmad, Musha'sha'iyan, Tehran 1324 Sh./1945.

Kasravī, Ahmad, Shaykh Şafī va Tabarash, Tehran 1342 Sh./1963.

Kasravī, Ahmad, <u>Tārīkh-i mashrūta-yi Irān</u>, 3 vols, Tehran 1319 Sh./1940-1321 Sh./1942.

Kishavarz, Karīm, Ḥasan-i Ṣabbaḥ, Tehran 1344 Sh./1965.

Levi, Ḥabīb, Tarīkh-i Yahūd-i Iran, 3 vols, Tehran 1339 Sh./1960.

Maḥjub, Muḥammad Ja'far, Shahr-ashub, in Kitab-i Hafta, no.90, Tehran 1342 Sh./1963, pp.86-104.

Lisan ul-Mulk-i Sipihr, M. T. Nasikh ut-Tavarikh, Tehran,

Maḥmudian, Muḥammad, Zayanda-rud-i Isfahan, Isfahan 1348 Sh./1969.

Mansuri, Firuza, Garik-yaraq chist?, in Hunar va mardum, nos.189 and 190, Tehran 1356 Sh./1977, pp.55-58.

Mīr Futrus, 'Alī, Ḥallāj, Tehran 1357 Sh./1978.

Mudarrisī Tabatabā'ī, Qum dargarn-i nuhum, Qum, 1350 Sh./1971.

Muḥammad Mahdī ibn Muḥammad Rizā Isfahani, Nisf-i Jahan dar tārīkh-i Isfahan, ed. by Sutuda, Tehran, 1340 Sh./1961.

Muṣāhib, Ghulām Ḥusayn, Da'irat ul-Ma'arif-i Muṣāḥib, vol. 1, Tehran 1345 Sh./1966.

Mustawfī, Abdullāh, Sharḥ-i zindagānī-yi man, yā Tārīkh-i ijtimā'ī va idārī-yi dawrān-i Qājārīya, 3 vols, Tehran 1324 Sh./1945.

Nafīsī, Sa'īd, Tārīkh-i khāndān-i Ṭāhirī, Tehran 1335 Sh./1956.

Naraqi, Ḥasan, Asar-i tarikhi-yi Kashan va Naţanz, Tehran 1348 Sh./1969.

Naraqi, Hasan, Tarikh-i ijtima'i-yi Kashan, Tehran 1345 Sh./1966.

Nu'in, Bihruz, Bazar-i Isfahan (unpublished dissertation), University of Isfahan, 1349 Sh./1970.

Petrushevsky, I. P., <u>Islām dar Irān</u>, tr. by K. Kishāvarz, Tehran 1350 Sh./1971.

Petrushevsky, I. P., <u>Nihžat-i Sarbidaran</u>, tr. by K. Kishavarz, Tehran 1351 Sh./1972.

Pigulevskaya, N. Y., et al., <u>Tarīkh-i Iran az dawran-i bastan</u> ta payan-i sada-yi hijdahum, 2 vols, tr. by K. Kishavarz, Tehran 1346 Sh./1967.

Rahimzada Şafavi, Sharh-i jang-ha va tarikh-i zindagani-yi Shah Isma'il-i Şafavi, Tehran 1341 Sh./1962.

Rā'īn, Ismā'īl, <u>Iranīyān-i Armanī</u>, Tehran 1349 Sh./1970.

Ravandī, Murtažā, <u>Tarīkh-i ijtimā'ī-yi</u> Iran, vols 1 and 3, Tehran 1357 Sh./1970.

Rīyāz Khān, Muḥammad, <u>Mukhtaṣarī az nihżat-i futuvat dar Irān</u>, in <u>Majalla-yi Dānishkada-yi Adabīyāt-i Mashhad</u>, nos 35 and 36, 1352 Sh./1973, pp.507-531, 623-650.

Rawżati, Muḥammad 'Ali, Jami' ul-Ansab, 2 vols, Isfahan.

Safa, Zabihullah, <u>Tarikh-i adabiyat-i Iran</u>, vols 1 and 2, Tehran 1335 Sh./1956-1336 Sh./1957.

Sipanta, 'Abd ul-Husayn, <u>Tarikhcha-yi awqaf-i Işfahan</u>, Işfahan 1346 Sh./1967.

Sutuda, Ḥusayn-Quli, Tarikh-i Āl-i Muzaffar, 2 vols, Tehran 1347 Sh./1968.

Tahiri, Abu'l-Qasim, <u>Tarikh-i siyasi va ijtima'i-yi Iran az</u> marg-i Timur ta marg-i Shah 'Abbas I, Tehran 1349 Sh./1970.

Taḥvildar, Mirza Ḥusayn Khan, Jughrafiya-yi Işfahan, ed. by M. Sutuda, Tehran 1342 Sh./1963.

Tajbakhsh, Ahmad, Iran dar Zaman-i Şafaviya, Tabriz 1340 Sh./1961.

Vazīrī, Ahmad 'Alī Khan, <u>Tarīkh-i Kirman Salarīya</u>, ed. by M. I. Bastanī-yi Parīzī, Tehran 1340 Sh./1961.

Zarrābī, Abd ul-Raḥīm, <u>Tārīkh-i Kāshān</u>, ed. by I. Afshār, Tehran 1341 Sh./1963.

Zarinkub, 'Abd ul-Ḥusayn, Arzish-i miras-i Ṣufiya, Tehran 1344 Sh./1965.

🤼 b. European

Abrahamian, Ervand, The causes of the constitutional revolution in Iran, in Int. J.M.E.Stud., no.10, 1979, pp.362-414.

Adamiyat, Firidun, <u>Problems of Iranian Historiography</u>, tr. by T. Ricks, in <u>Iranian Studies</u>, (New York) vol.4, no.4 (1971), pp.132-156.

Arasteh, Reza, The character, organization and social role of the Luţis in the traditional Iranian society of the 19th century, in <u>JESHO</u>, no.6, 1961, pp.45-52.

Arnakis, G. G., <u>Futuwwa</u> tradition in the Ottoman Empire: Akhi, <u>Bektashi dervishes</u>, and <u>craftsmen</u>, in <u>Journal of Near Eastern</u> <u>Studies</u>, no.12, 1953, pp.232-247.

Ashraf, Ahmad, <u>Historical obstacles to the development of asbourgeoisie in Iran</u>, in <u>Studies in the Economic History of the Middle East</u>, ed. by M. A. Cook, London 1970, pp.308-333.

Aubin, J., Éléments pour l'étude des agglomérations urbaines dans l'Iran mediéval, in <u>The Islamic City</u>, ed. by A. H. Hourani and S. M. Stern, Oxford 1970, pp.65-75.

Baer, Gabriel, Egyptian guilds in modern times, Jerusalem 1964.

Baer, Gabriel, <u>Guilds in Middle Eastern History</u>, in <u>Studies in the Economic History of the Middle East</u>, ed. M. A. Cook, London 1970, pp.11-31.

Baer, Gabriel, Monopolies and restrictive practices of the Turkish guilds, in <u>JESHO</u>, no.13, 1970, pp.145-165.

Baer, Gabriel, <u>The administrative, economic and social functions</u> of the Turkish guilds, in <u>Int.J.M.E.Stud.</u>, no.1, 1970, pp.28-50.

Baer, Gabriel, <u>The organization of labour</u>, in <u>Wirtschafts</u>-geschichte des Vorderen Orients in Islamischcher Zeit, no.6, Leiden 1977, pp.31-52.

Bakhtiyar, 'Ali, The Royal Bazaar of Isfahan, in Iranian Studies, no.7, 1974, pp.320-348.

Boissonnade, P., <u>Life and work in medieval Europe</u>, tr. by Eileen Power, London 1927.

Bosworth, C. E., <u>Islamic Surveys</u>, <u>No.5: The Islamic dynasties</u>, a chronological and genealogical handbook, Edinburgh 1967.

Bosworth, C. E., The medieval history of Iran, Afghanistan and Central Asia, London 1977.

BOXER, C. R., The Portuguese seaborne empire 1500-1800, London 1965.

Boyle, J. A., ed., <u>The Cambridge History of Iran</u>, vol.5, <u>The Saljuq and Mongol periods</u>, Cambridge 1968.

Breebaart, D. h., The Fütüvvet-name-yi Kabir: A manual on Turkish guilds, in JESHO, no.15, 1972, pp.203-215.

Brentano, Lujo, <u>History and development of guilds and the origin of trade unions</u>, London 1870.

Browne, Edward, G., A history of Persian literature in modern times, 1500-1924, Cambridge 1924.

Browne, J. A., <u>A geographical study of the evolution of the cities of Tehran and Isfahan</u>, unpublished doctoral thesis, University of Durham 1965.

Busse, Herbert, <u>Untersuchungen zum Islamischen Kanzleiwesen</u>, Cairo 1959.

Cahen, C., Futuwwat, in E.I., 2nd ed. vol.2, pp.961-965.

Cahen, C., Ya-t-il eu des corporations professionnelles dans le monde musulman classique? in The Islamic City, ed. by A. H. Hourani and G. M. Stern, pp.51-65.

Chaudhuri, K. N., The English East India Company 1600-1640, London 1965.

Cook, John, <u>Voyages and travels through the Russian Empire</u>, Tartary and the Kingdom of <u>Persia</u>, 2 vols, Edinburgh 1770.

Cook, M. A., ed. Studies in the economic history of the Middle East, London 1970.

Davis, D. W., A primer of Dutch seventeenth century overseas trade, The Hague 1961.

Davis, Ralph, Aleppo and Devonshire Square: English Traders in the Levant in the 18th century, London 1967.

Davis, Ralph, English imports from the Middle East, in Studies in the economic history of the Middle East, ed. by M. A. Cook, London 1970.

Davis, Ralph, English foreign trade 1660-1700, in Econ.H.R., no.7, 1954, pp.150-160.

Dimand, Maurice, The 17th century Isfahan school of rug weaving, in Islamic Art, ed. by R. Ettinghausen, New York 1972, pp.255-266.

Dunlop, Henrik, Bronnen tot de geshiedenis der Oostindische Compagnie in Perzie, The Hague 1930.

El-'Alī, S. A., The foundation of Baghdad, in The Islamic City, ed. A. H. Hourani and S. M. Stern, pp.87-102.

Elgood, Cyril, Safavid medical practice, London 1970.

Emerson, John, <u>Some European sources on the economic structure</u> of <u>Persia between 1630-1690</u>, unpublished doctoral thesis, University of Cambridge 1971.

Farmanfarma'iyan, H., The beginning of modernization in Iran: the politics and reforms of Shah 'Abbas I, Utah 1969.

Ferrier, R. W., An English view of Persian trade in 1618, in JESHO, no.19, 1976, pp.182-214.

Ferrier, R. W., <u>British-Persian relations in the 17th century</u>, unpublished doctoral thesis, University of Cambridge 1970.

Ferrier, R. W., <u>The Armenians and the East India Company in</u> Persia in the seventeenth and early eighteenth centuries, in <u>Econ. H.R.</u>, no.26, 1973, pp.38-62.

Ferrier, R. W., The European diplomacy of Shah 'Abbas I and the the first embassy to England, in Iran: Journal of the British Institute of Persian Studies, no.11, 1973, pp.75-92.

Fischel, J. W., <u>Işfahan</u>, the society of a Jewish community in <u>Persia</u>, in <u>The Joshua Starr Memorial Volume</u>, New York 1953, pp.117-128.

Fisher, R. H., The Russian fur trade 1550-1700, Berkeley 1943.

Floor, W. M., The Guilds in Qajar Persia, unpublished dissertation, Leiden 1971.

Floor, W. M., The guilds in Iran: an overview from the earliest beginning till 1972, in Z.D.M.G., no.125, 1975, pp.99-116.

Floor, W. M., The Lutis: a social phenomenon in Qajar Persia, in Die Welt des Islam, no.13, 1971, pp.103-120.

Floor, W. M., The market police in Qajar Persia, in Die Welt des Islam, no.13, 1971, pp.212-229.

Floor, W. M., The merchants (tujjar) in Qajar Iran, in Z.D.M.G., no.126, 1976, pp.101-135.

Foster, B. R., Agoronomos and Muhtasib, in JESHO, vol.13, 1970, pp.128-144.

Foster, William, A guide to the India Office Records 1600-1858, London 1919.

Gerber, H., Guilds in 17th century Anatolia: Bursa, in Journal of Asian and African Studies, no.2, 1976, pp.59-86.

Gibb, H. A. R. and Bowen, H., <u>Islamic society and the West</u>, 2 vols, London 1950-57, vol.1.

Gibb, H. A. R., <u>The Heritage of Islam in the Modern World</u>, in <u>Int.JMEStud.</u>, vol.1, 1970, pp.3-17, 221-237, and vol.2, 1971, pp.129-147.

Glamann, K., Dutch-Asiatic trade 1620-1740, Copenhagen 1958.

Gregorian, Vartan, Minorities of Isfahan: the Armenian community of Isfahan 1587-1722, in Iranian Studies, vol.7, 1974, pp.652-680.

Hertz, G. B., The English silk industry in the 18th century, in Eng. H.R., no.24, 1909, pp.710-727.

Hourani, A. H., and Stern, S. M., ed., <u>The Islamic City</u>, Oxford 1970.

Hourani, A. H., <u>The Islamic cities in the light of recent</u> research, in <u>The Islamic City</u>, ed. by Hourani and Stern, pp.9-25.

Inalcik, Halil, Bursa and the commerce of the Levant, in <u>JESHO</u>, no.3, 1960, pp.131-147.

Inalcik, Halil, Article Harir, in E.I. 2nd ed., vol.3, Leiden 1971, pp.209-218.

Inalcik, Halil, The Ottoman economic mind and aspects of the Ottoman economy, in Studies in the Economic History of the Middle East, ed. by M. A. Cook, London 1970, pp.207-219.

Issawi, Charles, ed., <u>The economic history of Iran 1800-1914</u>, Chicago 1971.

Keyyani, The Iranian caravansarais during the Safavid period, Ph.D. thesis (unpublished), University of London 1970.

Khan, Shaf'at Ahmad, The East India trade in the 17th century, New Delhi, no date.

Kuznetsova, N. A., <u>Guild organization</u>, early 19th century, in <u>The economic history of Iran</u>, ed. by C. Issawi, Chicago 1971, pp.285-292.

Kuznetsova, N. A., <u>Urban industry in Persia during the 18th and early 19th centuries</u>, in <u>C.A.R.</u>, vol.XI, no.3, 1965, pp.308-321. (Abridged translation of a 30-page essay on handicraft production in urban Iran... contained in <u>O Genezise Kapitalizma v Stranakh</u> Vostoka, XV-XIX, Moscow 1962).

Lambton, A. K. S., <u>Islamic society in Persia</u>, School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London.

Lambton, A. K. S., <u>The merchant in medieval Islam</u>, in <u>Locust's</u> <u>Leg: in honour of S. H. Tagizada</u>, London 1962.

Lambton, A. K. S., The office of kalantar under the Safavids and Afshars, Tehran 1963.

Lapidus, I. M., <u>Muslim society in Mamluk Syria</u>, in <u>The Islamic</u> <u>City</u>, ed. by Hourani and Stern, pp.195-207.

Lapidus, I. M., The evaluation of Muslim urban Society, in Comparative Studies in Society and History, no.15, 1973, pp.21-20.

Lassner, J., The Caliph's personal domain: the city plan of Baghdad re-examined, in The Islamic City, ed. by Hourani and Stern, pp.103-118.

Lewis, Bernard, An epistle on manual crafts, in Islamic Culture, no.17, 1943, pp.142-152.

Lewis, Bernard, The Islamic guilds, in Econ. H.R., vol.8, no.19, 1937, pp.29-37.

Lockhart, Laurence, The Fall of the Şafavi dynasty and the Afghan occupation of Persia, Cambridge 1958.

Lockhart, Laurence, <u>The Persian Army in Şafavi Period</u>, in <u>Der</u> Islam, no.34, 1950, pp.89-98.

Lorimer, J. G., <u>Gazetteer of the Persian Gulf, Oman and Central Arabia</u>, vol.1, part 1, Calcutta 1915.

Lybyer, A. E., The Ottoman Turks and the routes of Orient Trade, in Eng. H.R., no.30, 1915, pp.577-588.

Malcolm, Sir John, The history of Persia from the early period to the present time, 2 vols, London 1815.

Malikian Shirvani, A. Ş., Şafavid metalwork, in <u>Iranian Studies</u>, no.7, part 2, 1974, pp.543-585.

Massignon, L. <u>Guilds</u>, in <u>International Encyclopedia of the Social Sciences</u>, ed. by Davis L. Sills, 17 vols, London, 1968, vol.6, pp.184-187.

Massignon, L., "Sinf", in E.I., 1st ed., 1934, vol.4, pp.436-437.

Minorsky, V., ed., <u>Tazkirat ut-Mulūk</u>: a manual of Şafavid <u>administration</u>, Persian text in facsimile (British Library ms. 0r.9496) with translation and commentary, London 1943. (<u>T.M.</u>).

Minorsky, V., The poetry of Shah Isma'il, in Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London, vol.10, 1940-42, pp.1006a-1053a.

Nasr, Hossein, Religion in Safavid Persia, in Iranian Studies, no.7, 1974, pp.271-286.

Nīrumand Raḥīmī, K., <u>Historical development of the central</u>
<u>Iranian cities during the Islamic period</u> (unpublished dissertation),
University of Durham 1977.

Palmer, W. C., The activities of the English East India Company in Persia and the Persian Gulf (1616-1657, (unpublished doctoral thesis), University of London 1932.

Parry, V. J., A history of the Ottoman empire to 1730, ed. by M. A. Cook, London 1976.

Perry, J. R., Forced migration in Iran during the 17th century and 18th century, in Iranian Studies, no.8, part 4, 1975, pp.199-215.

Perry, J. R., <u>Karīm Khān Zand: a critical history based on</u> contemporary sources, Chicago, 1979.

Pirenne, Henri, Economic and Social history of medieval Europe, tr. by J. E. Clegg, London 1958.

Polak, Jacob, <u>Persien, Das Land und seine Behwohner</u> (2 vols, Leipzig 1865), quoted in <u>The Economic History of Iran</u>, ed. by C. Issawi, pp.268-279.

Poole, R. S. The coins of the Shahs of Persia: Şafavis and Qajars, London 1887.

Pope, A. U., An introduction to Persian art since the 7th century A.D., London 1930.

Rabino, H. L., Coins, medals and seals of the Shahs of Iran (1500-1941), London 1944.

Rabino, J., <u>Banking in Persia</u>, in <u>Journal of the Institute of</u> Banking, no.13, 1892, pp.1-56.

Ricks, Thomas M., <u>Towards a social and economic history of 18th</u> century Iran, in <u>Iranian Studies</u>, no.6, parts 2 and 3, 1973, pp.110-126.

Riazul Islam, <u>Indo-Persian relations: a study of the political</u> and diplomatic relations between the Mughul empire and Iran, Lahore 1970.

Roolofz, M. A. P. Meilink, <u>The earliest relations between Persia</u> and the Netherlands, in <u>Persia</u>, no.6, 1974, pp.1-50.

Ruhani, S. K., XVI-XVII yuzyillarda Osmanli ve Safavi esnaf teskilati, unpublished doctoral thesis, Edibiyat Fakultesi, University of Istanbul 1977.

Savory, R. M., Some reflections on totalitarian tendencies in the Şafavid state, in Der Islam, vol.53, 1976, pp.226-241.

Savory, R. M., The development of the early Safavid state under Isma'il and Tahmasb, unpublished doctoral thesis, University of London 1958.

Savory, R. M., The struggle for supremacy in Persia after the death of Timur, in Der Islam, vol.40, 1965, pp.35-65.

Savory, R. M., Some notes on the provincial administration of the early Safavid empire, in Bulletin of the School of Oriental and African Studies, vol.27, 1964, pp.114-128.

Schuster Walser, S., <u>Das Şafawidische Persien im Spiegel</u> Europaischer Reiseberichte 1502-1722, Hamburg 1970.

Siroux, Maxime, Les caravanserais routiers Şafavides, in Iranian Studies, no.7, part 1, 1974, pp.348-379.

Sjoberg, Gideon, The pre-industrial city past and present, New York 1965.

Steensgaard, Niels, <u>Carracks</u>, <u>caravans</u> and <u>companies</u>: the <u>structural crisis in the European-Asian trade in the early 17th century</u>, Copenhagen 1973.

Stern, S. M., The constitution of the Islamic city, in The Islamic City, ed. by Hourani and Stern, pp.25-51.

Stevens, Henry, The dawn of British trade to the East India Company 1599-1603, London 1886.

Stevens, Robert, European visitors to the Safavid court, in Iranian Studies, no.7, part 2, 1974, pp.421-457.

Stevens, Sir Roger, The land of the Great Sophy, London 1971.

Sumer, Faruk, Safevi devletinin kurulusu ve gelismesinde

Anadolu Turklerinin rolu, with resumé in English: The role of
the Anatolian Turks in the foundation and development of the
Safavid state, Ankara 1976, pp.209-214.

Taeschner, F., <u>Futuwwa: Post-Mongol period</u>, in <u>E.I.</u>, 2nd ed. vol.2, pp.966-69.

Tapper, Richard, Shahsevan in the Safavid period, in <u>Bulletin</u> of the School of Oriental and African Studies, vol.37, 1974, pp.319-354.

Walsh, J. R., The historiography of Ottoman-Şafavid relations in the 16th and 17th centuries, in Historians of the Middle East, ed. by Bernard Lewis and P. M. Holt, London (School of Oriental and African Studies) 1962.

Walsh, J. R., The revolt of Alqas Mirza, in Wiener Zeitschrift fur die Kunde des Morgenlandes, vol.68, 1976, pp.61-79.

Welch, A., Shah Abbas and the arts of Isfahan, New York 1973.

Willan, T. S., Studies in Elizabethan foreign trade, Manchester 1959.

Willan, T. S., The early history of the Russia Company 1553-1603, Manchester 1956.

Wilber, D., Persian Gardens, Tokyo 1962.

Wills, C. J., Persia as it is, London 1887.

Wilson, Sir A. T., A bibliography of Persia, Oxford 1930.

Wilson, Sir A. T., <u>Early Spanish and Portuguese travellers</u> in <u>Persia</u>, Guildford 1927.

Wood, C. A., A history of the Levant Company, London 1964.

Wulff, H. E., <u>The traditional crafts of Persia</u>, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1966.

