

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

**National survey of training of psychiatrists on advance directives to refuse treatment in relation to bipolar disorder.**

Richard Morriss, Professor of Psychiatry, Division of Psychiatry and Applied Psychology, Institute of Mental Health, University of Nottingham, UK.

Mohan Mudigonda, research assistant, Division of Psychiatry and Applied Psychology, Institute of Mental Health, University of Nottingham, UK.

Peter Bartlett, Professor of Mental Health Law, School of Law and Institute of Mental Health University of Nottingham, UK.

Arun Chopra, Consultant Psychiatrist, Consultant Psychiatrist, Royal Edinburgh Hospital, Edinburgh, UK.

Steven Jones, Professor of Clinical Psychology, Spectrum Centre, University of Lancaster, UK.

Correspondence to Richard Morriss, Professor of Psychiatry, NIHR CLAHRC East Midlands, Institute of Mental Health, University of Nottingham Innovation Park, Triumph Road, Nottingham, NG7 2AD  
Tel 0115 823 0427. E-mail: [richard.morriss@nottingham.ac.uk](mailto:richard.morriss@nottingham.ac.uk)

21 **Abstract**

22

23 **Aims and Methods:** To determine features associated with better perceived quality of training for  
24 psychiatrists on advance decision-making in the Mental Capacity Act (MCA), and whether the quality  
25 or amount of training were associated with positive attitudes or use of advance decisions to refuse  
26 treatment (ADRTs) by psychiatrists in people with bipolar disorder (BD). An anonymised national  
27 survey of 650 trainee and consultant psychiatrists in England and Wales was performed.

28 **Results:** Good or better quality of training was associated with use of case summaries, role-play,  
29 ADRTs, assessment of mental capacity and its fluctuation. Good or better quality and two or more  
30 sessions MCA training were associated with more positive attitudes and reported use of ADRTs,  
31 although many psychiatrists would never discuss them clinically with people with BD.

32 **Clinical implications:** Consistent delivery of better quality training is required for all psychiatrists to  
33 increase use of ADRTs in people with BD.

34

35 149 words

36

37 Key words: Advance directives; advance health care planning; bipolar disorder; health legislation.

38 **Introduction**

39 The Mental Capacity Act 2005 (MCA) in England and Wales provides a legal framework for personal  
40 welfare and financial decisions to be made in advance by individuals, who later due to an  
41 impairment or disturbance of functioning in the mind or brain, may be unable to make these  
42 decisions for themselves (1). If capacity is not present, a decision can be made on behalf of the  
43 person based on what is in their best interests taking consideration of their wishes using three  
44 specific provisions of the MCA for advance decision-making:

- 45 1. Advance Decision to Refuse Treatment (ADRT), a legally binding provision preventing specific  
46 treatment ;
- 47 2. Statement of Wishes and Feelings (ASWF), a non-legally binding statement of preferences  
48 for treatment, and/or personal and financial affairs;
- 49 3. Lasting Power of Attorney (LPA), a legally binding direction identifying who will look after the  
50 person's personal and financial affairs.

51

52 Clinicians and their employers, especially psychiatrists, are legally required to "have regard to" MCA  
53 guidance and, if later asked, prove that they did (1, 2). Therefore there is an expectation that  
54 psychiatrists receive training in the MCA. However, the methods and amount of training that  
55 psychiatrists should receive are not specified, nor has the quality or amount of training been related  
56 to attitudes or use of ADRTs in practice. We chose to examine the attitudes to and use of ADRTs by  
57 psychiatrists as these may be seen as restrictive in terms of treatment offered by psychiatrists to  
58 people with BD. In a national survey of general adult and old age psychiatrists in England and Wales,  
59 we wished to explore how the quality and amount training they have received may be associated  
60 with their implementation of ADRTs in people where capacity is lost e.g. mania, severe depression  
61 and regained e.g. bipolar disorder (BD) to complement a survey of service user experience in BD (3).

62

63 **Methods**

64 **Objectives and design**

- 65 1. To determine what aspects of training in the MCA were associated with higher or low  
66 perceived quality of training in the view of psychiatrists.
- 67 2. To examine whether the quality and amount of training were associated with reported  
68 attitudes or use of Advance Directives to Refuse Treatment (ADRT) in people with BD.

69

70 We anticipated that high quality training may be required to overcome professional resistance to the  
71 use of ADRTs should any be present.

72

73 **Participants**

74

75 *Inclusion/exclusion criteria:*

- 76 a) Participants practice within England and Wales i.e. the jurisdiction of the Mental Capacity  
77 Act 2005;
- 78 b) Specialise in either general adult or old age psychiatry;
- 79 c) They were consultant psychiatrists or in training grades (CT1-CT3, ST4-ST6).;

80

81 **Procedure:**

82 We aimed to recruit a national sample of 500 psychiatrists in a 12 month period for the survey. No  
83 data were available for a formal power calculation. The study was advertised with the help of the  
84 National Institute of Health Research funded Mental Health Research Network (MHRN) and the  
85 Royal College of Psychiatrists. The Royal College of Psychiatrists agreed to publicise the study by  
86 tweeting the link to the survey, and the study team also attended a national conference organised  
87 by the Royal College of Psychiatrists to publicise the study. Consultants, senior and junior trainees in  
88 general adult and old age psychiatry were selected from different regions to ensure maximum  
89 variance of practical clinical experience. To maximise the participation rate of psychiatrists and the  
90 frankness of their responses, we anonymised the survey, not asking for personal information such as  
91 age, gender or workplace, and placed it on line or if they preferred we administered it face to face,  
92 by telephone or posted it.

93

#### 94 **Measures.**

95 The survey was divided into nine sections that addressed the following topics:

- 96 • Section A: Preliminary information - position, years since qualification, place of work (e.g.  
97 inpatient, crisis team), geographic location.
- 98 • Section B: Mental Capacity Act Training - how many sessions attended, whether mandatory,  
99 how recent, whether training considered advance decision-making that included ADRTs,  
100 nature of training, quality of training e.g. in your opinion how much of the training focused  
101 on advance decision-making (including ADRTs) – a significant amount, a reasonable amount,  
102 a minimal amount, none?
- 103 • Section C: ADRTs and bipolar disorder - whether they had experience of patients making  
104 ADRTs, whether they had advised on making ADRTs, content of ADRTs, factors influencing  
105 their decision to advise regarding ADRTs.
- 106 • Section D: ADRTs and other conditions - Content of ADRTs.
- 107 • Section E: ADRTs and the Mental Health Act 1983 - whether they had encountered ADRTs in  
108 context of patients admitted to psychiatric units or sectioned under the MHA.
- 109 • Section F: ADRTs in clinical practice - how often should they be discussed e.g. in your opinion  
110 how often do you feel that discussion of ADRTs should take place – at every consultation,  
111 every six months, at care programme approach (CPA) meetings, only when I think I might be  
112 relevant, only when another health or social care professional raises the topic, only if the  
113 patient or carer raises the topic or never?
- 114 • Section G: Advance statements of wishes and feelings - whether had experience of patients  
115 using these; what was contained, whether frequency changing among people with bipolar  
116 disorder.
- 117 • Section H: ADRTs and implementation of the Mental Capacity Act - whether they had  
118 experience of patients using ADRTs and their contents;
- 119 • Section I: Lasting powers of attorney - whether they had experience of patients making LPAs,  
120 who advised on these.

121

#### 122 **Analysis.**

123 Descriptive statistics were employed in the survey to explore the professional characteristics of  
124 psychiatrists and their experience of training. Univariate analysis indicated that several demographic  
125 or service provision factors may be associated with the use of the MCA. Binary logistic regression  
126 was applied to three separate analyses: 1. the quality of training (dependent variable) perceived by  
127 psychiatrists was explored in relation to the methods, site and content of training; 2. the quality of  
128 training (dependent variable) was then related to attitudes and experiences of psychiatrists to

129 implementing ADRTs in their clinical practice; 3 the amount of training (dependent variable) was  
130 related to their attitudes and experiences of implementing ADRTs. Checks for collinearity were  
131 applied by exploring the Spearman correlations between the independent variables that might enter  
132 the logistic regression. None of the independent variables were excluded because of collinearity.  
133 Odds ratios (ORs) and 95 per cent confidence intervals (CIs) are presented for any significant  
134 variables.

135  
136

## 137 **Results**

138 A total of 650 psychiatrists were recruited for the survey. Table 1 shows the grade, work setting,  
139 country of medical training, and duration of time since medical qualification of this sample. Within  
140 the sample, there were 374 (57.5%) consultants in general adult or old age psychiatry, and the  
141 remainder were trainees, with a slight majority qualified in medicine outside the UK. Psychiatrists  
142 were recruited for the study between May 2011 and June 2012. Of 607 respondents who identified  
143 the geographic location of their work, 133 (21.9%) were from the West Midlands, 116 (19.1%) from  
144 the East Midlands, 80 (13.2%) from the Southwest, 116 (19.1%) from the South East, 74 (12.2%)  
145 from the East of England, 46 (7.6%) from London and 10 (1.6%) from the North West of England.

146

147 [Table 1 about here](#)

148

149 Table 1 shows the number of training sessions, methods used for training, source of the training,  
150 quality of training and reasons for attending the training: 595 (91.5%) had attended at least one  
151 training session on the MCA; 465 (71.5%) had attended two or more sessions; and 326 (50.1%) had  
152 been to a training session in the previous year. Of the 595 psychiatrists trained in the MCA, 489  
153 (75.2%) had been trained by their local NHS Trust. The quality of the training was perceived to be  
154 high, with 446 (75.0% receiving training) rating it as good, very good or excellent (see Table 1).  
155 However, 209 (35.1% receiving training) psychiatrists stated that either minimal or no attention was  
156 paid to ADRTs in the training sessions.

157

158 [Table 2 about here](#)

159

160 Table 2 examines the binary multiple logistic regression associations between the quality of training  
161 and the methods of training, the site of training, the number of training sessions and topics covered  
162 in the training. Compared with average or poor training, good or better (very good or excellent)  
163 training was associated positively with the use of case summaries, role play, coverage of advance  
164 decision making (including ADRTs) and assessment of capacity. Video feedback was only carried out  
165 in good or better quality of training (44 or 9.9%, Fisher's exact 2-tailed test  $p < 0.001$ ). Average or  
166 poor training was associated with training in their own NHS Trust compared to good or better  
167 training (Table 2). In relation to the specific use of advance decision-making including ADRTs and the  
168 need to be able to assess fluctuating capacity in conditions such as BD with highly variable severity  
169 and therefore capacity, it is notable that even good or better quality training covered these issues in  
170 only just over 45% and 37% of cases respectively.

171

172 Only 94 (14.5%) of surveyed psychiatrists had encountered a patient with BD who had made an  
173 ADRT; 136 (20.9%) had encountered a patient with BD who had made an oral or written statement

174 of wishes and feelings; and 91 (14.0%) had encountered a patient with BD who had made an LPOA  
175 relating to health or personal welfare. Of the 259 psychiatrists expressing an opinion, 208 (80.3%)  
176 considered that the number of people with BD making ADRTs had remained the same since the  
177 implementation of the MCA in 2007, and 41 (15.8%) considered that it had increased by less than 10  
178 per cent. Of the 252 psychiatrists expressing a view regarding statements of wishes and feelings by  
179 people with BD, 187 (74.2%) thought that the frequency remained the same since the MCA came  
180 into force, and 46 (18.3%) that it had increased by less than 10 per cent.

181

182 Table 3 about here

183

184 Table 3 displays the binary multiple logistic regression associations between the quality of training  
185 and the discussion of ADRT with patients with BD or other patients who may lose mental capacity  
186 but then regain it. Compared with average or poor training, good or better training was associated  
187 with fewer psychiatrists who never discuss ADRTs with patients, and fewer psychiatrists who  
188 believed that they had insufficient time to discuss ADRTs with patients. Table 3 also shows that  
189 compared with only receiving one training session on the MCA, receiving two or more training  
190 sessions was associated with more psychiatrists discussing ADRTs at Care Programme Approach  
191 meetings and fewer psychiatrists who believed that they had insufficient training to discuss ADRTs  
192 with patients. There were no other associations between the quality of MCA training or number of  
193 MCA training sessions and reported practice or beliefs about implementing ADRTs.

194

195 However, 206 (46.3%) psychiatrists would not discuss ADRTs even if the person with BD or carer  
196 raised it, and even after good or better training 96 (21.5%) would never discuss ADRTs. Furthermore,  
197 177 (39.7%) and 178 (38.3%) of psychiatrists still believed they had insufficient training and time to  
198 discuss ADRTs in clinical practice despite good or better training and two or more training sessions  
199 respectively.

200

## 201 Discussion

202 Although the need for training of psychiatrists and other clinical health staff in the MCA is often  
203 recommended or even required (1,2,4,5), and clinical guidelines also support the importance of  
204 considering the MCA in people with bipolar disorder (6), there is an assumption that all training is  
205 likely to help clinicians become more familiar with the MCA and that such training will improve  
206 attitudes and use in practice of the MCA by psychiatrists. We found that there was plenty of training  
207 in the MCA being offered to and taken up by psychiatrists at trainee and consultant level; 92 per  
208 cent of trainee and consultant psychiatrists had received at least one training session on the MCA  
209 with 50 per cent receiving the training in the last year. Although 75 per cent of psychiatrists rated  
210 their training in the MCA as good or better, ADRTs were only covered in 65 per cent of the MCA  
211 training.

212

213 Psychiatrists preferred MCA training that was not didactic and merely information giving, rating  
214 training as good or better that utilised discussion of the MCA in relation to case summaries, used  
215 role-play, and covered topics such as advance decisions to refuse treatment, the assessment of  
216 capacity and the assessment of fluctuating capacity. Although the assessment of mental capacity  
217 was usually covered in MCA training, the topic of fluctuating capacity was rarely discussed while the  
218 potentially challenging issue of ADRTs was discussed in only 39 per cent of MCA training attended by

219 psychiatrists. Therefore in the view of the authors, training of psychiatrists was rarely of sufficient  
220 quality to meet the needs of people with BD under the MCA. Training arranged by NHS Trust was not  
221 perceived to be as good as training provided by the Royal College of Psychiatrists, law firms or other  
222 external agencies. The reasons for this view are unclear.

223

224 There was some evidence that good or better quality MCA training received by psychiatrists was  
225 associated with fewer psychiatrists reporting that they would never discuss ADRTs under any  
226 circumstances. Receipt of two or more sessions of MCA training was associated with an increased  
227 likelihood that ADRTs would be discussed routinely in multidisciplinary Care Programme Approach  
228 meetings. Both better quality and more training sessions were associated with a reduced likelihood  
229 that psychiatrists had insufficient time to address ADRTs. While these data are associations and not a  
230 comparison of interventions delivered in a randomised controlled trial, there was some evidence  
231 that higher quality training and more than one training session may be helpful in both improving the  
232 attitudes to and use in clinical practice of ADRTs by psychiatrists in patients with BD or other  
233 patients who lose and then regain mental capacity. Another alternative explanation is that  
234 psychiatrists who are interested in helping people with BD through the MCA attend more than one  
235 session of training and find better quality training.

236

237 Nevertheless offering training in the MCA that psychiatrists perceive as good or better quality seems  
238 insufficient to improving their attitudes to ADRTs and their use in practice in people with BD. Even  
239 after good or better training, 22 per cent of psychiatrists would never discuss ADRTs under any  
240 circumstances, 46 per cent would not discuss ADRTs even if the person with BD or carer raised it,  
241 and 39 per cent believed they had insufficient training and time to discuss ADRTs in clinical practice.  
242 These findings chime with the experience of people with BD in a national survey we carried out (3)  
243 where neither knowledge nor use of ADRTs were associated with seeing a psychiatrist, although  
244 knowledge and use of ADRTs were associated with seeing other mental health professionals and  
245 attendance at peer support groups.

246

247 A strength of the survey was that to our knowledge it is the first of its sort inquiring into quality of  
248 training of psychiatrists and relating it to their attitudes and use of ADRTs with people with BD. The  
249 survey was large, national and deliberately anonymised so psychiatrists would feel able to comment  
250 frankly without any possible constraint. We judged that this advantage of the methodology  
251 outweighed the disadvantage that we do not know how many psychiatrists had the opportunity to  
252 take part in the survey but decided not to. We also do not know much about the characteristics of  
253 psychiatrists in terms of the demographic characteristics of who did or did not take part in the  
254 survey. A further limitation was that this survey was completed four years ago so the quality of  
255 training and use of ADRTs in clinical practice may have improved. Furthermore by concentrating on  
256 MCA training in relation to ADRTs in BD, we cannot comment on other aspects of MCA training on  
257 other forms of advance decision-making, application of ADRTs in people who are less likely to regain  
258 mental capacity and deprivation of liberty.

259

260 The findings confirm those of a four year reaudit study where increases in MCA training and  
261 improved documentation had a minimal impact on the recording of the MCA by psychiatrists in  
262 patient records (7). There seems to be some consistency in studies of advance planning that the  
263 therapeutic relationship between mental health professionals, including psychiatrists, and their

264 patients is improved with advance planning (8, 9). The House of Lords (2014) heard much evidence  
265 that the implementation of the MCA had failed to make much of an impact on clinical practice in the  
266 way that was intended, and made 39 recommendations to improve the implementation of the MCA  
267 (4). We have not had the opportunity to study the effects of these recommendations but note that  
268 none of these relate to the quality or amount of training that psychiatrists or other health  
269 professionals receive in relation to the MCA. The Academy of Royal Medical Colleges were asked to  
270 report on measures to improve the uptake of the MCA (4, 5). So far it has organised educational  
271 events on the MCA of its own but has not made recommendations on the content, form, amount or  
272 frequency of training that psychiatrists or other health professionals should receive in relation to the  
273 MCA (10).

274

275 Therefore we conclude that there is a need to improve the quality of training that psychiatrists  
276 receive on the MCA so that fluctuating capacity and ADRTs are covered, and that techniques such as  
277 case summaries and role-play are employed to improve confidence and competencies of  
278 psychiatrists in its use. There may be a case for adding training in the MCA to mandatory training  
279 under the 1983 Mental Health Act section 22 training regulations. There is a need for further  
280 implementation research on ways to improve the knowledge and use of the MCA, including ADRTs,  
281 by people with BD or other conditions where capacity is lost and then regained, and also on how to  
282 improve the attitudes of psychiatrists and assist them further to discuss ADRTs with people who  
283 have BD or similar conditions.

284

285 2,974 words

286

#### 287 **Acknowledgment**

288 This study was funded by the National Institute of Healthcare Research (NIHR) as part of the  
289 PARADES Programme Grant number. RM was funded by the NIHR Collaboration for Leadership in  
290 Applied Health Research and Care East Midlands (CLAHRC EM). The views expressed in this article  
291 are those of the authors and not necessarily the NHS, the NIHR or the Departments of Health in  
292 England or Wales.

293

#### 294 **Declaration of Interests.**

295 The authors report no conflict of interests.

296



297 **References**

- 298 1. Ministry of Justice. Mental Capacity Act. Ministry of Justice London, 2005.
- 299 2. Department for Constitutional Affairs. Mental Capacity Act (2005): Code of Practice. The  
300 Stationary Office: London, 2007.
- 301 3. Bartlett P, Morriss R, Mudigonda M, Chopra A, Jones S. Advance decisions under the  
302 Mental Capacity Act 2005 in cases of bipolar disorder. Journal of Social Welfare and  
303 Family Law. In press.
- 304 4. House of Lords. Mental Capacity Act 2005: post-legislative scrutiny. Her Majesty's  
305 Stationary Office; London, 2014.
- 306 5. Department of Health. Valuing every voice, respecting every right: making the case for  
307 the Mental Capacity Act. Department of Health: London, 2014.
- 308 6. National Institute of Health and Clinical Excellence. Bipolar disorder: the assessment and  
309 management of bipolar disorder in adults, children and young people in primary and  
310 secondary care. Clinical Guideline number 185. British Psychological Society and Royal  
311 College of Psychiatrists, 2014.
- 312 7. Dunlop C, Sorinmade O. Embedding the Mental Capacity Act 2005 in clinical practice: an  
313 audit review. Psychiatric Bulletin 2014; 38: 291-293.
- 314 8. Lepping P, Stanly T, Turner J. Systematic review on the prevalence of lack of capacity in  
315 medical and psychiatric settings. Clin. Med. (Lond). 2015; 15: 337-43.
- 316 9. Swanson JW, Swartz MS, Elbogen EB et al. Facilitated psychiatric advance directives: a  
317 randomized trial of an intervention to foster advance treatment planning among  
318 persons with severe mental illness. Am. J. Psychiatry 2006; 163: 1943-1951.
- 319 10. Academy of Medical Royal Colleges: The Mental Capacity Act.  
320 <http://www.aomrc.org.uk/quality-policy-delivery/improving-quality-and-standards/mental-capacity-act/> Accessed 05.12.2016.
- 321
- 322

323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330  
331  
332  
  
333  
334  
335

336 **Table 1: Professional characteristics and nature of MCA training of psychiatrists (n=650)**

337

	<b>Work characteristic</b>	<b>Number</b>	<b>%</b>
Grade	Consultant general adult psychiatry	283	43.5
	Consultant old age psychiatry	91	14.0
	ST4-6 trainees	111	17.1
	CT1-3 trainees	130	20.0
	Missing	35	5.4
Main work setting	Community mental health team	349	53.7
	In-patient	216	33.3
	Crisis team/EIP/ACT	77	11.9
	Missing	8	1.2
Years since medical qualification	0-10	210	32.3
	11-20	241	37.1
	21-30	146	22.5
	30+	51	7.8
	Missing	2	0.3
Country of medical qualification	United Kingdom	306	47.1
	European Union	51	7.8
	Outside European Union	288	44.3
	Missing	5	0.8
Number of training sessions	0	55	8.5
	1	128	19.7
	2	183	28.2
	3	113	17.4
	>3	169	26.0
	Trained but missing data	2	0.3
Method of training <sup>1</sup>	Case examples	491	75.5
	Role play	82	12.6
	Watch video	44	6.8
	None of these	86	13.2
Source of training <sup>1</sup>	Local NHS Trust	489	75.2
	Royal College of Psychiatrists	133	20.5
	Legal or Solicitor	48	7.4
	Pharmaceutical company	35	5.4
	Other	89	13.7
Perceived quality of training	Excellent	24	4.0
	Very good	153	25.7
	Good	269	45.2
	Average	134	22.5
	Below average	12	2.0
	Missing	58	8.9
Primary reason for attending	Mandatory NHS Trust training	172	28.9
	Approved Clinician training	194	32.6
	Educational event	128	21.5
	Personal interest	79	13.3
	Other	22	3.7
	Missing	55	8.4

338 <sup>1</sup> Categories are not mutually exclusive

339 **Table 2: Content and method training related to perceived quality of training in MCA (n=588)**

340

Training characteristic	Quality of training				Multivariate statistics		
	Good or better (n = 444)		Average or worse (n = 144)				
	No.	%	No.	%	Odds Ratio	95% CI for Odds Ratio	P-Value
Used role play	76	17.1	26	4.1	3.32	1.37, 8.07	0.008
Training in advance decision-making <sup>1</sup>	203	45.6	26	17.8	2.58	1.54, 4.31	< 0.001
Capacity assessment	410	92.3	107	74.3	2.80	1.56, 5.02	0.001
Training in their NHS Trust	355	80.0	132	91.7	0.39	0.20, 0.77	0.007

341 55 psychiatrists received no MCA training, 7 missing responses.

342 <sup>1</sup> including Advance decision to refuse treatment

343

344 **Table 3. Relationship between quality and amount of training on MCA and barriers to**  
 345 **implementing ADRTs.**  
 346

Training characteristic	Quality of training				Multivariate Statistics		
	Good or better (n = 444)		Average or worse (n = 144)				
	No.	%	No.	%	Odds Ratio	95% CI for Odds Ratio	P-Value
Never discuss ADRT <sup>1</sup>	96	21.5	48	32.9	0.53	0.35, 0.79	0.010
Insufficient time to do ADRT <sup>1</sup>	177	39.7	79	54.1	0.57	0.37, 0.88	0.002
	Amount of Training						
	≥2 sessions (n = 465)		1 session (n = 127)				
Discuss ADRT <sup>1</sup> routinely at Care Programme Approach meetings	77	16.6	11	8.7	2.372	1.17, 4.83	0.017
Insufficient training to do ADRT <sup>1</sup>	178	38.3	80	63.8	0.41	0.27, 0.63	<0.001

347 <sup>1</sup> ADRT = Advance Decision to Refuse Treatment;  
 348 55 psychiatrists received no MCA training, 7 missing responses on quality of training and 3 missing responses  
 349 on amount of training.  
 350

351