

INTRAGASTRIC INFUSION OF DENATONIUM BENZOATE ATTENUATES INTERDIGESTIVE GASTRIC **MOTILITY** AND HUNGER SCORES IN HEALTHY FEMALE VOLUNTEERS

Eveline Deloose¹, Pieter Janssen¹, Maura Corsetti^{1,2}, Jessica Biesiekierski¹, Imke Masuy¹,
Alessandra Rotondo¹, Lukas Van Oudenhove¹, Inge Depoortere¹, Jan Tack¹

1 Translational Research Centre for Gastrointestinal Disorders, University of Leuven, Belgium

2 National Institute for Health Research, Nottingham Digestive Diseases Biomedical Research Unit, Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

Names for PubMed indexing: Deloose, Janssen, Corsetti, Biesiekierski, Masuy, Rotondo, Van Oudenhove, Depoortere, Tack

Running title: DB and the effect on the MMC and motilin release

Key terms: Bitter, Hunger, Migrating motor complex, Motilin, Denatonium benzoate

Number of figures and tables: tables (4) and figures (6)

Clinical trial registration: [NCT02759926](https://www.clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/study/NCT02759926)

Corresponding author and person to who reprint requests should be addressed:

Jan Tack, MD, TARGID, Herestraat 49, box 701, BE-3000 Leuven, Belgium

E-mail: jan.tack@kuleuven.be, Tel: +32 (0)16 345751, Fax: +32 (0)16 345939

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest

Funding: This work was supported by a Methusalem grant from Leuven University and by a research grant from the Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO) to Jan Tack, M.D., Ph.D. At the time of the study Eveline Deloose was a PhD fellow and Jessica Biesiekierski a postdoctoral fellow of the FWO. Methusalem is a grant provided by the KULeuven. FWO is a research funding institute supported by the Flemish government. The funders had no role in the design, implementation, analysis and interpretation of the data.

Abbreviations: CASR: Ca²⁺-sensing receptor, CCK: cholecystokinin, DB: denatonium benzoate, ERK: extracellular signal-regulated kinase, GIT: gastrointestinal tract, GLP-1: glucagon-like

peptide 1, GPCR: G-protein coupled receptor, HRM: high-resolution manometry, MI: motility index, MMC: migrating motor complex, PROP: *6-n*-propylthiouracil, TAS2R: taste 2 receptor, VAS: visual analogue scale.

1 ABSTRACT

2 **Background:** Denatonium benzoate (DB) has been shown to influence ongoing ingestive
3 behavior and gut peptide secretion.

4 **Objectives:** To study the effect of intragastric administration of DB on interdigestive motility,
5 motilin and ghrelin plasma levels, hunger and satiety ratings and food intake in healthy
6 volunteers.

7 **Design:** Lingual bitter taste sensitivity was tested using 6 concentrations of DB in 65 subjects.
8 Placebo or DB (1 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{kg}$) were given intragastrically to assess their effect on fasting
9 gastrointestinal motility and hunger ratings; on motilin and ghrelin plasma levels; on satiety
10 and finally on caloric intake.

11 **Results:** Women (n=39) were more sensitive towards a lingual bitter stimulus ($p=0.005$) than
12 men (n=26). In women (n=10), intragastric DB caused a switch in the origin of phase III
13 contractions from stomach to duodenum ($p=0.001$) and decreased hunger ratings ($p=0.04$).
14 These effects were not observed in male participants (n=10). In females (n=12), motilin
15 ($p=0.04$) plasma levels decreased after intragastric DB administration while total and
16 octanoylated ghrelin were not affected. Intragastric administration of DB decreased hunger
17 ($p=0.008$) and increased satiety ratings ($p=0.01$) after a meal (500 kcal) in 13 female subjects
18 without affecting gastric emptying in 6 female subjects. Caloric intake tended to decrease
19 after DB administration compared to placebo (720 ± 58 kcal vs 796 ± 45 kcal; $p=0.08$) in 20
20 female subjects.

21 **Conclusions:** Intragastric DB administration decreases both antral motility and hunger ratings
22 during the fasting state, possibly due to a decrease in motilin release. Moreover, DB decreases
23 hunger and increases satiety ratings after a meal and shows potential to decrease caloric
24 intake. This trial was registered at clinicaltrials.gov as NCT02759926.

25 **Keywords:** Bitter, Hunger, Migrating motor complex, Motilin, Denatonium benzoate

26 **Subject group:** Nutritional status, dietary intake, and body composition

27

28 INTRODUCTION

29 Denatonium benzoate (DB), benzyl-diethyl (2:6-xylylcarbamoyl methyl) ammonium benzoate,
30 is a strong bitter tastant added to household products to prevent ingestion of potentially
31 harmful substances through taste aversion (1, 2). Concentrations as low as 10 ppb are already
32 detectable, at 50 ppb the taste is distinguishably bitter and at 10 ppm it is described as
33 unpleasantly bitter. In the US, DB is added at a concentration of 6 ppm to denature alcohol
34 (3). Specialized G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) from the taste 2 receptor (TAS2R) family
35 are involved in the perception of bitter compounds (4). Until now, 25 TAS2Rs have been
36 identified in humans (5). Based on the sensitivity towards 6-*n*-propylthiouracil (PROP), three
37 categories of bitter sensitivity have been identified: non-tasters, medium-tasters and super-
38 tasters (6, 7). It has been reported that more women than men are classified as super-tasters
39 (6, 8).

40 Besides its extreme bitter taste, DB also has effects on gastrointestinal functions. Direct
41 intraluminal administration of DB in mice has shown to inhibit ongoing ingestive behavior, to
42 suppress food intake and to inhibit gastric emptying (9, 10). Moreover, DB stimulated the *in*
43 *vitro* release of glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) and cholecystokinin (CCK), which are known
44 to increase satiety and satiation respectively (11, 12). Intra-gastric administration of DB in
45 humans has been shown to impair relaxation of the proximal stomach after infusion of a liquid
46 meal and to increase satiation during an oral nutrient tolerance test (13).

47 During the fasting state, the gastrointestinal tract (GIT) exhibits a specific contractility pattern
48 known as the migrating motor complex (MMC), which can be divided into three phases (14-
49 17). During phase I, no contractions are present in the upper GIT; activity increases during
50 phase II to reach a burst of maximum contractility during phase III, which can originate from

51 the stomach or the small intestine (14, 15). Exogenous administration of motilin or ghrelin
52 triggers a premature gastric phase III in healthy volunteers (17, 18). Endogenous motilin
53 plasma levels, but not ghrelin, fluctuate in synchrony with antral contractility of the MMC, to
54 reach a peak just before the occurrence of a gastric phase III (16, 17, 19). We recently showed
55 that motilin-induced gastric phase III contractions of the MMC signal hunger in healthy
56 volunteers and that motilin plasma levels were closely associated with interdigestive hunger
57 ratings (20, 21). In 1916, *Carlson* (22) reported an inhibitory effect of intragastrically
58 administered bitter compounds on both fasting gastric contractility and hunger sensations,
59 but the underlying mechanism was not elucidated.

60 A first objective of the current study was to evaluate gender differences in the bitter taste
61 sensation of orally administered DB in healthy volunteers. Secondly the effect of intragastric
62 administration of DB on hunger ratings and gastrointestinal activity was evaluated. The third
63 aim was to evaluate the role of gastrointestinal hormones in the DB-induced effects. As fourth
64 and fifth objectives, we evaluated if DB was able to attenuate the return of hunger after a
65 standardized meal and to decrease caloric intake respectively.

66 MATERIAL AND METHODS

67 This study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of the Leuven University Hospital,
68 Leuven, Belgium, and performed in full accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

69 Study design

70 The current study consisted of 5 independent protocols studying the following parameters:
71 lingual bitter taste sensitivity, gastrointestinal activity, hormonal responses, satiety ratings
72 and food intake.

73 Test compounds

74 DB was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA). Solutions of DB were prepared in
75 tap water. The stock concentration for intragastric administration was 10 mM. A volume of
76 0.1 ml/kg bodyweight was administered. The dosage of DB was chosen based on its inhibitory
77 effect on gastric accommodation in healthy volunteers (13). Tap water was given during the
78 placebo condition in a volume of 0.1 ml/kg bodyweight. The pH between the 2 test solutions
79 did not differ (pH7.4).

80 Subjects

81 Volunteers were eligible to participate if they were healthy, aged between 18 and 60 years
82 old, had a BMI (in kg/m²) between 18 and 30, and were recruited from an existing volunteer
83 database in our group. Exclusion criteria were gastrointestinal diseases, abdominal surgery
84 (appendectomy allowed), psychiatric illnesses, and usage of drugs affecting the GIT or central
85 nervous system. Written informed consent was obtained from all volunteers before the start
86 of the study. A total of 65 volunteers (40% men; mean±SEM age: 29±1 y; mean±SEM BMI:
87 23±0.4) participated in the bitter taste protocol; 20 (50% men; age: 27±9 y; BMI: 24±2)

88 participated in the gastrointestinal protocol; 12 female subjects (age: 31 ± 4 y; BMI: 22 ± 1)
89 participated in the hormone protocol; 13 female subjects (age: 28 ± 3 y; BMI: 23 ± 1) participated
90 in the satiety protocol and 20 female (age: 23 ± 0.3 y; BMI: 22 ± 1) subjects were included in the
91 food intake protocol. **Sample sizes were calculated based on results from previous studies and**
92 **provided 80% power to detect significant differences of 15% with an alpha of 0.05** (13, 23,
93 24). Based on the results obtained from the lingual (study 1) and gastrointestinal (study 2)
94 bitter sensitivity studies it was decided to only include female participants for the last 3 study
95 protocols. **A flow chart of the subject distribution can be found in the online supplemental**
96 **material (Supplemental Figure 1). None of the volunteers dropped out. Volunteers that**
97 **participated in multiple protocols were randomly selected.** All subjects were studied after an
98 overnight fast of 12 hours and were asked to refrain from smoking at least 1 hour before the
99 start of the study except for the first study protocol where smoking was not allowed before
100 the start of the study.

101 **Study protocols**

102 *Study 1: Bitter taste sensitivity of DB*

103 Six different concentrations (0, 0.1 μ M, 1 μ M, 10 μ M, 0.1 mM and 1 mM) of DB were tested
104 using taste strips (25). The taste strips were placed on the tongue for 90 sec with a closed
105 mouth. Between each concentration participants rinsed their mouth with tap water. The taste
106 strips were given in ascending order of DB concentration, but participants were not aware of
107 this. Bitter taste sensation was scored for each concentration on a 10 cm visual analogue scale
108 (VAS) (0 cm: not bitter at all, 10 cm: extremely bitter).

109 *Study 2: Hunger and gastrointestinal motility responses to intragastric DB administration* 110 *during the interdigestive state*

111 This study was a placebo-controlled single-blind randomized trial. All these participants also
112 participated in study 1 (Supplemental Figure 1). Placebo (tap water) or DB (1 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$
113 bodyweight) was administered directly into the upper part of the stomach through a
114 nasogastric feeding tube (Flocare, Nutricia, Bornem, Belgium), 20 min after a complete MMC
115 cycle (Figure 1A). The position of the feeding tube was checked with fluoroscopy. By bypassing
116 the tongue, participants could not taste which compound was given. After administration, the
117 measurement continued until the next phase III. Hunger was scored every 5 min on a 10 cm
118 VAS (0 cm: not at all hungry, 10 cm: as hungry as I have ever felt) (26). Adverse events
119 (headache, nausea and stomach ache) were scored every 20 min on a 9-point numerical rating
120 scale.

121 *Study 3: The effect of intragastric DB administration on motilin and ghrelin plasma levels*
122 *during the interdigestive state*

123 This study was a placebo-controlled single-blind randomized trial. These subjects also
124 participated in study 1 and 10 of them also participated in study 2 (Supplemental Figure 1).
125 Twenty minutes (Figure 1B) after the end of a phase III contraction either placebo (tap water)
126 or DB (1 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ bodyweight) were administered directly into the upper part of the stomach
127 through a nasogastric feeding tube. The position of the feeding tube was checked with
128 fluoroscopy. The measurement was continued for another 2 hours and blood samples were
129 taken every 10 min to measure motilin and ghrelin plasma levels. The first blood sample was
130 taken 10 min prior to intragastric administration. Hunger was scored every 5 min on a VAS.

131 *Study 4: The effect of DB on hunger and satiety ratings after a meal*

132 This study was a placebo-controlled single-blind randomized trial. Thirty minutes (Figure 1C)
133 after the intragastric administration of placebo (tap water) or DB (1 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ bodyweight), a

134 meal, consisting of two pancakes (500 kcal total), was consumed within 15 min. In 6 subjects
135 (31 ± 6 years, 23 ± 2 kg/m²), pancakes ingested on both occasions were labeled with sodium ¹³C-
136 octanoate. Every 15 min, starting from just before the treatment until 6 hours after the meal,
137 volunteers exhaled in an exetainer that was stored in the fridge for later analysis. The ¹³CO₂
138 secretion data was analyzed by non-linear regression to allow curve fitting and calculation of
139 the gastric half-emptying time. Subjects scored their hunger and satiety feelings prior to the
140 administration and every 15 min for 4 hours starting from the meal intake on a VAS.

141 *Study 5: The effect of DB on food intake*

142 This study was a placebo-controlled double-blind randomized trial. Forty minutes after the
143 intragastric administration of DB (1 μmol/kg bodyweight) or placebo (tap water), subjects ate
144 ad libitum from an excess free-choice buffet for 1 hour. Food items included a variety of pre-
145 sliced, ready-to-eat food items including bread, ham, cheese, lettuce, tomato, mayonnaise,
146 jam, sweets, crisps, rice pudding, waffles, chocolate, apple and banana, which were weighed
147 pre- and postprandially to calculate caloric intake. **The total caloric value of the buffet meal
148 was 2330 kcal containing 55 g protein, 94 g fat of which 32 g was saturated and 291 g
149 carbohydrates.**

150 **Study techniques**

151 *Antroduodenal motility:*

152 Activity of the MMC was measured in study protocol 2 and 3 using a high-resolution solid-
153 state manometry catheter (36 channels, spaced 1 cm apart, Manoscan 360, Sierra Scientific
154 Instruments, Los Angeles, CA, USA, Manoview analysis software v2.0.) as described previously
155 (19). During the manometry measurements, phases of the MMC were identified based on

156 standardized definitions (27, 28). Motility index (MI) was calculated as follows: (number of
157 contractions*average amplitude contractions*average duration contractions)/5min (19, 29).
158 Average MI was calculated by averaging 6 consecutive antral channels. The anatomical
159 location of the channels was determined via fluoroscopy and through the characteristics of
160 the contractions measured using high-resolution manometry.

161 *Hormone measurements:*

162 Blood samples for motilin detection were collected in lithium heparin tubes containing 500
163 kIU/ml aprotinin (Roche Applied Science, Penzberg, Germany) and stored at -80°C, **after**
164 **centrifugation**, until assayed. Ghrelin blood samples were collected in EDTA tubes
165 supplemented with 500 kIU/ml aprotinin, centrifuged, acidified to a final concentration of
166 0.1N HCl, extracted on Sep-Pak C18 columns and vacuum-dried. Motilin and ghrelin levels
167 were determined by radioimmunoassay as fully described elsewhere (10, 19).

168 **Statistical analysis**

169 Significance was set at $p < 0.05$. BMI and age were compared between sexes using two-tailed
170 unpaired Student's t-tests or Mann Whitney U tests depending on the distribution. Bonferroni
171 correction for multiple testing was applied for post-hoc t-tests. **SAS (Statistical Analysis System**
172 **version 9.3; SAS Institute) was used to analyze the data. Data are represented as mean \pm SEM**
173 **or median [Q1, Q3].**

174 *Study 1: Bitter taste sensitivity of DB*

175 Bitter taste sensitivity was analyzed using mixed models with BMI, sex, DB concentration and
176 an interaction effect between sex and DB concentration as independent variables. Sex and DB

177 concentration were entered as categorical variables. DB concentration was entered as a
178 repeated within-subject variable.

179 *Study 2: Hunger and gastrointestinal motility responses to intragastric DB administration*
180 *during the interdigestive state*

181 The percentage origin of phase III was compared between placebo and DB with McNemar's
182 test. Paired Student's t-tests were used to compare the interval between administration and
183 phase III contractions between placebo and DB. Percentage change of hunger was calculated
184 with 10 min before intragastric administration as the reference point. Mixed model analysis
185 was used to compare percentage change of hunger between placebo and DB during phase III.
186 Drug (placebo and DB) and time were entered as categorical fixed effects; a drug-by-time
187 interaction effect was included. Drug and time were entered as within-subject variables.

188 *Study 3: The effect of intragastric DB administration on motilin and ghrelin plasma levels*
189 *during the interdigestive state*

190 Mixed model analysis was used to assess the main effects of time and drug (placebo and DB)
191 and the interaction effect between time and drug on the percentage change of hormone
192 plasma levels. Drug and time were entered as within-subject categorical variables. Percentage
193 change of hormone plasma levels was calculated with 10 min prior to intragastric
194 administration as the reference point.

195 The effect of hormone plasma levels on MI antrum was assessed using mixed model analysis
196 with the hormone of interest (motilin, total ghrelin or octanoylated ghrelin), drug (placebo
197 and DB) and time as main effects together with an interaction effect between drug and
198 hormone. Drug and time were entered as categorical **within-subject** variables. The same

199 analysis was done for hunger as the dependent variable. Percentage change was used for MI
200 antrum, hormone plasma levels and hunger. The reference point was set at 10 min prior to
201 intragastric administration.

202 *Study 4: The effect of DB on the return of hunger after a meal*

203 Mixed model analysis was used to assess the effect of drug (placebo and DB) on hunger and
204 satiety after a meal intake. Drug and time were included as categorical within-subject main
205 effects together with their interaction effect. Gastric half-time emptying **time** was compared
206 between the 2 conditions using Wilcoxon signed rank test.

207 *Study 5: The effect of DB on food intake*

208 Our hypothesis for this protocol was that intragastric administration of DB would decrease
209 caloric intake compared to placebo. This hypothesis was formulated based on the results of
210 our previous experiments which are described in the results section (study 2-4). Based on the
211 hypothesized direction of the effect we decided to compare caloric intake between placebo
212 and DB administration using a one-tailed paired Student's t-test.

213 **RESULTS**

214 *Women are more sensitive to DB lingual stimulation than men*

215 BMI ($p=0.02$), but not age ($p=0.9$), differed between the two sexes (**Table 1**). Increasing
216 concentrations of DB were perceived as more bitter (**Figure 2**; $p<0.0001$), but a significant sex
217 effect (Figure 2; $p=0.005$) was also present. There was no interaction effect between sex and
218 DB concentration ($p=0.1$). **There was a trend of a positive association between BMI and bitter**
219 **taste perception (beta coefficient of 0.3 ± 0.2 ; $p=0.06$).**

220 *Intragastric administration of DB inhibits gastric phase III and decreases hunger scores in*
221 *female participants*

222 BMI ($p=0.003$), but not age ($p=0.6$), differed between the two sexes (Table 1). None of the
223 volunteers could discriminate between placebo and DB during intragastric administration. No
224 adverse events were reported by any of the participants when DB was given.

225 In women, administration of DB (**Figure 3A**; $p=0.001$) reduced the number of phase III
226 contractions with a gastric origin from 67% (placebo) to 33% (DB). The interval between
227 intragastric administration and the occurrence of phase III did not differ ($p=0.5$) between
228 placebo (76 ± 12 min) and DB (93 ± 12 min) treatment. In men (Figure 3B) there was no ($p=0.1$)
229 difference in the origin of phase III contractions between placebo (57% gastric) and DB (40%
230 gastric). The interval between intragastric administration and the occurrence of phase III did
231 not differ ($p=0.2$) between placebo (76 ± 11 min) and DB (111 ± 19 min).

232 The switch from a gastric to a duodenal phase III origin in females after DB administration was
233 accompanied by a significantly lower percentage change of hunger scores compared to

234 placebo (Figure 3C; $p=0.04$). In contrast, in male participants, the percentage change in hunger
235 scores (Figure 3D; $p=0.3$) during phase III did not differ between placebo and DB treatment.

236 *Intragastric administration of DB inhibits the increase in motilin plasma levels*

237 The effect of intragastric administration of DB on the release of motilin and ghrelin during the
238 interdigestive state was measured. There was a significant main effect of treatment (**Figure**
239 **4A**; $p=0.04$) on the percentage change of motilin plasma levels due to a relative increase in
240 motilin plasma levels during placebo administration and a relative decrease during intragastric
241 administration of DB. There was no difference between the 2 treatment arms for the
242 percentage change of total (Figure 4B; $p=0.3$) or octanoylated (Figure 4C; $p=0.5$) ghrelin
243 plasma levels. **Values of the raw hormone plasma concentrations can be found in the online**
244 **supplemental material (Supplemental Figure 2).**

245 The change in antral motility was affected by the change in motilin plasma levels ($p=0.0003$),
246 as well as by DB administration ($p=0.02$) (**Table 2**). Furthermore, a significant interaction effect
247 between these two factors was found ($p=0.01$). This interaction effect depicts a significant
248 difference in the slope of the regression curves between **placebo and DB**. This **positive**
249 **association between antral motility and motilin plasma levels** was reduced after DB
250 administration compared to placebo (**Table 3**). A similar result was obtained for the effect of
251 motilin plasma level changes on changes in hunger ratings (**Table 4**). There was a significant
252 main effect of motilin ($p=0.0002$), DB administration ($p=0.02$) and a significant interaction
253 effect between the two ($p=0.02$). The slope of the regression curve between hunger changes
254 and motilin changes differed between placebo and DB (**Table 3**).

255 Changes in antral motility **were not associated** with changes in total ($p=0.9$) or octanoylated
256 ghrelin ($p=0.9$) (**Table 2**). Changes in total ghrelin plasma levels showed a trend ($p=0.06$) to **be**

257 associated with changes in hunger ratings. There was no associaton between changes in
258 octanoylated ghrelin ($p=0.9$) plasma levels and changes in hunger ratings (Table 4).

259 *Intragastric administration of DB suppresses hunger and increases satiety ratings after a meal*

260 DB administration before the standard meal was associated with prolonged elevated satiety
261 scores and delayed return of hunger after the meal (Figure 5). Hunger scores (Figure 5A) were
262 affected by both DB administration (main effect with lower ratings over all time points after
263 DB, $p=0.008$) and time relative to the meal ($p<0.0001$). The course of hunger over time tended
264 to differ between placebo and bitter adminisitation ($p=0.07$). Similarly, satiety scores (Figure
265 5B) were affected by both DB administration (higher ratings over all time points after DB,
266 $p=0.01$) and time ($p<0.0001$). There was no interaction effect between time and bitter
267 administration for satiety scores ($p=0.4$).

268 Gastric half-emptying time (measured in 6 subjects) did not differ between placebo and DB
269 (109 [93, 118] min vs 109 [87, 128] min; $p=0.7$).

270 Ad libitum food intake tended to decrease after intragastric administration of DB compared
271 with placebo (720 ± 58 kcal vs 796 ± 45 kcal; $p=0.08$) (Figure 6).

272 **DISCUSSION**

273 Our study showed that DB inhibited phase III contractions with gastric origin, with an
274 increased occurrence of phase III starting in the duodenum. In keeping with a role for gastric
275 phase III in determining interdigestive hunger, this switch was accompanied by a decrease in
276 hunger scores (20). Similar to our findings in the lingual system, the response to DB was sex-
277 dependent, being more pronounced in women than in men. The increase in motilin plasma
278 levels was significantly inhibited after DB administration compared to placebo, but ghrelin
279 plasma levels were not affected. The positive **association** between motilin and antral motility
280 was **reduced** after intragastric DB administration. A similar result was obtained for the
281 **association** between motilin and hunger ratings. Moreover, our study showed that intragastric
282 administration of DB decreased hunger and increased satiety scores after a standard meal
283 without altering gastric emptying. Finally, ad libitum food intake tended to decrease after
284 intragastric DB administration.

285 The most characteristic property of DB is its extreme bitter taste (2). DB is known to interact
286 with 8 of **the bitter taste receptors** in man (TAS2R4, TAS2R8, TAS2R10, TAS2R13, TAS2R39,
287 TAS2R43, TAS2R46, TAS2R47) (31, 32). Our finding that women perceive a bitter lingual
288 stimulus more intensely than men is in agreement with previous bitter sensitivity studies (6,
289 8). This sex difference has been associated with the density of fungiform papillae on the
290 anterior tongue and with polymorphisms in the haplotypes of the TAS2R38 gene for PROP
291 sensitivity (6, 7, 33, 34).

292 **In addition we found that** in women, but not in men, there was a switch in the origin of phase
293 III contractions from the stomach to the duodenum after administration of DB. This occurred
294 in parallel with a significant inhibition of hunger during phase III. The inhibitory effect of bitter

295 stimuli on antral motility and hunger has already been suggested in the beginning of the
296 previous century by *Carlson* (22). A dose of 1 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ DB was chosen since this dosage
297 significantly inhibited gastric accommodation without inducing adverse events (13). Also in
298 the present study no adverse events were reported by the volunteers. Two chronic toxicity
299 studies showed no significant changes in general behavior and appearance, ophthalmoscopy,
300 electrocardiograms, body weight, hematological and biochemical studies or urinalysis (35-37).
301 There is only one published case report of adverse reactions due to an allergic reaction after
302 exposure to DB (38). Oral administration of 10 ppm DB to children aged 17-36 months induced
303 a strong taste aversion, but no other effects were noted (1).

304 It needs to be mentioned that the increase in hunger during phase III was weaker in male in
305 comparison to female participants during placebo administration in the current study. One
306 factor contributing to this difference in hunger changes could be the lower occurrence of
307 gastric phase III contractions in male participants during the present study. Previous studies
308 did not report a difference in association of phase III contractions and hunger ratings between
309 men and women (20), but this aspect needs to be studied in more detail and in larger numbers
310 in future research.

311 Our study showed that only motilin plasma levels were decreased after administration of DB.
312 A significant positive **association** was observed between antral motility and plasma motilin,
313 but not ghrelin levels. This confirms our previous finding that motilin but not ghrelin is the key
314 regulator of the MMC in man (19). After administration of DB, this **association** between motilin
315 plasma levels and antral motility was **reduced**, but also the positive relationship between
316 motilin plasma levels and hunger scores, confirming our recently published observation that
317 motilin signals hunger (20).

318 It has already been described that taste receptors are expressed on enteroendocrine cells,
319 allowing them to modulate the release of several gastrointestinal hormones (11, 12, 39-41).
320 In mice, intragastric administration of DB (10 mM) significantly increased both total and
321 octanoylated ghrelin levels during the first 30 min after gavage (10). Our results in humans
322 differ as we showed no effect of intragastric DB on ghrelin plasma levels. Comparing the two
323 studies is difficult due to species and sex differences and also differences in the dosage. The
324 expression of bitter taste receptors on motilin-producing cells has not been reported but
325 needs to be addressed. In addition, a direct effect of DB on smooth muscle cell contractility
326 cannot be excluded since expression of bitter taste receptors has been shown on human
327 gastric smooth muscle cells. DB administration induced Ca^{2+} rises and increased extracellular
328 signal-regulated kinase (ERK) phosphorylation in human gastric smooth muscle cells (13).
329 Furthermore, bitter compounds induced concentration and region-dependent contractility
330 changes in mouse intestinal muscle strips (13).

331 However, the current study does not rule out that the findings related to the administration
332 of DB are mediated via a different pathway. *Rogachevskaja et. al.*(42) have shown that DB also
333 binds to the extracellular Ca^{2+} -sensing receptor (CASR). Moreover, this receptor is also
334 expressed in the GIT and has been linked to acid secretion and nutrient sensing (43). Further
335 studies are necessary to elucidate via which pathway DB exerts its effects.

336 Finally, our study also showed that intragastric administration of DB delayed the return of
337 hunger and prolonged the satiety feeling after a meal without affecting gastric emptying.
338 Intragastric administration of DB in mice was able to delay gastric emptying, but the dosage
339 used was 60 times higher (13). We already reported an effect of DB on satiation during an oral
340 nutrient challenge test and on gastric accommodation in healthy volunteers (13). The effect

341 of DB on both hunger and satiety could be due to a combined effect on the release of both
342 hunger and satiety hormones. In the present study, we have shown that motilin release is
343 diminished after DB administration which affects hunger scores. Another study performed by
344 *Kim et. al.*(11) showed that administration of DB in mice increased the secretion of GLP-1, a
345 gastrointestinal hormone known to decrease food intake. **Moreover it has been reported that**
346 **intra-gastric administration of DB in mice activates neurons in the nucleus of the solitary tract**
347 **possibly via the release of CCK and PYY (44).**

348 Few studies to date have evaluated the effect of bitter agonist administration on food intake
349 in human volunteers. Our results showed a trend that DB administration decreased caloric
350 intake. One study reported that intraduodenal administration of quinine (75 mg in 120 ml tap
351 water) did not alter food intake (45). However, another study reported reduced food intake
352 after intraduodenal administration of quinine (18 mg in an acid-resistant capsule) (23). These
353 differences in outcomes are probably due to differences in compounds, administration routes,
354 dosages and study design. The effect of these compounds on the release of anorexigenic and
355 orexigenic hormones deserves further evaluation in larger subject groups, outside the scope
356 of the present study, as this could lead to the development of new therapeutic approaches in
357 the treatment of obesity.

358 In summary, for the first time, we provide evidence that DB administered intragastrically is
359 able to decrease both antral motility and hunger during the fasting state. These effects are
360 probably caused by the inhibitory effect of DB on motilin release. Moreover, DB increased
361 satiety and decreased hunger ratings after a standardized meal. These results suggest that DB,
362 and potentially also other bitter tastants, could be investigated for their potential application
363 for the treatment of obesity.

364 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

365 The authors' responsibilities were as follows:

366 ED, PJ, MC, JB and IM conducted research; ED, PJ, MC, LVO, ID and JT interpreted and analyzed
367 data; ED wrote the paper; ED, PJ, MC, JB, AR, LVO, ID and JT performed a critical revision of
368 the manuscript; PJ, ID and JT designed the research; JT provided funding. All authors read and
369 approved the final manuscript. None of the authors reported any conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Sibert JR, Frude N. Bittering agents in the prevention of accidental poisoning: children's reactions to denatonium benzoate (Bitrex). *Arch Emerg Med* 1991;8:1-7.
2. Berning CK, Griffith JF, Wild JE. Research on the effectiveness of denatonium benzoate as a deterrent to liquid detergent ingestion by children. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 1982;2:44-8.
3. Final report of the safety assessment of Alcohol Denat., including SD Alcohol 3-A, SD Alcohol 30, SD Alcohol 39, SD Alcohol 39-B, SD Alcohol 39-C, SD Alcohol 40, SD Alcohol 40-B, and SD Alcohol 40-C, and the denaturants, Quassin, Brucine Sulfate/Brucine, and Denatonium Benzoate. *Int J Toxicol* 2008;27 Suppl 1:1-43.
4. Chandrashekar J, Mueller KL, Hoon MA, Adler E, Feng L, Guo W, Zuker CS, Ryba NJ. T2Rs function as bitter taste receptors. *Cell* 2000;100:703-11.
5. Shi P, Zhang J, Yang H, Zhang YP. Adaptive diversification of bitter taste receptor genes in Mammalian evolution. *Mol Biol Evol* 2003;20:805-14.
6. Bartoshuk LM, Duffy VB, Miller IJ. PTC/PROP tasting: anatomy, psychophysics, and sex effects. *Physiol Behav* 1994;56:1165-71.
7. Miller IJ, Jr., Reedy FE, Jr. Variations in human taste bud density and taste intensity perception. *Physiol Behav* 1990;47:1213-9.
8. Garneau NL, Nuessle TM, Sloan MM, Santorico SA, Coughlin BC, Hayes JE. Crowdsourcing taste research: genetic and phenotypic predictors of bitter taste perception as a model. *Front Integr Neurosci* 2014;8:33.
9. Schier LA, Davidson TL, Powley TL. Ongoing ingestive behavior is rapidly suppressed by a preabsorptive, intestinal "bitter taste" cue. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2011;301:R1557-68.

10. Janssen S, Laermans J, Verhulst PJ, Thijs T, Tack J, Depoortere I. Bitter taste receptors and alpha-gustducin regulate the secretion of ghrelin with functional effects on food intake and gastric emptying. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011;108:2094-9.
11. Kim KS, Egan JM, Jang HJ. Denatonium induces secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1 through activation of bitter taste receptor pathways. *Diabetologia* 2014;57:2117-25.
12. Chen MC, Wu SV, Reeve JR, Jr., Rozengurt E. Bitter stimuli induce Ca²⁺ signaling and CCK release in enteroendocrine STC-1 cells: role of L-type voltage-sensitive Ca²⁺ channels. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2006;291:C726-39.
13. Avau B, Rotondo A, Thijs T, Andrews CN, Janssen P, Tack J, Depoortere I. Targeting extra-oral bitter taste receptors modulates gastrointestinal motility with effects on satiation. *Sci Rep* 2015;5:15985.
14. Szurszewski JH. A migrating electric complex of canine small intestine. *Am J Physiol* 1969;217:1757-63.
15. Deloose E, Janssen P, Depoortere I, Tack J. The migrating motor complex: control mechanisms and its role in health and disease. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012;9:271-85.
16. Peeters TL, Vantrappen G, Janssens J. Fasting plasma motilin levels are related to the interdigestive motility complex. *Gastroenterology* 1980;79:716-9.
17. Vantrappen G, Janssens J, Peeters TL, Bloom SR, Christofides ND, Hellemans J. Motilin and the interdigestive migrating motor complex in man. *Dig Dis Sci* 1979;24:497-500.
18. Tack J, Depoortere I, Bisschops R, Delporte C, Coulie B, Meulemans A, Janssens J, Peeters T. Influence of ghrelin on interdigestive gastrointestinal motility in humans. *Gut* 2006;55:327-33.

19. Deloose E, Vos R, Corsetti M, Depoortere I, Tack J. Endogenous motilin, but not ghrelin plasma levels fluctuate in accordance with gastric phase III activity of the migrating motor complex in man. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2015;27:63-71.
20. Tack J, Deloose E, Ang D, Scarpellini E, Vanuytsel T, Van Oudenhove L, Depoortere I. Motilin-induced gastric contractions signal hunger in man. *Gut* 2016;65:214-224.
21. Deloose E, Vos R, Janssen P, Van den Bergh P, Van Oudenhove L, Depoortere I, Tack J. The motilin receptor agonist erythromycin stimulates hunger and food intake through a cholinergic pathway. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2016;103:730-7.
22. Carlson A. *The control of hunger in health and disease*. Chicago: Univ. of Chicago Press., 1916.
23. Andreozzi P, Sarnelli G, Pesce M, Zito FP, Alessandro AD, Verlezza V, Palumbo I, Turco F, Esposito K, Cuomo R. The Bitter Taste Receptor Agonist Quinine Reduces Calorie Intake and Increases the Postprandial Release of Cholecystokinin in Healthy Subjects. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2015;21:511-9.
24. Horne J, Lawless HT, Speirs W, Sposato D. Bitter taste of saccharin and acesulfame-K. *Chem Senses* 2002;27:31-8.
25. Landis BN, Welge-Luessen A, Bramerson A, Bende M, Mueller CA, Nordin S, Hummel T. "Taste Strips" - a rapid, lateralized, gustatory bedside identification test based on impregnated filter papers. *J Neurol* 2009;256:242-8.
26. Hill AJ, Blundell JE. Nutrients and behaviour: research strategies for the investigation of taste characteristics, food preferences, hunger sensations and eating patterns in man. *J Psychiatr Res* 1982;17:203-12.
27. Dooley CP, Di Lorenzo C, Valenzuela JE. Variability of migrating motor complex in humans. *Dig Dis Sci* 1992;37:723-8.

28. Code CF, Marlett JA. The interdigestive myo-electric complex of the stomach and small bowel of dogs. *J Physiol* 1975;246:289-309.
29. Gorard DA, Libby GW, Farthing MJ. 5-Hydroxytryptamine and human small intestinal motility: effect of inhibiting 5-hydroxytryptamine reuptake. *Gut* 1994;35:496-500.
30. WHO. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO Consultation. WHO Technical Report Series 2000;894.
31. Meyerhof W, Batram C, Kuhn C, Brockhoff A, Chudoba E, Bufe B, Appendino G, Behrens M. The molecular receptive ranges of human TAS2R bitter taste receptors. *Chem Senses* 2010;35:157-70.
32. Wiener A, Shudler M, Levit A, Niv MY. BitterDB: a database of bitter compounds. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012;40:D413-9.
33. Duffy VB, Davidson AC, Kidd JR, Kidd KK, Speed WC, Pakstis AJ, Reed DR, Snyder DJ, Bartoshuk LM. Bitter receptor gene (TAS2R38), 6-n-propylthiouracil (PROP) bitterness and alcohol intake. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2004;28:1629-37.
34. Mennella JA, Pepino MY, Duke FF, Reed DR. Psychophysical dissection of genotype effects on human bitter perception. *Chem Senses* 2011;36:161-7.
35. InternationalResearchAndDevelopmentCorporation. Contract for testing the acute and chronic toxicity of denatonium benzoate, an acerbic ingredient proposed for use in bad-tasting paint to prevent paint pica. One year oral toxicity study in monkeys. Report Number 3E. NTIS Report 1977;No. PB81-139362.
36. InternationalResearchAndDevelopmentCorporation. Contract for testing the acute and chronic toxicity of denatonium benzoate, an acerbic ingredient proposed for use in bad-tasting paint to prevent paint pica. Two-year oral toxicity study in rats. Report number 2E. NTIS Report 1977;Report No. PB81-139347.

37. InternationalResearchAndDevelopmentCorporation. Contract for testing the acute and chronic toxicity of denatonium benzoate, an acerbic ingredient proposed for use in bad-tasting paint to prevent paint pica. Two year oral toxicity study in rats. Report Number 2F. NTIS Report 1978;No. PB81-139354.
38. Bjorkner B. Contact urticaria and asthma from denatonium benzoate (Bitrex). *Contact Dermatitis* 1980;6:466-71.
39. Jang HJ, Kokrashvili Z, Theodorakis MJ, Carlson OD, Kim BJ, Zhou J, Kim HH, Xu X, Chan SL, Juhaszova M, et al. Gut-expressed gustducin and taste receptors regulate secretion of glucagon-like peptide-1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104:15069-74.
40. Hass N, Schwarzenbacher K, Breer H. T1R3 is expressed in brush cells and ghrelin-producing cells of murine stomach. *Cell Tissue Res* 2010;339:493-504.
41. Wu SV, Rozengurt N, Yang M, Young SH, Sinnott-Smith J, Rozengurt E. Expression of bitter taste receptors of the T2R family in the gastrointestinal tract and enteroendocrine STC-1 cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99:2392-7.
42. Rogachevskaja OA, Churbanov GD, Bystrova MF, Romanov RA, Kolesnikov SS. Stimulation of the extracellular Ca²⁺(+)-sensing receptor by denatonium. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2011;416:433-6.
43. Geibel JP, Hebert SC. The functions and roles of the extracellular Ca²⁺-sensing receptor along the gastrointestinal tract. *Annu Rev Physiol* 2009;71:205-17.
44. Hao S, Sternini C, Raybould HE. Role of CCK1 and Y2 receptors in activation of hindbrain neurons induced by intragastric administration of bitter taste receptor ligands. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 2008;294:R33-8.

45. van Avesaat M, Troost FJ, Ripken D, Peters J, Hendriks HF, Masclee AA. Intraduodenal infusion of a combination of tastants decreases food intake in humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2015;102:729-35.

TABLES

Table 1. Comparison of BMI and age between male and female participants

	Men	Women	p-value
BMI_study 1 (kg/m ²)	24 [21, 26] (n=26)	22 [20, 24] (n=39)	0.02
Age_study 1 (years)	25 [23, 33] (n=26)	26 [23, 32] (n=39)	0.9
BMI_study 2 (kg/m ²)	25±2 (n=10)	22±1 (n=10)	0.003
Age_study 2 (years)	23 [22, 26] (n=10)	25 [22, 35] (n=10)	0.6

Data are represented as mean±SEM or median [Q1, Q3]. BMI and age were compared between sexes using two-tailed unpaired Student's t-test or Mann Whitney U test depending on the distribution.

Table 2. The effect of hormone plasma levels on antral motility (n=12)

	Motilin	Total ghrelin	Octanoylated ghrelin
Main effect hormone	0.0003	0.9	0.9
Main effect administration	0.02	0.8	0.2
Main effect time	0.1	0.1	0.1
Hormone by administration	0.01	0.7	0.2

Data were analyzed using mixed model analysis. Antral motility was the dependent variable.

The hormone of interest (motilin, total or octanoylated ghrelin), administration, time and an interaction effect between hormone and administration were the independent variables. The table depicts the calculated p-values.

Table 3. The interaction effect between motilin and intragastric administration on antral motility and hunger

	Placebo (n=12)	DB (n=12)
Antral motility	238±46	45±77*
Hunger	6.22±1.2	1.33±2.0*

Values represent betas, which are the slopes of the regression curves. *: p<0.05 vs placebo.

Bèta values are given as mean±SEM.

Table 4. The effect of hormone plasma levels on hunger scores (n=12)

	Motilin	Total ghrelin	Octanoylated ghrelin
Main effect hormone	0.0002	0.06	0.9
Main effect administration	0.02	0.3	0.3
Main effect time	0.5	0.6	0.3
Hormone by administration	0.02	0.3	0.4

Data were analyzed using mixed model analysis. Hunger was the dependent variable. The hormone of interest (motilin, total or octanoylated ghrelin), administration, time and an interaction effect between hormone and administration were the independent variables. The table depicts the calculated p-values.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Schematic overview of the protocol outline. All protocols were single-blinded randomized placebo-controlled trials. Either placebo (water) or DB (1 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ bodyweight) were administered intragastrically using a nasogastric feeding tube. Antroduodenal motility was measured continuously during the course of both study 2 and 3 with high-resolution manometry. Hunger and satiety ratings were scored on 10cm visual analog scales with endpoints. Blood samples were collected via an i.v. catheter and analyzed using hormone-specific RIAs to measure motilin and ghrelin (total and octanoylated) plasma levels. Pancakes were labeled with sodium ^{13}C -octanoate to assess gastric half-emptying time.

Figure 2. Bitter lingual sensitivity in male and female participants. These data are part of study protocol 1. 6 different concentrations of DB were scored for their bitterness using taste strips. Concentrations were presented in ascending order and placed on the tongue for 90 sec. Participants rinsed their mouth between consecutive administrations. Bitter taste perception was scored on a 10-cm visual analog scale. The data was analyzed using mixed-model analysis, with bitter scores as the dependent variable and sex, concentration (repeated statement), BMI and an interaction effect between sex and concentration as the independent variables. Both DB concentration ($p < 0.0001$) and sex ($p = 0.005$) had a significant effect on bitter taste perception. There was no significant effect of BMI ($p = 0.06$) or a significant interaction effect between sex and DB concentration ($p = 0.1$). Data are represented as means and SEMs.

Figure 3. Origin of phase III and hunger scores after intragastric administration of DB (1 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$). These data are part of study protocol 2. Percentage of phase III with gastric and duodenal origin after intragastric administration of placebo or 1 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ DB in (A) female and (B) male participants. McNemar's test was used to compare the origin between both conditions (*: $p < 0.05$). Percentage change in hunger scores during phase III for (C) female and (D) male participants. Percentage change of hunger was calculated with 10 min prior to intragastric administration as the reference point. The data was analyzed with mixed-model analysis. Percentage change of hunger scores was the dependent variable and time, drug and an interaction effect between drug and condition were the independent variables. Both drug and time were entered as repeated categorical variables. In females the percentage change of hunger scores differed significantly between placebo and DB administration ($p=0.04$), there was no significant time ($p=0.07$) or interaction effect ($p=0.9$). In men there was no significant effect of condition ($p=0.3$) or a significant interaction effect ($p=0.3$), but there was a significant time effect ($p=0.04$). Data (C and D) are represented as means and SEMs.

Figure 4. The effect of intragastric administration of DB on motilin and ghrelin plasma levels.

These data are part of study protocol 3. Percentage change of (A) motilin, (B) total and (C) octanoylated ghrelin plasma levels after intragastric administration of placebo or 1 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ DB. Time point 0 indicates the start of the intragastric administration. Percentage change of the hormone levels was calculated with 10 min prior to intragastric administration as the reference point. *: $p < 0.05$. The data was analyzed with mixed-model analysis. Change in plasma concentration of the hormone of interest was the dependent variable and time, drug and an interaction effect between drug and condition were the independent variables. Both drug and time were entered as repeated categorical variables. Change in motilin plasma levels differed significantly between placebo and DB administration ($p = 0.04$) there was no significant time ($p = 0.3$) or interaction effect ($p = 0.07$). There was no significant main effect of drug administration ($p = 0.3$) or time ($p = 0.2$) and no significant interaction effect ($p = 0.3$) for total ghrelin plasma levels. There was no significant main effect of drug administration ($p = 0.5$) or time ($p = 0.5$) and no significant interaction effect ($p = 0.2$) for octanoylated ghrelin plasma levels. Data are represented as means and SEMs.

Figure 5. The effect of intragastric administration of DB on hunger and satiety scores after a meal. These data are part of study protocol 4. (A) Hunger and (B) satiety scores after placebo or 1 $\mu\text{mol/kg}$ DB intragastric administration. Compounds were administered 30 min before the start of the meal (500 kcal). Time point 0 indicates start of meal intake. *: $p < 0.05$ Data was analyzed with mixed-model analysis. Hunger or satiety scores were the dependent variables and time, drug and an interaction effect between drug and condition were the independent variables. Both drug and time were entered as repeated categorical variables. Both hunger and satiety scores were significantly affected by time (both $p < 0.0001$) and drug administration ($p = 0.008$ and $p = 0.01$ respectively). There was no significant interaction effect between time and drug administration for hunger ($p = 0.07$) and satiety ($p = 0.4$) scores. Data are represented as means and SEMs.

Figure 6. Food intake after intragastric administration of DB. These data are part of study protocol 5. Caloric intake was calculated in kcal. Total caloric value of the excess-choice buffet meal was 4211 kcal. DB (1 $\mu\text{mol}/\text{kg}$) or placebo were given intragastrically 40 min prior to the start of the buffet meal. Subjects had 1 hour to eat ad libitum. Caloric intake between placebo and DB administration was compared using a one-tailed paired Student's t-test ($p=0.08$).