

1 **From start to finish: amino-terminal protein modifications as degradation signals in**
2 **plants**

3

4 Daniel J. Gibbs^{1*}, Mark Bailey¹, Hannah M. Tedds¹ and Michael J. Holdsworth²

5

6 ¹School of Biosciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK

7 ²Division of Plant and Crop Sciences, School of Biosciences, University of Nottingham, LE12
8 5RD

9 *Corresponding author: (Tel) +44 (0) 121 414 5309; d.gibbs@bham.ac.uk

10

11

12 **Keywords:** N-terminus, proteolysis, N-end rule, N-degron, protein modification, Nt-
13 acetylation, protease, ubiquitin proteasome system

14

15 **Contents:**

16 Summary

17 1. Introduction

18 2. The plant Arg/N-end rule: a central regulator of development and stress signalling

19 3. Nt-acetylation as a degradation signal in plants

20 4. The N-terminus as a stability determinant in plastids

21 5. Concluding remarks

22 6. Acknowledgments

23 7. References

24

25 **Summary word count:** 123

26 **Main text word count:** 2667

27

28 **Summary**

29 The amino- (N-) terminus (Nt) of a protein can undergo a diverse array of co- and post-
30 translational modifications. Many of these create degradation signals (N-degrons) that
31 mediate protein destruction via the N-end rule pathway of ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis. In
32 plants, the N-end rule pathway has emerged as a major system for regulated control of protein
33 stability. Nt-arginylation-dependent degradation regulates multiple growth, development and
34 stress responses, and recently identified functions of Nt-acetylation can also be linked to
35 effects on the *in vivo* half-lives of Nt-acetylated proteins. There is also increasing evidence
36 that N-termini could act as important protein stability determinants in plastids. Here we review
37 recent advances in our understanding of the relationship between the nature of protein N-
38 termini, Nt-processing events and proteolysis in plants.

39

40 **1. Introduction**

41 The amino- (N-) terminus (Nt) is a positional feature common to all proteins, and has
42 a number of characteristics that provide unique biochemical and structural properties to the
43 associated polypeptide. Proteins are created with a methionine (Met; or formyl-methionine,
44 fMet) at their N-terminus; however N-termini can subsequently undergo a wide range of
45 modifications and/or processing events (Giglione *et al.*, 2015; Varland *et al.*, 2015). In a
46 majority of proteins, Nt-Met is co-translationally cleaved by METHIONINE AMINO-
47 PEPTIDASES (MetAPs), exposing novel Nt-residues (Giglione *et al.*, 2003). Furthermore,
48 many proteins are synthesised with Nt-transit peptides, excised post-translationally once a
49 protein is delivered to its subcellular destination (van Wijk, 2015). Enzymatic modification of
50 Nt-residues is also common, including acetylation and myristoylation of α -amino groups,
51 oxidation of cysteine (Cys) thiols, deamidation of asparagine (Asn) and glutamine (Gln), and
52 many other modifications (Gibbs *et al.*, 2014a; Giglione *et al.*, 2015). Moreover, Nt-
53 conjugations, such as arginylation and ubiquitination can also occur (Gibbs *et al.*, 2014a;
54 Varland *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, Nt-residues have emerged as key regulatory loci in proteins
55 that can significantly impact protein activity.

56 One major function for protein N-termini is in determining the *in vivo* half-lives of
57 corresponding proteins via the N-end rule pathway of protein degradation, a set of ancient
58 proteolytic systems present in prokaryotes and eukaryotes (Bachmair *et al.*, 1986;
59 Varshavsky, 2011; Gibbs *et al.*, 2014a). In the latter, the N-end rule pathway has been co-
60 opted to the ubiquitin proteasome system, targeting proteins for destruction by the 26S
61 proteasome through conjugation of a polyubiquitin chain (Gibbs *et al.*, 2014a). The N-end rule

62 relates the *in vivo* half-life of a protein to the nature of its Nt-residue, which alongside other
63 requisite features (an unstructured, exposed N-terminus and accessible downstream
64 lysine(s)) form a degradation signal called the N-degron (Fig. 1). N-degrons are typically
65 conditional, being exposed and subsequently recognised by ubiquitin E3 ligases (N-recognins)
66 only under certain situations or in response to specific signals. Consequently, protein
67 destruction via the N-end rule pathway has important roles in signal perception and
68 transduction, as well as general proteostasis and protein quality control. Two divisions of the
69 N-end rule pathway have been discovered – the arginylation (Arg/) N-end rule, which
70 recognises substrates with unmodified basic or hydrophobic residues, and the acetylation
71 (Ac/) N-end rule, which targets proteins bearing certain Nt-acetylated residues (Bachmair *et*
72 *al.*, 1986; Hwang *et al.*, 2010; Varshavsky, 2011; Gibbs *et al.*, 2014a; Lee *et al.*, 2016). In this
73 review we discuss recent advances in our understanding of these pathways, their protein
74 targets and their wide ranging functions in plants.

75

76 **2. The plant Arg/N-end rule: a central regulator of development and stress signalling**

77 In plants, there are two confirmed N-recognins of the Arg/N-end rule: PROTEOLYSIS1
78 (PRT1) and PRT6, which bind to substrates bearing aromatic or basic Nt residues,
79 respectively (Potuschak *et al.*, 1998; Garzon *et al.*, 2007). This is in contrast to the Arg/N-
80 recognins of yeast and mammals, which are able to recognise both classes of destabilising
81 residue via separate binding domains within the same polypeptide (Varshavsky, 2011; Gibbs
82 *et al.*, 2014a). Although the Nt-targets of PRT1 have been characterised using artificial
83 reporter proteins, natural substrates and biological functions for this N-recognin remain elusive
84 (Gibbs *et al.*, 2014a). In contrast, the PRT6-mediated division of the plant Arg/N-end rule has
85 emerged as an important regulator of growth, development and stress-associated responses
86 (Fig. 2). PRT6 recognises substrates bearing Nt-Arg (Garzon *et al.*, 2007), which can be
87 exposed by peptidases, or arise as a result of successive Nt-processing events. For example,
88 Nt-aspartate (Asp) and Nt-glutamate (Glu) can be arginylated by ARGINYL tRNA
89 TRANSFERASES (ATE) to produce a primary N-degron, whilst Nt-Asn and Nt-Gln can be
90 deamidated by NTAN1 to NTAQ1 enzymes prior to arginylation (Graciet *et al.*, 2010; Gibbs *et*
91 *al.*, 2014a). Furthermore, Nt-Cys can be arginylated in an oxidation-dependent manner (see
92 below).

93 Diverse functions for the Arg/N-end rule have been uncovered in *Arabidopsis* through
94 analysis of mutants of the pathway that accumulate endogenous substrates. Key
95 developmental roles include the regulation of seed dormancy and germination, seedling
96 development and establishment, leaf and shoot development, and the control of leaf

97 senescence (Fig. 2) (Yoshida *et al.*, 2002; Graciet *et al.*, 2009; Holman *et al.*, 2009; Abbas *et al.*, 2015). The pathway mediates low-oxygen (hypoxia) and nitric oxide (NO) sensing in plants
98 as well as animals (Hu *et al.*, 2005; Lee *et al.*, 2005; Gibbs *et al.*, 2011; Gibbs *et al.*, 2014b),
99 and acts at the interface of abscisic acid (ABA), gibberellin and ethylene signalling during
100 stress and development (Gibbs *et al.*, 2011; Licausi *et al.*, 2011; Gibbs *et al.*, 2014b; Marin-
101 de la Rosa *et al.*, 2014; Gibbs *et al.*, 2015; Mendiondo *et al.*, 2015). Recently, the pathway
102 was also linked to the plant immune response (de Marchi *et al.*, 2016). The Arg/N-end rule
103 pathway has also been investigated in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*, an early-evolving land
104 plant, where an ATE loss-of-function mutant was shown to be defective in gametophytic
105 development (Schuessele *et al.*, 2016). Furthermore, the pathway has been shown to control
106 developmental and stress responses in barley, a monocotyledonous crop species (Mendiondo
107 *et al.*, 2015).
108

109 Despite this wide range of functions for the Arg/N-end rule, only one group of
110 substrates has been identified: The group VII ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTOR (ERFVII)
111 transcription factors, characterised by a highly conserved Nt-motif initiating with the residues
112 Nt-Met-Cys (Gibbs *et al.*, 2011; Licausi *et al.*, 2011). Nt-processing of ERFVIIIs, catalysing
113 their degradation, occurs in several steps (Fig. 3a): Nt-Met is removed by MetAPs to reveal
114 Nt-Cys, which can be oxidised by plant cysteine oxidases (PCOs), using oxygen as a cofactor
115 (Weits *et al.*, 2014). Oxidised Nt-Cys is then proposed to be arginylated by ATEs, followed by
116 PRT6-dependent ubiquitination (Gibbs *et al.*, 2011; Licausi *et al.*, 2011). NO is also required
117 for this degradation (Gibbs *et al.*, 2014b). Oxygen- and NO-dependant destruction of ERFVIIIs
118 therefore acts as a signal-responsive “switch” determining their half-life. Consequently,
119 ERFVIIIs play a central role in the coordination of transcriptional responses to both of these
120 gaseous molecules, which function as important metabolic, developmental and stress-
121 associated signals in plants (Gibbs *et al.*, 2015).

122 Arg/N-end rule mutant phenotypes are highly pleiotropic, indicating there may be other
123 protein targets of the pathway. Arabidopsis contains more than 200 proteins initiating Nt-Met-
124 Cys, and it is possible that the stability of a cohort of these could be controlled by Nt-Cys
125 oxidation similarly to the ERFVIIIs (Gibbs *et al.*, 2014a). It was previously reported that RPM1-
126 INTERACTING PROTEIN 4 (RIN4), a component of the plant immune response, may become
127 a proteolytic target following cleavage by *Pseudomonas syringae* effector cysteine protease
128 *AvrRpt2*, which reveals Nt-Asn and -Asp (Takemoto & Jones, 2005), although direct genetic
129 or biochemical evidence for this is still lacking. In yeast and animals, the pathway counteracts
130 apoptosis through degrading pro-apoptotic peptide fragments, and similar functions may be
131 present in plants, where METACASPASE9 activity generates many protein fragments bearing
132 destabilising residues (Tsiatsiani *et al.*, 2013; Gibbs *et al.*, 2014a). Large scale proteomics

133 studies are now being employed to identify and confirm novel targets of the Arg/N-end rule,
134 by looking at quantitative differential protein accumulation in *prt6* and *ate* mutants (Zhang *et al.*,
135 *et al.*, 2015), or by ‘fishing’ for N-end rule enzyme interaction-partners (Hoernstein *et al.*, 2016).
136 The continual improvement of N-terminomic methods will also help with this endeavour (Venne
137 *et al.*, 2015).

138 **3. Nt-acetylation as a putative degradation signal in plants**

139 During protein synthesis, the α -amino group of Nt-residues can be co-translationally
140 acetylated by ribosome-associated Nt-acetyltransferases (NATs) (Giglione *et al.*, 2015;
141 Varland *et al.*, 2015). This either occurs directly on Nt-Met, or on the second residue following
142 Met-removal by MetAP. Three NATs (NATA, B, and C) catalyse the majority of these
143 modifications, with each having distinct substrate specificities. Post-translational Nt-
144 acetylation also likely occurs (Giglione *et al.*, 2015; Bienvenut *et al.*, 2011). Nt-acetylation is
145 highly prevalent in the proteomes of eukaryotes, but its functions are not well characterised.
146 In plants, NAT loss-of-function mutants have been linked to growth defects and reduced
147 photosynthetic efficiency (Gibbs, 2015). It has also been shown that drought-induced
148 increases in ABA trigger a reduction in NATA levels that leads to reduced global Nt-acetylation
149 and improved tolerance to water-deficit (Linster *et al.*, 2015).

150 In 2010 it was demonstrated in yeast that Nt-acetylation of proteins can act as a signal
151 for degradation, as part of the Ac/N-end rule pathway (Fig. 3b) (Hwang *et al.*, 2010). Two E3
152 ligases that recognise Nt-acetylated (Ac/) N-degrons were identified: the ER-associated
153 DOA10/TEB4 and cytosolic NOT4 (Lee *et al.*, 2016). Ac/N-degrons were shown to be
154 conditional, only becoming accessible in misfolded proteins or proteins not bound to
155 interaction partners (Shemorry *et al.*, 2013; Lee *et al.*, 2016). This pathway has recently been
156 linked to important functions in human health, with naturally occurring Nt-variants of
157 REGULATOR OF G PROTEIN SIGNALLING (RGS) proteins increasing susceptibility to
158 hypertension due to altered rates of degradation via their differentially acetylated N-termini
159 (Park *et al.*, 2015). A functional Ac/N-end rule pathway has not yet been identified in plants,
160 although NATs, and proteins with high sequence similarity to both DOA10 and NOT4, exist in
161 *Arabidopsis* (Gibbs *et al.*, 2014a; Gibbs, 2015). Interestingly, mutants of the *Arabidopsis*
162 DOA10-like gene *ECERIFERUM9/SUPPRESSOR OF DRY2 DEFECTS1* (*CER9/SUD1*)
163 display ABA-hypersensitivity during seed germination, similar to the ABA-associated
164 phenotypes observed in NATA-deficient plants (Zhao *et al.*, 2014; Linster *et al.*, 2015). If Nt-
165 acetylation acts as a degradation signal, accumulation of its substrates would be expected in
166 both the *natA* and *cer9/sud1* mutants; it is therefore possible that proteins associated with
167 ABA signalling might be targets of a plant Ac/N-end rule pathway.

168 More direct evidence for an association between Nt-acetylation and protein stability in
169 plants has recently been uncovered in *Arabidopsis*. It was shown that SUPPRESSOR OF
170 NPR1, CONSTITUTIVE1 (SNC1), a key regulator of plant immunity, accumulates in *natA*
171 mutants leading to increased pathogen tolerance (Xu *et al.*, 2015). This suggests that Nt-
172 acetylation of SNC1 by NATA might create a functional Ac/N-degron in this protein.
173 Interestingly, SNC1 was shown to occur in two Nt-isoforms; the second variant is Nt-acetylated
174 by NATB, which appears to *stabilise* the protein (Xu *et al.*, 2015). This contrasting, variant-
175 specific consequence of NAT activity suggests that the effects of Nt-acetylation of protein half-
176 life are highly complex. One possible explanation, as previously postulated for Ac/N-end rule
177 substrates in yeast and mammals (Shemorry *et al.*, 2013; Park *et al.*, 2015), is that stabilization
178 of the NATB-modified SNC1 variant may stem from the ability of a longer-lived Nt-acetylated
179 version of SNC1 to form a less rapidly dissociating protective complex with its cognate ligands
180 *in vivo*, in contrast to an analogous but more rapidly dissociating complex that involves the
181 NATA-modified (short-lived) version. It will now be important to further unravel the influence
182 of Nt-acetylation on protein half-life and determine whether plant DOA10 or NOT4-like
183 ubiquitin E3 ligases represent functional components of a plant Ac/N-end rule pathway.

184

185 **4. The N-terminus as a stability determinant in plastids**

186 The chloroplast proteome comprises proteins of nuclear origin as well as those
187 encoded by the organellar genome (van Wijk, 2015). The N-termini of proteins from these
188 different sources undergo a range of processing events that collectively control the diversity
189 of the mature chloroplast N-terminome (Fig. 3c). Surprisingly, a large number of chloroplastic
190 proteins are represented by multiple Nt-proteoforms, suggesting that processing of N-termini
191 is complex, dynamic and that different Nt-variants may have different functions (Rowland *et*
192 *al.*, 2015). Nuclear encoded proteins make up more than 95% of the chloroplast proteome,
193 and are targeted to the plastid by an Nt-chloroplast transit peptide (cTP). Upon delivery to the
194 chloroplast, the cTP is cleaved by the stromal processing peptidase (SPP) to reveal new Nt-
195 amino acids, which can then be further modulated by one of at least seven amino-peptidases
196 (van Wijk, 2015). SPP cleaves at a range of different sites, and at single or multiple positions;
197 this enzymatic promiscuity coupled with subsequent amino-peptidase activity has been
198 proposed to ensure that unfavourable (potentially destabilising) Nt-residues are removed
199 (Rowland *et al.*, 2015; van Wijk, 2015). In contrast to nuclear-derived proteins, plastid-
200 encoded proteins initiate with Nt-fMet, and undergo co-translational deformylation followed by
201 Nt-Met excision, which are both essential for normal plastid development (Gigliione *et al.*, 2015;
202 van Wijk, 2015). Interestingly Met-retention on chloroplast proteins has previously been linked

203 to protein instability (Giglione *et al.*, 2003), whilst fMet can act as a destabilising residue in
204 bacteria, and possibly also chloroplasts (Piatkov *et al.*, 2015). Co-translational and post-
205 translational Nt-acetylation also occurs on chloroplastic proteins, which appears to enhance
206 protein stability (Bienvenut *et al.*, 2011); recently a nuclear encoded chloroplast-targeted NAT
207 that likely catalyses this modification has been identified (Dinh *et al.*, 2015).

208 Accumulating evidence points towards a relationship between N-termini and protein
209 stability in plastids. Using artificial protein-GFP fusions in transplastomic tobacco it was shown
210 that the identity of the penultimate Nt-residue strongly correlates with differences in protein
211 accumulation (Apel *et al.*, 2010). Some residues led to protein stabilisation, whilst others
212 (unrelated to the prokaryotic N-end rule; see below) reduced abundance considerably. It has
213 also been reported that labile recombinant proteins produced in plastids can be stabilised by
214 Nt-translational fusions (Lenzi *et al.*, 2008; Apel *et al.*, 2010).

215 Due to the cyanobacterial origin of chloroplasts, it is possible that a *bona fide* plastid
216 N-end rule pathway could be similar to that in prokaryotes, which differs to that found in
217 eukaryotes (Mogk *et al.*, 2007; van Wijk, 2015). In *Escherichia coli*, primary destabilising
218 Leucine (Leu) and Phenylalanine (Phe) residues can be conjugated to proteins bearing Nt-
219 Arginine (Arg) or –Lysine (Lys) via leucyl/phenylalanyl(Leu/Phe)-tRNA protein transferase, or
220 in other prokaryotes by transferases with different specificities (Graciet *et al.*, 2006). Substrate
221 selection is mediated by the caseinolytic protease (Clp) S protein (ClpS), which delivers N-
222 degron-bearing substrates to the ClpAP protease for destruction (Mogk *et al.*, 2007). No
223 Leu/Phe-transferase-like sequences are present in the chloroplast genome, though ClpS-
224 (called ClpS1) and ClpAP-like proteins, encoded in the nucleus, accumulate in chloroplasts
225 (Nishimura *et al.*, 2013). Recently a novel Clp protein unique to photosynthetic eukaryotes,
226 ClpF, has also been identified. ClpF is proposed to act as a binary adaptor alongside ClpS1
227 for selective substrate recognition and delivery to the Clp protease, suggesting evolutionary
228 adaptation of the chloroplast Clp system (Nishimura *et al.*, 2015). Affinity experiments using
229 recombinant ClpS1 identified a number of stromal binding partners that also had increased
230 abundance in *clps1* mutants; these interactions were abolished when conserved residues in
231 the putative N-degron binding pocket of ClpS1 were mutated (Nishimura *et al.*, 2013).
232 Moreover, the Nt-domains of these targets share some features with confirmed substrates of
233 the *E. coli* ClpS, and one of these proteins, Glutamyl-tRNA reductase (GluTR), directly
234 interacts with ClpS1 via its N-terminus (Nishimura *et al.*, 2013; Apitz *et al.*, 2016). The GluTR
235 N-terminus also interacts with membrane bound GluTR binding protein (GBP), which
236 stabilises GluTR, suggesting that a putative N-degron shielding effect similar to that which
237 occurs in the Ac/N-end rule pathway may also exist in plastids. Based on these varied
238 observations, it seems likely that N-termini dictate protein stability in chloroplasts, possibly via

239 a modified variant of the prokaryotic N-end rule pathway; the exact mechanisms involved now
240 need to be established.

241

242 **5. Concluding remarks**

243 Here we have briefly reviewed current knowledge on the diversity of plant Nt-
244 modifications and their influence on protein stability. It is interesting to note that N-degrons
245 represent one of the earliest evolving determinants of protein instability, due to their presence
246 in both prokaryotic and eukaryotic kingdoms, and therefore are likely to play important roles
247 during many more aspects of plant life than is currently appreciated. The challenge is now to
248 further define the enzymes and rules coordinating regulated destruction via the various N-end
249 rule pathways in plants, and to identify protein substrates and physiological processes
250 dependent on this regulation.

251

252 **6. Acknowledgments**

253 D.J.G was supported by Birmingham Fellowship and BBSRC grant BB/M020568/1,
254 M.B by BB/M020568/1 and H.M.T by a BBSRC DTP Studentship. M.J.H was supported by
255 BBSRC grants BB/M029441/1 (including financial support from SABMiller plc), BB/M007820/1
256 and ERACAPS project N-vironment (BB/M002268/1). We apologise to authors whose work
257 could not be cited due to strict reference number limits.

258

259 **7. References**

260

- 261 **Abbas M, Berckhan S, Rooney DJ, Gibbs DJ, Vicente Conde J, Sousa Correia C, Bassel GW, Marin-de**
262 **la Rosa N, Leon J, Alabadi D, et al. 2015.** Oxygen sensing coordinates photomorphogenesis to
263 facilitate seedling survival. *Curr Biol* **25**(11): 1483-1488.
- 264 **Apel W, Schulze WX, Bock R. 2010.** Identification of protein stability determinants in chloroplasts.
265 *Plant J* **63**(4): 636-650.
- 266 **Apitz J, Nishimura K, Schmied J, Wolf A, Hedtke B, van Wijk KJ, Grimm B. 2016.** Posttranslational
267 control of ALA synthesis includes GluTR degradation by Clp protease and stabilization by
268 GluTR-binding protein. *Plant Physiol.* **170**(4): 2040-2051
- 269 **Bachmair A, Finley D, Varshavsky A. 1986.** In vivo half-life of a protein is a function of its amino-
270 terminal residue. *Science* **234**(4773): 179-186.
- 271 **Bienvenut WV, Espagne C, Martinez A, Majeran W, Valot B, Zivy M, Vallon O, Adam Z, Meinnel T,**
272 **Giglione C. 2011.** Dynamics of post-translational modifications and protein stability in the
273 stroma of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* chloroplasts. *Proteomics* **11**(9): 1734-1750.

274 **de Marchi R, Sorel M, Mooney B, Fudal I, Goslin K, Kwasniewska K, Ryan PT, Pfalz M, Kroymann J,**
275 **Pollmann S, et al. 2016.** The N-end rule pathway regulates pathogen responses in plants. *Sci*
276 *Rep* **6**: 26020.

277 **Dinh TV, Bienvenut WV, Linster E, Feldman-Salit A, Jung VA, Meinnel T, Hell R, Giglione C, Wirtz M.**
278 **2015.** Molecular identification and functional characterization of the first N-alpha-
279 acetyltransferase in plastids by global acetylome profiling. *Proteomics* **15**(14): 2426-2435.

280 **Garzon M, Eifler K, Faust A, Scheel H, Hofmann K, Koncz C, Yephremov A, Bachmair A. 2007.**
281 **PRT6/At5g02310 encodes an Arabidopsis ubiquitin ligase of the N-end rule pathway with**
282 **arginine specificity and is not the CER3 locus. *FEBS Lett* **581**(17): 3189-3196.**

283 **Gibbs DJ. 2015.** Emerging Functions for N-Terminal Protein Acetylation in Plants. *Trends Plant Sci*
284 **20**(10): 599-601.

285 **Gibbs DJ, Bacardit J, Bachmair A, Holdsworth MJ. 2014a.** The eukaryotic N-end rule pathway:
286 conserved mechanisms and diverse functions. *Trends Cell Biol* **24**(10): 603-611.

287 **Gibbs DJ, Conde JV, Berckhan S, Prasad G, Mendiondo GM, Holdsworth MJ. 2015.** Group VII Ethylene
288 Response Factors Coordinate Oxygen and Nitric Oxide Signal Transduction and Stress
289 Responses in Plants. *Plant Physiol* **169**(1): 23-31.

290 **Gibbs DJ, Lee SC, Isa NM, Gramuglia S, Fukao T, Bassel GW, Correia CS, Corbineau F, Theodoulou FL,**
291 **Bailey-Serres J, et al. 2011.** Homeostatic response to hypoxia is regulated by the N-end rule
292 pathway in plants. *Nature* **479**(7373): 415-418.

293 **Gibbs DJ, Md Isa N, Movahedi M, Lozano-Juste J, Mendiondo GM, Berckhan S, Marin-de la Rosa N,**
294 **Vicente Conde J, Sousa Correia C, Pearce SP, et al. 2014b.** Nitric Oxide Sensing in Plants Is
295 Mediated by Proteolytic Control of Group VII ERF Transcription Factors. *Mol Cell*. **53**(3): 369-
296 379

297 **Giglione C, Fieulaine S, Meinnel T. 2015.** N-terminal protein modifications: Bringing back into play the
298 ribosome. *Biochimie* **114**: 134-146.

299 **Giglione C, Vallon O, Meinnel T. 2003.** Control of protein life-span by N-terminal methionine excision.
300 *EMBO J* **22**(1): 13-23.

301 **Graciet E, Hu RG, Piatkov K, Rhee JH, Schwarz EM, Varshavsky A. 2006.** Aminoacyl-transferases and
302 the N-end rule pathway of prokaryotic/eukaryotic specificity in a human pathogen. *Proc Natl*
303 *Acad Sci U S A* **103**(9): 3078-3083.

304 **Graciet E, Mesiti F, Wellmer F. 2010.** Structure and evolutionary conservation of the plant N-end rule
305 pathway. *Plant J* **61**(5): 741-751.

306 **Graciet E, Walter F, O'Maoileidigh DS, Pollmann S, Meyerowitz EM, Varshavsky A, Wellmer F. 2009.**
307 **The N-end rule pathway controls multiple functions during Arabidopsis shoot and leaf**
308 **development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **106**(32): 13618-13623.**

309 **Hoernstein SN, Mueller SJ, Fiedler K, Schuelke M, Vanselow JT, Schuessele C, Lang D, Nitschke R,**
310 **Igloi GL, Schlosser A, et al. 2016.** Identification of targets and interaction partners of arginyl-
311 tRNA protein transferase in the moss *Physcomitrella patens*. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. **15**(6): 1808-
312 1822

313 **Holman TJ, Jones PD, Russell L, Medhurst A, Ubeda Tomas S, Talloji P, Marquez J, Schmutz H, Tung**
314 **SA, Taylor I, et al. 2009.** The N-end rule pathway promotes seed germination and
315 establishment through removal of ABA sensitivity in Arabidopsis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
316 **106**(11): 4549-4554.

317 **Hu RG, Sheng J, Qi X, Xu Z, Takahashi TT, Varshavsky A. 2005.** The N-end rule pathway as a nitric
318 oxide sensor controlling the levels of multiple regulators. *Nature* **437**(7061): 981-986.

319 **Hwang CS, Shemorry A, Varshavsky A. 2010.** N-terminal acetylation of cellular proteins creates
320 specific degradation signals. *Science* **327**(5968): 973-977.

321 **Lee KE, Heo JE, Kim JM, Hwang CS. 2016.** N-Terminal Acetylation-Targeted N-End Rule Proteolytic
322 System: The Ac/N-End Rule Pathway. *Mol Cells*. **39**(3): 169-178

323 **Lee MJ, Tasaki T, Moroi K, An JY, Kimura S, Davydov IV, Kwon YT. 2005.** RGS4 and RGS5 are in vivo
324 substrates of the N-end rule pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**(42): 15030-15035.

325 **Lenzi P, Scotti N, Alagna F, Tornesello ML, Pompa A, Vitale A, De Stradis A, Monti L, Grillo S,**
326 **Buonaguro FM, et al. 2008.** Translational fusion of chloroplast-expressed human
327 papillomavirus type 16 L1 capsid protein enhances antigen accumulation in transplastomic
328 tobacco. *Transgenic Res* **17**(6): 1091-1102.

329 **Licausi F, Kosmacz M, Weits DA, Giuntoli B, Giorgi FM, Voeselek LA, Perata P, van Dongen JT. 2011.**
330 Oxygen sensing in plants is mediated by an N-end rule pathway for protein destabilization.
331 *Nature* **479**(7373): 419-422.

332 **Linster E, Stephan I, Bienvenut WV, Maple-Grodem J, Myklebust LM, Huber M, Reichelt M, Sticht C,**
333 **Geir Moller S, Meinel T, et al. 2015.** Downregulation of N-terminal acetylation triggers ABA-
334 mediated drought responses in Arabidopsis. *Nat Commun* **6**: 7640.

335 **Marin-de la Rosa N, Sotillo B, Miskolczi P, Gibbs DJ, Vicente J, Carbonero P, Onate-Sanchez L,**
336 **Holdsworth MJ, Bhalerao R, Alabadi D, et al. 2014.** Large-scale identification of gibberellin-
337 related transcription factors defines group VII ETHYLENE RESPONSE FACTORS as functional
338 DELLA partners. *Plant Physiol* **166**(2): 1022-1032.

339 **Mendiondo GM, Gibbs DJ, Szurman-Zubrzycka M, Korn A, Marquez J, Szarejko I, Maluszynski M, King**
340 **J, Axcell B, Smart K, et al. 2016.** Enhanced waterlogging tolerance in barley by manipulation
341 of expression of the N-end rule pathway E3 ligase PROTEOLYSIS6. *Plant Biotechnol J.* **14**: 40-
342 50

343 **Mogk A, Schmidt R, Bukau B. 2007.** The N-end rule pathway for regulated proteolysis: prokaryotic
344 and eukaryotic strategies. *Trends Cell Biol* **17**(4): 165-172.

345 **Nishimura K, Apitz J, Friso G, Kim J, Ponnala L, Grimm B, van Wijk KJ. 2015.** Discovery of a Unique Clp
346 Component, ClpF, in Chloroplasts: A Proposed Binary ClpF-ClpS1 Adaptor Complex Functions
347 in Substrate Recognition and Delivery. *Plant Cell* **27**(10): 2677-2691.

348 **Nishimura K, Asakura Y, Friso G, Kim J, Oh SH, Rutschow H, Ponnala L, van Wijk KJ. 2013.** ClpS1 is a
349 conserved substrate selector for the chloroplast Clp protease system in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell*
350 **25**(6): 2276-2301.

351 **Park SE, Kim JM, Seok OH, Cho H, Wadas B, Kim SY, Varshavsky A, Hwang CS. 2015.** Control of
352 mammalian G protein signaling by N-terminal acetylation and the N-end rule pathway. *Science*
353 **347**(6227): 1249-1252.

354 **Piatkov KI, Vu TT, Hwang CS, Varshavsky A. 2015.** Formyl-methionine as a degradation signal at the
355 N-termini of bacterial proteins. *Microb Cell* **2**(10): 376-393.

356 **Potuschak T, Stary S, Schlogelhofer P, Becker F, Nejnskaia V, Bachmair A. 1998.** PRT1 of Arabidopsis
357 thaliana encodes a component of the plant N-end rule pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
358 **95**(14): 7904-7908.

359 **Rowland E, Kim J, Bhuiyan NH, van Wijk KJ. 2015.** The Arabidopsis Chloroplast Stromal N-Terminome:
360 Complexities of Amino-Terminal Protein Maturation and Stability. *Plant Physiol* **169**(3): 1881-
361 1896.

362 **Schuessle C, Hoernstein SN, Mueller SJ, Rodriguez-Franco M, Lorenz T, Lang D, Igloi GL, Reski R.**
363 **2016.** Spatio-temporal patterning of arginyl-tRNA protein transferase (ATE) contributes to
364 gametophytic development in a moss. *New Phytol* **209**(3): 1014-1027.

365 **Shemorry A, Hwang CS, Varshavsky A. 2013.** Control of protein quality and stoichiometries by N-
366 terminal acetylation and the N-end rule pathway. *Mol Cell* **50**(4): 540-551.

367 **Takemoto D, Jones DA. 2005.** Membrane release and destabilization of Arabidopsis RIN4 following
368 cleavage by Pseudomonas syringae AvrRpt2. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* **18**(12): 1258-1268.

369 **Tsiatsiani L, Timmerman E, De Bock PJ, Vercammen D, Stael S, van de Cotte B, Staes A, Goethals M,**
370 **Beunens T, Van Damme P, et al. 2013.** The Arabidopsis metacaspase9 degradome. *Plant Cell*
371 **25**(8): 2831-2847.

372 **van Wijk KJ. 2015.** Protein maturation and proteolysis in plant plastids, mitochondria, and
373 peroxisomes. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **66**: 75-111.

374 **Varland S, Osberg C, Arnesen T. 2015.** N-terminal modifications of cellular proteins: The enzymes
375 involved, their substrate specificities and biological effects. *Proteomics* **15**(14): 2385-2401.

376 **Varshavsky A. 2011.** The N-end rule pathway and regulation by proteolysis. *Protein Sci* **20**(8): 1298-
377 1345.

378 **Venne AS, Solari FA, Faden F, Paretti T, Dissmeyer N, Zahedi RP. 2015.** An improved workflow for
379 quantitative N-terminal charge-based fractional diagonal chromatography (ChaFRADIC) to
380 study proteolytic events in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proteomics* **15**(14): 2458-2469.

381 **Weits DA, Giuntoli B, Kosmacz M, Parlanti S, Hubberten HM, Riegler H, Hoefgen R, Perata P, van**
382 **Dongen JT, Licausi F. 2014.** Plant cysteine oxidases control the oxygen-dependent branch of
383 the N-end-rule pathway. *Nat Commun* **5**: 3425.

384 **Xu F, Huang Y, Li L, Gannon P, Linster E, Huber M, Kapos P, Bienvenut W, Polevoda B, Meinnel T, et**
385 **al. 2015.** Two N-terminal acetyltransferases antagonistically regulate the stability of a nod-like
386 receptor in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **27**(5): 1547-1562.

387 **Yoshida S, Ito M, Callis J, Nishida I, Watanabe A. 2002.** A delayed leaf senescence mutant is defective
388 in arginyl-tRNA:protein arginyltransferase, a component of the N-end rule pathway in
389 *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J* **32**(1): 129-137.

390 **Zhang H, Deery MJ, Gannon L, Powers SJ, Lilley KS, Theodoulou FL. 2015.** Quantitative proteomics
391 analysis of the Arg/N-end rule pathway of targeted degradation in *Arabidopsis* roots.
392 *Proteomics* **15**(14): 2447-2457.

393 **Zhao H, Zhang H, Cui P, Ding F, Wang G, Li R, Jenks MA, Lu S, Xiong L. 2014.** The Putative E3 Ubiquitin
394 Ligase ECERIFERUM9 Regulates Abscisic Acid Biosynthesis and Response during Seed
395 Germination and Postgermination Growth in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol* **165**(3): 1255-1268.

396

397 **Figure legends**

398

399 **Figure 1. Features of N-degrons.** Diagrammatic representation of an N-end rule substrate
400 being polyubiquitinated (Ub) by its respective E2 and E3 (N-recogin) ubiquitin ligase,
401 highlighting the three key features that determine an N-degron: (1) A primary N-terminal
402 destabilising amino acid (which may be either unmodified or acetylated); (2) An unstructured
403 N-terminal region ensuring the Nt-residue is exposed and accessible; (3) An appropriately
404 positioned downstream lysine(s) to act as a receptor site for ubiquitin conjugation.

405

406 **Figure 2. Functions for the N-end rule pathway in plant development and stress**
407 **response.** The Arg/N-end rule pathway controls a wide range of processes in *Arabidopsis*,
408 including seed germination, photomorphogenesis, submergence response, shoot and leaf
409 development, stomatal aperture, leaf senescence and pathogen responses. For each of these
410 processes the N-end rule enzymes (blue), substrates (blue, underlined) and gaseous signals
411 (orange) involved are shown. The Ac/N-end rule is still not confirmed in plants, but links
412 between NATs (red) and SNC1 (red, underlined) stability during the response to pathogen
413 attack have been reported, suggesting that the pathway may exist and function during biotic
414 stress. Arrows and bars represent positive and negative influences, respectively. PRT6,
415 PROTEOLYSIS6; ATE, ARGINYL tRNA-TRANSFERASE; ERFVII, group VII ERF

416 transcription factors; O₂, oxygen; NO, nitric oxide; NATA/B, N-TERMINAL
417 ACETYLTRANSFERASE A/B; SNC1, SUPPRESSOR OF NPR1, CONSTITUTIVE 1.

418

419 **Figure 3. Diversity of N-terminal processing events and their influence on protein**
420 **stability. (a)** Control of Met-Cys-initiating proteins (e.g. ERFVII transcription factors in this
421 example) via the Cys branch of the Arg/N-end rule pathway. Nt-Met (M) is cleaved by
422 METHIONINE AMINO PEPTIDASES (MetAP); Nt-Cys oxidation *in vivo* requires both oxygen
423 and nitric oxide, and may be catalysed by PLANT CYSTEINE OXIDASE (PCO) enzymes.
424 Oxidised Nt-Cys (C*) is then proposed to be arginylated by ARGINYL tRNA-TRANSFERASES
425 (ATE); Nt-Arg (R), as a destabilising residue, is then likely bound by the ubiquitin E3-ligase/N-
426 recognin PROTEOLYSIS6 (PRT6), and degraded via the 26S proteasome. The Nt-
427 arginylation and PRT6-recognition steps are both supported by the accumulation of ERFVII
428 and artificial reporter proteins in *ate1ate2* and *prr6* mutants, respectively (Gibbs *et al.*, 2011;
429 Licausi *et al.*, 2011; Gibbs *et al.*, 2014b). **(b)** The Ac/N-end rule pathway (confirmed in yeast
430 and mammals; putative in plants). Nt-Met can be acetylated (Ac) by N-TERMINAL
431 ACETYLTRANSFERASES (NATs) if the penultimate Nt-amino acid is bulky and hydrophobic
432 (Φ). Alternatively, Nt-Met may first be cleaved by MetAP and the newly exposed Nt-residue
433 (X) acetylated. Ac/N-degrons are recognised and targeted for proteasomal degradation in
434 yeast and mammals by one of two E3s/N-recognins; DOA10/TEB4 or NOT4. Proteins with
435 high similarity to these N-recognins are present in plants. **(c)** N-terminal processing in
436 chloroplasts and putative effects on protein stability (X and Z represent any amino acid).
437 Chloroplast-genome-derived proteins are deformylated by PROTEIN DEFORMYLASES
438 (PDF), and then may be processed further by MetAPs, one of several other plastid
439 aminopeptidases (APs), and/or NATs. Nuclear derived proteins first have their chloroplast
440 transit peptide (cTP) cleaved by STROMAL PROCESSING PROTEASE (SPP), and then may
441 be subjected to further processing by APs or NATs. Putative effects of these Nt-modifications
442 on protein stability are shown.

443

444