Beyond 'BRICS': Ten theses on South-South cooperation in the twenty-first century

Thomas Muhr

Address for correspondence:
University of Nottingham, School of Education, Jubilee Campus, Wollaton Road, Nottingham
NG8 1BB, United Kingdom
Institute of Sociology, Friedrich-Alexander University, Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany
Email: t.muhr2@gmail.com

Abstract

Grounded in a review of past and present academic South–South cooperation literatures, this article advances ten theses that problematise empirical, theoretical, conceptual and methodological issues essential to discussions of South–South cooperation in the 21st century. This endeavour is motivated by the perceived undermining, especially in the contemporary Anglophone academic South–South cooperation literature, of the emancipatory potential historically associated with South–South cooperation. By drawing on the interventionist South–South cooperation agendas of 'left'-leaning Latin America-Caribbean governments, the article seeks to establish a dialogue between social science theories and less 'visible' analyses from academic (semi)peripheries. The ten theses culminate in an exploration of the potential of South–South cooperation to promote 'alternative' development.

Keywords

BRICS and rising powers; critical theory; development; international cooperation; globalisation; South–South cooperation

Based on a review of past and present academic South–South cooperation literatures, this article advances ten theses that problematise empirical, theoretical, conceptual and methodological issues essential to discussions of South–South cooperation in the twenty-first century. This endeavour is motivated by a perceived undermining of the emancipatory potential historically associated with South–South cooperation (especially though not exclusively) by Anglophone academia. In reclaiming this, I schematically draw from the interventionist (proactive) South–South cooperation agendas of 'left'-leaning Latin America-Caribbean governments, including those of the Argentine Republic, Federative Republic of Brazil, Republic of Cuba, Republic of Ecuador, Eastern Republic of Uruguay and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela. These policies and practices embody a reinvigoration of South–South cooperation as

.

¹ Throughout the article 'cooperation' denotes partnerships that involve or are facilitated by so-called '(re)emerging', 'new', or 'non-traditional' actors not pertaining to the OECD Development Assistance Committee (DAC).

'Third World' emancipation and liberation, while having been 'upscaled' from the international (bi/multilateral) to the regional. That is, South–South cooperation has over the past two decades become a constituent element of such regionalisms as the Bolivarian Alliance for the Peoples of Our America – Peoples' Trade Agreement (ALBA-TCP) and its sub-regionalist initiative Petrocaribe, the Caribbean Community (CARICOM), the Common Market of the South (MERCOSUR), and the Union of South American Nations (UNASUR). Following a series of meetings and summits in the respective for between February 2012 and August 2015 these processes have culminated in the declared intention of establishing 'complementary economic zones' among the 24 ALBA-TCP/Petrocaribe/CARICOM and among the 28 ALBA-TCP/Petrocaribe/CARICOM/MERCOSUR member states. While not in existence as yet, however, these zones are to be governed by the South–South cooperation principles of 'complementation', 'cooperation' and 'solidarity', as established in the Group of 77 (G77) Charter of Algiers of 1967.² With the exception of the Federative Republic of Brazil's official South–South cooperation in the context of the so-called 'BRICS' (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa), these Latin America-Caribbean initiatives are underrepresented in the Anglophone academic South-South cooperation literature. However, they contribute considerably to conceptualising and theorising South–South cooperation in the 21st century.

Some caveats should be noted. First, 'thesis' here denotes 'a proposition to be maintained or proved'. As analytical statements, formulating the literature-based theses is a methodological device for categorised generalisation, at times perhaps adopting a more axiomatic tone. Second, while the issues raised – absences, reductions, normalisations – emerged with frequency from the literature review, the analysis makes no claims to representativeness or completeness. Third, and equally, no claims are made that all the issues raised are *per se* new (see, eg, Thesis One, Thesis Five). Rather, the theses structure a dialogue between social science theories and less 'visible' analyses from academic (semi)peripheries, especially the Latin America-Caribbean (in accordance with the empirical focus). Therefore, an inherent objective of this article is to counter the Western-centric bias in the production of knowledge about South–South cooperation, which I view as partially responsible for some of the limitations problematised in this article. After all, measured in terms of publications listed in the Social Science Citation Index (SSCI), between 1998 and

_

² ALBA-TCP, *Declaración Final*; and MERCOSUR, *Declaración Conjunta*. For a comprehensive overview from the South of the national Latin America—Caribbean South—South cooperation agendas, see the edited volume by Ayllón and Ojeda, *La Cooperación Sur—Sur*. For an overview of regionalist Latin America—Caribbean South—South cooperation agendas, see Ayllón et al., *Cooperación Sur—Sur*. On Cuba—CARICOM, see Byron, "A New Era"; Cotman, "The Havana Consensus"; and Laguardia Martínez, "Las Relaciones Cuba—CARICOM." On MERCOSUR transformation, see Briceño Ruiz, "New Left Governments." For a systematic exploration of the convergence of the ALBA-TCP/Petrocaribe, CARICOM and MERCOSUR South—South cooperation agendas, see Muhr, "The ALBA-TCP." The commitment to South—South cooperation in regionalist projects is stated in many publicly released documents, including ALBA-TCP, *Declaración Final*; CARICOM, *Declaración de La Habana*; MERCOSUR, *Informe*; and UNASUR, *South American Union of Nations*.

³ I use 'Anglophone South-South cooperation literature' to refer to academic literature that is explicitly framed by South-South cooperation and/or development cooperation generally, mostly by reference to development cooperation in the title. This excludes material that takes as its object of analysis particular cases, such as Cuban, Venezuelan or ALBA-TCP cooperation. (Such examples include Domínguez, *To Make a World*; Hickling-Hudson et al., "The Cuban Revolution"; and Muhr, *Counter-globalization and Socialism*.

⁴ Oxford English Reference Dictionary, 1498.

2007, 90.2% of academic publications worldwide were produced in Europe and the USA.⁵ While these figures are only indicative, however, as Mu and Pereyra-Rojas remind us, scholars such as Edward Said have shown 'that the representation of the Orient by the scholarly communities of the "Enlightenment" in the West constituted a biased view suitable to their own interests'.⁶ Conceptually 'West'/'North', however, also includes members of global academic elites in territories of the geographical South who may publish also in languages other than English (and, conversely, knowledge from the South can be produced in territories of the North, as this article seeks to underscore – see Thesis Eight).⁷ Fourth, as a 'critical' rather than 'problemsolving' effort, this article is neither about evaluating or comparing specific South—South cooperation policies and practices, nor about exhaustively exploring individual dimensions thereof. Rather, the distinct though complementary – at times perhaps contradictory – theses culminate in an exploration of the potential of South–South cooperation to promote 'alternative' development.

Thesis One: reduction to 'rhetoric' serves dismissive labelling; discourse is a co-constitutive moment of social transformation

Expressed commitment to South–South cooperation, especially with respect to 'solidarity', from such governments as those of Brazil and of the other BRICS members is frequently dubbed 'rhetoric'. While this may be associated with 'effective or persuasive speaking or writing', '10 'rhetoric' equally connotes 'exaggeration' and 'insincerity', '11 perhaps vacuousness and even 'irrationality'. Inherently political, this atheoretical label sweepingly discredits governments (and/or sectors thereof) that may actually be seeking a transformation of the global power geographies towards a more democratic, multipolar world order, against the (historical) structural constraints within which they are operating.

In contrast, as is widely recognised across the (critical) social sciences, discourse, characterised as 'structured totalities articulating both linguistic and non-linguistic elements', ¹³ offers a more socio-theoretically grounded approach to language and social transformation. Specifically, in foregrounding the socially constructionist effect of discourse, David Harvey proposes to understand this as one of six co-constitutive, dialectically related moments of the social process, as South–South cooperation is, besides, social relations; material practices; institutions/rituals; beliefs/values/desires;

⁵ UNESCO, World Social Science Report, Table 4.2.

⁶ Mu and Pereyra-Rojas, "Impact on Society," 217.

⁷ On such notions as 'sociology of absences', 'epistemicide' and hegemonic forms of knowledge production generally ('theory mills of the North'), see Dale and Robertson, "Interview"; and Appadurai, "Grassroots Globalization."

⁸ Cox, "Social Forces."

⁹ For example, Bond, "Sub-imperialism"; Campling, "A Critical Political Economy," 258; Gray and Murphy, "Introduction"; Inoue and Vaz, "Brazil as 'Southern Donor'"; Jenkins, "Latin America and China"; Kragelund, "The Return of Non-DAC Donors," 572; Nel and Taylor, "Bugger thy Neighbour?"; and Quadir, "Rising Donors," 335.

¹⁰ Oxford English Reference Dictionary, 1235.

¹¹ Ibid.

¹² Laclau, On Populist Reason, 12.

¹³ Laclau, On Populist Reason, 13.

and power. ¹⁴ While this is not the place for a discussion of the interrelatedness of discourse, power and ideology, ¹⁵ language, as a social practice, is involved in naming and producing the worlds that we inhabit, in (re)producing ways of seeing, doing, being and imagining. Thus, social relations and, therefore, social realities are also discursively (re)produced and interests strategically promoted. For example, the neoliberal discourse has driven the reconstitution of imaginaries and subjectivities sometimes even before its operationalisation changed the material world – 'things', such as institutions, cities, states and regionalisms, as well as regimes and structures. ¹⁶ The materialisation of the 'BRICS' grouping itself illustrates the 'power of discourse': BRICS has gone from being originally a discursive construal to holding regular meetings and the establishment of a development bank in 2014, the New Development Bank BRICS (NDB BRICS). ¹⁷

Through the discursive moment of South–South cooperation, which involves, *inter alia*, the principles of 'solidarity', 'complementarity' and 'cooperation', counter-hegemonic mental conceptions of the world become disseminated, seeking to denaturalise and destabilise hegemonic representations, that is, taken-as-given, implicit and commonsensical propositions, assumptions and stereotypes that appear as non-ideological because of their dissociation from the particular social base (class, grouping) and interests which generated them. Rather than simply 'rhetoric', as Ernesto Laclau elaborates, discursive production is about constructing collective identities indispensable for transformative political action.

Thesis Two: there are key global South–South cooperation protagonists beyond BRICS

The Anglophone academic South–South cooperation literature of the past 10–15 years is biased towards BRICS and individual members thereof, while such formations as IBSA (India, Brazil, South Africa) and BASIC (Brazil, South Africa, India, China) have received some attention. Other global actors, such as the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia and the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela, are marginalised, if not omitted.²⁰ However, in 2006 the shares of Saudi Arabian and Venezuelan South–South development cooperation globally were estimated at 40% and 18%, respectively, as compared to China (14%), India (4.1%), Brazil (2.6%) and South Africa (1.4%).²¹

¹⁵ For an overview, see Howarth, "Power."

¹⁴ Harvey, *Justice*.

¹⁶ Fairclough, Critical Discourse Analysis, 13.

¹⁷ The invention of the acronym BRICS (originally BRIC) is ascribed to an article published by Goldman Sachs economist Jim O'Neill in 2001. See http://www.goldmansachs.com/ourthinking/archive/building-better.html.

¹⁸ Fairclough, *Critical Discourse Analysis*, 30–39; and Angosto-Ferrández, "Ordering Discontent." ¹⁹ Laclau, *Populist Reason*. I would like to thank an anonymous reviewer for highlighting this aspect and for pointing to the role of (partially) 'empty signifiers' in discursive production.

Notable exceptions, however, do exist. For example, both Venezuelan and Saudi Arabian South—South cooperation are quite comprehensively discussed in Mawdsley, *From Recipients to Donors* and these also receive some attention in such edited volumes as Chaturvedi et al., *Development Cooperation*; and Dargin, *The Rise of the Global South* (one contribution of which is dedicated to the Gulf States). The bias appears more pronounced in leading (eg SSCI listed) academic journals.

Measured in estimated percentage of gross national income (GNI), the Venezuelan (0.71–1.52%) and Saudi Arabian (0.70%) allocations were significantly higher than those of India (0.06–0.11%), China (0.06–0.08%), South Africa (0.07%) and Brazil (0.04%). Also in 2006, in absolute terms, the Venezuelan volume was estimated at US\$1166 and the Saudi Arabian at \$2095 million, roughly matching the Chinese volume of \$2172 million and significantly higher than the Indian (\$433 million), Brazilian (\$365 million) and South African (\$100 million). These figures, compiled from a range of different sources, are only indicative, however, and they are outdated. Definitional inconsistencies and the frequent unavailability of data, combined with methodological and technical limitations, make more precise calculations difficult. Nonetheless, the prominence given to BRICS members Brazil, India and South Africa in the Anglophone academic literature, certainly over the 2000s, was never empirically justified and may have been geopolitically motivated in accordance with Western/Northern interests.

Thesis Three: South-South cooperation as Third World emancipation has been reinvigorated in Latin America-Caribbean

Michelle Morais de Sá e Silva usefully distinguishes between three historical phases in the evolution of South–South cooperation since World War II: (1) 'self-reliance and political strengthening' (1949–79) during cold war decolonisation and independence struggles; (2) 'demobilisation' (1980–98) during the West's neoliberal counter-offensive; and (3) South–South cooperation as 'best practice transfer' among developing countries. The latter is associated with the World Bank's creation of the Global Development Network in 1999 and the emergence of North–South–South triangular collaboration, in which international agencies and Northern governments act as 'brokers', turning South–South cooperation into an instrument of neoliberal 'efficiency' and performance enhancement.²⁵ This discursive accommodation, and reconceptualisation of South–South cooperation as 'transfer' rather than 'collective process', undermines the 'organic, political and potentially innovative nature of South–South cooperation...While some cooperative efforts engender transfer [eg technology transfer], transfer does *not* necessarily engender cooperation. Cooperation is a voluntary process whilst transfer includes voluntary and coercive processes.'²⁶

The advocacy of 'best practice transfer', however, has in Latin America-Caribbean been accompanied by a reinvigoration, or re-intensification, of South–South cooperation as Third World emancipation and liberation.²⁷ Grounded in the decadelong protagonism of Cuban developmental internationalism, key moments in this were the Cuban Revolution's launch of the Integral Health Programme for Central America and the Caribbean within the context of Hurricane Mitch in 1998 (subsequently extended to African and Asian nations²⁸), followed by the inauguration

²² ECOSOC, *Background Study*, Table 2.

²³ ECOSOC, *Background Study*, Table 3.1.

²⁴ ECOSOC, Background Study, 10.

²⁵ de Sá e Silva, "South–South Cooperation."

²⁶ Jules and de Sá e Silva, "How Different Disciplines," 58 (emphasis in the original).

²⁷ de Sá e Silva, "South–South Cooperation."

²⁸ PNUD, Investigación sobre Ciencia.

of the Latin American School of Medicine (Escuela Latinoamericana de Medicina, ELAM) and of the International School of Physical Education and Sports (Escuela Internacional de Educación Físisca y Deporte, EIEFD) in 1999 and 2001, respectively. Importantly, while the economic crisis following the collapse of the USSR forced the Cuban government to reduce its humanist (altruistic) cooperation during the first half of the 1990s, this commitment was never abandoned.²⁵ Simultaneously, with Hugo Chávez assuming the presidency of Venezuela in 1999. the 1970s project of a 'New International Economic Order' was resumed alongside calls for a multipolar world order. 30 Accordingly the Cuba-Venezuela Integral Cooperation Agreement of October 2000 initiated the construction of the ALBATCP. which became formalised by presidents Fidel Castro and Chávez in December 2004 (composed of 11 states in 2015), followed by the creation of Petrocaribe in June 2005 (19 member states in 2015). In the respective discourses the South–South cooperation principles are defined thus: solidarity, being 'The commitment to mutual support and joint efforts to achieve sustainable and integral human development, and the appropriate care of countries' emergent needs, within the possibilities and in accordance with shared responsibilities'; complementarity, being 'The commitment to identify and develop joint projects that permit the integration and/or synergies of the capacities in accordance with their [partners'] potentialities and interests'; and cooperation, being 'strategic alliances of mutual benefit'. 31 South–South cooperation has thus been 'upscaled' in Latin America-Caribbean (from the international to the regional) as an idea, social practice and multidimensional set of processes. Grounded in dependency theory, it may be defined thus: as more horizontal (egalitarian and just, at times—but not necessarily—altruistic) diplomatic, trade, aid and investment relations of mutual benefit and for national and collective self-reliance, self-determination and independence, to liberate the (semi-)periphery from the exploitative, unequal terms of trade with the core, while strengthening its political autonomy within the (neo)colonial and imperialist global system. 32 Such relations and exchanges include: agriculture, culture, economy, education, energy, environment, finance, food, health, infrastructure, knowledge, law, military, production (value adding capacities), social protection, technology and humanitarian issues.

Latin America–Caribbean South–South cooperation extends to the global and intercontinental scales, however. For example, the Africa South America (ASA) Summits, which involve the 12 UNASUR member states and the 54 African states, led to the establishment in 2009 of a Permanent ASA Secretariat on Isla Margarita (Venezuela), as well as a Strategic Presidential Table, then composed of four coordinating governments (Brazil, Venezuela, Nigeria, Libya) and the regional representatives of the African Union and of UNASUR.³³ As the 2006 Joint Declaration between the Bolivarian Republic of Venezuela and the Republic of Mali

 $^{^{29}}$ De Vos et al., "Cuba's International Cooperation"; and Hickling-Hudson et al., "The Cuban Revolution."

³⁰ Chávez Frías, *La Propuesta*; Chávez Frías, "Speech"; and República Bolivariana de Venezuela, *Lineas Generales*.

Muhr, "TINA go Home," Table 1.

³² By reference to, *inter alia*, Chaturvedi, "Development Cooperation"; Golub, "From the New International Economic Order"; Higginbottom, "The Political Economy"; Lengyel and Malacalza, "What do we Talk?"; Mawdsley, *From Recipients to Donors*, 48–65; Nyerere, *Unity*, 4; United Nations, *Declaration*; and UNDP, *Buenos Aires Plan of Action*.

suggests, the different South–South initiatives and actors involved may indeed cooperate and complement rather than compete with each other:

Within the framework of strengthening South–South cooperation, and especially the cooperation between Africa and South America, the two Parties welcomed the initiatives by Brazil and Nigeria to celebrate the First African–South American Summit in Abuja, Nigeria...Equally, the Malian Party welcomed the proposals made by President Hugo Chávez Frías during the VII Summit of the African Union realised in Banjul, 1 and 2 of July 2006, with respect to the implementation of South–South cooperation via concrete programmes such as Petrosur, Telesur, Bank of the South and the University of the South.³⁴

The cooperative potential among major Southern actors is further mirrored in growing triangular South–South–South cooperation practiced among the governments of Argentina, Brazil, China, Cuba, Iran, Russia and Venezuela with African partners.³⁵

Thesis Four: solidarity and interests are not per se mutually exclusive

Emma Mawdsley warns that Southern actors may 'conceal national interests under the veil of solidarity'. 36 While this possibility may exist, it should equally be highlighted that, far from concealing, governments such as those of Brazil and Venezuela make the existence of 'national interests' explicit,³⁷ as does the definition of 'complementarity' stated in Thesis Three ('integration and/or synergies of the capacities in accordance with their [partners'] potentialities and interests', emphasis added). In more conceptual terms, the above statement bears the implicit assumption of a mutual exclusiveness of commercial (trade) interests and solidarity, while treating solidarity as synonymous with altruism.³⁸ That this should not be generalised is shown by the definition of 'solidarity' among the 21 ALBA-TCP/Petrocaribe member states and of bilateral cooperation among Venezuelan, Argentine, Brazilian, Uruguayan and African partners, among others. As cited in Thesis Three, solidarity is the 'commitment to mutual support and joint efforts to achieve sustainable and integral human development, and the appropriate care of countries' emergent needs, within the possibilities and in accordance with shared responsibilities'. It is noteworthy that even the Cuban Revolution, historically perhaps the most prominent protagonist of South-South solidarity, has always reconciled altruism with commercial and political or ideological interests.³⁹ Accordingly such governments as the Brazilian and Venezuelan have also officially distinguished in their approaches to South-South cooperation between a commercial (trade, finance and technology transfer) dimension in the interest of socioeconomic development within their territories ('national interest') and altruistic cooperation (donations, grants or in-kind) in the interests of partner societies' development (agriculture, food security and food

³⁴ Government of Venezuela/Government of Mali, "Comunicado Conjunto."

³⁵ Forite, "Entre rupturas"; Giacchi, "La Política exterior"; Inoue and Vaz, "Brazil as 'Southern Donor'"; and Lucena Molero, "La agenda."

Mawdsley, "The Changing Geographies," 268.

³⁷ For example, Brazilian Cooperation Agency, "CGPD"; and República Bolivariana de Venezuela, *Lineas Generales*.

³⁸ Inoue and Vaz, "Brazil as 'Southern Donor'"; and Mawdsley, "The Changing Geographies," 263.

³⁹ Domínguez, *To Make a World*; Erisman, "Between a Rock and a Hard Place."

sovereignty; debt cancellation; education; energy sovereignty; health; social protection; technology; sport). 40

Four observations need highlighting here: first, as Monika Sawicka argues with respect to official Brazilian-African relations, 'main trade partners and main development beneficiaries are not the same'. 41 This insight weakens the blanket assumption of commercial exploitation on the part of (for instance) Brazilian corporations under the guise of South-South altruism. Second, trade and altruism can be complementary and mutually reinforcing and reciprocal benefits may be generated that cannot always be expressed in quantifiable monetary terms, such as with respect to experience, knowledge and cultural exchange, capacity building, diplomatic solidarity, human rights promotion, and the visibility and recognition of the South generally. 42 After all, how may, for instance, solidarity voting behaviour in international fora (eg the UN) be monetarily quantified? Or, in accordance with Peter Kragelund's findings, how can strengthened national sovereignty, and independence from traditional Northern donors thanks to the availability of alternative development finance from Chinese, Indian and Brazilian partners, be grasped in monetary terms?⁴³ Assessment of South–South cooperation, therefore, faces the methodological challenge of capturing its holism and multidimensionality: reducing South-South cooperation to single 'variables', such as the economic (usually itself reduced to trade and investment figures), is inappropriate. Attempting to measure South–South cooperation may in fact be a futile enterprise altogether. As has been observed with respect to the ALBA-TCP, 'While critics have noted the difficulties involved in quantitatively measuring the 'value' of such transactions, the whole point of the model is to move beyond the mediation of trade through capital exchange'.⁴⁴

Third, while South–South trade may indeed reproduce global structures of inequality, ⁴⁵ the precise terms of trade need to be explored in each case. For example, if, as in the ALBATCP/Petrocaribe, Venezuelan petroleum is exchanged for services and goods (eg Cuban medical staff, Dominican language teachers, Nicaraguan primary food stuff s) within a concessional financing scheme and with governments enjoying the 'flexibility to negotiate the terms of their participation which are appropriate to their [nations'] idiosyncrasies', ⁴⁶ then South–South trade as a reciprocal relation of mutual benefit (in both parties' interests, while 'taking into account the asymmetries between the Parties' – see Thesis Three) among equals materialises, even if one party accumulates profit. After all, depending on the partner's economic situation, even the Cuban government has charged (variable) commercial rates for services, albeit below world market prices, thus generating the

⁴⁰ As discussed in Abdenur, "The Strategic Triad"; Angosto-Ferrández, "Ordering Discontent"; Benzi and Zapata, "Geopolítica"; Giacchi, "La Política exterior"; Inoue and Vaz, "Brazil as 'Southern Donor'"; Kragelund, "'Donors go Home'," 152–153; Santos Pinho, "Cooperación Sur–Sur"; and Sawicka, "An Emerging Voice."

⁴¹ Sawicka, "An Emerging Voice," 19.

⁴² Dauvergne and Farias, "The Rise of Brazil"; Domínguez, *To Make a World*; Erisman, "Between a Rock and a Hard Place"; Forite, "Entre rupturas"; Inoue and Vaz, "Brazil as 'Southern Donor'"; Lucena Molero, "La agenda"; Ojeda, "La cooperación Sur–Sur"; and Santos Pinho, "Cooperación Sur–Sur."

⁴³ Kragelund, "'Donors go Home'."

⁴⁴ Broadhead and Morrison, "Peace based on Social Justice'," 14.

⁴⁵ Nel and Taylor, "Bugger thy Neighbour?"

⁴⁶ Girvan, "Is ALBA a New Model?," 165.

'win-win' relationship with which South-South cooperation is frequently associated. Fourth, private surplus value appropriation may not only be inevitable in the current social reality of most nations but is also politically necessary to counter resistance by elite sectors and thus make South-South cooperation less vulnerable to government change. As Inoue and Vaz sum up, 'national interest' and solidarity, even if narrowly understood as altruism, 'are not necessarily mutually exclusive'. 48

Thesis Five: 'the national interest' is an inadequate ontological category

The notion of 'the national interest', however, is problematic in itself. Criticisms of, say, a particular BRICS country pursuing 'its' interest, ⁴⁹ are ontologically flawed: states and societies, as (critical) social science has maintained, are not homogeneous, unified, monolithic subjects that act in 'the national interest', but are constituted through social relations that imply class struggle related to distinct class interests. ⁵⁰ Social groups, classes, class fractions and the components that make up the state apparatus thus may be in conflict and act relatively autonomously with or against each other. Transforming world order through South–South cooperation, as Mahbub ul Haq states, is not only a slow and long-term political process, but one that involves struggle, inextricably linked to a fundamental restructuring of Third World societies through a 'countervailing power' organised on a 'political, economic and intellectual front'. ⁵¹ That is, the construction of a Gramscian counter-hegemonic bloc.

While states (state apparatuses) in the capitalist core at this historical conjuncture may appear unitary and largely free from class conflict, in the peripheral and semiperipheral nations of Latin America-Caribbean the struggle over the form of the state and national, regional and global development projects – including South–South cooperation – is overt. This class struggle has been manifest in political, military, economic and media-related transnational capitalist class destabilisation and in successful and attempted *coups d'état* against constitutional governments – Venezuela (2002), Haiti (2004), Honduras (2009), Ecuador (2010), Paraguay (2012, a 'parliamentary' coup) – that drive societal restructuring in the interests of the historically marginalised and dispossessed classes. Class struggle within the Brazilian state apparatus, for example, became overt in former president Lula da Silva supporting the Venezuelan adhesion to MERCOSUR throughout 2006–09, blocked, however, during this period by the Federal Senate, despite ratification in the Chamber of Deputies. Accordingly South-South cooperation, that is, its particular form, 'is also driven by differing domestic sectoral interest, as well as by the interests of competing political parties and bureaucratic organizations'. 52 The policy outcomes, as with respect to South-South cooperation, obviously depend on the particular power

⁴⁷ Domínguez, *To Make a World*; Erisman, "Cuban Development Aid"; and Hickling-Hudson et al., "The Cuban Revolution."

⁴⁸ Inoue and Vaz, "Brazil as 'Southern Donor'," 531 (emphasis in the original).

⁴⁹ For example, Quadir, "Rising Donors," esp. 333. Mawdsley, "The Changing Geographies," 266 raises this issue without, however, discussing its methodological implications.

⁵⁰ For example, Jessop, "Nicos Poulantzas"; Jessop, State Power; and Poulantzas, State, Power, Socialism.

⁵¹ ul Haq, "Beyond the Slogan," 744.

⁵² Inoue and Vaz, "Brazil as 'Southern Donor'," 528.

relations in the distinct national state–society complexes. However, these dialectics cannot be delinked from such struggles at the transnational, regional and global scales (see Theses Eight and Nine).⁵³ A class analysis that accounts for competing classrelated interests is indispensable for understanding constraints, apparent inconsistencies and contradictions in such national policies as South–South cooperation.

Thesis Six: South-South cooperation is principally about interdependences, not 'new dependencies'

Claims of South–South cooperation creating 'new dependencies' and aggravating the (re)indebtedness of impoverished nations has in the absence of conclusive evidence been dismissed as little more than ideologically driven propaganda.⁵⁴ Beyond the empirical, of theoretical interest in this respect is the difference between 'dependency' and 'dependence' as two distinct relations of inequality: while dependency implies 'the absence of actor autonomy', that is, the subjection and conditioning of (semi)peripheral economies to the interests of transnational capital (systemic value transfer to the 'core'), dependence connotes 'asymmetric interdependence' which, however, can be legitimate if consensual. 55 As 'total self-reliance' for resource-poor nations appears unachievable, 'controlled dependence' is a 'counter-dependency' relationship in which the partners can 'affect the fundamental nature of their relationships', despite the existing power asymmetries in interdependent relationships. 56

The Venezuelan government's energy security cooperation provides an apt example of such counter-dependency politics. This dimension of South–South cooperation reduces the 'fracture' between energy producers and consumers in the South, identified as a structural impediment to Third World unity in the 1970s. 57 Rather than obfuscating inevitable asymmetries, the principle of reciprocity (see Thesis Three) explicitly recognises such unequal 'power geometries' as a prerequisite for emancipatory political action, that is, to transform them. ⁵⁸ Rather than (re)producing dependency, Southern interdependences drive global structural transformation.

Thesis Seven: Southern homogeneity is a false expectation

As fragmentation has been regarded to have contributed to the defeat of Third World emancipation in the cold war era, it has been suggested that growing heterogeneity

⁵³ For studies of such struggles by forces from both the 'left' and the 'right', see Angosto-Ferrández, Reframing Venezuela; Cannon, "As Clear as MUD"; Duffy, "(Re)conceptualising Democracy"; and Fuentes, "'Bad Left Government'.'

⁵⁴ See Woods, "Whose Aid?," largely informed by Chinese official cooperation. Kragelund, "Donors go Home'," provides similar arguments based on a Zambian case study.

⁵⁵ Caporaso, "Dependence, Dependency, and Power," 18. Erisman, "Cuban Development Aid," 143.

⁵⁷ Golub, "From the New International Economic Order," 1005–1006.

⁵⁸ Massey, "Concepts of Space and Power."

among Southern actors may further have eroded the potential for 'progressive' global transformation.⁵⁹ While this may be true, little is gained by stating the obvious, unless it encourages constructive exploration of how to reconcile South-South cooperation with the reality of heterogeneity. Historically key exponents of South–South cooperation, such as Julius Nyerere, made no pretence of ideological/political, economic, social and cultural heterogeneity within the South, proposing to concentrate on mutual interests. 60 Against this backdrop ul Haq warned that a 'global and "idealized" approach to South-South cooperation may be counter- productive, implying that, under certain circumstances, concentrating on specific areas and operational scales, such as the regional and sub-regional, might be more effective.⁶¹ This in fact can be observed in Latin America-Caribbean, where such processes and relations have culminated in the declared intention of creating the ALBA-TCP/Petrocaribe-CARICOM-MERCOSUR 'Complementary Economic Zone'. In these projects, especially the ALBA-TCP/Petrocaribe, which is ideologically the most explicit, strategic and institutionalised South-South cooperation project at the moment, Nyerere's and ul Haq's calls for the creation of Third World multinational corporations and jointly owned industries, media enterprises, shipping lines, institutions of research and development, and financial clearing institutions, ⁶² have materialised at the regional and global scales as expressions of synergies generated through common interests. ⁶³ Rather than dwelling on the litany of heterogeneity, analysing how this is exploited by Northern/Western imperial social and political forces and developing counter- strategies may be a more productive contribution to global democratic transformation.

Thesis Eight: South-South cooperation involves transnationalism and non-traditional non-state actors, which challenges mainstream uses of 'the global South'

Rather than restricting the notion of South–South cooperation to *inter*-national (interstate) relations, twenty-first century South–South cooperation, while facilitated by governments, also involves non-state actors.⁶⁴ While this is commonly associated with 'civil society' (so-called NGOs and the private capitalist business sector), the reinvigorated South–South cooperation in Latin America-Caribbean involves the construction of 'transnational organised society'. As a counter-hegemonic concept antithetical to liberal-bourgeois 'civil society', 'organised society' challenges the historical association of civil society with liberal individualism and a capitalist market society and means popular, mass-based organisation and the collective exercise of 'popular power' through councils and movements in the construction of non-capitalist social relations.⁶⁵ In other words, the dialectical sets of South–South cooperation

⁵⁹ See Campling, "A Critical Political Economy," 262–263; Golub, "From the New International Economic Order"; Quadir, "Rising Donors"; Sridharan, "G-15 and South–South Cooperation," 370–171; and Toye, "Assessing the G-77."

⁶⁰ Nyerere, Unity, 4.

⁶¹ ul Haq, "Beyond the Slogan."

⁶² Nyerere, Unity, 9–10; and ul Haq, "Beyond the Slogan."

⁶³ Aponte-García, El Nuevo Regionalismo; and Muhr, Counter-globalization and Socialism.

⁶⁴ For instance, de Sá e Silva, "South-South Cooperation," 39.

⁶⁵ Muhr, "(Re)constructing Popular Power." See also Angosto-Ferrández, Reframing Venezuela; and

relations (discourse, practice, institutionalisation) driven by politically like-minded, left-leaning Latin America-Caribbean state and government actors have involved cooperation with such popular movements as the Landless Workers Movement (Brazil) and so-called 'recuperated factories' (Uruguay). However, such transnational South–South cooperation relations extend to the global, including individuals, sectors and communities in territories of the North, eg the New York Bronx and other places in the USA as well as in Europe. ⁶⁶ Such rescaling of class struggle implies that such formerly relatively homogeneous neoliberal elite projects as MERCOSUR have become arenas of class struggle from which such struggle had hitherto largely been excluded.

This bears implications for conceptualising the 'global South'. 67 In recent years the term 'global South' has been popularised in the sense of a geographic north-south binary, equivalent to the depiction of 'the South' on the well-known front cover of the 1980 Brandt Report. However, as Linda Chisholm states, 'belonging to the South is not defined by being located in the Southern Hemisphere'; rather, 'the South' is 'a relational concept that invariably refers to a relationship of inequality'. 68 This observation corresponds with Manuel Castell's notion of the 'Fourth World' as a socio-geographic conception of exploitation, exclusion and deprivation: the coexistence of inter-country inequalities and dramatically increased intra-country inequalities at the global scale, ie the selective inclusion and exclusion of segments of societies across nation-states. ⁶⁹ While 'the South' evokes a state-centric *inter*-national ontology, the *global* South, as Luis Angosto-Ferrández suggests, can be viewed as a people-based concept, whereby a collective transnational political identity is produced through the shared experiences of exclusion, marginalisation, exploitation and disenfranchisement. ⁷⁰ As discussed (Thesis Five), this does not exclude from the analysis popular states and governments of the South that act in the (diverse and contradictory) interests of the people rather than transnational capital. The global South should thus be understood in socio-spatial terms, as the *globalised South*, which coexists with the *globalised North* within and across territories in both the geographical north and the south. This raises more substantive methodological issues, discussed below.

These Nine: South—South cooperation facilitates the construction of a socialist counter-space

The social relations, processes and inter-connectivities associated with South–South cooperation produce their own spatiality. Rather than absolute space (such as the bounded nation-state territory), relational space is constructed (and lived and

Duffy, "(Re)conceptualising Democracy."

⁶⁶ See, for example, the case studies in Muhr, *Counter-globalization and Socialism*; and Muhr, "South–South Cooperation." For a chronological mapping of cooperation documents in the 2000s, see Muhr, "Venezuela," Appendix 12.

⁶⁷ Fiddian-Qasmiyeh, South-South Educational Migration, 14; Muhr, Venezuela and the ALBA, 32–33; and Werner, "Contesting Power/Knowledge."

⁶⁸ Chisholm, "Introduction," 3.

⁶⁹ Castells, End of Millennium.

⁷⁰ Angosto-Ferrández, "Ordering Discontent."

changed) through a multiplicity of social practices or actions, including discourse;⁷¹ it is produced 'across all spatial scales, from the global reach of finance and telecommunications, through the geography of the tentacles of national political power, to the social relations within the town, the settlement, the household and the workplace'. 72 Space, therefore, is 'imbued with power' and 'power in its turn always has a spatiality'. 73 Political-sociologically, this means that the 'struggle for (and in) space' is an expression of class struggle. 74 Subsequently a 'simultaneous multiplicity of spaces' means the interpenetration and superimposition of spaces upon one another. Therefore, while South–South cooperation is not *per se* an anti-capitalist project, it nonetheless produces a spatiality within which a socialist 'counter-space' (a socialist space produced by non-capitalist social relations) can be constructed. ⁷⁶ Even though the prevailing historical structure (global capitalism) is not necessarily directly challenged by South-South cooperation, even in part reproduced through, for instance, surplus value extraction and other exploitative practices, it is simultaneously resisted as an alternative configuration of forces – a rival structure or counterspatiality – is being produced. ⁷⁷ Consequently, while contemporary practices of South–South cooperation may be criticised for failing to pursue or achieve a 'partial withdrawal or "de-linkage" from the global economy, 78 gradual structural transformation (a counter-hegemonic 'pluri-scalar war of position'⁷⁹) may be an alternative strategy in the contemporary global conjuncture of economic and military power. A socio-spatial analysis thus facilitates capturing the coexistence of different (though in reality intertwined) political economies within and across territories, that is, various forms of capitalism as well as an emerging socialist economy. 80 Such parallel structures are manifest, for example, in the coexistence of capitalist multinational corporate and ALBA-TCP/Petrocaribe networks of petrol stations in, for instance, the territories of El Salvador, Nicaragua and the USA; 81 in Venezuela the 'Communal Economic System' is one of the coexisting political economies in that territory.82

Thesis Ten, by way of conclusion: South-South cooperation cannot resolve all the world's 'ills' – why should it (be expected to)?

The theses presented in this article have in a cumulative, complementary and occasionally perhaps contradictory fashion problematised empirical, theoretical, conceptual and methodological limitations in the dominant Anglophone academic literature on contemporary South-South cooperation. This final, concluding thesis embeds some of the key arguments developed in order to explore the potential of

⁷¹ Lefebvre, The Production of Space, 12, 33; and Harvey, "Space as a Key Word."

⁷² Massey, Space, Place, and Gender, 4.

⁷³ Massey, "Concepts of Space and Power," 19.

⁷⁴ Lefebvre, The Production of Space, 56.

⁷⁵ Massey, Space, Place, and Gender, 3; and Lefebvre, The Production of Space, 86.

⁷⁶ Lefebvre, The Production of Space.

⁷⁷ Cf. Cox, "Social Forces."

⁷⁸ Gray and Murphy, "Introduction," 184.

⁷⁹ Muhr, Counter-globalization and Socialism.

⁸⁰ Yaffe, "Venezuela."

Rates, "Counter-globalization and a Revolutionary Politics."
 Yaffe, "Venezuela."

South–South cooperation to promote 'alternative' development, which the actors involved in the construction of the Southern 'counter-space' (see Thesis Nine) associate with (some form of) eco-socialist development. I enter this brief discussion via the popular criticisms of BRICS and ALBA-TCP member states reproducing the dominant exploitative, resource-extractivist model. On this basis expressed commitment to South-South cooperation is frequently challenged as 'rhetoric' (see Thesis One) by 'countries' that are allegedly merely pursuing 'their' exploitative interests (see Theses Four and Five). As argued, such reasoning overlooks the complexities of national, trans- and international socio-political processes, as well as the possibility of relations of inter-dependence rather than dependency (see Theses Five and Six). Thus, the stated criticisms usually adopt a methodologically nationalist and voluntarist approach that ignores, first, the fact that global historical structures constrain action, and that the construction of a rival structure or counter-spatiality may inevitably involve the partial reproduction of the prevailing structures (see Thesis Nine); second, following from the former, the fact that resource extraction in a particular locality is dialectically intertwined with global patterns of consumption, consumerism and militarism; and, third, that the tension between social and environmental rights may be unresolvable.83

However, I perceive a larger issue looming behind the stated criticisms: the implicit expectation that South–South cooperation should – and could – resolve all the world's 'ills' by offering a coherent alternative model of social organisation, one that would displace global capitalism and the hegemonic modernist development ideology per se. Indeed, while a 'shared development vision' may be absent among many Southern partners, including BRICS, 84 calls for a common 'organisational platform', 85 necessary for South-South cooperation to effect global change, have tended to ignore the very creation of such institutions in Latin America-Caribbean. Within the rationales of 'solidarity', 'cooperation' and 'complementary', and such principles as 'fair' trade to 'reduce the economic asymmetries among the Parties', 86 these projects promote a counter-neoliberal development model, which may be a precondition for – but is far from – non-capitalist, environmentally and socially sustainable development. Importantly, rather than questioning development per se, as Richard Peet and Elaine Hartwick emphasise, to the dispossessed and exploited, excluded and disenfranchised, the question of *what* development may be of greater pertinence.⁸⁷ While economic growth as a key indicator of development persists within the Latin America-Caribbean socialist South–South cooperation 'counter-space' (Thesis Nine), hegemonic modernity is being challenged, particularly through the notion of el buen vivir/vivir bien (the good living)⁸⁸. Rooted in Andean indigenous knowledges, ⁸⁹ this

⁸

⁸³ For useful discussions on these issues, see Fuentes, "The Morales Government"; Fuentes, "Bad Left Government"; Lalander, "Rights of Nature"; Lalander, "The Ecuadorian Resource Dilemma"; and McCarthy, "Political Ecology/Economy."

⁸⁴ Cf. Quadir, "Rising Donors," 332.

⁸⁵ Quadir, "Rising Donors," 333.

⁸⁶ MERCOSUR, Declaración Conjunta.

⁸⁷ Cf. Peet and Hartwick, *Theories of Development*, 1–4, 236.

⁸⁸ I follow the Ecuadorean Government's translation of el buen vivir as 'the good living' (Republic of Ecuador, 2010), rather than the perhaps more common translation as 'living well'. In my understanding, the first, as a determiner phrase, connotes an absolute normative-philosophical and spiritual concept (a specific notion of what it means to live 'the good life'), while the adjective 'well' is more relative in that it may suggest a satisfactory way of life, individually defined.

development ideal has discursively become integrated in bilateral cooperation agendas, such as the Brazilian–Venezuelan, as well as in national development plans (eg that of Nicaragua). As argued (Thesis One), discourse is one co-constitutive moment of social transformation. The creation of such ministries as the Ministry of Popular Power for Eco-socialism and Water (Ministerio de Poder Popular para el Ecosocialismo y Aguas, Venezuela) and the Ministry for Family, Communitarian, Cooperative and Associative Economy (Ministerio de Economía Familiar, Comunitaria, Cooperativa y Asociativa, Nicaragua), as well as the renewable energy security strategy within ALBA-TCP/Petrocaribe, are only a few instances of the efforts undertaken to realise such an eco-socialist development vision. As an example of a globalised localism, this 'alternative' philosophy has been integrated into the 2014 G77+China Santa Cruz Declaration 'For a New World Order for Vivir Bien'.

Acknowledgements

This article was first presented at the workshop 'Beyond Global Governance: Furthering South-South Cooperation and the Role of the BRICS', Council for Social Development/SouthGovNet, Delhi, September 25–26, 2014. I would like to thank the Council for Social Development for their hospitality, and SouthGovNet coordinator Kevin Gray for this invitation. My gratitude extends to two anonymous reviewers for their demonstrated engagement with my arguments and the constructive comments provided. The usual disclaimers apply.

Notes on contributor

Thomas Muhr has held an ESRC-funded post-doctoral research position in International Socio-Legal Studies at the University of Bristol and adjunct professor positions in the Political Sociology of Globalisation, Development and Education at different European universities, most recently Friedrich-Alexander University, Erlangen-Nuremberg. He is also Honorary Assistant Professor at the University of Nottingham. He is author of *Venezuela and the ALBA: Counter-Hegemony, Geographies of Integration and Development, and Higher Education for All* (2011) and editor of Counter-globalisation and *Socialism in the 21st Century: The Bolivarian Alliance for the Peoples of Our America* (2013).

⁸⁹ El buen vivir/vivir bien adopts a relational ontology in seeking to reconcile the social with the environmental (harmonious complementarity and reciprocity). Bell, Achieving Environmental Justice, 166. See also Surasky, "Presentación" for this philosophy and its centrality in the Ecuadorean government's South–South cooperation policy.

⁹⁰ See Muhr, Counter-globalization and Socialism.

⁹¹ Cf. Santos, in Dale and Robertson, "Interview."

⁹² G77+China, Declaración de Santa Cruz.

Bibliography

Abdenur, Adriana. "The Strategic Triad: Form and Content in Brazil's Triangular Cooperation Practices." In *South-South Cooperation in Education and Development*, edited by Linda Chisholm and Gita Steiner-Khamsi, 157–170. New York: Teachers College Press, 2009.

ALBA-TCP. Declaración Final de la IV Reunión Extraordinaria del Consejo Político del *ALBA-TCP* [Final Declaration of the 4th Extraordinary Meeting of the ALBA-TCP Political Council]. Caracas, August 10, 2015.

Angosto-Ferrández, Luis Fernando. "Ordering Discontent: Domestic and International Dynamics of the Bolivarian Revolution." In *Democracy, Revolution, and Geopolitics in Latin America: Venezuela and the International Politics of Discontent*, edited by Luis Fernando Angosto-Ferrández, 177–194. London: Routledge, 2014.

Angosto-Ferrández, Luis Fernando. Reframing Venezuela. London: Zed, 2015.

Aponte-García, Maribel. *El Nuevo Regionalismo Estratégico: Los Primeros Diez Años del ALBA-TCP* [The New Strategic Regionalism. The First Ten Years of the ALBA-TCP]. Buenos Aires: CLACSO, 2014.

Appadurai, Arjun. "Grassroots Globalization and the Research Imagination." *Public Culture* 12, no. 1(2000): 1–19.

Ayllón, Bruno, and Tahina Ojeda eds. *La Cooperación Sur-Sur y Triangular en América Latina* [South–South and Triangular Cooperation in Latin America]. Madrid: Catarata, 2013.

Ayllón, Bruno, Tahina Ojeda, and Javier Surasky eds. *Cooperación Sur–Sur: Regionalismos e Integración en América Latina* [South–South Cooperation: Regionalisms and Integration in Latin America]. Madrid: Catarata, 2014.

Bell, Karen. *Achieving Environmental Justice: A Cross-national Analysis*. Bristol: Policy Press, 2014.

Benzi, Daniele, and Ximena Zapata. "Geopolítica, economía y solidaridad internacional en la nueva cooperación Sur–Sur: el caso de la Venezuela bolivariana y Petrocaribe." [Geopolitics, Economy and International Solidarity in the New South-South Cooperation: The Case of Bolivarian Venezuela and Petrocaribe]. *América Latina Hoy* 63 (2013): 65–89.

Bond, Patrick. "Sub-imperialism as Lubricant of Neoliberalism: South African 'Deputy Sheriff' Duty within BRICS." *Third World Quarterly* 34, no. 2 (2013): 251–270. doi:10.1080/01436597.2013.775783.

Brazilian Cooperation Agency. "CGPD – Coordenação Geral de Cooperação Técnica entre Países em Desenvolvimento" [GCTC – General Coordination of Technical Cooperation among Developing Countries]. Accessed September 30, 2015. http://www.abc.gov.br/SobreAbc/Direcao/CGPD.

Briceño Ruiz, José. "New Left Governments, Civil Society and Constructing a Social Dimension in Mercosur." In *Civil Society and the State in Left-led Latin America*, edited by Barry Cannon and Peadar Kirby, 173–186. London: Routledge, 2012.

Broadhead, Lee-Anne, and Robert Morrison. "'Peace based on Social Justice': The ALBA Alternative to Corporate Globalization." *New Global Studies* 6, no. 2 (2012): 1–28. doi:10.1515/1940-0004.1153.

Byron, Jessica. "A New Era of South–South Cooperation: Development Partnerships among CARICOM Countries, Cuba and Venezuela 2005–2015." Paper presented at the XXXIII LASA Congress, San Juan, Puerto Rico, May 30, 2015.

Campling, Liam. "A Critical Political Economy of the Small Island Developing States Concept: South-South Cooperation for Island Citizens?" *Journal of Developing Societies* 22, no. 3 (2006): 235–285. doi:10.1177/0169796X06068031.

Cannon, Barry. "As Clear as MUD: Characteristics, Objectives, and Strategies of the Opposition in Bolivarian Venezuela." *Latin American Politics and Society* 56, no. 4 (2015): 49–70. doi:10.1111/j.1548-2456.2014.00248.x.

Caporaso, James A. "Dependence, Dependency, and Power in the Global System: A Structural and Behavioral Analysis." *International Organization* 32, no. 1 (1978): 13–43. doi:10.1017/S0020818300003842.

CARICOM. *Declaración de La Habana en Ocasión de la V Cumbre CARICOM–Cuba* [Havana Declaration on the Occasion of the 5th CARICOM–Cuba Summit]. Havana, December 8, 2014.

Castells, Manuel. End of Millennium. 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell, 2000.

Chaturvedi, Sachin. "Development Cooperation: Contours, Evolution and Scope." In *Development Cooperation and Emerging Powers*, edited by Sachin Chaturvedi, Thomas Fues and Elizabeth Sidiropoulos, 13–36. London: Zed, 2012.

Chaturvedi, Sachin, Thomas Fues, and Elizabeth Sidiropoulos eds. *Development Cooperation and Emerging Powers*. London: Zed, 2012.

Chávez Frías, Hugo Rafael. *La Propuesta para Transformar a Venezuela: Una Revolución Democrática* [Proposal for the Transformation of Venezuela: A Democratic Revolution]. Caracas, 1998.

Chávez Frías, Hugo Rafael. "Speech given at the 60th UN General Assembly." New York: United Nations, 2005.

Chisholm, Linda. "Introduction: Rhetoric, Realities, and Reasons." In *South-South Cooperation in Education and Development*, edited by Linda Chisholm and Gita Steiner-Khamsi, 1–13. New York: Teachers College Press, 2009.

Cotman, John Walton. "The Havana Consensus: Cuba's ties with five CARICOM States." In *CARICOM: Policy Options for International Engagement*, edited by Kenneth O. Hall and Myrtle Chuck-A-Sang, 200–217. Kingston: Ian Randle, 2010.

Cox, Robert W. "Social Forces, States and World Orders: Beyond International Relations Theory." *Millennium: Journal of International Studies* 10, no. 2 (1981): 126–155. doi:10.1177/03058298810100020501.

Dale, Roger, and Susan Robertson. "Interview with Boaventura de Sousa Santos." *Globalisation, Societies and Education* 2, no. 2 (2004): 147–160. doi:10.1080/14767720410001733629.

Dargin, Justin ed. *The Rise of the Global South: Philosophical, Geopolitical and Economic Trends of the 21st Century.* Singapore: World Scientific, 2013.

Dauvergne, Peter, and Déborah B. L. Farias. "The Rise of Brazil as a Global Development Power"." *Third World Quarterly* 33, no. 5 (2012): 903–917. doi:10.1080/01436597.2012.674704.

De Vos, Pol, Wim De Ceukelaire, Mariano Bonet, and Patrick Van der Stuyft. "Cuba's International Cooperation in Health: An Overview." *International Journal of Health Services* 37, no. 4 (2007): 761–776. doi:10.2190/HS.37.4.k.

Domínguez, Jorge L. *To Make a World Safe for Revolution: Cuba's Foreign Policy*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University, 1989.

Duffy, Maura. "(Re)conceptualising Democracy: The Limitations of Benchmarks based on Neoliberal Democracy and the Need for Alternatives." *Third World Quarterly* 36, no. 8 (2015): 1472–1492. doi:10.1080/01436597.2015.1026320.

ECOSOC. Background Study for the Development Cooperation Forum. 2008. Accessed September 30, 2015.

http://www.aideffectiveness.org/media/k2/attachments/South South cooperation 1.pdf

Erisman, Michael. "Cuban Development Aid: South-South Diversification and Counterdependency Politics." In *Cuban Foreign Policy Confronts a New International Order*, edited by Michael Erisman and John Kirk, 139–165. London: Lynne Rienner, 1991.

Erisman, Michael. "Between a Rock and a Hard Place: Survival Strategy in Cuba's New Foreign Policy." In *Redefining Cuban Foreign Policy: The Impact of the 'Special Period'*, edited by Michael Erisman and John Kirk, 1–22. Gainesville: University Press of Florida, 2006.

Fairclough, Norman. *Critical Discourse Analysis: The Critical Study of Language*. 2nd ed. Harlow: Pearson Education, 2010.

Fiddian-Qasmiyeh, Elena. South—South Educational Migration, Humanitarianism and Development: Views from the Caribbean, North Africa and the Middle East. London: Routledge.

Forite, Camille. "Entre rupturas y continuidades: la política de Chávez al África." [Between ruptures and continuities: Chávez's policies towards Africa] *Humania del Sur* 7, no. 12 (2012): 61–78.

Fuentes, Federico. "The Morales Government: Neoliberalism in Disguise?" *Alborada*. 2012. Accessed September 30, 2015. http://www.alborada.net/fuentes-bolivia-webber-0412

Fuentes, Federico. "'Bad Left Government' versus 'Good Left Social Movements'? Creative Tensions within Bolivia's Process of Change." In *Latin America's Radical Left*, edited by Steve Ellner, 103–125. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, 2014.

G77+China. Declaración de Santa Cruz 'Por un Nuevo Orden Mundial para Vivir Bien' [Santa Cruz Declaration 'For a New World Order for Good Living']. Santa Cruz de la Sierra, June 15, 2014.

Giacchi, María Florencia. "La Política exterior del gobierno de Hugo Chávez hacia África (2004–2010)" [The Foreign Policy of the Government of Hugo Chávez towards Africa (2004–2010)]. *Humania del Sur* 7, no. 12 (2012): 41–60.

Girvan, Norman. "Is ALBA a New Model of Integration? Reflections on the CARICOM Experience." *International Journal of Cuban Studies* 3, nos. 2–3 (2011): 157–180. http://www.jstor.org/stable/41945939.

Golub, Philip S. "From the New International Economic Order to the G20: How the 'Global South' is Restructuring World Capitalism from Within." *Third World Quarterly* 34, no. 6 (2013): 1000–1015. doi:10.1080/01436597.2013.802505.

Government of Venezuela/Government of Mali. "Comunicado Conjunto." Bamako, August 2, 2006.

Gray, Kevin, and Craig N. Murphy. "Introduction: Rising Powers and the Future of Global Governance." *Third World Quarterly* 34, no. 2 (2013): 183–193. doi:10.1080/01436597.2013.775778.

ul Haq, Mahbub. "Beyond the Slogan of South–South Cooperation." *World Development* 8, no. 10 (1980):743–751. doi:10.1016/0305-750X(80)90001-7.

Harvey, David. Justice, Nature and the Geography of Difference. Oxford: Blackwell, 1996.

Harvey, David. "Space as a Key Word." In *David Harvey: A Critical Reader*, edited by Noel Castree and Derek Gregory, 70–93. Oxford: Blackwell, 2004.

Higginbottom, Andy. "The Political Economy of Foreign Investment in Latin America: Dependency Revisited." *Latin American Perspectives* 40, no. 3 (2013): 184–206.

Hickling-Hudson, Anne, Jorge Corona González, Sabine Lehr, and Marina Majoli Viani. "The Cuban Revolution and Internationalism: Structuring Education and Health." In *The Capacity to Share: A Study of Cuba's International Cooperation in Educational Development*, edited by Anne Hickling-Hudson, Jorge Corona González and Rosemary Preston, 13–24. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan, 2012.

Howarth, David. "Power, Discourse, and Policy: Articulating a Hegemony Approach to Critical Policy Studies." *Critical Policy Studies* 3, nos. 3–4 (2009): 309–335. doi:10.1080/19460171003619725.

Inoue, Cristina Yumie Aoki, and Alcides Costa Vaz. "Brazil as 'Southern Donor': Beyond Hierarchy and National Interests in Development Cooperation?" *Cambridge Review of International Affairs* 25, no. 4 (2012): 507–534. doi:10.1080/09557571.2012.734779.

Jenkins, Rhys. "Latin America and China – A New Dependency?" *Third World Quarterly* 33, no. 7 (2012):1337–1358. doi:10.1080/01436597.2012.691834.

Jessop, Bob. *Nicos Poulantzas: Marxist Theory and Political Strategy*. Basingstoke: Macmillan, 1985.

Jessop, Bob. State Power. Cambridge: Polity, 2008.

Jules, Tavis D., and Michelle Morais de Sá e Silva. "How Different Disciplines have approached South–South Cooperation and Transfer." *Society for International Education Journal* 5, no. 1 (2008): 45–64.

Kragelund, Peter. "The Return of Non-DAC Donors to Africa: New. Prospects for African Development?" *Development Policy Review* 26, no. 5 (2008): 555–584.

Kragelund, Peter. "'Donors go Home': Non-traditional State Actors and the Creation of Development Space in Zambia." *Third World Quarterly* 35, no. 1 (2014): 145–162. doi:10.1080/01436597.2014.868994.

Laclau, Ernesto. On Populist Reason. London: Verso, 2005.

Lalander, Rickard. "Rights of Nature and the Indigenous Peoples in Bolivia and Ecuador: A Straitjacket for Progressive Development Politics?" *Iberoamerican Journal of Development Studies* 3, no. 2 (2014):148–173.

Lalander, Rickard. "The Ecuadorian Resource Dilemma: Sumak Kawsay or Development?" *Critical Sociology*, December 23, 2014. doi:10.1177/0896920514557959.

Laguardia Martínez, Jacqueline. "Las relaciones Cuba-CARICOM: Presente y future en un entorno cambiante" [Cuba-CARICOM Relations: Present and Future in a Changing Environment]. In *El ALBATCP: Origen y Fruto del Nuevo Regionalismo Latinoamericano y Caribeño* [The ALBA-TCP: Origin and Results of the New Latin American and Caribbean Regionalism], edited by Maribel Aponte-García and Gloria Amézquita Puntiel, 239–262. Buenos Aires: CLACSO, 2015.

Lefebvre, Henri. The Production of Space. Oxford: Blackwell, 1991.

Lengyel, Miguel, and Bernabé Malacalza. "What do We Talk When We Talk about South—South Cooperation? The Construction of a Concept from Empirical Basis." Paper presented at the IPSA–ECPR Joint Conference, São Paulo, February 16–19, 2011. http://www.ctc-health.org.cn/file/2012060833.pdf.

Lucena Molero, Hernán. "La agenda África venezolana (2005–2012)" [The Venezuelan Africa agenda (2005–2012)]. *Humania del Sur* 8, no. 14 (2013): 135–154.

Massey, Doreen. Space, Place, and Gender. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, 1994.

Massey, Doreen. "Concepts of Space and Power in Theory and in Political Practice." *Documents d'Anàlisi Geogràfica* 55 (2009): 15–26. http://ddd.uab.cat/pub/dag/02121573n55p15.pdf.

Mawdsley, Emma. From Recipients to Donors: Emerging Powers and the Changing Development Landscape. London: Zed, 2012.

Mawdsley, Emma. "The Changing Geographies of Foreign Aid and Development Cooperation: Contributions from Gift Theory." *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 37, no. 2 (2012):256–272. doi:10.1111/j.1475-5661.2011.00467.x.

McCarthy, James. "Political Ecology/Economy." In *The Wiley-Blackwell Companion to Economic Geography*, edited by Trevor J Barnes, Jamie Peck and Eric Sheppard, 612–625. Chichester: Blackwell Publishing, 2012.

MERCOSUR. Declaración Conjunta de los Estados Partes del Mercado Común del Sur (MERCOSUR) para Promover el Establecimiento de una Zona Económica Complementaria entre los Estados Partes del Mercado Común del Sur (MERCOSUR), los Países Miembros de la Alianza Bolivariana para los Pueblos de Nuestra América (ALBA-TCP), los Países Miembros de PETROCARIBE y los Miembros de la Comunidad del Caribe (CARICOM) [Joint Declaration by the Member States of the Common Market of the South (MERCOSUR) to Promote the Establishment of a Complementary Economic Zone among the Member States of the Common Market of the South (MERCOSUR), the Member Countries of the Bolivarian Alliance for the Peoples of Our America (ALBA-TCP), the Member Countries of PETROCARIBE and the Members of the Caribbean Community (CARICOM)]. Caracas, July 29, 2014.

MERCOSUR. *Informe de la Presidencia Pro Témpore Venezolana del MERCOSUR* (PPTVEN) Julio 2013–Julio 2014 [Report of the Venezuelan Pro Tempore Presidency of MERCOSUR (PPTVEN) July 2013–July 2014]. XLVI Ordinary Meeting of the Council of the Common Market, Proceedings No. 01/14, Appendix III, Caracas, July 28, 2014.

Mu, Enrique, and Milagros Pereyra-Rojas. "Impact on Society versus Impact on Knowledge: Why Latin American Scholars do not participate in Latin American Studies." *Latin American Research Review* 50, no. 2 (2015): 216–238.

Muhr, Thomas. "The ALBA-TCP: Setting the Standards for a Latin American and Caribbean South–South Cooperation Space?" Paper presented at the XXXIII LASA Congress, San Juan, May 29, 2015.

Muhr, Thomas. "Counter-globalization and a Revolutionary Politics of Place, Space and Scale: The Transnational Construction of the ALBA-TCP in Nicaragua, El Salvador and the United States of America." In *Counter-globalization and Socialism in the 21st Century: The Bolivarian Alliance for the Peoples of Our America*, edited by Thomas Muhr, 46–62. London: Routledge, 2013.

Muhr, Thomas ed. Counter-globalization and Socialism in the 21st Century: The Bolivarian Alliance for the Peoples of Our America. London: Routledge, 2013.

Muhr, Thomas. "(Re)constructing Popular Power in Our America: Venezuela and the Regionalisation of 'Revolutionary Democracy' in the ALBA–TCP Space." *Third World Quarterly* 33, no. 2 (2012): 225–241.doi:10.1080/01436597.2012.666010.

Muhr, Thomas. "South–South Cooperation in Education and Development: The Yo, Sí Puedo Literacy Method." *International Journal of Educational Development* 43 (2015): 126–133. doi:10.1016/j.ijedudev.2015.04.005.

Muhr, Thomas. "TINA go Home! ALBA and Retheorizing Resistance to Global Capitalism." *Cosmos and History: The Journal of Natural and Social Philosophy* 6, no. 2 (2010): 27–54.

Muhr, Thomas. Venezuela and the ALBA: Counter-hegemony, Geographies of Integration and Development, and Higher Education for All. Saarbrücken: VDM, 2011.

Muhr, Thomas. "Venezuela: Global Counter-hegemony, Geographies of Regional Development, and Higher Education for All." PhD diss., University of Bristol, 2008.

doi:10.13140/RG.2.1.4376.8487.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277775875_Venezuela_Global_Counter-Hegemony_Geographies_of_Regional_Development_and_Higher_Education_For_All?ev=pr f pub.

Nel, Philip, and Ian Taylor. "Bugger thy Neighbour? IBSA and South-South Solidarity." *Third World Quarterly* 34, no. 6 (2013): 1091–1110. doi:10.1080/01436597.2013.802507.

Nyerere, Julius K. Unity for a New Order. Arusha, February 12, 1979.

Ojeda, Tahina. "La cooperación Sur-Sur y la regionalización en América Latina: el despertar de un gigante dormido." *Relaciones Internacionales* 15 (October 2010): 91–111.

Oxford English Reference Dictionary. 2nd ed., edited by Judy Pearsall and Bill Trumble. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1996 (reprinted with corrections 2001).

Peet, Richard, and Elaine Hartwick. *Theories of Development*. 2nd ed. London: Guildford, 2009.

PNUD. *Investigación sobre Ciencia, Tecnología y Desarrollo Humano en Cuba*, 2003 [Research on Science, Technology and Human Development in Cuba 2003]. Havana: Centro de Investigaciones de la Economía Mundial, 2003.

Poulantzas, Nicos. *State, Power, Socialism*, 1978. New edition with an introduction by Stuart Hall, London: Verso, 2000.

Quadir, Fahimul. "Rising Donors and the New Narrative of 'South-South' Cooperation: What Prospects for Changing the Landscape of Development Assistance Programmes?" *Third World Ouarterly* 34, no. 2 (2013): 321–338. doi:10.1080/01436597.2013.775788.

Reality of Aid Management Committee. "South–South Cooperation: A Challenge to the Aid System?" In *South-South Cooperation: A Challenge to the Aid System?*, edited by The Reality of Aid, 1–22. Quezon City: IBON, 2010.

República Bolivariana de Venezuela. *Líneas Generales del Plan de Desarrollo Económico y Social de la Nación 2001–2007* [General Strands of the Plan for Economic and Social Development of the Nation 2001–2007]. Caracas: RBV, 2001.

Republic of Ecuador. *National Development Plan. National Plan for Good Living 2009–2013: Building a Plurinational and Intercultural State (Summarized Version)*. Quito: Secretaría Nacional de Planificación y Desarrollo (SENPLADES).

de Sá e Silva, Michelle Morais. "South–South Cooperation: Past and Present – Conceptualization and Practice." In *South–South Cooperation in Education and Development*, edited by Linda Chisholm and Gita Steiner-Khamsi, 39–59. New York: Teachers College Press, 2009.

Santos Pinho, and Carlos Eduardo. "Cooperación Sur-Sur para el desarrollo: las relaciones Brasil-África en la promoción de las políticas públicas." [South–South Cooperation for Development: Brazil-Africa Relations in the Promotion of Public Policies]. *América Latina Hoy* 63 (2013): 91–112.

Sawicka, Monika. "An Emerging Voice in the Development Cooperation Debate: The Case of Brazil's Development Assistance in Africa." Paper presented at the 50th Society for Latin American Studies (SLAS) Conference, London, April 3, 2014.

Sridharan, Kripa. "G-15 and South-South Cooperation: Promise and Performance." *Third World Quarterly* 19, no. 3 (1998): 357–373. doi:10.1080/01436599814299.

Surasky, Javier. "Presentación y marco de la cooperación Sur-Sur de Ecuador" [Presentation and framework of Ecuadoran South-South cooperation]." In *La Cooperación Sur-Sur y Triangular en América Latina* [South–South and Triangular Cooperation in Latin America], edited by Bruno Ayllón and Tahina Ojeda, 156–179. Madrid: Catarata, 2013.

Toye, John. "Assessing the G77: 50 Years after UNCTAD and 40 Years after the NIEO." *Third World Quarterly* 35, no. 10 (2014): 1759–1774. doi:10.1080/01436597.2014.971589.

UNASUR. South American Union of Nations Constitutive Treaty. Brasilia, May 23, 2008.

UNDP. *Buenos Aires Plan of Action*, 1978. Accessed September 30, 2015. http://ssc.undp.org/content/dam/ssc/documents/Key%20Policy%20Documents/BAPA.pdf.

UNESCO. World Social Science Report: Knowledge Divides. Paris: UNESCO/International Social Science Council, 2010.

United Nations. *Declaration on the Establishment of a New International Economic Order*. Resolution No. 3201 (S-VI). New York, NY, 1974.

Werner, Marion. "Contesting Power/Knowledge in Economic Geography: Learning from Latin America and the Caribbean." In *The Wiley-Blackwell Companion to Economic Geography*, edited by Trevor J Barnes, Jamie Peck and Eric Sheppard, 132–145. Chichester: Blackwell Publishing, 2012.

Woods, Ngaire. "Whose Aid? Whose Influence? China, the Emerging Donors and the Silent Revolution in Development Assistance." *International Affairs* 84, no. 6 (2008): 1205–1221. doi:10.1111/j.1468-2346.2008.00765.x.

Yaffe, Helen. "Venezuela: Building a Socialist Communal Economy?" *International Critical Thought* 5, no. 1 (2015): 23–41. doi:10.1080/21598282.2014.996178.