1	This is the peer reviewed version of the following article:
2	
3	
4	Gregory AS, Ritz K, McGrath SP, Quinton JN, Goulding KWT, Jones RJA,
5	Harris JA, Bol R, Wallace P, Pilgrim ES, Whitmore AP (2015) A review of
6	the impacts of degradation threats on soil properties in the UK. Soil Use and
7	Management 31, 1-15.
8	
9	
10	Which has been published in final form at doi: <u>10.1111/sum.12212</u>
11	
12	
13	
14	This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with
15	Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.
16	
17	

A review of the impacts of degradation threats on soil properties in the UK

- 20
- 21 A. S. GREGORY¹, K. RITZ², S. P. MCGRATH¹, J. N. QUINTON³, K. W. T. GOULDING¹, R. J. A.
- 22 JONES⁴, J. A. HARRIS⁴, R. BOL⁵, P. WALLACE⁶, E. S. PILGRIM⁷ & A. P. WHITMORE¹
- 23
- ¹ Department of Sustainable Soils and Grassland Systems, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden,
- 25 Hertfordshire, AL5 2JQ, UK
- 26 ² Division of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, University of Nottingham, Sutton
- 27 Bonington Campus, Loughborough, Leicestershire, LE12 5RD, UK
- 28 ³ Lancaster Environment Centre, Lancaster University, Lancaster, LA1 4YQ, UK
- ⁴ School of Energy, Environment and Agrifood, Cranfield University, Cranfield,
 Bedfordshire, MK43 0AL, UK
- ⁵ Terrestrial Biogeochemistry Group, Institute of Bio- and Geosciences IBG-3: Agrosphere,
- 32 Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, 52425 Jülich, Germany
- ⁶ Phil Wallace Ltd, Westland, Martlesham Heath, Ipswich, Suffolk, IP5 3SU, UK
- ⁷ University of Exeter, Amory Building, Rennes Drive, Exeter, EX4 4RJ, UK
- 35
- 36 Correspondence: A. S. Gregory. E-mail: andy.gregory@rothamsted.ac.uk
- 37
- 38 Running Title: Degradation threats to soil properties in the UK

39 Abstract

40 National governments are becoming increasingly aware of the importance of their soil 41 resources and are shaping strategies accordingly. Implicit in any such strategy is that 42 degradation threats and their potential effect on important soil properties and functions are 43 defined and understood. In this paper we aimed to review the principal degradation threats on 44 important soil properties in the UK, seeking quantitative data where possible. Soil erosion 45 results in the removal of important topsoil and, with it, nutrients, C and porosity. A decline in 46 soil organic matter principally affects soil biological and microbiological properties, but also 47 impacts on soil physical properties because of the link with soil structure. Soil contamination 48 affects soil chemical properties, affecting nutrient availability and degrading microbial 49 properties, whilst soil compaction degrades the soil pore network. Soil sealing removes the 50 link between the soil and most of the 'spheres', significantly affecting hydrological and 51 microbial functions, and soils on re-developed brownfield sites are typically degraded in most 52 soil properties. Having synthesized the literature on the impact on soil properties, we discuss 53 potential subsequent impacts on the important soil functions, including food and fibre 54 production, storage of water and C, support for biodiversity, and protection of cultural and archaeological heritage. Looking forward, we suggest a twin approach of field-based 55 56 monitoring supported by controlled laboratory experimentation to improve our mechanistic 57 understanding of soils. This would enable us to better predict future impacts of degradation 58 processes, including climate change, on soil properties and functions so that we may manage 59 soil resources sustainably.

60

Keywords: Soil erosion, soil organic matter, soil contamination, soil compaction, soil
functions, climate change

63 Introduction

64 National governments are becoming increasingly aware of the importance of their soil 65 resources and have begun to shape policies to recognise this. In the UK, for example, there 66 have been soil strategies and policy documents in England (HMG, 2009b; HMG, 2011b), Scotland (Dobbie et al., 2011) and Wales (WAG, 2006). All have a similar central aim, 67 68 namely the ambition to manage soils sustainably and tackle potential degradation threats so 69 that their ability to provide essential services is protected or enhanced. Soil degradation in 70 England has been estimated to have cost the economy at least £150M - £250M each year 71 (HMG, 2011b).

Implicit in any such strategy is that the principal degradation threats and their effect on important soil properties are defined and understood. If this can be achieved then, by extension, we may better-understand the likely impact on soil functions – the essential services that we rely on soils to perform – and we can begin to define policies to protect them. Evidence-gathering is an initial stage in the process of developing and refining policies (HMG, 2011a).

In this paper we aimed to identify and review the principal degradation threats on important soil properties in the UK, seeking quantitative data where possible. We summarize the main findings and discuss the likely subsequent impacts on soil functions and also the potential impacts of predicted changes in climate in the UK.

82

83 Methodology

84 Degradation threats

In examining which degradation threats were important in the context of the UK, we consulted the report of the European Environmental Assessment of Soil for Monitoring (ENVASSO) project (Huber *et al.*, 2008), which aimed to establish a soil monitoring system

in support of a proposed European Union-wide Soil Framework Directive (EC, 2006). In the report of Huber *et al.* (2008), nine key threats to soil were identified: soil erosion, decline in soil organic matter (SOM), soil contamination, soil sealing, soil compaction, decline in soil biodiversity, soil salinisation, landslides and desertification. In addition, the report identified three further 'cross-cutting issues' which exist as threats to soil: climate change, land use change and brownfield development. Similar threats to soils have been identified in the UK previously (e.g. SG, 2009; HMG, 2011b).

95 From this list, we focused on soil erosion, decline in SOM, soil contamination, soil 96 compaction, soil sealing, and brownfield development, as we believe these to be of the 97 greatest relevance to soils in the UK. It is our view that the decline in soil biodiversity does 98 not exist as a specific independent threat to soil, but that instead it arises as a result of some 99 of the other threats identified. Similarly, land use change imparts some of the other threats 100 identified on the soil. Therefore these two threats were covered in the context of the other 101 threats. We review soil salinisation and landslides only briefly, as we consider these to be less 102 important, and extremely localized in the UK. Desertification is not a threat currently to UK 103 soils and is not reviewed. Finally we discuss climate change separately in terms of what the 104 likely impacts might be on degradation threats and soil properties. The degradation threats 105 considered and brief definitions are given in Table 1.

106

107 *Literature review*

A literature review of peer-reviewed publications was conducted using the web-based ISI Web of Knowledge SM search engine (Thomson Reuter, New York, USA), supplemented by other relevant published evidence (e.g. reports). We took each degradation threat as a search term in turn with 'soil' and 'UK' and sought data, predominantly from the 2000-2015 period, on the impacts on important soil physical, biological and chemical properties in the UK. In particular we focused on general properties likely to be of direct relevance for the ability of the soil to carry out its principal functions, including food and fibre production, water and air filtration, and biodiversity support. We synthesized the most relevant information in our review below.

117

118 The impacts of degradation threats on soil properties in the UK

119

120 Soil erosion

Although soil erosion can be natural, current concerns relate to accelerated erosion where the rate has increased significantly through human activities, and greatly exceeds current estimates of soil formation (0.3-1.4 Mg ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ in Europe) (Verheijen *et al.*, 2009). Most erosion is by water, with light-textured soils particularly susceptible (Quinton & Catt, 2004), although wind erosion has been observed on arable soils in eastern England, albeit it is very difficult to quantify (Bullock, 1987), and peaty soils can erode at daily rates of up to 5.6 kg ha⁻¹ when dry (Foulds & Warburton, 2007).

128 Mean annual erosion rates in England measured directly or interpreted from aerial photography on mostly arable sites are typically up to 10 Mg ha⁻¹, but can be as high as 66 129 Mg ha⁻¹ whilst annual tillage erosion in the UK can reach 5 Mg ha⁻¹ (van Oost *et al.*, 2009). 130 131 Under grassland, 52% of the 399 upland sites in England and Wales surveyed by McHugh et al. (2002) in 1999 had suffered erosion and an estimated 89 000 m^3 of soil had been lost over 132 133 an unspecified time, less than 1% of which was deposited in the same field site. Improved 134 lowland grasslands typically have lower annual rates of erosion, ranging from 0.5-1.2 Mg ha⁻ ¹, (Whitmore *et al.*, 2004; Bilotta *et al.*, 2010). 135

Structural damage of the soil surface, caused by raindrops, running water and deposited
material, reduces infiltration and increases surface runoff (Dexter, 1997; Whitmore *et al.*,

2004; Pilgrim *et al.*, 2010). The direct loss of soil C by water erosion (Lal, 2003; van Oost *et al.*, 2007) has been estimated to be 0.20-0.76 Tg annually in England and Wales (Quinton *et al.*, 2006). Plant nutrients can be lost in eroded topsoil (Pimentel *et al.*, 1995; Quinton *et al.*, 2001; Palmer & Smith, 2013), although few published studies have examined N and P losses
in detail (Kronvang, 1990; Chambers *et al.*, 2000).

143

144 Decline in soil organic matter

145 In common with other temperate-zone countries, there has been a decline in SOM in some 146 soils in the UK over a long period, predominantly as a result of changes in land use. 147 Historically land has changed from native woodland to grazing land, and then on to annual 148 arable systems. Since the 1940s, the area covered by permanent grassland has reduced and in 149 arable systems there has been a reduction in the use of manures and an increase in cultivation 150 depth (Bellamy et al., 2005). These have all served to both reduce organic inputs and increase 151 decomposition of existing SOM. Topsoil horizons (up to 0.30 m depth) are those most 152 affected by SOM decline as the topsoil is the main zone affected by land use, although there 153 is increased awareness of potential impacts of land use on subsoil SOM (e.g. Gregory et al., 154 2014). SOM contents under arable systems are much lower than those under grass and 155 woodland in the UK (Chapman et al., 2013; Gregory et al., 2014). The SOM changes as the 156 soil adjusts to a new equilibrium of plant inputs, which can take several decades (Jenkinson *et* 157 al., 2008; Johnston et al., 2009). Occasionally land is taken out of arable cultivation and 158 SOM can recover, though at a much slower rate (Johnston, 1986; Poulton et al., 2003; Smith 159 et al., 2007). There is conflicting evidence as to whether SOM and C stocks have declined 160 significantly in the UK over the last 30 years where there has been no change in land use 161 (Bellamy et al., 2005; Reynolds et al., 2013; Chapman et al., 2013), and the current estimate of the total soil organic C stock down to 1 m depth in the whole of the UK is 5260 Tg 162

163 (Bradley *et al.*, 2005; Gregory *et al.*, 2014). Instead there is much evidence as to the effects of
164 SOM decline on soil properties, particularly physical properties.

165 Specific decreases in aggregate stability of 10-40% with a 1% decrease in SOM content have 166 been recorded in the UK and similar locations (Williams, 1971; Tisdall & Oades, 1980; Tisdall & Oades, 1982; Riley et al., 2008), as well as a decrease in the resilience of soil to 167 168 physical stresses, such as compaction (Watts & Dexter, 1997; Gregory et al., 2009). This can 169 lead to an undesirable domination of coarser clods (Riley et al., 2008), a decrease in friability 170 (Watts & Dexter, 1998), and a reduction in porosity (Riley et al., 2008). SOM decline can 171 reduce soil water retention (Kibblewhite et al., 2008; Johnston et al., 2009) by up to 10% for 172 a difference in SOM content from 7% to 3% (Gregory et al., 2009). Arable soils of low SOM 173 content are susceptible to slumping when wetted due to aggregate instability leading to lower 174 infiltration and greater surface runoff (Whitmore et al., 2004).

The quantity and quality of SOM, as the primary food source, largely controls the soil 175 microbial biomass (Fierer et al., 2009) and biodiversity (Orwin et al., 2006; Wardle et al., 176 177 2006). Loss of SOM can reduce the exchange of important nutrients such as N, P and S 178 (Johnston et al., 2009). SOM loss from 5% to 2% over a 60 year period at Rothamsted resulted in a 90% decrease in microbial biomass, but no significant effect on microbial 179 180 diversity (Hirsch et al., 2009) or substrate utilization (Wu et al., 2012). Lower fungal biomass 181 (Gregory et al., 2009) and fungal-to-bacterial biomass ratios (Bardgett et al., 1996, 2007) 182 have been found soils of low SOM content compared with undisturbed and botanically-rich 183 grassland soils in the UK.

SOM also plays a key role in the ability of soils to buffer the effects of potentially-toxic
substances, in part by chelation and adsorption (Chander & Brookes, 1993; Hund-Rinke &
Kördel, 2003; Griffiths *et al.*, 2005, 2008; Kuan *et al.*, 2007). Loss of SOM can, hence,
release toxic elements (ROTAP, 2009).

189 Soil contamination

Soil contamination may result from point or diffuse sources. Impacts of the latter are hard to predict as it can be affected by factors such as the weather, and soils far away from the source may be affected. Contamination mainly affects soil biological and chemical properties, although some contaminants (e.g. salts) may destabilize soil structure and affect soil physical properties.

195 Contamination that decreases soil pH, such as N deposition (NEGTAP, 2001; ROTAP, 196 2009), reduces nutrient availability, even at moderate levels (pH 5-7), and increases the risk 197 of nutrient leaching (Pearson & Stewart, 1993; Jefferies & Maron, 1997; Degryse et al., 198 2007). Soil pH is one of the main determinants of soil biodiversity and functioning (Fierer & 199 Jackson, 2006; Smolders et al., 2009; Griffiths et al., 2011). Some keystone soil taxa 200 including Lumbricidae (earthworms), Collembola (springtails), and N-fixing bacteria are 201 particularly sensitive to metals (Emmett et al., 2010). Contamination can affect the health of 202 soil invertebrates in general (Spurgeon & Hopkin, 1996). Addition of Cu to UK soils (equivalent to 500 mg kg⁻¹) decreased both microbial respiration rates by up to 80% initially, 203 204 and microbial biomass itself (Griffiths et al., 2001; Kuan et al., 2007; Gregory et al., 2009). 205 The effects may not be linear (Hirsch et al., 1993; Giller et al., 2009) as different groups 206 dominate at different points as toxicity changes. Additions of Cu and Zn increase the 207 metabolic quotient (Rost et al., 2001) which is an indicator of microbial stress (Chander & 208 Joergensen, 2001; Chander et al., 2002). Metals added in association with sewage sludge may 209 be more toxic than metals added as inorganic salts (Chaudri et al., 2008). As a result, 210 contamination can decrease OM mineralization (Giller et al., 1998; Dai et al., 2004; Emmett 211 et al., 2010), N fixation (McGrath, 1998; Broos, 2004) and the catabolic diversity of soils, measured by the soil's ability to degrade a range of compounds (Wenderoth & Reber, 1999; 212

Girvan *et al.*, 2005). Urban soils, often have lower biodiversity (Fountain & Hopkin, 2004;
Styers *et al.*, 2010), presumably due to contamination.

215

216 Soil compaction

217 Compaction is mainly associated with trafficking in arable soils and livestock in grassland 218 soils. Whereas clay soils are better-able to recover, sandy soils are particularly vulnerable 219 (Gregory *et al.*, 2009). Subsoil compaction is particularly insidious because it is rarely 220 detected. Recent reviews have summarized comprehensively the causes, effects (some of 221 which are discussed below) and management of soil compaction in the UK (Clarke *et al.*, 222 2007; Batey, 2009).

223 Soil compaction degrades soil physical quality (Dexter, 1988; Whitmore & Whalley, 2009). Increases in bulk density (of up to 0.18 Mg m⁻³, Ball et al., 2008) and soil strength (of up to 3 224 225 MPa, Gregory et al., 2007; Whalley et al., 2008), and decreases in friability (by up to 50%, Watts & Dexter, 1998), soil porosity (by 10-25%, Mooney & Nipattasuk, 2003; Gregory et 226 227 al., 2009; Matthews et al., 2010) and water-holding capacity (Gregory et al., 2009) have been 228 reported in UK soils. Compaction preferentially affects macropores (Breland & Hansen, 229 1996; Richard et al., 2001) and can change the alignment of pores from vertical to parallel 230 with respect to the soil surface (Servadio et al., 2005). This affects infiltration rates 231 (Heathwaite et al., 1990; Kibblewhite et al., 2008; Batey, 2009) and hence increases the risk 232 of overland flow, flooding and erosion (Dexter, 1988). Decreases in the saturated hydraulic 233 conductivity of soil by up to three orders of magnitude have been reported (Mooney & 234 Nipattasuk, 2003; Matthews et al., 2010). Compaction in grassland soils is less severe than in 235 arable soil (Palmer & Smith, 2013), although it is much less understood (Bilotta et al., 2007). 236 Compaction may have little effect on microorganisms as they inhabit small pores which are less affected (Breland & Hansen, 1996; Jensen et al., 1996; Kohler et al., 2005; Shestak & 237

238 Busse, 2005; Gregory et al., 2007). However, microbial functions may be affected. Breland 239 & Hansen (1996) reported reductions of up to 18% in N mineralization with compaction, 240 ascribed to increased physical protection of SOM and microbial biomass in the smaller pores 241 inaccessible to grazing nematodes created by compaction. Denitrification and NH₃ 242 volatilization may increase with compaction, causing N loss (Batey & Killham, 1986). Ball et al. (2008) found N₂O emissions increased by up to 4 mg N m⁻² hour⁻¹ following compaction – 243 244 twice that of the uncompacted control. In contrast, compaction may affect soil 245 macroorganisms. Reductions in Collembola (Heisler & Kaiser, 1995; Schrader & Lingnau, 246 1997), Arthropoda (arthropods) (Aritajate et al., 1977a, 1977b), Enchytraeidae (potworms) 247 (Schrader et al., 1997; Rohrig et al., 1998), and both surface-dwelling (Piearce, 1984; 248 Radford et al., 2001) and deep-burrowing Lumbricidae species (Rushton, 1986; Kretzschmar, 249 1991) have been reported.

250

251 Soil sealing

252 Soil sealing is associated with the main urban areas of the UK under the greatest pressure for 253 housing and infrastructure. In 2011, 82% of the population of England lived in urban areas 254 (ONS, 2012, 2013). Whilst there is little direct evidence of the impacts on soil properties in 255 the UK, general impacts from a European perspective have been discussed (e.g. Huber et al., 2008; Scalenghe & Marsan, 2009; Virto et al., 2015). Sealing interrupts or removes 256 257 completely the contact between the soil and other system components such as the biosphere, 258 atmosphere and hydrosphere (Huber et al., 2008) with significant and irreversible impacts on 259 the ability of soil to transmit water and gas (Virto et al., 2015). Declines in SOM (Wei et al., 260 2014) and microbial biomass and respiration (Piotrowska-Dlugosz & Charzyński, 2015) have 261 also been reported.

263 Brownfield development

264 The impact from brownfield development on soil properties is wide-ranging as determined by 265 the specific prior engineering project. In the extreme case where brownfield development 266 results in a non-green after-use, the soil is removed permanently and hence all soil functions will be lost. However, common brownfield developments in the UK include the restoration to 267 268 a green after-use of mineral workings, landfill sites and underground infrastructure networks. 269 In these cases, how the soil was removed, stored and reinstated prior to, during and following 270 the lifetime of the industrial use will have a large impact on the success of the green after-use 271 and the properties and functions of the soil.

272 The removal and storage of soil often has deleterious effects on soil physical properties, 273 including compaction and loss of structure (HMG, 1996). Soil structure can be damaged with 274 low porosity, up to 15% lower aggregate stability (Malik & Scullion, 1998), and domination 275 of smaller (<2 mm) aggregates (Edgerton et al., 1995; Gregory & Vickers, 2003, 2007). The 276 nutrient status of the soil may be reduced due to leaching during storage and waterlogging if 277 compacted (HMG, 1996). SOM can also be lost (Johnson et al., 1988; Bentham et al., 1992; 278 Malik & Scullion, 1998; Wick et al., 2009). These effects can persist when the soil is 279 reinstated.

The high compaction and shearing forces imparted during lifting, moving and replacement of soil have immediate and significant effects on the biological community (Harris *et al.*, 1989, 1993). The soil microbial biomass can decrease by 50% immediately upon removal (Harris *et al.*, 1989) and by 95% following storage if conditions become anaerobic (Harris & Birch, 1990). *Lumbricidae* are severely affected, and their populations may take decades to recover even after the soil is reinstated. Fungi and complex organisms are disproportionately affected by engineering operations, because of their physical, multicellular structure. The observed slow recovery of microbial biomass in brownfield soils is linked to the reduced soil physical
quality (Edgerton *et al.*, 1995; Harris, 2003).

289

290 Other degradation threats

291 Salinisation, the excess of water-soluble salts in soils by natural or human-enhanced means 292 (Huber et al., 2008), is limited to those UK soils most susceptible to seawater flooding, or naturally-occurring localised acid sulphate soils such as in East Anglia (Dent, 1985; 293 294 Kibblewhite et al., 2008). Salts have a deleterious effect on soil structure and often result in a 295 release of pollutants (Du Laing et al., 2009). Though more commonly associated with 296 Mediterranean areas in Europe, particularly mountainous areas (e.g. Ferrara et al., 2015; 297 Virto et al., 2015), landslides do occur in localized areas of the UK (Foster et al., 2013), 298 including coastal cliffs (Bowman & Take, 2015) and upland areas (Gunn et al., 2013; 299 Johnson & Warburton, 2015). The result of landslides is often the loss of the entire soil 300 material and hence all properties and functions from a site. As vulnerable sites tend to be in 301 the uplands or by the coast, there can be a significant impact on amenity and agricultural uses 302 (Bowman & Take, 2015). Correspondingly, the soil at the deposition site is buried, severely 303 impairing its functioning.

304

305 Discussion

306

307 The impacts of degradation threats on soil properties in the UK

From the review above, we see that the main degradation threats to soils in the UK affect a wide range of properties within the three main types: physical, biological and chemical. Soil erosion results in the removal of important topsoil and any contained nutrients, C and porosity. A decline in SOM principally affects soil biological and microbiological properties,

312 SOM being the primary food source, but also impacts on soil physical properties because of 313 the effect of SOM on soil structure development and stabilization. Soil contamination affects 314 soil chemical properties, affecting nutrient availability and degrading microbial properties, 315 whilst soil compaction degrades soil physical properties, especially soil porosity. Soil sealing 316 removes the link between the soil and most of the 'spheres' and can significantly affect soil 317 hydrological and microbial functions, but may not necessarily affect other soil properties. By 318 contrast, soils on re-developed brownfield sites are typically degraded in physical, chemical 319 and biological properties. From our review it is apparent that the potential or actual effect of 320 some degradation threats on soils in the UK are well-documented, including soil erosion, 321 decline in SOM, soil contamination and soil compaction. By contrast, the impact of soil 322 sealing and brownfield development on soils in the UK appears to be understood less. These 323 areas might need to be research priorities in the future if the UK population (particularly in 324 urban areas) continues to increase.

The spatial arrangement of degradation threats to soils in the UK is complex and beyond the 325 326 scope of this review. However we may offer some initial simplistic thoughts. Erosion is 327 associated with overland flow on wet soils or wind in dry soils. Therefore in the UK upland 328 soils in the north and west that experience considerable rainfall and arable soils of low 329 porosity may be more prone to suffer from soil erosion by water whereas light-textured arable 330 soils may be more prone to wind erosion, such as those in the south and east of the UK. 331 Intuitively, soils with a greater amount of SOM have more potential to lose SOM than soils 332 of lesser SOM. As SOM is to a large extent dictated by land use, soils under grassland, semi-333 natural or natural vegetation may be candidates to experience a loss of SOM, particularly if 334 the input from vegetation changes in some way. Grassland dominates soils in the west and 335 north of the UK, whilst the main areas of semi-natural or natural vegetation are in the uplands. However, it is important to reiterate that there is currently no definitive evidence for 336

337 significant changes to SOM levels in UK soils (Bellamy et al., 2005; Reynolds et al., 2013; Chapman et al., 2013; Gregory et al., 2014). Although compaction is often perceived to be a 338 339 degradation associated exclusively with trafficking of arable soils, grassland soils can become 340 compacted from the impact of livestock, and hence compaction can potentially affect many 341 agricultural soils in the UK. The impacts are likely to be more severe in tramlines and where 342 livestock congregate (e.g. feeding and watering troughs) in arable and grassland systems, respectively. Soil sealing and brownfield development are primarily urban-based 343 344 degradations and hence will mainly threaten soils within and surrounding the main urban 345 areas in the UK, particularly in the cities in England. Soil contamination could also affect the 346 same soils close to urban and industrial areas, but other contaminants, particular airborne 347 ones, could diffuse to affect soils distant from the source. Continued development and 348 exploitation of soil and land use spatial databases (e.g. Mackney et al., 1983; Proctor et al., 349 1998; Morton et al., 2011) should help to identify soils at risk from particular degradation 350 threats. This kind of approach can then be used to review current land management practices 351 in order to minimize degradation impacts.

352

353 Implications for soil functions in the UK

Having synthesized the literature on the impact of degradation threats on soil properties in the UK we may then discuss the likely impacts on important soil functions – the tasks or services we ask of soil. These functions typically include food and fibre production, storage of water and C, water and air filtration, support for infrastructure, and support for biodiversity, habitats, cultural and archaeological heritage (HMG, 2011b). We discuss briefly some potential impacts of changes in soil properties arising from degradation threats on soil functions. Loss of topsoil affects crop yields. A review of 24 studies in the UK found that yields decreased by 4% per 10 cm depth of soil loss, equivalent to a soil loss of around 100 Mg ha⁻¹ (Bakker *et al.*, 2004). Erosion on lowland grasslands, which ranges from 0.5-1.2 Mg ha⁻¹, can be important locally for growth and silage quality (Bilotta *et al.*, 2010). Erosion removes soil habitat space, thus impacting on biodiversity support and water storage functions. Amenity use is also affected by erosion (Rodway-Dyer & Walling, 2010).

367 Degradation of soil physical properties, particularly the decrease in porosity from compaction 368 and the destabilization of structure from loss of SOM, affect a range of soil functions. Water 369 storage and flood regulation functions are degraded with a loss in porosity (Dexter, 1997; 370 Whitmore et al., 2004; Pilgrim et al., 2010). Plant growth can decline as a result of 371 compaction-imposed loss of porosity, particularly if a dense plough pan develops (van den 372 Akker, 1997). Compaction impairs root penetration (Batey, 2009) and root development 373 (Whalley et al., 1995). Specific declines in crop yield have been reported in the UK (Douglas et al., 1992; Gregory et al., 2007), which can be up to 3 Mg ha⁻¹ for each 0.1 Mg m⁻³ increase 374 375 in bulk density (Whalley et al., 1995) or each 1 MPa increase in strength (Whalley et al., 2006, 2008). Gregory *et al.* (2007) reported yield declines of up to 3 Mg ha⁻¹ in a heavily 376 compacted loamy soil. Compaction can also increase the susceptibility of a crop to soil-borne 377 378 diseases and fungi (Batey, 2009). In arable systems however some compaction is beneficial to achieve good seed-soil contact (Dexter, 1988) and to lessen the risk of lodging (Scott et al., 379 380 2005).

A decline in soil physical quality has implications for biodiversity support. Plant species differ in their ability to grow in compacted soil (Godefroid & Koedam, 2004a, 2004b) and compacted grassland soils may be less biodiverse than uncompacted soils (Roovers *et al.*, 2004). Some evidence exists as to a link between compacted soil and a reduction in soilpupating larvae of *Lepidoptera* (butterflies and moths) (Roach & Campbell, 1983). Gilroy *et*

386 al. (2008) reported a negative correlation between soil strength and the abundance of 387 Motacilla flava (yellow wagtail) in eastern England, perhaps related to the effect on soil-388 dwelling prey. Other British grassland species, including Turdus philomelos (song thrush), 389 Sturnus vulgaris (starling) and some waders, may be similarly affected (Clarke et al., 2007). 390 The quality of soil-turf systems for amenity is impaired by compaction and trafficking 391 (Marjamaki & Pietola, 2007; Han et al., 2008), although compaction is desired in special 392 cases, such as cricket pitches (Baker et al., 1998). Compaction may affect the preservation of 393 cultural artefacts and archaeology in the soil (Blum, 1993).

394 Although the quantitative evidence for critical thresholds is slight (Korschens et al., 1998; 395 Loveland & Webb, 2003; Reynolds et al., 2007), there is a widely-held belief that soil cannot 396 function optimally without an adequate level of SOM (van Camp et al., 2004). Often declines 397 in SOM and structural development are hard to separate. Robinson & Woodrun (2008) 398 reported an inverse relationship between SOM content and surface runoff due to crusting in 399 agricultural soils on chalk in southern England. Declines in SOM have been associated with 400 increased erosion (Fullen, 1991) and clay dispersion (Watts & Dexter; 1998). Other functions 401 can also be affected. Correlations have been made between SOM and the abundance of 402 Diptera (flies) and aerially-active Coleoptera (beetles) in arable soils in England (Gilroy et 403 al., 2008) and Culicoides impunctatus (highland midge) in Scotland (Blackwell et al., 1999). 404 A link between SOM loss and the release of toxic elements in soils has also been found 405 (ROTAP, 2009).

406 Changes in soil chemical properties, chiefly pH arising from agricultural inputs or 407 contaminants, affect many functions. Metals and metalloids can accumulate in topsoil from 408 either the atmosphere or the use of fertilizers, agrochemicals, manures or waste materials on 409 land which, if released in toxic concentrations, particularly under acidifying conditions, can 410 severely impair plant growth and food quality (Pearson & Stewart, 1993; Blake *et al.*, 1994;

411 Blake & Goulding, 2002; Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005; Degryse et al., 2007; 412 Atkinson *et al.*, 2012). Some metals and metalloids are phytotoxic and decrease yields at high 413 concentrations (e.g. Zn, Cu, Cr, and As), whereas 'passage poisons' affect animal and 414 humans consuming food with little effect on yields (e.g. Cd, Mo, Se and possibly Co) 415 (McGrath & Zhao, 2015). The UK has substantial areas that are As-contaminated (Appleton 416 et al., 2012). Acidification can cause plant nutrient imbalances (Phoenix et al., 2004), increased risk of nutrient leaching (Pearson & Stewart, 1993; Jefferies & Maron, 1997; 417 418 Degryse et al., 2007) and increased susceptibility of plants to stressors, including diseases and 419 pests (Power et al., 1998; Carroll et al., 1999). Acid grassland can be toxic to grazing. 420 Above-ground biomass may be significantly reduced near urban areas due, in part, to the 421 proximity of contaminant sources (Ander et al., 2013). Ground-level O₃ may also potentially 422 reduce grass (Gonzalez et al., 1999) and arable crop yields by up to 15% in the UK, in part by 423 the effect on the ability of plants to respond to drought and to sequester C (ROTAP, 2009). 424 Excess salt levels are toxic to most plant species, but the resulting halophytic ecosystems are 425 often of great biodiversity value however (e.g. salt marsh, Watts et al., 2003). Indeed, soil pH 426 is one of the main determinants of plant biodiversity (Buneman et al., 2006; Cookson et al., 427 2006; Rousk et al., 2009).

At a fundamental level, where soil sealing removes the link between soils and the other 'spheres', functions such as supporting vegetation growth, C sequestration, filtering water and air and supporting biodiversity are significantly or irreversibly reduced (Huber *et al.*, 2008; Scalenghe & Marsan, 2009). Also the degradations in soil properties arising from brownfield development cause problems for re-creating functioning soils. Restoration to a desired post-operation land use, such as species-rich grassland, may require intervention (Carrington & Diaz, 2011). However, modern restoration techniques can successfully recreate habitats of high nature conservation value (Tarrant *et al.*, 2013), and indeed soils
with poor structure or nutrient status may help in this regard (HMG, 1996).

437 It is therefore apparent that if potential soil degradation threats are realized, a range of 438 important soil functions may be affected, through their effects on measured soil properties. 439 The studies reviewed above largely focus on functions of immediate current economic value 440 (e.g. crop yields and water resource management), and were often empirical observations 441 rather than mechanistic studies linked to soil properties. Extrapolation of knowledge between 442 soil properties and soil functions is challenging as a result (HMG, 2011b) even though similar 443 processes may be at work. A related issue is the potential or otherwise for soils to be truly 444 multi-functional. There are obvious trade-offs: agricultural monoculture might be at odds with supporting biodiversity, for instance. In the future, a more mechanistic understanding of 445 446 the response of soil functions to degradation processes, through measured changes in soil 447 properties, would help in this regard.

448 It is important to note that degradation processes do not necessarily have irreversible effects 449 on soil functions. Land management practices can be revised to reduce or even remove the 450 degradation threat to improve soil properties and functions. Examples in agriculture include 451 cultivation when the soil is brittle rather than plastic, and reduced tyre pressures on 452 machinery to reduce compaction (Batey, 2009), and cultivation perpendicular to (rather than 453 up-and-down) the slope to reduce erosion (Quinton & Catt, 2004). Farmers may also prevent 454 SOM loss by incorporating residues or adding organic materials. On non-agricultural land, 455 degradation threats such as contamination, sealing and brownfield site development can 456 probably only be mitigated by policy.

457

458 The effects of climate change on soils in the UK

459 It is predicted that the UK will experience hotter, drier summers and warmer, wetter winters 460 than currently, with an increased occurrence of low frequency-high magnitude events such as 461 heat waves and intense storms (HMG, 2009a, 2009b; Lowe et al., 2009). Climate change is 462 likely to affect all the degradation threats, and hence soil properties. We briefly summarize some important implications with reference to observations in the literature and modelling. 463 464 Whilst it may be possible to look at evidence from regions currently experiencing the kind of 465 climate predicted for the UK, such soils have likely developed over millennia under stable 466 conditions and hence may not necessarily be analogous to how UK soils will respond to rapid 467 climate change.

468 Soils on the margins of stability are likely to be most vulnerable to drier summers, such as 469 those in eastern England which already experience low rainfall, or peat soils which can suffer 470 irreversible shrinkage upon drying. Prolonged drying can increase soil hydrophobicity 471 (McHale et al., 2005) and strength (Whitmore & Whalley, 2009). Rapid drying can increase 472 the mobility of cationic metals (Simpson et al., 2010) which can reduce the buffering 473 capacity (Park et al., 2010). The likely effect on SOM is unknown: warming may increase 474 microbial activity (Cox et al., 2002; Carney et al., 2007), or net primary productivity (Smith 475 et al., 2007; Johnston et al., 2009), resulting in either a net loss or gain in soil C, respectively. 476 Some models predict significant loss of soil C across much of the UK up to 2080 (Smith et 477 al., 2005). Suseela & Dukes (2013) suggested a seasonality effect on SOM with decreased 478 respiration during the growing season and increased respiration in the non-growing season. 479 Global warming is predicted to cause a sea level rise of between 3-9 cm between 2010 and 480 2030 (Lowe et al., 2009), which will increase the risk of flooding and salinisation in low-481 lying coastal soils of the UK.

482 The wet winter experienced in the UK in 2000-2001 provided some insight into future 483 rainfall patterns (ADAS, 2002). Soils suffered from prolonged waterlogging, rill erosion,

484 losses of NO₃ (in drainage water), S (in SO₄) and P (in sediment), and precipitation of Fe and 485 Mn (ADAS, 2002). Waterlogging causes anaerobism and has been found to reduce N, P and 486 K nutrient uptake rates to less than 10% of control soils, and to increase the concentration of potentially-harmful compounds including C_2H_4 (up to 6 μ L L⁻¹) and Mn (up to 0.5 mg kg⁻¹) 487 488 (Drew & Sisworo, 1979). McTiernan et al. (2001) reported 70-300% greater losses of 489 dissolved organic C from seasonally-waterlogged soil compared to drained grassland, 490 although Scholefield et al. (1993) found increased NO₃ leaching in drained soil at the same 491 site. Unger et al. (2009) found that flooding reduced total microbial biomass, Gram-positive 492 bacteria and mycorrhizal fungi by up to 50%. Milan (2012) reported lasting effects of high-493 magnitude flooding in an upland catchment in the UK where soil adjacent to the river was 494 lost together with its vegetation. The overall increase in flood frequency in the UK may be as 495 high as 50% at the current 50-year return period in some catchments (Kay et al., 2006). The 496 full effects of significant flooding in southern England in the winter of 2013-2014 have yet to 497 be assessed.

498 Changes in soil properties arising from climate change will affect important soil functions, 499 particularly food and fibre yields. With increased drying, rainfed agriculture could become 500 risky for some important crops in the UK such as potato (Daccache et al., 2012). Following 501 the wet winter in the UK in 2000-2001, yields of winter wheat (12% decline), barley (7.5% 502 decline), spring oilseed rape crop, sugar beet and potato were significantly reduced (ADAS, 503 2002). Rounsevell & Brignall (1994) concluded that machinery working days would be 504 reduced should autumnal precipitation increase in England by 15%, which is entirely 505 possible. Flooding makes it difficult to get livestock onto the land (Tyson et al., 1992) and 506 animals grazing floodplains are at risk of being lost in floodwater (Blackwell & Maltby, 507 2006). Whilst the greater atmospheric CO_2 may enhance yields of temperate plants (Long *et* 508 al., 2004) it could come at a cost of reduced plant protein content (Cotrufo et al., 1998;

509 DaMatta et al., 2010), if N becomes limited in the soil (Gill et al., 2002). Further impacts on 510 soil functions may be apparent. Increased concentrations of CO₂ may reduce plant 511 transpiration (Korner, 2000) which could reduce the ability of the soil to store additional 512 rainfall. Substantially reduced flows in wetlands may reduce their water regulatory capacity 513 (Sutherland et al., 2008). Waterlogging causes reducing conditions in soils which could 514 impact on buffering and contaminant filtering (Du Laing et al., 2009; Lair et al., 2009). The 515 impact of climate change on soil biodiversity remains unclear, although it will reflect changes 516 in general soil conditions and vegetative growth. Resilience in biodiverse habitats at the 517 larger scale may mask changes at fine scales (Fridley et al., 2011). A link between climate, 518 shrink-swell cycles in clay soils, and subsidence claims from properties damaged in the UK 519 has been reported (Harrison et al., 2012), and it is predicted that the magnitude and frequency 520 of landslides in the UK will increase in the future (Pritchard et al., 2014). There is conflicting 521 evidence as to whether waterlogging and anaerobism will help to preserve (Caple, 1996; 522 Raiswell, 2001) or degrade (Douteralo et al., 2010) archaeological materials in soils.

523 It would appear that soils will need to be carefully managed in the future to minimize any 524 exacerbating effects of climate change on the range of degradations that they currently 525 experience.

526

527 Conclusions

With the UK as an example, we have summarized some key data on the impact of degradation threats on soil properties, and have sought to link changes in soil properties to important soil functions. The key next stage will be to continue to add to the database with field-based monitoring of broad changes to soil properties following degradation supported by controlled laboratory experimentation to improve understanding of the mechanisms involved. Outputs from such an approach, together with refinement of soil and land use spatial databases, should aid the development of models capable of extrapolating up to the national scale in order to link soil degradations to soil functions. We may also be able to predict any effect that climate change is likely to have. Armed with this, we may revise our policies so that soils are truly managed sustainably.

538

539 Acknowledgements

This review was funded by the UK Government Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Project SP1601 'Soil functions, quality and degradation – studies in support of the implementation of the Soil Strategy for England'). Rothamsted Research uses facilities funded by the UK Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council.

544

545 **References**

- ADAS 2002. The wet autumn of 2000: implications for agriculture. Project CC0372 final
 report. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, London, UK.
- 548 Ander, E. L., Johnson, C. C., Cave, M. R., Palumbo-Roe, B., Nathanail, C. P. & Lark, R. M.
- 549 2013. Methodology for the determination of normal contaminants in English soil
 550 background concentrations of. *Science of the Total Environment*, **454**, 604-618.
- 551 Appleton, J. D., Cave, M. R. & Wragg, J. 2012. Anthropogenic and geogenic impacts on 552 arsenic bioaccessibility in UK topsoils. *Science of the Total Environment*, **435**, 21-29.
- Aritajat, U., Madge, D. S. & Gooderham, P. T. 1977a. Effects of compaction of agricultural
 soils on soil fauna. 1. Field investigations. *Pedobiologia*, **17**, 262-282.
- Aritajat, U., Madge, D. S. & Gooderham, P. T. 1977b. Effects of compaction of agricultural
 soils on soil fauna. 2. Laboratory investigations. *Pedobiologia*, **17**, 283-291.
- Atkinson, N. R., Young, S. D., Tye, A. M., Breward, N. & Bailey, E. H. 2012. Does returning
 sites of historic peri-urban waste disposal to vegetable production pose a risk to

- human health? A case study near Manchester, UK. Soil Use and Management, 28,
 559-570.
- Baker, S. W., Cook, A. & Adams, W. A. 1998. Soil characteristics of first-class cricket
 pitches and their influence on playing performance. *Journal of Turfgrass Science*, 74,
 63-77.
- Bakker, M. M., Govers, G. & Rounsevell, M. D. A. 2004. The crop productivity-erosion
 relationship: an analysis based on experimental work. *Catena*, 57, 55-76.
- 566Ball, B. C., Crichton, I. & Horgan, G. W. 2008. Dynamics of upward and downward N_2O and567 CO_2 fluxes in ploughed or no-tilled soils in relation to water-filled pore space,

568 compaction and crop presence. *Soil & Tillage Research*, **101**, 20-30.

- Bardgett, R. D., Hobbs, P. J. & Frostegard, A. 1996. Changes in soil fungal:bacterial biomass
 ratios following reductions in the intensity of management of an upland grassland. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 22, 261-264.
- 572 Bardgett, R. D., Smith, R. S., Shiel, R. S., Tallowin, J. R. B., Mortimer, S. R., Brown, V. K.,
- 573 Pilgrim, E. S., Millward, D., Lawson, C., Harrison, K. A., Edwards, A. E., Hobbs, P.
- 574 J., Sanderson, R., Harris, S. J., Beaumont, D. A., Murray, A. M. & Quirk, H. 2007.
- 575 Diversification of grassland through the manipulation of plant-soil interactions. 576 Project BD1451 objective 1 final report. Department for Environment, Food and 577 Rural Affairs.
- 578 Batey, T. 2009. Soil compaction and soil management a review. *Soil Use and Management*,
 579 25, 335-345.
- 580 Batey, T. & Killham, K. 1986. Field evidence on nitrogen losses by denitrification. *Soil Use*581 *and Management*, 2, 83-86.
- Bellamy, P. H., Loveland, P. J., Bradley, R. I., Lark, R. M. & Kirk, G. J. D. 2005. Carbon
 losses from all soils across England and Wales 1978-2003. *Nature*, 437, 245-248.

- Bentham, H., Harris, J. A., Birch, P. & Short, K. C. 1992. Habitat classification and soil
 restoration assessment using analysis of soil microbiological and physicochemical
 characteristics. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 29, 711-718.
- Bilotta, G. S., Brazier, R. E. & Haygarth, P. M. 2007. The impacts of grazing animals on the
 quality of soils, vegetation, and surface waters in intensively managed grasslands. *Advances in Agronomy*, 94, 237-280.
- 590 Bilotta, G. S., Krueger, T., Brazier, R. E., Butler, P., Freer, J., Hawkins, J. M. B., Haygarth,
- P. M., Macleod, C. J. A. & Quinton, J. N. 2010. Assessing catchment-scale erosion
 and yields of suspended solids from improved temperate grassland. *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, 12, 731-739.
- Blackwell, A., Lock, K. A., Marshall, B., Boag, B. & Gordon, S. C. 1999. The spatial
 distribution of larvae of *Culicoides impunctatus* biting midges. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, 13, 362-371.
- 597 Blackwell, M. S. A. & Maltby, E. (eds) 2006. *Ecoflood Guidelines: How to Use Floodplains*598 *for Flood Risk Reduction*, Office for Official Publications of the European
 599 Communities, Luxembourg.
- Blake, L. & Goulding, K. W. T. 2002. Effects of atmospheric deposition, soil pH and
 acidification on heavy metal contents in soils and vegetation of semi-natural
 ecosystems at Rothamsted Experimental Station, UK. *Plant and Soil*, 240, 235-251.
- Blake, L., Johnston, A. E. & Goulding, K. W. T. 1994. Mobilization of aluminum in soil by
 acid deposition and its uptake by grass cut for hay a chemical time bomb. *Soil Use and Management*, 10, 51-55.
- Blum, W. E. H. 1993. Soil protection concept of the Council of Europe and integrated soil
 research. In: *Integrated Soil and Sediment Research: A Basis for Proper Protection.*

- 608 (eds H. J. P. Eijsackers & T. Hamers), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The
 609 Netherlands, pp. 37-47.
- Bowman, E. T. & Take, W. A. 2015. The runout of chalk cliff collapses in England and
 France case studies and physical model experiments. *Landslides*, **12**, 225-239.
- Bradley, R. I., Milne, R., Bell, J., Lilly, A., Jordan, C. & Higgins, A. 2005. A soil carbon and
 land use database for the United Kingdom. *Soil Use and Management*, 21, 363-369.
- Breland, T. A. & Hansen, S. 1996. Nitrogen mineralization and microbial biomass as affected
 by soil compaction. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 28, 655-663.
- 616 Broos, K., Uyttebroek, M., Mertens, J. & Smolders, E. 2004. A survey of symbiotic nitrogen
- 617 fixation by white clover grown on metal contaminated soils. *Soil Biology &*618 *Biochemistry*, **36**, 633-640.
- Bullock, P. 1987. Soil erosion in the UK an appraisal. *Journal of the Royal Agricultural Society of England*, 148, 144-157.
- Bunemann, E. K., Schwenke, G. D. & van Zwieten, L. 2006. Impact of agricultural inputs on
 soil organisms a review. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 44, 379-406.
- 623 Burghardt, W., Banko, G., Hoeke, S., Hursthouse, A., de L'Escaille, T., Ledin, S., Marsan, F.
- A., Sauer, D., Stahr, K., Amann, E., Quast, J., Nerger, M., Schneider, J. & Kuehn, K.
- 625 2004. Sealing soils, soils in urban areas, land use and land use planning. Report of
- Task Group 5, Volume VI: Research, sealing and cross-cutting issues. In: *Reports of*
- 627 the Technical Working Groups Established under the Thematic Strategy for Soil
- 628 Protection. EUR 21319 EN/6. (eds.) L. Van-Camp, B. Bujarrabal, A.-R. Gentile, R. J.
- 629 A. Jones, L. Montanarella, C. Olazabal & S.-K. Selvaradjou, Office for Official
- 630 Publications of the European Communities, Luxembourg, pp. 61-107.

- 631 Caple, C. 1996. Parameters for monitoring anoxic environments. In: *Preserving*632 *Archaeological Remains 'in situ'*. (ed M. Corfield), Museum of London
 633 Archaeological Service, London, UK, pp. 113-122.
- Carney, K. M., Hungate, B. A., Drake, B. G. & Megonigal, J. P. 2007. Altered soil microbial
 community at elevated CO₂ leads to loss of soil carbon. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **104**, 4990-4995.
- 637 Carrington, L. P. & Diaz, A. 2011. An investigation into the effect of soil and vegetation on
 638 the successful creation of a hay meadow on a clay-capped landfill. *Restoration*639 *Ecology*, **19**, 93-100.
- Carroll, J. A., Caporn, S. J. M., Cawley, L., Read, D. J. & Lee, J. A. 1999. The effect of
 increased deposition of atmospheric nitrogen on *Calluna vulgaris* in upland Britain. *New Phytologist*, 141, 423-431.
- Chambers, B. J., Garwood, T. W. D. & Unwin, R. J. 2000. Controlling soil water erosion and
 phosphorus losses from arable land in England and Wales. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 29, 145-150.
- 646 Chander, K. & Brookes, P. C. 1993. Residual effects of zinc, copper and nickel in sewage
 647 sludge on microbial biomass in a sandy loam. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 25, 1231648 1239.
- Chander, K. & Joergensen, R. G. 2001. Decomposition of C-14 glucose in two soils with
 different amounts of heavy metal contamination. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 33,
 1811-1816.
- Chander, K., Klein, T., Eberhardt, U. & Joergensen, R. G. 2002. Decomposition of carbon14-labelled wheat straw in repeatedly fumigated and non-fumigated soils with
 different levels of heavy metal contamination. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 35, 8691.

Chapman, S. J., Bell, J. S., Campbell, C. D., Hudson, G., Lilly, A., Nolan, A. J., Robertson,
A. H. J., Potts, J. M. & Towers, W. 2013. Comparison of soil carbon stocks in
Scottish soils between 1978 and 2009. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 64, 455-465.

Chaudri, A., McGrath, S., Gibbs, P., Chambers, B., Carlton-Smith, C., Bacon, J., Campbell,

- C. & Aitken, M. 2008. Population size of indigenous *Rhizobium leguminosarum*biovar *trifolii* in long-term field experiments with sewage sludge cake, metalamended liquid sludge or metal salts: Effects of zinc, copper and cadmium. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 40, 1670-1680.
- Clarke, M. A., Creamer, R. E., Deeks, L. K., Gowing, D. J. G., Holman, I. P., Jones, R. J. A.,
 Palmer, R. C., Potts, S. G., Rickson, R. J., Ritz, K., Smith, J., Thompson, T. R. E.,
- Truckell, I. G., Vickery, J., Whalley, W. R., Whelan, M. J. & Woodcock, B. A. 2007.
 Scoping study to assess soil compaction affecting upland and lowland grassland in
- 668 England and Wales. Project BD2304 final report. Department for Environment, Food669 and Rural Affairs, London, UK.
- Cookson, W. R., Marschner, P., Clark, I. M., Milton, N., Smirk, M. N., Murphy, D. V.,
 Osman, M., Stockdale, E. A. & Hirsch, P. R. 2006. The influence of season,
 agricultural management, and soil properties on gross nitrogen transformations and
 bacterial community structure. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 44, 453-465.
- 674 Cotrufo, M. F., Ineson, P. & Scott, A. 1998. Elevated CO₂ reduces the nitrogen concentration
 675 of plant tissues. *Global Change Biology*, 4, 43-54.
- 676 Cox, J. W., Chittleborough, D. J., Brown, H. J., Pitman, A. & Varcoe, J. C. R. 2002. Seasonal
 677 changes in hydrochemistry along a toposequence of texture-contrast soils. *Australian*678 *Journal of Soil Research*, 40, 581-604.
- 679 Cruden, D. M. & Varnes, D. J. 1996. Landslide types and processes. In: *Landslides:* 680 *Investigation and Mitigation*. Special Report 247, Transportation Research Board,

- National Research Council. (eds.) A. K. Turner & R. L. Schuster, National Academy
 Press, Washington DC, USA, pp. 36-75.
- Daccache, A., Keay, C., Jones, R. J. A., Weatherhead, E. K., Stalham, M. A. & Knox, J. W.
 2012. Climate change and land suitability for potato production in England and
 Wales: impacts and adaptation. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, **150**, 161-177.
- Dai, J., Becquer, T., Rouiller, J. H., Reversat, G., Bernhard-Reversat, F. & Lavelle, P. 2004.
- Influence of heavy metals on C and N mineralisation and microbial biomass in Zn-,
 Pb-, Cu-, and Cd-contaminated soils. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 25, 99-109.
- DaMatta, F. M., Grandis, A., Arenque, B. C. & Buckeridge, M. S. 2010. Impacts of climate
 changes on crop physiology and food quality. *Food Research International*, 43, 18141823.
- Degryse, F., Vlassak, V., Smolders, E. & Merckx, R. 2007. Mobilization of Cd upon
 acidification of agricultural soils: column study and field modelling. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 58, 152-165.
- Dent, D. 1985. An introduction to acid sulphate soils and their occurrence in East Anglia.
 Seesoil (Journal of the South East England Soils Discussion Group), 2, 37-51.
- 697 Dexter, A. R. 1988. Advances in characterization of soil structure. *Soil & Tillage Research*,
 698 **11**, 199-238.
- 699 Dexter, A. R. 1997. Physical properties of tilled soils. *Soil & Tillage Research*, **43**, 41-63.
- Dobbie, K. E., Bruneau, P. M. C. & Towers, W. (eds) 2011. *The State of Scotland's Soil*,
 Natural Scotland, Edinburgh, UK. pp. 187.
- Douglas, J. T., Koppi, A. J. & Moran, C. J. 1992. Changes in soil structure induced by wheel
 traffic and growth of perennial grass. *Soil & Tillage Research*, 23, 61-72.
- Douterelo, I., Goulder, R. & Lillie, M. 2010. Soil microbial community response to land management and depth, related to the degradation of organic matter in English

- wetlands: Implications for the *in situ* preservation of archaeological remains. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 44, 219-227.
- Drew, M. C. & Sisworo, E. J. 1979. Development of waterlogging damage in young barley
 plants in relation to plant nutrient status and changes in soil properties. *New Phytologist*, 82, 301-314.
- Du Laing, G., Rinklebe, J., Vandecasteele, B., Meers, E. & Tack, F. M. G. 2009. Trace metal
 behaviour in estuarine and riverine floodplain soils and sediments: A review. *Science of the Total Environment*, **407**, 3972-3985.
- 714EC 2006. Communication of 22 September 2006 from the Commission to the Council, the715European Parliament, the European Economic and Social Committee and the
- 716 *Committee of the Regions: Thematic Strategy for Soil Protection.* COM 2006, 231

717 final, European Commission, Brussels, Belgium, pp. 12.

- Edgerton, D. L., Harris, J. A., Birch, P. & Bullock, P. 1995. Linear relationship between
 aggregate stability and microbial biomass in three restored soils. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 27, 1499-1501.
- 721 Emmett, B. A., Reynolds, B., Chamberlain, P. M., Rowe, E., Spurgeon, D., Brittain, S. A.,
- 722 Frogbrook, Z., Hughes, S., Lawlor, A. J., Poskitt, J., Potter, E., Robinson, D. A.,
- Scott, A., Wood, C. & Woods, C. 2010. Countryside Survey: Soils Report from 2007.
- Technical Report No. 9/07 (CEH Project Number: C03259). Natural Environment
 Research Council/Centre for Ecology & Hydrology. Wallingford, Oxon., UK.
- 726 Ferrara, C., Barone, P. M. & Salvati, L. 2015. Unravelling landslide risk: soil susceptibility,
- agro-forest systems and the socio-economic profile of rural communities in Italy. *Soil Use and Management*, **31**, 290-298.

- Fierer, N. & Jackson, R. B. 2006. The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial
 communities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States*of America, 103, 626-631.
- Fierer, N., Strickland, M. S., Liptzin, D., Bradford, M. A. & Cleveland, C. C. 2009. Global
 patterns in belowground communities. *Ecology Letters*, **12**, 1238-1249.
- Foster, C., Pennington, C. V. L., Culshaw, M. G. & Lawrie, K. 2012. The national landslide
 database of Great Britain: development, evolution and applications. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 66, 941-953.
- Foulds, S. A. & Warburton, J. 2007. Wind erosion of blanket peat during a short period of
 surface desiccation (North Pennines, Northern England). *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 32, 481-488.
- Fountain, M. T. & Hopkin, S. P. 2004. Biodiversity of Collembola in urban soils and the use
 of *Folsomia candida* to assess soil 'quality'. *Ecotoxicology*, 13, 555-572.
- 742 Fridley, J. D., Grime, J. P., Askew, A. P., Moser, B. & Stevens, C. J. 2011. Soil heterogeneity
- buffers community response to climate change in species-rich grassland. *Global Change Biology*, **17**, 2002-2011.
- Fullen, M. A. 1991. Soil organic matter and erosion processes on arable loamy sand soils in
 the West Midlands of England, UK. *Soil Technology*, 4, 19-31.
- Gill, R. A., Polley, H. W., Johnson, H. B., Anderson, L. J., Maherali, H. & Jackson, R. B.
 2002. Nonlinear grassland responses to past and future atmospheric CO₂. *Nature*, 417,
 279-282.
- Giller, K. E., Witter, E. & McGrath, S. P. 1998. Toxicity of heavy metals to microorganisms
 and microbial processes in agricultural soils: a review. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*,
 30, 1389-1414.

- Giller, K. E., Witter, E. & McGrath, S. P. 2009. Heavy metals and soil microbes. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 41, 2031-2037.
- Gilroy, J. J., Anderson, G. Q. A., Grice, P. V., Vickery, J. A., Bray, I., Watts, P. N. &
 Sutherland, W. J. 2008. Could soil degradation contribute to farmland bird declines?
 Links between soil penetrability and the abundance of yellow wagtails *Motacilla flava*in arable fields. *Biological Conservation*, 141, 3116-3126.
- Girvan, M. S., Campbell, C. D., Killham, K., Prosser, J. I. & Glover, L. A. 2005. Bacterial
 diversity promotes community stability and functional resilience after perturbation. *Environmental Microbiology*, 7, 301-313.
- Godefroid, S. & Koedam, N. 2004a. Interspecific variation in soil compaction sensitivity
 among forest floor species. *Biological Conservation*, **119**, 207-217.
- Godefroid, S. & Koedam, N. 2004b. The impact of forest paths upon adjacent vegetation:
 effects of the path surfacing material on the species composition and soil compaction. *Biological Conservation*, **119**, 405-419.
- Gonzalez, R. C., Calleja, C. A., Arias, M. T. G., Fernandez, M. C. G. & Garcia, B. M. 1999.
 Decontamination of poultry meats: II. Physical treatments and the situation in the EU. *Alimentaria*, 36, 103-111.
- Gregory, A. S. & Vickers, A. W. 2003. Effects of amendments on soil structural development
 in a clay soil-forming material used as a cap for landfill restoration. *Soil Use and Management*, 19, 273-276.
- Gregory, A. S. & Vickers, A. W. 2007. Short-term soil development in a clay soil-forming
 material used as a landfill restoration cap: a case study. *Land Contamination & Reclamation*, 15, 289-300.
- Gregory, A. S., Watts, C. W., Whalley, W. R., Kuan, H. L., Griffiths, B. S., Hallett, P. D. &
 Whitmore, A. P. 2007. Physical resilience of soil to field compaction and the

- interactions with plant growth and microbial community structure. *European Journal*of Soil Science, 58, 1221-1232.
- Gregory, A. S., Watts, C. W., Griffiths, B. S., Hallett, P. D., Kuan, H. L. & Whitmore, A. P.
 2009. The effect of long-term soil management on the physical and biological
 resilience of a range of arable and grassland soils in England. *Geoderma*, 153, 172185.
- Gregory, A. S., Kirk, G. J. D., Keay, C. A., Rawlins, B. G., Wallace, P. & Whitmore, A. P.
 2014. An assessment of subsoil organic carbon stocks in England and Wales. *Soil Use and Management*, **30**, 10-22.
- Griffiths, B. S., Bonkowski, M., Roy, J. & Ritz, K. 2001. Functional stability, substrate
 utilisation and biological indicators of soils following environmental impacts. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 16, 49-61.
- Griffiths, B. S., Hallett, P. D., Kuan, H. L., Pitkin, Y. & Aitken, M. N. 2005. Biological and
 physical resilience of soil amended with heavy metal-contaminated sewage sludge.
 European Journal of Soil Science, 56, 197-205.
- Griffiths, B. S., Hallett, P. D., Kuan, H. L., Gregory, A. S., Watts, C. W. & Whitmore, A. P.
 2008. Functional resilience of soil microbial communities depends on both soil
 structure and microbial community composition. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 44,
 745-754.
- Griffiths, R. I., Thomson, B. C., James, P., Bell, T., Bailey, M. & Whiteley, A. S. 2011. The
 bacterial biogeography of British soils. *Environmental Microbiology*, 13, 1642-1654.
- Gunn, D. A., Chambers, J. E., Hobbs, P. R. N., Ford, J. R., Wilkinson, P. B., Jenkins, G. O. &
 Merritt, A. 2013. Rapid observations to guide the design of systems for long-term
- 801 monitoring of a complex landslide in the Upper Lias clays of North Yorkshire, UK. 802 *Quarterly Journal of Engineering Geology and Hydrogeology*, **46**, 323-336.

- Han, L. B., Song, G. L. & Zhang, X. 2008. Preliminary observations on physiological
 responses of three turfgrass species to traffic stress. *Horttechnology*, 18, 139-143.
- Harris, J. A. 2003. Measurements of the soil microbial community for estimating the success
 of restoration. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 54, 801-808.
- Harris, J. A. & Birch, P. 1990. The effects of heavy civil engineering and stockpiling on the
 soil microbial community. *Microbiology in Civil Engineering*, **59**, 274-287.
- Harris, J. A., Birch, P. & Short, K. C. 1989. Changes in the microbial community and
 physico-chemical characteristics of topsoils stockpiled during opencast mining. *Soil Use and Management*, 5, 161-168.
- Harris, J. A., Birch, P. & Short, K. C. 1993. Changes in the microbial community during the
 construction and subsequent storage of soil stockpiles: A strategist theory
 interpretation. *Restoration Ecology*, 1, 88-100.
- Harrison, A. M., Plim, J. F. M., Harrison, M., Jones, L. D. & Culshaw, M. G. 2012. The
 relationship between shrink-swell occurrence and climate in south-east England. *Proceedings of the Geologists Association*, 123, 556-575.
- 818 Heathwaite, A. L., Burt, T. P. & Trudgill, S. T. 1990. The effect of land use on nitrogen,
- phosphorus and suspended sediment delivery to streams in a small catchment in
 southwest England. In: *Vegetation and Erosion. Processes and Environments*. (ed J.
- B. Thornes), John Wiley, Chichester, UK, pp. 161-178.
- Heisler, C. & Kaiser, E. A. 1995. Influence of agricultural traffic and crop management on
 collembola and microbial biomass in arable soil. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 19,
 159-165.
- Hirsch, P. R., Jones, M. J., McGrath, S. P. & Giller, K. E. 1993. Heavy metals from past
 applications of sewage sludge decrease the genetic diversity of Rhizobium

- 827 leguminosarum biovar trifolii populations. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 25, 1485828 1490.
- Hirsch, P. R., Gilliam, L. M., Sohi, S. P., Williams, J. K., Clark, I. M. & Murray, P. J. 2009.
 Starving the soil of plant inputs for 50 years reduces abundance but not diversity of
 soil bacterial communities. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 41, 2021-2024.
- HMG 1996. *Minerals Planning Guidance 7: Reclamation of Mineral Workings*. Her
 Majesty's Government. Office of the Deputy Prime Minister. The Stationery Office.
 London, UK. pp. 53.
- HMG 2009a. *Adapting to Climate Change: UK Climate Projections*. Her Majesty's
 Government. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. Defra. London,
 UK. pp. 43.
- HMG 2009b. *Safeguarding our Soils: A Strategy for England*. Her Majesty's Government.
 Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. Defra. London, UK. pp. 42.
- 840 HMG 2011a. Defra's Evidence Investment Strategy: 2010-2013 and beyond. 2011 Update.
- 841 Her Majesty's Government. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.
 842 London, UK. pp. 51.
- HMG 2011b. *The Natural Choice: Securing the Value of Nature*. Her Majesty's Government.
 Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs. The Stationery Office.
 Norwich, UK. pp. 78.
- 846 Huber, S., Prokop, G., Arrouays, D., Banko, G., Bispo, A., Jones, R. J. A., Kibblewhite, M.
- 847 G., Lexer, W., Möller, A., Rickson, R. J., Shishkov, T., Stephens, M., Toth, G., van
- 848 den Akker, J. J. H., Varallyay, G., Verheijen, F. G. A. & Jones, A. R. (eds) 2008.
- 849 Environmental Assessment of Soil for Monitoring: Volume I Indicators & Criteria.
- 850 EUR 23490 EN/1., Office for the Official Publications of the European Communities,
- 851 Luxembourg.

- Hund-Rinke, K. & Kördel, W. 2003. Underlying issues in bioaccessibility and bioavailability:
 experimental methods. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 56, 52-62.
- ISO 2006. *ISO11074:2005 Soil quality: Vocabulary*, International Organization for
 Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Jefferies, R. L. & Maron, J. L. 1997. The embarrassment of riches: Atmospheric deposition
 of nitrogen and community and ecosystem processes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*,
 12, 74-78.
- Jenkinson, D. S., Poulton, P. R. & Bryant, C. 2008. The turnover of organic carbon in
 subsoils. Part 1. Natural and bomb radiocarbon in soil profiles from the Rothamsted
 long-term field experiments. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 59, 391-399.
- Jensen, L. S., McQueen, D. J. & Shepherd, T. G. 1996. Effects of soil compaction on Nmineralization and microbial-C and -N .1. Field measurements. *Soil & Tillage Research*, 38, 175-188.
- Johnson, D. B., Williamson, J. C. & Bailey, A. J. 1988. Response of soil micro-organisms to
 stockpiling and land restoration. In: A Seminar on Land Restoration Techniques: Ten *Years of Research- What Next? Newcastle upon Tyne, UK. 18-20 July 1988.* British
- 868 Coal Opencast Executive, Mansfield, UK, pp. 95-108.
- Johnson, R. M. & Warburton, J. 2015. Sediment erosion dynamics of a gullied debris slide: a
 medium-term record. *Catena*, **127**, 152-169.
- Johnston, A. E. 1986. Soil organic matter, effects on soils and crops. *Soil Use and Management*, 2, 97-105.
- Johnston, A. E., Poulton, P. R. & Coleman, K. 2009. Soil organic matter: its importance in
 sustainable agriculture and carbon dioxide fluxes. *Advances in Agronomy*, **101**, 1-57.
- Kay, A. L., Jones, R. G. & Reynard, N. S. 2006. RCM rainfall for UK flood frequency
 estimation. II. Climate change results. *Journal of Hydrology*, **318**, 163-172.

- Kibblewhite, M. G., Jones, R. J. A., Baritz, R., Huber, S., Arrouays, D., Micheli, E. &
 Stephens, M. 2008. ENVASSO Final Report Part I: Scientific and Technical
 Activities. ENVASSO Project (Contract 022713) coordinated by Cranfield
 University, UK, for Scientific Support to Policy, European Commission 6th
 Framewortk Research Programme.
- Kohler, F., Hamelin, J., Gillet, F., Gobat, J. M. & Buttler, A. 2005. Soil microbial community
 changes in wooded mountain pastures due to simulated effects of cattle grazing. *Plant and Soil*, 278, 327-340.
- Korner, C. 2000. Biosphere responses to CO₂ enrichment. *Ecological Applications*, **10**, 15901619.
- Korschens, M., Weigel, A. & Schulz, E. 1998. Turnover of soil organic matter (SOM) and
 long-term balances tools for evaluating sustainable productivity of soils. *Zeitschrift fur Pflanzenernahrung und Bodenkunde*, **161**, 409-424.
- Kretzschmar, A. 1991. Burrowing ability of the earthworm *Aporrectodea longa* limited by
 soil compaction and water potential. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, **11**, 48-51.
- Kronvang, B. 1990. Sediment-associated phosphorus transport from two intensively farmed
 catchment areas. In: *Soil Erosion on Agricultural Land.* eds J. Boardman, L. D. L.
- Foster & J. A. Dearing), John Wiley, Chichester, UK, pp. 313-330.
- Kuan, H. L., Hallett, P. D., Griffiths, B. S., Gregory, A. S., Watts, C. W. & Whitmore, A. P.
 2007. The biological and physical stability and resilience of a selection of Scottish
 soils to stresses. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 58, 811-821.
- Lair, G. J., Zehetner, F., Fiebig, M., Gerzabek, M. H., van Gestel, C. A. M., Hein, T.,
 Hohensinner, S., Hsu, P., Jones, K. C., Jordan, G., Koelmans, A. A., Poot, A.,
- 900 Slijkerman, D. M. E., Totsche, K. U., Bondar-Kunze, E. & Barth, J. A. C. 2009. How
- 901 do long-term development and periodical changes of river-floodplain systems affect

- 902 the fate of contaminants? Results from European rivers. *Environmental Pollution*,
 903 157, 3336-3346.
- Lal, R. 2003. Soil erosion and the global carbon budget. *Environment International*, 29, 437450.
- Long, S. P., Ainsworth, E. A., Rogers, A. & Ort, D. R. 2004. Rising atmospheric carbon
 dioxide: plants face the future. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 55, 591-628.
- Loveland, P. & Webb, J. 2003. Is there a critical level of organic matter in the agricultural
 soils of temperate regions: a review. *Soil & Tillage Research*, **70**, 1-18.
- 910 Lowe, J. A., Howard, T. P., Pardaens, A., Tinker, J., Holt, J., Wakelin, S., Milne, G., Leake,
- J., Wolf, J., Horsburgh, K., Reeder, T., Jenkins, G., Ridley, J., Dye, S. & Bradley, S.
 2009. UK Climate Projections Science Report: Marine and Coastal Projections. Met
- 913 Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK.
- Mackney, D., Hodgson, J. M., Hollis, J. M. & Staines, S. J. 1983. *The 1:250000 National Soil Map of England and Wales*. Soil Survey of England and Wales, Harpenden, UK.
- Malik, A. & Scullion, J. 1998. Soil development on restored opencast coal sites with
 particular reference to organic matter and aggregate stability. *Soil Use and Management*, 14, 234-239.
- Marjamaki, T. & Pietola, L. 2007. Root growth dynamics in golf greens with different
 compression intensities and winter survival. *Agricultural and Food Science*, 16, 6676.
- Matthews, G. P., Laudone, G. M., Gregory, A. S., Bird, N. R. A., Matthews, A. G. d. G. &
 Whalley, W. R. 2010. Measurement and simulation of the effect of compaction on the
 pore structure and saturated hydraulic conductivity of grassland and arable soil. *Water Resources Research*, 46, W05501.

- McGrath, S. P. 1998. Phytoextraction for soil remediation. In: *Plants That Hyperaccumulate Heavy Metals: Their Role in Phytoremediation, Microbiology, Archaeology, Mineral Exploration and Phytomining.* (ed R. R. Brooks), CABI, Wallingford, UK, pp. 261287.
- McGrath, S.P. & Zhao, F.J. 2015. Concentrations of metals and metalloids in soils that have
 the potential to lead to exceedance of maximum limit concentrations of contaminants
 in food and feed. *Soil Use and Management*, in press.
- McHale, G., Newton, M. I. & Shirtcliffe, N. J. 2005. Water-repellent soil and its relationship
 to granularity, surface roughness and hydrophobicity: a materials science view. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 56, 445-452.
- McHugh, M., Harrod, T. & Morgan, R. 2002. The extent of soil erosion in upland England
 and Wales. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 27, 99-107.
- McTiernan, K. B., Jarvis, S. C., Scholefield, D. & Hayes, M. H. B. 2001. Dissolved organic
 carbon losses from grazed grasslands under different management regimes. *Water Research*, 35, 2565-2569.
- 941 Met Office 2015. What is climate change? [Online] Available at:
 942 http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/climate-guide/climate-change; accessed 01/05/2015.
- Milan, D. J. 2012. Geomorphic impact and system recovery following an extreme flood in an
 upland stream: Thinhope Burn, northern England, UK. *Geomorphology*, 138, 319328.
- 946 Millennium Ecosystem Assessment 2005. *Ecosystems and Human Well-Being: Current*947 *States and Trends*, Island Press, Washington DC, USA.
- Mooney, S. J. & Nipattasuk, W. 2003. Quantification of the effects of soil compaction on
 water flow using dye tracers and image analysis. *Soil Use and Management*, **19**, 356363.

951	Morton, D., Rowland, C., Wood, C., Meek, L., Marston, C., Smith, G., Wadsworth, R. &
952	Simpson, I. C. 2011. Final Report for LCM2007 - the new UK Land Cover Map.
953	Countryside Survey Technical Report No. 11/07. CEH Project No. C03259. Natural
954	Environment Research Council/Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, Wallingford, Oxon,
955	UK. pp. 112.

- 956 NEGTAP 2001. Transboundary Air Pollution: Acidification, Eutrophication and Ground-Level Ozone in the UK. National Expert Group on Transboundary Air Pollution at 957 958 CEH Edinburgh on behalf of the UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural 959 Affairs, Scottish Executive, the National Assembly for Wales / Cynulliad 960 Cenedlaethol Cymru, Department of the Environment for Northern Ireland. [Online] 961 Available at: http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/library/reports?report_id=109; accessed: 962 01/01/2014.
- 963 ONS 2012. 2011 Census. Population and Household Estimates for England and Wales.
 964 Office for National Statistics. pp. 36. [Online] Available at:
 965 http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/dcp171778_270487.pdf; accessed 24/07/2014.
- 966 ONS 2013. 2011 Census Analysis. Comparing Rural and Urban Areas of England and
- 967 Wales. Office for National Statistics. pp. 43. [Online] Available at:
 968 http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/census/2011-census-analysis/rural-urban-
- 969 analysis/comparing-rural-and-urban-areas-of-england-and-wales.html; accessed
 970 24/07/2014.
- 971 Orwin, K. H., Wardle, D. A. & Greenfield, L. G. 2006. Ecological consequences of carbon
 972 substrate identity and diversity in a laboratory study. *Ecology*, 87, 580-593.
- Palmer, R. C. & Smith, R. P. 2013. Soil structural degradation in SW England and its impact
 on surface-water runoff generation. *Soil Use and Management*, 29, 567-575.

- Park, J., Duan, L., Kim, B., Mitchell, M. J. & Shibata, H. 2010. Potential effects of climate
 change and variability on watershed biogeochemical processes and water quality in
 Northeast Asia. *Environment International*, 36, 212-225.
- Pearson, J. & Stewart, G. R. 1993. The deposition of atmospheric ammonia and its effects on
 plants. *New Phytologist*, **125**, 283-305.
- Phoenix, G. K., Booth, R. E., Leake, J. R., Read, D. J., Grime, J. P. & Lee, J. A. 2004.
 Simulated pollutant nitrogen deposition increases P demand and enhances rootsurface phosphatase activities of three plant functional types in a calcareous grassland. *New Phytologist*, 161, 279-289.
- Piearce, T. G. 1984. Earthworm populations in soils disturbed by trampling. *Biological Conservation*, 29, 241-252.
- 986 Pilgrim, E. S., Macleod, C. J. A., Blackwell, M. S. A., Bol, R., Hogan, D. V., Chadwick, D.
- R., Cardenas, L., Misselbrook, T. H., Haygarth, P. M., Brazier, R. E., Hobbs, P.,
 Hodgson, C., Jarvis, S., Dungait, J., Murray, P. J. & Firbank, L. G. 2010. Interactions
 among agricultural production and other ecosystem services delivered from European
 temperate grassland systems. *Advances in Agronomy*, **109**, 117-154.
- Pimentel, D., Harvey, C., Resosudarmo, P., Sinclair, K., Kurz, D., Ncnair, M., Crist, S.,
 Shpritz, L., Fitton, L., Saffouri, R. & Blair, R. 1995. Environmental and economic
- 993 costs of soil erosion and conservation benefits. *Science*, **267**, 1117-1123.
- Piotrowska-Dlugosz, A. & Charzyński, P. 2015. The impact of the soil sealing degree on
 microbial biomass, enzymatic activity, and physicochemical properties in the Ekranic
 Technosols of Toruń (Poland). *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, 15, 47-59.
- Poulton, P. R., Pye, E., Hargreaves, P. R. & Jenkinson, D. S. 2003. Accumulation of carbon
 and nitrogen by old arable land reverting to woodland. *Global Change Biology*, 9,
 999 942-955.

- Power, S. A., Ashmore, M. R. & Cousins, D. A. 1998. Impacts and fate of experimentally
 enhanced nitrogen deposition on a British lowland heath. *Environmental Pollution*,
 1002 102, 27-34.
- Pritchard, O. G., Hallett, S. H. & Farewell, T. S. 2014. Soil impacts on UK infrastructure:
 current and future climate. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers- Engineering Sustainability*, 167, 170-184.
- Proctor, M. E., Siddons, P. A., Jones, R. J. A., Bellamy, P. H. & Keay, C. A. 1998. LandIS a
 land information system for the UK. In: *Land Information Systems: Developments for*

Planning the Sustainable Use of Land Resources. European Soil Bureau Research

- 1009 Report No. 4. EUR 17729 EN (eds. H. J. Heineke, W. Eckelmann, A. J. Thomasson,
- 1010 R. J. A. Jones, L. Montanarella & B. Buckley), Office for Official Publications of the
- 1011 European Communities, Luxembourg, pp. 219–233.

- Quinton, J. N. & Catt, J. A. 2004. The effects of minimal tillage and contour cultivation on
 surface runoff, soil loss and crop yield in the long-term Woburn Erosion Reference
 Experiment on sandy soil at Woburn, England. *Soil Use and Management*, 20, 343349.
- Quinton, J. N., Catt, J. A. & Hess, T. M. 2001. The selective removal of phosphorus from
 soil: Is event size important? *Journal of Environmental Quality*, **30**, 538-545.
- Quinton, J. N., Catt, J. A., Wood, G. A. & Steer, J. 2006. Soil carbon losses by water erosion:
 Experimentation and modeling at field and national scales in the UK. *Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment*, **112**, 87-102.
- 1021 Radford, B. J., Wilson-Rummenie, A. C., Simpson, G. B., Bell, K. L. & Ferguson, M. A.
 1022 2001. Compacted soil affects soil macrofauna populations in a semi-arid environment
- 1023 in central Queensland. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, **33**, 1869-1872.

- 1024 Raiswell, R. 2001. Defining the burial environment. In: *Handbook of Archaeological*1025 *Sciences.* eds D. R. Brothwell & A. M. Pollard), John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester,
 1026 UK, pp. 595-603.
- 1027 Reynolds, W. D., Drury, C. F., Yang, X. M., Fox, C. A., Tan, C. S. & Zhang, T. Q. 2007.
- Land management effects on the near-surface physical quality of a clay loam soil. *Soil & Tillage Research*, **96**, 316-330.
- Reynolds, B., Chamberlain, P. M., Poskitt, J., Woods, C., Scott, W. A., Rowe, E. C.,
 Robinson, D. A., Frogbrook, Z. L., Keith, A. M., Henrys, P. A., Black, H. I. J. &
 Emmett, B. A. 2013. Countryside survey: national "soil change" 1978-2007 for
 topsoils in Great Britain acidity, carbon, and total nitrogen status. *Vadose Zone Journal*, **12**, 0114.
- Richard, G., Cousin, I., Sillon, J. F., Bruand, A. & Guerif, J. 2001. Effect of compaction on
 the porosity of a silty soil: influence on unsaturated hydraulic properties. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 52, 49-58.
- Riley, H., Pommeresche, R., Eltun, R., Hansen, S. & Korsaeth, A. 2008. Soil structure,
 organic matter and earthworm activity in a comparison of cropping systems with
 contrasting tillage, rotations, fertilizer levels and manure use. *Agriculture Ecosystems*& *Environment*, **124**, 275-284.
- 1042 Roach, S. H. & Campbell, R. B. 1983. Effects of soil compaction on bollworm (Lepidoptera:
 1043 Noctuidae) moth emergence. *Environmental Entomology*, **12**, 1882-1886.
- Robinson, D. A. & Woodun, J. K. 2008. An experimental study of crust development on
 chalk downland soils and their impact on runoff and erosion. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 59, 784-798.

- Rodway-Dyer, S. J. & Walling, D. E. 2010. The use of Cs-137 to establish longer-term soil
 erosion rates on footpaths in the UK. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 91,
 1049 1952-1962.
- Rohrig, R., Langmaack, M., Schrader, S. & Larink, O. 1998. Tillage systems and soil
 compaction their impact on abundance and vertical distribution of Enchytraeidae. *Soil & Tillage Research*, 46, 117-127.
- Roovers, P., Baeten, S. & Hermy, M. 2004. Plant species variation across path ecotones in a
 variety of common vegetation types. *Plant Ecology*, **170**, 107–119.
- Rost, U., Joergensen, R. G. & Chander, K. 2001. Effects of Zn enriched sewage sludge on
 microbial activities and biomass in soil. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 33, 633-638.
- 1057 ROTAP 2009. *Review of Transboundary Air Pollution. Acidification, Eutrophication,*1058 *Ground Level Ozone and Heavy Metals in the UK.* Complete ROTAP Report. Centre
- 1059 for Ecology and Hydrology, Penicuik, UK. [Online] Available at:
- 1060 http://www.rotap.ceh.ac.uk; accessed 01/01/2014.
- Rounsevell, M. D. A. & Brignall, A. P. 1994. The potential effects of climate change on
 autumn soil tillage opportunities in England and Wales. *Soil & Tillage Research*, 32,
 275-289.
- Rousk, J., Brookes, P. C. & Baath, E. 2009. Contrasting soil pH effects on fungal and
 bacterial growth suggest functional redundancy in carbon mineralization. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, **75**, 1589-1596.
- Rushton, S. P. 1986. The effects of soil compaction on *Lumbricus terrestris* and its possible
 implications for populations on land reclaimed from open-cast coal mining. *Pedobiologia*, 29, 85-90.
- Scalenghe, R. & Marsan, F. A. 2009. The anthropogenic sealing of soils in urban areas. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 90, 1-10.

- 1072 Scholefield, D., Tyson, K. C., Garwood, E. A., Armstrong, A. C., Hawkins, J. & Stone, A. C.
- 1073 1993. Nitrate leaching from grazed grassland lysimeters: effects of fertilizer input,
 1074 field drainage, age of sward and patterns of weather. *Journal of Soil Science*, 44, 6011075 613.
- 1076 Schrader, S. & Lingnau, M. 1997. Influence of soil tillage and soil compaction on 1077 microarthropods in agricultural land. *Pedobiologia*, **41**, 202-209.
- Schrader, S., Langmaack, M. & Helming, K. 1997. Impact of Collembola and enchytraeidae
 on soil surface roughness and properties. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 25, 396-400.
- 1080 Scott, D. I., Tams, A. R., Berry, P. M. & Mooney, S. J. 2005. The effects of wheel-induced
- soil compaction on anchorage strength and resistance to root lodging of winter barley
 (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). Soil & Tillage Research, 82, 147-160.
- Servadio, P., Marsili, A., Vignozzi, N., Pellegrini, S. & Pagliai, M. 2005. Effects on some
 soil qualities in central Italy following the passage of four wheel drive tractor fitted
 with single and dual tires. *Soil & Tillage Research*, 84, 87-100.
- 1086 SG 2009. The Scottish Soil Framework, The Scottish Government, Edinburgh, UK, pp. 64.
- Shestak, C. J. & Busse, M. D. 2005. Compaction alters physical but not biological indices of
 soil health. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, **69**, 236-246.
- Simpson, S. L., Fitzpatrick, R. W., Shand, P., Angel, B. M., Spadaro, D. A. & Mosley, L.
 2010. Climate-driven mobilisation of acid and metals from acid sulfate soils. *Marine and Freshwater Research*, 61, 129-138.
- 1092 Smith, J., Smith, P., Wattenbach, M., Zaehle, S., Hiederer, R., Jones, R. J. A., Montanarella,
- L., Rounsevell, M. D. A., Reginster, I. & Ewert, F. 2005. Projected changes in
 mineral soil carbon of European croplands and grasslands, 1990-2080. *Global Change Biology*, 11, 2141-2152.

1096 Smith, P., Chapman, S. J., Scott, W. A., Black, H. I. J., Wattenbach, M., Milne, R., Campbell,

- C. D., Lilly, A., Ostle, N., Levy, P. E., Lumsdon, D. G., Millard, P., Towers, W.,
 Zaehle, S. & Smith, J. U. 2007. Climate change cannot be entirely responsible for soil
 carbon loss observed in England and Wales, 1978-2003. *Global Change Biology*, 13,
 2605-2609.
- Smolders, E., Oorts, K., van Sprang, P., Schoeters, I., Janssen, C. R., McGrath, S. P. &
 McLaughlin, M. J. 2009. Toxicity of trace metals in soil as affected by soil type and
 aging after contamination: using calibrated bioavailability models to set ecological
 soil standards. *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, 28, 1633-1642.
- Spurgeon, D. J. & Hopkin, S. P. 1996. Effects of metal-contaminated soils on the growth,
 sexual development, and early cocoon production of the earthworm *Eisenia fetida*,
 with particular reference to zinc. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, **35**, 86-95.
- Styers, D. M., Chappelka, A. H., Marzen, L. J. & Somers, G. L. 2010. Developing a landcover classification to select indicators of forest ecosystem health in a rapidly
 urbanizing landscape. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, **94**, 158-165.
- Suseela, V. & Dukes, J. S. 2013. The responses of soil and rhizosphere respiration to
 simulated climatic changes vary by season. *Ecology*, **94**, 403-413.
- 1113 Sutherland, W. J., Bailey, M. J., Bainbridge, I. P., Brereton, T., Dick, J. T. A., Drewitt, J.,
- 1114 Dulvy, N. K., Dusic, N. R., Freckleton, R. P., Gaston, K. J., Gilder, P. M., Green, R.
- 1115 E., Heathwaite, A. L., Johnson, S. M., Macdonald, D. W., Mitchell, R., Osborn, D.,
- 1116 Owen, R. P., Pretty, J., Prior, S. V., Prosser, H., Pullin, A. S., Rose, P., Stott, A., Tew,
- 1117 T., Thomas, C. D., Thompson, D. B. A., Vickery, J. A., Walker, M., Walmsley, C.,
- 1118 Warrington, S., Watkinson, A. R., Williams, R. J., Woodroffe, R. & Woodroof, H. J.
- 1119 2008. Future novel threats and opportunities facing UK biodiversity identified by
- 1120 horizon scanning. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **45**, 821-833.

- Tarrant, S., Ollerton, J., Rahman, M. L., Tarrant, J. & McCollin, D. 2013. Grassland
 Restoration on Landfill Sites in the East Midlands, United Kingdom: An Evaluation
 of Floral Resources and Pollinating Insects. *Restoration Ecology*, 21, 560-568.
- Tisdall, J. M. & Oades, J. M. 1980. The effect of crop rotation on aggregation in a red brown
 earth. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*, 18, 423-433.
- Tisdall, J. M. & Oades, J. M. 1982. Organic matter and water-stable aggregates in soils. *Journal of Soil Science*, 33, 141-163.
- Tyson, K. C., Garwood, E. A., Armstrong, A. C. & Scholefield, D. 1992. Effects of field
 drainage on the growth of herbage and the liveweight gain of grazing beef cattle. *Grass and Forage Science*, 47, 290-301.
- Unger, I. M., Kennedy, A. C. & Muzika, R. M. 2009. Flooding effects on soil microbial
 communities. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 42, 1-8.
- van Camp, N., vande Walle, I., Mertens, J., De Neve, S., Samson, R., Lust, N., Lemeur, R.,
 Boeckx, P., Lootens, P., Beheydt, D., Mestdagh, I., Sleutel, S., Verbeeck, H., van
 Cleemput, O., Hofman, G. & Carlier, L. 2004. Inventory-based carbon stock of
 Flemish forests: comparison of European biomass expansion factors. *Annals of Forest Science*, 61, 677-682.
- van den Akker, J. J. H. 1997. Construction of a wheel-load bearing capacity map of the
 Netherlands. In: *Proceedings of the 14th International Soil Tillage Research*Organization Conference, Pulawy, Poland, 27 July 01 August 1997. Bibliotheca
- 1141Fragmenta Agronomica. pp. 15-18.
- 1142 van Oost, K., Quine, T. A., Govers, G., De Gryze, S., Six, J., Harden, J. W., Ritchie, J. C.,
- 1143 McCarty, G. W., Heckrath, G., Kosmas, C., Giraldez, J. V., da Silva, J. R. M. &
- 1144 Merckx, R. 2007. The impact of agricultural soil erosion on the global carbon cycle.
- 1145 *Science*, **318**, 626-629.

- van Oost, K., Cerdan, O. & Quine, T. A. 2009. Accelerated sediment fluxes by water and
 tillage erosion on European agricultural land. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 34, 1625-1634.
- 1149 Verheijen, F.G.A., Jones, R.J.A., Rickson, R.J. & Smith, C.J. 2009. Tolerable versus actual
 1150 soil erosion rates in Europe. *Earth Science Reviews*, 94, 23-38.
- Virto, I., Imaz, M. J., Fernández-Ugalde, O., Gartzia-Bengoetxea, N., Enrique, A. &
 Bescansa, P. 2015. Soil degradation and soil quality in Western Europe: current
 situation and future perspectives. *Sustainability*, 7, 313-365.
- WAG 2006. *Environment Strategy for Wales*, Welsh Assembly Government, Cardiff, UK,
 pp. 70.
- Wardle, D. A., Yeates, G. W., Barker, G. M. & Bonner, K. I. 2006. The influence of plant
 litter diversity on decomposer abundance and diversity. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*,
 38, 1052-1062.
- 1159 Watts, C. W. & Dexter, A. R. 1997. The influence of organic matter in reducing the 1160 destabilization of soil by simulated tillage. *Soil & Tillage Research*, **42**, 253-275.
- Watts, C. W. & Dexter, A. R. 1998. Soil friability: theory, measurement and the effects of
 management and organic carbon content. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 49, 7384.
- Watts, C. W., Tolhurst, T. J., Black, K. S. & Whitmore, A. P. 2003. In situ measurements of
 erosion shear stress and geotechnical shear strength of the intertidal sediments of the
 experimental managed realignment scheme at Tollesbury, Essex, UK. *Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science*, 58, 611-620.
- Wei, Z., Wu, S., Yan, X. & Zhou, S. 2014. Density and stability of soil organic carbon
 beneath impervious surfaces in urban areas. *PLoS One*, 9, e109380.

- Wenderoth, D. F. & Reber, H. H. 1999. Correlation between structural diversity and catabolic
 versatility of metal-affected prototrophic bacteria in soil. *Soil Biology* & *Biochemistry*, **31**, 345-352.
- Whalley, W. R., Dumitru, E. & Dexter, A. R. 1995. Biological effects of soil compaction. *Soil & Tillage Research*, 35, 53-68.
- 1175 Whalley, W. R., Clark, L. J., Gowing, D. J. G., Cope, R. E., Lodge, R. J. & Leeds-Harrison,
- P. B. 2006. Does soil strength play a role in wheat yield losses caused by soil drying? *Plant and Soil*, **280**, 279-290.
- Whalley, W. R., Watts, C. W., Gregory, A. S., Mooney, S. J., Clark, L. J. & Whitmore, A. P.
 2008. The effect of soil strength on the yield of wheat. *Plant and Soil*, **306**, 237-247.
- Whitmore, A. P. & Whalley, W. R. 2009. Physical effects of soil drying on roots and crop
 growth. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, **60**, 2845-2857.
- Whitmore, A. P., Rickson, R. J., Watts, C. W. & Clarke, M. A. 2004. Critical levels of soil
 organic carbon in surface soils in relation to soil stability, function and infiltration.
 Project SP0519 final report. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs,
 London, UK.
- Wick, A. F., Stahl, P. D., Ingram, L. J. & Vicklund, L. 2009. Soil aggregation and organic
 carbon in short-term stockpiles. *Soil Use and Management*, 25, 311-319.
- Williams, R. J. B. 1971. Relationships between the composition of soils and physical
 measurements made on them. In: *Rothamsted Experimental Station. Report for 1970.*
- 1190 *Part 2.* Rothamsted Experimental Station, Harpenden, UK, pp. 5-35.
- Wu, Y., Kemmitt, S., White, R. P., Xu, J. & Brookes, P. C. 2012. Carbon dynamics in a 60
 year fallowed loamy-sand soil compared to that in a 60 year permanent arable or
 permanent grassland UK soil. *Plant and Soil*, **352**, 51-63.

Table 1 The eight degradation threats identified as important for soils in the UK. The
descriptions are those of Huber *et al.* (2008), unless stated otherwise.

Degradation threat	Brief description
Soil erosion	The accelerated loss of soil as a result of anthropogenic activity
	in excess of accepted rates of natural soil formation.
Decline in soil organic	The negative imbalance between the build-up of soil organic
matter	matter and rates of decomposition, leading to an overall decline
	in soil organic matter content and/or quality.
Soil contamination	The presence of a substance or agent in the soil as a result of
	human activity emitted from moving sources, from sources
	with a large area, or from many sources (diffuse) (ISO, 2006),
	or where intensive industrial activities, inadequate waste
	disposal, mining, military activities or accidents introduce
	excessive amounts of contaminants (local).
Soil compaction	The densification and distortion of soil by which total and air-
	filled porosity are reduced.
Soil sealing	The destruction or covering of soil by buildings, constructions
	and layers or other bodies of artificial material which may be
	very slowly permeable to water (Burghardt et al., 2004).
Brownfield development	The further development of developed land previously used for
	commercial or industrial purposes, including restoration of
	green after-uses.
Soil salinisation	The excessive increase of water-soluble salts in soil through
	natural processes and human interventions.
Landslides	The movement of a mass of rock, debris, artificial fill or soil

down a slope under the force of gravity (Cruden & Varnes,1996).Climate changeThe large-scale, long-term shift in the planet's weather patterns
or average temperatures (Met Office, 2015).