

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Tillage-Induced Soil Nitrous Oxide Fluxes  
from Two Soils in the Manawatu**

A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF  
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE  
OF

MASTER OF APPLIED SCIENCE  
IN  
AGRICULTURAL ENGINEERING

INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND ENGINEERING  
MASSEY UNIVERSITY, PALMERSTON NORTH  
NEW ZEALAND

**AKMAL AKRAMKHANOV**

**April, 2000**

## Abstract

Enhanced greenhouse gas emissions of nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ) induced by agricultural practices is believed to be the major anthropogenic source. Studies conducted in New Zealand generally from pasture suggest low  $N_2O$  emission, however, there is little information for arable farming systems. Therefore, there is a need for a site-specific assessment of the impact of tillage practices on  $N_2O$  fluxes.

This paper evaluates tillage system and land use effects on  $N_2O$  emissions at two sites using a closed chamber technique. Sites included a Kairanga silt loam where maize/barley was grown continuously for either 17 (K17) or 34 (K34) years, with a conventional tillage system (Kairanga), and an Ohakea silt loam where winter oats and summer fodder maize was double-cropped for five years with conventional (CT) and no-tillage (NT) systems (Massey). At both sites permanent pasture (PP) soil was used as a control.

Spatial measurements for all treatments at Massey site showed large inherent variations in  $N_2O$  fluxes (a mean CV=119%) which reflected natural soil heterogeneity, and perhaps the measurement technique used rather than the real differences due to the tillage and cropping systems evaluated.  $N_2O$  emissions measured from December 1998 to September 1999 from the PP were significantly lower (1.66 kg  $N_2O$ -N/ha/year) than the CT and NT plots at 9.20 and 12.00 kg  $N_2O$ -N/ha/year respectively. However, there were no differences in  $N_2O$  emission rates between the CT and NT treatments. Cumulative coefficient of variation (CV) of treatments ranged from 39 to 140% .

Seedbed preparation using power-harrow which was done within few days of ploughing the CT plots reduced  $N_2O$  emissions by 65% within the first hour after power-harrowing. However,  $N_2O$  emission rates returned to the pre-power harrowing levels one month after power-harrowing.

There was strong relationship between log-transformed values of soil moisture content (SMC) and  $N_2O$  emissions in all treatments, PP ( $r = 0.73$ ), CT ( $r = 0.75$ ) and NT ( $r = 0.86$ ). Seasonal variation in  $N_2O$  emission from the PP was in the order of winter=autumn>summer. Although fluxes in the CT were higher in winter than in the autumn season, there were no differences between the summer and autumn data. Similar

to the PP, the seasonal variations in N<sub>2</sub>O emission in the NT treatment were in the order of winter>autumn=summer.

The estimated annual N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from the PP, K17 and K34 (calculated as the mean of all individual closed cover chamber measurements between November 1998 and September 1999) from Kairanga site were similar at 3.24, 3.42 and 2.37 kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N/ha/year, respectively. There were large variations in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during the year with the mean flux rates ranging from 0.175 to 13.32, 0.175 to 16.91 and 0.088 to 30.05 kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N/ha/year in the PP, K17 and K34 fields, respectively.

Although overall comparison of treatment means did not show any discernible differences between management practices, there were signs that the K34 had lower emissions compared to the PP.

N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from the K17 and PP field appeared to be influenced by SMC. There is clear indication that low or negligible emissions occur when gravimetric soil water content is less than 30% in the PP. Although N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes did not follow the rainfall patterns in the K17 and PP, linear regression analyses indicated low but significant relationship  $r = 0.46$  and  $0.53$  ( $0.72$  when log-transformed), respectively.

In the K34 field, SMC did not seem to govern fluxes which were especially apparent during wet months of April and May. The linear regression analysis using the measured data revealed no relationship ( $r = 0.12$ ) between the SMC and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in the K34 treatment.

Seasonal grouping of monthly log-transformed N<sub>2</sub>O emissions showed significant differences in all treatments. Summer season N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the PP were the lowest than other seasons whereas no discernible differences were observed among other seasons. Although N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes during spring and summer were similar in the K17 field, they were significantly lower than the winter and higher than autumn fluxes. There were considerably higher emissions in summer than in autumn in the K34 but seasonal variation between winter and spring was less profound.

Spatial variability in N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes was large during the year with coefficients of variation (CV) ranging from 10 to 82%, 12 to 99% and 9 to 137% for the PP, K17 and K34 fields, respectively.

## Acknowledgements

I wish to express my sincere thanks to my chief supervisor Assoc. Prof. Ashraf Choudhary and co-supervisor Dr. S. Saggar of Landcare Research for their time, advice, supervision, encouragement and providing ideas during the course of this study.

Many thanks to staff and post-graduate students in Agricultural Engineering, Massey University, for their help and friendship including Leo Bolter, Joane Brooks, Roanna Moore and my post-graduate colleagues Edmundo Viegas, Khurshid Anwar and Val de Villa.

I also acknowledge assistance from Landcare Research, Palmerston North, for the use of laboratory facilities. Special thanks are due to Messrs Brian Daly, Graham Shepherd, Carolyn Hedley and Jaque for their help and guidance during laboratory experiment.

I thank Mr. Duncan Hedderley for his assistance in computer analysis of the data.

I am grateful to the New Zealand Official Development Assistance (NZODA) Programme, Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade, Wellington for the postgraduate student scholarship.

Last, but certainly not least, I thank my parents, family and friends for continuing support and patience throughout my studies.

## Table of Contents

|  |      |
|--|------|
| <b>Abstract</b>  | i    |
| <b>Acknowledgements</b>                                      | iii  |
| <b>Table of Contents</b>                                     | iv   |
| <b>List of Tables</b>  | viii |
| <b>List of Figures</b>                                       | x    |
| <b>Chapter 1 - General Introduction</b>                      | 1    |
| 1.1 Research Objectives                                      | 3    |
| <b>Chapter 2 - Literature Review</b>                         | 4    |
| 2.1 Introduction   | 4    |
| 2.2 Nitrous Oxide in the Atmosphere                          | 6    |
| 2.3 Sources of Nitrous Oxide                                 | 6    |
| 2.4 Impact of Nitrous Oxide on the Environment               | 7    |
| 2.5 Global Nitrogen Perspective                              | 8    |
| 2.6 Status of Nitrous Oxide Emissions in New Zealand         | 10   |
| 2.7 The Role of Micro-Organisms                              | 12   |
| 2.8 Mechanisms of Nitrous Oxide Production                   | 12   |
| 2.8.1 Denitrification  | 12   |
| 2.8.2 Nitrification  | 13   |
| 2.8.3 Sinks  | 14   |
| 2.9 Agriculture as a Major Source of Nitrous Oxide Emissions | 16   |
| 2.9.1 Effects of Tillage Practices                           | 16   |

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| 2.9.2 The Role of Fertilisers  | 17        |
| <b>2.10 Factors Controlling Nitrous Oxide Emission</b>                 | <b>18</b> |
| 2.10.1 Soil Properties   | 18        |
| 2.10.2 Soil Organic Matter   | 20        |
| 2.10.3 Soil Moisture Content   | 21        |
| 2.10.4 Soil pH   | 22        |
| 2.10.5 Temperature   | 22        |
| <b>2.11 Soil Management Practices Impacting Nitrous Oxide Emission</b> | <b>23</b> |
| <b>2.12 Field Nitrous Oxide Emission Measurement Methods</b>           | <b>24</b> |
| 2.12.1 Chamber Methods   | 25        |
| 2.12.2 Micrometeorological Methods                                     | 27        |
| 2.12.3 Ultra-Large Chambers with Long-Path IR Spectrometers            | 28        |
| 2.12.4 Nitrous Oxide Emissions Variability                             | 29        |
| <b>2.13 Summary</b>  | <b>30</b> |
| <b>Chapter 3 - Materials and Methods</b>                               | <b>31</b> |
| <b>3.1 Experimental Sites</b>  | <b>31</b> |
| 3.1.1 Kairanga Site  | 32        |
| 3.1.2 Massey Site  | 34        |
| <b>3.2 Measurement of Field N<sub>2</sub>O Emission</b>                | <b>37</b> |
| 3.2.1 Procedure  | 38        |
| 3.2.2 Analysis of N <sub>2</sub> O Concentration                       | 42        |
| <b>3.3 Pilot Experiments</b>   | <b>42</b> |
| 3.3.1 Exploratory Sampling   | 42        |
| 3.3.2 Spatial Variability  | 43        |
| 3.3.3 N <sub>2</sub> O Emissions During Seedbed Preparation            | 43        |

|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| 3.3.4 Prolonged Continuous Measurement   | 43        |
| <b>3.4 Ancillary Measurements</b>  | <b>43</b> |
| 3.4.1 Soil Moisture  | 43        |
| 3.4.2 Soil Temperature   | 43        |
| 3.4.3 Soil pH, Total C and N   | 44        |
| 3.4.4 Rainfall Data  | 44        |
| 3.4.5 Statistical Analysis   | 44        |
| <b>Chapter 4 - Results and Discussion</b>  | <b>46</b> |
| <b>4.1 Overview</b>  | <b>46</b> |
| <b>4.2 Pilot Experiment</b>  | <b>47</b> |
| 4.2.1 Exploratory Sampling   | 47        |
| 4.2.2 Spatial Variation  | 48        |
| 4.2.3 Pilot Experiment on Effect of Seedbed Preparation on N <sub>2</sub> O Emission | 48        |
| 4.2.4 Effect of Prolonged Measurements on N <sub>2</sub> O Flux                      | 50        |
| <b>4.3 Massey Experimental Site</b>  | <b>50</b> |
| 4.3.1 Effects of Tillage on Soil pH, Total C and N                                   | 50        |
| 4.3.1.1 <i>Effect of Tillage Techniques on Soil pH</i>                               | 52        |
| 4.3.1.2 <i>Effect of Tillage Techniques on Total C</i>                               | 52        |
| 4.3.1.3 <i>Effect of Tillage Technique on Total N</i>                                | 53        |
| 4.3.2 Tillage Effect on Field N <sub>2</sub> O Emissions                             | 53        |
| 4.3.3 Summary  | 59        |
| 4.3.3.1 <i>Effect of Soil Moisture on N<sub>2</sub>O Emissions</i>                   | 59        |
| 4.3.3.2 <i>Seasonal Variation</i>  | 62        |
| 4.3.3.3 <i>Spatial Variation</i>   | 65        |
| 4.3.4 Summary  | 67        |



|  |           |
|--|-----------|
| <b>4.4 Kairanga Experimental Site</b>                                  | <b>68</b> |
| <b>4.4.1 Effects of Tillage on Soil pH, Total C and N</b>              | <b>68</b> |
| <b>4.4.2 Tillage Effect on Field N<sub>2</sub>O Emissions</b>          | <b>68</b> |
| <i>4.4.2.1 Effect of Soil Moisture on N<sub>2</sub>O Emissions</i>     | <b>74</b> |
| <i>4.4.2.2 Seasonal Variation</i>                                      | <b>79</b> |
| <i>4.4.2.3 Spatial Variation</i>                                       | <b>82</b> |
| <b>4.4.3 Summary</b>   | <b>83</b> |
| <b>Chapter 5 - Conclusions</b>   | <b>84</b> |
| <b>5.1 General</b>   | <b>84</b> |
| <b>5.2 Massey Experimental Site (<i>Ohakea Silt Loam Soil</i>)</b>     | <b>84</b> |
| <b>5.3 Kairanga Experimental Site (<i>Kairanga Silt Loam Soil</i>)</b> | <b>86</b> |
| <b>References</b>  | <b>88</b> |
| <b>Appendices</b>  |           |

## List Of Tables

|            |   |    |
|------------|---|----|
| Table 2.1: | Atmospheric concentrations of the major greenhouse gases, their rise, residence time and contribution to the global warming.                                | 6  |
| Table 2.2: | Biogeochemical distribution of N on Earth.  | 9  |
| Table 2.3: | Global fluxes of nitrogen into and out of the terrestrial biosphere.  | 9  |
| Table 2.4: | Comparison of flux measurements by flux gradient micro-meteorological, combined megachamber/long-path IR, and small chamber gas chromatograph (GC) methods. | 26 |
| Table 3.1: | Summary of monthly climatological observations data taken at 09.00 hrs at AgResearch and Aorangi Research stations close to study sites.                    | 31 |
| Table 3.2: | Selected soil characteristics of the fields at the Kairanga site.   | 32 |
| Table 4.1: | N <sub>2</sub> O emission rates using the exploratory samples in the pilot experiment.  | 47 |
| Table 4.2: | Effect of time of analysis on N <sub>2</sub> O emissions following collection of gas samples from the field.  | 48 |
| Table 4.3: | N <sub>2</sub> O emissions from 12 chambers measured to determine baseline variation.   | 48 |
| Table 4.4: | Comparison of N <sub>2</sub> O fluxes before (March 17) and after (March 18) power harrowing in the CT treatment at the Massey site.                        | 49 |
| Table 4.5: | Ohakea silt loam soil pH, total C and total N of the Massey experimental site.  | 52 |
| Table 4.6: | The effects of tillage systems on the ranges of N <sub>2</sub> O emissions from Massey plots.   | 54 |
| Table 4.7: | The effects of tillage systems on the means of N <sub>2</sub> O emissions from Massey plots.  | 54 |
| Table 4.8: | Effects of tillage systems on the monthly means of N <sub>2</sub> O emissions data at Massey site (December 1998 to September 1999).                        | 56 |

|             |   |    |
|-------------|---|----|
| Table 4.9:  | Effects of tillage systems on the monthly means of log transformed N <sub>2</sub> O emissions at Massey site (December 1998 to September 1999).                                     | 57 |
| Table 4.10: | Effect of tillage techniques on soil moisture content at 0-10 cm depth during N <sub>2</sub> O emission measurements.   | 62 |
| Table 4.11: | Seasonal field N <sub>2</sub> O emissions as affected by the PP, CT and NT treatments.  | 65 |
| Table 4.12: | The effects of tillage systems on the ranges of N <sub>2</sub> O emissions from Kairanga plots.   | 68 |
| Table 4.13: | The effects of tillage systems on the means of N <sub>2</sub> O emissions from Kairanga plots.  | 69 |
| Table 4.14: | Effects of length of continuous cropping and permanent pasture on the mean monthly N <sub>2</sub> O emissions at the Kairanga site (November 1998 to September 1999).               | 70 |
| Table 4.15: | Effect of length of continuous cropping and permanent pasture on the mean of log-transformed monthly N <sub>2</sub> O emissions at Kairanga site (November 1998 to September 1999). | 71 |
| Table 4.16: | Soil moisture content at 0-10 cm depth during N <sub>2</sub> O emission measurements.   | 76 |
| Table 4.17: | Correlation of soil moisture content with N <sub>2</sub> O emission rates at the Kairanga field site.   | 79 |
| Table 4.18: | Seasonal log-transformed field N <sub>2</sub> O emissions in the PP, CT and NT treatments.  | 80 |

## List Of Figures

|            |   |    |
|------------|---|----|
| Figure 2.1 | Simplified diagram of nitrogen transfers, removals and losses of the agro-ecosystem. (Winteringham, 1984)   | 5  |
| Figure 2.2 | Nitrous oxide measurements at Cape Grim, Tasmania (up to 1995), and at Baring Head from 1995 onward. (NIWA report)  | 10 |
| Figure 2.3 | Estimates of the relative net cumulative warming effect over 100 years of New Zealand's anthropogenic emissions of each of the major greenhouse gases as measured in 1995. (Ministry for the Environment, 1998) | 11 |
| Figure 3.1 | Kairanga site 34 years (K34) treatment field.   | 33 |
| Figure 3.2 | Permanent pasture (PP) field treatment at Kairanga site.  | 33 |
| Figure 3.3 | Massey site experimental treatment plots.   | 35 |
| Figure 3.4 | Schematic layout of experimental treatment design plots at Massey site.   | 36 |
| Figure 3.5 | Closed cover chamber used to collect field nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ) emissions.  | 41 |
| Figure 3.6 | Installed chamber fitted with 3-way tap for withdrawing air samples using syringe.  | 45 |
| Figure 3.7 | Injecting 10 ml of gas sample into gas chromatography (GC) instrument to measure nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ) concentration.  | 45 |
| Figure 4.1 | Increase in $N_2O$ concentration in a closed chamber over time in the treatments: (a) conventional tillage (CT); (b) no-tillage (NT); (c) permanent pasture (PP). (bars represent standard error)               | 51 |
| Figure 4.2 | The effect of tillage treatment of the PP, CT and NT on the monthly data of soil moisture (a), temperature (b) and log-transformed means of $N_2O$ emissions (c).   | 60 |
| Figure 4.3 | Regression analysis between measured soil moisture content and $N_2O$ emissions in the PP (permanent pasture), CT (conventional   | 63 |

tillage) and NT (no-tillage) treatments.

- Figure 4.4 Regression analysis of log-transformed data between soil moisture content and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the PP (permanent pasture), CT (conventional tillage) and NT (no-tillage) treatments. 64
- Figure 4.5 Monthly rainfall data for the Massey site from December 1998 to September 1999 and 1996-97, 1997-98. 66
- Figure 4.6 The effect of tillage treatment of the PP (permanent pasture), K17 (17 years continuous maize) and K34 (34 years of continuous maize) on the monthly data of soil moisture (a), temperature (b) and log-transformed means of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (c). (bars represent LSD) 75
- Figure 4.7 Regression analysis between measured soil moisture content and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the PP (permanent pasture), K17 (17 years of continuous maize) and K34 (34 years of continuous maize) treatments. 77
- Figure 4.8 Regression analysis of log-transformed data between soil moisture content and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the PP (permanent pasture), K17 (17 years of continuous maize) and K34 (34 years of continuous maize) treatments. 78
- Figure 4.9 Monthly rainfall data for the Kairanga site from November 1998 to September 1999, 1996-97 and 1997-98. (note: rainfall data for 97-98 was used from another station located in close proximity) 81

## Chapter 1

### General Introduction

There is a growing concern world-wide about climate change. Atmospheric warming which is known to be caused by so-called “greenhouse gases” mainly include carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ), methane ( $\text{CH}_4$ ) and nitrous oxide ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ) and to a lesser extent chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) (IAEA, 1992). Presently, the increase in greenhouse gases other than  $\text{CO}_2$  in changing the climate is similar in importance as  $\text{CO}_2$ . One such gas is  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  which despite its low concentration in the atmosphere, about 310 ppb (IPCC, 1995), on a molecule per molecule basis has a radiative force about 200 to 300 times that of  $\text{CO}_2$  (Jaques, 1992) and an average atmospheric lifetime of about 150 years (IAEA, 1992). It is widely accepted that the main source of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is agriculture.

Most  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  originates with soil processes, as intermediate product from microbial nitrification and denitrification (Delwiche, 1981). Increased emissions of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  from soils are associated with fertilisation of soils with mineral nitrogen (N), animal manure, N derived from biological  $\text{N}_2$  fixation, and enhanced N mineralisation (MacKenzie et al., 1998). With fertiliser usage predicted to grow worldwide at 6-7% per annum (Peoples et al., 1995) and low N utilisation efficiency in agricultural systems, the potential of soils to form and emit  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  increases. This increased  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission to the atmosphere is of great concern and need quantification.

Amounts of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emitted depend on complex interactions between soil properties, climatic factors and agricultural practices (Granli and Bockman, 1994). Main factors in the soil controlling  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions are soil content of  $\text{NH}_4$  and  $\text{NO}_3$  (Ball et al., 1997; Castaldi and Smith, 1998; Seneviratne and Van Holm, 1998); soil aeration status and soil water content (Carran et al., 1995; Teira-Esmatges et al., 1998; MacKenzie et al., 1998); presence of degradable organic material which promotes microbial activity (Ineson et al., 1998; Kaiser et al., 1998); soil pH (Anderson and Poth, 1998; Sitaula and Bakken, 1993; Burth and Ottow, 1983) and soil temperature (Mahmood et al., 1998). Although these are known interacting factors they are not always strongly correlated with  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  fluxes. Due to complexity of interactions between various factors,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions have very high spatial and temporal variations.

Agricultural practices alter soil properties which influence the extent of  $N_2O$  emissions. Intensive use of cultivation practices, both internationally and locally in New Zealand, hugely impact soil properties. In the Manawatu region heavier textured soils used for continuous maize production result in loss of soil organic matter (SOM) (Saggar et al., 2000) and deterioration in soil structure (Shepherd et al., 2000). Even short term tillage operations can affect SOM levels and microbial biomass (Aslam et al., 1999) which are of particular interest in nutrient transformations. Conversion of pastures to arable cropping in New Zealand results in depleting of SOM and soil fertility over time and additional N fertilisers are applied to compensate for the loss of organic N reserves.

Since N fertilisation is considered as a major practice on increasing  $N_2O$  emission from soil by providing an additional N source (Ryden and Rolston, 1983), careless N application on such soils may contribute to increased loss of N either as  $NO_3$  through leaching or as  $N_2O$  emissions.

An alternative to conventional tillage system is conservation tillage system which aims for sustainable agricultural production. Its growing acceptance is due to reduced soil erosion and runoff (Choudhary et al., 1993; Unger and Vigil, 1998; Myers and Waggener, 1996), enhanced moisture retention and infiltration (Baumhardt and Lascano, 1996), lower summer temperatures (Prihar et al., 1996) and possible increased net return to the farmer (Reicosky, 1994). However, the impact conservation tillage has on  $N_2O$  emission is not known for these Manawatu soils.

In the past 20 years, research of  $N_2O$  emissions has concentrated on enhancing an understanding of  $N_2O$  production processes and its controlling factors. Despite this it is not possible to predict the fate of a unit of N that is applied on a specific arable field (Mosier et al., 1996). Both short- and long-term in-situ measurements are needed to assess  $N_2O$  emissions from soils.

Studies by Ruz-Jerez et al. (1994) and Carran et al. (1995) from both poorly and well drained grazed pastures in the Manawatu region suggest low  $N_2O$  emission from these low fertility hill lands. However, there is little information for arable farming systems. Therefore, there is a need for site-specific assessment of the impact of tillage practices on  $N_2O$  fluxes.

## 1.1 Research Objectives

To evaluate long-term and short term impacts of different tillage systems and land use on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions selected farming practices were chosen in the Manawatu region of New Zealand. The overall aim was to characterise land use practices and their effect on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. This study is a part of a wider project on soil nitrogen recycling.

The specific objectives of this study were as follows:

- To quantitatively determine the rates of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from fields sown with the conventional tillage (CT), no-tillage (NT) and compare these with permanent pasture (PP) fields throughout one management cycle.
- To measure the response of soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions to various cultural practices and selected environmental parameters such as changes in soil moisture and soil temperature.
- To measure soil physical and chemical properties and assess their interactions with N<sub>2</sub>O emissions.