

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**A STUDY OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SEED
QUALITY AND COMMERCIAL SPROUTING QUALITY OF
GREEN GRAM (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper) AND
BLACK GRAM (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek)**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirement for the Degree of
Master of Applied Science
in Seed Technology
at Massey University
Palmerston North
New Zealand

Joanne Maree DeFilippi

1998

MASSEY UNIVERSITY



1061306757

ABSTRACT

Standard seed quality tests (seed moisture content, thousand seed weight, topographical tetrazolium, germination and seedling evaluation); vigour tests (accelerated ageing, conductivity, rate of germination and uniformity of germination) and industry based tests (oversoaks and sprouters) were evaluated for their ability to rank eight black gram (*Vigna mungo* L. Hepper) seed lots and seven green gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek) seed lots for the purpose of commercial sprouting. Each seed lot was sprouted using simulated commercial conditions (19°C water temperature; 20°C cabin temperature; dark; 5 days). Seed lots which performed well under these small scale commercial production (SSCP) conditions, in terms of total fresh yield and healthy sprout yield, were considered to be the best quality seed lots.

All tests were able to significantly determine differences among seed lots within each species. Linear regression analysis indicated that interim germination ($R^2 = 79.1\%$), final germination ($R^2 = 76.3\%$), seed moisture content (SMC) ($R^2 = 63.7\%$) and oversoak sprouters ($R^2 = 60.6\%$) were significantly related to total fresh yield in green gram seed lots only. No other significant linear relationships were found for either green gram or black gram. Incorporating interim germination, final germination, SMC and oversoak sprouters in a multivariate analysis reduced the level of unexplained variation in green gram total sprout yield. The best combination was interim germination and oversoak sprouters ($R^2 = 84.2\%$); $Y = 9.1(\% \text{interim germination}) - 8.1(\% \text{oversoak sprouters}) + 731.4$. Very similar to this was the combination of final germination and SMC ($R^2 = 83.8\%$); $Y = 4.7(\% \text{final germination}) + 15.3(\% \text{SMC}) + 165.4$.

The reason for the differing responses of black gram and green gram was not explained, but both genetic variation and differences in environment during seed development and handling prior to testing are likely causes. It was not possible to use any individual or combination of tests to predict sprouting performance for green or black gram with the accuracy the sprouting industry would require. However, the results have shown that it will be possible to eliminate many of the seed quality tests examined from further research. Refinement of test procedures for the relevant standard and industry based tests will be required to provide the accurate seed testing regime needed by the sprouting industry.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my sincere thanks to the following people:

Assoc. Prof. John Hampton, my chief supervisor, for your continual support and patients during the period of my study at Massey University. Your knowledge of seed production and technology, accuracy of writing and willingness to help, has, and continues to impress me immensely.

Prof. Murray Hill, my assistant supervisor, for your expert guidance throughout my study. Your unfailing confidence in my ability and continual support was much appreciated. Your sense of humour will also be sadly missed.

Karen Hill and Ruth Morrison, your assistance throughout my study was invaluable. Your willingness to help, encourage and keep me on the 'straight-and-narrow' will always be remembered.

Craig McGill, who diligently explained and refined my statistical analysis without question. Your willingness to help was most appreciated.

To all other staff at the Seed Technology Centre, thank you for your help, encouragement and friendship over the past three years.

Seedbank NZ Ltd and FoRST for providing financial assistance, without which this study would not have been possible.

Lastly, Peter and my family, who continually supported me throughout my studies. I dedicate this thesis to my Nana, who never questioned my ability or direction and who I miss very dearly.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	II
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	IV
LIST OF TABLES	X
LIST OF FIGURES	XI
LIST OF PLATES	XII
LIST OF APPENDICES	XIII
CHAPTER 1 - INTRODUCTION.....	1
CHAPTER 2 - LITERATURE REVIEW.....	5
2.1 THE BEAN SPROUTING INDUSTRY	5
2.1.1 THE SPROUTING CONCEPT	5
2.1.2 BEAN SPROUTS	5
2.1.3 INDUSTRY SIZE.....	6
2.1.4 SPROUTING METHODOLOGY.....	8
2.1.4.1 <i>Washing of Seed</i>	9
2.1.4.2 <i>Soaking</i>	9
2.1.4.3 <i>Sprouting</i>	10
2.1.4.4 <i>Harvesting and Packing</i>	12
2.1.5 SPROUT QUALITY.....	12
2.2 SEED QUALITY.....	14
2.2.1 FACTORS THAT AFFECT SEED QUALITY.....	14
2.2.1.1 <i>Genetic Effects</i>	15
2.2.1.2 <i>Environmental Effects</i>	17
2.2.1.3 <i>Seed Maturity</i>	18
2.2.1.4 <i>Weathering Damage</i>	19
2.2.1.5 <i>Mechanical Damage</i>	20
2.2.1.6 <i>Fungal Infection</i>	22
2.2.1.7 <i>Insect Damage</i>	25

2.2.2 SEED VIABILITY	26
2.2.3 SEED VIGOUR.....	26
2.3 SEED QUALITY TESTING	28
2.3.1 PRESENT PRE-REQUISITES FOR SPROUTING SEED.....	28
2.3.2 STANDARDISED SEED QUALITY ASSESSMENT.....	32
2.3.2.1 <i>Germination</i>	32
2.3.2.2 <i>Seed Lot Purity</i>	33
2.3.2.3 <i>Seed Moisture Content</i>	34
2.3.2.4 <i>Thousand Seed Weight</i>	34
2.3.2.5 <i>Seed Health</i>	35
2.3.2.6 <i>Topographical Tetrazolium Test</i>	36
2.3.3 SEED VIGOUR ASSESSMENT.....	37
2.3.3.1 <i>Bulk Conductivity</i>	39
2.3.3.2 <i>Accelerated Ageing</i>	40
2.3.3.3 <i>Seedling Growth Evaluation</i>	42
2.3.3.4 <i>Speed of Germination</i>	42
2.3.4 INDUSTRY DEVELOPED TEST	45
2.3.4.1 <i>Oversoaks & Sprouters</i>	45
CHAPTER 3 - MATERIALS & METHODS	46
3.1 STANDARD SEED QUALITY TESTS.....	48
3.1.1 SEED MOISTURE CONTENT (SMC).....	48
3.1.2 PURITY.....	48
3.1.3 THOUSAND SEED WEIGHT (TSW).....	48
3.1.4 TOPOGRAPHICAL TETRAZOLIUM TEST	49
3.1.5 GERMINATION TEST (INTERIM AND FINAL COUNTS)	49
3.1.6 SEED HEALTH TESTING	50

3.2 INDUSTRY BASED SEED QUALITY TESTS.....	51
3.2.1 OVERSOAKS	51
3.3 SEED VIGOUR TESTS.....	52
3.3.1 BULK ELECTRICAL CONDUCTIVITY	52
3.3.2 RATE OF GERMINATION & UNIFORMITY OF GERMINATION	53
3.3.3 SEEDLING GROWTH UNIFORMITY.....	56
3.3.4 ACCELERATED AGEING.....	58
3.4 SIMULATED COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION	58
3.4.1 SMALL SCALE COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION (SSCP) - SPROUTING METHODOLOGY	58
3.5 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS.....	60
CHAPTER 4 - RESULTS	62
4.1 ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (ANOVA)	62
4.1.1 STANDARD SEED QUALITY TESTS	62
4.1.1.1 Purity.....	62
4.1.1.2 Thousand Seed Weight	62
4.1.1.3 Seed Moisture Content	64
4.1.1.4 Topographical Tetrazolium Test (TZ).....	64
4.1.1.5 Standard Germination.....	64
4.1.1.6 Seed Health.....	67
4.1.2 VIGOUR TESTS	69
4.1.2.1 Bulk Conductivity	69
4.1.2.2 Accelerated Ageing.....	69
4.1.2.3 Rate of Germination and Uniformity of Germination	72
4.1.2.4 Evaluation of Seedling Growth	73

4.1.3	INDUSTRY BASED TESTS (THE OVERSOAK TEST).....	78
4.1.3.1	<i>Oversoaks and Sprouters</i>	78
4.1.4	SMALL SCALE COMMERCIAL PRODUCTION (SSCP).....	80
4.1.4.1	<i>Total Yield (Fresh Weight)</i>	80
4.1.4.2	<i>Ratio of Yield:Seed</i>	80
4.1.4.3	<i>Yield of Healthy, Marked/Rotten and Small/Abnormal Sprouts</i>	81
4.1.4.4	<i>Healthy Sprout Dryweight</i>	85
4.1.4.5	<i>Seedling Growth Evaluation</i>	85
4.2	REGRESSION ANALYSIS.....	93
4.2.1	LINEAR REGRESSION.....	93
4.2.1.1	<i>Seed Moisture Content (SMC)</i>	93
4.2.1.2	<i>Standard Germination (% Normal Seedlings)</i>	93
4.2.1.3	<i>Oversoak Test - Percent Sprouters</i>	93
4.2.2	MULTIPLE REGRESSION.....	99
4.2.2.1	<i>Interim Germination + Final Germination</i>	99
4.2.2.2	<i>Interim Germination +Oversoak Sprouters</i>	100
4.2.2.3	<i>Interim Germination + Seed Moisture Content (SMC)</i>	100
4.2.2.4	<i>Final Germination + Seed Moisture Content (SMC)</i>	101
	CHAPTER 5 - CONCLUSIONS	103
5.1	SEED MOISTURE CONTENT.....	105
5.2	GERMINATION (INTERIM AND FINAL).....	106
5.3	OVERSOAK - SPROUTERS.....	108
5.4	COMBINING STANDARD SEED QUALITY TEST RESULTS.....	110
5.5	BLACK GRAM VERSUS GREEN GRAM.....	111

CHAPTER 6 - CONCLUSIONS & SCOPE FOR FUTURE WORK	113
6.1 CONCLUSIONS	113
6.2 LIMITATIONS AND SCOPE FOR FUTURE WORK.....	114
REFERENCES	117
APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		PAGE
1	Characteristics of green gram and black gram cultivars developed and released in Australia up until 1991.	16
2	The Australian Mungbean Association Standard for Premium Grade mungbeans (this includes both green and black gram).	29
3	Seed quality requirements for black gram seed destined for the Japanese sprouting market.	30
4	The International Sprout Growers Association (USA) Standards for No.1 Grade sprouting mungbeans.	31
5	Source, numbering and description (where available) of seed lots used throughout all laboratory seed quality test and small scale commercial production (SSCP).	46
6	Purity, thousand seed weight (TSW), seed moisture content (SMC) and topographical tetrazolium (TZ) test results for all black and green gram seed lots.	63
7	Germination results for black and green gram seed lots, including interim counts.	66
8	Vigour test results - conductivity, accelerated ageing (AA), rate of germination (T50) and uniformity of germination (T90 - T10) for all black and green gram seed lots.	71
9	Total fresh yield and the ratio of sprouts:seed used (by weight) for all black and green gram seed lots.	83
10	Results of physical measurements of sprouts produced under SSCP conditions for all seed lots.	90
11	Summary of those laboratory based seed quality tests which produced a significant linear relationship with SSCP total fresh yield in green gram.	94
12	Results of multiple regression analysis among standard seed quality test and SSCP total fresh yield for green gram seed lots.	102

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE		PAGE
1	Breakdown of Oversoak test results for both black gram (A) and green gram (B) seed lots tested.	79
2	The composition of each seed lot sprouted under SSCP conditions in terms of 'healthy yield', 'small/abnormal yield' and 'marked/rotten yield' for both black gram (A) and green gram (B) seed lots.	84
3A-D	Linear regression for seed quality tests which produced significant relationships with SSCP total fresh yield. A. Interim Germination B. Final Germination C. Seed Moisture Content D. Oversoak Sprouters	95

LIST OF PLATES

PLATE		PAGE
1	Photographs of seed samples from each seed lot used in this study - (A) Black gram and (B) Green gram.	47
2	Examples of two agar plates from the health test. (A) Black gram on PDA +Salt, (B) Green gram on PDA only (produced for photographs only).	68
3	A series of photographs illustrating the differences in seedling growth, both within and among seed lots during seedling evaluation testing (each seed lot is numbered in the photo).	76
4	A collection of photographs illustrating the differences in seedling growth under SSCP conditions, both within and among seed lots tested (each seed lot is numbered in the photograph).	91

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX		PAGE
1A	Summary of health test results of black gram seed lots tested, (A) Field fungi on PDA agar only, and (B) Storage fungi on PDA + Salt agar.	(i)
1b	Summary of health test results of green gram seed lots tested, (A) Field fungi on PDA agar only, and (B) Storage fungi on PDA + Salt agar.	(ii)
2	Results of physical measurements of sprouts produced in the 'Seedling Evaluation (Uniformity)' test for all seed lots.	(iii)
3A	Summary of linear regression analysis for black gram, when laboratory based seed quality tests were regressed against both SSCP total fresh yield and SSCP healthy yield.	(iv)
3B	Summary of linear regression analysis for green gram, when laboratory based seed quality tests were regressed against both SSCP total fresh yield and SSCP healthy yield.	(v)
4A	Sketch of proposed small scale commercial production (SSCP) sprouter developed by DR. Bruce Imrie (CSIRO, Brisbane), which was used as the basis for the SSCP sprouter used in this study.	(vi)
4B	Photographs of the small scale commercial production (SSCP) sprouter made at Massey University, which was used in this study.	(vii)
5	Seed quality standards for sprouting mungbeans, published by the Australian Mungbean Association (undated).	(viii)

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Green gram and black gram (*Vigna radiata* L. Wilczek and *Vigna mungo* L. Hepper respectively) are just two of many legume species which are purposely sprouted, to produce a fresh vegetable for human consumption. These species are believed to be of Indian or Indo-Burmese origin, and have been cultivated in the Indian subcontinent and adjacent regions for several thousand years (Bailey, 1949; Jain & Mehra, 1980; Valvilov, 1926 - cited by Lawn & Imrie, 1991).

Generally, green gram is preferred for sprouting in China, Thailand, Europe, the United States of America and Australia, whereas black gram is preferred in Japan and New Zealand.

Regardless of whether sprout production is on a commercial basis or in the home, the sprouter aims to produce sprouts that are tasty, attractive in appearance and bacteriologically safe to eat. Factors affecting appearance include: sprout colour, sprout size, presence of roots, age and contaminants. The last two factors can also affect taste (Imrie, 1991). Many people think that sprout production is a simple germination process. However, anyone producing sprouts commercially (commercial sprouter) will always face at least three problems: 1. long roots and slender hypocotyls; 2. spoiling; and 3. anthocyanin formation in the cotyledons and hook region. Among these three, the most difficult is how to produce short-rooted and large diameter sprouts (Chang, 1978). For most Western markets, the ideal sprout has a 50mm long hypocotyl which is 3mm in diameter, (Ashley Berrysmith, Auckland pers. comm., 1996). A commercial sprouter also requires a high sprout yield (kg sprouts produced per kg

seed used) and sufficiently long shelf life to ensure extended consumer acceptance (Imrie, 1991). Although the procedure of sprouting seeds has been undertaken for centuries, and the general technique is simple, the consistent production of high quality sprouts has proven difficult.

Recently, sprouters in New Zealand, Australia, Europe and the USA have expressed concern regarding the quality of sprouts being produced. The emphasis has been on sprout uniformity within and between batches, with many sprouters finding it difficult to consistently produce uniformly short, stout, white sprouts. Both variability in the initiation of germination and the rate of seedling growth have been suggested as probable causes of poor sprout uniformity, (Robert Coulson, Feilding pers. comm., 1995), with variation between seed lots common. Yield losses from microbial spoilage and human health related problems are also of concern to sprouters. Quality is determined by the end-user, and problems arise when different end-users place emphasis on different quality attributes (Law & Law, 1991). This problem intensifies when aspects of sprout quality cannot be directly related to seed quality parameters, or the relationships between parameters are unknown.

Seed quality is determined by two parameters - genetic as determined by cultivar, and environmental as determined by the conditions under which the seed is produced (Copeland & McDonald, 1985). High seed quality is fundamental in the production of high quality sprouts. Most sprouters are not in a position to dictate seed production management, apart from demanding a particular cultivar. Therefore, accurate assessment of seed lot quality prior to purchase is imperative when selecting seed lots destined for sprout production. There are ten components of seed quality (Thompson, 1979): analytical purity, percentage of

weed seeds, germination capacity, seed size, seed health, species purity, cultivar purity, vigour, seed lot uniformity and seed moisture content. These components are not all of equal value, nor is their order of relative importance the same in all circumstances.

The importance of seed quality has long been known by those in the seed production business. This has led to the development of several rapid and reliable laboratory test procedures which have been standardised for most important plant species (completed and published by the International Seed Testing Association - ISTA, and the Association of Official Seed Analysts - AOSA) . Internationally accepted tests (ISTA, 1996) can be used to evaluate viability, moisture, seed weight, health and purity of seed lots, while new tests (not yet internationally standardised or agreed upon) have concentrated on assessing seed vigour. All these tests have one thing in common - they were devised in an effort to evaluate the field planting value of seed, not commercial sprout production. Germination, purity and health tests are presently used to evaluate the suitability of seed lots for sprouting, even though the relationships between test parameters and sprout quality are not well understood. Accurate selection of high quality seed for sprouting purposes will not be possible until these relationships are established.

The general objectives of this study were therefore:

1. To determine the quality of a number of available green and black gram seed lots - using the standard ISTA recommended test procedures.
2. To evaluate methods for determining uniformity in green and black gram seedling growth by the use of ISTA recommended seed vigour tests.
3. To determine the health status of submitted seed lots using standard ISTA procedures.
4. To evaluate the sprouting performance of seed lots using a 'small-scale' commercial sprouter, with emphasis on sprout yield and quality.
5. To determine the relationships between seed quality parameters and sprouting variability, correlating results from tests conducted in objectives one to three with small-scale commercial production results.