

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A STUDY OF EFFECTS OF LOW TEMPERATURE STRESS  
ON SEED DEVELOPMENT AND YIELD  
IN WHEAT (TRITICUM AESTIVUM L.)

A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF  
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF  
MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE  
IN SEED TECHNOLOGY AT  
MASSEY UNIVERSITY

BY

DEVAKI SHRESTHA

MARCH 1981

## ABSTRACT

Temperature affects the growth, development, fertility and yield of cereals. The degree of sterility and subsequent yield reduction caused by extreme temperature stress depends upon the minimum level and duration of the stress temperature applied and the stage of plant development at the time of stress.

An experiment was conducted in which three low temperature regimes ( $-4^{\circ}$ ,  $-2^{\circ}$ C and  $+3^{\circ}$ C) were applied at 5 different stages of plant growth (from 1 day before anthesis to 9 days after anthesis) for a period of 6 hours with pre- and post-conditioning periods of 6 and 4 hours respectively.

The results showed that the minimum temperature reached determined the nature and severity of temperature injury in Karamu wheat.

Complete floret sterility was evident when a  $-4^{\circ}$ C temperature was imposed at the pre-anthesis or anthesis stages of plant development; florets in any position of the head being equally affected. A  $-4^{\circ}$ C temperature stress applied 3 days after anthesis produced 50% and 5% seed formation in primary and secondary heads, respectively. This seed formation mainly occurred in the basal florets of the apical and central spikelets of the head, however the seeds formed did not develop after stress and subsequent viable seed yield was zero.

At the later stages, 6 or 9 days after anthesis a  $-4^{\circ}$ C temperature stress had no significant effect on seed numbers. However there was a substantial negative effect on seed development and viability so that subsequent viable seed yield was zero.

Temperature stresses of  $+3^{\circ}$ C and  $-2^{\circ}$ C had no significant effects on seed formation, development and viable seed yield

when stresses were applied at any of the stages of plant development tested.

The percentage of seed formation was highest in the two basal florets of the central and apical portions of the head compared to that in the two basal florets of the bottom of the head and to the distal florets of all spikelets.

The percentage sterility in terms of relative sterility (percentage 'D + R' type ovules) and sterility index (percentage of 'D' type ovules) was also described. It was found that in 'Karamu' wheat 16% to 33% rudimentary florets were a common feature, such structures included tiny basal, sterile spikelets and the terminal florets of all spikelets.

Morphological and anatomical differences in ovules harvested at different stages of development from different treatments were observed. Ovules were classified into 6 groups for assessment of seed development. (A = apparently not fertilised, B = swollen and conical shaped, C = developing, D = shrivelled and shrunken, E = shrunken with reduced conical shape, R = rudimentary).

Possible pathways to seed formation and development can be estimated from the data. A probable pathway to normal seed development is A to B to C. However, in the case of unsuccessful seed formation and development, the pathway is likely to be A to D, A to B to D or A to B to C to D. Further detailed electron microscope work is needed to enable a complete description and understanding of the pathways of seed development in stressed and unstressed plants. Such knowledge is needed to provide a logical basis for the development of cultivars with increased cold tolerance, fertility and yield.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Grateful acknowledgement is made to Dr. C.M.J. Williams. This work was not possible without his consistent, wise guidance and constructive criticism throughout this study period and especially his patience in reading and correcting my English expression.

I am also grateful to Dr. M.J. Hill, Director and Mrs. D.E.M. Meech, Senior Associate, Seed Technology Centre for their valuable suggestions, assistance in seed testing and constructive criticism during this study and also for reading the manuscript.

Sincere thanks are also due to: -

Dr. I.J. Warrington, Plant Physiology Division, DSIR for his suggestion and willing help in providing the essential resources including 3 freezing rooms in the Climate Laboratory, DSIR, Palmerston North.

Dr. D. Greer and staff, Climate Laboratory, DSIR for all technical help in the maintenance of freezing rooms during temperature stress treatments.

Mr. A. Robertson, Department of Agronomy, for the use of the Agronomy glass house and plant physiology laboratory facilities and for instruction in the microtome technique and for provision of the necessary laboratory apparatus for microtome sectioning.

Dr. Elizabeth Williams, Grasslands Division, DSIR, for advice on techniques of microtome sectioning of seeds and for provision of a small rotary microtome.

Dr. S. Bhojwani, Plant Physiology Division, DSIR, for providing information for improving infiltration and cutting in the microtome work and critical interpretation of sections.

Mrs Pam Slack and staff, Histological Laboratory, Department of Veterinary Science for the use of the automatic tissue embedding machine and her assistance in refining the microtome technique and also for providing essential chemicals.

Mr D. Hopcroft, EM Laboratory, DSIR for his assistance in attempts to use the resin embedding technique in microtome work.

Mr C. Korte, Department of Agronomy for help in Statistical analysis, particularly in the analysis of data on ovule development and ovule position.

Miss Cathy Smith and Mr W. Abel, Computer Unit for running Teddybear computer programmes.

Mr L.G. Cranfield and staff, Plant Growth Unit, for the maintenance of the glasshouse facilities.

Mrs Maureen Lavrent for her technical help in establishment of the experiment in the glasshouse.

Mrs Karen Johnstone for her technical help in the laboratory work.

Mr R. Johnstone, and students of Seed Technology Certificate Course (1980) and Post Graduate Students of Seed Technology (1979, 1980) for their help in the conduct of the glasshouse experiment.

Mr H. Neilson, Department of Horticulture for his assistance in photographing microtome sections.

Mr L. Maiden and staff, Central Photographic Unit for photographic work.

Mr D.L. Jenkins and library staff for providing oversea's reference papers.

Mrs Joan Attwell and Mrs Veronica Lobb for the marvellous job of typing.

Mrs Kamlesh L. Rajbhandary for her recommendation for further education.

I am indebted to the New Zealand Government and H.M.G. of Nepal for providing a scholarship under the Columbo Plan.

Finally, I am very grateful to my husband D.B. Shrestha and all family members for their patience in looking after my three children and encouraging me while I conducted this study for 2 years in New Zealand.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF PLATES	xiii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Wheat Growth and Development	4
2.2.1 Anthesis and Pollination	6
2.2.2 Fertilisation and Ovule Development	7
2.2.3 Pattern of Seed Setting	13
2.2.4 Seed Development and Maturity	13
2.2.5 Components of Seed Yield and Seed Quality	17
2.3 Sterility	20
2.3.1 Genetic Sterility - Cultivar Characteristics	21
2.3.2 Environmental Sterility	21
2.3.3 Methods of Overcoming Environmental Sterility	28
3. MATERIAL AND METHODS	
3.1 Experiment Design	29
3.2 Trial Establishment and Management	30
3.2.1 Sowing and Plant Growth	30
3.2.2 Sampling Plants for Temperature Treatments	31
3.2.3 Methods of Temperature Stress Treatments Applied	33
3.2.4 Harvesting Methods	34
3.3 Measurements	
3.3.1 Ovule Development	37
3.3.2 Percent Fertility and Percent Sterility	37
3.3.3 Ovule Types, Percent Fertility and Percent Sterility within a Seed Head	41



	Page
3.3.4 Seed Yield and Components of Seed Yield	41
3.3.5 Germination Test	43
3.3.6 Viable Seed Yield	43
3.3.7 Ovule Anatomy (Microtone Sectioning)	43
3.4 Statistical Analysos	48
 4. RESULTS	
4.1 Seed Development, Yield and Components of Seed Yield	
4.1.1 Seed Weight	49
4.1.2 Seed Number per Head and Per Plant	55
4.1.3 Seed Germination	62
4.1.4 Seed Yield per Plant	66
4.1.5 Viable Seed Yield	70
4.2 Percent Fertility, Percent Sterility and Ovule Development	70
4.2.1 Percent Fertility and Percent Sterility	72
4.2.2 Ovule Development	83
 5. DISCUSSION	 101
 6. CONCLUSION	 113
 BIBLIOGRAPHY	
 KEY TO APPENDICES	
 APPENDICES	

LIST OF TABLES

	PAGE
1. Post fertilisation development in wheat ovules from Morrison(1955).	11
2. Sequential harvesting dates at intervals after anthesis for plants stressed at 5 different stages of development.	35

## LIST OF FIGURES

	PAGE
1. A wheat spike, showing spikelets and florets divided into 6 positions to study the variations in the number of ovule types, fertility and sterility within a seedhead.	42
2. Changes in 100 seed weight for primary and secondary head at different intervals after anthesis. Results for each temperature stress treatments are meaned over all stages of development.	50
3. Effects of $-4^{\circ}\text{C}$ temperature stress applied at different stages of development on the weight of 100 seeds from primary heads at different intervals after anthesis.	51
4. Effects of $-4^{\circ}\text{C}$ temperature stress applied at different stages of development on the weight of 100 seeds from secondary heads at different intervals after anthesis.	52
5. Effects of temperature stress applied at pre-anthesis ( $S_1$ ) on seed number per primary and per secondary head at different intervals after anthesis.	57
6. Effects of temperature stress applied at anthesis ( $S_2$ ) on seed number per primary and per secondary head at different intervals after anthesis.	58
7. Effects of temperature stress applied at 3 days after anthesis ( $S_3$ ) on seed number per primary and per secondary head at different intervals after anthesis.	59

8. Effects of temperature stress applied at 6 days after anthesis (S<sub>4</sub>) on seed number per primary and per secondary head at different intervals after anthesis. 60
9. Effects of temperature stress applied at 9 days after anthesis (S<sub>5</sub>) on seed number per primary and per secondary head at different intervals after anthesis. 61
10. Effects of temperature treatments (averaged over all stages of development) on percentage germination of seeds from primary and secondary heads at different intervals after anthesis. 63
11. Seed yield in grams per plant at different intervals after anthesis at different treatments. 67
12. Percentage contribution of primary head seeds to yield per plant at different intervals after anthesis. Results for each temperature stress treatments are meaned over all stages of development. 69
13. Viable seed yield in grams per plant at different intervals after anthesis. Results for each temperature stress treatments are meaned over all stages of development. 71
14. Percentage fertility per primary head at all harvests when stress temperatures were applied at different stages of development. 74

15. Percent fertility per secondary head at all harvests when stress temperatures were applied at different stages of development. 75
16. Relative sterility (% 'D + R' ovule types) per primary head at all harvests when temperatures were applied at different stages of development. 77.
17. Relative sterility (% 'D + R' type ovules) per secondary head at all harvests when temperatures were applied at different stages of development. 78
18. Percentage of fertility and sterility in 6 positions within the primary head at final harvest (45 days after anthesis) when a  $-2^{\circ}\text{C}$  temperature stress was applied at different stages of development. 80
19. Percentage of fertility and sterility in 6 positions within the primary head at final harvest (45 days after anthesis) when a  $-4^{\circ}\text{C}$  temperature stress was applied at different stages of development. 82
20. The percentage of ovule types present per primary head at 5 days after anthesis. 87
21. The percentage of ovule types present per primary head at 10 days after anthesis. 88
22. The percentage of ovule types present per secondary head at 5 days after anthesis. 89
23. The percentage of ovule types present per secondary head at 10 days after anthesis. 90

LIST OF PLATES

	PAGE
1. A wheat seed head showing the onset of anthesis.	32
2. Plants treated at $-4^{\circ}\text{C}$ in a freezing room of the climate laboratory, PPD, D.S.I.R.	36
3. Ovule categories used to distinguish different stages of early grain development in wheat.	38
4. Enlarged view of conical shaped, swollen 'B' type ovules in wheat.	38
5. Typical rudimentary type terminal florets with reduced floret structures in wheat.	39
6. General view of rudimentary terminal florets and rudimentary tiny basal spikelets in wheat.	40
7. The orientation of the embryo sac of wheat indicating the most appropriate plane of cutting, as shown by Hoshikawa (1960).	46
8. Typically torn section of a wheat ovule as a result of improper cutting technique.	47
9. The seeds produced in primary heads when a temperature stress of $-2^{\circ}\text{C}$ was applied at 5 different stages of plant development.	53
10. The seeds produced in secondary heads when a temperature stress of $-2^{\circ}\text{C}$ was applied at 5 different stages of plant development.	53

	Page
11. The seeds produced in primary heads when a temperature stress of $-4^{\circ}\text{C}$ was applied at 5 different stages of plant development.	54
12. The Seeds produced in secondary heads when a temperature stress of $-4^{\circ}\text{C}$ was applied at 5 different stages of plant development.	54
13. Typical dead and abnormal seedlings produced from seeds germinated 5 days after anthesis in all treatments.	64
14. Typical abnormal seedlings possessing only one seminal root which occurred 5 and 10 days after anthesis.	64
15. Dead seeds typical of those produced in all $-4^{\circ}\text{C}$ temperature stress treatments in which some seeds were produced.	65
16. The high germination percentage recorded in all $+3^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $-2^{\circ}\text{C}$ treatments from seeds harvested from 20 up to 45 days after anthesis.	76
17. Ovule categories used to distinguish different stages of early grain development in wheat.	84
18. Enlarged view of conical shaped swollen 'B' type ovules in wheat.	84
19. Rudimentary terminal 'R' florets and rudimentary 'R' tiny basal spikelets.	86
20. Floret type 'A' showing two antipodal cells in the embryo sac.	93

21. A mature embryo sac, prior to fertilization showing egg cell, synergidae, polar nuclei and large antipodal cells (from Hoshikawa 1960). 94
22. Ovule type 'B', embryo sac with about a 6-celled embryo. 95
23. Ovule type 'B' showing liquid endosperm developing in the embryo sac. 96
24. An inverted T shaped ovule. 98
25. Ovule type 'C', developing liquid endosperm and embryo (Dorsoventral section). 99
26. Ovule type 'D', shrivelled and shrunken structures unidentified, collapsed ovule. 100



LIST OF APPENDICES

1. Weight of 100 seeds per plant in grams.
2. Weight of 100 seeds per primary head in grams.
3. Weight of 100 seeds per secondary head in grams.
4. Seed number per plant.
5. Seed number per primary head.
6. Seed number per secondary head.
7. Percentage germination of seeds from primary heads.
8. Percentage germination of seeds from secondary heads.
9. Total seed yield per plant in grams.
10. Total seed yield per primary head in grams.
11. Total seed yield per secondary head in grams.
12. Percentage contribution of seeds from the primary head to seed yield per plant.
13. Total viable seed yield per plant in grams.
14. Total viable seed yield per primary head in grams.
15. Total viable seed yield per secondary head in grams.
16. Percentage contribution of primary head to viable seed yield per plant.

17. Percentage of fertility (percentage of seeds 'C' developed from all 4 basal floret positions of all spikelets) per primary head.
18. Percentage of fertility (percentage of seeds 'C' developed from all 4 basal floret positions of all spikelets) per secondary head.
19. Percentage relative sterility (percentage of total sterile ovules "D + R" from all 4 basal floret positions of all spikelets) per primary head.
20. Percentage relative sterility (percentage of total sterile ovules "D + R" from all 4 basal floret positions of all spikelets) per secondary head.
21. Sterility index (percentage of sterile, "D" ovules from all 4 basal floret positions of all spikelets) per primary head.
22. Sterility index (percentage of sterile, "D" ovules from all 4 basal floret positions of all spikelets) per secondary head.
23. Percentage of rudimentary "R" ovules (from all 4 basal floret positions of all spikelets) per primary head.
24. Percentage of rudimentary "R" ovules (from all 4 basal floret positions of all spikelets) per secondary head.
25. Percentage distribution of fertile ovules "C" in 6 positions within primary heads.
26. Percentage distribution of fertile ovules "C" in 6 positions within secondary heads.

27. Percentage distribution of relative sterility (percentage of total sterile ovules, "D + R" from all 4 basal floret positions of all spikelets) in 6 positions within primary heads.
28. Percentage distribution of relative sterility (percentage of total sterile ovules, "D + R" from all 4 basal floret positions of all spikelets) in 6 positions within secondary heads.
29. Percentage distribution of sterility index (percentage of sterile ovules, "D" from all 4 basal floret positions of all spikelets) in 6 positions within primary heads.
30. Percentage distribution of sterility index (percentage of sterile ovules, "D" from all 4 basal floret positions of all spikelets) in 6 positions within secondary heads.
31. Percentage distribution of rudimentary ovules "R" in 6 positions within primary heads.
32. Percentage distribution of rudimentary ovules "R" in 6 positions within secondary heads.
33. Percentage of all ovule types in primary heads at the first and second harvests (5 and 10 days after anthesis).
34. Percentage of all ovule types in secondary heads at the first and second harvests (5 and 10 days after anthesis).
35. Percentage distribution of "A" (ovules with active stigmas) and "B" (bilobed and conical shaped ovules) type ovules in 6 positions within primary heads at the first harvest (5 days after anthesis).
36. Percentage distribution of "A" (bilobed and conical shaped ovules) type ovules in 6 positions within secondary heads at the first harvest (5 days after anthesis).

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Wheat is the third most important crop in Nepal, after rice and maize, the area under wheat having trebled in the last decade. The present area under wheat cultivation is 348,000 hectares which is about 15% of the total cultivated area. Many factors such as the introduction of high yielding varieties, irrigation, fertiliser and plant protection measures have been extended in an attempt to increase average yields per hectare, but significant yield increases have yet to be achieved.

CIMMYT (1977) has suggested three main causes for low yields per hectare i.e.: -

1. Inadequate physical facilities in remote northern hilly areas.
2. Extension of cultivation into marginal and rainfed areas.
3. Expansion of cultivation areas in Tarai regions (Southern plain areas).

In the Tarai regions, the growth cycle of the wheat crop is 50% shorter than in hilly areas, this reduction in growth cycle tending to result in low yields. In addition, a partial environmentally induced sterility in improved high yielding cultivars has been recorded during the last few years. This is particularly a feature important in the production of the most popular variety RR21 (Sonalika). Sonalika is claimed to be one of the most widely adapted cultivars, being capable of growth in winter on the plains as well as in hilly elevated areas and covering a wide range of agroclimatic conditions. However, in 1975 sterility was recorded in agronomic trials with Sonalika conducted by agricultural stations. In 1976, several farmers in the hills and plain areas reported the same effect. In 1977, head sterility occurred not only in this variety, but also in another improved variety NL30. (CIMMYT Report on Wheat Improvement 1975, 1976, 1977). Although, at the moment

head sterility is not a major problem, occurring only in small scattered areas, it is still a potential problem influencing farmers wheat yields particularly when the crop is grown as an additional winter crop.

Many observations have been made in the field which suggest that the inability to set seed in some cultivars under certain circumstances is caused by abrupt changes in temperature and humidity during the flowering period which kills the pollen in the anthers. However, the problem may not be as simple as stated above.

The occurrence of sterility caused by high or low temperature stress has been widely accepted. Several countries, including New Zealand and Australia have reported such damage caused by low temperature stress during the reproductive stage of development of wheat plants (Suneson 1941, Livingstone and Swinbank 1950, Single 1961, 1964, 1966, Gott 1961, Asana and Williams 1965, Toda 1965, 1966, Olugbemi 1968, Meredith 1977). Much work has been done to measure the effects on plant tissue of internal ice formation, and the expression of this effect on final grain yield during the screening of resistant varieties. These studies have shown that the degree of sterility caused by extreme temperature stress depends upon the stage of plant growth, and the intensity and duration of stress temperature. Although sterility is reported in several studies on the effects of temperature stress; the physiological causes underlying the failure of seed setting is not elaborated. Hill (1971) has suggested that successful seed development depends on a number of processes including floret development, anthesis, pollination, fertilisation and embryogenesis. Temperature is an essential environmental factor affecting these processes. So, an understanding of the causes of sterility under stress conditions requires detailed studies on seed development and the anatomical causes underlying the failure of seed formation in wheat.

The present study was undertaken on a wheat cultivar derived from Mexican parentage, bred in New Zealand, and commercially released under the name 'Karamu' in 1972-73. The characteristic features of this cultivar are short straw, awned seed and resistance to lodging. The grains are oval in shape, light in colour and are of satisfactory milling and baking quality. 'Karamu' is moderately susceptible to mildew and smut, but is resistant to rust and pre-harvest sprouting damage (McEwan et al 1972). It is early maturing and yields higher than other New Zealand varieties of comparable quality such as Aotea and Kopara. According to Langer (1978) it tends to set more grains per spikelet, and exhibits a higher proportion of floret fertility than other varieties. It was released as a spring sown wheat; but may also be sown in the early autumn. In the latter situation its very early maturity may result in yield losses from frost (McLeod and Upton 1978).

Because of the importance of environmental stress in general, and low temperature stress in particular, on the quality and quantity of wheat seed produced under temperate conditions a study was conducted at Massey University during 1979/80, the objects of which were to: -

1. Determine the effects of low temperature on seed development and seed head fertility and sterility in 'Karamu' wheat.
2. Determine the influence of low temperature stress imposed at various stages of plant development on the components of seed yield.
3. Carry out microtome sectioning of florets to try to determine the morphological pathways of early seed development in both temperature stressed and unstressed wheat plants.